

On the distribution of a random variable involved in an independent ratio

Roberto Vila ^{*1, 2}, Narayanaswamy Balakrishnan ^{†2}, and Marcelo Bourguignon ^{‡3}

¹*Department of Statistics, University of Brasília, Brasília, Brazil*

²*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada*

³*Department of Statistics, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, RN, Brazil*

July 14, 2023

Abstract

In this paper, using inverse integral transforms, we derive the exact distribution of the random variable X that is involved in the ratio $Z \stackrel{d}{=} X/(X+Y)$ where X and Y are independent random variables having the same support, and Z and Y have known distributions. We introduce new distributions this way. As applications of the obtained results, several examples are presented.

Keywords. Laplace transform · Inverse Laplace transform · Generalized Stieltjes transform · Inverse generalized Stieltjes transform · Type I ratio.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). MSC 60E05 · MSC 62Exx · MSC 62Fxx.

1 Introduction

Let X, Y and Z be (absolutely) continuous and positive random variables such that

$$Z \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{X}{X+Y}, \quad (1.1)$$

being $\stackrel{d}{=}$ equality in distribution, and the ratio in (1.1) has support in the unit interval $(0, 1)$. The random variable $Z = X/(X+Y)$ is known in the literature as type I ratio ([Johnson et al., 1995](#); [Bekker et al., 2009](#)).

*rovig161@gmail.com

†bala@mcmaster.ca

‡m.p.bourguignon@gmail.com

The distributions of ratios of random variables are of interest in many fields (Nadarajah and Kotz, 2006). An important recent example of ratios of random variables is in the case fatality rate of Covid-19 (Bourguignon et al., 2022), where $X \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ are two random variables representing the number of confirmed Covid-19-related deaths and Covid-19 cases with no death result, respectively. The sum $X + Y$ represents the number of confirmed Covid-19 cases. It should be noted that stochastic representations are important since they may justify some models arising naturally in real situations, as described above.

The distribution of Z has been studied by several authors especially when X and Y are independent random variables and come from the same family of distributions. To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous works when X and Y belong to different families. Malik (1967) and Ahuja (1969) both discussed the case when X and Y are independent random variables following gamma distributions with shape parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, and same scale parameter θ . In a similar way, if $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta_1)$ and $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\beta, \theta_2)$ are independent gamma variables, then Z is distributed according to a Libby-Novick distribution (Libby and Novick, 1982). For a recent discussion of some extensions of this idea, one may refer to Jones and Balakrishnan (2021). Lijoi et al. (2005) considered the ratio using inverse Gaussian random variables instead of gamma random variables, and termed it as normalized inverse Gaussian distribution. Specifically, the normalized inverse Gaussian distribution is obtained by the stochastic representation (1.1) with X and Y being independent inverse Gaussian random variables with scale parameter 1 and shape parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$.

Recently, new families of distributions have been introduced for modeling bounded quantities. Some of the bounded distributions in the literature are derived from standard distributions by mathematical transformation like $Z = \exp(-W)$, $Z = W/(1-W)$ or $Z = 1/(1-W)$, where $W \in \mathbb{R}^+$. For example, the following transformation gives rise to distributions on the unit interval: if $Z = e^{-W}$, where $W \sim \text{Exponentiated-Exponential}(\alpha, \beta)$, $W \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $W \sim \text{Lindley}(\alpha, \beta)$, implies $Z \sim \text{Kumaraswamy}(\alpha, \beta)$ (Kumaraswamy, 1980), $Z \sim \text{Unit-Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ (Grassia, 1977) and $Z \sim \text{Log-Lindley}(\alpha, \beta)$ (Gómez-Déniz, 2014), respectively, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$. As far as we know, the Kumaraswamy, Unit-Gamma and Log-Lindley distributions among others do not have a stochastic representation as in (1.1).

The aim of this paper is to propose an easy way of deriving the exact pdf of X that is involved in the ratio $Z = X/(X + Y)$ when X and Y are independent random variables. The random variables X and Y do not need to belong to the same family of distributions. We emphasize here that the techniques used in this work can be slightly modified to determine the distribution of X in the independent ratio $Z = X/Y$, which for sake of conciseness are omitted here. As the stochastic representation in (1.1) is important, since it may justify some models arising naturally in certain real situations, we can use the proposed approach to find the stochastic representation in (1.1) for several models known in the literature. For example, it allows us to develop an EM-algorithm for estimating the parameters of the distribution of Z . We further propose three new models for bounded data and study them in detail. The rest of this paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2, we develop the main results and study some special cases in detail. Then, some brief closing remarks are made in Section 3.

2 Main results and examples

Suppose X and Y are independent random variables, and that Y and Z have known distributions such that the probability density function (PDF) of Y admits the following decomposition:

$$f_Y(sx) = \mathbb{A}(s)\mathbb{B}(x)\mathbb{C}(sx), \quad x, s > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{C} are some positive-real functions. Then, the main problem addressed here is in developing mathematical tools for finding the distribution of X for a wide class of distributions.

Theorem 1. Under the conditions (1.1) and (2.1), if

$$\mathbb{C}(x) = \exp(-\lambda x^\theta), \quad x > 0, \lambda, \theta > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

the density of X is given by

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda\theta}{x^{2-\theta}\mathbb{B}(x)} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbb{A}(s^{1/\theta})(s^{1/\theta} + 1)^2} f_Z \left(\frac{1}{s^{1/\theta} + 1} \right) \right\} (\lambda x^\theta),$$

where \mathcal{L}^{-1} is the inverse Laplace transform.

From here on, in all the examples to follow, we suppose that X, Y and Z are related through (1.1), and that X and Y are independent.

Example 1. When $Y \sim \exp(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, and $Z \sim \text{Kumaraswamy}(a, b)$, $a > 0, b = 1, 2, \dots$, that is, Z has PDF given by

$$f_Z(z) = abz^{a-1}(1-z^a)^{b-1}, \quad 0 < z < 1. \quad (2.3)$$

Indeed, since $f_Y(sx) = \mathbb{A}(s)\mathbb{B}(x)\mathbb{C}(sx)$, with

$$\mathbb{A}(s) = 1, \quad \mathbb{B}(x) = \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}(sx) = \exp(-\lambda sx),$$

from Theorem 1 (with $\theta = 1$), we readily have

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{x} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(s+1)^2} f_Z \left(\frac{1}{s+1} \right) \right\} (\lambda x). \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, a binomial expansion provides $f_Z(z) = ab \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \binom{b-1}{k} (-1)^k z^{a(k+1)-1}$. Using this expansion and the linearity of \mathcal{L}^{-1} , we can write (2.4) as

$$f_X(x) = ab \frac{1}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \binom{b-1}{k} (-1)^k \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{s+1} \right)^{a(k+1)+1} \right\} (\lambda x). \quad (2.5)$$

Now, by employing the well-known formula (see Erdélyi and Bateman, 1954):

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{(\alpha s + \beta)^{-p}\}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha\Gamma(p)} \left(\frac{t}{\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta t}{\alpha}\right), \quad p > 0, \quad (2.6)$$

the right-hand side of (2.5) is

$$f_X(x) = ab \frac{1}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \binom{b-1}{k} (-1)^k \frac{(\lambda x)^{a(k+1)}}{\Gamma(1+a(k+1))} \exp(-\lambda x).$$

Hence, the density of X in this case is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= ab \lambda^{a(k+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \binom{b-1}{k} (-1)^k \frac{x^{a(k+1)-1}}{\Gamma(1+a(k+1))} \exp(-\lambda x). \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \binom{b-1}{k} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k+1} f_{T_k}(x), \quad x > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $T_k \sim \text{Gamma}(a(k+1), \lambda)$. Thus, the PDF of X is a finite sum of weighted gamma distributions.

Example 2. When $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\beta, \lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, and $Z \sim \text{Bbeta}(\alpha, \beta, \rho, \delta)$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\rho \geq 0$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, is a random variable following the bimodal beta (Bbeta) distribution (see Vila et al., 2022) with density

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{\rho + (1 - \delta x)^2}{KB(\alpha, \beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1}, \quad 0 < z < 1,$$

where $K = 1 + \rho - 2\delta\alpha/(\alpha + \beta) + \delta^2\alpha(\alpha + 1)/[(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta + 1)]$. Indeed, since $f_Y(sx) = \mathbb{A}(s)\mathbb{B}(x)\mathbb{C}(sx)$, with

$$\mathbb{A}(s) = s^{\beta-1}, \quad \mathbb{B}(x) = \frac{\lambda^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\beta-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}(sx) = \exp(-\lambda sx),$$

from Theorem 1 (with $\theta = 1$), we readily have

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\lambda^{\beta-1} x^\beta} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^{\beta-1}(s+1)^2} f_Z \left(\frac{1}{s+1} \right) \right\} (\lambda x), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{s^{\beta-1}(s+1)^2} f_Z \left(\frac{1}{s+1} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^2 \frac{\pi_k}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + k)} (s+1)^{-(\alpha+\beta+k)}, \quad (2.8)$$

$\pi_0 = (1 + \rho)/K$, $\pi_1 = -2\alpha\delta/[(\alpha + \beta)K]$ and $\pi_2 = \alpha(\alpha + 1)\delta^2/[(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta + 1)K]$. Note that $\pi_0 + \pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$. Using (2.8) in (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\lambda^{\beta-1} x^\beta} \sum_{k=0}^2 \frac{\pi_k}{\Gamma(\alpha + k, \beta)} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ (s+1)^{-(\alpha+\beta+k)} \right\} (\lambda x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^2 \pi_k \frac{\lambda^{\alpha+k}}{\Gamma(\alpha + k)} x^{\alpha+k-1} \exp(-\lambda x), \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last equality, we have used (2.6). Thus, the PDF of X in this case can be written as a finite (generalized) mixture of three Gamma distributions with different shape parameters.

Remark 1. Notice that the PDF of Z in Example 2 can be written as

$$f_Z(z) = \pi_0 f_{Z_0}(z) + \pi_1 f_{Z_1}(z) + \pi_2 f_{Z_2}(z),$$

where $Z_k \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha + k, \beta)$, $k = 0, 1, 2$, and π_0, π_1, π_2 being as defined in Example 2.

Upon setting $\delta = 0$ in Example 2, the following result well-known in the literature is deduced.

Example 3 (Malik (1967); Ahuja (1969); Jones and Balakrishnan (2021)). If $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\beta, \lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, and $Z \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, then $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$.

Example 4. When $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\beta, \lambda)$, $\beta, \lambda > 0$, and Z is a random variable following the Topp-Leone distribution with density

$$f_Z(z) = 2vz^{v-1}(1-z)(2-z)^{v-1}, \quad 0 < z < 1, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots$$

Indeed, by taking $\mathbb{A}(s), \mathbb{B}(x)$ and $\mathbb{C}(sx)$ as in Example 2, from Theorem 1 (with $\theta = 1$), we have the validity of the identity in (2.7), with Z following the Topp-Leone distribution. Note that this identity can be expressed equivalently as

$$f_X(x) = \frac{2v\Gamma(\beta)}{\lambda^{\beta-1}x^\beta} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(2s+1)^{v-1}}{s^{\beta-2}(s+1)^{2v+1}} \right\} (\lambda x).$$

Using a binomial expansion, the expression on the right hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2v\Gamma(\beta)}{\lambda^{\beta-1}x^\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \binom{v-1}{k} 2^k \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^{\beta-k-2}(s+1)^{2v+1}} \right\} (\lambda x) \\ &= 2v\Gamma(\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \binom{v-1}{k} 2^k \lambda^{2v-k-1} x^{2v-k-2} \frac{{}_1F_1(2v+1; \beta+2v-k-1; -\lambda x)}{\Gamma(\beta+2v-k-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last line, Proposition A.1 has been used. Thus, the PDF of X is a finite sum in this case of weighted distributions that include confluent hypergeometric functions.

Example 5. When $Y \sim \exp(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, and Z is a random variable having the density

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{\lambda\beta^{c+1}}{(\beta+c)\Gamma(c)} \frac{1}{z^2} \left\{ \Gamma(c+1) \left[\lambda \left(\frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) + \beta \right]^{-(c+1)} + \Gamma(c+2) \left[\lambda \left(\frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) + \beta \right]^{-(c+2)} \right\},$$

where $0 < z < 1$ and $c, \beta > 0$. Indeed, since $f_Y(sx) = \mathbb{A}(s)\mathbb{B}(x)\mathbb{C}(sx)$, with

$$\mathbb{A}(s) = 1, \quad \mathbb{B}(x) = \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}(sx) = \exp(-\lambda sx),$$

from Theorem 1 (with $\theta = 1$), we readily have

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \frac{1}{x} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(s+1)^2} f_Z \left(\frac{1}{s+1} \right) \right\} (\lambda x) \\ &= \frac{\lambda\beta^{c+1}}{(\beta+c)\Gamma(c)} \frac{1}{x} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \Gamma(c+1)(\lambda s + \beta)^{-(c+1)} + \Gamma(c+2)(\lambda s + \beta)^{-(c+2)} \right\} (\lambda x). \end{aligned}$$

Upon using (2.6), the last equation becomes

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\beta^{c+1}}{(\beta+c)\Gamma(c)} x^{c-1}(1+x)\exp(-\beta x), \quad x > 0;$$

that is, X is a random variable having the weighted Lindley distribution (Ghitany et al., 2011).

Remark 2. Note that the density of Z in Example 5 can be expressed as

$$f_Z(z) = pf_{T_0}(z) + (1-p)f_{T_1}(z),$$

where $p = \beta/(\beta+c)$ and $T_j = 1/(L_j+1)$, $L_j \sim \text{Lomax}(c+j, \beta/\lambda)$, $j = 0, 1$. Further,

$$\mathbb{E}(T_j^r) = \frac{c+j}{c+j-r+4} \left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda}\right)^{c+j} {}_2F_1\left(c+j+1, c+j-r+4; c+j-r+5; 1 - \frac{\beta}{\lambda}\right),$$

provided $c+j-r+4 > 0$.

Example 6. When $Y \sim \text{GG}(a_2, d_2, \theta)$, $a_2, d_2, \theta > 0$, is a random variable following the generalized gamma (GG) distribution with density

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{\theta}{a_2^{d_2}\Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{\theta}\right)} y^{d_2-1} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{y}{a_2}\right)^\theta\right], \quad y > 0,$$

and let Z is a random variable with density

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{\theta a_1^{d_2} a_2^{d_1}}{B\left(\frac{d_1}{\theta}, \frac{d_2}{\theta}\right)} \frac{z^{d_1-1}(1-z)^{d_2-1}}{\{(a_2 z)^\theta + [a_1(1-z)]^\theta\}^{(d_1+d_2)/\theta}}, \quad \text{where } 0 < z < 1, \quad a_1, d_1 > 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Indeed, since $f_Y(sx) = \mathbb{A}(s)\mathbb{B}(x)\mathbb{C}(sx)$, with

$$\mathbb{A}(s) = s^{d_2-1}, \quad \mathbb{B}(x) = \frac{\theta}{a_2^{d_2}\Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{\theta}\right)} x^{d_2-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}(sx) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{sx}{a_2}\right)^\theta\right],$$

from Theorem 1 (with $\lambda = a_2^{-\theta}$), we readily have

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda\theta}{x^{2-\theta}\mathbb{B}(x)} \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{\mathbb{A}(s^{1/\theta})(s^{1/\theta}+1)^2} f_Z\left(\frac{1}{s^{1/\theta}+1}\right)\right\} (\lambda x^\theta).$$

But, $x^{2-\theta}\mathbb{B}(x) = \theta x^{d_2-\theta+1}/[a_2^{d_2}\Gamma(d_2/\theta)]$ and

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{A}(s^{1/\theta})(s^{1/\theta}+1)^2} f_Z\left(\frac{1}{s^{1/\theta}+1}\right) = \frac{\theta a_2^{d_1}}{a_1^{d_1} B\left(\frac{d_1}{\theta}, \frac{d_2}{\theta}\right)} \left[\left(\frac{a_2}{a_1}\right)^\theta + s\right]^{-(d_1+d_2)/\theta}.$$

Then, by using (2.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \frac{\lambda \theta a_2^{d_1+d_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{\theta}\right)}{a_1^{d_1} B\left(\frac{d_1}{\theta}, \frac{d_2}{\theta}\right)} \frac{1}{x^{d_2-\theta+1}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{a_2}{a_1}\right)^\theta + s \right]^{-(d_1+d_2)/\theta} \right\} (\lambda x^\theta) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{a_1^{d_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1}{\theta}\right)} x^{d_1-1} \exp \left[- \left(\frac{x}{a_1}\right)^\theta \right], \quad x > 0; \end{aligned}$$

that is, $X \sim \text{GG}(a_1, d_1, \theta)$. Note that, by taking $\theta = 1$, the PDF of Z in (2.9) becomes the Libby-Novick distribution (see Ahmed, 2021; Libby and Novick, 1982). Also, by taking $d_1 = d_2 = \theta$, $a_1 = 1$ and $a_2 = \beta$ in Example 6, we get the following example.

Example 7. When $Y \sim \text{Weibull}(\theta, \beta)$, $\theta, \beta > 0$, and $Z \sim \text{UW2}(\theta, \beta)$ is a random variable following a unitary Weibull distribution Type 2 (UW2) (see Reyes et al., 2023) with density

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{\theta \beta^\theta z^{\theta-1} (1-z)^{\theta-1}}{[(\beta z)^\theta + (1-z)^\theta]^2}, \quad 0 < z < 1.$$

Then, $X \sim \text{Weibull}(\theta, 1)$.

Theorem 2. Under the conditions in (1.1) and (2.1), if

$$\mathbb{C}(x) = (p + qx) \exp(-\lambda x), \quad x > 0, \quad q, \lambda > 0, \quad p \geq 0, \quad (2.10)$$

the density of X is given by

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda^3}{qx^{(\lambda p/q)+2} \mathbb{B}(x)} \int_0^x \xi^{\lambda p/q} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbb{A}\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right) (s + \lambda)^2} f_Z\left(\frac{\lambda}{s + \lambda}\right) \right\} (\xi) d\xi,$$

whenever $[x^{(\lambda p/q)+2} \mathbb{B}(x) f_X(x)]|_{x=0+} = 0$.

Example 8. When Y is a random variable following the weighted Lindley distribution (see Ghitany et al., 2011) with parameter vector $(b, 1)$ and density

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(1+b)\Gamma(b)} y^{b-1} (1+y) \exp(-y), \quad y > 0, \quad b > 0,$$

and Z is a random variable with density

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{(a+b+1)}{(1+a)(1+b)B(a,b)} z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} [(a+b)z(1-z)+1], \quad 0 < z < 1, \quad a > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Indeed, since $f_Y(sx) = \mathbb{A}(s)\mathbb{B}(x)\mathbb{C}(sx)$, with

$$\mathbb{A}(s) = s^{b-1}, \quad \mathbb{B}(x) = \frac{1}{(1+b)\Gamma(b)} x^{b-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}(sx) = (1+sx) \exp(-sx),$$

from Theorem 2 (with $p = q = \lambda = 1$), we get

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{x^3\mathbb{B}(x)} \int_0^x \xi \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbb{A}(s)(s+1)^2} f_Z \left(\frac{1}{s+1} \right) \right\} (\xi) d\xi, \quad (2.12)$$

provided $[x^3\mathbb{B}(x)f_X(x)]|_{x=0^+} = 0$. But, $x^3\mathbb{B}(x) = x^{b+2}/[(1+b)\Gamma(b)]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathbb{A}(s)(s+1)^2} f_Z \left(\frac{1}{s+1} \right) &= \frac{(a+b+1)}{(1+a)(1+b)B(a,b)} \left[\frac{1}{(s+1)^{a+b}} + (a+b) \frac{s}{(s+1)^{a+b+2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{(a+b+1)}{(1+a)(1+b)B(a,b)} \left[\frac{1}{(s+1)^{a+b}} + (a+b)s \mathcal{L} \left\{ \frac{\xi^{a+b+1} \exp(-\xi)}{\Gamma(a+b+2)} \right\} (s) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last line, we have used (2.6). Now, by applying the inverse Laplace transform on both sides of the above equality and using (2.6) together with property (B.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbb{A}(s)(s+1)^2} f_Z \left(\frac{1}{s+1} \right) \right\} (\xi) \\ &= \frac{(a+b+1)}{(1+a)(1+b)B(a,b)} \left[\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ (s+1)^{-(a+b)} \right\} (\xi) + (a+b) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ s \mathcal{L} \left\{ \frac{\xi^{a+b+1} \exp(-\xi)}{\Gamma(a+b+2)} \right\} (s) \right\} (\xi) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+a)(1+b)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \xi^{a+b-1} [(a+b+1) + (a+b+1)\xi - \xi^2] \exp(-\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities in (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \frac{1}{(1+a)\Gamma(a)} \frac{1}{x^{b+2}} \int_0^x \xi^{a+b} [(a+b+1) + (a+b+1)\xi - \xi^2] \exp(-\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+a)\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} (1+x) \exp(-x), \end{aligned}$$

whenever $[x^3\mathbb{B}(x)f_X(x)]|_{x=0^+} = 0$. Note that this condition is equivalent to $[x^{b+2}f_X(x)]|_{x=0^+} = 0$, which is satisfied if we consider f_X as in the above equation. Then, we conclude that X has weighted Lindley distribution with parameter vector $(a, 1)$.

Remark 3. A simple observation shows that the density of Z in (2.11) can be written as a mixture of beta distributions as

$$f_Z(z) = pf_{Z_0}(z) + (1-p)f_{Z_1}(z),$$

where $p = (a+b+1)/[(a+1)(b+1)]$ and $Z_j \sim \text{Beta}(a+j, b+j)$, $j = 0, 1$.

Theorem 3. Under the conditions in (1.1) and (2.1), if

$$\mathbb{C}(x) = (1+\theta x)^{-p}, \quad x > 0, \theta, p > 0, \quad (2.13)$$

the density of X is given by

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{x\mathbb{B}(x)} \mathcal{G}_p^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^p \mathbb{A} \left(\frac{1}{\theta s} \right)} \left(\frac{\theta s}{1 + \theta s} \right)^2 f_Z \left(\frac{\theta s}{1 + \theta s} \right) \right\} (x),$$

where \mathcal{G}_p^{-1} is the inverse of the generalized Stieltjes transform \mathcal{G}_p (see [Schwarz, 2005](#)).

Example 9. When Y is a random variable having the generalized beta-prime distribution with shape parameters $\alpha_2, \beta_2 > 0$ and density

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{\lambda_2^{\alpha_2} y^{\alpha_2-1} (1 + \lambda_2 y)^{-(\alpha_2+\beta_2)}}{B(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}, \quad y > 0,$$

and Z has density

$$f_Z(z) = K \frac{z^{\alpha_1-1}}{(1-z)^{\alpha_1+1}} {}_2F_1 \left(\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2; \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2; 1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{z}{1-z} \right) \right), \quad 0 < z < 1, \quad (2.14)$$

where $K = B(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2) \lambda_1^{\alpha_1} / [B(\alpha_1, \beta_1) B(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \lambda_2^{\alpha_1}]$ and $\alpha_1, \beta_1 > 0$. Indeed, observe that $f_Y(sx) = \mathbb{A}(s)\mathbb{B}(x)\mathbb{C}(sx)$, with

$$\mathbb{A}(s) = s^{\alpha_2-1}, \quad \mathbb{B}(x) = \frac{\lambda_2^{\alpha_2}}{B(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} x^{\alpha_2-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}(sx) = (1 + \lambda_2 sx)^{-(\alpha_2+\beta_2)}.$$

As $x\mathbb{B}(x) = \lambda_2^{\alpha_2} x^{\alpha_2} / B(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ and (for $p = \alpha_2 + \beta_2$ and $\theta = \lambda_2$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s^p \mathbb{A} \left(\frac{1}{\theta s} \right)} \left(\frac{\theta s}{1 + \theta s} \right)^2 f_Z \left(\frac{\theta s}{1 + \theta s} \right) \\ &= \frac{B(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2) \lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \lambda_2^{\alpha_2}}{B(\alpha_1, \beta_1) B(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} s^{\alpha_1-\beta_2} {}_2F_1 (\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2; \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2; 1 - \lambda_1 s), \end{aligned}$$

from [Theorem 3](#) (with $p = \alpha_2 + \beta_2$ and $\theta = \lambda_2$), we readily obtain

$$f_X(x) = \frac{B(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2) \lambda_1^{\alpha_1}}{B(\alpha_1, \beta_1) x^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{G}_p^{-1} \left\{ s^{\alpha_1-\beta_2} {}_2F_1 (\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2; \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2; 1 - \lambda_1 s) \right\} (x). \quad (2.15)$$

By using the known formula (see [Erdélyi and Bateman, 1954](#), p. 233)

$$\mathcal{G}_\rho \left\{ x^{\nu-1} (a+x)^{-\mu} \right\} (y) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\mu - \nu + \rho)}{\Gamma(\mu + \rho) a^\mu} y^{\nu-\rho} {}_2F_1 \left(\mu, \nu; \mu + \rho; 1 - \frac{y}{a} \right), \quad \rho > \nu - \mu,$$

with $\mu = \alpha_1 + \beta_1$, $\nu = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, $a = 1/\lambda_1$, $\rho = p = \alpha_2 + \beta_2$ and $y = s$, we write the argument of \mathcal{G}_ρ^{-1} in (2.15) as

$$\begin{aligned} & s^{\alpha_1 - \beta_2} {}_2F_1(\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2; \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2; 1 - \lambda_1 s) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\Gamma(\beta_1 + \beta_2)\lambda_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}} \mathcal{G}_p \left\{ x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + x \right)^{-(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} \right\} (s). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Then, by combining (2.15) and (2.16), we obtain

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda_1^{\alpha_1} x^{\alpha_1 - 1} (1 + \lambda_1 x)^{-(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)}}{B(\alpha_1, \beta_1)};$$

that is, X follows the generalized beta-prime distribution with shape parameters $\alpha_1, \beta_1 > 0$.

Remark 4. For two independent variables X and Y having generalized beta-prime distributions, the density f_Z equivalent to (2.14) was determined earlier by Bekker et al. (2009).

By combining the formula (23) of Schwarz (2005) with Theorem 3, the following result follows.

Corollary 2.1. Under the conditions in (1.1) and (2.1), if $\mathbb{C}(x)$ is as in (2.13), then the density of X is given by

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\Gamma(p)}{x\mathbb{B}(x)} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ t^{1-p} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^p \mathbb{A} \left(\frac{1}{\theta s} \right)} \left(\frac{\theta s}{1 + \theta s} \right)^2 f_Z \left(\frac{\theta s}{1 + \theta s} \right) \right\} (t) \right\} (x).$$

Corollary 2.1 provides an alternative formula in case the inverse generalized Stieltjes transform of Theorem 3 is difficult to find.

3 Concluding Remarks

In the recent literature concerning bounded models, many papers have assumed a known distribution for Z , but usually it is difficult to understand how X and Y were obtained. In this paper, a new technique based on inverse integral transforms approach is suggested for finding the exact distribution of the random variable X that is involved in the independent ratio $Z = X/(X + Y)$. This procedure has been discussed in detail for some cases and illustrated with many known as well as some new (see Examples 5, 6 and 8) bounded models.

Acknowledgements

Roberto Vila gratefully acknowledge financial support from CNPq, CAPES and FAP-DF, Brazil. Marcelo Bourguignon gratefully acknowledges partial financial support of the Brazilian agency Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq: grant 304140/2021-0).

Disclosure statement There are no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

- Ahuja, J. C. (1969). On certain properties of the generalized Gompertz distribution. *Sankhyā, Series B*, 31:541–544.
- Ahmed, M.A. (2021). The new form Libby-Novick distribution; *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 50:1–17.
- Bourguignon, M., Saulo, H. and Gallardo, D. (2022). A parametric quantile beta regression for modeling case fatality rates of Covid-19. *arXiv:2110.04428v1*.
- Bekker, A., Roux, J. and Pham-Gia, T. (2009). The type I distribution of the ratio of independent “Weibullized” generalized beta-prime variables. *Statistical Papers*, 50:323–338.
- Billingsley, P. (1968). *Convergence of Probability Measures*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Erdélyi, A. (1954). *Tables of Integral Transforms. Volume 1*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Erdélyi, A. and Bateman, H. (1954). *Tables of Integral Transforms, Volume 2*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Grassia, A. (1977). On a family of distributions with argument between 0 and 1 obtained by transformation of the Gamma and derived compound distributions. *Australian Journal of Statistics*, 19:108-114.
- Gómez-Déniz, E., Sordo, M.A. and Calderín-Ojeda, E. (2014). The Log-Lindley distribution as an alternative to the beta regression model with applications in insurance. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 54:49–57.
- Ghitany, M.E., Alqallaf, F., Al-Mutairi, D.K. and Husain, H.A. (2011). A two-parameter weighted Lindley distribution and its applications to survival data. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 81(6):1190–1201.
- Johnson, N.L., Kotz, S. and Balakrishnan, N. (1995). *Continuous Univariate Distributions - Vol. 2*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Jones, M.C. and Balakrishnan, N. (2021). Simple functions of independent beta random variables that follow beta distributions. *Statistics and Probability and Letters*, 170:109011.
- Kumaraswamy, P. (1980). A generalized probability density function for double-bounded random processes. *Journal of Hydrology*, 46:79–88.
- Libby, D.L. and Novick, M.R. (1982). Multivariate generalized beta-distributions with applications to utility assessment. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, 7(4):271–294.
- Lijoi, A., Mena, R.H., Prüsnter, I. (2005). Hierarchical mixture modeling with normalized inverse Gaussian priors. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 472:1278–1291.
- Malik, H. J. (1967). Exact distribution of the quotient of independent generalized gamma variables. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 10:463–465.

Nadarajah, S. and Kotz, S. (2006). On the product and ratio of gamma and Weibull random variables. *Econometric Theory*, 22(2):338–344.

Reyes, J., Rojas, M.A., Cortés, P. and Arrué, J. (2023). A new more flexible class of distributions on $(0, 1)$: Properties and applications to univariate data and quantile regression. *Symmetry*, 15:267.

Schwarz, J. H. (2005). The generalized Stieltjes transform and its inverse. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 46(1):013501.

Vila, R., Alfaia, L., Menezes, A.F.B., Çankaya, M.N. and Bourguignon, M. (2022). A model for bimodal rates and proportions. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2022.2146661>.

Appendix A A technical result

Proposition A.1. The following identity holds true:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{s^a}{(1+s)^b} \right\} (t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(b-a)} t^{b-a-1} {}_1F_1(b; b-a; -t), \quad b > a.$$

Proof. Upon using the identity

$${}_1F_1(a; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

we can write (for $b > a$)

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(b-a)} t^{b-a-1} {}_1F_1(b; b-a; -t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(b+n)}{\Gamma(b-a+n)} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} t^{n+b-a-1}.$$

Then, by using Fubini/Tonelli theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(b-a)} t^{b-a-1} {}_1F_1(b; b-a; -t) \right\} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(b+n)}{\Gamma(b-a+n)} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \mathcal{L} \{ t^{n+b-a-1} \} (s) \\ &= s^{a-b} \frac{1}{\Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(b+n) \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{s^n}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

because $\mathcal{L}\{t^p\}(s) = \Gamma(p+1)/s^{p+1}$, $p > -1$. By combining the identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(b+n) \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{s^n} = \left(\frac{s}{s+1} \right)^b \Gamma(b)$$

with (A.1), the required result follows. □

Appendix B Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1

Using the independence of X and Y , from (1.1) and (2.1), it is simple to verify that the PDF of Z can be expressed as

$$f_Z(z) = (s+1)^2 \int_0^\infty x f_X(x) f_Y(sx) dx, \quad \text{where } s = \frac{1}{z} - 1.$$

By using (2.1), the above identity can be equivalently written as

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{A}(s)(s+1)^2} f_Z\left(\frac{1}{s+1}\right) = \int_0^\infty x \mathbb{B}(x) f_X(x) \mathbb{C}(sx) dx. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

From (2.2), the above equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{A}(s)(s+1)^2} f_Z\left(\frac{1}{s+1}\right) = \int_0^\infty x \mathbb{B}(x) f_X(x) \exp(-\lambda s^\theta x^\theta) dx.$$

Making the change of variable $y = x^\theta$, with $dx = [y^{(1/\theta)-1}/\theta] dy$, the above improper integral is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\infty y^{(2/\theta)-1} \mathbb{B}(y^{1/\theta}) f_X(y^{1/\theta}) \exp(-\lambda s^\theta y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta} \mathcal{L} \left\{ y^{(2/\theta)-1} \mathbb{B}(y^{1/\theta}) f_X(y^{1/\theta}) \right\} (\lambda s^\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda \theta} \mathcal{L} \left\{ \left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^{(2/\theta)-1} \mathbb{B}\left(\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^{1/\theta}\right) f_X\left(\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^{1/\theta}\right) \right\} (s^\theta), \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last line, we have used the scale change property of \mathcal{L} . Hence,

$$\frac{\lambda \theta}{\mathbb{A}(s)(s+1)^2} f_Z\left(\frac{1}{s+1}\right) = \mathcal{L} \left\{ \left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^{(2/\theta)-1} \mathbb{B}\left(\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^{1/\theta}\right) f_X\left(\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^{1/\theta}\right) \right\} (s^\theta).$$

Now, by applying the inverse Laplace transform to both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^{(2/\theta)-1} \mathbb{B}\left(\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^{1/\theta}\right) f_X\left(\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^{1/\theta}\right) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\lambda \theta}{\mathbb{A}(s^{1/\theta})(s^{1/\theta}+1)^2} f_Z\left(\frac{1}{s^{1/\theta}+1}\right) \right\} (y).$$

Setting $x = (y/\lambda)^{1/\theta}$ and making simple algebraic manipulations, the proof follows.

Proof of Theorem 2

By combining the decomposition in (2.1) with (2.10), and using the identity (B.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathbb{A}(s)(s+1)^2} f_Z\left(\frac{1}{s+1}\right) &= \int_0^\infty x \mathbb{B}(x) f_X(x) (p + qsx) \exp(-\lambda sx) dx \\ &= p \mathcal{L} \{ x \mathbb{B}(x) f_X(x) \} (\lambda s) + qs \mathcal{L} \{ x^2 \mathbb{B}(x) f_X(x) \} (\lambda s). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by applying the inverse Laplace transform on both sides of the above equality and using the well-known property, that

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{s\mathcal{L}\{g(x)\}(s)\}(x) = g'(x), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{\lambda^2}{\mathbb{A}\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)(s+\lambda)^2}f_Z\left(\frac{\lambda}{s+\lambda}\right)\right\}(x) &= px\mathbb{B}(x)f_X(x) + \frac{q}{\lambda}[x^2\mathbb{B}(x)f_X(x)]' \\ &= \left[\left(p + \frac{2q}{\lambda}\right)x\mathbb{B}(x) + \frac{q}{\lambda}x^2\mathbb{B}'(x)\right]f_X(x) + \frac{q}{\lambda}x^2\mathbb{B}(x)f_X'(x); \end{aligned}$$

equivalently,

$$f_X'(x) + P(x)f_X(x) = Q(x), \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where

$$P(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda p}{q} + 2\right)\frac{1}{x} + \frac{\mathbb{B}'(x)}{\mathbb{B}(x)}, \quad Q(x) = \frac{\lambda^3}{qx^2\mathbb{B}(x)}\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{\mathbb{A}\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)(s+\lambda)^2}f_Z\left(\frac{\lambda}{s+\lambda}\right)\right\}(x).$$

Observe that Eq. (B.3) is a first-order non-homogeneous linear differential equation of type $y' + p(t)y = q(t)$, $t > 0$, whose solution (by method of integrating factor), well-known in the field of differential equations, can be given as $y(t) = \exp(-\int p(t)dt)[\int_{-\infty}^t \exp(\int p(s)ds)q(s)ds + \text{const}]$, with $\text{const} = [\exp(\int p(t)dt)y(t)]|_{t=0+}$. Hence, for $x > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \exp\left(-\int P(x)dx\right)\left[\int_0^x \exp\left(\int P(\xi)d\xi\right)Q(\xi)d\xi + \text{const}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{x^{(\lambda p/q)+2}\mathbb{B}(x)}\left[\int_0^x \xi^{(\lambda p/q)+2}\mathbb{B}(\xi)Q(\xi)d\xi + \text{const}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{x^{(\lambda p/q)+2}\mathbb{B}(x)}\left[\frac{\lambda^3}{q}\int_0^x \xi^{\lambda p/q}\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{\mathbb{A}\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)(s+\lambda)^2}f_Z\left(\frac{\lambda}{s+\lambda}\right)\right\}(\xi)d\xi + \text{const}\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{const} = [x^{(\lambda p/q)+2}\mathbb{B}(x)f_X(x)]|_{x=0+}$. From the above identity, the proof follows.

Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. From (2.13) and (2.1), we have

$$f_Y(sx) = \mathbb{A}(s)\mathbb{B}(x)\mathbb{C}(sx) = \frac{1}{(\theta s)^p}\mathbb{A}(s)\mathbb{B}(x)\left(\frac{1}{\theta s} + x\right)^{-p}, \quad x, s > 0. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Now, by combining the identities in (B.1) and (B.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\theta s)^p}{\mathbb{A}(s)(s+1)^2} f_Z\left(\frac{1}{s+1}\right) &= \int_0^\infty x \mathbb{B}(x) f_X(x) \left(\frac{1}{\theta s} + x\right)^{-p} dx \\ &= \mathcal{G}_p\{x \mathbb{B}(x) f_X(x)\} \left(\frac{1}{\theta s}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inverse generalized Stieltjes transform on both sides of the above equality, the proof of the required identity readily follows. \square