

Can Large Language Models Empower Molecular Property Prediction?

Chen Qian¹, Huayi Tang¹, Zhirui Yang¹, Hong Liang², Yong Liu^{1*}

¹ Renmin University of China ² Peking University

{qianchen2022, huayitang, yangzhirui, liuyonggsai}@ruc.edu.cn, lho@stu.pku.edu.cn

Abstract

Molecular property prediction has gained significant attention due to its transformative potential in multiple scientific disciplines. Conventionally, a molecule graph can be represented either as a graph-structured data or a SMILES text. Recently, the rapid development of Large Language Models (LLMs) has revolutionized the field of NLP. Although it is natural to utilize LLMs to assist in understanding molecules represented by SMILES, the exploration of how LLMs will impact molecular property prediction is still in its early stage. In this work, we advance towards this objective through two perspectives: zero/few-shot molecular classification, and using the new explanations generated by LLMs as representations of molecules. To be specific, we first prompt LLMs to do in-context molecular classification and evaluate their performance. After that, we employ LLMs to generate semantically enriched explanations for the original SMILES and then leverage that to fine-tune a small-scale LM model for multiple downstream tasks. The experimental results highlight the superiority of text explanations as molecular representations across multiple benchmark datasets, and confirm the immense potential of LLMs in molecular property prediction tasks. Codes are available at <https://github.com/ChnQ/LLM4Mol>.

1 Introduction

As a cutting-edge research topic at the intersection of artificial intelligence and chemistry, molecular property prediction has drawn increasing interest due to its transformative potential in multiple scientific disciplines such as virtual screening, drug design and discovery (Zheng et al., 2019; Maia et al., 2020; Gentile et al., 2022), to name a few. Based on this, the effective modeling of molecular data constitutes a crucial prerequisite for AI-driven molecular property prediction tasks (Rong et al.,




Figure 1: Different representation paradigms for a molecule.

2020; Wang et al., 2022). In the previous literature, on one hand, molecules can be naturally represented as graphs with atoms as nodes and chemical bonds as edges. Therefore, Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) can be employed to handle the molecular data (Kipf and Welling, 2017; Xu et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Rong et al., 2020). Simultaneously, the other line of research explores the utilization of NLP-like techniques to process molecular data (Wang et al., 2019; Honda et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022), since in many chemical databases (Irwin and Shoichet, 2005; Gaulton et al., 2017), molecular data is commonly stored as SMILES (Simplified Molecular-Input Line-Entry System) (Weininger, 1988) strings, a textual representation of molecular structure following strict rules.

In recent years, the rapid development of LLMs have sparked a paradigm shift and opened up unprecedented opportunities in the field of NLP (Zhao et al., 2023; Zhou et al., 2023). Those models demonstrate tremendous potential in addressing various NLP tasks and show surprising abilities (i.e., emergent abilities (Wei et al., 2022a)). Notably, ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2023) is the state-of-the-art AI conversational system developed by OpenAI in 2022, which possesses powerful text understanding capabilities and has been widely applied across various vertical domains.

Note that, since molecules can be represented as SMILES sequences, it is natural and intuitive to employ LLMs with rich world knowledge to handle molecular data. For instance, as depicted

* Corresponding author.




Figure 2: Overview of LLM4Mol.

in Figure 1, given the SMILES line of a molecule, ChatGPT can accurately describe the functional groups, chemical properties, and potential pharmaceutical applications *w.r.t.* the given molecule. We believe that such textual descriptions are meaningful for assisting in molecular-related tasks.

However, the application of LLMs in molecular property prediction tasks is still in its primary stages. In this paper, we move towards this goal from two perspectives: zero/few-shot molecular classification task, and generating new explanations for molecules with original SMILES. Concretely, inspired by the astonishing in-context learning capabilities (Brown et al., 2020) of LLMs, we first prompt ChatGPT to perform in-context molecular classification. Then, we propose a novel molecular representation called Captions as new Representation (CaR), which leverages ChatGPT to generate informative and professional textual analyses for SMILES. Then the textual explanation can serve as new representation for molecules, as illustrated in Figure 1. Comprehensive experimental results highlight the remarkable capabilities and tremendous potential of LLMs in molecular property prediction tasks. We hope this work could shed new insights in model design of molecular property prediction tasks empowered by LLMs.

2 Method

In this section, we will elaborate on our preliminary exploration of how LLMs can serve molecular property prediction tasks.

Zero/Few-shot Classification. With the continuous advancement of LLMs, In-Context Learning (ICL) (Brown et al., 2020) has emerged as a new paradigm for NLP. Using a demonstration context that includes several examples written in natural language templates as input, LLMs can make predictions for unseen input without additional pa-

rameter updates (Liu et al., 2022a; Lu et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2022; Wei et al., 2022b). Therefore, we attempt to leverage the ICL capability of ChatGPT to assist in molecular classification task by well-designed prompts, as shown in Figure 2. This paradigm makes it much easier to incorporate human knowledge into LLMs by changing the demonstration and templates.

Captions as New Representations. With vivid world knowledge and amazing reasoning ability, LLMs have been widely applied in various AI domains (He et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023). Also, we reckon that LLMs can empower LLMs can greatly contribute to the understanding of molecular properties. Taking a commonly used dataset in the field of molecular prediction for a toy example, PTC (Helma et al., 2001) is a collection of chemical molecules that reports their carcinogenicity in rodents. We conduct a keyword search using terms such as ‘toxicity’ ‘cancer’, and ‘harmful’ to retrieve all explanations generated by ChatGPT for the originally SMILES-format PTC dataset. Interestingly, we observed that the majority of these keywords predominantly appeared in entries labeled as -1. This demonstrates that ChatGPT is capable of providing meaningful and distinctive professional explanations for the raw SMILES strings, thereby benefiting downstream tasks.

Towards this end, we propose to leverage ChatGPT to understand the raw SMILES strings and generate textual descriptions that encompass various aspects such as functional groups, chemical properties, pharmaceutical applications, and beyond. Then, we fine-tune a pre-trained small-scale LM (*e.g.*, RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2020)) on various downstream tasks, such as molecular classification and properties prediction.

Table 1: Testing evaluation results on several benchmark datasets with **Random Splitting**. For classification task reporting ACC and ROC-AUC (% , mean \pm std), for regression tasks reporting RMSE (mean \pm std). \uparrow for higher is better, \downarrow contrarily. \ddagger denotes the results cited from origin paper. CoR with superior result is **highlighted**.

Method	ACC \uparrow			ROC-AUC \uparrow		RMSE \downarrow		
	MUTAG	PTC	AIDS	Sider	ClinTox	Esol	Lipo	
GNNs	GCN	90.00 \pm 4.97	62.57 \pm 4.13	78.68 \pm 3.36	64.24 \pm 5.61	91.88 \pm 1.45	0.77 \pm 0.05	0.80 \pm 0.04
	GIN	89.47 \pm 4.71	58.29 \pm 5.88	78.01 \pm 1.77	66.19 \pm 5.10	92.08 \pm 1.11	0.67 \pm 0.04	0.79 \pm 0.03
	ChebyNet	64.21 \pm 5.16	61.43 \pm 4.29	79.74 \pm 1.78	80.68 \pm 5.10	91.48 \pm 1.50	0.75 \pm 0.04	0.85 \pm 0.04
	D-MPNN \ddagger	-	-	-	66.40 \pm 2.10	90.60 \pm 4.30	0.58 \pm 0.05	0.55 \pm 0.07
SMILES	ECFP4-MLP	96.84 \pm 3.49	85.71 \pm 7.67	94.64 \pm 3.14	90.19 \pm 4.88	95.81 \pm 2.09	0.60 \pm 0.11	0.60 \pm 0.16
	SMILES-Transformer \ddagger	-	-	-	-	95.40	0.72	0.92
	MolR \ddagger	-	-	-	-	91.60 \pm 3.90	-	-
LLM	CaR_{Roberta}	91.05 \pm 3.37	93.14 \pm 3.43	94.37 \pm 1.19	88.81 \pm 2.65	99.80 \pm 0.43	0.45 \pm 0.04	0.47 \pm 0.03
	Δ_{GNNs}	+12%	+53%	+20%	+30%	+9%	-35%	-37%
	Δ_{NLP}	-6%	+9%	+0%	-2%	+6%	-32%	-38%




Figure 3: Few-shot classification results on MUTAG and PTC by classical models and ChatGPT.

3 Experiments

3.1 Setup

Datasets. To comprehensively evaluate the performance of CaR, we conduct experiments on 9 datasets spanning molecular classification tasks and molecular regression tasks. i) 3 classification datasets from TUDataset (Morris et al., 2020): MUTAG, PTC, AIDS. ii) 4 classification datasets from MoleculeNet (Wu et al., 2018): Sider, ClinTox, Bace, BBBP. iii) 2 regression datasets from MoleculeNet: Esol, Lipophilicity.

Baselines. We compare CaR with the following baselines: i) GNN-based methods, GCN (Kipf and Welling, 2017), GIN (Xu et al., 2019), ChebyNet (Defferrard et al., 2016), D-MPNN (Yang et al., 2019), GraphMVP (Liu et al., 2022b), InfoGraph (Sun et al., 2019), G-Motif (Rong et al., 2020), Mole-BERT (Xia et al., 2023). ii) SMILES-based methods, ECFP (Rogers and Hahn, 2010), SMILES-Transfor (Honda et al., 2019), MolR (Wang et al., 2022), ChemBERTa (Chithrananda et al., 2020), MolKD (Zeng et al., 2023).

Settings. For all datasets, we perform a 8/1/1 splitting for train/validate/test, where the best average performance (and standard variance) on the




Figure 4: Performance of CaR by replacing Small LMs.

test fold is reported. Specially, we perform a 10-fold cross-validation (CV) with a holdout fixed test for random split datasets; conduct experiments for scaffold splitting datasets with 5 random seeds. Small-scale LMs are implemented using the Hugging Face transformers library (Wolf et al., 2020) with default parameters.

3.2 Main Results

How does ChatGPT perform on zero/few-shot molecular classification? Figure 3 illustrates the few-shot learning capabilities of ChatGPT, traditional GNNs, and ECFP on two datasets. It is observed that ChatGPT underperforms compared to traditional methods for MUTAG, whereas conversely for PTC. Furthermore, see Figure 6, as the number of shots increases, ChatGPT demonstrates an upward trend in performance for both datasets. These results indicate that ChatGPT possesses a certain level of few-shot molecular classification capability. However, throughout the experiments, we find that ChatGPT’s classification performance was not consistent for the same prompt, and different prompts also have a significant impact on the results. Therefore, it is crucial to design effective prompts that incorporate rational prior information

Table 2: Testing evaluation results of different methods on benchmark datasets with **Scaffold Splitting**. The remaining settings keep consistent with Table 1.

Method	ROC-AUC \uparrow				RMSE \downarrow		
	Sider	ClinTox	Bace	BBBP	Esol	Lipo	
GNNs	GCN	55.81 \pm 2.92	50.32 \pm 2.46	76.78 \pm 4.74	71.90 \pm 5.35	1.09 \pm 0.11	0.88 \pm 0.03
	GIN	58.86 \pm 2.57	51.79 \pm 5.18	77.05 \pm 5.68	75.30 \pm 4.66	1.26 \pm 0.49	0.88 \pm 0.02
	ChebyNet	60.87 \pm 1.68	52.92 \pm 9.36	77.31 \pm 3.55	73.89 \pm 4.95	1.09 \pm 0.08	0.89 \pm 0.04
	InfoGraph [‡]	59.20 \pm 0.20	75.10 \pm 5.00	73.90 \pm 2.50	69.20 \pm 0.80	-	-
	G-Motif [‡]	60.60 \pm 1.10	77.80 \pm 2.00	73.40 \pm 4.00	66.40 \pm 3.40	-	-
	GraphMVP-C [‡]	63.90 \pm 1.20	77.50 \pm 4.20	81.20 \pm 0.90	72.40 \pm 1.60	1.03	0.68
	Mole-BERT [‡]	62.80 \pm 1.10	78.90 \pm 3.00	80.80 \pm 1.40	71.90 \pm 1.60	1.02 \pm 0.03	0.68 \pm 0.02
SMILES	ECFP4-MLP	64.86 \pm 3.45	52.93 \pm 5.92	81.58 \pm 4.02	73.37 \pm 6.05	1.77 \pm 0.25	1.03 \pm 0.04
	ChemBERTa [‡]	-	73.30	-	64.30	-	-
	MolKD [‡]	61.30 \pm 1.20	83.80 \pm 3.10	80.10 \pm 0.80	74.80 \pm 2.30	-	-
LLM	CaR_{Roberta}	58.06 \pm 1.80	84.16 \pm 17.63	80.73 \pm 1.42	81.99 \pm 4.19	0.96 \pm 0.09	1.02 \pm 0.06
	Δ_{GNNs}	-3%	+30%	+5%	+15%	-13%	+27%
	Δ_{NLP}	-9%	+22%	-1%	+19%	-46%	-1%




Figure 5: The loss value (Loss) and accuracy value (ACC) during training process.

to achieve better zero/few-shot classification.

How does CaR perform compared with existing methods on common benchmarks? The main results for comparing the performance of different methods on several benchmark datasets are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. From the tables, we obtain the following observation: i) Under the random split setting, CaR achieves superior results on almost all datasets, whether in classification or regression tasks. Remarkably, CaR exhibits a significant performance improvement of 53% compared to traditional methods on the PTC dataset. ii) For Scaffold splitting, one can observe that compared to other models, LLM demonstrates comparable results on Sider and Bace with slightly less superior; in the Lipo regression task, CaR falls short compared to GNNs; However, CoR achieves notable performance improvements on the remaining datasets. These observations indicate LLMs’ effectiveness and potential in enhancing molecular predictions across various domains.

Convergence Analysis. In Figure 5, we plot the ROC-AUC and loss curves on three datasets to verify CaR’s convergence. One can observe that the

loss value decreases rapidly in the first several steps and then continuously decrease in a fluctuation way until convergence. Also, the ROC-AUC curve exhibits an inverse and corresponding trend. These results demonstrate the convergence of CaR.

Replace Small-scale LMs. To validate the effectiveness of CaR, we further fine-tune two additional pre-trained LMs (DeBERTa (He et al., 2021), adaptive-lm-molecules (Blanchard et al., 2023)) and also train a non-pretrained DeBERTa from scratch. The results are plotted in Figure 4. One can observe that different pre-trained LMs exhibit similar performance, and generally outperform the LM trained from scratch, which validate the effectiveness of CaR.

4 Conclusion

In this work, we explore how LLMs can contribute to molecular property prediction from two perspectives, in-context classification and generating new representation for molecules. This preliminary attempt highlights the immense potential of LLM in handling molecular data. In future work, we

attempt to focus on more complex molecular downstream tasks, such as generation tasks and 3D antibody binding tasks.

Limitations

Lack of Diverse LLMs. In this work, we primarily utilized ChatGPT as a representative of LLMs. However, the performance of other LLMs on molecular data has yet to be explored, such as the more powerful GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023) or domain-specific models like MolReGPT (Li et al., 2023).

Insufficient Mining of Graph Structures. While we currently model molecular prediction tasks solely as NLP tasks, we acknowledge the crucial importance of the graph structure inherent in molecules for predicting molecular properties. How to further enhance the performance of our framework by mining graph structured information is worth exploring.

Beyond SMILES. In this work, we focus on small molecule data that can be represented as SMILES strings. However, in practical biochemistry domains, there is a wide range of data, such as proteins, antibodies, and other large molecules, that cannot be represented using SMILES strings. Therefore, the design of reasonable sequential representations for the large molecules with 3D structure to LLMs of is an important and urgent research direction to be addressed.

References

- Andrew E Blanchard, Debsindhu Bhowmik, Zachary Fox, John Gounley, Jens Glaser, Belinda S Akpa, and Stephan Irle. 2023. Adaptive language model training for molecular design. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 15(1):1–12.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:1877–1901.
- Seyone Chithrananda, Gabriel Grand, and Bharath Ramsundar. 2020. Chemberta: Large-scale self-supervised pretraining for molecular property prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.09885*.
- Michaël Defferrard, Xavier Bresson, and Pierre Vandergheynst. 2016. Convolutional neural networks on graphs with fast localized spectral filtering. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 29:3837–3845.
- Anna Gaulton, Anne Hersey, Michał Nowotka, A Patricia Bento, Jon Chambers, David Mendez, Prudence Mutowo, Francis Atkinson, Louisa J Bellis, Elena Cibrián-Uhalte, et al. 2017. The chEMBL database in 2017. *Nucleic acids research*, 45(D1):D945–D954.
- Francesco Gentile, Jean Charle Yaacoub, James Gleave, Michael Fernandez, Anh-Tien Ton, Fuqiang Ban, Abraham Stern, and Artem Cherkasov. 2022. Artificial intelligence-enabled virtual screening of ultra-large chemical libraries with deep docking. *Nature Protocols*, 17(3):672–697.
- Pengcheng He, Xiaodong Liu, Jianfeng Gao, and Weizhu Chen. 2021. Deberta: Decoding-enhanced bert with disentangled attention. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Xiaoxin He, Xavier Bresson, Thomas Laurent, and Bryan Hooi. 2023. Explanations as features: Llm-based features for text-attributed graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.19523*.
- Christoph Helma, Ross D. King, Stefan Kramer, and Ashwin Srinivasan. 2001. The predictive toxicology challenge 2000–2001. *Bioinformatics*, 17(1):107–108.
- Shion Honda, Shoi Shi, and Hiroki R Ueda. 2019. Smiles transformer: Pre-trained molecular fingerprint for low data drug discovery. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.04738*.
- John J Irwin and Brian K Shoichet. 2005. Zinc- a free database of commercially available compounds for virtual screening. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 45(1):177–182.
- Thomas N. Kipf and Max Welling. 2017. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Jiatong Li, Yunqing Liu, Wenqi Fan, Xiao-Yong Wei, Hui Liu, Jiliang Tang, and Qing Li. 2023. Empowering molecule discovery for molecule-caption translation with large language models: A chatgpt perspective. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.06615*.
- Jiachang Liu, Dinghan Shen, Yizhe Zhang, Bill Dolan, Lawrence Carin, and Weizhu Chen. 2022a. What makes good in-context examples for gpt-3? In *Proceedings of Deep Learning Inside Out: The 3rd Workshop on Knowledge Extraction and Integration for Deep Learning Architectures*, pages 100–114.
- Junling Liu, Chao Liu, Renjie Lv, Kang Zhou, and Yan Zhang. 2023. Is chatgpt a good recommender? a preliminary study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10149*.
- Shengchao Liu, Hanchen Wang, Weiyang Liu, Joan Lasenby, Hongyu Guo, and Jian Tang. 2022b. Pre-training molecular graph representation with 3d geometry. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.

- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020. Ro{bert}: A robustly optimized {bert} pretraining approach.
- Yao Lu, Max Bartolo, Alastair Moore, Sebastian Riedel, and Pontus Stenetorp. 2022. Fantastically ordered prompts and where to find them: Overcoming few-shot prompt order sensitivity. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8086–8098.
- Eduardo Habib Bechelane Maia, Letícia Cristina Assis, Tiago Alves De Oliveira, Alisson Marques Da Silva, and Alex Gutterres Taranto. 2020. Structure-based virtual screening: from classical to artificial intelligence. *Frontiers in chemistry*, 8:343.
- Christopher Morris, Nils M. Kriege, Franka Bause, Kristian Kersting, Petra Mutzel, and Marion Neumann. 2020. TUDataset: A collection of benchmark datasets for learning with graphs. In *ICML 2020 Workshop on Graph Representation Learning and Beyond*.
- OpenAI. 2023. Gpt-4 technical report. *ArXiv*, abs/2303.08774.
- David Rogers and Mathew Hahn. 2010. Extended-connectivity fingerprints. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 50(5):742–754.
- Yu Rong, Yatao Bian, Tingyang Xu, Weiyang Xie, Ying Wei, Wenbing Huang, and Junzhou Huang. 2020. Self-supervised graph transformer on large-scale molecular data. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:12559–12571.
- Fan-Yun Sun, Jordan Hoffman, Vikas Verma, and Jian Tang. 2019. Infograph: Unsupervised and semi-supervised graph-level representation learning via mutual information maximization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Hongwei Wang, Weijiang Li, Xiaomeng Jin, Kyunghyun Cho, Heng Ji, Jiawei Han, and Martin D. Burke. 2022. Chemical-reaction-aware molecule representation learning. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Sheng Wang, Yuzhi Guo, Yuhong Wang, Hongmao Sun, and Junzhou Huang. 2019. Smiles-bert: large scale unsupervised pre-training for molecular property prediction. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM international conference on bioinformatics, computational biology and health informatics*, pages 429–436.
- Jason Wei, Yi Tay, Rishi Bommasani, Colin Raffel, Barret Zoph, Sebastian Borgeaud, Dani Yogatama, Maarten Bosma, Denny Zhou, Donald Metzler, et al. 2022a. Emergent abilities of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.07682*.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Ed Chi, Quoc Le, and Denny Zhou. 2022b. Chain of thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.11903*.
- David Weininger. 1988. Smiles, a chemical language and information system. 1. introduction to methodology and encoding rules. *Journal of chemical information and computer sciences*, 28(1):31–36.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45.
- Zhenqin Wu, Bharath Ramsundar, Evan N Feinberg, Joseph Gomes, Caleb Geniesse, Aneesh S Pappu, Karl Leswing, and Vijay Pande. 2018. Moleculenet: a benchmark for molecular machine learning. *Chemical science*, 9(2):513–530.
- Zhiyong Wu, Yaoliang Wang, Jiacheng Ye, and Lingpeng Kong. 2022. Self-adaptive in-context learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10375*.
- Jun Xia, Chengshuai Zhao, Bozhen Hu, Zhangyang Gao, Cheng Tan, Yue Liu, Siyuan Li, and Stan Z. Li. 2023. Mole-BERT: Rethinking pre-training graph neural networks for molecules. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Keyulu Xu, Weihua Hu, Jure Leskovec, and Stefanie Jegelka. 2019. How powerful are graph neural networks? In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Kevin Yang, Kyle Swanson, Wengong Jin, Connor Coley, Philipp Eiden, Hua Gao, Angel Guzman-Perez, Timothy Hopper, Brian Kelley, Miriam Mathea, et al. 2019. Analyzing learned molecular representations for property prediction. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 59(8):3370–3388.
- Liang Zeng, Lanqing Li, and Jian Li. 2023. Molkd: Distilling cross-modal knowledge in chemical reactions for molecular property prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.01912*.
- Wayne Xin Zhao, Kun Zhou, Junyi Li, Tianyi Tang, Xiaolei Wang, Yupeng Hou, Yingqian Min, Beichen Zhang, Junjie Zhang, Zican Dong, et al. 2023. A survey of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.18223*.
- Liangzhen Zheng, Jingrong Fan, and Yuguang Mu. 2019. Onionnet: a multiple-layer intermolecular-contact-based convolutional neural network for protein–ligand binding affinity prediction. *ACS omega*, 4(14):15956–15965.
- Ce Zhou, Qian Li, Chen Li, Jun Yu, Yixin Liu, Guangjing Wang, Kai Zhang, Cheng Ji, Qiben Yan, Lifang He, et al. 2023. A comprehensive survey on pretrained foundation models: A history from bert to chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09419*.

A N-shot Results




Figure 6: The impact of #Shots on Few-shot classification on MUTAG and PTC by ChatGPT.