

COMBINATORIAL GENERATORS FOR THE COHOMOLOGY OF TORIC ARRANGEMENTS

FILIPPO CALLEGARO AND EMANUELE DELUCCHI

ABSTRACT. We give a new combinatorial description of the cohomology ring structure of $H^*(M(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z})$ of the complement $M(\mathcal{A})$ of a real complexified toric arrangement \mathcal{A} in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$. In particular, we correct an error in the paper [CD17].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Toric arrangements	3
2.1. Arrangements: linear and toric	3
2.2. Layers and faces	3
2.3. Real arrangements and sign vectors	4
2.4. Toric Arrangements and face category	4
2.5. Local hyperplane arrangements	5
2.6. Affine Salvetti complex	5
2.7. Toric Salvetti complex	6
3. Combinatorial generators	6
3.1. The generators $\hat{\lambda}_B^M$	7
3.2. The generators $\hat{\omega}_H$	11
4. Cohomology of quotients and subcomplexes	13
4.1. Quotients of toric arrangements	13
4.2. Group action and inclusions	17
5. Presentation of the cohomology ring	20
5.1. Choices for a presentation	20
5.2. Ideals and cohomology maps	22
5.3. The cohomology ring	25
Appendix A.	32
References	34

1. INTRODUCTION

Research on the topology of configuration spaces gave rise to the study of a more general class of topological spaces, i.e., complements of arrangements of submanifolds. The general question in this field is to determine the topology of the complement of an arrangement of submanifolds in an ambient manifold, based on the combinatorial (i.e., incidence) data of the submanifolds themselves. The case of hyperplanes in real and complex vector spaces spurred a rich field of research.

A main result on complements of arrangements of hyperplanes is the presentation of the integer cohomology algebra by Orlik and Solomon [OS80] in terms of the arrangement's matroid. As combinatorial models for the homotopy types of hyperplane arrangement's complements have been developed, Orlik and Solomon's work has been enhanced by the study of explicit combinatorial generators of the integer cohomology of the arrangement's complement, see e.g., [BZ92, FZ00, GM83]. In other words, starting from a cellular model for the arrangement's complement, one seeks to explicitly identify some subcomplexes representing cohomology classes that generate the cohomology groups (or the cohomology algebra) over the integers.

This paper focusses on arrangements of subtori in complex tori (see Section 2 for a precise definition). Fresh impulse for this further chapter in the study of arrangements of submanifolds was given by work of De Concini and Procesi. Among other things, they obtained first results on the computation of the cohomology algebra of the complement. This program was followed up in the literature [dD15, Bib16, Dup16, CDD⁺20, CD17]. In particular, an explicit and general presentation of the rational and integer cohomology algebra of the complement to a toric arrangement was given in [CDD⁺20, CDD⁺21], in terms of algebraic-combinatorial data of the arrangement. Moreover, for another bit of analogy with the theory of hyperplane arrangements, combinatorial cellular models for the complement of toric arrangements (so-called "toric Salvetti complexes") have been developed in [MS11, dD12]. In [CD17] the attempt has been made to present the integer cohomology algebra of toric arrangements with explicit combinatorial generators given by subcomplexes of those models. However, some of the arguments given in [CD17] do not hold in the generality that is claimed in that paper.

Here we approach anew the problem of finding explicit combinatorial generators for the cohomology of (complexified) toric arrangements. We give a constructive definition of two types of subcomplexes of the "toric Salvetti complex" of a given complexified toric arrangement, and we show that they represent cohomology classes that generate the (integer) cohomology ring. Our results in this paper hold for general "complexified" toric arrangements.

In addition to correcting the flawed part of [CD17], our construction should pave the way for an easier explicit computational exploration of examples.

We start off outlining the main definitions and recalling some generalities about linear and toric arrangements - this is the content of Section 2. Then in Section 3 we construct the subcomplexes of the toric Salvetti complex of a complexified toric arrangement that, in Section 4, are shown to be representatives of generating classes for the

arrangement complement's cohomology ring. We close by illustrating the construction and the computations with an example of an arrangement in the 2-dimensional torus.

2. TORIC ARRANGEMENTS

We start by recalling some basics on the topology and combinatorics of toric arrangements. We will confine ourselves strictly to what is needed for the remainder of the paper. For a more thorough treatment (including context and more examples) we refer to [DCP11] (for general setup, §2.1 and §2.2), [BLVS⁺93] (for §2.2, §2.3), [Sal87] for §2.6, [DP21, Appendix] (for §2.4) and [CD17] (for §2.5 §2.7).

2.1. Arrangements: linear and toric. An *arrangement* is a locally finite set \mathcal{A} of submanifolds of a given manifold X . The *complement* of the arrangement is then the space

$$M(\mathcal{A}) := X \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}.$$

We call \mathcal{A} a “real” arrangement of hyperplanes if X is \mathbb{R}^d and \mathcal{A} consists of affine hyperplanes, i.e., levelsets of linear forms. The *complexification* of such a real arrangement is the arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ in \mathbb{C}^d whose defining forms are the same as those for \mathcal{A} .

In the case where the ambient space is $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$, a complex torus, we let a *toric arrangement* \mathcal{A} be a finite collection $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$, where for every i we define $Y_i := \chi^{-1}(a_i)$, with $\chi_i \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*)$, $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$. We say that the arrangement \mathcal{A} is *complexified* if $a_i \in S^1$ for all i . In the latter case, the toric arrangement induces an arrangement in the compact torus $(S^1)^d$. Note that the universal cover of the complex torus T is a real Euclidean space, where we have an arrangement of affine hyperplanes \mathcal{A}^\dagger obtained by lifting \mathcal{A} . The universal covering morphism of T restricts to a map q from the complement $M(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$ to $M(\mathcal{A})$.

2.2. Layers and faces. Let \mathcal{A} be either an arrangement of hyperplanes or a toric arrangement, and let X denote the ambient space. A *layer* of an arrangement \mathcal{A} is any connected component of an intersection of elements of \mathcal{A} . We call \mathcal{C} the set of all layers, partially ordered by reverse inclusion. The arrangement \mathcal{A} is called *essential* if the maximal elements of \mathcal{C} have dimension 0. The poset \mathcal{C} is ranked (by codimension of the layers, when \mathcal{A} is essential) and we denote by \mathcal{C}_i the set of elements of \mathcal{C} with rank i . To each $L \in \mathcal{C}$ we can associate the arrangement $\mathcal{A}_L = \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid L \subseteq H\}$ in X and the arrangement $\mathcal{A}^L = \{H \cap L \mid H \notin \mathcal{A}_L\}$ determined by \mathcal{A} in the space L .

Remark 2.2.1. In the case of an arrangement of hyperplanes, every nonempty intersection is connected. We thus often speak of “intersections” or “flats” when referring to layers. This terminology is motivated

by the fact that, if the hyperplane arrangement is *central* (i.e., if all hyperplanes are linear subspaces), the poset of all intersections is the lattice of flats of a matroid.

2.3. Real arrangements and sign vectors. Let \mathcal{H} be a real arrangement of affine hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^d . After choosing a “positive side” of each hyperplane we can associate to every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a *sign vector* $\gamma_x \in \{0, +, -\}^{\mathcal{H}}$ whose value on any hyperplane H is $0, +, -$ according to whether x lies on H , on the positive side of H or on the negative side of H . A *face* of \mathcal{H} is then the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with a fixed sign vector. The set $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ of all faces is partially ordered by inclusion of topological closures. The top-dimensional faces are called *chambers* and the set of chambers is denoted by $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$. The set of hyperplanes that separate two given chambers C_1, C_2 is denoted by $S(C_1, C_2)$. Any codimension-one face of a chamber C is called a *wall* of C . A *gallery* is then an ordered sequence C_1, W_1, C_2, \dots where all C_i are chambers and every W_i is a wall of both C_i and C_{i+1} .

Definition 2.3.1. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ a face of \mathcal{H} and $X \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$ a layer. We define the face $F_X \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_X)$ as the unique face such that for all $H \in \mathcal{H}_X$ $\gamma_{F_X}(H) = \gamma_F(H)$.

For $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$, we define $F_G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ as the unique face such that

$$\gamma_{F_G}(H) = \begin{cases} \gamma_F(H) & \text{if } \gamma_G(H) = 0; \\ \gamma_G(H) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.4. Toric Arrangements and face category. Let \mathcal{A} be a toric arrangement. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ the *face category* of \mathcal{A} , whose objects are all faces of the induced (polyhedral) cellularization of the compact torus and where morphisms $F \rightarrow G$ correspond to the boundary cells of G attached to F (see [dD15, Remark 3.3]). Given any $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$, there is a unique minimal layer containing F , called the support of F and denoted by $\text{supp}(F)$.

Remark 2.4.1. Note that the category $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ is the quotient (in the category of acyclic categories) of the poset $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$ by the group action induced by the deck transformations of the covering $\mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow T$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ is the face category of a polyhedral CW complex in the sense of [DP21] and its slice categories are posets of faces of polytopes ([DP21, Lemma A.19]).

Remark 2.4.2. Recall that to every acyclic category \mathcal{X} is associated a cellular complex called the *nerve* $|\mathcal{X}|$. If \mathcal{X} is the face category of a polyhedral CW complex, then its nerve is homeomorphic to the complex itself.

We will follow established use and, throughout, talk about topological properties of an acyclic category (or a poset) referring to the topology of its nerve.

2.5. Local hyperplane arrangements. Let \mathcal{A} denote a toric arrangement in the complex torus T . To every face $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ we associate a real arrangement of linear hyperplanes $\mathcal{A}[F]$, i.e., the real part of the (complexified) hyperplane arrangement defined by \mathcal{A} in the tangent space to T at any point in the relative interior of F . Moreover, associated to the toric arrangement \mathcal{A} we consider an “abstract” arrangement of hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^d that we call \mathcal{A}_0 , which can be thought of as the union (without repetitions) of all $\mathcal{A}[F]$ where F ranges in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$. Key is the fact that, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{A}[F]$ is a subarrangement of \mathcal{A}_0 . In particular, for every layer $L \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a subspace $X_L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ defined as the intersection of the hyperplanes associated to hypertori containing L . Given any $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$, we let X_L^F be the smallest flat of $\mathcal{A}[F]$ containing X_L .

For every $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ we will consider the poset of faces $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[F])$ and the set $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}[F])$ of chambers of the (“local”) real arrangement $\mathcal{A}[F]$. For every morphism $m : F \rightarrow G$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ there is a natural inclusion $i_m : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[G]) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[F])$ and in particular we call F_m the image of the minimal element of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[G])$, see [CD17, §4.1]. The map i_m can be defined in terms of sign vectors.

Definition 2.5.1. The map i_m is determined as follows:

$$\gamma_{i_m(K)}(H) := \begin{cases} \gamma_{F_m}(H) & \text{if } H \notin \mathcal{A}[G] \\ \gamma_K(H) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

2.6. Affine Salvetti complex. Given a real arrangement \mathcal{H} of affine hyperplanes, say in a real vector space V , one can construct the associated Salvetti complex $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{H})$, which models the homotopy type of the complement of the complexification of \mathcal{H} (in the ambient - complex - vector space $V \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq V + iV$). A natural construction of $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{H})$ is as the order complex of the partially ordered set

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) := \{[G, C] \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) \mid G \leq C\},$$

partially ordered via $[G, C] \geq [G', C']$ if $C_{G'} = C'$ (this means: no hyperplane containing G' separates C from C' , see [Sal87]).

The gist now is that any choice of a point $p([G, C])$ in every open, convex subset $G + iC$, where $[G, C] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, can be extended affinely to a simplicial map $p : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (i.e., the simplex spanned by a chain $x_1 < \dots < x_k$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is mapped affinely to the simplex $\text{conv}\{p(x_i)\}_i$ in $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$) and this extension defines an inclusion $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \hookrightarrow M(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ that is a homotopy equivalence.

Let us now go back to the special arrangements of the type $\mathcal{A}[F]$, $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$, where \mathcal{A} is a toric arrangement. For each chamber C of $\mathcal{A}[F]$ we consider the subposet

$$\mathcal{S}_C := \{[G, K] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[F]) \mid K = C_G\}$$

It will be useful to stratify $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[F])$ via the subposets

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{S}^G(\mathcal{A}[F]) := \bigcup_{C \geq G} \mathcal{S}_C,$$

one for each $G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[F])$. For details on these constructions see [CD17, §3.3].

2.7. Toric Salvetti complex. Returning to the toric arrangement \mathcal{A} , a model for the complement of $M(\mathcal{A})$ can be obtained from the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{D} : & \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})^{op} & \rightarrow \text{Posets} \\ & F & \mapsto \mathcal{D}(F) := \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[F]) \\ m : F \rightarrow G & \mapsto \mathcal{D}(m) : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[G]) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[F]) \\ & [G, K] & \mapsto [i_m(G), i_m(K)] \end{array}$$

Definition 2.7.1. Define the category $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) := \int \mathcal{D}$ (here $\int \mathcal{D}$ is the "Grothendieck construction" studied by Thomason [Tho79]). Then, let $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ be the cell complex $|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})|$, which can be realized as the quotient $q(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger))$ and, thus, as a subset of $M(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 2.7.2 ([dD15]). *$\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ is homotopy equivalent to $M(\mathcal{A})$.*

Crucial to our discussion will be the following type of subcategories of $\int \mathcal{D}$.

Definition 2.7.3. For every $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and every $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ whose linear hull $|F_0|$ is X_Y , consider the subdiagram \mathcal{D}_{Y, F_0} of \mathcal{D} induced on the subcategory $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^Y)$ of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ by the subposets $\mathcal{D}_{Y, F_0}(F) := \mathcal{S}^{F_0}(\mathcal{A}[F])$. In analogy to [CD17, Definition 4.2.6, Definition 4.2.8] we set

$$\mathcal{S}_{Y, F_0} := \int \mathcal{D}_{Y, F_0}.$$

Remark 2.7.4. The homotopy type of \mathcal{S}_{L, F_0} is that of $|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)| \times \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ (see [CD17, Lemma 4.2.15]), where $|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)|$ is the geometric realization of the order complex associated to the category $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)$.

3. COMBINATORIAL GENERATORS

In this section we aim at defining (cellular) representatives for certain homology classes of the complement $M(\mathcal{A})$.

Intuitively, these classes will be of two types. The first type of classes, called $\hat{\lambda}_B^M$, will represent cycles that are parallel to 1-dimensional layers M and lie in the subcomplexes $|\mathcal{S}_{L, F_0}|$, with $M \subset L$, under certain conditions on F_0 , L and B (see Remark 3.1.11 below). The second type are classes $\hat{\omega}_H$, representing loops around a codimension-1 layer $H \in \mathcal{A}$.

3.1. The generators $\widehat{\lambda}_B^M$.

Definition 3.1.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an essential toric arrangement in a torus T of dimension d . For every 1-dimensional layer $M \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ fix, once and for all, a chamber $R_M \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ adjacent to X_M , and choose a minimal gallery in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$

$$R_M = C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{k(M)} = \text{op}_{X_M}(-R_M).$$




FIGURE 1. A minimal gallery $C_0, \dots, C_{k(M)}$ in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$.

Here, for every face $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ of \mathcal{A}_0 we write $-F$ for the negative of F viewed as a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^d . Moreover, if C is a chamber adjacent to X_M , with $\text{op}_{X_M}(C)$ we mean the chamber opposite to C with respect to X_M , i.e., the unique chamber such that $\overline{C} \cap X_M = \overline{\text{op}_{X_M}(C)} \cap X_M$ and $S(C, \text{op}_{X_M}(C)) = \mathcal{A}_{X_M}$. In particular, note that $S(C, -\text{op}_{X_M}(C)) = \mathcal{A}_0 \setminus \mathcal{A}[M]$. For an illustration see Figure 1.

Definition 3.1.2. Given any chamber $C \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ and any $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ we denote by $\langle C : F \rangle$ the unique chamber of $\mathcal{A}[F]$ containing C .

Given any face $F \subseteq M$, let

$$C_0^F, \dots, C_{k(M,F)}^F$$

be an enumeration of the set $\{\langle C_i : F \rangle\}_{i=0, \dots, k(M)}$ in increasing index order and call W_i^F the wall separating $\langle C_i : F \rangle$ from $\langle C_{i+1} : F \rangle$ in $\mathcal{A}[F]$. This is illustrated in Figure 2.

Remark 3.1.3. The sequence $C_0^F, W_1^F, C_1^F, \dots$ defines a minimal gallery in $\mathcal{A}[F]$, hence it never crosses any hyperplane in $\mathcal{A}[M]$. In terms of sign vectors, $\gamma_{C_i^F}(H) = \gamma_{W_i^F}(H) = \gamma_{R_M}(H)$ for all i and all $H \in \mathcal{A}[F] \cap \mathcal{A}[M]$ (see [CD17, §3.2.1]).

Definition 3.1.4. For every $B \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$, set

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(M; B, F) &:= [C_i^F, C_i^F] \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, k(M, F), \\ e_i(M; B, F) &:= [W_{i+1}^F, \langle B : F \rangle_{W_{i+1}^F}] \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, k(M, F) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Define the following subsubset of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[F])$:

$$\text{Path}(M; B, F) := \{v_i(M; B, F)\}_{i=0, \dots, k(M, F)} \cup \{e_i(M; B, F)\}_{i=0, \dots, k(M, F) - 1}.$$




FIGURE 2. The gallery $C_0^F, \dots, C_{k(M,F)}^F$ in $\mathcal{A}[F]$.




FIGURE 3. The poset $\text{Path}(M; B, F)$.

Note that the poset $\text{Path}(M; B, F)$ has the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & e_0(M; B, F) & & e_1(M; B, F) & & \dots \\
 & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & \nearrow & \nwarrow & \\
 v_0(M; B, F) & & & & v_1(M; B, F) & & v_2(M; B, F) \quad \dots
 \end{array}$$

so that its topological realization $|\text{Path}(M; B, F)|$ is a topological path from $v_0(M; B, F)$ to $v_{k(M,F)}(M; B, F)$ in the Salvetti complex of $\mathcal{A}[F]$.

Remark 3.1.5. If $\dim(F) = 1$, then $k(M, F) = 0$ so $\text{Path}(M; B, F)$ in this case is a single vertex which we will denote $v(M; B, F)$.

Remark 3.1.6. For any two $B \neq B'$ we have $v_i(M; B', F) = v_i(M; B, F)$ for all i and $e_i(M; B', F) = e_i(M; B, F)$ if and only if the affine span of W_i does not separate B from B' (hence $\langle B : F \rangle$ from $\langle B' : F \rangle$). If we set

$$\bar{e}_i(M; B, F) := [W_i^F, (-\langle B : F \rangle)_{W_i^F}]$$

we can state more precisely

$$(2) \quad e_i(M; B', F) = \begin{cases} e_i(M; B, F) & \text{if } W_i^F \notin S(B, B'), \\ \bar{e}_i(M; B, F) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For every $H \in \mathcal{A}_F \setminus \mathcal{A}_M$ there is a unique i such that $W_i^F = X_H$, thus we can define a subcategory $\Xi(H; B, F)$:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} e_i(M; B, F) & \bar{e}_i(M; B, F) & \\ \uparrow & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \uparrow \\ v_i(M; B, F) & v_{i+1}(M; B, F) & \end{array}$$

Definition 3.1.7. Let \mathcal{A} be an essential toric arrangement in a torus T of dimension d . For every 1-dimensional layer $M \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ and every chamber $B \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$, define the induced subcategory of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) = \int \mathcal{D}$ on the object set

$$\Lambda_B^M := \bigcup_{F \subseteq M} \{(F, X) \mid X \in \text{Path}(M; B, F)\}.$$

In order to understand the structure of the subcategory Λ_B^M let us first consider the category $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^M)$. Since it is the face category of a polyhedral cellularization of S^1 (cf. Remark 2.4.1), every object P of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^M)$ of dimension 0 is the origin of two arrows and every object G of dimension 1 is the target of two arrows. Choose an object P_0 of dimension 0 and consider the two arrows, say m_1, m_2 , originating in P_0 . Then $F_{m_1} = -F_{m_2}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[P_0])$ and in particular exactly one of these – say, F_{m_2} – is adjacent to $-\langle B : P_0 \rangle$. Call G_0 the target of m_2 , and call P_1 the origin of the other nontrivial morphism ending in G_0 . Continuing this way we can naturally label the objects of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^M)$ as

$$(4) \quad P_0 \rightarrow G_0 \leftarrow P_1 \rightarrow G_1 \leftarrow \dots \rightarrow G_{\ell(M)} \leftarrow P_0.$$

Lemma 3.1.8. *In the category $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) = \int \mathcal{D}$ we have, for all i modulo $\ell(M)$, arrows*

$$(G_j, v(M; B, G_j)) \rightarrow (P_i, v_l(M; B, P_i))$$

if and only if either $j = i - 1$ and $l = 0$, or else $j = i$ and $l = k(M, P_i)$. (The index-less $v(M; B, G_j)$ is $[C_0^{G_j}, C_0^{G_j}]$, the only element of $\text{Path}(M; B, G_j)$, as in Remark 3.1.5.)

Proof. Let us consider a piece $G_{i-1} \xleftarrow{m_1} P_i \xrightarrow{m_2} G_i$ of the chain of Diagram (4). We refer to Figure 4 for illustration. By construction, $i_{m_1}(G_{i-1})$ is adjacent to $\langle B : P_i \rangle$ and $i_{m_2}(G_i)$ is adjacent to $-\langle B : P_i \rangle$. Thus, the associated functions

$$\mathcal{F}(G_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{i_{m_1}} \mathcal{F}(P_i) \xleftarrow{i_{m_2}} \mathcal{F}(G_i)$$

have $i_{m_1}(C_0^{G_{i-1}}) = C_0^{P_i}$ and $i_{m_2}(C_0^{G_i}) = C_{k(M, P_i)}^{P_i}$. Thus $\mathcal{D}(m_2)(v(M; B, G_i)) = v_{k(M, P_i)}(M; B, P_i)$ and $\mathcal{D}(m_1)(v(M; B, G_{i-1})) = v_0(M; B, P_i)$. This means that $(m_1, \mathcal{D}(m_1))$ and $(m_2, \mathcal{D}(m_2))$ are the claimed morphisms in $\int \mathcal{D}$. The fact that $\text{Path}(M; B, G_{i-1})$ and $\text{Path}(M; B, G_i)$ have only one element implies that there are no morphisms to other vertices $v_l(M; B, P_i)$'s. \square




FIGURE 4. A picture of $\int \mathcal{D}$ near G_{i-1}, P_i, G_i with arrows i_{m_1}, i_{m_2} .

Corollary 3.1.9. *The category Λ_B^M is of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & (P_0, e_0(M; B, P_0)) & & (P_0, e_k(M, P_0)(M; B, P_0)) & & & (P_1, e_0(M; B, P_1)) \\
 & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & & & \nearrow \\
 (P_0, v_0(M; B, P_0)) & & \dots & & (P_0, v_k(M, P_0)(M; B, P_0)) & & (P_1, v_0(M; B, P_1)) \\
 & & & & \nwarrow & & \nearrow \\
 & & & & (G_0, v(M; B, G_0)) & &
 \end{array}$$

In particular, it is a poset homeomorphic to S^1 .

Remark 3.1.10. A different choice of the gallery in Definition 3.1.1 does not affect the homotopy type of the subcomplex $|\Lambda_{R_M}^M|$ inside the toric Salvetti complex $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$. The same is true if one changes R_M to any other chamber R'_M with $R_M \cap X_M = R'_M \cap X_M$. Moreover, choosing the same gallery but traversed in the opposite sense will not change the subcomplexes Λ_*^M .

Remark 3.1.11. If M is a 1-dimensional layer contained in L , and B is any chamber of \mathcal{A}_0 , we have $\Lambda_B^M \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{L, F_0}$ if $F_0 = \overline{B} \cap X_L$.

Definition 3.1.12. For $B \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ and M any layer of dimension 1, let $[\Lambda_B^M] \in C_1(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}))$ denote the cycle supported on $|\Lambda_B^M|$ and uniquely determined by setting the coefficient of $(v_0(M; B, P_0) \rightarrow e_0(M; B, P_0))$ equal to 1.

Then, let $\widehat{\lambda}_B^M$ be the homology class of $[\Lambda_B^M]$.

For the following ‘‘basis-change’’ formula we need to define, for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ and any two chambers $B, B' \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$, the set

$$(5) \quad S_F(B, B') := \{H \in \mathcal{A}_F \mid X_H \text{ separates } B \text{ from } B'\}.$$

Proposition 3.1.13. *Let $B, B' \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$. Then*

$$[\Lambda_B^M] - [\Lambda_{B'}^M] = \sum_{P \subseteq M} \sum_{H \in S_P(B, B') \setminus \mathcal{A}_M} [\Xi(H; B, P)]$$

where $[\Xi(H; B, P)]$ is the 1-cycle determined by the geometric realization of the subcategory defined in Diagram (3) with the orientation given by setting the coefficient of $v_i(M; B, P) \rightarrow e_i(M; B, P)$ equal to 1.

Proof. We write the elementary chain corresponding to a morphism $m : F \rightarrow G$ as $[F \rightarrow G]$ and let its boundary be $[G] - [F]$. Moreover, write $v_i(P), e_i(P)$ for $v_i(M; B, P), e_i(M; B, P)$ and $v'_i(P), e'_i(P)$ for $v_i(M; B', P), e_i(M; B', P)$. In this way, recalling Equation (3) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} [\Xi(H; B, P)] &= [v_i(P) \rightarrow e_i(P)] - [v_{i+1}(P) \rightarrow e_i(P)] \\ &\quad + [v_{i+1}(P) \rightarrow \bar{e}_i(P)] - [v_i(P) \rightarrow \bar{e}_i(P)] \end{aligned}$$

where $W_i^P = X_H$, and the difference of chains $[\Lambda_B^M] - [\Lambda_{B'}^M]$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{P \subseteq M} \sum_{i=0}^{k(M, P)-1} [v_i(P) \rightarrow e_i(P)] - [v_{i+1}(P) \rightarrow e_i(P)] \\ &\quad - ([v'_i(P) \rightarrow e'_i(P)] - [v'_{i+1}(P) \rightarrow e'_i(P)]) \\ &= \sum_{P \subseteq M} \sum_{i: W_i^P \in S_P(B, B')} [v_i(P) \rightarrow e_i(P)] - [v_{i+1}(P) \rightarrow e_i(P)] \\ &\quad + [v_{i+1}(P) \rightarrow \bar{e}_i(P)] - [v_i(P) \rightarrow \bar{e}_i(P)] \\ &= \sum_{P \subseteq M} \sum_{H \in S_P(B, B')} [\Xi(H; B, P)] \end{aligned}$$

where, for the second equality, we used Remark 3.1.6 and the fact that $e'_i(P) = e_i(P)$ whenever W_i^P does not separate B from B' , otherwise $e'_i(P) = \bar{e}_i(P)$ (see Equation (2)). \square

3.2. The generators $\hat{\omega}_H$.

Definition 3.2.1. For every $H \in \mathcal{A}$ choose, once and for all, a chamber $R_H \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$. For every $m : F \rightarrow G \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^H)$ with $\text{supp}(G) = H$, let $C_1 := \langle R_H : F \rangle_{F_m}$, $C_2 := \langle -R_H : F \rangle_{F_m}$ be the two chambers of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}[F])$ adjacent to F_m . We define a subcategory $\Omega^{(m)}$ of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ as

$$\Omega^{(m)} := \begin{array}{ccc} (F, [F_m, C_1]) & & (F, [F_m, C_2]) \\ | & \times & | \\ (F, [C_1, C_1]) & & (F, [C_2, C_2]) \end{array} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Remark 3.2.2. When $m = \text{id}_G : G \rightarrow G$, $\Omega^{(\text{id}_G)}$ is the poset

$$\Omega^{(id_G)} = \begin{array}{ccc} (G, [G, C_1]) & & (G, [G, C_2]) \\ | & \searrow & | \\ (G, [C_1, C_1]) & & (G, [C_2, C_2]) \end{array} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Definition 3.2.3. Let $[\Omega^{(m)}]$ denote the 1-cycle of $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ supported on $|\Omega^{(m)}|$ uniquely determined by the orientation given by setting the coefficient of $((G, [C_1, C_1]) \rightarrow (G, [G, C_1]))$ equal to 1.

Definition 3.2.4. Let $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$. Define

$$\epsilon(H, B) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_H \notin S(R_H, B) \\ -1 & \text{if } X_H \in S(R_H, B) \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2.5. *We have the following relations in the homology of $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$.*

- (i) For every $m : F \rightarrow G$, $[\Omega^{(m)}] \simeq [\Omega^{(id_G)}]$.
- (ii) $[\Omega^{(F \rightarrow G)}] \simeq [\Omega^{(F \rightarrow G')}]$ when both G, G' are of maximal dimension in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^H)$.
- (iii) $[\Omega^{(P \rightarrow W_i^P)}] = \epsilon(H, B)[\Xi(H; B, P)]$ if $|W_i^P| = X_H$.

Proof. First notice that if $m : F \rightarrow G$ with G of codimension 1, then

$$\Omega^{(m)} = \mathcal{D}(m)(\Omega^{id_G}).$$

In particular the complex $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$, being obtained via a homotopy colimit as in §2.7, contains the mapping cylinder of $\mathcal{D}(m)|_{\Omega^{(m)}}$ in the form of the nerve of the subcategory on the right-hand side of Figure 5. This




FIGURE 5. The morphism $\mathcal{D}(m)|_{\Omega^{(m)}}$ and the subposet defining its mapping cylinder.

mapping cylinder gives a homotopy inside $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ between $|\Omega^{id_G}|$ and $|\Omega^{(m)}|$ that sends edges to “corresponding edges”. Thus (i) follows.

Part (ii) follows analogously by a homotopy between the two subcomplexes inside the subcomplex $|\mathcal{S}c^G(\mathcal{A}[F])| = |\mathcal{S}^{G'}(\mathcal{A}[F])|$ of $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ (see the discussion around Proposition 3.3.5 in [CD17]).

For part (iii) notice that, for any B , $\Omega^{(P \rightarrow W_i^P)}$ and $\Xi(H; B, P)$ are the same subposet. The associated chains differs by a sign depending on whether B is on the same side of X_H as R_H . \square

Corollary 3.2.6. *For every $H \in \mathcal{A}$ the homology class of any $[\Omega^{(m)}]$ does not depend on the choice of $m : F \rightarrow G$ as long as $\text{supp}(G) = H$.*

The following definition is now well-posed.

Definition 3.2.7. For every $H \in \mathcal{A}$ let us denote by

$$\widehat{\omega}_H \in H_1(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}), \mathbb{Z})$$

the homology class of (any) $[\Omega^{(m)}]$ with $m : F \rightarrow G$ and $\text{supp}(G) = H$.

We close with a formula relating the classes $\widehat{\omega}_H$ with the $\widehat{\lambda}_B^M$ defined in Definition 3.1.12.

Proposition 3.2.8.

$$(6) \quad \widehat{\lambda}_B^M - \widehat{\lambda}_{B'}^M = \sum_{\substack{F \subseteq M \\ H \in \mathcal{S}_F(\overline{B}, \overline{B'}) \setminus \mathcal{A}_M}} \epsilon(H, B) \widehat{\omega}_H.$$

Proof. Lemma 3.2.5 allows us to eliminate the dependency on P in the right-hand side of the equality of Proposition 3.1.13, and to rewrite it as in this Proposition's claim. \square

Remark 3.2.9. With Remark 3.1.10, the generators $\widehat{\lambda}_{R_M}^M$ are independent on the choices in Definition 3.1.1. Proposition 3.2.8 then shows how to recover all $\widehat{\lambda}_B^M$ from the $\widehat{\lambda}_{R_M}^M$. Thus our system of generators does not depend on the choices in Definition 3.1.1

4. COHOMOLOGY OF QUOTIENTS AND SUBCOMPLEXES

4.1. Quotients of toric arrangements.

Definition 4.1.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a toric arrangement and let $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ be a layer. We consider T as a group and we define L_0 as the subgroup of T that has L as a coset. Recall that \mathcal{A}_L is the subarrangement of \mathcal{A} given by the hypertori that contain L . Consider the arrangement

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L := \mathcal{A}_L / L_0 := \{H / L_0 \mid H \in \mathcal{A}_L\} \text{ in } T / L_0.$$

We define the quotient map

$$f_L : M(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$$

as the composition $\pi_{L_0} \circ i_L$ of the inclusion

$$i_L : M(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{A}_L)$$

and the projection

$$\pi_{L_0} : M(\mathcal{A}_L) \rightarrow M(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L).$$

Definition 4.1.2. The quotient by L_0 induces order-preserving maps

$$\pi_L : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L) \text{ and } \pi_L : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$$

and the latter map lifts to the natural order-preserving map

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}((\mathcal{A}_L)^\dagger), \quad F \mapsto \min_{\subseteq} \{G \in \mathcal{F}((\mathcal{A}_L)^\dagger) \mid F \subseteq G\}$$

sending every face to the smallest one that contains it.

Remark 4.1.3. We note two elementary facts about sign vectors that can be gathered directly from [CD17, Definition 3.2.1]. Let notation be as in Definition 2.3.1 and 2.5.1 and Section 2.5. In particular, recall that for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$, X_L^F is the smallest flat of $\mathcal{A}[F]$ containing X_L .

- (1) For all $m \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ with source object K and every $H \in \mathcal{A}[K]_{X_L^F}$ we have that $\gamma_{F_{\pi_L(m)}}(H/L_0) = \gamma_{F_m}(H)$.
- (2) For all $G, K \in \mathcal{A}[F]$ and every flat X of $\mathcal{A}[F]$ we have $(G_X)_{(K_X)} = (G_K)_X$.

We see that the linear arrangement $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)_0$ is $(\mathcal{A}_0)_{X_L}/X_L$, and in particular we have a natural map

$$\pi_L : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_0)_{X_L} \simeq \mathcal{F}((\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)_0), \quad F \mapsto F_{X_L}.$$

Similarly, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$, the arrangement $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L[\pi_L(F)]$ is the essentialisation (see [OT92, Lemma 5.30]) of the sub-arrangement of $\mathcal{A}[F]_{X_L^F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}[F]$ consisting of all hyperplanes containing X_L^F . Thus the map

$$\pi_L^F : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[F]) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L[\pi_L(F)]), \quad K \mapsto K/X_L$$

is order preserving and surjective, and restricts to an isomorphism of posets

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[F]_{X_L^F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L[\pi_L(F)]).$$

Thus we can identify $\mathcal{S}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L[\pi_L(F)])$ with $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[F]_{X_L^F})$ and we have the following natural order-preserving map (see [CD17, Definition 3.3.2])

$$b_{X_L^F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[F]) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_L[\pi_L(F)]), \quad [K, C] \mapsto [K_{X_L^F}, C_{X_L^F}]$$

Lemma 4.1.4. For all layers L ,

$$(\pi_L, b_{X_L^*}) : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$$

is a natural transformation.

Proof of Lemma 4.1.4. In order to check naturality pick any $m : F \rightarrow G$ in $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}))$ and $[K, C] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[G])$. According to the definitions given above we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F, [i_m(K), i_m(C)]) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_L, b_{X_L^F})} & (\pi_L(F), [(i_m(K))_{X_L^F}, (i_m(C))_{X_L^F}]) \\ \uparrow (m, j_m) & & \downarrow \cong \\ & & (\pi_L(F), [i_{\pi_L(m)}(K_{X_L^G}), i_{\pi_L(m)}(C_{X_L^G})]) \\ & & \uparrow (\pi_L(m), j_{\pi_L(m)}) \\ (G, [K, C]) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_L, b_{X_L^G})} & (\pi_L(G), [K_{X_L^G}, C_{X_L^G}]) \end{array}$$

and we need to prove equality of the two expressions on the top right-hand-side. It is enough to prove that, for every $K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[G])$,

$$(7) \quad i_{\pi_L(m)}(K_{X_L^G}) = (i_m(K))_{X_L^F} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{A}[F]_{X_L^F}.$$

This we do using the definition of $\gamma_{i_m(K)}$ as in [CD17, Remark 4.1.1]. First consider the right-hand side of Equation (7), that it is defined by

$$(8) \quad \gamma_{(i_m(K))_{X_L^F}}(H) = \gamma_{i_m(K)}(H) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{i_m(K)}(H) & H \notin \mathcal{A}[G] \\ \gamma_K(H) & H \in \mathcal{A}[G] \end{cases}$$

for all $H \in \mathcal{A}[F]_{X_L^F}$. In the same vein, the left-hand side of Equation (7) is determined by

$$(9) \quad \gamma_{i_{\pi_L(m)}(K_{X_L^G})}(H) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{F_{\pi_L(m)}}(H) = \gamma_{F_m}(H) & \text{if } H \notin \mathcal{A}[G] \\ \gamma_{K_{X_L^G}}(H) = \gamma_K(H) & \text{if } H \in \mathcal{A}[G] \end{cases}$$

where we used Remark 4.1.3.(1). Now, with [CD17, Remark 4.1.1] we see that $\gamma_{F_m}(H) = \gamma_{i_m(K)}(H)$ for $H \notin \mathcal{A}[G]$, completing the check of the identity between the two sides of the required equality, as expressed in Equations (8) and (9). \square

Definition 4.1.5. The natural transformation of Lemma 4.1.4 induces a functor

$$\Phi_L : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$$

and thus a (cellular) map

$$\Phi_L : \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L).$$

We slightly abuse notation by using the same symbol for the two maps. The distinction will be apparent from the context.

Lemma 4.1.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be an essential toric arrangement in a torus T of dimension d . Fix a layer $L \in \mathcal{C}$.*

- (1) *For every $M \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ such that $X_M \subseteq X_L$ and every chamber $B \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$, $\Phi_L(\Lambda_B^M)$ is a single vertex. In particular the induced homology homomorphism satisfies*

$$(\Phi_L)_*(\widehat{\lambda}_B^M) = 0.$$

- (2) *Consider any $G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\text{supp}(G) = H \in \mathcal{A}$.*

If $H \supseteq L$, then $\Phi_L(\Omega^{(id_G)}) = \Omega^{(id_{\pi_L(G)})}$.

More generally, choosing $R_{\pi_L(H)} := (R_H)_{X_L^G}/L_0$ for every $H \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$(\Phi_L)_*(\widehat{\omega}_H) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\omega}_{\pi_L(H)} & \text{if } H \supseteq L \\ 0 & \text{if } H \not\supseteq L \end{cases}$$

- (3) *For every layer Y and all $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ with*

$$\Phi_L(\mathcal{S}_{Y, F_0}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(Y), \pi_L(F_0)}.$$

Proof. (1): With Remark 3.1.3, for all $F \subseteq M$, we have $(C_i^F)_{X_L^F} = (W_i^F)_{X_L^F} = (R_M)_{X_L^F}$ for all faces $F \subseteq M$ and $0 \leq i \leq k(M, F)$. Therefore, comparing with Definition 3.1.7 and 3.1.4 we see that $\Lambda_B^M = \{(\pi_L(F), [(R_M)_{X_L^F}, (R_M)_{X_L^F}])\}$, a singleton.

(2): If $H \supseteq L$, then $X_L^G = H$. Direct computations of the image under Φ_L for each of the elements of Ω^{id_G} (Remark 3.2.2) and comparison with Definitions 3.2.3 and 3.2.7 verify the claims in this case. If $H \not\supseteq L$, then X_L^G is the full vector space and $\Phi_L(\Omega^{id_G})$ is a single vertex.

(3): Recall from §2.7 that \mathcal{S}_{Y, F_0} is defined as $\int \mathcal{D}_{Y, F_0}$ for a subdiagram \mathcal{D}_{Y, F_0} of \mathcal{D} on the index category $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^Y)$. Similarly, if $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ is the diagram giving $\mathcal{S}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$, then $\mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(Y), \pi_L(F_0)}$ is $\int \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\pi_L(Y), \pi_L(F_0)}$ for the subdiagram $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\pi_L(Y), \pi_L(F_0)}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ over the index category $\mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L^{\pi_L(Y)})$. Since Φ_L is induced by the natural transformation $(\pi_L, b_{X_L}^*)$, in order to prove the claim we have to prove that $(\pi_L, b_{X_L}^*)$ restricts to a natural transformation $\mathcal{D}_{Y, F_0} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\pi_L(Y), \pi_L(F_0)}$.

Since $\pi_L(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^Y)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L^{\pi_L(Y)})$, we are left proving that, for every face F in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^Y)$, $b_{X_L}^*(\mathcal{S}^{F_0}(\mathcal{A}[F])) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\pi_L(F_0)}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L[\pi_L(F)])$.

Pick any $[G, K] \in \mathcal{S}^{F_0}(\mathcal{A}[F])$. By definition this means that $K = B_G$ for some chamber $B \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}[F])$ adjacent to F_0 . Now, since π_L^F is order preserving, $\pi_L^F(B)$ is adjacent to $\pi_L^F(F_0)$ and $\pi_L^F(B)_{\pi_L^F(G)} = (B_{X_L^F})_{G_{X_L^F}} = (B_G)_{X_L^F} = \pi_L^F(K)$, where the second equality uses Remark 4.1.3.(2). Thus, $b_{X_L}^*([G, K]) \in \mathcal{S}^{\pi_L(F_0)}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L[\pi_L(F)])$. \square

Corollary 4.1.7. *Fix a chamber $B \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ and a layer $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$. We have*

$$(\Phi_L)_*(H_*(\mathcal{S}_{T, B}; \mathbb{Q})) \subset H_*(\mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(T), \pi_L(B)}; \mathbb{Q})$$

In particular, for every $M \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ we have

$$(10) \quad (\Phi_L)_*(\widehat{\lambda}_B^M) \in H_*(\mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(T), \pi_L(B)}; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. The result follows from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} |\Lambda_B^M| & \hookrightarrow & |\mathcal{S}_{T, B}| & \hookrightarrow & \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \\ & & \downarrow \Phi_L & & \downarrow \Phi_L \\ & & |\mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(T), \pi_L(B)}| & \hookrightarrow & \text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L) \end{array}$$

where the existence of the leftmost vertical arrow follows from Lemma 4.1.6.(3), and the leftmost inclusion follows from Remark 3.1.11. \square

Remark 4.1.8. Let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be a layer and consider the map $\pi_L : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$. Let $Y \subseteq L$. We have that $\pi_L(L) = \pi_L(Y)$ if and only if $Y \subseteq L$.

Remark 4.1.9. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ with $\text{supp}(F) = L_0$. Recall from Remark 2.7.4 that the subcomplex $|\mathcal{S}_{L,F}| \subset \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ is homotopy equivalent to the product $L \times M(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}[L])$, where $M(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}[L])$ is the complement of the essentialization of the complexified central linear arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}[L] = \mathcal{A}[L] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Hence the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{S}_{L,F}$ is generated in degree 1.

In particular the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(L), \pi_L(F)}; \mathbb{Q})$ is the Orlik-Solomon algebra generated by the restrictions of the forms $\omega_{\pi_L(H)}$ for $L \subset H$.

4.2. Group action and inclusions.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a toric arrangement. Assume that \mathcal{A} is invariant by the action of an element $g \in T$. Then the multiplication by g induces maps $\mu_g : \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mu_g : \mathcal{S}_{L,F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{L,F}$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{g} & M(\mathcal{A}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_g} & \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ |\mathcal{S}_{L,F}| & \xrightarrow{\mu_g} & |\mathcal{S}_{L,F}| \end{array}$$

Moreover the map $\mu_g : |\mathcal{S}_{L,F}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{S}_{L,F}|$ is homotopy equivalent to the identity.

Proof. The multiplication by g on T lifts to a translation τ_g in the universal cover \mathbb{R}^d of T , where the periodic arrangement \mathcal{A}^\dagger is invariant under τ_g . In particular, τ_g leaves the poset of faces invariant and, hence, induces an automorphism μ_g^\dagger of the Salvetti complex $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$. Now τ_g commutes with the standard inclusion $\iota : \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger) \hookrightarrow M(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$ as well as with the translations of $\mathbb{Z}^d \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence, so does μ_g^\dagger and, since $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)/\mathbb{Z}^d$ (see [CD17, Theorem 4.1.3]), it induces the required map μ_g and the top half of the diagram commutes. Now, the explicit form of μ_g as a cellular map on $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) = |\int \mathcal{D}|$ is

$$\mu_g(F, [G, C]) = (gF, [G, C])$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ and every $[G, C] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[F]) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[gF])$. (Recall that, by definition, $\mathcal{A}[F] = \mathcal{A}[L]$ where L is the layer supporting F , and so $\mathcal{A}[F] = \mathcal{A}[gF]$.)

In particular, this map restricts to every layer L and to $\mu_g : \mathcal{S}_{L,F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{L,F}$. Under the homotopy equivalence $|\mathcal{S}_{L,F}| \simeq |\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)| \times \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ of Remark 2.7.4 the map μ_g is the identity on the second component and the cellular map induced by multiplication with g in T in the first component. But the continuous map $L \rightarrow L$ defined by multiplication

with g is homotopic to the identity on $L \simeq |\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)|$ and any homotopy accomplishing this can be composed with the identity to give a homotopy between $\mu_g : \mathcal{S}_{L,F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{L,F}$ and the identity. \square

Lemma 4.2.2. *Let \mathcal{A}' be a sub-arrangement of \mathcal{A} of the same rank. The inclusion $M(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow M(\mathcal{A}')$ induces a map*

$$\Psi : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}')$$

that restricts to

$$\Psi : \mathcal{S}_{L,F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{L},\tilde{F}}$$

where \tilde{L} (resp. \tilde{F}) is the smallest layer of \mathcal{A}' containing L (resp. the smallest face of \mathcal{A}' with support \tilde{L} containing F) such that the following diagram commutes up to homotopy (as before, we slightly abuse notation by using Φ for the induced cellular map $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}')$).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(\mathcal{A}) & \hookrightarrow & M(\mathcal{A}') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ |\mathcal{S}_{L,F}| & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & |\mathcal{S}'_{\tilde{L},\tilde{F}}| \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the arrangements \mathcal{A}^\dagger and $(\mathcal{A}')^\dagger$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Clearly every open cell F of the polyhedral stratification of V induced by \mathcal{A}^\dagger is contained in a unique cell $s(F)$ of the stratification induced by $(\mathcal{A}')^\dagger$. This defines an order-preserving function $s : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger)$ that induces a poset map $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger)$, $[G, C] \mapsto [s(G), s(C)]$ (consider any two chambers C, C' of \mathcal{A}^\dagger : every hyperplane separating $s(C)$ from $s(C')$ also separates C from C' , therefore $[G, C] \geq [G', C']$ implies $[s(G), s(C)] \geq [s(G'), s(C')]$).

Recall that the inclusions $\xi : \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger) \hookrightarrow M(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$, resp. $\xi' : \text{Sal}((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger) \hookrightarrow M((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger)$, are defined by affine extension of any choice of a point $\xi([G, C]) \in U([G, C])$, where we write $U([G, C]) := G + iC$, for every $[G, C] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$, resp. $\xi'([G', C']) \in U([G', C'])$ for every $[G', C'] \in \mathcal{S}((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger)$. In particular, different choices of such points will extend to homotopic maps.

Now consider any $x := [G, C] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$. Then, $U([G, C]) \subseteq U(s([G, C]))$ and, by convexity, the latter contains the segment $\alpha_x(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, between $\xi([G, C])$ and $\xi'([G, C]) = \xi'[s(G), s(C)]$. Moreover, for every chain $x_1 < \dots < x_k$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$ and every t the simplex $\sigma := \text{conv}\{\xi(\alpha_{x_i}(t))\}_i$ is contained in $M((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger)$ (indeed $\alpha_{x_i}(t) \in U(s(x_i))$ for all i and $s(x_1) < \dots < s(x_k)$, so that $\xi'(s(x_i)) := \alpha_{x_i}(t)$ is a valid choice for the construction of ξ' and σ , being in the image of the affine extension of this ξ' , is contained in $M((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger)$ as explained above). Now

setting $\xi_t(x) := \alpha_x(t)$ and letting ξ_t be the affine extension on $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ defines the desired homotopy between ξ and $\xi'(s)$ in $M((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger)$, and thus the following diagram commutes up to homotopy.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(\mathcal{A}^\dagger) & \hookrightarrow & M((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{s} & \text{Sal}((\mathcal{A}')^\dagger) \end{array}$$

Passing to the torus means considering the quotient by the action of the group of translations $\mathbb{Z}^d \subseteq V$ - call $q : V \rightarrow T$ this map. Since s commutes with q , it descends to a cellular map

$$\Psi : \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}').$$

Since the inclusion ξ (and hence ξ') can be chosen equivariantly, the image under q of the above diagram is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(\mathcal{A}) & \hookrightarrow & M(\mathcal{A}') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}') \end{array}$$

Now notice that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ the face $\tilde{F} := q(s(F^\dagger))$ of \mathcal{A}' is well-defined and independent of the choice of F^\dagger in $q^{-1}(F)$. Therefore, as a cellular map between $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) = |\int \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})|$ and $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}') = |\int \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}')|$, Ψ is given on vertices as

$$(11) \quad \Psi(F, [G, C]) = (\tilde{F}, [\tilde{G}, \tilde{C}]).$$

It follows that Ψ restricts to a functor $\mathcal{S}_{L,F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{L},\tilde{F}}$. \square

Corollary 4.2.3. *Let $\Psi : |\mathcal{S}_{L,F}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{S}'_{\tilde{L},\tilde{F}}|$ be the map defined in the previous lemma.*

- (1) *If H is such that $H \not\supseteq \tilde{L}$, the image $\Psi_*(\hat{\omega}_H)$ vanishes.*
- (2) *If $H \supseteq \tilde{L}$, then $\Psi_*(\hat{\omega}_H) = \hat{\omega}_H$.*

Proof. In order to prove item (1), notice that we can choose a representative of the homology class $\hat{\omega}_H$ to be $\Omega^{id_G} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{L,F}$ for some face G of \mathcal{A} supported solely on H . Now, in this case $\mathcal{A}'[\tilde{G}]$ is the empty arrangement with a unique face K . Now applying Equation (11) to the explicit expression of Ω^{id_G} given in Remark 3.2.2, the image $\Psi(\Omega^{id_G})$ is the single vertex $(\tilde{G}, [K, K])$.

For item (2), let $i : \mathcal{A}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the inclusion map and assume that for $H \in \mathcal{A}'$ we choose $R_{i(H)} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ as the smallest chamber in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ that contains $R_H \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}'_0)$. Then if $H \supseteq \tilde{L}$ with Equation (11) one checks that $\Psi(\Omega^{id_G}) = \Omega^{id_{\tilde{G}}}$, thus $\Psi_*(\hat{\omega}_H) = \hat{\omega}_H$. \square

5. PRESENTATION OF THE COHOMOLOGY RING

5.1. Choices for a presentation. Let \mathcal{A} be an essential toric arrangement in a torus T of dimension d . In order to provide a presentation of the cohomology ring $H^*(M(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$ from the combinatorial data we need to make some choices.

Choice 5.1.1. For every layer $L \in \mathcal{C}$ we choose a chamber $B(L) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ such that the intersection $\overline{B}(L) \cap X_L$ has maximal dimension and we set

$$F(L) := \overline{B}(L) \cap X_L \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_0).$$

Hence we will simply write \mathcal{S}_L for $\mathcal{S}_{L, F(L)}$.

Definition 5.1.2. For any layer L let $\varphi_L : |\mathcal{S}_{L, F(L)}| \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ be the inclusion map induced by the natural transformation between the diagrams $\mathcal{D}_{L, F(L)}$ and \mathcal{D} .

Notice that the face $F(T)$ is a chamber in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$. When the setting of the toric arrangement \mathcal{A} is understood we will write Λ^M for $\Lambda_{F(T)}^M$ and $\hat{\lambda}^M$ for $\hat{\lambda}_{F(T)}^M$. It follows from Remark 3.1.11 that we have $\Lambda^M \subset \mathcal{S}_T = \mathcal{S}_{T, F(T)}$. Hence $\hat{\lambda}^M$ is a homology class in $H_1(\mathcal{S}_T; \mathbb{Q})$.

Choice 5.1.3. Once and for all we choose elements $M_1, \dots, M_d \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ such that

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_T(\mathcal{A}) := \{\hat{\lambda}^{M_1}, \dots, \hat{\lambda}^{M_d}\}$$

is a basis of $H_1(\mathcal{S}_T; \mathbb{Q})$.

This can be done since the arrangement is essential and hence the \mathbb{Q} -span of the defining characters is $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq H^1(\mathcal{S}_T; \mathbb{Q})$. By duality the set of 1-dimensional layers \mathcal{C}_{d-1} generate $H_1(T; \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover we have that the projection $|\mathcal{S}_T| \rightarrow T_c$, which is a homeomorphism, maps $\hat{\lambda}^M \mapsto M$. Hence the set $\{\hat{\lambda}^M \mid M \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}\}$ generates $H_1(\mathcal{S}_T; \mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 5.1.4. We define the following set:

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A}) := \{\hat{\lambda}^{M_1}, \dots, \hat{\lambda}^{M_d}\} \cup \{\hat{\omega}_H \mid H \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

Lemma 5.1.5. *The set $\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A})$ is a basis of $H_1(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. Under the natural map $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow T_c$ (see [CD17, Remark 4.1.6]) each of the classes $\hat{\omega}_H$ maps to a trivial homology class in T_c (in fact, all vertices of $|\Omega_H^{(id_G)}|$ map to the same vertex of the cellularization of T_c , see Remark 3.2.2). Moreover, since for each H we have that $\Phi_{H*}(\hat{\omega}_H)$ is a non-trivial class in $H_1(\text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_H); \mathbb{Q})$ while the cycle $\Phi_{H'*}(\hat{\omega}_H)$ is trivial in $H_1(\text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{H'}); \mathbb{Q})$ for $H' \neq H$ (see Lemma 4.1.6), we have that the classes $\hat{\omega}_H$ are linearly independent. Finally, from the computation of Betti numbers of $M(\mathcal{A})$ carried out, e.g., in [DCP05], we have the equality

$$\text{rk}(H_1(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})) = \text{rk}(T) + |\mathcal{A}|.$$

This implies that the set $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A})$ is a basis of $H_1(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$. \square

Definition 5.1.6. Now we can define the set of classes

$$\mathcal{B}_T(\mathcal{A}) := \{\lambda^{M_1}(T), \dots, \lambda^{M_d}(T)\}$$

as the basis of $H^1(\mathcal{S}_T; \mathbb{Q})$ that is dual to $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_T(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 5.1.7. Recall that there is a natural projection on the compact torus $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow T_c$ that induces by restriction maps $|\mathcal{S}_L| \rightarrow T_c$ (see [CD17, Remark 4.1.6 and Lemma 4.2.11]). In particular there is a natural isomorphism between $H^*(\mathcal{S}_T; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(T_c; \mathbb{Q})$ induced by the maps $|\mathcal{S}_T| \rightarrow T_c$. Using the inclusions

$$|\mathcal{S}_T| \hookrightarrow \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow T_c$$

we can identify $H^*(T_c; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^*(\mathcal{S}_T; \mathbb{Q})$ with a sub-algebra of $H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 5.1.8. For $i = 1, \dots, d$ we will write λ^{M_i} for the cohomology class in $H^1(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$ that is the restriction of the class $\lambda^{M_i}(T) \in H^1(\mathcal{S}_T; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^1(T_c; \mathbb{Q})$. Hence we can define the classes ω_H , for $H \in \mathcal{A}$, such that the set

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) := \{\lambda^{M_1}, \dots, \lambda^{M_d}\} \cup \{\omega_H \mid H \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is the basis of $H^1(M(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$ that is dual to $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 5.1.9. We will write $\mathcal{I} \in H^*(M(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$ for the ideal of $H^*(M(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the classes $\lambda^{M_1}, \dots, \lambda^{M_d}$.

Notice that, since \mathcal{I} is generated by the restriction of the classes in $H^1(T_c; \mathbb{Q})$ to $H^*(M(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$, the definition of the ideal \mathcal{I} depends on the choice of the chamber $B(T)$, but not on the choice of the layers M_1, \dots, M_d .

Choice 5.1.10. For every layer $L \in \mathcal{C}$ of rank $k > 0$ we choose elements $N_1(L), \dots, N_k(L) \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ contained in L and such that the cycles

$$\{\widehat{\lambda}_{B(L)}^{N_1(L)}, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_{B(L)}^{N_k(L)}\}$$

are linearly independent in $H_1(\mathcal{S}_{L, F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Consider now the set

$$\mathcal{A}_L = \{H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_s}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

of all hypertori H_{i_j} such that $L \subset H_{i_j}$. We can generalize the notation of Choice 5.1.3 and extend Definition 5.1.8 as follows.

Definition 5.1.11. Given any $L \in \mathcal{C}$, let

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_L(\mathcal{A}) := \{\widehat{\lambda}_{B(L)}^{N_1(L)}, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_{B(L)}^{N_k(L)}\} \cup \{\widehat{\omega}_{H_{i_1}}, \dots, \widehat{\omega}_{H_{i_s}}\}.$$

Clearly the set $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_L(\mathcal{A})$ is a basis of $H_1(\mathcal{S}_{L, F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 5.1.12. We write

$$\mathcal{B}_L(\mathcal{A}) = \{\lambda_{B(L)}^{N_1(L)}, \dots, \lambda_{B(L)}^{N_k(L)}\} \cup \{\omega_{H_{i_1}}(L), \dots, \omega_{H_{i_s}}(L)\}$$

for the basis of $H^1(\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$ that is dual to $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_L(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 5.1.13. We define $\mathcal{I}(L) \subset H^*(\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$ as the ideal of $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the classes $\lambda_{B(L)}^{N_1(L)}, \dots, \lambda_{B(L)}^{N_k(L)}$.

5.2. Ideals and cohomology maps.

Lemma 5.2.1. *The induced restriction homomorphism in cohomology $\varphi_L^* : H^1(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$ maps as follows:*

$$(12) \quad \varphi_L^* : \lambda^{M_i} \mapsto \sum a_{hi} \lambda_{B(L)}^{N_h(L)}$$

where the coefficients a_{hi} are given by the relation $\widehat{\lambda}_{F(T)}^{N_h} = \sum a_{hi} \widehat{\lambda}_{F(T)}^{M_i}$.
If $L \subset H$ we have

$$(13) \quad \varphi_L^* : \omega_H \mapsto \omega_H(L) + \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{\substack{F \subseteq N_h(L) \\ H \in S_F(B(L), F(T)) \setminus \mathcal{A}_{N_h(L)}}} \epsilon(H, B) \lambda_{B(L)}^{N_h(L)};$$

while if $L \not\subset H$ we have

$$(14) \quad \varphi_L^* : \omega_H \mapsto \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{\substack{F \subseteq N_h(L) \\ H \in S_F(B(L), F(T)) \setminus \mathcal{A}_{N_h(L)}}} \epsilon(H, B) \lambda_{B(L)}^{N_h(L)}.$$

Proof. In this proof we use the symbol \int for the natural pairing between homology and cohomology.

Using the homological basis $\mathcal{B}_L(\mathcal{A})$ of $H_1(\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$ we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\widehat{\lambda}_{B(L)}^{N_h}} \varphi_L^*(\lambda^{M_i}) &= \int_{\widehat{\lambda}_{B(L)}^{N_h}} \lambda^{M_i} = \\ &= \int_{\widehat{\lambda}_{F(T)}^{N_h}} \lambda^{M_i} + \sum_{\dots} \epsilon_H \int_{\widehat{\omega}_H} \lambda^{M_i} = \\ &= \int_{\widehat{\lambda}_{F(T)}^{N_h}} \lambda^{M_i} = \\ &= \int_{\sum a_{hj} \widehat{\lambda}_{F(T)}^{M_j}} \lambda^{M_i} = a_{hi}. \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Equation (6). Notice that we don't need to specify the range of the sum and the coefficients of the integrals over the terms $\widehat{\omega}_H$ since they are not significant in the computation. In the same way we have:

$$\int_{\widehat{\omega}_H} \varphi_L^*(\lambda^{M_i}) = \int_{\widehat{\omega}_H} \lambda^{M_i} = 0.$$

These computations shows that pairing the two sides of Equation (12) against every element of a basis of $H_1(\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$ gives the same result. Hence Equation (12) holds.

The analogous computation for ω_H gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{\lambda}_{B(L)}^{N_h}} \varphi_L^*(\omega_H) &= \int_{\hat{\lambda}_{B(L)}^{N_h}} \omega_H = \\ &= \int_{\hat{\lambda}_{F(T)}^{N_h}} \omega_H + \sum_{\substack{F \subseteq N_k \\ H' \in S_F(B(L), F(T)) \setminus \mathcal{A}_{N_h}}} \epsilon(H, B(L)) \int_{\hat{\omega}_{H'}} \omega_H = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{F \subseteq N_k \\ H' \in S_F(B(L), F(T)) \setminus \mathcal{A}_{N_h}}} \epsilon(H, B(L)) \delta_{H, H'}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\hat{\omega}_{H'}} \varphi_L^*(\omega_H) = \int_{\hat{\omega}_{H'}} \hat{\omega}_H = \delta_{H, H'}.$$

where $H' \in \mathcal{A}_L$ and hence there is a non-zero pairing if and only if $L \subset H$. This implies Equations (13) and (14) via pairing of both sides with a basis of $H_1(\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$. \square

Recall Definitions 5.1.9 and 5.1.13 for \mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{I}(L)$. The following result is a straightforward consequence of the formulas of Lemma 5.2.1.

Corollary 5.2.2. *The homomorphism φ_L^* maps $\varphi_L^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}(L)$.*

Corollary 5.2.3. *Let $\mu \in H^s(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$ be the restriction of the class $\mu_T \in H^s(T_c; \mathbb{Q})$. Then $\varphi_L^*(\mu) = 0$ if $\text{rk}(L) > d - s$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.2.1 since a product of more than $d - \text{rk}(L)$ classes of the type $\lambda_{B(L)}^M$ is zero in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$. \square

The results of Lemma 4.1.6 and Corollary 4.1.7 have the following consequence in cohomology.

Lemma 5.2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a toric arrangement in the torus T . Let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be a layer of \mathcal{A} . Consider the quotient arrangement $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L$ in $\overline{T} = T/L_0$ and the cellular map $\Phi_L : \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$. Moreover, assume that $\pi_L(F(T)) = F(\overline{T})$. Then for any hypertorus $H \in \mathcal{A}_L$ the cohomology homomorphism*

$$\Phi_L^* : H^1(\text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$$

induced by Φ_L maps as follows:

$$\Phi_L^* : \omega_{\pi_L(H)} \mapsto \omega_H.$$

Corollary 5.2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a toric arrangement in the torus T . Let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be a layer of \mathcal{A} . Consider the quotient arrangement $\text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$ in $\overline{T} = T/L_0$ and the cellular map $\Phi_L : \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$. Moreover*

assume that $\pi_L(F(T)) = F(\overline{T})$. Then for any layer $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, if we consider the cohomology map induced by the restriction

$$\Phi_{L|} : \mathcal{S}_{Y, F(Y)} \mapsto \mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(Y), \pi_L(F(Y))}$$

the following holds: for any hypertorus $H \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $Y \subset H$ and $L \subset H$ we have

$$\Phi_{L|}^*(\omega_{\pi_L(H)}(\pi_L(Y))) = \omega_H(Y).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the previous lemma using the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\mathcal{S}_{Y, F(Y)}| & \xleftarrow{\varphi_Y} & \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \Phi_L & & \downarrow \Phi_L \\ |\mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(Y), \pi_L(F(Y))}| & \xleftarrow{\varphi_{\pi_L(Y)}} & \text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L). \end{array} \quad \square$$

We can assume the arrangement \mathcal{A} to be totally ordered; let us fix such an ordering. Moreover, recall that, given any $(d-r)$ -dimensional layer $L \in \mathcal{C}_r$ in the torus T of dimension d , we can consider the linear arrangement $\mathcal{A}[L]$.

Definition 5.2.6. The ordering of \mathcal{A} induces an ordering of $\mathcal{A}[L]$. For every element of the no-broken-circuit-basis (or nbc-basis, see [Yuz01]) associated to the arrangement $\mathcal{A}[L]$ we can consider the corresponding ordered subset $S = (H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_r}) \subset \mathcal{A}$.

We will write ω_S for the product $\omega_{H_{i_1}} \cdots \omega_{H_{i_r}} \in H^r(M(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$.

Corollary 5.2.7. Let \mathcal{A} be a toric arrangement in the torus T of dimension d . Let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be a layer of \mathcal{A} of rank r . Consider the quotient arrangement $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L$ in $\overline{T} = T/L_0$ and the cellular map $\Phi_L : \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L)$. Assume that $\pi_L(F(T)) = F(\overline{T})$.

Let $S = (H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_r})$ be an element of the nbc-basis of $\mathcal{A}[L]$ and let $\alpha \in H^*(\text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_L); \mathbb{Q})$ be a class such that $\alpha - \omega_{\pi_L(S)}$ restricts to zero in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(L)}; \mathbb{Q})$ and α restricts to a class in $\mathcal{I}(\overline{L}') \subset H^*(\mathcal{S}_{\overline{L}'}; \mathbb{Q})$ for $\overline{L}' \neq \pi_L(L)$. Then we have that:

- if $\pi_L(L') = \pi_L(L)$ (that is, if $L' \subseteq L$) the class $(\Phi_L^*(\alpha) - \omega_S)$ restricts to 0 in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{L'}; \mathbb{Q})$;
- if $\pi_L(L') \neq \pi_L(L)$ (that is, if $L' \not\subseteq L$) the class $\Phi_L^*(\alpha)$ restricts to a class of $\mathcal{I}(L')$ in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{L'}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. The result follows from Corollary 5.2.5 by multiplicativity. \square

Remark 5.2.8. The cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z})$ is a free \mathbb{Z} module (this has been proved in [dD15], but follows also from [CD17, Remark 6.1.1]).

Lemma 5.2.9. The cohomology ring $H^*(\mathcal{S}_L; \mathbb{Z})$ is a module over $H^*(T; \mathbb{Z})$ generated by the restriction of the classes ω_S for $S \in \text{nbc}(\mathcal{A}[L])$.

Proof. The lemma follows from [CD17, Lemma 4.2.15], where the homotopy equivalence

$$\Theta : |\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)| \times \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[L])$$

is given. Since the projection on the first component of Θ is the projection $|\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}| \rightarrow L_c$ induced by $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow T_c$, we have that the cohomology of $\mathcal{S}_{L,F}$ is a $H^*(T; \mathbb{Z})$ -module generated by generators of the Orlik-Solomon algebra $H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[L]); \mathbb{Z})$. Finally the following claims hold:

- a) The homology classes $\widehat{\omega}_H$ are non-trivial (in fact their image in $\text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_H)$ is non-zero).
- b) For $H \supset L$ the homology classes $\widehat{\omega}_H$ have a representative in $\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}$. In fact, for every $G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)$ the arrangement $\mathcal{A}[G]$ contains H and hence in particular a face W supported on H . Then we can consider the morphism m of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ that arises from the order relation $G \leq W$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[G])$ (ensuring that $F_m = W$). Thus we see that the poset $\mathcal{S}^F(\mathcal{A}[G])$ contains the subposet Ω^m of Remark 3.2.2.
- c) The classes $\widehat{\omega}_H$ project to trivial classes in $T_c = |\mathcal{S}_T|$.
- d) If \mathcal{B} is the essentialization of $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\}$, the classes $\widehat{\omega}_H$ maps to trivial classes in $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{B})$ (this claim follows directly from Lemma 4.2.2 and Remark 4.2.2 when \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\}$ have the same rank, otherwise from Lemma 4.1.6).

Hence we have that the classes $\omega_H(L)$ for $H \supset L$, that are the restrictions of the corresponding classes $\widehat{\omega}_H$ to \mathcal{S}_L , are the standard generators of the Orlik-Solomon algebra $H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[L]); \mathbb{Z})$. \square

5.3. The cohomology ring.

Definition 5.3.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a toric arrangement in a torus T . Let $L \in \mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{A})$ be a layer and let $S \in \text{nbc}(\mathcal{A}[L])$. We define the subarrangement $\mathcal{A}_S \subset \mathcal{A}$ as the set of hypertori of \mathcal{A} associated to the elements of S .

Definition 5.3.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a toric arrangement in a torus T . We define the *stabilizer* of \mathcal{A} as the group $G \subset T$ given by

$$G := \{g \in T \mid \forall H \in \mathcal{A}, gH = H\}.$$

and the *essential stabilizer* of \mathcal{A} as the group \mathcal{G} of the connected components of G . Hence $\mathcal{G} := G/G_0$, where G_0 is the connected component of the identity of G .

Given a layer $L \in \mathcal{C}_r(\mathcal{A})$ and an element $S \in \text{nbc}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ we write G_S (resp. \mathcal{G}_S) for the stabilizer (resp. essential stabilizer) of \mathcal{A}_S .

Remark 5.3.3. If \mathcal{A} is essential then G is discrete and we have $\mathcal{G} \simeq G$. In general, by choosing a direct summand of G_0 in T we get a lifting $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$. Hence we can always identify \mathcal{G} with a subgroup of T that acts by multiplication on the layers in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 5.3.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be an essential arrangement in a torus T of dimension d . Let $L \in \mathcal{C}_r$ a layer of rank $r \geq 0$ and let $S \in \text{nbc}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ be a no-broken-circuit of length r . For a layer of $Y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ let \bar{Y} be the smallest layer in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}_S)$ containing Y . There exists a unique cohomology class $\omega_{S,L} \in H^r(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$ such that for every layer $Y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ and for every face $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ with $\text{supp}(F) = Y$ we have:*

- i) if $L \subset \bar{Y}$ then $\omega_{S,L} - \frac{\omega_S}{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(\bar{Y})|}$ restricts to 0 in $H^r(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}; \mathbb{Q})$;
- ii) if $L \not\subset \bar{Y}$ then $\omega_{S,L}$ restricts to 0 in $H^r(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. We prove our statement by induction on d and r , considering the following cases.

- a) [$d = 0$] For $L = T$ and $S = \emptyset$ we can just set $\omega_{S,L}$ as the constant class $1 \in H^0(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$.
- b) [$d = 1$] In the case where $r = 0$ the set S is again empty and $\omega_{S,L} = \omega_{\emptyset,T}$ is the generator $1 \in H^0(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$. When $r = 1$ the result is trivial as we can set $\omega_{S,L} = \omega_{\{H\},H} = \omega_H$.
- c) [$d > 1, r < d$] Suppose now that $\dim T = d > 1$. We can assume that the statement is true for any essential arrangement in a torus T' with $\dim T' < d$. We show that if $\text{rk}(L) = r < d$ the statement follows also for $\dim T = d$. In fact, given $S = (H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_r}) \in \text{nbc}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ of length r , we can consider the quotient arrangement $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_S := \mathcal{A}_S/L_0$, the layer $\bar{L} := L/L_0 \in \mathcal{C}_r(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_S)$ and the element $\bar{S} := \pi_L(S) \in \text{nbc}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_S[\bar{L}])$. By induction on the dimension d the class $\omega_{\bar{S},\bar{L}}$ exists and we can set

$$\omega_{S,L} := \Phi_L^*(\omega_{\bar{S},\bar{L}})$$

where Φ_L^* is the map defined in Lemma 5.2.4. Now by induction on the dimension d the two required relation can be computed: if $\bar{L} \subset \pi_L(Y)$ (that is if $L \subset \bar{Y}$) then $\omega_{\bar{S},\bar{L}} - \frac{\omega_{\bar{S}}}{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_{\bar{S}}}(\pi_L(Y))|}$ restricts to 0 in $\mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(Y),R}$ and if $\bar{L} \not\subset \pi_L(Y)$ (that is if $L \not\subset \bar{Y}$) then $\omega_{\bar{S},\bar{L}}$ restricts to 0 in $\mathcal{S}_{\pi_L(Y),R}$. Hence, since $\mathcal{G}_S \simeq \mathcal{G}_{\bar{S}}$, according to Corollary 5.2.7 we have that

$$\omega_{S,L} - \frac{\omega_S}{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(\bar{Y})|}$$

restricts to 0 in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}; \mathbb{Q})$ for all Y, F such that $L \subseteq \bar{Y}$ and $\omega_{S,L}$ restricts to 0 in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{Y',F'}; \mathbb{Q})$ if $L \not\subseteq \bar{Y}'$.

- d) [$d > 1, r = d$] First we consider the case when $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_S$. In this case the arrangement \mathcal{A}_0 is boolean and for any choice of $B_0 \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ the closure of B_0 meets every hyperplane in maximal dimension. Then we can set $F(Y) = \bar{B}_0 \cap Y_0$ and Theorem 4.2.17 of [CD17] holds with $F_0 = F(Y)$ (see [CD23, Remark 2.1] and Theorem A.1 for a self-contained proof). As a consequence Theorem A and B of [CD17] can be applied in this setting.

From [CD17, Thm. A] we can choose a class $\omega_{S,L} \in H^r(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\omega_{S,L} - \omega_S$ restricts to zero in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{L,F(L)}; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^*(L) \otimes H^*\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ and $\omega_{S,L}$ restricts to zero in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F(Y)}; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^*(Y) \otimes H^*\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[Y])$ for $Y \neq L$. From the definition of coherent elements (see [CD17, Def. 2.3.4]) and from [CD17, Thm. B] we have that $\omega_{S,L}$ restricts to zero in $H^r(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F(Y)}; \mathbb{Q})$ for $Y \neq L$. Hence $\omega_{S,L}$ satisfies the required conditions i) and ii) when $F = F(Y)$.

Recall that for $g \in \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y)$ we have a multiplication map $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ that by Lemma 4.2.1 is homotopic to the identity map and hence induces the identity map on $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F})$.

We need to prove that i) and ii) are satisfied also for every complex $\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}$, with $F \neq F(Y)$. This is trivially true for $Y = L$, since in this case $F = F(Y)$ is the unique face in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ with $\text{supp}(F) = Y_0$. When $Y \neq L$ we can consider the class

$$\omega_{S,L}^Y := \sum_{g \in \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y)} g^* \omega_{S,L} \in H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let $S = S_1 \sqcup S_2$, where the elements of S_1 are the elements of S that contain Y . Let $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ be the sign such that $\omega_S = \epsilon \omega_{S_1} \omega_{S_2}$. Consider the map $\Phi_{S_1} : \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{S_1})$. We claim that

$$(15) \quad \omega_{S,L}^Y = \epsilon \Phi_{S_1}^*(\omega_{\overline{S_1}, \pi_Y(Y)}) \omega_{S_2}.$$

The equality follows checking that the two terms agree when restricted to $\mathcal{S}_{W,F(W)}$ for every $W \in \mathcal{C}(A_S)$. In fact we have:

$$\varphi_W^*(\omega_{S,L}^Y) = \frac{\omega_S}{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(W)|} \cdot |\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y) \cap \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(W)|$$

if $L \subseteq \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y).W$ and

$$\varphi_W^*(\omega_{S,L}^Y) = 0$$

otherwise. On the other side we have

$$\varphi_W^*(\epsilon \Phi_{S_1}^*(\omega_{\overline{S_1}, \pi_Y(Y)}) \omega_{S_2}) = \epsilon \frac{\omega_{S_1}}{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_{\overline{S_1}}}(\pi_Y(W))|} \omega_{S_2} = \frac{\omega_S}{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_{\overline{S_1}}}(\pi_Y(W))|}$$

if $\pi_Y(Y) \subseteq \pi_Y(W)$ and

$$\varphi_W^*(\epsilon \Phi_{S_1}^*(\omega_{\overline{S_1}, \pi_Y(Y)}) \omega_{S_2}) = 0$$

otherwise. The equality

$$\frac{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y) \cap \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(W)|}{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(W)|} = \frac{1}{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_{\overline{S_1}}}(\pi_Y(W))|}$$

follows since the kernel of the surjective homomorphism

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(W) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_{\overline{S_1}}}(\pi_Y(W))$$

is exactly the subgroup $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y) \cap \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(W)$. Moreover the two conditions $L \subseteq \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y).W$ and $\pi_Y(Y) \subseteq \pi_Y(W)$ are equivalent. In fact $\pi_Y(L) = \pi_Y(Y)$ and $\pi_Y(\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y).W) = \pi_Y(W)$ and this

proves that the first condition implies the second one. Since $\pi_Y(W)$ is the quotient by Y_0 of the smallest layer \overline{W} of \mathcal{A}_{S_1} containing W , the second condition means that $Y \subset \overline{W}$ and then L , which is a point of Y , is contained in $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y).W$, hence the second condition implies the first one. Then we have proved Equation (15). In particular we have by induction that for every face $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ such that $\text{supp}(F) = Y_0$ the class $\omega_{S,L}$ restricts in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F})$ as $\frac{\omega_S}{|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y)|}$ and this proves the proposition if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_S$.

If $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}_S$ we can consider the map $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}_S)$ induced by the inclusion $M(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow M(\mathcal{A}_S)$ and the result follows applying Lemma 4.2.2 and Remark 4.2.3. \square

Theorem 5.3.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be an essential toric arrangement in T . The homomorphism of algebras*

$$\bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})} \varphi_L : H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})} H^*(\mathcal{S}_L; \mathbb{Z})$$

is injective.

Proof. Let R be a ring and let \mathcal{I}_R (resp. \mathcal{J}_R) be the ideal of $A_R^* := H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); R)$ (resp. $B_R^* := \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})} H^*(\mathcal{S}_L; R)$) generated by the restriction of $H^1(T; R) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{S}_T; R)$. Note that \mathcal{I}_R and \mathcal{J}_R are graded ideals with respect to the cohomological graduation and we will write $(\mathcal{I}_R)_j$ (resp. $(\mathcal{J}_R)_j$) for the graded component of \mathcal{I}_R (resp. \mathcal{J}_R) in A_R^j (resp. B_R^j).

The powers of the ideals \mathcal{I}_R and \mathcal{J}_R induce filtrations on the rings A_R^* and B_R^* . Let $\text{Gr}(A_R)$ and $\text{Gr}(B_R)$ be the associated bi-graded groups, where we write $\text{Gr}_i(A_R^j)$ (resp. $\text{Gr}_i(B_R^j)$) for $(\mathcal{I}_R^i/\mathcal{I}_R^{i+1})_j$ (resp. $(\mathcal{J}_R^i/\mathcal{J}_R^{i+1})_j$).

The map $\Phi := \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})} \varphi_L$ induces an homomorphism of bi-graded groups

$$\overline{\Phi} : \text{Gr}_i(A_R^j) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_i(B_R^j).$$

As recalled in Remark 5.2.8, the cohomology groups $H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(\mathcal{S}_L; \mathbb{Z})$ are torsion free and hence $A_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. $B_{\mathbb{Z}}$) includes in $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp. $B_{\mathbb{Q}}$). Moreover the injectivity of $\overline{\Phi}$ implies the injectivity of the map Φ . As a consequence of these two facts, in order to prove that Φ is injective for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ it will be enough to prove that $\overline{\Phi}$ is injective when $R = \mathbb{Q}$.

This can be seen showing that $\text{Gr}_i(A_{\mathbb{Q}}^j)$ and $\overline{\Phi}(\text{Gr}_i(A_{\mathbb{Q}}^j))$ have the same dimension. In fact if we fix $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\text{rk}(L) = l$ we have that $\text{Gr}_i(H^{l+i}(\mathcal{S}_L; \mathbb{Q})) \simeq H^l(M(\mathcal{A}[L]); \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^i(L; \mathbb{Q})$. For a given $S \in \text{NBC}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ with $|S| = l$ and $\lambda \in H^i(T; \mathbb{Q})$, the class $\omega_{S,L} \cdot \lambda$ belongs to $\text{Gr}_i(A_{\mathbb{Q}}^{l+i})$.

It follows from Proposition 5.3.4 that $\omega_{S,L}$ maps to $\omega_S(L) \otimes \lambda$ in the graded piece $\text{Gr}_i(H^{l+i}(\mathcal{S}_L; \mathbb{Q}))$.

Moreover for $L' \neq L$ with $\text{rk}(L') = l'$ we have that $\omega_{S,L}$ maps to 0 in the graded piece $\text{Gr}_i(H^{l+i}(\mathcal{S}_{L'}; \mathbb{Q}))$. Again this follows from Lemma 5.2.1 and Proposition 5.3.4 since: either at least one of the hypertori H_s for $s \in S$ does not contains L' and then $\omega_{S,L}$ maps to \mathcal{J}_R , either $L' \subsetneq L$ and hence $\omega_S(L)$ has dimension less than l' in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{L'}; \mathbb{Q})$.

As a consequence the images of the classes $\omega_{S,L} \cdot \lambda$ for $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, $S \in \text{NBC}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ with $|S| = \text{rk}(L)$ and λ in a basis of $H^i(L; \mathbb{Q})$ in $\text{Gr}(B_{\mathbb{Q}})$ are linearly independent and the rank of the image of $\text{Gr}(A_{\mathbb{Q}})$ in $\text{Gr}(B_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is greater or equal to

$$\sum_{L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})} 2^{d-\text{rk}(L)} \dim H^{\text{rk}(L)}(M(\mathcal{A}[L]); \mathbb{Q})$$

that is the dimension of $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (see [Loo93, DCP05]). Hence $\bar{\Phi}$ is an injective homomorphism. \square

Proposition 5.3.6. *The classes $\omega_{S,L}$ defined in Proposition 5.3.4 are integer classes.*

Proof. Following the same pattern of the proof of Proposition 5.3.4, we can prove the result by induction. The claim follows immediately for the cases a) (since 1 is an integer class) b) (since the classes ω_H are integer classes) and c) since the pull back of an integer class is an integer class.

Concerning case d), since the restriction of an integer class is an integer class, we can assume that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_S$. We will show that for a given layer Y such that $L \subset Y$ and $|\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y)| \neq 1$ the restriction of the class $\omega_{S,L}$ in $H^*(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}; \mathbb{Q})$ is an integer class. We consider the group $\mathcal{N} := \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y)$ and the quotient $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{N}$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{N} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ |\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}| & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{N}}} & |\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}|/\mathcal{N} \end{array}$$

and the homotopy equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}| & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{N}}} & |\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}|/\mathcal{N} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[Y]) \times Y & \longrightarrow & \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[Y]) \times (Y/\mathcal{N}) \end{array}$$

Let $m = |\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(Y)|$. Notice that when $i = \dim(Y)$ the cohomology map

$$H^i(Y/\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^i(Y; \mathbb{Q})$$

induced by the m -fold covering $Y \rightarrow Y/\mathcal{N}$ is the multiplication by m .

Recall that we are assuming that $r = \text{rk}(T) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{A}) = |S|$ and hence $i = \dim Y = r = \text{rk}(Y)$.

The class $\omega_S \in H^r(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z})$ is \mathcal{N} -invariant and hence it is the pullback of an integer class $\bar{\omega}_S \in H^r(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover the class $\bar{\omega}_S$ restricts to a class $\beta \in H^r(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}/\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^{\text{rk}(Y)}(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}[Y]); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^i(Y/\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{Z})$. This implies that $\varphi_{Y,F}^*(\omega_S) = \pi_{\mathcal{N}}^*(\beta)$ is m times an integer class in $H^r(\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}; \mathbb{Z})$ and hence $\omega_{S,L}$ restricts to an integer class in $\mathcal{S}_{Y,F}$. From the injectivity of the map

$$H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{Y \in \mathcal{C}} H^*(\mathcal{S}_Y; \mathbb{Z})$$

it follows that the class $\omega_{S,L}$ is an integer class. \square

Theorem 5.3.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be an essential toric arrangement in T . The integer cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated as a module over $H^*(T; \mathbb{Z})$ by the classes $\omega_{S,L}$ for $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ and $S \in \text{nbc}(\mathcal{A}[L])$.*

Proof. Since we have proved in Theorem 5.3.5 that the map $\Phi = \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})} \varphi_L$ is injective over \mathbb{Z} , it will be enough to show that the image of the homomorphism of algebras

$$\bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})} \varphi_L : H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})} H^*(\mathcal{S}_L; \mathbb{Z})$$

is the $H^*(T; \mathbb{Z})$ -module generated by the restrictions of the classes $\omega_{S,L}$ for $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ and $S \in \text{nbc}(\mathcal{A}[L])$.

We keep the notation of the proof of Theorem 5.3.5. Let A'_R the sub- $H^*(T; R)$ -module of A_R generated by the classes $\omega_{S,L}$ for $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ and $S \in \text{nbc}(\mathcal{A}[L])$. Using the injectivity of $\Phi : A_{\mathbb{Z}}^* \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ and the fact that the surjectivity of $\bar{\Phi} : \text{Gr}(A'_R) \rightarrow \bar{\Phi}(\text{Gr}(A_R))$ implies the surjectivity of $\Phi : A'_R \rightarrow \Phi(A_R)$, in order to prove that $A'_{\mathbb{Z}} = A_{\mathbb{Z}}$ we will show that

$$\bar{\Phi}(\text{Gr}(A'_{\mathbb{Z}})) = \bar{\Phi}(\text{Gr}(A_{\mathbb{Q}})) \cap \text{Gr}(B_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

In fact, since we have the inclusions

$$\bar{\Phi}(\text{Gr}(A'_{\mathbb{Z}})) \subset \bar{\Phi}(\text{Gr}(A_{\mathbb{Z}})) \subset \bar{\Phi}(\text{Gr}(A_{\mathbb{Q}})) \cap \text{Gr}(B_{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

the equality between the first and the last term implies the equality between the first and the second one. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 5.3.5, $\bar{\Phi}$ maps the class of $\omega_{S,L}$ in $\text{Gr}_0(H^{\text{rk}(L)}(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z}))$ to the class $\omega_S(L)$ in $\text{Gr}_0(H^{\text{rk}(L)}(\mathcal{S}_L; \mathbb{Z}))$. Since $H^*(\mathcal{S}_L; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^*(M(\mathcal{A}[L]); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(L)$ we have that the set of classes $\omega_S(L)$ for $S \in \text{nbc}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ is a set of generators of

$$\bigoplus_i \text{Gr}_i(H^{\text{rk}(L)+i}(\mathcal{S}_L; \mathbb{Z})) \simeq \bigoplus_i H^{\text{rk}(L)}(M(\mathcal{A}[L]); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^i(L)$$

as a $H^*(T; \mathbb{Z})$ -module. The sum of these modules, for $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, is the intersection $\bar{\Phi}(\text{Gr}(A_{\mathbb{Q}})) \cap \text{Gr}(B_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Hence the claim follows. \square

Example 5.3.8. As an example of our result we provide an explicit description of the cohomology of the complement of the toric arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \{H_0, H_1, H_2\}$ in $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ given by:

$$H_0 = \{z \in T \mid z_0 = 1\}; \quad H_1 = \{z \in T \mid z_0 z_1^2 = 1\}; \quad H_2 = \{z \in T \mid z_1 = 1\}.$$

The associated hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A}_0 in $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by the corresponding hyperplanes

$$W_0 = \{x \in V \mid x_0 = 0\}; \quad W_1 = \{x \in V \mid x_0 + 2x_1 = 0\}; \quad W_2 = \{x \in V \mid x_1 = 0\}.$$

We consider in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ the chambers $B_0 = \{x \in V \mid x_0 < 0, x_0 + 2x_1 > 0\}$ and $B_1 = \{x \in V \mid x_1 > 0, x_0 + 2x_1 < 0\}$ (see Figure 6). The poset




FIGURE 6. The real picture of the toric arrangement \mathcal{A} of Example 5.3.8 (on the left) and of the corresponding central arrangement \mathcal{A}_0 (on the right).

of layers $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ is given by the elements T, H_0, H_1, H_2 and the points $P = \{(1, 1)\}$, $Q = \{(1, -1)\}$. In order to define the subcomplexes $|\mathcal{S}_L|$ for $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ we need to choose the chamber $B(L)$. We can do this as follows: $B(H_2) = B_1$, $B(L) = B_0$ for $L \neq H_2$. Moreover for $H \in \mathcal{A}$ choose ${}^H C = B(H)$. As a basis $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_T(\mathcal{A})$ we can choose the set $\{\widehat{\lambda}_{B_0}^{H_0}, \widehat{\lambda}_{B_0}^{H_2}\}$. All the other choices of basis are natural. In Table 1 we describe the restriction of each generator of the cohomology of $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ to each one of the subcomplexes $|\mathcal{S}_L| = |\mathcal{S}_{L, F(L)}|$, for $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$. Cells are empty when a class restricts to zero. The multiplicative structure of the cohomology of $\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$ is induced by the multiplicative structure on each subcomplex.

$\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A})$	\mathcal{S}_T	\mathcal{S}_{H_0}	\mathcal{S}_{H_1}	\mathcal{S}_{H_2}	\mathcal{S}_P	\mathcal{S}_Q
$\lambda_{B_0}^{H_0}$	$\lambda_{B_0}^{H_0}$	$\lambda_{B_0}^{H_0}$	$\lambda_{B_0}^{H_1}$			
$\lambda_{B_0}^{H_2}$	$\lambda_{B_0}^{H_2}$		$2\lambda_{B_0}^{H_1}$	$\lambda_{B_1}^{H_2}$		
ω_{H_0}		ω_{H_0}			ω_{H_0}	ω_{H_0}
ω_{H_1}			ω_{H_1}	$2\lambda_{B_1}^{H_2}$	ω_{H_1}	ω_{H_1}
ω_{H_2}				ω_{H_2}	ω_{H_2}	
$\omega_{\{0,2\},P}$					$\omega_{H_0}\omega_{H_2}$	
$\omega_{\{1,2\},P}$				$\lambda_{B_1}^{H_2}\omega_{H_2}$	$\omega_{H_1}\omega_{H_2}$	
$\omega_{\{1,2\},Q}$						$\omega_{H_1}\omega_{H_2}$

TABLE 1

APPENDIX A.

The following result is a special case of Theorem 4.2.17 of [CD17]. Notice that Theorem 4.2.17 of [CD17] does not hold in general (see Remark 2.1 in [CD23]).

Theorem A.1. *Suppose that there is a chamber B of \mathcal{A}_0 such that for every layer $L \in \mathcal{C}$ the face $F_0 := X_L \cap \overline{B}$ is full-dimensional in X_L . For every $L \in \mathcal{C}$ let $B := B(L)$.*

Fix an integer q and let L be a layer with $\text{rk}(L) > q$. Consider the set $(\mathcal{C}_{\leq L})_q$ of all the layers L' such that $L \subseteq L'$ and $q = \text{rk}(L')$. The following diagram of groups is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{L' \in (\mathcal{C}_{\leq L})_q} \varphi_{L'}^*} & \bigoplus_{L' \in (\mathcal{C}_{\leq L})_q} H^q(M(\mathcal{A}[L']); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(L'; \mathbb{Z}) \\
& \searrow \varphi_L^* & \downarrow \\
& & H^q(M(\mathcal{A}[L]); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(L; \mathbb{Z})
\end{array}$$

Here the maps φ_L and $\varphi_{L'}$ are defined in Definition 5.1.2 and the vertical map is naturally induced by the inclusion $L \subseteq L'$ and the Brieskorn Lemma [CD17, Proposition 3.3.3] for the hyperplane arrangements $\mathcal{A}[L'] \subseteq \mathcal{A}[L]$.

Proof. Let L and L' be as in the claim and write $F_0 := \overline{B} \cap X_L$, resp. $F'_0 := \overline{B} \cap X_{L'}$. The assumption on B ensures that $F_0 \leq F'_0$. This implies that $\mathcal{S}^{F'_0}(\mathcal{A}[L'])$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{S}^{F_0}(\mathcal{A}[L'])$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^{L'})$ – see (1) for definitions. Now we can consider $i_{F'_0} : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[L']) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[L])$ that maps $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[L'])$ identically to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}[L])_{\leq F'_0}$.

We have a commutative diagram

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}^{F'_0}(\mathcal{A}[F]) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{S}^{F_0}(\mathcal{A}[F]) \\ \downarrow [G,C] \mapsto [G_{L'}, C_{L'}] & & \downarrow [G,C] \mapsto [G_L, C_L] \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[L']) & \xrightarrow{j_{F'_0}} & \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[L]) \end{array}$$

where $j_{F'_0}$ maps $[G, C] \mapsto [i_{F'_0}(G), i_{F'_0}(C)]$ and the vertical maps are homotopy equivalences ([CD17, Proposition 3.3.5]).

Extending the notation of Definition 2.7.3 we can consider the subdiagram \mathcal{D}_{L, F'_0} of \mathcal{D} induced on the subcategory $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)$ of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ by the subposets $\mathcal{D}_{L, F'_0}(F) := \mathcal{S}^{F'_0}(\mathcal{A}[F])$. The nerve $|\mathcal{D}_{L, F'_0}|$ is a subcomplex of both $\mathcal{S}_{L', F'_0} = |\int \mathcal{D}_{L', F'_0}|$ and $\mathcal{S}_{L, F_0} = |\int \mathcal{D}_{L, F_0}|$.

The homotopy equivalences referred to in Remark 2.7.4 can be naturally extended to $|\mathcal{D}_{L, F'_0}|$. Let Θ be the functor that realizes these homotopy equivalences. The functor Θ fits into the commutative diagram (17). The left side commutes because it consists of inclusions. The top-right square commutes trivially from the Definition and the bottom-right square commutes because of Diagram (16).

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & |\int \mathcal{D}_{L', F'_0}| & \xrightarrow[\text{homeq}]{\Theta} & |\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[L'])| \times |\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^{L'})| \\ & \swarrow \varphi_{L'} & \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \text{id} \times \iota \\ \text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}) & & |\int \mathcal{D}_{L, F'_0}| & \xrightarrow[\text{homeq}]{\Theta} & |\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[L'])| \times |\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)| \\ & \swarrow \varphi_L & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow j_{F'_0} \times \text{id} \\ & & |\int \mathcal{D}_{L, F_0}| & \xrightarrow[\text{homeq}]{\Theta} & |\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}[L])| \times |\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^L)| \end{array}$$

The horizontal maps of the Diagram (17) define isomorphisms in cohomology, we can consider their inverses. Passing to cohomology we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & H^*(M(\mathcal{A}[L']); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(L'; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \nearrow \varphi_{L'}^* & \downarrow \iota^* \otimes \text{id} \\ H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z}) & & H^*(M(\mathcal{A}[L']); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(L; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \searrow \varphi_L^* & \uparrow j_{F'_0}^* \otimes \text{id} \\ & & H^*(M(\mathcal{A}[L]); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(L; \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

Now Diagram 19 is obtained by projecting the first factor of the tensor products for the terms in the right side of diagram (18) to cohomological

degree q and by taking the direct sum for all $L' \in (\mathcal{C}_{\leq L})_q$.

(19)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \bigoplus_{L' \in (\mathcal{C}_{\leq L})_q} H^q(M(\mathcal{A}[L']); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(L'; \mathbb{Z}) & \\
 \nearrow \bigoplus_{L' \in (\mathcal{C}_{\leq L})_q} \varphi_{L'}^* & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \iota^* \\
 H^*(\text{Sal}(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z}) & \left(\bigoplus_{L' \in (\mathcal{C}_{\leq L})_q} H^q(M(\mathcal{A}[L']); \mathbb{Z}) \right) \otimes H^*(L; \mathbb{Z}) & \\
 \searrow \varphi_L^* & & \uparrow \left(\bigoplus_{L' \in (\mathcal{C}_{\leq L})_q} j_{F'_0}^* \right) \otimes \text{id} \\
 & H^q(M(\mathcal{A}[L]); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(L; \mathbb{Z}). &
 \end{array}$$

From the Combinatorial Brieskorn Lemma [CD17, Proposition 3.3.3] the left factor $\bigoplus_{L' \in (\mathcal{C}_{\leq L})_q} j_{F'_0}^*$ of the bottom right map of Diagram (19) is an isomorphism. Hence we can invert the bottom right arrow and, composing with the upper right map $\text{id} \otimes \iota^*$ we get the natural vertical map. Thus, the commutativity of the diagram of the statement of the Theorem follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bib16] Christin Bibby. Cohomology of abelian arrangements. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 144(7):3093–3104, 2016.
- [BLVS⁺93] Anders Björner, Michel Las Vergnas, Bernd Sturmfels, Neil White, and Günter M. Ziegler. *Oriented matroids*, volume 46 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [BZ92] Anders Björner and Günter M. Ziegler. Combinatorial stratification of complex arrangements. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(1):105–149, 1992.
- [CD17] Filippo Callegaro and Emanuele Delucchi. The integer cohomology algebra of toric arrangements. *Adv. Math.*, 313:746–802, 2017.
- [CD23] Filippo Callegaro and Emanuele Delucchi. Erratum to “The integer cohomology algebra of toric arrangements”, 2023. [arXiv:1910.13836v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.13836v3).
- [CDD⁺20] Filippo Callegaro, Michele D’Adderio, Emanuele Delucchi, Luca Migliorini, and Roberto Pagaria. Orlik-Solomon type presentations for the cohomology algebra of toric arrangements. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373(3):1909–1940, 2020.
- [CDD⁺21] Filippo Callegaro, Michele D’Adderio, Emanuele Delucchi, Luca Migliorini, and Roberto Pagaria. Corrigendum to “Orlik-Solomon-type presentations for the cohomology algebra of toric arrangements”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(5):3779–3781, 2021.
- [DCP05] C. De Concini and C. Procesi. On the geometry of toric arrangements. *Transform. Groups*, 10(3-4):387–422, 2005.
- [DCP11] Corrado De Concini and Claudio Procesi. *Topics in hyperplane arrangements, polytopes and box-splines*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [dD12] Giacomo d’Antonio and Emanuele Delucchi. A Salvetti complex for toric arrangements and its fundamental group. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (15):3535–3566, 2012.

- [dD15] Giacomo d’Antonio and Emanuele Delucchi. Minimality of toric arrangements. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 17(3):483–521, 2015.
- [DP21] Emanuele Delucchi and Roberto Pagaria. The homotopy type of elliptic arrangements. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 21(4):2037–2063, 2021.
- [Dup16] Clément Dupont. Purity, formality, and arrangement complements. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (13):4132–4144, 2016.
- [FZ00] Eva Maria Feichtner and Günter M. Ziegler. On cohomology algebras of complex subspace arrangements. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 352(8):3523–3555, 2000.
- [GM83] Mark Goresky and Robert and MacPherson. Stratified Morse theory. In *Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981)*, volume 40 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 517–533. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1983.
- [Loo93] Eduard Looijenga. Cohomology of \mathcal{M}_3 and \mathcal{M}_3^1 . In *Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces (Göttingen, 1991/Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 150 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 205–228. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [MS11] Luca Moci and Simona Settepanella. The homotopy type of toric arrangements. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 215(8):1980–1989, 2011.
- [OS80] Peter Orlik and Louis Solomon. Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes. *Invent. Math.*, 56(2):167–189, 1980.
- [OT92] Peter Orlik and Hiroaki Terao. *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, volume 300 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [Sal87] M. Salvetti. Topology of the complement of real hyperplanes in \mathbf{C}^N . *Invent. Math.*, 88(3):603–618, 1987.
- [Tho79] R. W. Thomason. Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 85(1):91–109, 1979.
- [Yuz01] S. Yuzvinskii. Orlik-Solomon algebras in algebra and topology. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 56(2(338)):87–166, 2001.

(F. Callegaro) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITY OF PISA, ITALY.
Email address: callegaro@dm.unipi.it

(E. Delucchi) UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED ARTS AND SCIENCES OF SOUTHERN SWITZERLAND.
Email address: emanuele.delucchi@supsi.ch