

ASKEY–WILSON SIGNED MEASURES AND OPEN ASEP IN THE SHOCK REGION

YIZAO WANG, JACEK WESOŁOWSKI, AND ZONGRUI YANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce a family of multi-dimensional Askey–Wilson signed measures. We offer an explicit description of the stationary measure of the open asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP) in the full phase diagram, in terms of integrations with respect to these Askey–Wilson signed measures. Using our description, we provide a rigorous derivation of the density profile and limit fluctuations of open ASEP in the entire shock region, including the high and low density phases as well as the coexistence line. This in particular confirms the existing physics postulations of the density profile.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Preface. The open asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP) is a paradigmatic model for non-equilibrium systems with open boundaries and, asymptotically, for KPZ universality. In the last 30 years, extensive studies have been devoted to understanding its stationary measure through the ‘matrix product ansatz’ approach introduced in the seminal work [DEHP93] by B. Derrida, M. Evans, V. Hakim and V. Pasquier. Based on the relations with Askey–Wilson polynomials found in [USW04] by T. Sasamoto, M. Uchiyama and M. Wadati, [BW17] expressed the joint generating function of the stationary measure in terms of expectations of the Askey–Wilson processes introduced in [BW10]. The phase diagram of open ASEP consists of the fan region and the shock region, and the explicit characterization by [BW17] is only available in the fan region because of certain constraints on parameters which guarantee the positivity of the Askey–Wilson measures. This is a powerful method that allows the rigorous derivations of many asymptotics (in the macroscopic scale) of the open ASEP stationary measure in the fan region, including the large deviation [BW17] and density profile and limit fluctuations [BW19]. By heavily exploiting this method, the stationary measure of open KPZ equation was first described by [CK24] under boundary parameter range $u + v \geq 0$ (which come from the fan region of open ASEP under the weakly asymmetric scaling [CS18]), see also related works [BKWW23, BK22, BLD22] and review [Cor22].

In the shock region, however, the macroscopic asymptotic behavior of stationary measure is less rigorously understood. The shock region consists of portions of the high and low density phases, as well as the coexistence line. On the high and low density phases, it is widely accepted in the physics literature that the density profile is a constant, depending on the bulk and boundary parameters (for a non-exhaustive list, see [DEHP93, SD93, Sas00, DLS03, USW04] and references in surveys [BE07, Cor22]). We are unable to find previous results on the second order fluctuation limits of open ASEP stationary measure in the shock region. On the coexistence line, the density profile is a random process, depending on the random position of the shock that is uniformly distributed along the system. This density profile was predicted in physics works [DEHP93, SD93, ER96, MS97, DLS02, DLS03] utilizing the matrix product ansatz and physical heuristics, assuming different special parameter conditions.

In this paper we offer an explicit description of the open ASEP stationary measures in full phase diagram, by modifying and extending the techniques in [BW10, BW17]. This description puts both the fan region and shock region in a unified framework, which enables rigorous derivations of the asymptotics. Instead of expectations of Askey–Wilson processes, we describe the joint generating functions of the stationary measure in terms of integrations with respect to certain multi-dimensional Askey–Wilson signed measures. To demonstrate the usefulness of this method, we investigate the density profiles and fluctuations in the shock region. Our results confirm the constant and random density profiles postulated in the aforementioned physics literature, which apply respectively to the high and low-density phases, as well as on the coexistence line. We also obtain the limit fluctuations on the high and low density phases, which are the same as in the fan region [BW19], given by Brownian motions. To our knowledge, our work here is the first rigorous derivation of macroscopic density profiles and fluctuation limits for open ASEP in the entire shock region.

We expect that the explicit description given in this paper should have other applications. Many other most commonly investigated statistics could be studied by this method, including currents, multi-point correlation functions and large deviations. By taking different scaling limits, one could possibly compute the multi-point Laplace transform of open KPZ equation stationary measure and the (conjectural) open KPZ fixed point stationary measure, extending the formulas respectively in [CK24] and [BWW23] for $u + v \geq 0$

to the full phase diagram. Then it remains the work of inverting those multi-point Laplace transforms as in [BKWW23, BLD22, BK22] and proving the conjectural descriptions in [BLD22].

1.2. Backgrounds. The open asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP) is a continuous-time irreducible Markov process on state space $\{0, 1\}^n$ with parameters

$$\alpha, \beta > 0, \quad \gamma, \delta \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq q < 1, \quad (1.1)$$

which models the evolution of particles on the lattice $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Particles are allowed to move to its nearest left/right neighbors and can also enter or exit the system at two boundary sites $1, n$. Specifically, particles move at random to the left with rate q and to the right with rate 1 , but a move is prohibited (excluded) if the target site is already occupied. Particles enter at random the system and are placed at site 1 with rate α and at site n with rate δ , provided that the site is empty. Particles are also removed at random with rate γ from site 1 and with rate β from site n . These jump rates are summarized in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Jump rates in the open ASEP.

Throughout the paper we assume condition (1.1) and work with the following parameterization: We set

$$\kappa_{\pm}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2x} \left(1 - q - x + y \pm \sqrt{(1 - q - x + y)^2 + 4xy} \right), \quad \text{for } x > 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0,$$

and denote

$$A = \kappa_+(\beta, \delta), \quad B = \kappa_-(\beta, \delta), \quad C = \kappa_+(\alpha, \gamma), \quad D = \kappa_-(\alpha, \gamma). \quad (1.2)$$

The quantities $\frac{A}{1+A}$ and $\frac{1}{1+C}$ defined by the parameters above have nice physical interpretations as the ‘effective densities’ near the left and right boundaries of the system, see for example the review paper [Cor22, Section 6.2].

One can check that (1.2) gives a bijection between (1.1) and

$$A, C \geq 0, \quad -1 < B, D \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq q < 1. \quad (1.3)$$

We will assume (1.1) and consequently, (1.3) throughout the paper.

It has been known since [DEHP93] that the phase diagram of open ASEP involves only two boundary parameters A, C , and exhibits three phases (see Figure 2):

- (maximal current phase) $A < 1, C < 1$,
- (high density phase) $A > 1, A > C$,
- (low density phase) $C > 1, C > A$.

The boundary $A = C > 1$ between the high and low density phases is called the coexistence line.

There are also two regions on the phase diagram distinguished by [DLS02, DLS03]:

- (fan region) $AC < 1$,
- (shock region) $AC > 1$.

We denote by μ_n the (unique) stationary measure of open ASEP, which is a probability measure on $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$, where $\tau_i \in \{0, 1\}$ is the occupation variable on site i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$. A ‘matrix product ansatz’ characterization of the stationary measure μ_n was given in the seminal work [DEHP93] by B. Derrida, M. Evans, V. Hakim and V. Pasquier: Assume there are matrices \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E} , a row vector $\langle W|$ and a column vector $|V\rangle$ with the same (possibly infinite) dimension, satisfying the following:

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{E} - q\mathbf{E}\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{E}, \quad \langle W|(\alpha\mathbf{E} - \gamma\mathbf{D}) = \langle W|, \quad (\beta\mathbf{D} - \delta\mathbf{E})|V\rangle = |V\rangle \quad (1.4)$$

(which is commonly referred to as the DEHP algebra). Then for any $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_n} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\tau_i} \right] = \frac{\langle W|(\mathbf{E} + t_1\mathbf{D}) \times \dots \times (\mathbf{E} + t_n\mathbf{D})|V\rangle}{\langle W|(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{D})^n|V\rangle}, \quad (1.5)$$

assuming that the denominator $\langle W|(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{D})^n|V\rangle$ is nonzero.

To make use of the matrix product ansatz (1.5) for the stationary measure of an open ASEP, one needs to find concrete representations of (1.4). Over time various representations have been discovered for different parameters $(q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. See for example [DEHP93, USW04, ED04, Sas99, San94, BECE00, ER96] for infinite dimensional representations and [MS97, ER96] for finite dimensional ones. Some of these representations

enable calculations of several asymptotics for open ASEP. One such representation for general parameters $(q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ obtained in the seminal (physics) work [USW04] by M. Uchiyama, T. Sasamoto and M. Wadati, was related to the Askey–Wilson orthogonal polynomials (with parameters (A, B, C, D, q) depending on the open ASEP parameters via (1.2); see Section 2.1 and Section 3.2 for a brief review). It is often referred to as the USW representation. Using this representation, the $n \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotics of the mean and variance of particle density and mean current was studied therein.

In a different line of research, [BW10] observed that the Askey–Wilson polynomials with parameters (A, B, C, D, q) , under suitable conditions, are orthogonal with respect to a unique compactly supported probability measure on \mathbb{R} , referred to as the Askey–Wilson measure. Then, [BW10] introduced the Askey–Wilson process with parameters (A, B, C, D, q) as a time-inhomogeneous Markov process with specific one-dimensional marginal laws and transition probabilities, denoted by $\pi_t(\mathrm{d}y)$ and $P_{s,t}(x, \mathrm{d}y)$, given by the Askey–Wilson measures depending on A, B, C, D, q and s, t, x (for explicit expressions, see (2.13) and (2.14)). All these are independent from the investigations of open ASEP, but instead following earlier developments on so-called quadratic harnesses, see for example [BMW07, BMW08, BW05, BW15].

Next, when the Askey–Wilson process was related to open ASEP via (1.2) in [BW17], it turned out that the restrictions on parameters that guarantee the existence of Askey–Wilson process become $AC < 1$, corresponding exactly to the fan region of the open ASEP. By combining several properties of Askey–Wilson polynomials and processes, under the USW representation of $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}, \langle W \rangle$ and $\langle V \rangle$, the joint generating function of open ASEP stationary measure (given in (1.5)) was characterized as: For $0 < t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$,

$$(1-q)^n \langle W | (\mathbf{E} + t_1 \mathbf{D}) \times \dots \times (\mathbf{E} + t_n \mathbf{D}) | V \rangle = \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + t_i + 2\sqrt{t_i} Y_{t_i}) \right) \quad (1.6)$$

where $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the Askey–Wilson process with parameters (A, B, C, D, q) .

The identity (1.6) is a powerful representation which made it possible to have rigorous analysis of many asymptotics of open ASEP stationary measure in the fan region, including large deviation in [BW17] and density profile and limit fluctuations in [BW19]. By (heavily) exploiting this method, the stationary measure of many other models in the KPZ class can also be studied. The stationary measure of open KPZ equation on $[0, 1]$ with general Neumann boundary conditions was first constructed by [CK24] under boundary parameter range $u+v \geq 0$ (which come from the fan region of open ASEP under the weakly asymmetric scaling [CS18]), see also related works [BKWW23, BK22, BLD22] and review [Cor22]. The scaling convergence of open ASEP stationary measure to the (conjectural) stationary measure of the open KPZ fixed point (postulated in [BLD22]) was proved in [BWW23] under $u+v \geq 0$. The stationary measure of the ‘six-vertex model’ on a strip with two open boundaries was studied in [Yan22].

1.3. Main results. Note that the right-hand side of (1.6) can be written as an integral. Namely, for $\pi_t(\mathrm{d}y)$ and $P_{s,t}(x, \mathrm{d}y)$ as the one-dimensional marginal laws and transitional probabilities of the Askey–Wilson process Y , they determine the multi-dimensional marginal laws of the Askey–Wilson process:

$$\pi_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(\mathrm{d}x_1, \dots, \mathrm{d}x_n) := \pi_{t_1}(\mathrm{d}x_1) P_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, \mathrm{d}x_2) \dots P_{t_{n-1}, t_n}(x_{n-1}, \mathrm{d}x_n).$$

In this way, the right-hand side of (1.6) can be written as an integration of the measure π_{t_1, \dots, t_n} .

Our first contribution is to show that in the shock region, one can still find $\pi_t(\mathrm{d}y)$ and $P_{s,t}(x, \mathrm{d}y)$ as Askey–Wilson *signed* measures, define π_{t_1, \dots, t_n} accordingly, and establish a counterpart of (1.6). Namely, it turned out that the formulae for π_t and $P_{s,t}$ in [BW17] can be extended to the region $AC > 1$ to correspond to certain signed measures (see (2.13) and (2.14) below), and they are exactly the measures to work with in the shock region. All our analysis later shall be based on π_{t_1, \dots, t_n} , which now becomes a natural extension to the earlier studies. The analysis becomes also more involved because the measures are no longer probability ones. We provide the basic tools related to Askey–Wilson signed measures in Section 2. We actually see more similarities between the fan and the shock regions. For example, $\{P_{s,t}\}_{s < t}$ satisfy the Chapman–Kolmogorov equation *formally* (these measures are with total mass one but they have strictly negative parts when $AC > 1$). Obviously, an interpretation of the corresponding Askey–Wilson Markov process is lost.

Working with the extended formulae for π_t and $P_{s,t}$, the first main theorem provides an explicit and unified description of the open ASEP stationary measure in the full parameter space in terms of multiple integrals with respect to certain multi-dimensional Askey–Wilson signed measures. We only need one technical constraint $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. In this paper we use the convention that $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume (1.3) and $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Then there exists a polynomial Π_n of n variables t_1, \dots, t_n with coefficients depending on A, B, C, D, q , such that for any $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_n} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\tau_i} \right] = \frac{\Pi_n(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\Pi_n(1, \dots, 1)}. \quad (1.7)$$




Figure 2. Phase diagrams for the open ASEP stationary measures. LD, HD, MC respectively stand for the low density, high density and maximal current phases. The asymptotic density profile in different phases are indicated in (a) and fluctuation limits are indicated in (b). Part (b) complements Figure 2 of [BW19] with fluctuations for the shock region. Processes \mathbb{B} , \mathbb{B}^{ex} , \mathbb{B}^{me} and $\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{me}$ respectively stand for the Brownian motion, excursion, meander, and reversed meander, see for example [BW19] for their definitions. Processes in the sums are assumed to be independent. Note that $A = C > 1$ is excluded from (b) since on the coexistence line, the asymptotic density profile becomes random, see (1.13), and it is not clear how to make sense of fluctuations therein.

There exists an open interval I containing $(1 - \varepsilon, 1)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for any $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ in I ,

$$\Pi_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + t_i + 2\sqrt{t_i}x_i) \pi_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(dx_1, \dots, dx_n), \quad (1.8)$$

where π_{t_1, \dots, t_n} is a finite signed measure (depending on q, A, B, C, D and defined in Section 2.4), which is compactly supported in \mathbb{R}^n and has a total mass 1 (i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \pi_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(dx_1, \dots, dx_n) = 1$).

Furthermore, when we (additionally) assume $A/C \notin \{q^l : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ if $A, C \geq 1$, then the open interval I above can be chosen such that $1 \in I$.

Remark 1.2. As we will see in the proof (in Section 3), the polynomial $\Pi_n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is actually given by the LHS of (1.6):

$$\Pi_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (1 - q)^n \langle W | (\mathbf{E} + t_1 \mathbf{D}) \times \dots \times (\mathbf{E} + t_n \mathbf{D}) | V \rangle,$$

for \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{E} , $\langle W |$ and $|V \rangle$ satisfying the DEHP algebra. By [MS97, Appendix A] this quantity does not depend on the specific representation of the DEHP algebra and in particular we will use the USW representation [USW04] (see Section 3.2) in the proof. It has been noted in [MS97, ER96] that the matrix product ansatz (1.7) does not work (i.e. the denominator $\Pi_n(1, \dots, 1)$ may equal to zero) when $ABCD = q^{-l}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Such cases are referred to as the ‘singular’ cases of the matrix ansatz, for which an alternative method is developed in [BS19]. In this paper we only deal with the ‘non-singular’ case, thus assuming $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ in Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.3. We reiterate that when $AC < 1$, the expression (1.8) has a probabilistic interpretation (see (1.6)) and has been established in [BW17]. The probabilistic interpretation of the integral is lost when $AC > 1$. When $AC = 1$, the stationary measure μ_n becomes a product of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables τ_1, \dots, τ_n with mean $A/(1+A) = 1/(1+C)$, see for example [ED04, Appendix A].

As an application, we fix all other parameters $q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and take $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the open ASEP stationary measure. In the next two theorems, we study the first and second order asymptotics on the high and low density phases and the coexistence line.

Theorem 1.4. We introduce the centered height functions:

$$h_n^H(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \left(\tau_i - \frac{A}{1+A} \right), \quad h_n^L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \left(\tau_i - \frac{1}{1+C} \right). \quad (1.9)$$

In the high density phase, i.e. $A > C$ and $A > 1$, we have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \{h_n^H(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]} \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \frac{\sqrt{A}}{1+A} \{\mathbb{B}(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]}. \quad (1.10)$$

In the low density phase, i.e. $C > A$ and $C > 1$, we have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \{h_n^L(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]} \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \frac{\sqrt{C}}{1+C} \{\mathbb{B}(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]}. \quad (1.11)$$

Remark 1.5. Consider the ordinary height function $h_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \tau_i$. For the high density phase, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} h_n(x) - \frac{A}{1+A} x = \frac{A}{1+A} \frac{\lfloor nx \rfloor - nx}{n} + \frac{1}{n} h_n^H(x).$$

A similar relation holds for low density phase. Fluctuations (1.10) and (1.11) also imply the density profile:

$$\frac{1}{n} h_n(x) \xrightarrow{\text{P}} \begin{cases} \frac{A}{1+A} x & A > C, A > 1 \quad (\text{high density phase}), \\ \frac{1}{1+C} x & C > A, C > 1 \quad (\text{low density phase}), \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$, where $\xrightarrow{\text{P}}$ denotes convergence in probability. This rigorously confirms the postulation of the density profile on the high and low density phases that has been widely accepted in the physics literature. As pointed out in the preface, for a non-exhaustive list of works, see [DEHP93, SD93, Sas00, DLS03, USW04] and references in the survey papers [BE07, Cor22].

Theorem 1.6. Assume $A = C > 1$ and $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. We have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \{h_n(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]} \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \{\eta^A(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]},$$

where the process

$$\eta^A(x) := \frac{Ax + (1-A)(x \wedge U)}{1+A}, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (1.13)$$

and $U \sim U(0, 1)$ is uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. We use $x \wedge y$ to denote the minimum of x and y .

Remark 1.7. The density profile (the formal derivative of $\eta^A(x)$ with respect to x) over $[0, 1]$ has the following interpretation: the density is a constant $\frac{1}{1+A}$ over $[0, U)$, and a different constant $\frac{A}{1+A}$ over $[U, 1]$, where U is a random variable uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, representing the location of the shock. This can be read by re-writing

$$\eta^A(x) = \frac{1}{1+A} (x \wedge U) + \frac{A}{1+A} (x - x \wedge U).$$

This rigorously confirms the predictions of the density profile on the coexistence line in the physics literature, scattered in several works. Using the matrix ansatz, this density profile has been predicted in [DEHP93, SD93] in the open totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP) case (where $q = 0$ and $\gamma = \delta = 0$) case and later in [ER96, MS97] under other special parameter conditions (which guarantee the existence of finite dimensional representations of the DEHP algebra). Physical explanations also appear in [DLS02, DLS03], where the large deviation around the density profile is studied.

Remark 1.8. On the coexistence line the density profile is no longer deterministic (instead, it becomes a random process). Consequently, the definition of limit fluctuations becomes problematic and we do not pursue this issue here further.

Remark 1.9. In the fan region and its boundary $AC \leq 1$, the density profile and limit fluctuations have been rigorously studied in [BW19] using the aforementioned Askey–Wilson process method. Combining the results therein and the above two theorems, we are able to draw a picture (Figure 2) of the first and second order asymptotics of open ASEP (the second order asymptotics on coexistence line is out of our reach).

Remark 1.10. On a different (microscopic) scale, the density profile has been shown in the mathematical works [Lig99, Lig75] in the open TASEP case (where $q = 0$ and $\gamma = \delta = 0$) in the full phase diagram. See Theorem 3.29 and Theorem 3.41 in Part III of [Lig99].

Remark 1.11. Under a special condition $AC = q^{-l}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, [MS97] found finite dimensional representations of the DEHP algebra and studied densities and correlation functions on the shock region. Under the same condition, a recent work [Sch22] found a simple representation of the open ASEP stationary measure on the shock region as a convex combination of Bernoulli shock measures, using a reverse duality introduced therein. After the first version of the present paper was posted, [NS23] established several limit theorems of the open ASEP stationary measure, where the limits are taken in a different scale. Their results

cover many parts of the phase diagram, including a sub-region of the shock region, where the characterization from [Sch22] was used. It would be interesting to see if there are connections of these works to our methods.

1.4. Outline of the paper. In Section 2 we introduce the Askey–Wilson polynomials, signed measures and multi-dimensional signed measures and prove several properties. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 by extending the projection formula. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.4 and in Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.6, both by their multi-point Laplace transforms, and using the total variation bounds from Appendix A.

Acknowledgements. We thank Wlodek Bryc for many helpful conversations and for pointing out an error in a previous version of the paper. We thank Ivan Corwin and Dominik Schmid for helpful discussions related to the results in this paper. Y.W. was partially supported by Army Research Office, US (W911NF-20-1-0139). J.W. was partially supported by IDUB grant no. 1820/366/201/2021, Warsaw Univ. Techn., Poland and by Taft Research Center at University of Cincinnati. Z.Y. was partially supported by Ivan Corwin’s NSF grant DMS-1811143 as well as the Fernholz Foundation’s ‘Summer Minerva Fellows’ program.

2. ASKEY–WILSON POLYNOMIALS AND SIGNED MEASURES

2.1. Askey–Wilson polynomials. The Askey–Wilson polynomials $w_m(x) := w_m(x; a, b, c, d|q)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, \dots\}$, constitute a family of orthogonal polynomials introduced by Askey and Wilson [AW85]. When $abcd \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, they are defined by three-term recurrence:

$$A_m w_{m+1}(x) + B_m w_m(x) + C_m w_{m-1}(x) = 2xw_m(x), \quad (2.1)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $w_0(x) = 1$ and $w_{-1}(x) = 0$, where

$$A_m = \frac{1 - q^{m-1}abcd}{(1 - q^{2m-1}abcd)(1 - q^{2m}abcd)}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$B_m = \frac{q^{m-1}}{(1 - q^{2m-2}abcd)(1 - q^{2m}abcd)} [(1 + q^{2m-1}abcd)(qs + abcds') - q^{m-1}(1 + q)abcd(s + qs')], \quad (2.3)$$

$$C_m = \frac{(1 - q^m)(1 - q^{m-1}ab)(1 - q^{m-1}ac)(1 - q^{m-1}ad)(1 - q^{m-1}bc)(1 - q^{m-1}bd)(1 - q^{m-1}cd)}{(1 - q^{2m-2}abcd)(1 - q^{2m-1}abcd)}, \quad (2.4)$$

with $s = a + b + c + d$ and $s' = a^{-1} + b^{-1} + c^{-1} + d^{-1}$.

2.2. Askey–Wilson signed measures. We define the Askey–Wilson signed measures. We do not pursue the general parameters but only define them on the parameter region that will be necessary for open ASEP.

Definition 2.1. We define $\tilde{\Omega}$ to be the set of $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that

- (1) $a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$,
- (2) $abcd \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$,
- (3) For any two distinct $\epsilon, \mathfrak{f} \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ such that $|\epsilon|, |\mathfrak{f}| \geq 1$, we have $\epsilon/\mathfrak{f} \notin \{q^l : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,
- (4) a, b are real, and c, d are either real or form complex conjugate pair; $ab < 1$ and $cd < 1$.

We define Ω to be the set (a, b, c, d) where only (2), (3) and (4) are satisfied.

Definition 2.2. Assume $(a, b, c, d) \in \Omega$. We first define a set $F(a, b, c, d) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1)$ of atoms. The atoms are generated by each $\epsilon \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $|\epsilon| \geq 1$. By condition (4) in Definition 2.1, such ϵ must be a real number. The atoms generated by ϵ are given by

$$y_j^\epsilon = y_j^\epsilon(a, b, c, d) = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon q^j + (\epsilon q^j)^{-1}),$$

with $j \geq 0$ such that $|aq^j| \geq 1$. The bold symbol \mathbf{e} in the superscripts signal that the atom is caused by the parameter with label $\mathbf{e} \in \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$. We remark that condition (3) in Definition 2.1 above guarantees that the atoms do not collide with each other, i.e. for any two $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} \in \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$ such that the corresponding ϵ, \mathfrak{f} satisfy $|\epsilon q^j|, |\mathfrak{f} q^k| \geq 1$ for some $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, one has $\epsilon q^j \neq \mathfrak{f} q^k$ hence $y_j^\epsilon \neq y_k^\mathfrak{f}$.

The Askey–Wilson signed measure is of mixed type:

$$\nu(dx; a, b, c, d) = f(x; a, b, c, d) \mathbb{1}_{|x| < 1} dx + \sum_{x \in F(a, b, c, d)} p(x) \delta_x$$

where the continuous part density is defined as, for $x = \cos \theta \in (-1, 1)$,

$$f(x; a, b, c, d) = \frac{(q, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd)_\infty}{2\pi(abcd)_\infty \sqrt{1-x^2}} \left| \frac{(e^{2i\theta})_\infty}{(ae^{i\theta}, be^{i\theta}, ce^{i\theta}, de^{i\theta})_\infty} \right|^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Here and below, for complex z and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, we use the q -Pochhammer symbol:

$$(z)_n = (z; q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - zq^j), \quad (z_1, \dots, z_k)_n = (z_1, \dots, z_k; q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^k (z_i; q)_n.$$

The atoms generated by $\mathbf{e} = a$ (if exist) have corresponding masses

$$p(y_0^{\mathbf{a}}) = p_0^{\mathbf{a}}(a, b, c, d) = \frac{(a^{-2}, bc, bd, cd)_\infty}{(b/a, c/a, d/a, abcd)_\infty}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$p(y_j^{\mathbf{a}}) = p_j^{\mathbf{a}}(a, b, c, d) = p_0^{\mathbf{a}}(a, b, c, d) \frac{q^j (1 - a^2 q^{2j}) (a^2, ab, ac, ad)_j}{(q)_j (1 - a^2) a^j \prod_{l=1}^j ((b - q^l a)(c - q^l a)(d - q^l a))}, \quad j \geq 1. \quad (2.7)$$

We reiterate that the bold symbol \mathbf{a} in the superscripts signal that the atom (if exists) is caused by the parameter with label \mathbf{a} (i.e., the first parameter).

When $abcd \neq 0$, the formula of $p_j^{\mathbf{a}}(a, b, c, d)$ for $j \geq 1$ can be written in a more succinct form

$$p_j^{\mathbf{a}}(a, b, c, d) = p_0^{\mathbf{a}}(a, b, c, d) \frac{(a^2, ab, ac, ad)_j (1 - a^2 q^{2j})}{(q, qa/b, qa/c, qa/d)_j (1 - a^2)} \left(\frac{q}{abcd} \right)^j.$$

For $\mathbf{e} \in \{b, c, d\}$, atoms $y_j^{\mathbf{b}}, y_j^{\mathbf{c}}, y_j^{\mathbf{d}}$ and masses $p(y_j^{\mathbf{b}}), p(y_j^{\mathbf{c}}), p(y_j^{\mathbf{d}})$ are given by similar formulas with a and \mathbf{e} swapped. One can observe that any atom at ± 1 must have mass 0.

Remark 2.3. Under an additional assumption that $ac < 1$ if $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the Askey–Wilson signed measures defined above become actual probability measures which have been studied in [BW10].

Remark 2.4. One can observe that, for $\mathbf{e} \in \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$ both $y_j^{\mathbf{e}}(a, b, c, d)$ and $p_j^{\mathbf{e}}(a, b, c, d)$ for $j \geq 0$ are continuous functions on the subset of parameter region $(a, b, c, d) \in \Omega$ where atom $y_j^{\mathbf{e}}$ exists (i.e. when the corresponding $\mathbf{e} \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ satisfies $|\mathbf{e}q^j| \geq 1$).

2.3. Orthogonality. Under the condition (1) in Definition 2.1 on a, b, c, d , [AW85, Theorem 2.3] shows that the Askey–Wilson polynomials satisfy orthogonality relations with respect to a measure on a specific contour \mathcal{C} . When $(a, b, c, d) \in \tilde{\Omega}$, this orthogonality can be written as Askey–Wilson polynomials being orthogonal with respect to the signed measure $\nu(dx; a, b, c, d)$ given in Section 2.2. Using some continuity arguments, we will extend such orthogonality to the larger parameter region Ω . We recall from Section 2.1 that the Askey–Wilson polynomials are denoted by $w_m(x) = w_m(x; a, b, c, d|q)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Theorem 2.5 (Theorem 2.3 in [AW85]). *Assume $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy condition (1) in Definition 2.1:*

$$a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Denote

$$r(z) = \frac{(z^2, z^{-2})_\infty}{(az, a/z, bz, b/z, cz, c/z, dz, d/z)_\infty}.$$

Assume \mathcal{C} is a contour in \mathbb{C} that encloses $\mathbf{e}q^l$ and excludes $(\mathbf{e}q^l)^{-1}$ for each $\mathbf{e} \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then for any $m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz}{4\pi iz} r(z) w_m \left(\frac{z + z^{-1}}{2} \right) w_k \left(\frac{z + z^{-1}}{2} \right) = \delta_{mk} \frac{(abcd)_\infty}{(q, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd)_\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{m-1}abcd)(q, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd)_m}{(1 - q^{2m-1}abcd)(abcd)_m}. \quad (2.8)$$

Corollary 2.6. *Assume $a, b, c, d \in \tilde{\Omega}$. Then for any $m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(dx; a, b, c, d) w_m(x) w_k(x) = \delta_{mk} \frac{(1 - q^{m-1}abcd)(q, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd)_m}{(1 - q^{2m-1}abcd)(abcd)_m} \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. This corollary essentially follows from [AW85, Theorem 2.5]. Denote the integrand on LHS(2.8) as $s(z)$, which (by the definition of $\tilde{\Omega}$) is a meromorphic function with singularity $z = 0$ and simple poles at $z = \mathbf{e}q^j$ and $z = (\mathbf{e}q^j)^{-1}$, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbf{e} \in \{a, b, c, d\}$. None of these poles lie on the unit circle $\{|z| = 1\}$ or on \mathcal{C} . By considering the poles between \mathcal{C} and $\{|z| = 1\}$, one can write:

$$\text{LHS}(2.8) = \oint_{|z|=1} s(z) dz + 2\pi i \sum_{\mathbf{e}, j: |\mathbf{e}q^j| > 1} \text{Res}_{z=\mathbf{e}q^j} s(z) - 2\pi i \sum_{\mathbf{e}, j: |\mathbf{e}q^j| > 1} \text{Res}_{z=(\mathbf{e}q^j)^{-1}} s(z),$$

where $\mathbf{e} \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $r(z) = r(1/z)$, one has $\text{Res}_{z=\mathbf{e}q^j} s(z) + \text{Res}_{z=(\mathbf{e}q^j)^{-1}} s(z) = 0$. The proof follows from (2.8) by computing both the continuous part integral and the residues. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Assume $(a, b, c, d) \in \Omega$, then equation (2.9) still holds.*

Remark 2.8. This theorem covers all the cases in [BW10] that will be useful for open ASEP, in particular cases $a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2 \in \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, which are not explained clearly enough therein.

Proof. When $(a, b, c, d) \in \tilde{\Omega}$, we write (2.9) explicitly:

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(x; a, b, c, d) w_m(x) w_k(x) dx + \sum_{x \in F(a, b, c, d)} p(x) w_m(x) w_k(x) = \delta_{mk} \frac{(1 - q^{m-1}abcd)(q, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd)_m}{(1 - q^{2m-1}abcd)(abcd)_m}. \quad (2.10)$$

We need to prove that the above equation holds for all $(a, b, c, d) \in \Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$.

For any $(a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) \in \Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$, we choose a sequence $\{(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ such that

- (i) As $i \rightarrow \infty$, $(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) \rightarrow (a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$.
- (ii) $|a_i| \geq |a_0|$, $|b_i| \geq |b_0|$, $|c_i| \geq |c_0|$, $|d_i| \geq |d_0|$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_+ := \{1, 2, \dots\}$.
- (iii) $\#F(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) = \#F(a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$.
- (iv) If c_0, d_0 are not real numbers, then $c_i = c_0$ and $d_i = d_0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

We first show that such sequence exists. Since condition (1) in Definition 2.1 fails, the product of some pair of $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0\}$ equals to q^{-l} for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By condition (4) in Definition 2.1 such pair must consists of two real numbers. For $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $\varepsilon_i > 0$ set

$$(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) = \begin{cases} ((1 + \varepsilon_i)a_0, (1 + \varepsilon_i)b_0, (1 + \varepsilon_i)c_0, (1 + \varepsilon_i)d_0) & \text{if } a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ ((1 + \varepsilon_i)a_0, (1 + \varepsilon_i)b_0, c_0, d_0) & \text{if } c_0, d_0 \notin \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Note that (ii) and (iv) are immediately satisfied. Under (ii), condition (iii) can be guaranteed by ε_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$, being small enough. Since $(a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) \in \Omega$, conditions (2), (3) and (4) in Definition 2.1 for (a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) can also be guaranteed by ε_i being small enough, $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$. One can then choose a sequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ such that (a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) satisfy condition (1) in Definition 2.1. Condition (i) is then also satisfied.

Note that RHS of (2.10) is continuous on Ω . We only need to prove that the LHS of (2.10) evaluated at (a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) converges as $i \rightarrow \infty$, to that evaluated at (a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) . We first look at the atomic part. By (ii), for any $\mathbf{e} \in \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, either $y_j^{\mathbf{e}}(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) \in F(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ or $y_j^{\mathbf{e}}(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) \notin F(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. As $i \rightarrow \infty$, the positions $y_j^{\mathbf{e}}(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)$ and masses $p_j^{\mathbf{e}}(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)$ of these atoms converge respectively to $y_j^{\mathbf{e}}(a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$ and $p_j^{\mathbf{e}}(a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$. Since the Askey–Wilson polynomials $w_m(x) = w_m(x; a, b, c, d)$ are continuous in x, a, b, c, d (which follows from three-term recurrence (2.1)), we have:

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x \in F(a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)} p(x) w_m(x) w_k(x) = \sum_{x \in F(a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)} p(x) w_m(x) w_k(x).$$

We then look at the continuous part $\int_{-1}^1 f(x; a, b, c, d) w_m(x) w_k(x) dx$. First, $w_m(x; a, b, c, d) w_k(x; a, b, c, d)$ is uniformly bounded for $x \in (-1, 1)$ and $(a, b, c, d) = (a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and as $i \rightarrow \infty$, for any $x \in (-1, 1)$, it converges to $w_m(x; a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) w_k(x; a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$. Since $|1 - e^{2i\theta}|^2 = 4(1 - x^2)$ we can write

$$f(x; a, b, c, d) = \frac{(q, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd)_\infty |qe^{2i\theta}|^2}{2\pi(abcd)_\infty} \times \frac{4\sqrt{1-x^2}}{|(ae^{i\theta}, be^{i\theta}, ce^{i\theta}, de^{i\theta})_\infty|^2}. \quad (2.11)$$

The first fraction on the RHS of (2.11) is uniformly bounded on $x \in (-1, 1)$ and $(a, b, c, d) = (a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and as $i \rightarrow \infty$, for any fixed $x \in (-1, 1)$, it converges to the one for (a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) .

We then look at the second fraction on the RHS of (2.11). Define m_1 (resp. m_2) to be the number of elements in $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0\}$ that falls in $\{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ (resp. $\{-q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$). By condition (3) in Definition 2.1, $m_1, m_2 \leq 1$. When $m_1 = m_2 = 1$, we have $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{f}_0 \in \{a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0\}$ such that $\mathbf{e}_0 = q^{-r}$ and $\mathbf{f}_0 = -q^{-s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We denote $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i = q^r \mathbf{e}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i = q^s \mathbf{f}_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We look at the denominator $\prod_{\mathbf{h}_i \in \{a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i\}} \prod_{l=0}^\infty |1 - q^l \mathbf{h}_i e^{i\theta}|^2$. Based on the assumption $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) = (a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$, for sufficiently large i , all of the numbers $q^l \mathbf{h}_i$ for $\mathbf{h}_i \in \{a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are uniformly bounded away from 1, except for two: $q^r \mathbf{e}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i$ and $q^s \mathbf{f}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i$. Consequently, except for $|1 - \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i e^{i\theta}|^2$ and $|1 - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i e^{i\theta}|^2$, all other terms in the denominator are uniformly bounded away from 0. By (ii) we have $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i \geq 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i \leq -1$, hence $|1 - \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i e^{i\theta}|^2 = 1 + \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i^2 - 2\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i x \geq 2(1-x)$ and $|1 - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i e^{i\theta}|^2 = 1 + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i^2 - 2\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i x \geq 2(1+x)$. Hence we have $\frac{4\sqrt{1-x^2}}{|1 - \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i e^{i\theta}|^2 |1 - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i e^{i\theta}|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-x)(1+x)}}$. Therefore $f(x; a, b, c, d)$ for $(a, b, c, d) = (a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \in (-1, 1)$ are uniformly bounded by a constant

times $\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-x)(1+x)}}$, which is an integrable function on $(-1, 1)$. By the dominated convergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 f(x; a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) w_m(x; a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) w_k(x; a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i) dx \\ = \int_{-1}^1 f(x; a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) w_m(x; a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) w_k(x; a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In other cases $(m_1, m_2) = (1, 0), (0, 1)$ and $(0, 0)$, one can similarly bound $f(x; a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i)$ by a constant times integrable functions $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}}$ and 1 and use the dominated convergence theorem.

By combining the discrete and continuous parts, we conclude that (2.10) holds for any $(a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) \in \Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$, hence (2.10) holds for $(a, b, c, d) \in \Omega$. \square

The following is a simple corollary of Theorem 2.7:

Corollary 2.9. *Assume $(a, b, c, d) \in \Omega$. Then we have:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(dx; a, b, c, d) = 1. \quad (2.12)$$

Remark 2.10. By combining (2.12) and Remark 2.4, one can observe that the total variation of Askey–Wilson signed measure $\nu(dx; a, b, c, d)$ is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of Ω .

2.4. Multi-dimensional Askey–Wilson signed measures. We will sequentially define three families of Askey–Wilson signed measures $\pi_t(\mathbf{d}y)$, $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ and $\pi_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(\mathbf{d}x_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}x_n)$ that will be useful in studying open ASEP. When restricted to the fan region $AC < 1$, they become probability measures, and are respectively the one-dimensional marginal laws, transitional probabilities and multi-dimensional marginal laws of the Askey–Wilson processes in [BW10]. For general parameters they are finite signed measures with total mass 1. Throughout this subsection we will assume $A, C \geq 0$, $B, D \in (-1, 0]$, and we also require an extra condition $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

On a suitable time interval $t \in I$, we will consider the Askey–Wilson signed measure:

$$\pi_t(\mathbf{d}y) := \nu \left(\mathbf{d}y; A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{D}{\sqrt{t}} \right). \quad (2.13)$$

We define

$$U_t := [-1, 1] \cup F \left(A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, C/\sqrt{t}, D/\sqrt{t} \right).$$

Note that U_t is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} and π_t is supported on U_t . For any $s, t \in I$, $s < t$ and $x \in U_s$ we will also consider the following Askey–Wilson signed measure:

$$P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y) := \nu \left(\mathbf{d}y; A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} \left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right), \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} \left(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right) \right) \quad (2.14)$$

We prove that there exists some time interval I near 1 such that the above signed measures $\pi_t(\mathbf{d}y)$, $t \in I$, and $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$, $s, t \in I$, $s < t$, $x \in U_s$, are well-defined:

Proposition 2.11. *Assume $A, C \geq 0$, $B, D \in (-1, 0]$ and $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, then there exists an open interval I containing $(1 - \varepsilon, 1)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for any $s, t \in I$, $s < t$ and $x \in U_s$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{D}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \in \Omega, \\ \left(A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} \left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right), \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} \left(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right) \right) \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

If we also assume $A/C \notin \{q^l : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ if $A, C \geq 1$, then one can chose open interval I above such that $1 \in I$. We denote the open interval I chosen above by $I = I(A, B, C, D)$.

Proof. Condition (4) in Definition 2.1 clearly holds. Condition (2) in Definition 2.1 follows since either $abcd = ABCD$ or $abcd = ABs/t \leq 0$.

We only need to chose I to satisfy condition (3) in Definition 2.1. Let I be an interval satisfying:

- (i) For any $\mathbf{E} \in \{A, B, C, D\}$, if $|\mathbf{E}| < 1$, we have $|\mathbf{E}\sqrt{t}|, |\mathbf{E}/\sqrt{t}| < 1$ for any $t \in I$.
- (ii) For any $\mathbf{E} \in \{A, B, C, D\}$, if $|\mathbf{E}| > 1$, we have $|\mathbf{E}\sqrt{t}|, |\mathbf{E}/\sqrt{t}| > 1$ for any $t \in I$.
- (iii) $I \subset (\sqrt{q}, 1/\sqrt{q})$. Hence $s/t \notin \{q^l : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for any $s < t$ in I .
- (iv) $At/C \notin \{q^l : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for all $t \in I$, if $A, C \geq 1$.

The first two conditions can be guaranteed by I being a subset of a small enough neighbourhood of 1. The third condition in general holds on $t \in (1 - \varepsilon, 1)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and also holds on $t \in (1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, if one furthermore assume that $A/C \notin \{q^l : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ if $A, C \geq 1$.

We first look at $(A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, C/\sqrt{t}, D/\sqrt{t})$. For $t \in I$, in view of (i) we have $|B\sqrt{t}| < 1$ and $|D/\sqrt{t}| < 1$. If $A < 1$ or $C < 1$ then, similarly, for $t \in I$ we have $A\sqrt{t} < 1$ or $C/\sqrt{t} < 1$, and thus condition **(3)** in Definition 2.1 holds. If $A, C \geq 1$ we have $\left|\frac{A\sqrt{t}}{C/\sqrt{t}}\right| = At/C$ for $t \in I$, so in view of (iv), condition **(3)** in Definition 2.1 holds.

We then look at $(A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}), \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}))$ for $x \in U_s$. In view of (i), we have $|B\sqrt{t}| < 1$, and also U_s does not have atoms < -1 .

Case 1. Let $x \in [-1, 1]$. Then $\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ and $\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ are complex conjugate pairs with norm < 1 and condition **(3)** in Definition 2.1 holds.

Case 2. Let $x > 1$ and $A < 1$. In view of (i), we have $|A\sqrt{t}| < 1$. Note that $\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ and $\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ are positive numbers, one of which has norm < 1 . Therefore, condition **(3)** in Definition 2.1 never applies and hence vacuously holds.

Case 3. Let $x > 1$ and $A \geq 1$. Since $x \in U_s$, either $x = \frac{1}{2}(q^j A\sqrt{s} + (q^j A\sqrt{s})^{-1})$ (in case $q^j A\sqrt{s} > 1$) or $x = \frac{1}{2}(q^j C/\sqrt{s} + (q^j C/\sqrt{s})^{-1})$ (in case $q^j C/\sqrt{s} > 1$). In the first case $\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = Asq^j/\sqrt{t}$ and the ratio with $A\sqrt{t}$ equals $q^j s/t$. So condition **(3)** in Definition 2.1 holds by (iii). In the second case we have $A, C \geq 1$, and $\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = Cq^j/\sqrt{t}$ whose ratio with $A\sqrt{t}$ equals $q^j C/(At)$. So condition **(3)** in Definition 2.1 holds by (iv). □

Definition 2.12. Assume $A, C \geq 0$, $B, D \in (-1, 0]$, $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ and $I = I(A, B, C, D)$. For any $s, t \in I$, $s < t$ and $x \in U_s$, we define the finite signed measures $\pi_t(\mathbf{d}y)$ and $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ respectively by (2.13) and (2.14). For convenience, when $s, t \in I$, $s \leq t$ and $x \notin U_s$ we define $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y) = 0$. When $s = t \in I$ and $x \in U_s$ we define $P_{s,s}(x, \mathbf{d}y) = \delta_x(\mathbf{d}y)$.

Remark 2.13. One can observe from (2.12) that the finite signed measures $\pi_t(\mathbf{d}y)$ and $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ have total mass 1, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_t(\mathbf{d}y) = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y) = 1$ for any $s, t \in I$, $s \leq t$ and $x \in U_s$.

Lemma 2.14. Assume $A, C \geq 0$, $B, D \in (-1, 0]$, $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ and $I = I(A, B, C, D)$. Then for any $s, t \in I$, $s \leq t$, the signed measure $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ is supported on U_t .

Proof. We only need to prove the case when $s < t$ and $x \in U_s$. We first show that, if $x \in U_s$ is an atom generated by $A\sqrt{s}$ or $B\sqrt{s}$, then the atoms of $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ generated by $\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ have mass 0. Assume $\mathbf{E} \in \{A, B\}$ and $x = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{E}\sqrt{s}q^j + (\mathbf{E}\sqrt{s}q^j)^{-1})$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $|\mathbf{E}\sqrt{s}q^j| \geq 1$. Then

$$P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y) = \nu\left(\mathbf{d}y; A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, (\mathbf{E}q^j)s/\sqrt{t}, (\mathbf{E}q^j)^{-1}/\sqrt{t}\right).$$

We have $|(\mathbf{E}q^j)^{-1}/\sqrt{t}| < |\mathbf{E}\sqrt{s}q^j|^{-1} \leq 1$, hence $(\mathbf{E}q^j)^{-1}/\sqrt{t}$ does not generate atoms. For the atoms generated by $(\mathbf{E}q^j)s/\sqrt{t}$, one has $(\mathbf{E}\sqrt{t})((\mathbf{E}q^j)^{-1}/\sqrt{t}) = q^{-j}$. This means either $ad = q^{-j}$ or $bd = q^{-j}$ in $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y) = \nu(\mathbf{d}y; a, b, c, d)$. Using the formulas (2.6) and (2.7) for atom masses (in which we need to swap a with c to get masses for atoms generated by \mathbf{c}), we have $p(x_k^{\mathbf{c}}) = 0$ for all atoms $x_k^{\mathbf{c}}$ generated by $c = (\mathbf{E}q^j)s/\sqrt{t}$.

We return to the proof. We split it into the following cases:

Case 1. If $|x| < 1$, we have $|\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 1})| < 1$ which do not generate atom, hence all the atoms of $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ are generated by $A\sqrt{t}$ and $B\sqrt{t}$, and they are contained in U_t .

Case 2. If $x \in U_s$ is an atom generated by $A\sqrt{s}$ or $B\sqrt{s}$, then by the argument above, the atoms of $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ with nonzero mass are necessarily generated by $A\sqrt{t}$ and $B\sqrt{t}$, which are contained in U_t .

Case 3. If $x \in U_s$ is an atom generated by C/\sqrt{s} or D/\sqrt{s} , one can write $x = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{E}q^j/\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s}/(\mathbf{E}q^j))$, where $\mathbf{E} \in \{C, D\}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $|\mathbf{E}q^j/\sqrt{s}| \geq 1$. Then $|\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})| = |sq^{-j}/(\mathbf{E}\sqrt{t})| < |\sqrt{s}/(\mathbf{E}q^j)| \leq 1$, hence it does not generate atoms. On the other hand $\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = \mathbf{E}q^j/\sqrt{t}$, and when $|\mathbf{E}q^j/\sqrt{t}| \geq 1$ it generates atoms which are contained in U_t . Other atoms can be generated by $A\sqrt{t}$ and $B\sqrt{t}$, and thus they are contained in U_t .

This concludes the proof that the signed measure $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ is supported on U_t . □

Next is a special property of the Askey–Wilson signed measure $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ that will be useful later.

Lemma 2.15. Assume $A, C \geq 0$, $B, D \in (-1, 0]$, $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, and $I = I(A, B, C, D)$. Assume furthermore that $A > 1$, then for $t \in I$, $A\sqrt{t}$ generates a set of atoms, and we denote the largest among them by $y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t) := \frac{1}{2}(A\sqrt{t} + (A\sqrt{t})^{-1}) \in U_t$. Then for any $s, t \in I$, $s \leq t$, we have $P_{s,t}(y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(s), \mathbf{d}y) = \delta_{y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t)}(\mathbf{d}y)$.

Proof. We write:

$$P_{s,t}(y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(s), \mathbf{d}y) = \nu \left(\mathbf{d}y; A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, As/\sqrt{t}, 1/(A\sqrt{t}) \right).$$

The result can then be observed from Definition 2.2. \square

Definition 2.16. Assume $A, C \geq 0$, $B, D \in (-1, 0]$, $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ and $I = I(A, B, C, D)$. For any $t_1, \dots, t_n \in I$, satisfying $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$, we define a finite signed measure π_{t_1, \dots, t_n} supported on $U_{t_1} \times \dots \times U_{t_n}$ by

$$\pi_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(\mathbf{d}x_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}x_n) := \pi_{t_1}(\mathbf{d}x_1) P_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, \mathbf{d}x_2) \dots P_{t_{n-1}, t_n}(x_{n-1}, \mathbf{d}x_n). \quad (2.16)$$

Remark 2.17. Note that (2.16) gives a well-defined finite signed measure. Indeed, let us consider the following linear functional on $C(U_{t_1} \times \dots \times U_{t_n})$ (which is the space of continuous functions with supremum norm):

$$f \mapsto \int_{U_{t_1}} \pi_{t_1}(\mathbf{d}x_1) \int_{U_{t_2}} P_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, \mathbf{d}x_2) \dots \int_{U_{t_n}} P_{t_{n-1}, t_n}(x_{n-1}, \mathbf{d}x_n) f(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (2.17)$$

By Remark 2.10, for any fixed $s, t \in I$, $s \leq t$, the total variation of $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ is uniformly bounded by a finite constant independent of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, (2.17) defines a bounded linear functional on $C(U_{t_1} \times \dots \times U_{t_n})$, which, by the Riesz representation theorem (see for example [Rud87, Theorem 6.19]), is the integration with respect to a unique finite signed measure π_{t_1, \dots, t_n} that was defined in (2.16).

One can observe from Remark 2.13 that π_{t_1, \dots, t_n} has total mass 1, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \pi_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(\mathbf{d}x_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}x_n) = 1$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The proof follows a similar procedure as [BW17, Section 2.1]. We first extend the ‘projection formula’ in [BW10] to a larger parameter range involving Askey–Wilson signed measures. By combining the USW representation of the DEHP algebra (see Section 3.2 for a brief review), the orthogonality of $\pi_t(\mathbf{d}x)$, the projection formula of $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ and three-term recurrence of Askey–Wilson polynomials, we are able to peel off the linear terms in $\langle W | (\mathbf{E} + t_1 \mathbf{D}) \times \dots \times (\mathbf{E} + t_n \mathbf{D}) | V \rangle$ one by one, from left to right.

We will use the re-normalized version of Askey–Wilson polynomials as in [BW10]:

Definition 3.1. Assume $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ and $ab, abcd \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. We define $\bar{w}_m(x) = (ab)_m^{-1} w_m(x)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which are also called Askey–Wilson polynomials. Note that $\bar{w}_0(x) = 1$.

The following orthogonality of Askey–Wilson polynomials is a simple corollary of Theorem 2.7:

Corollary 3.2. Assume $(a, b, c, d) \in \Omega$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(\mathbf{d}x; a, b, c, d) \bar{w}_m(x) \bar{w}_k(x) &= 0 \quad \text{for all } m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \neq k. \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(\mathbf{d}x; a, b, c, d) \bar{w}_m(x) &= 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

3.1. Projection formula. A projection formula for Askey–Wilson polynomials was introduced in [NR85] and later generalized in [BW10]. We show that [BW10, Proposition 3.6] can be extended to a larger parameter range where $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ are no longer probability measures, but signed measures.

Proposition 3.3. Assume $A, C \geq 0$, $B, D \in (-1, 0]$, $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, and $I = I(A, B, C, D)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we consider polynomials :

$$p_m(x; t) = t^{m/2} \bar{w}_m(x; A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, C/\sqrt{t}, D/\sqrt{t}),$$

where $\bar{w}_m(x; a, b, c, d)$ is introduced in Definition 3.1.

Then for any $s, t \in I$, $s \leq t$, $x \in U_s$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_m(y; t) P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y) = p_m(x; s). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. We only need to prove case $s < t$. As in [BW10], we introduce a family of polynomials $(Q_m(\cdot; x, t, s))_{m=1}^{\infty}$: For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$Q_m(y; x, t, s) := t^{m/2} \bar{w}_m \left(y; A\sqrt{t}, B\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} \left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right), \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} \left(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right) \right). \quad (3.3)$$

We first prove an algebraic lemma:

Lemma 3.4. For $m \geq 1$,

$$Q_m(y; x, t, s) = \sum_{r=1}^m b_{m,r}(x, s) (p_r(y; t) - p_r(x; s)), \quad (3.4)$$

where $b_{m,r}(x, s)$ does not depend on t for $1 \leq r \leq m$, additionally, $b_{m,m}(x, s)$ does not depend on x , and $b_{m,m}(x, s) \neq 0$.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. We begin by recalling [BW10, Theorem A.2] which re-states a special case of [AW85, formula (6.1)]. For any $a, b, c, d, \tilde{c}, \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \neq 0$ and $ab, abcd \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, we have, for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\bar{w}_m(y; a, b, \tilde{c}, \tilde{d}) = \sum_{r=0}^m \bar{c}_{r,m} \bar{w}_r(y; a, b, c, d), \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\bar{c}_{r,m} = (-1)^r q^{r(r+1)/2} \times \frac{(q^{-m}, q^{m-1} ab \tilde{c} \tilde{d})_r (a \tilde{c}, a \tilde{d})_m}{a^{m-r} (q, q^{r-1} abcd, a \tilde{c}, a \tilde{d})_r} \times {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{r-m}, ab \tilde{c} \tilde{d} q^{m+r-1}, acq^r, adq^r \\ abcdq^{2r}, a \tilde{c} q^r, a \tilde{d} q^r \end{matrix} ; q \right].$$

Here we use the basic hypergeometric function:

$${}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} ; z \right] = {}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} ; q, z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_k}{(b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_k} \left((-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2} \right)^{1+s-r} z^k.$$

Inserting

$$a = A\sqrt{t}, \quad b = B\sqrt{t}, \quad c = C/\sqrt{t}, \quad d = D/\sqrt{t}, \quad \tilde{c} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} \left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right), \quad \tilde{d} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} \left(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right)$$

into (3.5) we get

$$Q_m(y; x, t, s) = \sum_{r=0}^m b_{m,r} p_r(y; t), \quad (3.6)$$

where $b_{m,r} = t^{(m-r)/2} \bar{c}_{r,m}$. Coefficients $b_{m,r}$ do not depend on t as $t^{(m-r)/2}/a^{m-r} = A^{r-m}$, and t cancels out in all other entries on the right-hand side of $\bar{c}_{r,m}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} ab \tilde{c} \tilde{d} &= ABs, & abcd &= ABCD, & a \tilde{c} &= A\sqrt{s} \left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right), & ac &= AC, \\ & & & & a \tilde{d} &= A\sqrt{s} \left(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right), & ad &= AD. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $b_{m,m}(x, s) = (-1)^m q^{m(m+1)/2} \frac{(q^{-m}, q^{m-1} ABs)_m}{(q, q^{m-1} ABCD)_m}$ and thus it is nonzero and does not depend on x .

We note that $\tilde{c} \tilde{d} = 1$. By an induction using three-term recurrence (2.1) we get $Q_m(x; x, s, s) = 0$ for $m \geq 1$. Referring to (3.6) with $y = x$ and $t = s$ we get $\sum_{r=0}^m b_{m,r}(x, s) p_r(x; s) = 0$. Subtracting the latter from (3.6) concludes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove (3.2). We use induction with respect to m . The $m = 0$ case follows from (2.12). Suppose that (3.2) holds for some $m \geq 0$. In view of definitions (2.14) and (3.3), using the orthogonality (3.1), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{m+1}(y; x, t, s) P_{s,t}(x, dy) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

By the identity (3.4), $Q_{m+1}(y; x, t, s) = \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} b_{m+1,r}(x, s) (p_r(y; t) - p_r(x; s))$. We plug this into (3.7). Thus using the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= b_{m+1,m+1}(x, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p_{m+1}(y; t) - p_{m+1}(x; s)) P_{s,t}(x, dy) \\ &= b_{m+1,m+1}(x, s) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{m+1}(y; t) P_{s,t}(x, dy) - p_{m+1}(x; s) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_{m+1,m+1}(x, s) \neq 0$, we see that (3.2) holds for $m + 1$ case which ends the proof. \square

3.2. USW representation. A representation of the DEHP algebra for general parameters $q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ was introduced in [USW04], called the USW representation. We review a slightly different version from [BW17].

Assume $\alpha, \gamma > 0$, $\beta, \delta \in (-1, 0]$ and $q \in [0, 1)$. We recall that A, B, C, D are given by (1.2) and that $A, C \geq 0$ and $B, D \in (-1, 0]$. Additionally we assume that $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define $\alpha_m, \beta_m, \gamma_m, \delta_m, \varepsilon_m, \varphi_m$ in terms of (A, B, C, D, q) by the formulas given in [BW10, page 1243]:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_m &= -ABq^m\beta_m, \\ \beta_m &= \frac{1 - ABCDq^{m-1}}{\sqrt{1-q}(1 - ABCDq^{2m})(1 - ABCDq^{2m-1})}, \\ \varepsilon_m &= \frac{(1 - q^m)(1 - ACq^{m-1})(1 - ADq^{m-1})(1 - BCq^{m-1})(1 - BDq^{m-1})}{\sqrt{1-q}(1 - ABCDq^{2m-2})(1 - ABCDq^{2m-1})}, \\ \varphi_m &= -CDq^{m-1}\varepsilon_m, \\ \gamma_m &= \frac{A}{\sqrt{1-q}} - \frac{\alpha_m}{A}(1 - ACq^m)(1 - ADq^m) - \frac{A\varepsilon_m}{(1 - ACq^{m-1})(1 - ADq^{m-1})}, \\ \delta_m &= \frac{1}{A\sqrt{1-q}} - \frac{\beta_m}{A}(1 - ACq^m)(1 - ADq^m) - \frac{A\varphi_m}{(1 - ACq^{m-1})(1 - ADq^{m-1})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We note that γ_m and δ_m above are well-defined by the choices of ε_m and φ_m . These formulas are also well-defined for $q = 0$ and/or $A = 0$ by continuity.

Consider infinite tridiagonal matrices:

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{1-q}\mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-q}}\mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{1-q}\mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-q}}\mathbf{x},$$

where \mathbf{I} denotes the infinite identity matrix,

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_0 & \varepsilon_1 & 0 & \dots \\ \alpha_0 & \gamma_1 & \varepsilon_2 & \dots \\ 0 & \alpha_1 & \gamma_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_0 & \varphi_1 & 0 & \dots \\ \beta_0 & \delta_1 & \varphi_2 & \dots \\ 0 & \beta_1 & \delta_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

and infinite vectors

$$\langle W | = (1, 0, 0, \dots), \quad |V\rangle = (1, 0, 0, \dots)^T. \tag{3.9}$$

As proved in [BW17, Section 2.1], they satisfy conditions of the DEHP algebra (1.4) with parameters $(q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We define the polynomial Π_n by

$$\Pi_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (1 - q)^n \langle W | (\mathbf{E} + t_1 \mathbf{D}) \times \dots \times (\mathbf{E} + t_n \mathbf{D}) |V\rangle,$$

where $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}, \langle W |$ and $|V\rangle$ are specified above. By the matrices \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{E} being tridiagonal and the specific forms (3.9) of $\langle W |$ and $|V\rangle$, one could observe that Π_n is actually a polynomial in t_1, \dots, t_n with coefficients depending on A, B, C, D, q . As in [DEHP93] (see (1.5)), since $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}, \langle W |$ and $|V\rangle$ satisfy the DEHP algebra (1.4), the joint generating function of stationary measure can be written in terms of Π_n as (1.7) in Theorem 1.1. The denominator of (1.5) (and of (1.7)) being nonzero can be guaranteed by our assumption $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, following the arguments in [MS97, Appendix A] (see also [BS19, Remark 3]).

Next we will prove the characterization (1.8) of Π_n as integrations with Askey–Wilson signed measures. We take $I = I(A, B, C, D)$ as in Proposition 2.11. In the following we always assume $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ in I .

We introduce the row vector of polynomials

$$\langle \mathbf{p}_t(x) | := (p_0(x, t), p_1(x, t), \dots).$$

By Corollary 2.9 and Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_t(dx) \langle \mathbf{p}_t(x) | = \langle W |.$$

By Proposition 3.3, for $x \in U_s$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t}(x, dy) \langle \mathbf{p}_t(y) | = \langle \mathbf{p}_s(x) |.$$

Clearly, $\langle \mathbf{p}_t(x) |V\rangle = 1$. The three-term recurrence (2.1) can also be written in the vector form:

$$\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{1-q}} x \langle \mathbf{p}_t(x) | = \langle \mathbf{p}_t(x) | (t\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}), \tag{3.10}$$

whence we have

$$\langle \mathbf{p}_t(x) | \left((1+t)\mathbf{I} + \sqrt{1-q}(t\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \right) = (1+t+2\sqrt{t}x) \langle \mathbf{p}_t(x) |.$$

We remark that (3.10) coincides with [BW17, equation (1.16)] modulo the transformation $\langle \mathbf{p}_t(x) | = \left\langle \mathbf{r}_t \left(\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{1-q}}x \right) \right|$.

Using the above relations, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_{t_1}(\mathbf{d}x_1) \langle \mathbf{p}_{t_1}(x_1) | \prod_{i=1}^n \left((1+t_i)\mathbf{I} + \sqrt{1-q}(t_i\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \right) |V\rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_{t_1}(\mathbf{d}x_1) (1+t_1+2\sqrt{t_1}x_1) \langle \mathbf{p}_{t_1}(x_1) | \prod_{i=2}^n \left((1+t_i)\mathbf{I} + \sqrt{1-q}(t_i\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \right) |V\rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_{t_1}(\mathbf{d}x_1) (1+t_1+2\sqrt{t_1}x_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, \mathbf{d}x_2) \langle \mathbf{p}_{t_2}(x_2) | \prod_{i=2}^n \left((1+t_i)\mathbf{I} + \sqrt{1-q}(t_i\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \right) |V\rangle \\ &= \dots \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1+t_i+2\sqrt{t_i}x_i) \pi_{t_1}(\mathbf{d}x_1) P_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, \mathbf{d}x_2) \dots P_{t_{n-1}, t_n}(x_{n-1}, \mathbf{d}x_n) \langle \mathbf{p}_{t_n}(x_n) |V\rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1+t_i+2\sqrt{t_i}x_i) \pi_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(\mathbf{d}x_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}x_n), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

Remark 3.5. We remark that the *formal* Chapman–Kolmogorov equation continues to hold for the signed measures $P_{s,t}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$. It is not needed in this paper so we omit the details. Moreover, we point out that the Markov property of the Askey–Wilson processes (i.e. the Chapman–Kolmogorov equation) is *not* actually needed in the exploitation of this method in the fan region in [BW17, BW19, BW23].

4. LIMIT FLUCTUATIONS IN HIGH/LOW DENSITY PHASES: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.4. The proof has a similar structure as [BW19, Section 3] in the fan region, but since we now have Askey–Wilson signed measures, we will need a bound on their total variations given in Appendix A. We will first prove the result in the high density phase, and in the low density phase, it follows by the particle-hole duality.

4.1. Proof in the high density phase. In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.4 in the high density phase

$$A, C \geq 0, \quad B, D \in (-1, 0], \quad A > C, \quad A > 1. \quad (4.1)$$

We first adopt a method similar to [BW19, Section 3.1] to prove the limit fluctuations under a technical constraint. Then we extend the result to the whole high density phase adopting the ‘stochastic sandwiching’ argument from [CK24, Lemma 5.1].

4.1.1. Proof in a generic sub-region. In this step we prove Theorem 1.4 in a generic sub-region of the high density phase (4.1) specified by $A/C, ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

Using [BW19, Theorem A.1] (see earlier works [Far06, HJ94, MRS06]), one can reduce the proof of convergence in finite dimensional distribution to the convergence of the multi-point Laplace transform:

Proposition 4.1 (Theorem A.1 in [BW19]). *Let $\mathbf{X}^{(n)} = (X_1^{(n)}, \dots, X_d^{(n)})$ be a sequence of random variables with Laplace transform*

$$L_n(\mathbf{z}) = L_n(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i X_i^{(n)} \right).$$

Assume that on an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d Laplace transforms L_n are finite and converge point-wise to a function L . If on this open subset, L is the Laplace transform of a random variable $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_d)$, then $\mathbf{X}^{(n)}$ converges in distribution to \mathbf{Y} .

We first recall the Laplace transform of the Brownian motion. For $x_0 = 0 < x_1 < \dots < x_d = 1$ and variables $c_1, \dots, c_d > 0$, we denote $s_k = c_k + \dots + c_d$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. We have:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^d c_k \mathbb{B}(x_k) \right) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^d s_k (\mathbb{B}(x_k) - \mathbb{B}(x_{k-1})) \right) \right] = \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d s_k^2 (x_k - x_{k-1}) \right).$$

We consider the Laplace transform of centered height function $h_n^H(x)$ (given in (1.9)) with argument $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, where we denote $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$:

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{x},n}^H(\mathbf{c}) := \mathbb{E}_{\mu_n} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^d c_k h_n^H(x_k) \right) \right]. \quad (4.2)$$

By Proposition 4.1, it suffices to prove that, for all \mathbf{c} from an open subset of \mathbb{R}_+^d ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\mathbf{x},n}^H \left(\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \exp \left(\frac{A}{2(1+A)^2} \sum_{k=1}^d s_k^2 (x_k - x_{k-1}) \right). \quad (4.3)$$

We first write (4.2) explicitly, denoting $n_k := \lfloor nx_k \rfloor$ for $k = 0, \dots, d$ (note that $n_0 = 0$ and $n_d = n$):

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbf{x},n}^H(\mathbf{c}) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mu_n} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} \left(\tau_i - \frac{A}{1+A} \right) (c_k + \dots + c_d) \right) \right] \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{A}{1+A} \sum_{k=1}^d s_k (n_k - n_{k-1}) \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^d \prod_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} (e^{-s_k})^{\tau_i} \right] \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{A}{1+A} \sum_{k=1}^d s_k (n_k - n_{k-1}) \right) \frac{1}{Z_n} \Pi_n \left(\underbrace{e^{-s_1}, \dots, e^{-s_1}}_{n_1}, \underbrace{e^{-s_2}, \dots, e^{-s_2}}_{n_2 - n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{e^{-s_d}, \dots, e^{-s_d}}_{n_d - n_{d-1}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $Z_n = \Pi_n(1, \dots, 1)$ and in the last step we used (1.7) of Theorem 1.1.

To prove (4.3), we first express $\Phi_{\mathbf{x},n}^H(\mathbf{c}/\sqrt{n})$ as an integral. Assume (4.1) and $A/C, ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. We choose the open time interval I (containing 1) from Proposition 2.11. We write $s_{k,n} := s_k/\sqrt{n}$ and $t_{k,n} = e^{-s_{k,n}}$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. For sufficiently large n , we have $t_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq t_{d,n}$ in I . By (1.8) of Theorem 1.1, one can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbf{x},n}^H \left(\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) &= \exp \left(\frac{A}{1+A} \sum_{k=1}^d s_{n,k} (n_k - n_{k-1}) \right) \frac{1}{Z_n} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{k=1}^d (1 + t_{k,n} + 2\sqrt{t_{k,n}y_k})^{n_k - n_{k-1}} \pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(\mathbf{d}y_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}y_d). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

We have the following asymptotics of $Z_n = \Pi_n(1, \dots, 1)$:

Lemma 4.2. *Assume (4.1) and $A/C, ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Then*

$$Z_n \sim \frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{A^n} \mathfrak{p}_0, \quad (4.6)$$

where we write

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 := p_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(A, B, C, D) = \frac{(A^{-2}, BC, BD, CD)_{\infty}}{(B/A, C/A, D/A, ABCD)_{\infty}} > 0.$$

This result has been known before in the literature, for example in [USW04, BW19]. See Remark 5.2. We provide a proof for completeness.

Proof. We first introduce some general notations. We denote $y_0(t) := y_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(A\sqrt{t} + \frac{1}{A\sqrt{t}} \right)$. Since $A > C$ and $A > 1$, after possibly shrinking the open neighborhood I of 1, we have $A\sqrt{t} > \max \left(1, \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} \right)$ for $t \in I$. Therefore for all $t \in I$, $y_0(t)$ is the largest atom of U_t . Denote

$$y_1^*(t) := \max \left(1, \frac{1}{2} \left(Aq\sqrt{t} + \frac{1}{Aq\sqrt{t}} \right) \mathbb{1}_{Aq\sqrt{t} \geq 1}, \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{C} \right) \mathbb{1}_{C/\sqrt{t} \geq 1} \right).$$

then for all $t \in I$ we have $y_1^*(t) < y_0(t)$, and that π_t is supported on $U_t \subset \{y_0(t)\} \cup [-1, y_1^*(t)]$.

By Theorem 1.1, we can write $Z_n = 2^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+y)^n \pi_1(\mathbf{d}y)$. Note that the signed measure $\pi_1(\mathbf{d}y)$ is supported on $U_1 \subset \{y_0(1)\} \cup [-1, y_1^*(1)]$, and the largest atom $y_0(1)$ has mass \mathfrak{p}_0 . One can write:

$$\frac{Z_n}{2^n} = \int_{\{y_0(1)\}} (1+y)^n \pi_1(\mathbf{d}y) + \int_{-1}^{y_1^*(1)} (1+y)^n \pi_1(\mathbf{d}y)$$

The first term equals $(1 + y_0(1))^n \mathfrak{p}_0 = \frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{2^n A^n} \mathfrak{p}_0$. The second term is bounded above by $(1 + y_1^*(1))^n |\pi_1|$, which converges to 0 after divided by $(1 + y_0(1))^n$, since $y_0(1) > y_1^*(1)$. \square

Equation (4.3) (and hence the result under $A/C, ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$) now follows from the following:

Lemma 4.3. *Assume (4.1) and $A/C, ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Define*

$$M_n := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{k=1}^d \phi(s_{k,n}, y_k)^{n_k - n_{k-1}} \pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(\mathbf{dy}_1, \dots, \mathbf{dy}_d),$$

where

$$\phi(s, y) := \frac{1 + e^{-s} + 2e^{-s/2}y}{e^{-sA/(1+A)}} \frac{A}{(1+A)^2}.$$

Assume the conditions of Lemma 4.4, i.e. $s_k \neq 2s_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = \mathfrak{p}_0 \exp\left(\frac{A}{2(1+A)^2} \sum_{k=1}^d s_k^2 (x_k - x_{k-1})\right).$$

The proof of this lemma will require the following total variation bound of Askey–Wilson signed measures:

Lemma 4.4. *Assume $A, C \geq 0$, $B, D \in (-1, 0]$ and $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Assume $s_1 > \dots > s_d > 0$ satisfying $s_k \neq 2s_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Assume that $\theta > 0$. Denote $t_{k,n} := e^{-s_k/n^\theta}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$. Then there exists N and K depending only on A, B, C, D and s_1, \dots, s_d , such that for any $n \geq N$, the total variation of $\pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(\mathbf{dy}_1, \dots, \mathbf{dy}_d)$ is bounded above by $Kn^{2\theta d}$.*

The above result is a direct corollary of Proposition A.1 in Appendix A.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We first study the support of the signed measure $\pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}$. By Lemma 2.15, it is supported in

$$\{(y_0(t_{1,n}), \dots, y_0(t_{d,n}))\} \cup [-1, y_1^*(t_{1,n})] \times [-1, y_0(t_{2,n})] \times \dots \times [-1, y_0(t_{d,n})].$$

Since this is the union of two disjoint sets, one can split the integral M_n into two parts $M_n = M_n^1 + M_n^2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^1 &= \int_{\{(y_0(t_{1,n}), \dots, y_0(t_{d,n}))\}} \prod_{k=1}^d \phi(s_{k,n}, y_k)^{n_k - n_{k-1}} \pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(\mathbf{dy}_1, \dots, \mathbf{dy}_d) \\ &= p_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(t_{1,n}) \prod_{k=1}^d \phi(s_{k,n}, y_0(t_{k,n}))^{n_k - n_{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

here we used the fact that the mass of the atom $(y_0(t_{1,n}), \dots, y_0(t_{d,n})) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is

$$p_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(t_{1,n}) = p_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(A\sqrt{t_{1,n}}, B\sqrt{t_{1,n}}, C/\sqrt{t_{1,n}}, D/\sqrt{t_{1,n}}),$$

and

$$M_n^2 = \int_{-1}^{y_1^*(t_{1,n})} \int_{-1}^{y_0(t_{2,n})} \dots \int_{-1}^{y_0(t_{d,n})} \prod_{k=1}^d \phi(s_{k,n}, y_k)^{n_k - n_{k-1}} \pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(\mathbf{dy}_1, \dots, \mathbf{dy}_d).$$

As we will see below, the dominating term will come from M_n^1 .

We first compute the asymptotics of M_n^1 . As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $p_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(t_{1,n}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_0 > 0$. As $s \rightarrow 0$,

$$\phi(s, y_0(e^{-s})) = \frac{1}{1+A} e^{sA/(1+A)} + \frac{A}{1+A} e^{-s/(1+A)} = 1 + \frac{As^2}{2(1+A)^2} + o(s^2).$$

Hence, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M_n^1 = p_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(t_{1,n}) \prod_{k=1}^d \phi(s_{k,n}, y_0(t_{k,n}))^{n_k - n_{k-1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_0 \exp\left(\frac{A}{2(1+A)^2} \sum_{k=1}^d s_k^2 (x_k - x_{k-1})\right).$$

By Lemma 4.4, the total variation of $\pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}$ can be bounded by Kn^d , hence M_n^2 is bounded by

$$M_n^2 \leq Kn^d \phi(s_{1,n}, y_1^*(t_{1,n}))^{n_1} \prod_{k=2}^d \phi(s_{k,n}, y_0(t_{k,n}))^{n_k - n_{k-1}}.$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $y_1^*(t_{1,n}) \rightarrow y_1^*(1)$ and $y_0(t_{1,n}) \rightarrow y_0(1)$. Note that $1 \leq y_1^*(1) < y_0(1)$ and $\phi(s, y)$ is strictly increasing in $y > 0$, hence

$$\frac{M_n^2}{M_n^1} \leq \frac{Kn^d}{p_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t_{1,n})} \left(\frac{\phi(s_{1,n}, y_1^*(t_{1,n}))}{\phi(s_{1,n}, y_0(t_{1,n}))} \right)^{n_1} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus the proof is concluded. \square

4.1.2. Stochastic sandwiching and extension. We have already proved Theorem 1.4 in the generic sub-region $A/C, ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ of high density phase (4.1). We now adopt the ‘stochastic sandwiching’ argument from [CK24] to extend equation (4.3) (and hence the result) to the whole high density phase. We begin by recording a special case of [CK24, Lemma 4.1]:

Lemma 4.5. *Fix $q \in [0, 1)$, and consider non-negative real numbers*

$$\alpha^{(1)} \leq \alpha^{(2)}, \quad \beta^{(1)} \geq \beta^{(2)}, \quad \gamma^{(1)} \geq \gamma^{(2)}, \quad \delta^{(1)} \leq \delta^{(2)}.$$

Denote by $\tau_i^{(j)}$ the occupation variable of the i -th site under the stationary measure $\mu_n^{(j)}$ of the n -site open ASEP with rates $(q, \alpha^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}, \gamma^{(j)}, \delta^{(j)})$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Then there exists a coupling $\mu_n^{(1,2)}$ of the two stationary measures $\mu_n^{(1)}$ and $\mu_n^{(2)}$ such that $\mu_n^{(1,2)}$ almost surely $\tau_i^{(1)} \leq \tau_i^{(2)}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Fix $q \in [0, 1)$. Suppose the set of parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is in the high density phase (i.e. the corresponding A, B, C, D satisfy $A > C$ and $A > 1$). One can choose a sequence $(\alpha^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}, \gamma^{(j)}, \delta^{(j)})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_+$ satisfying:

- (i) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}, \gamma^{(j)}, \delta^{(j)}) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$.
- (ii) $\alpha \leq \alpha^{(j)}$, $\beta \geq \beta^{(j)}$, $\gamma \leq \gamma^{(j)}$, $\delta \geq \delta^{(j)}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_+$.
- (iii) For $j \in \mathbb{N}_+$ the corresponding $(A^{(j)}, B^{(j)}, C^{(j)}, D^{(j)})$ (under map (1.2)) belong to the high density phase (4.1) and satisfy $A^{(j)}/C^{(j)}, A^{(j)}B^{(j)}C^{(j)}D^{(j)} \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

Such a sequence exists since (1.2) is a bijection between (1.1) and (1.3), and that $A^{(j)}B^{(j)}C^{(j)}D^{(j)} = \gamma^{(j)}\delta^{(j)}/\alpha^{(j)}\beta^{(j)}$. Consider the n -site open ASEP with parameters $(q, \alpha^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}, \gamma^{(j)}, \delta^{(j)})$, with occupation variables $\tau_i^{(j)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and height function $h_n^{\mathbf{H},(j)}(x)$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ (defined analogously by (1.9)). By Lemma 4.5, there exists a coupling satisfying $\tau_i \leq \tau_i^{(j)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, hence $h_n^{\mathbf{H}}(x) \leq h_n^{\mathbf{H},(j)}(x)$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, for fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, one has $\Phi_{\mathbf{x},n}^{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{c}) \geq \Phi_{\mathbf{x},n}^{\mathbf{H},(j)}(\mathbf{c})$. Using (4.3) for the open ASEP with $(q, \alpha^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}, \gamma^{(j)}, \delta^{(j)})$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\mathbf{x},n}^{\mathbf{H}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\mathbf{x},n}^{\mathbf{H},(j)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \exp \left(\frac{A^{(j)}}{2(1+A^{(j)})^2} \sum_{k=1}^d s_k^2(x_k - x_{k-1}) \right),$$

for \mathbf{c} from the open subset of \mathbb{R}_+^d specified by Lemma 4.4, i.e. $s_k \neq 2s_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, where we recall that $s_k = c_k + \dots + c_d$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Taking $j \rightarrow \infty$, we get (4.3) for the open ASEP with $(q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ with \geq instead of $=$. Using exactly the same arguments one can get the reversed inequality. We conclude that (4.3) holds for \mathbf{c} from the same open subset of \mathbb{R}_+^d . Thus the proof is concluded.

4.2. Proof on the low density phase. The result for the low density phase follows immediately from result for the high density phase, by exactly the same argument as [BW19, Section 3.2] using the particle-hole duality, which we also explain here for completeness. Consider the n -site open ASEP with parameters $(q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. Instead of thinking of particles jumping around, one can view the particles as background and consider the holes as jumping around. In this way, equivalently a hole jumps to the left and right sites with rates 1 and q , and removed at site 1 with rate α and at site n with rate δ , and enters site n with rate β and site 1 with rate γ , any move is prohibited if the target site is already occupied (i.e. is a hole). This is exactly the n -site open ASEP with parameters $(q, \beta, \alpha, \delta, \gamma)$ if we relabel the sites $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by $\{n, \dots, 1\}$.

Let $\mu_n^{(A,B,C,D)}$ denote the stationary measure of n -site open ASEP with parameters $(q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. Let τ_1, \dots, τ_n be occupation variables, and set $\varepsilon_i := 1 - \tau_{n-i+1}$. Denote

$$\widehat{h}_n^{\mathbf{L}}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \left(\varepsilon_i - \frac{C}{1+C} \right).$$

The above particle-hole duality shows that $\{\widehat{h}_n^{\mathbf{L}}(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]}$ under $\mu_n^{(A,B,C,D)}$ has the same law as $\{h_n^{\mathbf{H}}(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]}$ under $\mu_n^{(C,D,A,B)}$. Therefore, Theorem 1.4 in the high density phase shows

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \{\widehat{h}_n^{\mathbf{L}}(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]} \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \frac{\sqrt{C}}{1+C} \{\mathbb{B}(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]},$$

from which one can easily show, see page 1280 in [BW19], that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \{h_n^L(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]} \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \frac{\sqrt{C}}{1+C} \{\mathbb{B}(x)\}_{x \in [0,1]},$$

which concludes the proof.

5. DENSITY PROFILE ON THE COEXISTENCE LINE: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

In this section we prove Theorem 1.6. We will always assume:

$$A, C \geq 0, \quad B, D \in (-1, 0], \quad A = C > 1, \quad ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \quad (5.1)$$

We first compute the Laplace transform of the process $\eta^A(x)$ given by (1.13):

$$\eta^A(x) := \frac{Ax + (1-A)(x \wedge U)}{1+A},$$

where $U \sim U(0, 1)$. For $x_0 = 0 < x_1 < \dots < x_d = 1$ and variables $c_1, \dots, c_d > 0$, we denote $s_k = c_k + \dots + c_d$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. For convenience we also denote $s_{d+1} = 0$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^d c_k \eta^A(x_k) \right) \right] &= \sum_{l=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^d c_k \eta^A(x_k) \right) \mathbb{1}_{U \in [x_{l-1}, x_l]} \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} c_k \frac{x_k}{1+A} - \sum_{k=l}^d c_k \frac{Ax_k + (1-A)U}{1+A} \right) \mathbb{1}_{U \in [x_{l-1}, x_l]} \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^d e^{-\frac{1}{A+1} (\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} c_k x_k + A \sum_{k=l}^d c_k x_k)} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{A-1}{A+1} s_l U} \mathbb{1}_{U \in [x_{l-1}, x_l]} \right] \\ &= \frac{A+1}{A-1} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{s_l} e^{-\frac{1}{A+1} (\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} c_k x_k + A \sum_{k=l}^d c_k x_k)} \left(e^{\frac{A-1}{A+1} s_l x_l} - e^{\frac{A-1}{A+1} s_l x_{l-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We consider the Laplace transform of height function $h_n(x)$ with argument $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, denoting $n_k := \lfloor nx_k \rfloor$ for $k = 0, \dots, d$ (note that $n_0 = 0$ and $n_d = n$):

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathbf{x}, n}^{\text{CL}}(\mathbf{c}) &:= \mathbb{E}_{\mu_n} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^d c_k h_n(x_k) \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^d \prod_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} (e^{-s_k})^{\tau_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_n} \Pi_n \left(\underbrace{e^{-s_1}, \dots, e^{-s_1}}_{n_1}, \underbrace{e^{-s_2}, \dots, e^{-s_2}}_{n_2 - n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{e^{-s_d}, \dots, e^{-s_d}}_{n_d - n_{d-1}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where in the last step we used (1.7) of Theorem 1.1 with $Z_n = \Pi_n(1, \dots, 1)$.

By Proposition 4.1, it suffices to prove that for all \mathbf{c} from an open subset of \mathbb{R}_+^d ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{\mathbf{x}, n}^{\text{CL}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{c}}{n} \right) = \frac{A+1}{A-1} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{s_l} e^{-\frac{1}{A+1} (\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} c_k x_k + A \sum_{k=l}^d c_k x_k)} \left(e^{\frac{A-1}{A+1} s_l x_l} - e^{\frac{A-1}{A+1} s_l x_{l-1}} \right). \quad (5.3)$$

To prove (5.3), we first express $\Psi_{\mathbf{x}, n}^{\text{CL}}(\mathbf{c}/n)$ as an integral. We choose the open time interval $I = (1 - \varepsilon, 1)$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$, from Proposition 2.11. *Different* from the notations in Section 4, we now write $s_{k,n} := s_k/n$ and $t_{k,n} = e^{-s_{k,n}}$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. For sufficiently large n , we have $t_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq t_{d,n}$ in I . By (1.8) of Theorem 1.1, one can write:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{x}, n}^{\text{CL}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{c}}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{k=1}^d (1 + t_{k,n} + 2\sqrt{t_{k,n} y_k})^{n_k - n_{k-1}} \pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(dy_1, \dots, dy_d). \quad (5.4)$$

We now have the following asymptotics of $Z_n = \Pi_n(1, \dots, 1)$:

Lemma 5.1. *Assume (5.1). Then*

$$Z_n \sim \mathbf{c}_0 \frac{A-1}{A+1} n \frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{A^n}, \quad (5.5)$$

where we write

$$\mathbf{c}_0 := \frac{(A^{-2}, AB, BD, AD)_\infty}{(B/A, q, D/A, A^2 BD)_\infty}.$$

The proof of this lemma is a little technical and is deferred to the end of this section.

Remark 5.2. The quantity $\frac{Z_n}{(1-q)^n} = \langle W | (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{D})^n | V \rangle$ is called the partition function in the physics literature. The asymptotics of the partition function have been known on many parts of the phase diagram, see [BW19, Remark 4.6] for a survey. Early results for some special parameters include [DEHP93, (52), (53) and (55)] and [BECE00, (56)]. For general parameters, [USW04, (6.6) and (6.9)] obtained the asymptotics on $A > 1$, $A > C$ and $A, C < 1$. In the mathematical work [BW19, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.5], the asymptotics of partition function are obtained everywhere in the fan region $AC < 1$. We do not find general result on the asymptotics of Z_n on the coexistence line $A = C > 1$ (i.e. Lemma 5.1) in the literature.

Equation (5.3) (and hence the result) now follows from the following:

Lemma 5.3. *Assume (5.1). Define:*

$$H_n := \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{r=1}^d \psi(s_{r,n}, y_r)^{n_r - n_{r-1}} \pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(\mathbf{d}y_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}y_d),$$

where

$$\psi(s, y) := \left(1 + e^{-s} + 2e^{-s/2}y\right) \frac{A}{(1+A)^2}.$$

We recall that $s_k = c_k + \dots + c_d$, $s_{k,n} = s_k/n$ and $t_{k,n} = e^{-s_{k,n}}$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Assume the conditions of Lemma 4.4, i.e. $s_k \neq 2s_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n = \mathbf{c}_0 \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{s_l} e^{-\frac{1}{A+1}(\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} c_k x_k + A \sum_{k=l}^d c_k x_k)} \left(e^{\frac{A-1}{A+1} s_l x_l} - e^{\frac{A-1}{A+1} s_l x_{l-1}} \right). \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. We denote $y_0^c(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{A} \right)$ and $y_0^a(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left(A\sqrt{t} + \frac{1}{A\sqrt{t}} \right)$. Since $A > 1$, after possibly making $\varepsilon > 0$ smaller (hence shrinking the interval $I = (1 - \varepsilon, 1)$), we have $\frac{A}{\sqrt{t}} > A\sqrt{t} > 1$ for $t \in I$. Therefore for all $t \in I$, $y_0^c(t)$ and $y_0^a(t)$ are the largest and second largest atoms of U_t . Denote

$$y_1^*(t) := \max \left(1, \frac{1}{2} \left(Aq\sqrt{t} + \frac{1}{Aq\sqrt{t}} \right) \mathbb{1}_{Aq\sqrt{t} \geq 1}, \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Aq}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{Aq} \right) \mathbb{1}_{Aq/\sqrt{t} \geq 1} \right).$$

For all $t \in I$, we have $y_1^*(t) < y_0^a(t) < y_0^c(t)$, and that π_t is supported on $U_t \subset \{y_0^a(t), y_0^c(t)\} \cup [-1, y_1^*(t)]$.

We study the support of the multi-dimensional Askey–Wilson signed measure $\pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}$. By Lemma 2.15, it is supported in $\{B^{0,n}, \dots, B^{d,n}\} \cup V^{1,n} \cup \dots \cup V^{d,n}$, where for $0 \leq l \leq d$, $B^{l,n}$ are points in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$B^{l,n} := \left(\underbrace{y_0^c(t_{1,n}), \dots, y_0^c(t_{l,n})}_{l}, y_0^a(t_{l+1,n}), \dots, y_0^a(t_{d,n}) \right),$$

and for $1 \leq l \leq d$, $V^{l,n}$ are compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^d :

$$V^{l,n} := \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \{y_0^a(t_{i,n}), y_0^c(t_{i,n})\} \times [-1, y_1^*(t_{l,n})] \times \prod_{i=l+1}^d [-1, y_0^a(t_{i,n})].$$

Since the support of $\pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}$ is the union of $2d + 1$ disjoint sets $\{B^{0,n}\}, \dots, \{B^{d,n}\}$ and $V^{1,n}, \dots, V^{d,n}$, we will split the integral H_n into $2d + 1$ parts

$$H_n = \sum_{l=0}^d H_{l,n}^1 + \sum_{l=1}^d H_{l,n}^2. \quad (5.7)$$

Specifically, we define for $0 \leq l \leq d$,

$$H_{l,n}^1 := \frac{1}{n} \int_{\{B^{l,n}\}} \prod_{r=1}^d \psi(s_{r,n}, y_r)^{n_r - n_{r-1}} \pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(\mathbf{d}y_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}y_d), \quad (5.8)$$

and for $1 \leq l \leq d$,

$$H_{l,n}^2 := \frac{1}{n} \int_{V^{l,n}} \prod_{r=1}^d \psi(s_{r,n}, y_r)^{n_r - n_{r-1}} \pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(\mathbf{d}y_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}y_d). \quad (5.9)$$

As we will see below, the dominating term will come from $\sum_{l=0}^d H_{l,n}^1$.

Step 1. We first study the limit of $H_{l,n}^1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $0 \leq l \leq d$. In view of (5.8), one can write

$$H_{0,n}^1 = \frac{1}{n} p_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t_{1,n}) \prod_{r=1}^d \psi(s_{r,n}, y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t_{r,n}))^{n_r - n_{r-1}}, \quad (5.10)$$

and for $l \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{l,n}^1 &= \frac{1}{n} p_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t_{1,n}) \prod_{r=2}^l P^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}}(t_{r-1,n}, t_{r,n}) P^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{a}}(t_{l,n}, t_{l+1,n}) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{r=1}^l \psi(s_{r,n}, y_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t_{r,n}))^{n_r - n_{r-1}} \prod_{r=l+1}^d \psi(s_{r,n}, y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t_{r,n}))^{n_r - n_{r-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

where we use the shorthand $p_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t) := p(y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t))$, $p_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t) := p(y_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t))$ and

$$P^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}}(t, t') := P_{t,t'}(y_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t), \{y_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t')\}), \quad P^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{a}}(t, t') := P_{t,t'}(y_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t), \{y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t')\}),$$

for any $t < t'$ in I , where we recall that $P_{t,t'}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ is defined in (2.14).

For any $s > s'$, we denote $s_n := s/n$, $s'_n := s'/n$, $t_n := e^{-s_n}$ and $t'_n := e^{-s'_n}$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t_n) &= \frac{(1/(A^2 t_n), AB, BD, AD/t_n)_\infty}{(B/A, 1/t_n, D/(A t_n), A^2 BD)_\infty} \sim -\mathfrak{c}_0 \frac{n}{s}, \\ p_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t_n) &= \frac{(t_n/A^2, AB t_n, BD, AD)_\infty}{(B t_n/A, t_n, D/A, A^2 BD)_\infty} \sim \mathfrak{c}_0 \frac{n}{s}, \\ P^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}}(t_n, t'_n) &= \frac{(t'_n/A^2, AB t'_n, B t_n/A, t_n)_\infty}{(B t'_n/A, t'_n, t_n/A^2, AB t_n)_\infty} \sim \frac{(t_n)_\infty}{(t'_n)_\infty} \sim \frac{s}{s'}, \\ P^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{a}}(t_n, t'_n) &= \frac{(1/(A^2 t'_n), AB, B t_n/A, t_n/t'_n)_\infty}{(B/A, 1/t'_n, t_n/(A^2 t'_n), AB t_n)_\infty} \sim \frac{(t_n/t'_n)_\infty}{(1/t'_n)_\infty} \sim \frac{s' - s}{s'}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the Taylor expansion of e^{-x} for $x = s/n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s_n, y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t_n)) &= \frac{1 + A t_n}{1 + A} = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \frac{sA}{1 + A} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \\ \psi(s_n, y_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t_n)) &= \frac{t_n + A}{1 + A} = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \frac{s}{1 + A} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting them into (5.10) and (5.11), we get, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{0,n}^1 &\sim \mathfrak{c}_0 \left(-\frac{1}{s_1}\right) \prod_{r=1}^d \exp\left(-\frac{s_r A \Delta x_r}{1 + A}\right), \\ H_{l,n}^1 &\sim \mathfrak{c}_0 \left(\frac{1}{s_l} - \frac{1}{s_{l+1}}\right) \prod_{r=1}^l \exp\left(-\frac{s_r \Delta x_r}{1 + A}\right) \prod_{r=l+1}^d \exp\left(-\frac{s_r A \Delta x_r}{1 + A}\right), \quad 1 \leq l \leq d-1, \\ H_{d,n}^1 &\sim \mathfrak{c}_0 \frac{1}{s_d} \prod_{r=1}^d \exp\left(-\frac{s_r \Delta x_r}{1 + A}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we denote $\Delta x_r = x_r - x_{r-1}$ for $1 \leq r \leq d$. Summing them up, by telescoping,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=0}^d H_{l,n}^1 &= \mathfrak{c}_0 \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{s_l} \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{s_k \Delta x_k}{1 + A} - \sum_{k=l+1}^d \frac{s_k A \Delta x_k}{1 + A}\right) \left(\exp\left(-\frac{s_l \Delta x_l}{1 + A}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{s_l A \Delta x_l}{1 + A}\right)\right) \\ &= \mathfrak{c}_0 \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{s_l} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{A+1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} c_k x_k + A \sum_{k=l}^d c_k x_k\right)\right) \left(\exp\left(\frac{A-1}{A+1} s_l x_l\right) - \exp\left(\frac{A-1}{A+1} s_l x_{l-1}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Step 2. We next study the limit of $H_{l,n}^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $1 \leq l \leq d$. Using Lemma 4.4 for $\theta = 1$, we see that the total variation of signed measure $\pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}$ is bounded above by Kn^{2d} . Therefore, in view of the fact that $\psi(s, y)$ is increasing in y ,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{l,n}^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{V^{l,n}} \prod_{r=1}^d \psi(s_{r,n}, y_r)^{n_r - n_{r-1}} \pi_{t_{1,n}, \dots, t_{d,n}}(dy_1, \dots, dy_d) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} (Kn^{2d}) \prod_{r=1}^{l-1} \psi(s_{r,n}, y_0^c(t_{r,n}))^{n_r - n_{r-1}} \psi(s_{l,n}, y_1^*(t_{l,n}))^{n_l - n_{l-1}} \prod_{r=l+1}^d \psi(s_{r,n}, y_0^c(t_{r,n}))^{n_r - n_{r-1}} \\ &\leq Kn^{2d-1} \psi(s_{l,n}, y_1^*(t_{l,n}))^{n_l - n_{l-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

where we have used $\psi(s_{r,n}, y_0^c(t_{r,n})) = \frac{t_{r,n} + A}{1 + A} < 1$ for all $1 \leq r \leq d$. Observe that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(s_{l,n}, y_1^*(t_{l,n})) = \frac{A}{(1+A)^2} \max\left(4, \frac{(1+AQ)^2}{AQ} \mathbf{1}_{AQ \geq 1}\right) < 1.$$

Note that the difference $n_l - n_{l-1}$ is of order n . In view of (5.13), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{l,n}^2 = 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq d$.

Summary of the proof. In view of (5.7), by (5.12) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{l,n}^2 = 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq d$, we conclude the proof of equation (5.6). This finishes the proof of Lemma 5.3 and hence also the proof of Theorem 1.6. \square

Finally, we give the deferred proof of Lemma 5.1 giving asymptotics of partition function $Z_n = \Pi_n(1, \dots, 1)$.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Note that the Askey–Wilson signed measure $\pi_t(dx)$ is defined for $t \in I = (1 - \varepsilon, 1)$. We define $Z_n(t) := \Pi_n(t, \dots, t)$, which is a polynomial in t . We have $Z_n = Z_n(1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} Z_n(t)$, and for $t \in I$,

$$Z_n(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{tx}\right)^n \pi_t(dx). \quad (5.14)$$

Recall that $A > 1$. Assume m is the largest integer such that $Aq^m \geq 1$. If $A > q^{-m}$, then for $t \rightarrow 1^-$, U_t has atoms $y_j^c(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Aq^j}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{Aq^j} \right)$ and $y_j^a(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left(Aq^j \sqrt{t} + \frac{1}{Aq^j \sqrt{t}} \right)$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$. If $A = q^{-m}$, then for $t \rightarrow 1^-$, U_t has atoms $y_j^c(t)$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$ and $y_j^a(t)$ for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. The atom masses are

$$p_j^c(t) = \frac{(t/A^2, ABt, BD, AD)_\infty}{(Bt/A, t, D/A, A^2BD)_\infty} \frac{q^j (1 - A^2 q^{2j}/t) (A^2/t, AB, A^2, AD/t)_j}{(q)_j (1 - A^2/t) (A^2/t)^j \prod_{l=1}^j ((Bt/A - q^l)(t - q^l)(D/A - q^l))}, \quad (5.15)$$

$$p_j^a(t) = \frac{(1/(A^2t), AB, BD, AD/t)_\infty}{(B/A, 1/t, D/(At), A^2BD)_\infty} \frac{q^j (1 - A^2 q^{2j}t) (A^2t, ABt, A^2, AD)_j}{(q)_j (1 - A^2t) (A^2t)^{2j} \prod_{l=1}^j ((B/A - q^l)(1/t - q^l)(D/(At) - q^l))}. \quad (5.16)$$

The equations above give finite numbers since the Askey–Wilson signed measures are well-defined.

We now analyze the limits of the atoms as $t \rightarrow 1^-$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if the atoms corresponding to $y_j^c(t)$ and $y_j^a(t)$ both exist, then their positions converge to the same limit $y_j(1) := \frac{1}{2} \left(Aq^j + \frac{1}{Aq^j} \right)$. We next consider the limits as $t \rightarrow 1^-$ for their masses $\{p_j^c(t), p_j^a(t)\}$ given by (5.15) and (5.16) above. We observe that the numerators converge to the same nonzero finite value. In the denominators, except for the term $1 - t$ coming from $(t)_\infty$ in $p_j^c(t)$ and the term $1 - 1/t$ coming from $(1/t)_\infty$ in $p_j^a(t)$, all other terms converge to nonzero finite values, and the limits of terms in $p_j^c(t)$ are equal to their counterparts in $p_j^a(t)$. In summary, we conclude that as $t \rightarrow 1^-$, one of the masses in $\{p_j^c(t), p_j^a(t)\}$ approaches $+\infty$ while the other approaches $-\infty$, and both of them approach $\pm\infty$ as constant times $\frac{1}{1-t}$. In the special case $A = q^{-m}$, the atom corresponding to $y_m^c(t)$ exists, but the atom corresponding to $y_m^a(t)$ does not exist. By a similar observation, $p_m^c(t)$ approaches a finite constant as $t \rightarrow 1^-$.

One can write $Z_n(t) = Z_{n,\text{cont}}(t) + \sum_{j=0}^m Z_{n,j}(t)$, where

$$Z_{n,j}(t) = \int_{\{y_j^c(t), y_j^a(t)\}} \left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{tx}\right)^n \pi_t(dx), \quad Z_{n,\text{cont}}(t) = \int_{-1}^1 \left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{tx}\right)^n \pi_t(dx).$$

In the special case $A = q^{-m}$ and $j = m$, the atom corresponding to $y_m^a(t)$ does not exist, and $Z_{n,m}(t)$ is the integral on the single atom $\{y_m^c(t)\}$.

We will show below that as $t \rightarrow 1^-$, $Z_{n,\text{cont}}(t)$ and $Z_{n,j}(t)$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$ converge to finite numbers, which we denote by $Z_{n,\text{cont}}$ and $Z_{n,j}$. Therefore we have

$$Z_n = Z_{n,\text{cont}} + \sum_{j=0}^m Z_{n,j}. \quad (5.17)$$

Step 1. We first study $Z_{n,0}$. For $t \in I$, one can write:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{n,0}(t) &= \left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{t}y_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t)\right)^n p_0^{\mathbf{a}}(t) + \left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{t}y_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right)^n p_0^{\mathbf{c}}(t) \\ &= (1+A)^n \left(t + \frac{1}{A}\right)^n \frac{\left(\frac{1}{A^2t}, AB, BD, \frac{AD}{t}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\frac{B}{A}, \frac{q}{t}, \frac{D}{At}, A^2BD\right)_{\infty}} \frac{t}{t-1} + (t+A)^n \frac{(1+A)^n \left(\frac{t}{A^2}, ABt, BD, AD\right)_{\infty}}{A^n \left(\frac{Bt}{A}, qt, \frac{D}{A}, A^2BD\right)_{\infty}} \frac{1}{1-t} \\ &= \frac{(1+A)^n}{A^n} \frac{1}{t-1} \left[(At+1)^n t \frac{\left(\frac{1}{A^2t}, AB, BD, \frac{AD}{t}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\frac{B}{A}, \frac{q}{t}, \frac{D}{At}, A^2BD\right)_{\infty}} - (t+A)^n \frac{\left(\frac{t}{A^2}, ABt, BD, AD\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\frac{Bt}{A}, qt, \frac{D}{A}, A^2BD\right)_{\infty}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the L'Hospital rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{n,0} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} Z_{n,0}(t) = \frac{(1+A)^n}{A^n} (1+A)^n \mathbf{c}_0 \left[\frac{nA}{A+1} + 1 - \frac{n}{A+1} + \partial_{t=1} \log \left(\frac{1}{A^2t} \right)_{\infty} + \partial_{t=1} \log \left(\frac{AD}{t} \right)_{\infty} \right. \\ &\quad + \partial_{t=1} \log \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{q}{t}\right)_{\infty}} \right) + \partial_{t=1} \log \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{D}{At}\right)_{\infty}} \right) - \partial_{t=1} \log \left(\frac{t}{A^2} \right)_{\infty} - \partial_{t=1} \log (ABt)_{\infty} \\ &\quad \left. - \partial_{t=1} \log \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{Bt}{A}\right)_{\infty}} \right) - \partial_{t=1} \log \left(\frac{1}{(qt)_{\infty}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$Z_{n,0} \sim \mathbf{c}_0 \frac{A-1}{A+1} n \frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{A^n},$$

where we recall that

$$\mathbf{c}_0 := \frac{(A^{-2}, AB, BD, AD)_{\infty}}{(B/A, q, D/A, A^2BD)_{\infty}}.$$

Step 2. Next, for $j \geq 1$, we study $Z_{n,j}$. Recall that $y_j(1) := y_j^{\mathbf{c}}(1) = y_j^{\mathbf{a}}(1) = \frac{1}{2} \left(Aq^j + \frac{1}{Aq^j} \right)$.

We first take care of the special case $A = q^{-m}$ and $j = m$. We have $Z_{n,m}(t) = \left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{t}y_m^{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right)^n p_m^{\mathbf{c}}(t)$. As $t \rightarrow 1^-$, $p_m^{\mathbf{c}}(t)$ approaches a finite constant, hence

$$Z_{n,j} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} Z_{n,m}(t) = (2 + 2y_m(1))^n \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} p_m^{\mathbf{c}}(t),$$

which, after divided by $\frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{A^n} n = (2 + 2y_0(1))^n n$, converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In other cases, the atoms corresponding to $y_j^{\mathbf{c}}(t)$ and $y_j^{\mathbf{a}}(t)$ both exist, and

$$Z_{n,j}(t) = \left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{t}y_j^{\mathbf{a}}(t)\right)^n p_j^{\mathbf{a}}(t) + \left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{t}y_j^{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right)^n p_j^{\mathbf{c}}(t).$$

One can observe that, both $p_j^{\mathbf{a}}(t)(1-t)$ and $p_j^{\mathbf{c}}(t)(1-t)$ can be extended to analytic functions for t in a small neighborhood around 1. By L'Hospital rule, one can take the limit:

$$Z_{n,j} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} Z_{n,j}(t) = -\partial_{t=1} \left(\left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{t}y_j^{\mathbf{a}}(t)\right)^n p_j^{\mathbf{a}}(t)(1-t) + \left(1 + t + 2\sqrt{t}y_j^{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right)^n p_j^{\mathbf{c}}(t)(1-t) \right).$$

Therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$Z_{n,j} \sim \text{Const} \times (2 + 2y_j(1))^n n,$$

which, after divided by $\frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{A^n} n = (2 + 2y_0(1))^n n$, converges to 0 since $y_0(1) > y_j(1)$ for $j \geq 1$ and such that $Aq^j \geq 1$.

Step 3. Last, we study $Z_{n,\text{cont}}$. The continuous density (2.5) equals

$$f(t; x) := \frac{(q, ABt, A^2, AD, AB, BD, AD/t)_{\infty}}{2\pi(A^2BD)_{\infty}\sqrt{1-x^2}} \left| \frac{(e^{2i\theta})_{\infty}}{(A\sqrt{t}e^{i\theta}, B\sqrt{t}e^{i\theta}, Ae^{i\theta}/\sqrt{t}, De^{i\theta}/\sqrt{t})_{\infty}} \right|^2 \mathbb{1}_{|x|<1}.$$

If $A = q^{-r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the continuous density always equals 0 due to the term $(A^2)_{\infty}$ in the numerator, hence $Z_{n,\text{cont}}(t) = 0$. In other cases, for t in a small interval around 1, since the linear terms in the denominator are uniformly bounded away from 0, one can bound $|f(t, x)|$ above by a finite uniform constant.

Hence $Z_{n,\text{cont}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} Z_{n,\text{cont}}(t) \leq \text{Const} \times 4^n$, which, after divided by $\frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{A^n} n > 4^n n$, converges to 0.

Summary of the proof. In Steps 1-3, we have proved that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $Z_{n,0} \sim \frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{A^n} n \frac{A-1}{A+1} \mathbf{c}_0$, and both $Z_{n,j}$ for $j \geq 1$ and $Z_{n,\text{cont}}$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, after divided by $\frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{A^n} n$. Hence, by (5.17), we have $Z_n \sim \frac{(1+A)^{2n}}{A^n} n \frac{A-1}{A+1} \mathbf{c}_0$. \square

APPENDIX A. TOTAL VARIATION BOUNDS OF ASKEY–WILSON SIGNED MEASURES

In this appendix we prove a technical total variation bound for the Askey–Wilson signed measures. Although we state and prove the results for general $\theta > 0$, we will only need the case $\theta = 1/2$ in Section 4 and $\theta = 1$ in Section 5.

Proposition A.1. *Assume $A, C \geq 0$, $B, D \in (-1, 0]$ and $ABCD \notin \{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Assume $s > s' > 0$, $s \neq 2s'$, and $\theta > 0$. We denote $t_n := e^{-s/n^\theta}$ and $t'_n := e^{-s'/n^\theta}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then there exists N and K depending only on A, B, C, D, s, s' , such that for any $n \geq N$, the total variations of $\pi_{t_n}(\mathbf{d}x)$ and of $P_{t_n, t'_n}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ for any $x \in U_{t_n}$ are both bounded above by $Kn^{2\theta}$.*

Proof. Note that $t_n < t'_n < 1$ and for sufficiently large n , points t_n, t'_n are in the open interval I from Proposition 2.11. We also have $B\sqrt{t_n}, B\sqrt{t'_n}, D/\sqrt{t_n}, D/\sqrt{t'_n} \in (-1, 0]$, in particular $U_{t_n}, U_{t'_n} \subset [-1, \infty)$. In view of (2.12) and Lemma 2.14, to bound total variations of $\pi_{t_n}(\mathbf{d}x)$ and $P_{t_n, t'_n}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$, one needs to bound all the masses of the atoms. In our case all atoms are ≥ 1 .

In view of the fact that $t_n, t'_n \in I$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the four entries in

$$\pi_{t_n}(\mathbf{d}x) = \nu(\mathbf{d}x; A\sqrt{t_n}, B\sqrt{t_n}, C/\sqrt{t_n}, D/\sqrt{t_n}), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and, for $x \in U_{t_n}$, the four entries in

$$P_{t_n, t'_n}(x, \mathbf{d}y) = \nu\left(\mathbf{d}y; A\sqrt{t'_n}, B\sqrt{t'_n}, \sqrt{\frac{t_n}{t'_n}}\left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right), \sqrt{\frac{t_n}{t'_n}}\left(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)\right), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

have uniformly bounded norms. In particular, the total number of atoms is uniformly bounded.

We look at the formulas for atom masses (2.6) and (2.7): For $|aq^j| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^{\mathbf{a}} &= \frac{(a^{-2}, bc, bd, cd)_\infty}{(b/a, c/a, d/a, abcd)_\infty}, \\ p_j^{\mathbf{a}} &= \frac{(a^{-2}, bc, bd, cd)_\infty}{(b/a, c/a, d/a, abcd)_\infty} \frac{q^j(1 - a^2q^{2j})(a^2, ab, ac, ad)_j}{(q)_j(1 - a^2)a^{4j} \prod_{l=1}^j ((b/a - q^l)(c/a - q^l)(d/a - q^l))}, \quad j \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The numerators of these masses are uniformly bounded from above, and one needs to bound the denominators away from 0. Since $abcd$ equals either $ABCD$ (in case of $\pi_{t_n}(\mathbf{d}x)$) or ABt_n (in case of $P_{t_n, t'_n}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$), it is uniformly bounded away from $\{q^{-l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ (for $ABCD$ it follows by the assumption and for ABt_n due to the fact that $B \leq 0$). Moreover, when $|aq^j| \geq 1$ for some $j \geq 1$, the term $1 - a^2$ is uniformly bounded away from 0. Note that we always have $b \in (-1, 0]$. Consequently, by swapping a with $\{c, d\}$, we claim that it suffices to prove the following criterion:

$$\text{For any two distinct } \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} \in \{a, c, d\} \text{ satisfying } \mathbf{e} \geq 1, \text{ we have } |\mathbf{f}/\mathbf{e}| = re^{\varepsilon/n^\theta} \text{ for some } r \geq 0, \varepsilon \neq 0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

If condition (A.4) holds, then for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|1 - q^l \mathbf{f}/\mathbf{e}|$ is bounded from below by a uniform positive constant if $r \neq q^{-l}$, and bounded from below by a uniform positive constant times $1/n^\theta$ if $r = q^{-l}$. Looking at the formulas of atom masses (A.3), in the denominator of $p_j^{\mathbf{e}}$ for $j \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{e} \geq 1$, most of the linear terms in the q -Pochhammer symbols are bounded from below by a positive constant, except for at most two of them that are bounded from below by a positive constant times $1/n^\theta$. Hence $|p_j^{\mathbf{e}}|$ is bounded from above by a uniform constant times $n^{2\theta}$.

We now turn to the proof of condition (A.4). We first consider $\pi_{t_n}(\mathbf{d}x)$ given by (A.1). We have $B\sqrt{t_n}, D/\sqrt{t_n} \in (-1, 0]$, and $\left|\frac{A\sqrt{t_n}}{C/\sqrt{t_n}}\right| = At_n/C = (A/C)e^{-s/n^\theta}$, so (A.4) is satisfied.

We then consider $P_{t_n, t'_n}(x, \mathbf{d}y)$ given by (A.2), for $x \in U_{t_n}$. We split it into the following cases:

Case 1. $x \in [-1, 1]$. Then $\sqrt{\frac{t_n}{t'_n}}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ and $\sqrt{\frac{t_n}{t'_n}}(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ are complex conjugate with norm < 1 . Note that $\left|\sqrt{\frac{t_n}{t'_n}}(x \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) / (A\sqrt{t'_n})\right| = \sqrt{t_n}/(At'_n) = (1/A)e^{(s'-s/2)/n^\theta}$. In view of the assumption $s \neq 2s'$, (A.4) is satisfied.

Case 2. $x = \frac{1}{2}(q^k C/\sqrt{t_n} + (q^k C/\sqrt{t_n})^{-1})$ and $q^k C/\sqrt{t_n} > 1$. Then $\sqrt{\frac{t_n}{t'_n}}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = Cq^k/\sqrt{t'_n}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{t_n}{t'_n}}(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = t_n/(q^k C\sqrt{t'_n})$. The three ratios between $A\sqrt{t'_n}$, $Cq^k/\sqrt{t'_n}$ and $t_n/(q^k C\sqrt{t'_n})$ are respectively $(A/(Cq^k))e^{-s'/n^\theta}$, $(C^2q^{2k})e^{s/n^\theta}$ and $(ACq^k)e^{(s-s')/n^\theta}$, so (A.4) is satisfied.

Case 3. $x = \frac{1}{2} (q^k A \sqrt{t_n} + (q^k A \sqrt{t_n})^{-1})$ and $q^k A \sqrt{t_n} > 1$. Then $\sqrt{\frac{t_n}{t_n'}} (x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = (Aq^k)t_n / \sqrt{t_n'}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{t_n}{t_n'}} (x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = 1 / (Aq^k \sqrt{t_n'})$. The three ratios between $A \sqrt{t_n'}$, $(Aq^k)t_n / \sqrt{t_n'}$ and $1 / (Aq^k \sqrt{t_n'})$ are respectively $q^{-k} e^{(s-s')/n^\theta}$, $A^2 q^{2k} e^{-s/n^\theta}$ and $A^2 q^k e^{-s'/n^\theta}$ so (A.4) is satisfied.

Thus the proof is concluded. \square

REFERENCES

- [AW85] R. Askey and J. A. Wilson. *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, volume 319. American Mathematical Soc., 1985.
- [BLD22] G. Barraquand and P. Le Doussal. Steady state of the KPZ equation on an interval and Liouville quantum mechanics. *Europhys. Lett.*, 137(6):61003, 2022.
- [BE07] R. A. Blythe and M. R. Evans. Nonequilibrium steady states of matrix-product form: a solver’s guide. *J. Phys. A*, 40(46):R333, 2007.
- [BECE00] R. A. Blythe, M. R. Evans, F. Colaiori, and F. H. Essler. Exact solution of a partially asymmetric exclusion model using a deformed oscillator algebra. *J. Phys. A*, 33(12):2313, 2000.
- [BK22] W. Bryc and A. Kuznetsov. Markov limits of steady states of the KPZ equation on an interval. *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 19:1329–1351, 2022.
- [BKWW23] W. Bryc, A. Kuznetsov, Y. Wang, and J. Wesolowski. Markov processes related to the stationary measure for the open KPZ equation. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 185:353–389, 2023.
- [BMW07] W. Bryc, W. Matysiak, and J. Wesolowski. Quadratic harnesses, q -commutations, and orthogonal martingale polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(11):5449–5483, 2007.
- [BMW08] W. Bryc, W. Matysiak, and J. Wesolowski. The bi-Poisson process: a quadratic harness. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(2):623–646, 2008.
- [BS19] W. Bryc and M. Świeca. On matrix product ansatz for asymmetric simple exclusion process with open boundary in the singular case. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 177:252–284, 2019.
- [BW19] W. Bryc and Y. Wang. Limit fluctuations for density of asymmetric simple exclusion processes with open boundaries. *Ann. Institut. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 55(4):2169–2194, 2019.
- [BWW23] W. Bryc, Y. Wang, and J. Wesolowski. From the asymmetric simple exclusion processes to the stationary measures of the KPZ fixed point on an interval. *Ann. Institut. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 59(4):2257–2284, 2023.
- [BW05] W. Bryc and J. Wesolowski. Conditional moments of q -Meixner processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 131:415–441, 2005.
- [BW10] W. Bryc and J. Wesolowski. Askey–Wilson polynomials, quadratic harnesses and martingales. *Ann. Probab.*, 38(3):1221–1262, 2010.
- [BW15] W. Bryc and J. Wesolowski. Infinitesimal generators for a class of polynomial processes. *Studia Math.*, 229(1):73–93, 2015.
- [BW17] W. Bryc and J. Wesolowski. Asymmetric simple exclusion process with open boundaries and quadratic harnesses. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 167:383–415, 2017.
- [Cor22] I. Corwin. Some recent progress on the stationary measure for the open KPZ equation. *Toeplitz Operators and Random Matrices: In Memory of Harold Widom*, pages 321–360, 2022.
- [CK24] I. Corwin and A. Knizel. Stationary measure for the open KPZ equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 77:2183–2267, 2024.
- [CS18] I. Corwin and H. Shen. Open ASEP in the weakly asymmetric regime. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 71:2065–2128, 2018.
- [DEHP93] B. Derrida, M. R. Evans, V. Hakim, and V. Pasquier. Exact solution of a 1D asymmetric exclusion model using a matrix formulation. *J. Phys. A*, 26(7):1493, 1993.
- [DLS02] B. Derrida, J. Lebowitz, and E. Speer. Exact free energy functional for a driven diffusive open stationary nonequilibrium system. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 89(3):030601, 2002.
- [DLS03] B. Derrida, J. Lebowitz, and E. Speer. Exact large deviation functional of a stationary open driven diffusive system: the asymmetric exclusion process. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 110(3-6):775–810, 2003.
- [ED04] C. Enaud and B. Derrida. Large deviation functional of the weakly asymmetric exclusion process. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 114:537–562, 2004.
- [ER96] F. H. Essler and V. Rittenberg. Representations of the quadratic algebra and partially asymmetric diffusion with open boundaries. *J. Phys. A*, 29(13):3375, 1996.
- [Far06] R. H. Farrell. *Techniques of multivariate calculation*, volume 520. Springer, 2006.
- [HJ94] J. Hoffman-Jorgensen. *Probability with a View Towards Statistics*, volume 1. Chapman & Hall, 1994.
- [Lig75] T. M. Liggett. Ergodic theorems for the asymmetric simple exclusion process. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 213:237–261, 1975.
- [Lig99] T. M. Liggett. *Stochastic interacting systems: contact, voter and exclusion processes*, volume 324. Springer Science & Business Media, 1999.
- [MS97] K. Mallick and S. Sandow. Finite-dimensional representations of the quadratic algebra: applications to the exclusion process. *J. Phys. A*, 30(13):4513, 1997.
- [MRS06] A. Mukherjee, M. Rao, and S. Suen. A note on moment generating functions. *Stat. Probab. Lett.*, 76(11):1185–1189, 2006.
- [NR85] B. Nassrallah and M. Rahman. Projection formulas, a reproducing kernel and a generating function for q -Wilson polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 16(1):186–197, 1985.
- [NS23] E. Nestoridi and D. Schmid. Approximating the stationary distribution of the asep with open boundaries. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.13577*, 2023.
- [Rud87] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 3rd edition, 1987.

- [San94] S. Sandow. Partially asymmetric exclusion process with open boundaries. *Phys. Rev. E*, 50(4):2660, 1994.
- [Sas99] T. Sasamoto. One-dimensional partially asymmetric simple exclusion process with open boundaries: orthogonal polynomials approach. *J. Phys. A*, 32(41):7109, 1999.
- [Sas00] T. Sasamoto. Density profile of the one-dimensional partially asymmetric simple exclusion process with open boundaries. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, 69(4):1055–1067, 2000.
- [Sch22] G. Schütz. A reverse duality for the asep with open boundaries. *J. Phys. A*, 2022.
- [SD93] G. Schütz and E. Domany. Phase transitions in an exactly soluble one-dimensional exclusion process. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 72(1-2):277–296, 1993.
- [USW04] M. Uchiyama, T. Sasamoto, and M. Wadati. Asymmetric simple exclusion process with open boundaries and Askey-Wilson polynomials. *J. Phys. A*, 37(18):4985, 2004.
- [Yan22] Z. Yang. Stationary measure for six-vertex model on a strip. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.09111*, 2022.

YIZAO WANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, 2815 COMMONS WAY, CINCINNATI, OH, 45221-0025, USA.

Email address: `yizao.wang@uc.edu`

JACEK WESOŁOWSKI, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND STATISTICS, POLAND, WARSZAWA, POLAND

Email address: `jacek.wesolowski@pw.edu.pl`

ZONGRUI YANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, 2990 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY, 10027-7110, USA.

Email address: `zy2417@columbia.edu`