

Reaction Engineering and Comparison of Electroenzymatic and Enzymatic ATP Regeneration Systems


Regine Siedentop,^[a] Tobias Prenzel,^[b] Siegfried R. Waldvogel,^[b] Katrin Rosenthal,^{*,[c]} Stephan Lütz^[a]

^[a] Department of Biochemical and Chemical Engineering, TU Dortmund University, Emil-Figge-Str. 66, 44227 Dortmund (Germany)

^[b] Department of Chemistry, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Duesbergweg 10–14, 55128 Mainz (Germany)

^[c] School of Science, Constructor University, Campus Ring 1, 28759 Bremen (Germany)

Correspondence: Prof. Dr. Katrin Rosenthal (krosenthal@constructor.university). School of Science, Constructor University, Campus Ring 1, 28759 Bremen (Germany)



Abstract

Adenosine-5'-triphosphate (ATP) plays a crucial role in many biocatalytic reactions and its regeneration can influence the performance of a related enzymatic reaction significantly. Here, we established an electrochemically coupled ATP regeneration by pyruvate oxidase and acetate kinase (ACK) for the phosphorylation of mevalonate catalyzed by mevalonate kinase. A yield of 84% for the product mevalonate phosphate was reached and a total turnover number for ADP of 68. These metrics are promising for the development of an economic feasible bioprocess and surpass many other enzymatic ATP regeneration systems. A comparison was made to polyphosphate kinases (PPKs), ACK, pyruvate kinase, and creatine kinase in terms of the phosphate donor properties and biocatalytic metrics of exemplary reactions. Furthermore, our system was expanded by a PPK that enables the phosphorylation of AMP, which can broaden the spectrum of applications even further.

Keywords: ATP regeneration, electroenzymatic cofactor regeneration, bioelectrochemistry, biocatalysis

Introduction

Adenosine-5'-triphosphate (ATP) is a central cofactor of which many enzymes are dependent on [1]. The nucleotide functions as a carrier of chemical energy in form of high-energy phosphoanhydride bonds, that are released upon hydrolysis of the phosphate groups, or it serves as phosphate donor [2]. The cofactor is typically converted in equimolar amounts to the substrate and can play a crucial role in the activity and performance of the respective enzyme [3]. This is especially true for *in vitro* syntheses approaches, where no constant ATP supply by the metabolism is provided. Along with the possible inhibiting effects of ATP or its dephosphorylated counterparts, the nucleotide is cost intensive, which limits technical applications. A strategy to circumvent these challenges is the *in situ* production and regeneration of this cofactor [4]. This contributes also positively to the equilibrium of the reaction which is pulled towards the product side.

Various enzymatic systems have been reported to recycle ATP including polyphosphate kinases (PPKs) [5,6], acetate kinase (ACK) [7], pyruvate kinase (PK) [8], creatine kinase (CK) [9], or even by *in vitro* pathways mimicking glycolysis [10]. The *in vitro* ATP regeneration by ACK in combination with pyruvate oxidase (POX) has been introduced for cell-free protein synthesis (CFPS) and was recently implemented to a multi-step enzyme cascade [11,12]. The use of POX enables the recycling of inexpensive and stable inorganic phosphate as phosphate donor. In the reaction, pyruvate is decarboxylated and phosphorylated to acetyl phosphate, which in turn serves as phosphoryl donor for the ATP synthesis of ADP by ACK. During the POX-catalyzed reaction, two electrons are transferred to flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) as electron sink and then further transferred to O₂. Using oxygen as the final electron acceptor harbors some drawbacks such as the need for dissolved O₂ as well as the need for a catalase to prevent the accumulation of the reactive by-product H₂O₂, which is detrimental to enzyme stability and might evoke further side reactions. The flow of electrons in this reaction opens up the possibility of coupling to electrochemistry. Electrochemistry has already been applied to the regeneration of cofactors such as NAD(P)H or FAD [13–15] or other enzymatic redox reactions [16]. Electrochemical methods utilize electric current as a reagent by oxidation and reduction at an electrode [17,18]. They therefore do not require additional reagents, which can diminish the costs and the formation of by-products. Electrons can be transferred directly to the electrode or indirectly by utilizing a mediator, albeit direct transfer is rarely used [19]. ATP has already been shown to be indirectly regenerated electro-enzymatically either by an hydrogenase and ATP-synthase or by utilizing ACK and POX by transferring the electrons indirectly to an anode instead of oxygen, circumventing the mentioned drawbacks [20,21].

This work demonstrates the phosphorylation of ADP to ATP via coupling to an electrochemical reaction. The ATP regeneration system was subsequently applied for the enzymatic synthesis of mevalonate phosphate (MVAP), an important intermediate in the synthesis of isoprenoids. Furthermore, it was shown that an extension for the phosphorylation of AMP by PPKs is possible. Parameters to evaluate the reaction performance were calculated and compared to a variety of other ATP regeneration systems. In comparison, our approach performed well and is close to exceed thresholds for economic feasible bioprocess metrics such as total turnover numbers of enzymes and cofactor (TTN_E and TTN_{cofactor}).

Results and Discussion

Electroenzymatic ATP Regeneration for Mevalonate Kinase

The phosphorylation of mevalonate (MVA) to MVAP plays an important role in the mevalonate pathway [22]. The phosphorylation is catalyzed by the enzyme mevalonate kinase (MVK) with ATP as phosphate donor (Figure 1). In the *in vitro* synthesis of the isoprenoid farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) by an enzyme cascade that includes MVK, we were able to show that ATP regeneration has a positive effect on the overall production performance of the enzyme cascade in terms of product titer [23]. The establishment of ATP regeneration by POX and ACK gives the advantages of the recycling of phosphate, a by-product of the FPP-production and further feeding of the FPP-substrate acetate, which is a by-product of POX. Furthermore, a high control of the reaction and no need of oxygen and catalase are given by the coupling to an electroenzymatic approach. Therefore, ACK and POX were chosen to implement an electroenzymatic regeneration of ATP for the reaction of MVK (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Scheme for the electroenzymatically coupled regeneration of ATP utilized by acetate kinase and pyruvate oxidase. ATP is consumed by mevalonate kinase for the reaction of mevalonate to mevalonate phosphate.

In this system, POX catalyzes the phosphorylation of pyruvate to acetyl phosphate with the elimination of CO₂ and release of two electrons, which are transferred to FAD, a cofactor serving as electron sink in the enzymatic reaction. Finally, the regeneration of FAD is achieved in a mediated anodic oxidation in which ferrocenyl-methanol (FcMeOH) is used as redox mediator for FAD-dependent enzymes. The oxidation of FAD is carried out by the ferrocenium ion, which in turn can be re-oxidized at the anode [24]. The synthesized acetyl phosphate serves as phosphate donor for the phosphorylation of ADP by ACK.

To prove, that the mediator is necessary for the reaction and to investigate if the reaction components have an influence on the standard potential, cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed (Figure 2). As seen in Figure 2 B, without FcMeOH, no redox reaction takes place. As soon as the mediator was added, a standard potential E^0 of 0.21 V could be determined (Figure 2 A). This potential did not change by the addition of other components required for the ACK or MVK reaction (Figure 2 C). A previous report measured a similar standard potential of $E^0 = 0.22$ V for the mediator FcMeOH [21].




Figure 2: Cyclic voltammograms (working electrode: glassy carbon; counter electrode: platinum; reference electrode: Ag/AgCl in 3 M NaCl; scan rate: 16 mV/s) with various reaction components: (A) 5.7 mM FcMeOH in buffer (100 mM of Tris-HCl, 150 mM of NaCl, 10% glycerol, 20 mM of MgCl₂, pH 7.5), (B) 8.0 U mL⁻¹ POX, 0.2 mM DTT, 0.4 mM ThPP, 185.1 mM PO₄³⁻, 18.5 mM MgCl₂, 185.1 mM pyruvate, 0.4 mM FAD in buffer, (C) 4.5 U mL⁻¹ POX, 0.1 mM DTT, 0.2 mM ThPP, 105.3 mM PO₄³⁻, 10.5 mM MgCl₂, 105.3 mM pyruvate, 0.2 mM FAD, 3.7 mM FcMeOH, 1.1 mM ADP, 6.3 U mL⁻¹ ACK in buffer (red), 4.1 U mL⁻¹ POX, 0.1 mM DTT, 0.2 mM ThPP, 95.0 mM PO₄³⁻, 9.5 mM MgCl₂, 95.0 mM pyruvate, 0.2 mM FAD, 3.3 mM FcMeOH, 1.0 mM ADP, 5.7 U mL⁻¹ ACK, 4.8 mM MVA, 190.0 mg L⁻¹ MVK in buffer (blue) and 8.0 U mL⁻¹ POX, 0.2 mM DTT, 0.4 mM ThPP, 185.1 mM PO₄³⁻, 18.5 mM MgCl₂, 185.1 mM pyruvate, 0.4 mM FAD in buffer (grey). The standard potentials are 0.21 V. (D) ATP concentrations of electrochemically coupled ATP production (200 mM Na₂HPO₄, 200 mM pyruvate, 0.2 mM FAD, 0.2 mM ThPP, 3.5 mM FcMeOH, 0.1 mM DTT, 10 mM MgCl₂, 15 mM ADP, 4.3 U mL⁻¹ POX, 6 U mL⁻¹ ACK, filled up to 1.5 mL with buffer, stirred at 30 °C applying 0.086 mA cm⁻² for 18 h). A control was performed without current.

With the observation of a successful redox reaction at the anode using the mediator FcMeOH, the establishment of the ATP producing reaction was addressed. Therefore, a constant current approach was chosen in a simple undivided cell in a two-electrode setup. To ensure, that the electrons are not transferred to oxygen, dithiotreitol (DTT) is added to the reaction. ATP was produced with a yield of 52% corresponding to 4.12 mM ATP (Figure 2 D). As control, an assay was performed without current in which, as a result, less ATP with 25% yield was formed. These results are in agreement with a previous report of electrochemically coupled ATP regeneration with 67% yield at pH 7 and 21% conversion without applied current [21].

With the established ATP producing electroenzymatic cascade, a regeneration was anticipated for the phosphorylation reaction of MVK. Several conditions were tested, such as varying ADP or POX concentrations and different current densities between 0.043 mA cm⁻² and 0.862 mA cm⁻² (Table 1). Using current densities of 0.431 mA cm⁻² and higher led to gas formation at the electrodes and product yields remained low. Setting the current density low at 0.043 mA cm⁻² resulted in the prolongation of the reaction time to 24 h, which led to the precipitation of reaction components. The precipitant was

hypothesized to be POX, since the assay was performed at pH 7.5 and the enzyme has an optimal pH below 7 [25]. Reaction conditions such as the pH were chosen for a possible implementation in the FPP-producing cascade [23]. Nevertheless, a yield of 62% MVAP could be achieved if 1 mM ADP was present. Adding 0.1 mM ADP resulted in a reduced yield of 41% MVAP, but significantly higher TTN_{ADP} of 61 compared to 9. In order to avoid POX precipitation, which was assumed to be time-dependent, the POX amount was increased, and the reaction time shortened by increasing the current density to 0.086 mA cm^{-2} . The yield could be increased to 84%, which corresponds to a TTN_{ADP} of 68. Control experiments without applied current did not exceed a yield of 7% MVAP in any of the conditions.

Table 1: Results of various mevalonate phosphate (MVAP) producing assays utilizing electroenzymatically coupled ATP regeneration. If not mentioned otherwise, the assay composition was 200 mM PO_4^{3-} , 200 mM pyruvate, 0.2 mM FAD, 0.2 mM ThPP, 3.5 mM FcMeOH, 0.1 mM DTT, 10 mM MgCl_2 , 0.1 mM ADP, 15 mM MVA, 4.3 U mL^{-1} POX, 6 U mL^{-1} ACK, 200 mg L^{-1} MVK in 1.5 mL buffer (100 mM of Tris-HCl, 150 mM of NaCl, 10% glycerol, 20 mM of MgCl_2 , pH 7.5). The reaction was stirred at 30 °C. Yields were calculated for the measured MVA concentration at the starting time of the reaction. TTN: total turnover number.

Assay composition	Galvanostatic conditions		Results		
	Current density [mA cm^{-2}]	Reaction time	MVAP concentration [mM]	Yield [%]	TTN_{cofactor} (mole product per mole cofactor)
1 mM ADP	0.043	29 h 5 min 10 s	9.7	62	$TTN_{ADP} = 9$
	0.043	24 h 5 min 10 s	6.1	41	$TTN_{ADP} = 61$
	0.431	5 h	1.6	14	$TTN_{ADP} = 16$
	0.862	2.5 h	0.7	7	$TTN_{ADP} = 6$
20 U mL^{-1} POX	0.086	18 h	6.8	84	$TTN_{ADP} = 68$
0.1 mM AMP instead of ADP 20 mg L^{-1} AjPPK2 30 mM polyP	0.086	18 h	3.7	61	$TTN_{AMP} = 37$

For the implementation of this ATP regeneration system in enzymatic reactions with AMP instead of ADP as dephosphorylated counterpart, a system with a PPK was tested. AjPPK2 catalyzes the reaction of AMP to ADP with polyphosphate (polyP) as phosphate donor and was previously used in a similar reaction [26]. Using AMP as starting nucleotide, a MVAP yield of 61% was reached, which corresponds to a TTN_{AMP} of 37. Albeit the lower yield, this result shows the compatibility of PPKs in electrochemically coupled reactions and that ATP regeneration can be extended to AMP producing reactions. The reaction of MVK utilizing two PPKs for ATP production and regeneration starting from AMP reached full conversion after the AMP and enzyme concentrations were optimized [26]. This shows that adjusting the substrate and enzyme concentrations for the POX and ACK system could also increase the yield and complete conversion could be reached.

Evaluation of ATP Regenerating Systems

Coupling electrochemistry to enzymes combines advantages such as substrate-, regio-, and stereoselectivity with a highly controllable reaction. Here, additional electron acceptors such as oxygen and the enzyme catalase can be omitted, since an external power source provides the electron sink for the reaction. Next to the ATP regeneration system used in this study, several other non-electrochemical ATP regeneration systems were developed such as utilizing the enzymes ACK, PK, CK, or PPK (Figure 3). An evaluation of the electrochemically coupled ATP regeneration by POX and ACK to

the established systems is conducted here in terms of the properties of the phosphate donors and metrics of exemplary reactions such as TTN, turnover frequency (TOF), or space time yield (STY). In order to regenerate ATP from adenosine phosphates *in vitro*, substrate level phosphorylation or transphosphorylation is mainly used. Therefore, another molecule as phosphate donor is necessary. To be suitable as phosphorylating agent, the Gibbs energy ΔG^0 of the hydrolysis of the donor has to be larger than that of ATP, which is $-30.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ under standard conditions. For acetyl phosphate as substrate for ACK, creatine phosphate as substrate for CK, phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) as substrate for PK, and polyP as substrate for PPK, (Figure 3) the Gibbs energy is between $-30.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $-61.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, making them suitable as ATP regenerating agents (Table 2).




Figure 3: ATP Regeneration systems utilizing various enzymes and phosphate donors.

Also, the stability and hence, half-life of the phosphate donor plays an important role. The mentioned molecules are stable between a few hours to days and even years with polyP as the most stable molecule, of the selected phosphate donors. Acetyl phosphate has the shortest half-life of a few hours but is still more stable than ATP. Especially for large-scale applications, the purchasing costs of the phosphate donors are a valid argument to use or not use a regeneration system. The costs can vary significantly between a few cents as for polyP to thousands of euros per mole as for PEP. Pyruvate plus phosphate are the second most inexpensive substrates and especially the difference to acetyl phosphate is significant, emphasizing the role of acetyl phosphate-*in situ* production as in this work.

Table 2: Properties of the phosphate donors.

Regenerating system	EC number of enzyme	Gibbs Energy ΔG^0 of the phosphate donor [kJ mol ⁻¹]	Half-life of phosphate donor [days]	Cost of phosphate donor [€ mol ⁻¹] ¹
ATP	-	-30.5 [28]	0.25 ² [29,30]	331.79 (0.5 kg pkg)
Pyruvate, PO ₄ ³⁻ – POX, ACK	1.2.3.3, 2.7.2.1	-43.3 (acetyl phosphate)		2.06 (pyruvate + PO ₄ ³⁻) (1 kg pkgs)
acetyl phosphate – ACK	2.7.2.1	-43.3 [31]	0.34 [9,32]	1855.32 (5 g pkg)
creatine phosphate – CK	2.7.3.2	-43.1 [28]	12 [9]	450.14 (25 g pkg)
PEP – PK	2.7.1.40	-61.9 [28]	16-98 [9,32]	7049.65 (1 g pkg)
polyP – PPK	2.7.4.1	-30.5 [33]	> 700 ³ [34]	0.48 (1 kg pkg)

¹ package size in brackets ² pH 8.4, 95 °C; ³ calculated with kH, first order reaction.

PolyP is the most stable and inexpensive phosphate donor, however the difference of the Gibbs energy for polyP to ATP is zero, which can make it difficult to drive thermodynamic unfavorable reactions forward [35]. On the other hand, PEP has the biggest difference to ATP in Gibbs energy, but it is also the most expensive phosphate donor. The driving forces of acetyl phosphate and creatine phosphate are similar, but they vary in their stability and their costs. Both drawbacks for acetyl phosphate can be overcome by its *in situ* production as shown in this work.

Further metrics to evaluate a reaction with included ATP regeneration are yield, conversion, TTN of enzymes (TTN_E, moles of product per mole of enzyme), TOF (TTN_E per reaction time), TTN of the cofactor (TTN_{cofactor}, moles of product per mole of cofactor), and STY (grams of product per reaction volume and time). In table 3, various enzymatic systems with ATP regeneration are listed including the mentioned metrics. In order to be an economic feasible bioprocess, certain requirements for TTN_E, TTN_{cofactor}, productivity and yield have to be met. Threshold values for good biocatalyst performance are TTN_E > 10⁴-10⁵, TOF > 1 s⁻¹, TTN_{cofactor} > 10²-10⁵, and STY > 2-20 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹, though they have to be adjusted for the type of product such as pharma, fine, or bulk chemical [4,36–39].

In our work using the ATP regeneration system, MVAP was produced with a yield of 84% MVAP and with a production rate of 0.09 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹. The TTN_{MVK} was 1218 with a TOF_{MVK} of 0.02 s⁻¹ and the TOF_{ACK} was 0.12 s⁻¹ (table 3). A TTN_{ADP} of 69 was reached and the TTN_{ACK} was 7489, which are close to the threshold values of an economic bioprocess. Hence, the electroenzymatic method holds great promise for an economical ATP regenerating process. Other studies used the same enzymes POX and ACK for ATP regeneration in 5-isobutyluridine synthesis with oxygen or an anode as electron acceptor [12,21]. Both reactions emphasize the potential of an ATP regeneration system by POX and ACK, since their metrics TTN_{ACK}, TOF_{ACK}, and TTN_{ATP} are within the range for an economic feasible bioprocess. The electrochemically coupled ATP regeneration for 5-isobutyluridine synthesis admittedly has a lower TTN_{ATP} with 94 than the oxygen coupled reaction with 145, but TTN_E and TOF show better values (TTN_{MTR kinase} of 3776 and TTN_{ACK} of 75,334 vs TTN_{MTR kinase} of 2925 and TTN_{ACK} of 57,845, and TOF_{ACK} 1.05 s⁻¹ vs 1.0 s⁻¹), indicating superiority of the current-driven reaction. During the synthesis of lacto-*N*-biose, ATP was recycled only one time by the POX, ACK and oxygen system, but higher TTN_E for the ATP consuming enzyme galactokinase (GalK) with 49,800 and higher TOFs for GalK with 1.15 s⁻¹ and ACK with 1.68 s⁻¹ were reached [40].

Large-scale application of ACK on its own with added acetyl phosphate was proven to be applicable in a multi-kilogram scale and full conversion for glucose-6-phosphate (G6P)-production was reached [41]. Though, since acetyl phosphate has a low stability (Table 2), it is often added continuously during the reaction [42,43] and using this strategy, a TTN_{ATP} of 109 could be reached for G6P production [42]. However, using acetyl phosphate as phosphate donor has some drawbacks such as cost or stability and an *in situ* production as in this work should be aspired (Table 2).

TTN_{cofactor} of many regeneration systems have lower values than the system used in our work and even the extension to the regeneration starting from AMP shows comparable values to PPKs, which were successfully implemented in enzymatic syntheses either for AMP or ADP phosphorylation [44–46]. The threshold value for TTN_{cofactor} of 100 is surpassed only from a few examples [9,12,42,47,48] and STY also seldomly reaches the threshold value of $2 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ [12,49,50]. Our system is in the lower range of the production rates, which could be due to a low substrate loading. An increased substrate loading could also increase TTN_E if the same amount of enzyme is used. Interestingly, TTN_E for the regenerating enzyme is often higher than for the ATP consuming reaction. The values of TTN_E reach the threshold value of 10^4 more often than any other metric. This does not correlate with their TOFs, in which the reaction time is accounted for and only few examples surpass the threshold of 1 s^{-1} [12,21,40,44,47]. Noticeably, often compromises have to be made for the metrics, as some exceed the values of e.g. TTN_E , but not for TTN_{cofactor} , TOF or productivity and vice versa. This stands in contrast with the POX and ACK system, which has examples with values above the threshold for all metrics.

To conclude, the POX and ACK regenerating system has advantages over other regenerating systems, such as the stability of the substrates or their low costs. The Gibbs energy of acetyl phosphate lies between all discussed phosphate donors and its *in situ* production overcomes the stability problem of acetyl phosphate and lowers the costs significantly, making it an attractive ATP regenerating system. Furthermore, in reactions with PO_4^{3-} as by-product, it can be recycled by POX and lower therefore possible inhibitions by phosphate accumulation. Acetate, a by-product of POX might even serve as substrate for the enzymatic reaction [23,51]. The metrics for an economic bioprocess have promising values and exceed many of the examples with other ATP regenerating systems. Coupling the enzymatic system to electrochemistry, offers the advantages of a highly controlled reaction and the elimination of the need for oxygen and the enzyme catalase, making it an even more attractive regeneration method.

Table 3: Reactions with ATP regeneration systems and their corresponding metrics yield, conversion, total turnover number (TTN) for the ATP consuming and ATP regenerating enzymes and for the cofactor, as well as space time yield (STY).

	Substrates and main product	Enzymes	Scale and reaction time	Yield	Conversion	TTN _E (mole product per mole enzyme)	TTN _{cofactor} (mole product per mole cofactor)	TOF (TTN _E per reaction time) [s ⁻¹]	STY [g L ⁻¹ h ⁻¹]	Other	Ref.
ACK & POX	MVA + ADP + pyruvate + PO ₄ ³⁻ + current; MVAP	MVK, POX, ACK	0.012 mmol MVA in 0.015 L; 18 h	84%	n.d.	MVK: 1218 ACK: 7489	68 (ADP)	MVK: 0.02 ACK: 0.12	0.09	-	This work
	MVA + AMP + pyruvate + PO ₄ ³⁻ + current; MVAP	MVK, POX, ACK, AjPPK2	0.009 mmol MVA in 0.015 L; 18 h	62%	n.d.	MVK: 657 ACK: 4039	37 (AMP)	MVK: 0.01 ACK: 0.06	0.05	-	This work
	5-isobutylribose + uracil + ATP + pyruvate + PO ₄ ³⁻ + current; 5-isobutyluridine	MTR kinase, UP, POX, ACK	102 mmol 5-isobutylribose in 1 L flow reactor; 20 h	n.a.	94%	MTR kinase: 3776; ACK: 75,334	94 (ATP)	MTR kinase: 0.05; ACK: 1.05	1.51	Farradaic efficiency: 76%	[21]
	uracil + 5-isobutylribose + ATP + pyruvate + PO ₄ ³⁻ + O ₂ ; 5-isobutyluridine	MTR kinase, UP, POX, ACK, catalase	272 mmol uracil in 0.8 L; 16 h	87% isolated yield	n.a.	MTR kinase: 2925; ACK: 57,845	145 (ATP)	MTR kinase: 0.05; ACK: 1.0	5.81	-	[12]
	galactose + GlcNAc + ATP + pyruvate + O ₂ ; Lacto- <i>N</i> -biose	Galk, LnbP, POX, ACK, catalase	10 mmol galactose and 5 mmol GlcNAc in 0.1 L; 12 h	96%	n.a.	Galk: 49,800; ACK: 72,744	1 (ATP)	Galk: 1.15; ACK: 1.68	1.53	0.96 mol _{LNB} mol _{GlcNAc} ⁻¹	[40]
ACK	glucose + ATP + acetyl phosphate; G6P	Hexokinase, ACK	1400 mmol glucose in 1.2 L, acetyl phosphate fed over 48h; 50h	78%	n.a.	n.a.	109 (ATP)	n.a.	1.82	-	[42]
	glucose + acetyl phosphate + ATP; G6P	GLK, ACK	0.02 mmol glucose in 0.02 L; 1 h	n.a.	97.4%	n.a.	19 (ATP)	n.a.	2.53	-	[49]
	creatine + acetyl phosphate + ATP; creatine phosphate	creatine kinase, ACK	427 mmol creatine in 3 L, acetyl phosphate fed over 36 h; 36 h	55%	n.a.	n.a.	47 (ATP)	n.a.	0.08	-	[43]

CK	G1P + LacChr + creatine phosphate + UDP; α -GalChr	α 1,3-GalT, CK, GalU, PPA, GalE	0.25 mmol G1P in 0.01 L; 49 h	63%	n.a.	n.a.	1575 (UDP)	n.a.	n.a.	-	[9]
PK	glucose + ATP + PEP; G6P	hexokinase, PK	800 mmol glucose in 1.6 L; 8.5 d	96%	n.a.	Hexokinase: $2 \cdot 10^7$; PK: $4 \cdot 10^7$	640	Hexokinase: 27.23; PK: 54.47	0.61	-	[47]
	arginine + ATP + PEP; arginine phosphate	arginine kinase, PK	1200 mmol arginine in 3 L; 11.7 d	75%	n.a.	n.a.	20 (ATP)	n.a.	0.0003	-	[52]
	ribose-5-phosphate + PO_4^{3-} + ATP + PEP; 5-phospho-D-ribosyl α -1-pyrophosphate (PRPP)	PRPP synthase, PK, adenylate kinase	100 mmol ribose-5-phosphate in 1 L; 4 d	75%	n.a.	n.a.	3 (ATP)	n.a.	0.30	-	[53]
	fucose + lactose + GTP + ATP + PEP; 2'-fucosyllactose	FKP, FucT, PK	0.61 mmol fucose in 0.01 L; 40 h	91%	n.a.	FKP: 11,712; PK: 6853	4 (ATP)	FKP: 0.081; PK: 0.048	0.68	-	[54]
	ATP + SO_4^{2-} + PEP; 3'-phosphoadenosine-5'-phosphosulfate (PAPS)	ATP sulfurylase, APS kinase, pyrophosphatase, PK	10 mmol ATP in 1 L; 6 h	n.a.	98%	APS Kinase: 12,524; PK: 10,074	1 (ATP)	APS Kinase: 0.58; PK: 0.47	0.83	-	[55]
PPK	4-methoxybenzoic acid + glucose + $NADP^+$ + ATP + polyP 4-methoxybenzaldehyde + AMP	<i>Eb</i> PPK, CAR, GDH	26 mmol 4-methoxybenzoic acid in 0.4 L; 22 h	73.4% isolated yield	>99%	CAR: 735; <i>Eb</i> PPK: 2229	64 (ATP)	CAR: 0.01; <i>Eb</i> PPK: 0.03	0.30	-	[46]
	CoA + acetate + AMP + polyP; acetyl-CoA	ACS, PAP, PPK, PPase	0.004 mmol CoA in 0.4 mL; 18 h	99.5%	99.5%	ACS: 82,848; PPK: 38,861	40 (AMP)	ACS: 1.28; PPK: 0.6	0.45	-	[44]

cysteine + L-glutamic acid + glycine + ADP + polyP ₆ ; GSH	GshF, PPK	12.5 mmol cysteine in 0.25 L; 7 h	80.24%	n.a.	GshF: 11,448; PPK: 9629	4 (ADP)	GshF: 0.45; PPK: 0.38	1.76	-	[56]
cysteine + L-glutamic acid + glycine + ADP + polyP; GSH	GshF, PPK	0.035 mmol cysteine in 0.001 L; 5 h	81.4%	n.a.	GshF: 55; PPK: 15	28 (ADP)	GshF: 0.0031; PPK: 0.00085	1.75	Rate: 14.7 mM h ⁻¹	[57]
alanine + Tyr + ATP + polyP ₆ ; Ala-Tyr	Lal, PPK	0.045 mmol alanine in 0.001 L; 3 h	89.1%	n.a.	Lal: 2729	7 (ADP)	Lal: 0.25	3.37	Rate: 13.4 mM h ⁻¹ (25.6 mM h ⁻¹ in first h)	[50]
MVA + AMP + polyP; MVAP	MVK, AjPPK2, SmPPK2	0.0175 mmol MVA in 0.35 mL; 24 h	99%	n.a.	MVK: 7850; SmPPK2: 13,406	3 (AMP)	MVK: 0.09; SmPPK2: 0.16	0.48	-	[26]
acetate + CoA + ATP + polyP; acetyl-CoA	EcACL, AjPPK2, SmPPK2	0.0001 mmol acetate in 0.1 mL; n.a.	n.a.	30-35%	EcACL: 43-50; SmPPK2: 222-259	300-350 (ATP)	n.a.	n.a.	-	[48]
acetate + CoA + ATP + polyP; acetone	Acs, Thl, AtoDA, Adc, SmPPK2, AjPPK2	0.03 mmol acetate in 0.2 mL; 24 h	n.a.	84%	Acs: 12,400; SmPPK: 12,400	6 (ATP)	Acs: 0.14; SmPPK: 0.14	0.15	-	[51]
D-fructose + ATP + polyP; D-allulose 1-phosphate	DPE, RhaB, TePPK	0.02 mmol D-fructose in 0.001 L; 6 h	n.a.	99%	n.a.	10 (ATP)	n.a.	0.85	-	[58]

n.a. not available; n.d. not determined; ACK: acetate kinase; ACL: arylamine-*N*-acetyltransferase; ACS: acetyl-CoA synthase; ADC: acetoacetate decarboxylase; ADP: adenosine-5'-diphosphate; Ala: alanine; AMP: adenosine-5'-monophosphate; APS: adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate; AtoDA: acetoacetyl-CoA transferase; ATP: adenosine-5'-triphosphate; CAR: carboxylic acid reductase; CoA: coenzyme A; CK: creatine kinase; DPE: D-psicose epimerase; FKP: L-fucokinase/GDP-L-fucose phosphorylase; FucT: α -1,2-fucosyltransferase; G1P: glucose-1-phosphate; G6P: glucose-6-phosphate; GalK: galactokinase; GalE: UDP-Gal 4'-epimerase; GalU: glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase; GDH: glucose dehydrogenase; GlcNAc: *N*-acetylglucosamine; GLK: glucokinase; GSH: Glutathione; GshF: GSH synthetase; LacChr: (4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrophenyl)methyl O-(β -D-galactopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside; Lal: L-amino acid ligase; LnbP: lacto-*N*-biose phosphorylase; MTR kinase: S-methyl-5-thioribose kinase; MVA: mevalonate; MVAP mevalonate phosphate; MVK: mevalonate kinase; PAP: polyphosphate-AMP phosphotransferase; PEP: phosphoenolpyruvate; PK: pyruvate kinase; PPA: inorganic phosphatase; PPK: polyphosphate kinase; polyP: polyphosphate; POX: pyruvate oxidase; RhaB: L-rhamnulose kinase; Thl: thiolase; Tyr: tyrosine; UDP: uridine-5'-diphosphate; UP: uridine phosphorylase.

Conclusion

An ATP regenerating system coupled to electrochemistry utilizing POX and ACK was established for the ATP consuming enzyme MVK reaching a yield of 84% for the product MVAP and a TTN_{ADP} of 68. Furthermore, it could be shown, that the coupling of PPKs is possible for an AMP generating reaction, which broadens the spectrum of applications even more. In addition, its substrates have some advantages in comparison to other ATP regenerating systems, such as the costs or the stability, emphasizing the *in situ* production of acetyl phosphate. Further metrics of our reaction such as TTN_{ATP} and TTN_{ACK} show promising values for an economic feasible bioprocess and exceed many other examples. This indicates that even higher ATP concentrations and $TTN_{cofactor}$ are expected by the optimization and fine-tuning of the reaction or directed evolution of the enzymes. The electric source is a strong driving force towards the product side for the ATP-coupled reaction and many applications await for the testing for their compatibility.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Chemicals were purchased from Acros Organics (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), AppliChem (AppliChem GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany), Merck (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), Roth (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany), Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA), TCI (TCI Deutschland GmbH, Eschborn, Germany), ThermoFisher (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and VWR (VWR international GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany).

Protein production

Plasmids of MVK and AjPPK2 were kindly provided by Frank Schulz and Jennifer Andexer and they were expressed and purified as explained in [26,59]. POX and ACK were purchased from Merck (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany).

Cyclic Voltammograms

Electrochemical experiments were performed using a BioLogic SP-50e potentiostat with EC Lab[®] software (BioLogic, V11.43). Cyclic voltammograms were performed using a platin wire (57 mm, 0.5 mm) as counter electrode, an Ag/AgCl (3 M NaCl, RE-1BP) as reference electrode and a glassy carbon electrode (GCE, OD: 6 mm, ID 3 mm) as working electrode. The potential was varied between -0.3 V to 0.8 V. The concentrations of the components were 5.7 mM FcMeOH in buffer (100 mM of Tris-HCl, 150 mM of NaCl, 10% glycerol, 20 mM of MgCl₂, pH 7.5) (Figure 2 A), 8.0 U mL⁻¹ POX, 0.2 mM DTT, 0.4 mM ThPP, 185.1 mM PO₄³⁻, 18.5 mM MgCl₂, 185.1 mM pyruvate, 0.4 mM FAD in buffer (Figure 2 B), 4.5 U mL⁻¹ POX, 0.1 mM DTT, 0.2 mM ThPP, 105.3 mM PO₄³⁻, 10.5 mM MgCl₂, 105.3 mM pyruvate, 0.2 mM FAD, 3.7 mM FcMeOH, 1.1 mM ADP, 6.3 U mL⁻¹ ACK in buffer (Figure 2 C, red), 4.1 U mL⁻¹ POX, 0.1 mM DTT, 0.2 mM ThPP, 95.0 mM PO₄³⁻, 9.5 mM MgCl₂, 95.0 mM pyruvate, 0.2 mM FAD, 3.3 mM FcMeOH, 1.0 mM ADP, 5.7 U mL⁻¹ ACK, 4.8 mM MVA, 190.0 mg L⁻¹ MVK in buffer (Figure 2 C, blue).

Electrochemical ATP production and regeneration

The assays were performed in a HPLC vial, using a magnetic stirrer and a water bath, heated to 30 °C. Assay composition for ATP production was 200 mM Na₂HPO₄, 200 mM pyruvate, 0.2 mM FAD, 0.2 mM ThPP, 3.5 mM FcMeOH, 0.1 mM DTT, 10 mM MgCl₂, 15 mM ADP, 4.3 U mL⁻¹ POX, 6 U mL⁻¹ ACK, filled up to 1.5 mL with Tris-based buffer (100 mM of Tris-HCl, 150 mM of NaCl, 10% glycerol, 20 mM of MgCl₂, pH 7.5). A glassy carbon rod (2.0 mm, 1.16 cm²) was used as anode and a platinum wire (0.5 mm, 0.28 cm²) as a cathode. Constant current electrolysis was applying 0.086 mA cm⁻² for 18 h (6.48 C). For ATP regeneration, 0.1 mM ADP, 15 mM MVA, and 200 mg L⁻¹ MVK were added, if not mentioned otherwise with constant densities between 0.043 mA cm⁻² and 0.862 mA cm⁻² (4.32-9.00 C). Samples were inactivated at 95 °C for 5 min.

Analytics

Samples were centrifuged and diluted with water prior to injection. MVA and MVAP were analyzed using a SeQuant[®] ZIC[®]-pHILIC 5 μm polymer, 150 × 2.1 mm column (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) with a LC-MS (1260 Infinity II LC system combined with 6120 Quadrupole MS (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA)). The column oven was heated to 40 °C, the injection volume was set to 3 μL and the flow rate was set to 0.2 mL min⁻¹. The following gradient of mobile phase A (90% 10 mM NH₄Ac, pH 9.2, 10% ACN) and mobile phase B (90% acetonitrile, 10% 10 mM NH₄Ac, pH 9.2) was used: 0 min: 0% A; 1 min: 0% A; 30 min: 75% A; 34 min: 100% A; 39 min: 100% A; 49 min: 0% A; 64 min: 0% A. The MS measurement was performed in negative mode. The parameters for electron spray ionization (ESI) were set to the following: drying gas temperature: 350 °C, drying gas flow: 12 L min⁻¹, nebulizer

pressure: 35 psig, capillary voltage: 3500 V. Analytes were detected in the selected ion mode (SIM) with either m/z of 426, 426.1, and 426.2 for ADP and 506, 506.1 and 506.2 for ATP or m/z of 147.0 and 147.1 during the first 15 min to detect MVA and 226.9, 227, 227.1, and 455.0 for monomeric and dimeric MVAP from 15 to 33 min. Yields were calculated for the measured MVA concentration at the starting time before applying current to the reaction.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under the priority programme SPP 2240 “eBiotech” (Bioelectrochemical and engineering fundamentals to establish electro-biotechnology for biosynthesis–Power to value-added products) (WA 1276/27-1 and grant agreement No 445751305).

The authors would like to thank Frank Schulz for providing the plasmid of MVK and Jennifer Andexer for providing the plasmids of the PPKs. The authors would like to acknowledge the support with analytics by Sascha Nehring and Don Marvin Voss.

References

1. Andexer, J.N.; Richter, M. Emerging Enzymes for ATP Regeneration in Biocatalytic Processes. *ChemBioChem* **2015**, *16*, 380–386, doi:10.1002/cbic.201402550.
2. Richter, M. Functional diversity of organic molecule enzyme cofactors. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2013**, *30*, 1324, doi:10.1039/c3np70045c.
3. Langer, R.S.; Hamilton, B.K.; Gardner, C.R.; Archer, M.C.; Colton, C.K. Enzymatic regeneration of ATP. I. Alternative routes. *AIChE J.* **1976**, *22*, 1079–1090, doi:10.1002/aic.690220619.
4. Woodyer, R.D.; Johannes, T.W.; Zhao, H. Regeneration of Cofactors for Enzyme Biocatalysis. In *Enzyme Technology*; Springer New York: New York, NY, 2006; pp. 85–103.
5. Murata, K.; Uchida, T.; Kato, J.; Chibata, I. Polyphosphate Kinase: Distribution, Some Properties and Its Application as an ATP Regeneration System. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **1988**, *52*, 1471–1477, doi:10.1080/00021369.1988.10868895.
6. Noguchi, T.; Shiba, T. Use of *Escherichia coli* Polyphosphate Kinase for Oligosaccharide Synthesis. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **1998**, *62*, 1594–1596, doi:10.1271/bbb.62.1594.
7. Shih, Y.-S.; Whitesides, G.M. Large-scale ATP-requiring enzymic phosphorylation of creatine can be driven by enzymic ATP regeneration. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 4165–4166, doi:10.1021/jo00445a046.
8. Hirschbein, B.L.; Mazenod, F.P.; Whitesides, G.M. Synthesis of phosphoenolpyruvate and its use in ATP cofactor regeneration. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 3765–3766, doi:10.1021/jo00140a036.
9. Zhang, J.; Wu, B.; Zhang, Y.; Kowal, P.; Wang, P.G. Creatine Phosphate–Creatine Kinase in Enzymatic Synthesis of Glycoconjugates. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 2583–2586, doi:10.1021/ol034319a.
10. Suryatin Alim, G.; Okano, K.; Honda, K. One-Step Preparation of Cell-Free ATP Regeneration Module Based on Non-Oxidative Glycolysis Using Thermophilic Enzymes. *ChemBioChem* **2022**, *23*, e202200210, doi:10.1002/cbic.202200210.
11. Kim, D.-M.; Swartz, J.R. Prolonging cell-free protein synthesis with a novel ATP regeneration system. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **1999**, *66*, 180–188, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0290(1999)66:3<180::AID-BIT6>3.0.CO;2-S.
12. McIntosh, J.A.; Benkovics, T.; Silverman, S.M.; Huffman, M.A.; Kong, J.; Maligres, P.E.; Itoh, T.; Yang, H.; Verma, D.; Pan, W.; et al. Engineered Ribosyl-1-Kinase Enables Concise Synthesis of Molnupiravir, an Antiviral for COVID-19. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2021**, *7*, 1980–1985, doi:10.1021/acscentsci.1c00608.
13. Li, Y.; Liu, G.; Kong, W.; Zhang, S.; Bao, Y.; Zhao, H.; Wang, L.; Zhou, L.; Jiang, Y. Electrocatalytic NAD(P)H regeneration for biosynthesis. *Green Chem. Eng.* **2023**, doi:10.1016/j.gce.2023.02.001.
14. Lee, Y.S.; Gerulskis, R.; Minter, S.D. Advances in electrochemical cofactor regeneration: enzymatic and non-enzymatic approaches. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2022**, *73*, 14–21, doi:10.1016/J.COPBIO.2021.06.013.
15. Jeon, S.J.; Shin, I.H.; Sang, B.I.; Park, D.H. Electrochemical regeneration of FAD by catalytic electrode without electron mediator and biochemical reducing power. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2005**, *15*, 281–286.
16. Chen, H.; Simoska, O.; Lim, K.; Grattieri, M.; Yuan, M.; Dong, F.; Lee, Y.S.; Beaver, K.;

- Weliwatte, S.; Gaffney, E.M.; et al. Fundamentals, Applications, and Future Directions of Bioelectrocatalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120*, 12903–12993, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00472.
17. Steckhan†, E.; Arns, T.; Heineman, W.R.; Hilt, G.; Hoormann, D.; Jörisen, J.; Kröner, L.; Lewall, B.; Pütter, H. Environmental protection and economization of resources by electroorganic and electroenzymatic syntheses. *Chemosphere* **2001**, *43*, 63–73, doi:10.1016/S0045-6535(00)00325-8.
 18. Schmitz, L.M.; Rosenthal, K.; Lütz, S. Enzyme-Based Electrobiotechnological Synthesis. In *Bioelectrosynthesis. Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*; 2017; pp. 87–134.
 19. Bachosz, K.; Zdarta, J.; Bilal, M.; Meyer, A.S.; Jesionowski, T. Enzymatic cofactor regeneration systems: A new perspective on efficiency assessment. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2023**, *868*, 161630, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.161630.
 20. García-Molina, G.; Natale, P.; Coito, A.M.; Cava, D.G.; A. C. Pereira, I.; López-Montero, I.; Vélez, M.; Pita, M.; De Lacey, A.L. Electro-enzymatic ATP regeneration coupled to biocatalytic phosphorylation reactions. *Bioelectrochemistry* **2023**, *152*, 108432, doi:10.1016/j.bioelechem.2023.108432.
 21. Ruccolo, S.; Brito, G.; Christensen, M.; Itoh, T.; Mattern, K.; Stone, K.; Strotman, N.A.; Sun, A.C. Electrochemical Recycling of Adenosine Triphosphate in Biocatalytic Reaction Cascades. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 22582–22588, doi:10.1021/jacs.2c08955.
 22. Tchen, T.T. Mevalonic Kinase: Purification and Properties. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1958**, *233*, 1100–1103, doi:10.1016/S0021-9258(19)77347-5.
 23. Siedentop, R.; Dziennus, M.; Lütz, S.; Rosenthal, K. Debottlenecking of an In Vitro Enzyme Cascade Using a Combined Model- and Experiment-Based Approach. *Chemie Ing. Tech.* **2023**, *95*, 543–548, doi:10.1002/cite.202200170.
 24. Brielbeck, B.; Frede, M.; Steckhan, E. Continuous Electroenzymatic Synthesis Employing the Electrochemical Enzyme Membrane Reactor. *Biocatalysis* **1994**, *10*, 49–64, doi:10.3109/10242429409065217.
 25. Sedewitz, B.; Schleifer, K.H.; Götz, F. Purification and biochemical characterization of pyruvate oxidase from *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *J. Bacteriol.* **1984**, *160*, 273–278, doi:10.1128/jb.160.1.273-278.1984.
 26. Siedentop, R.; Siska, M.; Möller, N.; Lanzrath, H.; von Lieres, E.; Lütz, S.; Rosenthal, K. Bayesian Optimization for an ATP-Regenerating In Vitro Enzyme Cascade. *Catalysts* **2023**, *13*, 468, doi:10.3390/catal13030468.
 27. Zheng, T.; Li, J.; Ji, Y.; Zhang, W.; Fang, Y.; Xin, F.; Dong, W.; Wei, P.; Ma, J.; Jiang, M. Progress and Prospects of Bioelectrochemical Systems: Electron Transfer and Its Applications in the Microbial Metabolism. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *8*, doi:10.3389/fbioe.2020.00010.
 28. Berg, J.M.; Tymoczko, J.L.; Gatto, G.J.; Stryer, L. *Biochemistry*; 8th ed.; W. H. Freeman: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2018; Vol. 162; ISBN 978-3-662-54619-2.
 29. Kiani, F.A.; Fischer, S. Stabilization of the ADP/Metaphosphate Intermediate during ATP Hydrolysis in Pre-power Stroke Myosin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2013**, *288*, 35569–35580, doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.500298.
 30. Trentham, D.R.; Eccleston, J.F.; Bagshaw, C.R. Kinetic Analysis of ATPase Mechanisms. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **1976**, *9*, 217–281, doi:10.1017/S0033583500002419.
 31. Morton, A.R. Exercise Physiology. In *Pediatric Respiratory Medicine*; Elsevier, 2008; pp. 89–99.

32. Crans, D.C.; Kazlauskas, R.J.; Hirschbein, B.L.; Wong, C.-H.; Abril, O.; Whitesides, G.M. Enzymatic regeneration of adenosine 5'-triphosphate: Acetyl phosphate, phosphoenolpyruvate, methoxycarbonyl phosphate, dihydroxyacetone phosphate, 5-phospho- α -d-ribose pyrophosphate, uridine-5'-diphosphoglucose. In *Methods in Enzymology*; 1987; pp. 263–280.
33. Müller, W.E.G.; Schröder, H.C.; Wang, X. Inorganic Polyphosphates As Storage for and Generator of Metabolic Energy in the Extracellular Matrix. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 12337–12374, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00460.
34. Watanabe, M.; Sato, S.; Saito, H. The Mechanism of the Hydrolysis of Condensed Phosphates. II. The Mechanism of the Degradation of Long-chain Polyphosphates. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1975**, *48*, 896–898, doi:10.1246/bcsj.48.896.
35. Keppler, M.; Moser, S.; Jessen, H.J.; Held, C.; Andexer, J.N. Make or break: the thermodynamic equilibrium of polyphosphate kinase-catalysed reactions. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2022**, *18*, 1278–1288, doi:10.3762/bjoc.18.134.
36. Tavanti, M.; Hosford, J.; Lloyd, R.C.; Brown, M.J.B. Recent Developments and Challenges for the Industrial Implementation of Polyphosphate Kinases. *ChemCatChem* **2021**, *13*, 3565–3580, doi:10.1002/cctc.202100688.
37. Zhao, H.; van der Donk, W.A. Regeneration of cofactors for use in biocatalysis. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2003**, *14*, 583–589, doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2003.09.007.
38. Bommarius, A.S.; Riebel, B.R. *Biocatalysis*; Wiley-VCH, 2004; ISBN 978-3-527-60605-4.
39. Tufvesson, P.; Lima-Ramos, J.; Haque, N. Al; Gernaey, K. V.; Woodley, J.M. Advances in the Process Development of Biocatalytic Processes. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2013**, *17*, 1233–1238, doi:10.1021/op4001675.
40. Du, Z.; Liu, Z.; Tan, Y.; Niu, K.; Guo, W.; Jia, Y.; Fang, X. Lacto-N-biose synthesis via a modular enzymatic cascade with ATP regeneration. *iScience* **2021**, *24*, 102236, doi:10.1016/j.isci.2021.102236.
41. Nakajima, H.; Kondo, H.; Tsurutani, R.; Dombou, M.; Tomioka, I.; Tomita, K. Industrial Application of Adenosine 5'-Triphosphate Regeneration to Synthesis of Sugar Phosphates. In *Enzymes in Carbohydrate Synthesis*; UTC, 1991; Vol. 10, pp. 111–120.
42. Pollak, A.; Baughn, R.L.; Whitesides, G.M. Large-Scale Enzymatic Synthesis with Cofactor Regeneration: Glucose 6-Phosphate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 304.
43. Shih, Y.-S.; Whitesides, G.M. Large-scale ATP-requiring enzymic phosphorylation of creatine can be driven by enzymic ATP regeneration. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 4165–4166, doi:10.1021/jo00445a046.
44. Kameda, A.; Shiba, T.; Kawazoe, Y.; Satoh, Y.; Ihara, Y.; Munekata, M.; Ishige, K.; Noguchi, T. A novel ATP regeneration system using polyphosphate-AMP phosphotransferase and polyphosphate kinase. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **2001**, *91*, 557–563, doi:10.1016/S1389-1723(01)80173-0.
45. Resnick, S.M.; Zehnder, A.J.B. In Vitro ATP Regeneration from Polyphosphate and AMP by Polyphosphate:AMP Phosphotransferase and Adenylate Kinase from *Acinetobacter johnsonii* 210A. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2000**, *66*, 2045–2051, doi:10.1128/AEM.66.5.2045-2051.2000.
46. Tavanti, M.; Hosford, J.; Lloyd, R.C.; Brown, M.J.B. ATP regeneration by a single polyphosphate kinase powers multigram-scale aldehyde synthesis in vitro. *Green Chem.* **2021**, *23*, 828–837, doi:10.1039/D0GC03830J.

47. Hirschbein, B.L.; Mazenod, F.P.; Whitesides, G.M. Synthesis of phosphoenolpyruvate and its use in ATP cofactor regeneration. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 3765–3766, doi:10.1021/jo00140a036.
48. Mordhorst, S.; Maurer, A.; Popadić, D.; Brech, J.; Andexer, J.N. A Flexible Polyphosphate-Driven Regeneration System for Coenzyme A Dependent Catalysis. *ChemCatChem* **2017**, *9*, 4164–4168, doi:10.1002/CCTC.201700848.
49. Yan, B.; Ding, Q.; Ou, L.; Zou, Z. Production of glucose-6-phosphate by glucokinase coupled with an ATP regeneration system. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *30*, 1123–1128, doi:10.1007/s11274-013-1534-7.
50. Cui, X.; Du, X.; Zhao, Q.; Hu, Y.; Tian, C.; Song, W. Efficient synthesis of Ala-Tyr by L-amino acid ligase coupled with ATP regeneration system. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2023**, 1–11, doi:10.1007/s12010-023-04365-5.
51. Kozaeva, E.; Nieto-Domínguez, M.; Hernández, A.D.; Nikel, P.I. Synthetic metabolism for in vitro acetone biosynthesis driven by ATP regeneration. *RSC Chem. Biol.* **2022**, *3*, 1331–1341, doi:10.1039/D2CB00170E.
52. Bolte, J.; Whitesides, G.M. Enzymatic synthesis of arginine phosphate with coupled ATP cofactor regeneration. *Bioorg. Chem.* **1984**, *12*, 170–175, doi:10.1016/0045-2068(84)90026-9.
53. Gross, A.; Abril, O.; Lewis, J.M.; Geresh, S.; Whitesides, G.M. Practical synthesis of 5-phospho-D-ribosyl .alpha.-1-pyrophosphate (PRPP): enzymatic routes from ribose 5-phosphate or ribose. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 7428–7435, doi:10.1021/ja00363a037.
54. Li, C.; Wu, M.; Gao, X.; Zhu, Z.; Li, Y.; Lu, F.; Qin, H.-M. Efficient Biosynthesis of 2'-Fucosyllactose Using an In Vitro Multienzyme Cascade. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2020**, *68*, 10763–10771, doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.0c04221.
55. An, C.; Zhao, L.; Wei, Z.; Zhou, X. Chemoenzymatic synthesis of 3'-phosphoadenosine-5'-phosphosulfate coupling with an ATP regeneration system. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *101*, 7535–7544, doi:10.1007/s00253-017-8511-2.
56. Cao, H.; Li, C.; Zhao, J.; Wang, F.; Tan, T.; Liu, L. Enzymatic Production of Glutathione Coupling with an ATP Regeneration System Based on Polyphosphate Kinase. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *185*, 385–395, doi:10.1007/s12010-017-2664-4.
57. Zhang, X.; Wu, H.; Huang, B.; Li, Z.; Ye, Q. One-pot synthesis of glutathione by a two-enzyme cascade using a thermophilic ATP regeneration system. *J. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *241*, 163–169, doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.11.034.
58. Xiao, Q.; Niu, J.; Liu, H.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, X. High Conversion of d-Fructose into d-Allulose by Enzymes Coupling with an ATP Regeneration System. *Mol. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *61*, 432–441, doi:10.1007/s12033-019-00174-6.
59. Becker, M.; Nikel, P.; Andexer, J.N.; Lütz, S.; Rosenthal, K. A Multi-Enzyme Cascade Reaction for the Production of 2'3'-cGAMP. *Biomolecules* **2021**, *11*, 590, doi:10.3390/biom11040590.