ON DIAMOND-FREE SUBGROUP LATTICES

MATT ALEXANDER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a particular lattice of subgroups called a "cyclicdiamond" and show that every finite non-cyclic group contains a cyclic-diamond as a sublattice of its lattice of subgroups. Turning to the infinite case, we show that an infinite abelian group does not contain a cyclic-diamond in its subgroup lattice if and only if all of its finitely generated subgroups are cyclic or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ for some N.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	2
2. Finite Group Case	2
2.1. Organizing Finitely Generated Groups	7
3. Infinite Abelian Groups	8
3.1. Groups of the Form $G \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_n$	9
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

It is known that the subgroup lattice of a group is intimately tied to the structure of the group itself. A number of group-theoretic properties can be reframed in terms of the subgroup lattice. For example, supersolvable groups are equivalently those whose subgroup lattices satisfy the Jordan–Dedekind chain condition (all maximal chains of subgroups have the same length) [2].

In [5], Ore proved that the subgroup lattice of a finite group G is distributive if and only if G is cyclic. This was later extended to the fact that an arbitrary group G has a distributive subgroup lattice if and only if G is locally cyclic (i.e. all finitely generated subgroups are cyclic). This latter result can be found, for example, in [6, Theorem 1.2.3].

It is a known fact that there are only 2 possible obstructions to a lattice being distributive: a lattice is distributive if and only if it does not contain a copy of M_3 (the diamond) or N_5 (the pentagon) as a sublattice (see for instance [1, Chapter 1, Theorem 3.6]).

Lattices that lack N_5 are called **modular**, and have been well-studied in the group context: the lattice of normal subgroups of any group is modular. In particular, every abelian group has a modular subgroup lattice. It follows that every abelian non-locally-cyclic group must contain a diamond. In this paper we refine this result by looking at the specific form of diamonds that appear in subgroup lattices.

Date: July 14, 2023.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20E15.

Key words and phrases. Subgroup lattice, distributive lattice, locally cyclic group.



FIGURE 1. The diamond M_3 and the pentagon N_5 .

Notation: Let $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ denote the subgroup generated by elements x_1, \ldots, x_n .



Terminology: We will refer to diamonds of the above type as **cyclic-diamonds** (note that H may or may not be cyclic). Groups which do not contain such diamonds in their subgroup lattices will be called **cyclic-diamond free**, and in the finite case they are equivalently cyclic groups. However the infinite case is more subtle, as we discuss in Section 3. Note that every cyclic-diamond is contained in a finitely generated subgroup, as H is generated by any two of the elements $\{x, y, z\}$.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Martin Frankland for fruitful discussions and feedback and Allen Herman for his helpful discussions. This work was supported by an NSERC Postgraduate Scholarship.

2. FINITE GROUP CASE

<u>Miller-Moreno:</u> In [3] it was shown that every non-cyclic group G whose subgroups are all cyclic is isomorphic to one of the following:

- Q_8 (the quaternions),
- \mathbb{Z}_p^2 for some prime p,
- The semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^a}$ with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (of which there is only one possibility up to isomorphism for each pair of suitable primes p, q).

Looking at the subgroup lattices of Q_8 and \mathbb{Z}_p^2 shown in Figure 2, we can see that they contain cyclic-diamonds. However, it is not immediately clear what happens in the case of $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^a}$. Below we demonstrate that diamonds of the above form occur within groups of this type



FIGURE 2. The subgroup lattices of Q_8 and \mathbb{Z}_p^2 .

as well. As every finite group contains a minimal non-cyclic subgroup, we will conclude that such diamonds occur within any finite non-cyclic group.



Proof. Note that powers of prime order elements also have prime order (or are the identity). Thus as G is generated by its prime order elements, if all prime order elements commute, then G would be abelian. So there must be two prime order elements: x, y with |x| = p and |y| = q such that $xy \neq yx$. In particular, this implies that $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle$ (otherwise x and y would commute). Consider the lattice



It's clear that any two of $\{x, y, xy\}$ generate $\langle x, y \rangle$, so the upper part of the diamond holds. Since x and y have prime order, they have no non-trivial proper subgroups. Thus $\langle x \rangle \wedge \langle y \rangle = e$.

e

Consider $\langle x \rangle \wedge \langle xy \rangle$: As x has prime order, the only subgroups of $\langle x \rangle$ are itself and the identity. If $\langle x \rangle \wedge \langle xy \rangle = \langle x \rangle$, we would have $x \in \langle xy \rangle$, so $x = (xy)^k$ for some k.

MATT ALEXANDER

Then $y^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1}x = y^{-1}x^{-1}(xy)^k = (xy)^{k-1}$, demonstrating $y^{-1} \in \langle xy \rangle$. Thus $x, y \in \langle xy \rangle$. As $\langle xy \rangle$ is cyclic, this implies that x and y commute, which does not hold by assumption. Thus

$$\langle x \rangle \land \langle xy \rangle = \epsilon$$

By a symmetric argument, $\langle y \rangle \land \langle xy \rangle = e$, and so we find that G contains a diamond of the claimed form.

Proposition 2.2: $p^a q$

Let G be a non-abelian group of order $p^a q$ that is minimal non-cyclic and not prime generated. Then G contains a cyclic-diamond of the form



where $\langle a \rangle$ is maximal in $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$.

Proof.

Unique subgroup of order p: If G contains two distinct prime subgroups $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle$ with |x| = |y| = p then $\langle x, y \rangle$ would not be cyclic (since cyclic groups contain at most one subgroup of any given order). Since G is minimal non-cyclic, this would imply that $G = \langle x, y \rangle$, but G is not prime generated by assumption. Thus G must have a unique subgroup of order p.

Larger unique subgroups: Let $\mathcal{A} = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq a-1, G \text{ has a unique subgroup of order } p^i\}$. \mathcal{A} is non-empty and thus contains a largest element N < a. Note that every $i \leq N$ is contained in \mathcal{A} : if P is a subgroup of order p^i , it is contained in some Sylow p-subgroup, which in this case is cyclic and thus must contain unique subgroups of order p^i and p^N . It follows that every subgroup of order p^i with $i \leq N$ must be contained in the unique subgroup of order p^i (which is cyclic), and thus there must be a unique subgroup of order p^i . Now let P_i be the unique subgroup of order p^i for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Now G must contain two distinct subgroups of order p^{N+1} , call these $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle$ (they must be cyclic as G is minimal non-cylic, and these are clearly not equal to G as they contain no element of order q). Now consider the following lattice:



As $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$ both contain a subgroup of order p^N , they must both contain P_N . As P_N is maximal in both $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$, if it were not equal to their intersection, then we would have $\langle x \rangle \wedge \langle y \rangle$ equal to both $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$, and in particular, $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$, which does not

4

hold by assumption. Thus

(1)

$$\langle x \rangle \wedge \langle y \rangle = P_N.$$

We next consider the other intersections. The order of xy is of the form $|xy| = p^i q^j$, with $i \leq a$ and $j \leq 1$.

We just need $P_N, \langle xy \rangle \leq H$: If H is a proper subgroup of G that contains both $\langle xy \rangle$ and P_N , then it must be the case that $\langle x \rangle \wedge H = \langle y \rangle \wedge H = P_N$. Since $\langle x \rangle \wedge H$ is the largest subgroup contained in both $\langle x \rangle$ and H, and P_N is maximal in $\langle x \rangle$, if $\langle x \rangle \wedge H \neq P_N$, we would have $\langle x \rangle \wedge H = \langle x \rangle$. But as $xy \in H$, this would also imply that $y \in H$. So $\langle x, y \rangle = G \leq H$, and thus H = G. But by assumption, H is a proper subgroup. Thus $\langle x \rangle \wedge H = P_N$, and by a similar argument $\langle y \rangle \wedge H = P_N$. Below, we will find such a subgroup H.

If $|xy| = p^i$:

- If $i \leq N$: Then $\langle xy \rangle$ is the unique subgroup of order p^i , and thus $\langle xy \rangle \leq \langle x \rangle \wedge \langle y \rangle$. So $xy = x^k$, implying $y = x^{k-1}$ and $y \in \langle x \rangle$. Similarly, $x \in \langle y \rangle$, so $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$, which does not hold by assumption.
- If i > N: Then $\langle xy \rangle$ contains the unique subgroup of order p^N : $P_N \leq \langle xy \rangle$. As $\langle xy \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of G (since G isn't cyclic), it follows from an argument above that $P_N = \langle x \rangle \land \langle xy \rangle = \langle y \rangle \land \langle xy \rangle$. Thus in this case G contains a diamond of the form



If $|xy| = p^t q$: *Q*. As *q* divides the order of *xy*, it follows that $Q \leq \langle xy \rangle$.

• If $t \leq N$: There is a unique subgroup, P_t of order p^t , which must be contained in $\langle xy \rangle$. Thus $P_t, Q \leq \langle xy \rangle$, so $P_t \lor Q \leq \langle xy \rangle$. In fact, $\langle xy \rangle = P_t \lor Q$ (any subgroup which contains both P_t and Q must have order at least $p^tq = |xy|$, and thus the smallest of these must be $\langle xy \rangle$).

Subgroup of order $p^{a-1}q$: From [3], we know that G contains a cyclic subgroup of order $p^{a-1}q$, say $C_{p^{a-1}q}$. Since $t \leq N \leq a-1$, it follows that $C_{p^{a-1}q}$ contains subgroups of order p^N , p^t and q, and thus must contain P_N , P_t and Q. Thus

(2)
$$\langle xy \rangle = P_t \lor Q \le C_{p^{a-1}q}$$

We can now show that G contains a diamond of the form



The lower part of the diamond follows from an argument above, since P_N , $\langle xy \rangle \leq C_{p^{a-1}q}$. For the upper part, note that since $\langle xy \rangle \leq C_{p^{a-1}q}$, we have $\langle x \rangle \vee \langle xy \rangle = G \leq \langle x \rangle \vee C_{p^{a-1}q}$, so $G = \langle x \rangle \vee C_{p^{a-1}q}$, and similarly $G = \langle y \rangle \vee C_{p^{a-1}q}$.

• If t > N: As p^N divides the order of xy, we have $P_N \leq \langle xy \rangle$, and thus we can apply the same argument as before to show that we have a diamond of the form



г	_
	- 1
	- 1

Lemma 2.3: Finite Abelian Case

Let G be finite and abelian. Then G is cyclic-diamond free if and only if G is cyclic.

Proof. If cyclic: From Ore [5], finite cyclic groups are equivalently those with distributive subgroup lattices. In particular, such groups are free of all diamonds (including cyclic-diamonds).

If cyclic-diamond free: If G contained two distinct subgroups of the same prime order, p, then it would contain a copy of \mathbb{Z}_p^2 (whose lattice of subgroups contains a cyclicdiamond), appearing as the join of those subgroups. By the Fundamental Theorem of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups, it follows that G is of the form

(3)
$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n^{a_n}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1} \dots p_n^{a_n}}$$

for distinct primes p_i , and is thus cyclic.

Collecting our results we have the following:



A finite group is cyclic if and only if its subgroup lattice does not contain a diamond of the form



where $\langle a \rangle$ is a maximal subgroup of both $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$.

Proof. If cyclic: By Ore [5], the subgroup lattice is distributive and thus contains no diamond of any type.

If not cyclic: Then as G is finite, it contains a minimal non-cyclic subgroup, H. From [3], H must be isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p^2 , Q_8 , or the non-trivial semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p^a$. In the first two cases, simple inspection of the subgroup lattice of H shows that it contains a cyclic-diamond. A cyclic-diamond exists in the third case by Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 2.5

Let G be a finite group. The following are equivalent:

- (1) The subgroup lattice of G is distributive.
- (2) The subgroup lattice of G is cyclic-diamond free.
- (3) G is cyclic.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$: This is a known lattice-theoretic result: a lattice is distributive if and only if it is diamond-free (in particular, cyclic-diamond free) and modular.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$: From Theorem 2.4 the only finite cyclic-diamond free groups are cyclic.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (1): This was shown by Ore [5].

2.1. Organizing Finitely Generated Groups.

In Table 1 we provide examples of finitely generated groups, organized by the structure relevant to the proofs above. That is, such groups are organized based on whether they are minimal non-cylic, whether they are prime generated, and whether there is some prime p such that the group contains a unique subgroup of order p. Examples of finite groups are provided unless no such examples exist.

Abelian Minimal Non-cyclic: From [3] we know that the only finite abelian minimal noncyclic groups are of the form $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. No other finitely generated abelian minimal non-cyclic groups can be found, as if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n^{a_n}}$ and $r \ge 1$, G will contain non-cyclic proper subgroups isomorphic $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. Thus only groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ are found in the first four rows of the abelian column of Table 1.

MATT ALEXANDER

Gro	up			
Abelian	Non-Abelian	Minimal Non-cyclic?	Prime Generated?	Unique Prime Subgroup?
None	S_3	Yes	Yes	Yes
$\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$	Tarski Monsters	Yes	Yes	No
None	Q_8	Yes	No	Yes
None	None	Yes	No	No
$\mathbb{Z}_p^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_q$	$S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	No	Yes	Yes
$\mathbb{Z}_p^{\hat{2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_q^{\hat{2}}$	A_4	No	Yes	No
$\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^{r} imes \mathbb{Z}_{pq}^{i}$	$Q_8 imes \mathbb{Z}_3$	No	No	Yes
$\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} imes \mathbb{Z}_p$	$Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	No	No	No

TABLE 1. Structure of finitely generated non-cyclic groups.

Non-Abelian Minimal Non-cyclic: From [3], for every finite non-abelian minimal non-cyclic group G there is some prime p such that G contains a unique subgroup of order p. Thus there are no finite non-abelian examples of groups of type Yes-Yes-No or Yes-No-No in Table 1. However, there are *finitely generated* non-abelian groups of type Yes-Yes-No: Tarski Monsters of type p, which were originally shown to exist in [4], are infinite groups in which every nontrivial subgroup has order p. In particular, such groups are minimal non-cyclic, prime generated (being generated by any two elements contained in different subgroups), and do not have a unique subgroup of a given prime order. On the other hand, there are no groups of type Yes-No-No: any such group would contain at least two distinct subgroups of order p for some prime p, say $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$. It would follow that $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ (being minimal non-cyclic), and so G would also be prime generated.

3. INFINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

We can try to apply a similar argument to Lemma 2.3 in the case that G is an arbitrary abelian group. However, once G becomes infinite, the maximality condition on cyclicdiamonds becomes too strong of a requirement. If we remove that condition, we will be able to classify abelian groups in terms of their (generalized) cyclic-diamonds.

Terminology: In what follows, a **generalized-cyclic-diamond** will refer to a sublattice of the form



without any conditions on the maximality of the bottom subgroup.

Abelian Groups: Any finitely generated abelian group can be expressed (up to isomorphism) as $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n^{a_n}}$, where r is the rank of the group. The argument in Lemma 2.3 can be applied to show that the primes $\{p_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, must be distinct. Additionally, if the rank

of the group is at least two, its subgroup lattice would contain a generalized-cyclic-diamond of the form



(note that the bottom subgroup here is not maximal in any of the middle subgroups). Thus abelian generalized-cyclic-diamond free groups must be such that all of their finitely generated subgroups are cyclic or of the form $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. Groups of the latter form are abelian and not locally-cyclic (as they are finitely generated and not cyclic), and thus must contain diamonds. However, it is not obvious that such groups must contain *cyclic-diamonds*. In what follows we will show that $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ is generalized-cyclic-diamond free if and only if $n = 2^N$ for some N.

3.1. Groups of the Form $G \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_n$.

 $p \mid n$: If n is divisible by some prime greater than 2, we can find a cyclic-diamond in the subgroup lattice of G.

Example 3.1: Generalized-Cyclic-Diamond When $p \mid n, p > 2$

Consider $G = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ where $p \mid n$, for some prime p > 2. It follows that G contains a subgroup $H \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Such a subgroup will contain a diamond of the form



 $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$: We reduce to the case where *n* is a power of 2. Note that we cannot treat this case by applying the above construction, as $\langle (1,2) \rangle = \langle (1,0) \rangle$ in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Surprisingly, we will see that $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ is actually generalized-cyclic-diamond free!

Subgroups: Note that the proper subgroups of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ are (up to isomorphism) \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} , and $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$, with $1 \leq k \leq N$. As \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} are cyclic, they contain no diamonds (including generalized-cyclic-diamonds). Thus if it can be shown that diamonds of the form



MATT ALEXANDER

where $0 \leq a, b, c, d < 2^k$ cannot exist, it will follow that all groups of the form $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ are generalized-cyclic-diamond free. For the sake of space, we will give diamonds of the above shape a special name.



Bezout: If a diamond of the above shape were to exist, the element (1,0) could be written as a linear combination of any two of $\{(x, a), (y, b), (z, c)\}$, and in particular, the integer 1 could be written as a linear combination of any two of $\{x, y, z\}$. From Bezout's theorem it follows that $\{x, y, z\}$ are pairwise coprime.

Notation: Denote the 2-adic valuation of an integer x by $\nu_2(x)$.

Lemma 3.3

In a diamond of the form of Definition 3.2 at least two of $\{a, b, c\}$ are odd.

Proof. Without loss of generality say a and b are both even. Then any linear combination $\lambda a + \mu b$ is even, and will thus remain even mod 2^N . It follows that (0, 1) is not an element of $\langle (x, a) \rangle \lor \langle (y, b) \rangle = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$, which cannot be. Thus at most one element of $\{a, b, c\}$ is even.

Lemma 3.4

In a diamond of the form of Definition 3.2 where $d \neq 0$, we have $\nu_2(i) = \nu_2(j)$ for each ordered pair $(i, j) \in \{(x, a), (y, b), (z, c), (w, d)\}$.

Proof. As $\langle (w,d) \rangle \leq \langle (x,a) \rangle$, we have that $w = \alpha x$ and $d \equiv \alpha a \pmod{2^N}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\nu_2(w) = \nu_2(\alpha) + \nu_2(x)$. Note that we can write $\alpha a = d + 2^N k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $1 \leq d < 2^N$, $0 \leq \nu_2(d) \leq N - 1$, and thus we can write

$$\alpha a = 2^{\nu_2(d)} \left(\frac{d}{2^{\nu_2(d)}} + 2^{N - \nu_2(d)}k\right)$$

Now $d/2^{\nu_2(d)}$ is odd, and $2^{N-\nu_2(d)}k$ is even (since $\nu_2(d) < N$). Thus the term inside of the brackets is odd. It follows that $\nu_2(\alpha a) = \nu_2(d)$. A similar result holds for b and c.

 $\nu_2(w) = \nu_2(d)$: As $\{x, y, z\}$ are coprime, two of these elements must be odd. Also from Lemma 3.3, we have that two of $\{a, b, c\}$ are odd. It follows that at least one of

 $\{(x, a), (y, b), (z, c)\}$ must have both of its components odd. Say y and b are both odd. Write $w = \beta y$ and $d \equiv \beta b \pmod{2^N}$ for some β . We have $\nu_2(\beta b) = \nu_2(d)$, but as b is odd, $\nu_2(\beta b) = \nu_2(\beta)$. Now as y is odd, we have $\nu_2(w) = \nu_2(\beta y) = \nu_2(\beta) = \nu_2(d)$.

 $\begin{array}{c} \nu_2(x) = \nu_2(a): \\ w = \alpha x, \nu_2(w) = \nu_2(\alpha x) = \nu_2(\alpha) + \nu_2(x). \\ \text{But } \nu_2(w) = \nu_2(\alpha) = \nu_2(\alpha) + \nu_2(a). \\ \text{Thus } \nu_2(\alpha) + \nu_2(x) = \nu_2(\alpha) + \nu_2(a) \text{ and so } \nu_2(x) = \nu_2(a). \\ \text{A similar argument shows} \\ \nu_2(z) = \nu_2(c). \\ \end{array}$

Lemma 3.5

In a diamond of the form of Definition 3.2 at least two of $\{(x, a), (y, b), (z, c)\}$ have both of their components odd.

Proof. As $\{x, y, z\}$ are coprime, at least two of them are odd. Say y and z are odd. From Lemma 3.3 at least two of $\{a, b, c\}$ must be odd as well. It follows that at least one of $\{(x, a), (y, b), (z, c)\}$ must have both of its components odd. Without loss of generality, say y and b are odd. If c is odd as well, we can consider the pairs $\{(y, b), (z, c)\}$. Thus we reduce to considering the case where c is even (and thus a must be odd). If x is odd, we have the pairs $\{(x, a), (y, b)\}$. Thus the only remaining case to consider is where $\{x, c\}$ are even and $\{a, y, b, z\}$ are odd.

If $d \neq 0$: Then from Lemma 3.4 we have that $\nu_2(b) = \nu_2(y)$ and $\nu_2(c) = \nu_2(z)$ and thus $\{(y, b), (z, c)\}$ have both of their components odd.

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{If } d = 0: & \text{Consider } \langle (x,a) \rangle \land \langle (y,b) \rangle = \langle (w,d) \rangle = \langle (w,0) \rangle. & \text{Note that } \langle (2^N x,0) \rangle \leq \langle (x,a) \rangle, \\ \langle (x,a) \rangle, \text{ as } t(2^N x,0) = 2^N t(x,a). & \text{Thus } \langle (2^N x,0) \rangle \land \langle (2^N y,0) \rangle \leq \langle (x,a) \rangle \land \langle (y,b) \rangle = \langle (w,0) \rangle. & \text{On the other hand, } w = \alpha x = \beta y \text{ and } \alpha a \equiv \beta b \equiv 0 \pmod{2^N} \text{ for some } \alpha, \beta. \\ \text{As } a, b \text{ are odd, } \alpha a \equiv \beta b \equiv 0 \pmod{2^N} \text{ implies that } \alpha = 2^N k \text{ and } \beta = 2^N m \text{ for some } k, m. \\ \text{Thus } w = 2^N kx = 2^N my, \text{ and so } (w,0) \in \langle (2^N x,0) \rangle \land \langle (2^N y,0) \rangle. \\ \end{array}$

(4)
$$\langle (w,0) \rangle = \langle (2^N x,0) \rangle \wedge \langle (2^N y,0) \rangle$$

As everything is living in a copy of \mathbb{Z} , it follows that $\langle 2^N x \rangle \wedge \langle 2^N y \rangle = \langle w \rangle$, and thus $w = \pm \operatorname{lcm}(2^N x, 2^N y) = \pm 2^N \operatorname{lcm}(x, y)$. As x and y are coprime, we have

(5)
$$w = \pm 2^N x_2$$

Now $(w,0) \in \langle z,c \rangle$ implies $w = \pm 2^N xy = \gamma z$ for some γ . As $\{x,y,z\}$ are coprime, this implies $z = \pm 2^r$ for some $r \ge 0$. Since z is odd, $z = \pm 1$. Now $(2^N y, 0) = \pm 2^N y(\pm 1, c) = 2^N(y,b)$ so $(2^N y, 0) \in \langle (z,c) \rangle \land \langle (y,b) \rangle = \langle (w,d) \rangle = \langle (2^N xy,0) \rangle$. So $2^N y = \lambda 2^N xy$ for some λ . It follows that $x = \pm 1$, but x is even. Thus this case cannot occur.

Theorem 3.6: $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ is Generalized-Cyclic-Diamond Free

The subgroup lattice of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ does not contain a generalized-cyclic-diamond.

Proof. From Lemma 3.5 we have that at least two of $\{(x, a), (y, b), (z, c)\}$ have both of their components odd. Without loss of generality, say $\{y, b, z, c\}$ are all odd. Consider z(y, b) = (yz, zb) and y(z, c) = (yz, yc).

MATT ALEXANDER

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{Multiply by } 2^{N-1} \vdots & \text{Let } g \text{ and } h \text{ be odd integers. Then their difference is even: } g-h=2m. \\ \hline \text{Thus } 2^{N-1}g-2^{N-1}h=2^Nm\equiv 0 \pmod{2^N}. \\ \text{So } 2^{N-1}g\equiv 2^{N-1}h \pmod{2^N}. \\ \text{And as } g \text{ and } h \text{ are odd, and thus contain no factors of } 2, we have <math>2^{N-1}g, 2^{N-1}h\neq 0 \pmod{2^N}. \\ \text{Mod } 2^N). \\ \text{Now } 2^{N-1}z(y,b)=(2^{N-1}yz,2^{N-1}zb) \text{ and } 2^{N-1}y(z,c)=(2^{N-1}yz,2^{N-1}yc). \\ \text{As } \{y,z,b,c\} \text{ are all odd, } yc \text{ and } zb \text{ are odd, and thus } 2^{N-1}zb\equiv 2^{N-1}yc \pmod{2^N}. \\ \text{It follows that } (2^{N-1}yz,2^{N-1}zb)=(2^{N-1}yz,2^{N-1}yc) \text{ as elements of } \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_{2^N}. \\ \end{array}$

 $(2^{N-1}yz, 2^{N-1}zb) \in \langle (y, b) \rangle \land \langle (z, c) \rangle = \langle (w, d) \rangle$

Note that, as z and b are both odd, $2^{N-1}zb \neq 0 \pmod{2^N}$. So in particular we have that $d \neq 0$ and thus we can apply the results of Lemma 3.4 to conclude $\nu_2(x) = \nu_2(a)$.

If x = 1: Since $\nu_2(x) = \nu_2(a)$, a must also be odd. If z = 1 as well, then writing $(0,1) = \lambda(x,a) + \mu(z,c) = \lambda(1,a) + \mu(1,c)$ we would have $\mu = -\lambda$ and so $\lambda a + \mu c = \lambda(a-c) \equiv 1 \pmod{2^N}$. But a and c are both odd, and thus their difference is even, and remains even mod 2^N . It follows that $\lambda(a-c) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2^N}$. So we must have $z \neq 1$ (similarly $z \neq -1$). So if x = 1, we can redo the above procedure using $\{x, a, y, b\}$ instead of $\{y, b, z, c\}$ to make sure that the element of $\{x, y, z\}$ that we do not use in our construction is not ± 1 . So without loss of generality, we can say $x \neq \pm 1$.

 $x = 2^k$: As $\langle (w,d) \rangle \leq \langle (x,a) \rangle$, we have that $(2^{N-1}yz, 2^{N-1}zb) \in \langle (x,a) \rangle$, and thus $2^{N-1}yz = \lambda x$ for some λ . But $\{x, y, z\}$ are coprime, and so x must be of the form $x = \pm 2^k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq N-1$. In particular, x is even. As $\nu_2(x) = \nu_2(a)$, we have that a is even as well.

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \langle \langle (x,a)\rangle \lor \langle (y,b)\rangle : & \text{Consider } (0,1) \in \langle (x,a)\rangle \lor \langle (y,b)\rangle = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}. & \text{We must have} \\\hline (0,1) = \lambda(x,a) + \mu(y,b) & \text{for some } \lambda, \mu. & \text{So } \lambda x = -\mu y. & \text{As } x \text{ and } y \text{ are coprime and} \\\hline x \text{ is even, } \mu \text{ must be even. Thus } \lambda a + \mu b \text{ is even, and will remain even mod } 2^N. & \text{But} \\\hline \lambda a + \mu b \equiv 1 \pmod{2^N}. & \text{Thus we see that a diamond of the type of Definition 3.2 cannot} \\\hline be formed, \text{ and so } \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N} \text{ is generalized-cyclic-diamond free.} & \Box \end{array}$

Example 3.7: Non-Locally-Cylic but Generalized-Cyclic-Diamond Free

The groups $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ are non-locally-cyclic abelian groups whose subgroup lattice contains neither a copy of the pentagon nor a diamond of the form



(even if $\langle a \rangle$ is non-maximal in $\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle, \langle z \rangle$).

However, as expected, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ will contain non-cyclic diamonds. For example:



Theorem 3.8: Classification of Abelian Generalized-Cyclic-Diamond Free Groups

Let G be an abelian group. Then G is generalized-cyclic-diamond free if and only if every finitely generated subgroup $H \leq G$ is cyclic or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ for some N.

Proof. If generalized-cyclic-diamond free: As we remarked at the beginning of Section 3, any cyclic-diamond free finitely generated abelian group must be cyclic or of the form $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. From Example 3.1 the only possibilities for n are 2^N for some N, proving this direction.

Other direction: By Theorem 3.6, every $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$ is generalized-cyclic-diamond free. Since every generalized-cyclic-diamond is contained in a finitely generated subgroup, if the only finitely generated subgroups are cyclic or $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^N}$, G must be generalized-cyclic-diamond free.

References

- Stanley Burris and H. P. Sankappanavar. A course in universal algebra. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981, pp. xvi+276. ISBN: 0-387-90578-2.
- Kenkiti Iwasawa. "Über die endlichen Gruppen und die Verbände ihrer Untergruppen." In: J. Fac. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I. (1941), pp. 171–199.
- G. A. Miller and H. C. Moreno. "Non-abelian groups in which every subgroup is abelian". In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4.4 (1903), pp. 398–404. ISSN: 0002-9947,1088-6850. DOI: 10.2307/1986409.
- [4] A. Ju. Ol'šanskiĭ. "An infinite group with subgroups of prime orders." In: Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. no. 2, (1980), pp. 309–321, 479. ISSN: 0373-2436.
- [5] Oystein Ore. "Structures and group theory. II". In: Duke Math. J. 4.2 (1938), pp. 247–269. ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-38-00419-3.
- [6] Roland Schmidt. Subgroup lattices of groups. Vol. 14. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994, pp. xvi+572. ISBN: 3-11-011213-2. DOI: 10.1515/9783110868647.

UNIVERSITY OF REGINA, 3737 WASCANA PARKWAY, REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN, S4S 0A2, CANADA *Email address:* mpa097@uregina.ca