

Classification of metric fibrations

Yasuhiko ASAO*

July 11, 2023

Abstract

In this paper, we study ‘a fibration of metric spaces’ that was originally introduced by Leinster ([6]) in the study of the *magnitude* and called *metric fibrations*. He showed that the magnitude of a metric fibration splits into the product of those of the fiber and the base, which is analogous to the Euler characteristic and topological fiber bundles. His idea and our approach is based on Lawvere’s suggestion of viewing a metric space as an enriched category ([5]). Actually, the metric fibration turns out to be the restriction of the enriched *Grothendieck fibrations* ([2]) to metric spaces ([1]). We give a complete classification of metric fibrations by several means, which is parallel to that of topological fiber bundles. That is, the classification of metric fibrations is reduced to that of ‘principal fibrations’, which is done by the ‘1-Čech cohomology’ in an appropriate sense. Here we introduce the notion of *torsors* in the category of metric spaces, and the discussions are analogous to the sheaf theory. Further, we can define the ‘fundamental group $\pi_1^m(X)$ ’ of a metric space X , which is a group object in metric spaces, such that the conjugation classes of homomorphisms $\text{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X), \mathcal{G})$ corresponds to the isomorphism classes of ‘principal \mathcal{G} -fibrations’ over X . Namely, it is classified like topological covering spaces.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Conventions	4
2.1	Categories	4
2.2	Metric spaces	5
3	$\text{Met}_X \simeq \text{Fib}_X$	7
4	The fundamental metric group of a metric space	10
4.1	Metric groups	10
4.2	The fundamental metric group	12
5	$\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \text{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G})$	13
5.1	$\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$	13
5.2	$\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \text{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G})$	16
5.3	Example	17
6	Classification of metric fibrations	18
6.1	The functor $\widehat{(-)}^{x_0}$	18
6.2	Classification for the case of bounded fibers	20
6.3	Classification for the case of unbounded fibers	21

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Fukuoka University asao@fukuoka-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The idea of *metric fibration* is first introduced by Leinster in the study of magnitude ([6]). The magnitude theory that he coined can be considered as a promotion of Lawvere’s suggestion of viewing a metric space as a $[0, \infty]$ -enriched category. The magnitude of a metric space is defined as the ‘Euler characteristic of enriched categories’. In fact, he showed that the magnitude of a metric fibration splits into the product of those of the fiber and the base (Theorem 2.3.11 of [6]), which is analogous to the case of topological fiber bundles. Later, the author ([1]) pointed out that it is actually a restriction of the enriched *Grothendieck fibration* ([2]) to metric spaces, by dealing with small categories and metric spaces from a unified view point, namely as *filtered set enriched categories*. By this approach, we can expect to obtain novel ideas to the one side that is well-studied on the other side.

As an example, the following Figure 1 is one of the simplest non-trivial metric fibrations. Note that we consider connected graphs as metric spaces by taking the shortest path metric (see also Proposition 2.8). Both graphs are metric fibrations over the complete graph K_3 with the fiber K_2 as shown in Example 5.29 of [1]. Further, they have the same magnitude as pointed out in Example 3.7 of [7]. In Proposition 5.30 of [1], it is shown that the right one is the only non-trivial metric fibration over K_3 with the fiber K_2 . Here, ‘trivial’ means that it is the cartesian product of graphs. On the other hand, any metric fibration over a four cycle graph C_4 , or more generally an even cycle graph, is shown to be trivial in the same proposition.





Figure 1: The left is $K_3 \times K_2$, and the right is isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$. They both have the magnitude $\frac{6}{1+3q+2q^2}$.

In this paper, we give a complete classification of metric fibrations by several means, which is parallel to that of topological fiber bundles. Namely, we define ‘principal fibrations’, ‘fundamental groups’ and ‘a 1-Čech cohomology’ for metric spaces, and obtain the equivalence between categories of these objects. Roughly speaking, we obtain an analogy of the following correspondence in the case of topological fiber bundles with a discrete structure group.



We explain more in detail in the following. First recall that any usual Grothendieck fibration over a small category C can be obtained from a lax functor $C \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$, which is called the *Grothendieck construction* ([3]). In [1], it is shown that any metric fibration over a metric space X can be obtained from a ‘lax functor’ $X \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}$ that is called *metric action* (Definition 3.1). Here \mathbf{Met} is the category of metric spaces and Lipschitz maps. We can consider the Grothendieck and the metric fibration as the definition of fibrations via ‘the lifting property’, while the lax functor and the metric action is the one via ‘the transformation functions’. More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Proposition 3.9). *The Grothendieck construction gives a category equivalence*

$$\mathbf{Met}_X \simeq \mathbf{Fib}_X,$$

where we denote the category of metric actions $X \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}$ by \mathbf{Met}_X and the category of metric fibrations over X by \mathbf{Fib}_X (Definitions 3.1, 3.2).

We can define a subcategory $\mathbf{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathbf{Fib}_X that consists of ‘principal \mathcal{G} -fibrations’ (Definition 5.7). We call it a category of \mathcal{G} -torsors. On the other hand, we can also define a subcategory $\mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathbf{Met}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ that is the counterpart of $\mathbf{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ (Definition 5.1). The category $\mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ consists of a metric action $X \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}$ that takes a group \mathcal{G} , not just a metric space, as the value. Then we have the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Proposition 5.11). *The Grothendieck construction gives a category equivalence*

$$\mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \mathbf{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Here, a group \mathcal{G} is not just a group but is a group object of \mathbf{Met} , which we call a *metric group* (Definition 4.1). As an example of a metric group, we construct the *fundamental group* $\pi_1^m(X)$ of a metric space X (Definition 4.8). We also define a category $\mathbf{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X), \mathcal{G})$ of homomorphisms $\pi_1^m(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, where a morphism between homomorphisms is defined as a conjugation relation (Definition 5.12). Then we have the following.

Theorem 1.3 (Proposition 5.15). *We have a category equivalence*

$$\mathbf{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

As a corollary, we reprove Proposition 5.30 of [1] in the following form. We note that the notion of a metric group is equivalent to that of a ‘*normed group*’ (Proposition 4.5). For a metric group \mathcal{G} , we denote the corresponding norm of an element $g \in \mathcal{G}$ by $|g| \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proposition 1.4 (Proposition 5.16). *Let C_n be an undirected n -cycle graph. Then we have*

$$\pi_1^m(C_n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } |1| = 1 & n : \text{odd}, \\ 0 & n : \text{even}. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have that $\mathbf{PMet}_{C_n}^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{G}) & n : \text{odd}, \\ 0 & n : \text{even}, \end{cases}$ for any metric group \mathcal{G} , which implies that there is only a trivial metric fibration over C_{2n} and that there is at most one non-trivial metric fibration over C_{2n+1} .

Now, similarly to the topological case, we can define an ‘associated bundle construction’ from a torsor and a metric space Y (Corollary 6.10). This construction gives the following.

Theorem 1.5 (Corollary 6.11). *Suppose that Y is a bounded metric space. Then we have a category equivalence*

$$\mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathbf{Aut}^Y} \simeq \mathbf{coreFib}_X^Y,$$

where \mathbf{Fib}_X^Y is the full subcategory of \mathbf{Fib}_X that consists of metric fibrations with the fiber Y (Definition 6.5), and we denote the core of a category by \mathbf{core} (Definition 2.1 (4)).

Here, we equip the group $\text{Aut}Y$ of isometries on Y with a metric group structure by $d_{\text{Aut}Y}(f, g) = \sup_{y \in Y} d_Y(fy, gy)$ (Example 4.3). However, we should suppose that Y is a bounded metric space so that $d_{\text{Aut}Y}$ is indeed a distance function. For the case of general metric fibrations, we should extend our arguments concerning *extended metric group* that allows ∞ as values of a distance function (Definition 6.12), and we obtain an essentially same but extended result (Proposition 6.18).

Finally, we define a ‘1-Čech cohomology’ $H^1(X, \mathcal{G})$, which is a category, of a \mathcal{G} -torsor X (Definition 7.2). This is an analogy from the Čech cohomology constructed from the local sections of a principal bundle. Similarly to the topological case, we can construct a cocycle from a family of local sections (Proposition 7.9), and conversely we can construct a \mathcal{G} -torsor by pasting copies of \mathcal{G} ’s along a cocycle (Proposition 7.5). Then we have the following from this correspondences.

Theorem 1.6 (Corollary 7.11). *We have a category equivalence*

$$H^1(X; \mathcal{G}) \simeq \text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Luigi Caputi for fruitful and helpful comments and feedbacks on the first draft of the paper. He also would like to thank Masahiko Yoshinaga for valuable discussions and comments.

2 Conventions

In this section, we prepare terms for categories, graphs, weighted graphs and metric spaces that are well-known but may not be commonly used.

2.1 Categories

In this article, we suppose that categories are locally small. We denote the object class of a category C by $\text{Ob}C$, and the set of all morphisms from a to b by $C(a, b)$ for any objects $a, b \in \text{Ob}C$. We also denote the class of all morphisms in C by $\text{Mor}C$.

Definition 2.1. Let C and D be categories, and $F : C \rightarrow D$ be a functor.

- (1) We say that F is *faithful* if the map $F : C(a, b) \rightarrow D(Fa, Fb)$ is injective for any objects $a, b \in \text{Ob}C$. We say that F is *full* if the map $F : C(a, b) \rightarrow D(Fa, Fb)$ is surjective for any objects $a, b \in \text{Ob}C$. We also say that F is *fully faithful* if it is faithful and full.
- (2) We say that F is *split essentially surjective* if there is a family of isomorphisms $\{Fc \cong d \mid c \in \text{Ob}C\}_{d \in \text{Ob}D}$.
- (3) We say that F is a *category equivalence* if there exists a functor $G : D \rightarrow C$ and natural isomorphisms $GF \cong \text{id}_C$ and $FG \cong \text{id}_D$. When there exists a category equivalence $C \rightarrow D$, we say that C and D are *equivalent*.
- (4) We define a groupoid $\text{core}C$ by $\text{Ob } \text{core}C = \text{Ob}C$ and $\text{core}C(a, b) = \{f \in C(a, b) \mid f \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$ for any $a, b \in \text{Ob}C$.

The following are standard.

Lemma 2.2. *If a functor $F : C \rightarrow D$ is fully faithful and split essentially surjective, then it is a category equivalence.*

Lemma 2.3. *A category equivalence $F : C \rightarrow D$ induces a category equivalence $\text{core}F : \text{core}C \rightarrow \text{core}D$.*

Remark 2.4. For a classification of objects of a category, we often want to consider ‘isomorphism classes of objects’ and compare it with another category. However, in general, we can’t do that since the class of objects is not necessarily a set. Instead, we consider a category equivalence $\text{core}C \rightarrow \text{core}D$ that implies a bijection between isomorphism classes of objects if they are small.

2.2 Metric spaces

Definition 2.5. (1) A *quasi metric space* (X, d) is a set X equipped with a function $d : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying that

- $d(x, x) = 0$,
- $d(x, x') = d(x', x)$,
- $d(x, x') + d(x', x'') \geq d(x, x'')$,

for any $x, x', x'' \in X$.

(2) A *Lipschitz map* $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between quasi metric spaces X and Y is a map satisfying that $d_Y(fx, fx') \leq d_X(x, x')$ for any $x, x' \in X$. We denote the category of quasi metric spaces and Lipschitz maps by QMet . We call an isomorphism in QMet an *isometry*.

(3) A *metric space* (X, d) is a quasi metric space satisfying that

- $d(x, x') = 0$ if and only if $x = x'$.

We denote the full subcategory of QMet that consists of metric spaces by Met .

Definition 2.6. (1) A *graph* G is a pair of sets $(V(G), E(G))$ such that $E(G) \subset \{e \in 2^{V(G)} \mid \#e = 2\}$, where we denote the cardinality of a set by $\#$. We call an element of $V(G)$ a *vertex*, and an element of $E(G)$ an *edge*. A *graph homomorphism* $f : G \rightarrow H$ between graphs G and H is a map $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that $fe \in E(H)$ or $\#fe = 1$ for any $e \in E(G)$. We denote the category of graphs and graph homomorphisms by Grph .

(2) A *path* on a graph G is a tuple $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in V(G)^{n+1}$ for some $n \geq 0$ such that $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \in E(G)$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. A *connected graph* G is a graph such that there exists a path (x_0, \dots, x_n) with $x_0 = x$ and $x_n = x'$ for any $x, x' \in V(G)$. We denote the full subcategory of Grph that consists of connected graphs by $\text{Grph}_{\text{conn}}$.

(3) A *weighted graph* (G, w_G) is a graph G equipped with a function $w_G : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. A *weighted graph homomorphism* $f : G \rightarrow H$ between weighted graphs G and H is a graph homomorphism such that $w_H(fe) \leq w_G(e)$ for any $e \in E(G)$, where we abuse that $w_H(fe) = 0$ if $\#fe = 1$. We denote the category of weighted graphs and weighted graph homomorphisms by wGrph . We also denote the full subcategory of wGrph that consists of weighted graphs (G, w_G) such that the graph G is connected by $\text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}}$.

Definition 2.7. We define functors $\text{Met} \rightarrow \text{QMet}$ and $\text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow \text{Grph}_{\text{conn}}$ by forgetting additional structures. We also define the functor $\text{QMet} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}}$ that sends a quasi metric space (X, d) to a weighted graph (X, w_X) defined by $V(X) = X, E(X) = \{e \in 2^X \mid \#e = 2\}$ and $w_X\{x, x'\} = d(x, x')$.

Proposition 2.8. *The above functors have left adjoints.*

Proof. We describe each functor F in the following, and they are the left adjoint functors of each functor G of the above since the unit and the counit give that $FGF = F$ and $GFG = G$.

- We define a functor $\text{Grph}_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}}$ by sending a connected graph to a weighted graph with $w = 0$.
- We define a functor $\text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow \text{QMet}$ by sending a weighted graph (G, w_G) to a quasi metric space $(V(G), d_G)$ defined by $d_G(x, x') = \inf \cup_{n \geq 0} \{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_G\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \mid (x = x_0, \dots, x_n = x') \text{ is a path on } G \}$.
- We define a functor $\text{QMet} \rightarrow \text{Met}$ by sending a quasi metric space (X, d) to a metric space $(\text{KQ}X, \tilde{d})$ defined as follows. We define an equivalence relation \sim on X by $x \sim x'$ if and only if $d(x, x') = 0$. We also define a function $\text{KQ}X := X / \sim \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by $\tilde{d}([x], [x']) = d(x, x')$.

□

Definition 2.9. For a quasi metric space X , we call the metric space $\text{KQ}X$ the *Kolmogorov quotient* of X .

Definition 2.10. (1) For quasi metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) , we define a metric space called the *L^1 -product* $(X \times Y, d_{X \times Y})$ by $d_{X \times Y}((x, y), (x', y')) = d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y')$ for any $x, x' \in X$ and $y, y' \in Y$.

(2) For graphs G and H , we define a graph called the *cartesian product* $G \times H$ by $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$, and $\{(x, y), (x', y')\} \in E(G \times H)$ if and only if one of the following holds :

- $x = x'$ and $\{y, y'\} \in E(H)$,
- $\{x, x'\} \in E(G)$ and $y = y'$,

for any $x, x' \in V(G)$ and $y, y' \in V(H)$.

(3) For weighted graphs (G, w_G) and (H, w_H) , we define a weighted graph $(G \times H, w_{G \times H})$ by $w_{G \times H}\{(x, y), (x', y')\} = w_G\{x, x'\} + w_H\{y, y'\}$ for any $\{(x, y), (x', y')\} \in E(G \times H)$, where $G \times H$ is the cartesian product of graphs and we abuse that $w_G\{x, x\} = w_H\{y, y\} = 0$.

These products make each category a symmetric monoidal category.

Proposition 2.11. *The functors $\text{Met} \rightarrow \text{QMet} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow \text{Grph}_{\text{conn}}$ and their left adjoints are strong monoidal except for the functor $\text{QMet} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}}$ that is lax monoidal.*

Proof. For the functors $\text{Met} \rightarrow \text{QMet}$ and $\text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow \text{Grph}_{\text{conn}}$, it is obvious since they are inclusions. It is also obvious for the functor $\text{Grph}_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}}$ by the definition. For the functor $\text{QMet} \rightarrow \text{Met}$, we define a map $\text{KQ}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{KQ}X \times \text{KQ}Y$ by $[(x, y)] \mapsto ([x], [y])$. Then it is obviously natural and is an isometry since we have that $[(x, y)] \sim [(x', y')]$ if and only if $[x] \sim [x']$ and $[y] \sim [y']$. For the functor $F : \text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow$

QMet, the identity on the set $F(G \times H) = F(G) \times F(H)$ is an isometry since

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{w_{G \times H}}((x, y), (x', y')) \\
&= \inf \cup_{n \geq 0} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{G \times H} \{(x_i, y_i), (x_{i+1}, y_{i+1})\} \mid \right. \\
&\quad \left. ((x, y) = (x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_n, y_n) = (x', y')) \text{ is a path on } G \times H \right\} \\
&= \inf \cup_{n \geq 0} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_G \{x_i, x_{i+1}\} + w_H \{y_i, y_{i+1}\} \mid \right. \\
&\quad \left. ((x, y) = (x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_n, y_n) = (x', y')) \text{ is a path on } G \times H \right\} \\
&= \inf \cup_{n \geq 0} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_G \{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \mid (x = x_0, \dots, x_n = x') \right\} \\
&\quad + \inf \cup_{m \geq 0} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} w_H \{y_i, y_{i+1}\} \mid (y = y_0, \dots, y_m = y') \right\} \\
&= d_{w_G}(x, x') + d_{w_H}(y, y') \\
&= d_{F(G) \times F(H)}((x, y), (x', y')),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x, x' \in V(G)$ and $y, y' \in V(H)$. It is obviously natural. Finally, for the functor $G : \text{QMet} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}}$, the identity on the set $G(X) \times G(Y) = G(X \times Y)$ is a weighted graph homomorphism since it is an inclusion of graphs and preserves weightings. It is obviously natural. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.12. (1) An *extended quasi metric space* is a set X equipped with a function $d : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ that satisfies the same conditions for quasi metric spaces. Namely, it is a quasi metric space admitting ∞ as a value of distance. A *Lipschitz map* between extended quasi metric spaces is a distance non-increasing map. We denote the category of extended quasi metric spaces and Lipschitz maps by EQMet. We similarly define *extended metric spaces* and we denote the full subcategory of EQMet that consists of them by EMet.

- (2) For extended quasi metric spaces X and Y , we define the L^1 -product of them similarly to that of quasi metric spaces. It makes the category EQMet a symmetric monoidal category.
- (3) We define functors $\text{EMet} \rightarrow \text{EQMet}$ and $\text{wGrph} \rightarrow \text{Grph}$ by forgetting additional structures. We also define the functor $\text{EQMet} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}$ similarly to the functor $\text{QMet} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}}$ except that $\{x, x'\}$ does not span an edge for $x, x' \in X$ with $d(x, x') = \infty$.

The following is immediate.

Proposition 2.13. (1) *The functors $\text{EMet} \rightarrow \text{EQMet} \rightarrow \text{wGrph} \rightarrow \text{Grph}$ have left adjoints. Further, all of these functors are commutative with the inclusions $\text{Met} \rightarrow \text{EMet}$, $\text{QMet} \rightarrow \text{EQMet}$, $\text{wGrph}_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}$ and $\text{Grph}_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow \text{Grph}$.*

- (2) *The functors of (1) are strong monoidal except for the functor $\text{EQMet} \rightarrow \text{wGrph}$ that is lax monoidal.*

3 $\text{Met}_X \simeq \text{Fib}_X$

In this section, we introduce two notions, the *metric action* and the *metric fibration*, and show the equivalence between them. The notion of metric fibration is originally introduced

by Leinster ([6]) in the study of magnitude. The other was introduced by the author in [1], which is the counterpart of *lax functors* in category theory, while the metric fibration is a generalization of the *Grothendieck fibration*. As written in the introduction, we can consider the Grothendieck (or metric) fibration as the definition of fibrations via ‘the lifting property’, while the lax functor is the one via ‘the transformation functions’.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a metric space.

(1) A *metric action* $F : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}$ consists of metric spaces $Fx \in \mathbf{Met}$ for any $x \in X$ and isometries $F_{xx'} : Fx \rightarrow Fx'$ for any $x, x' \in X$ satisfying the following for any $x, x', x'' \in X$:

- $F_{xx} = \text{id}_{Fx}$ and $F_{x'x} = F_{xx'}^{-1}$,
- $d_{F_{x''x'}}(F_{x'x''}F_{xx'}a, F_{xx''}a) \leq d_X(x, x') + d_X(x', x'') - d_X(x, x'')$ for any $a \in Fx$.

(2) A *metric transformation* $\theta : F \Rightarrow G$ consists of Lipschitz maps $\theta_x : Fx \rightarrow Gx$ for any $x \in X$ satisfying that $G_{xx'}\theta_x = \theta_{x'}F_{xx'}$ for any $x, x' \in X$. We can define the composition of metric transformations θ and θ' by $(\theta'\theta)_x = \theta'_x\theta_x$. We denote the category of metric actions $X \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}$ and metric transformations by \mathbf{Met}_X .

Definition 3.2. (1) Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a Lipschitz map between metric spaces. We say that π is a *metric fibration over X* if it satisfies the following : For any $\varepsilon \in E$ and $x \in X$, there uniquely exists $\varepsilon_x \in \pi^{-1}x$ such that

- $d_E(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_x) = d_X(\pi\varepsilon, x)$,
- $d_E(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') = d_E(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_x) + d_E(\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon')$ for any $\varepsilon' \in \pi^{-1}x$.

We call the point ε_x the *lift of x along ε* .

(2) For metric fibrations $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ and $\pi' : E' \rightarrow X$, a *morphism* $\varphi : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ is a Lipschitz map $\varphi : E \rightarrow E'$ such that $\pi'\varphi = \pi$. We denote the category of metric fibrations over X and morphisms by \mathbf{Fib}_X .

Example 3.3. For a product of metric spaces $E = X \times Y$, the projection $X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is a metric fibration. We call it a *trivial metric fibration*.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a metric fibration, and $x, x' \in X$. Then the correspondence $\pi^{-1}x \ni a \mapsto a_{x'} \in \pi^{-1}x'$ is an isometry, where we equip the sets $\pi^{-1}x$ and $\pi^{-1}x'$ with the induced metric from E .

Proof. Note that the statement is obviously true if $E = \emptyset$. We suppose that $E \neq \emptyset$ in the following, and then any fiber $\pi^{-1}x$ is non-empty. For $a \in \pi^{-1}x$, we have $d_E(a_{x'}, a) = d_E(a_{x'}, (a_{x'})_x) + d_E((a_{x'})_x, a) = d_X(x', x) + d_E((a_{x'})_x, a)$. We also have $d_E(a, a_{x'}) = d_X(x, x')$. Hence we obtain that $d_E((a_{x'})_x, a) = 0$, hence $(a_{x'})_x = a$ for any $x, x' \in X$. This implies that the correspondence is a bijection. Further, we have

$$d_E(a, b_{x'}) = d_E(a, a_{x'}) + d_E(a_{x'}, b_{x'}) = d_X(x, x') + d_E(a_{x'}, b_{x'})$$

and

$$d_E(b_{x'}, a) = d_E(b_{x'}, b) + d_E(b, a) = d_X(x', x) + d_E(b, a)$$

for any $a, b \in \pi^{-1}x$. Hence we obtain that $d_E(a, b) = d_E(a_{x'}, b_{x'})$ for any $x, x' \in X$ and $a, b \in \pi^{-1}x$, which implies that the correspondence is an isometry. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.5. Let $\varphi : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ be a morphism of metric fibrations. For any $x, x' \in X$ and $a \in \pi^{-1}x$, we have $(\varphi a)_{x'} = \varphi a_{x'}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{E'}((\varphi a)_{x'}, \varphi a_{x'}) &= d_{E'}(\varphi a, \varphi a_{x'}) - d_X(x, x') \\ &\leq d_E(a, a_{x'}) - d_X(x, x') \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain that $(\varphi a)_{x'} = \varphi a_{x'}$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.6. Let $F : X \rightarrow \text{Met}$ be a metric action. We define a metric fibration $\pi_F : E(F) \rightarrow X$ as follows :

- (1) $E(F) = \{(x, a) \mid a \in Fx, x \in X\}$,
- (2) $d_{E(F)}((x, a), (x', b)) = d_X(x, x') + d_{F_{x'}}(F_{xx'}a, b)$,
- (3) $\pi_F(x, a) = x$.

We call the above construction the *Grothendieck construction*.

Proposition 3.7. *The Grothendieck construction gives a functor $E : \text{Met}_X \rightarrow \text{Fib}_X$.*

Proof. Let $\theta : F \Rightarrow G$ be a metric transformation. Then we construct Lipschitz maps $\varphi_\theta : E(F) \rightarrow E(G)$ by $\varphi_\theta(x, a) = (x, \theta_x a)$ for any $x \in X$ and $a \in Fx$. It is checked that φ_θ is a Lipschitz map as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} d_{E(G)}(\varphi_\theta(x, a), \varphi_\theta(x', b)) &= d_{E(G)}((x, \theta_x a), (x', \theta_{x'} b)) \\ &= d_X(x, x') + d_{G_{x'}}(G_{xx'}\theta_x a, \theta_{x'} b) \\ &= d_X(x, x') + d_{G_{x'}}(\theta_{x'} F_{xx'} a, \theta_{x'} b) \\ &\leq d_X(x, x') + d_{F_{x'}}(F_{xx'} a, b) \\ &= d_{E(F)}((x, a), (x', b)). \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that the correspondence $\theta \mapsto \varphi_\theta$ is functorial, that is, we have $\varphi_{\text{id}_F} = \text{id}_{E(F)}$ and $\varphi_{\theta' \circ \theta} = \varphi_{\theta'} \circ \varphi_\theta$ for any metric transformations $\theta : F \Rightarrow G$ and $\theta' : G \Rightarrow H$. The former is obvious and the latter is checked as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\theta' \circ \theta}(x, a) &= (x, (\theta' \circ \theta)_x a) \\ &= (x, \theta'_x \theta_x a) \\ &= \varphi_{\theta'} \circ \varphi_\theta(x, a). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, φ_θ is obviously a morphism of the metric fibration. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.8. *We have a functor $F : \text{Fib}_X \rightarrow \text{Met}_X$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a metric fibration. We define a metric action $F_\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Met}$ by $F_\pi x = \pi^{-1}x$ and $(F_\pi)_{xx'} a = a_{x'}$ for any $x, x' \in X$ and $a \in \pi^{-1}x$, where we equip the set $\pi^{-1}x$ with the induced metric from E . It follows that $(F_\pi)_{xx} = \text{id}_{F_\pi x}$ by the uniqueness of the lifts, and that $(F_\pi)_{xx'}$ defines an isometry $F_\pi x \rightarrow F_\pi x'$ with $(F_\pi)_{xx'}^{-1} = (F_\pi)_{x'x}$ by Lemma 3.4. Further, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{F_\pi x''}((F_\pi)_{x'x''}(F_\pi)_{xx'} a, (F_\pi)_{xx''} a) &= d_{F_\pi x''}((a_{x'})_{x''}, a_{x''}) \\ &= d_E(a, (a_{x'})_{x''}) - d_X(x, x'') \\ &\leq d_E(a, a_{x'}) + d_E(a_{x'}, (a_{x'})_{x''}) - d_X(x, x'') \\ &= d_X(x, x') + d_X(x', x'') - d_X(x, x''), \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, x', x'' \in X$ and $a \in F_\pi x$. Hence F_π certainly defines a metric action $X \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}$. Next, let $\varphi : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ be a morphism of metric fibrations. We define a metric transformation $\theta_\varphi : F_\pi \Rightarrow F_{\pi'}$ by $(\theta_\varphi)_x a = \varphi a$ for any $x \in X$ and $a \in F_\pi x$. Then it satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} (F_{\pi'})_{xx'}(\theta_\varphi)_x a &= (F_{\pi'})_{xx'} \varphi a \\ &= (\varphi a)_{x'} \\ &= \varphi a_{x'} \\ &= (\theta_\varphi)_{x'}(F_\pi)_{xx'} a, \end{aligned}$$

where the third line follows from Lemma 3.5, hence θ_φ certainly defines a metric transformation $F_\pi \Rightarrow F_{\pi'}$. Note that we have $\theta_{\text{id}_\pi} = \text{id}_{F_\pi}$ and $(\theta_{\psi\varphi})_x a = \psi\varphi a = (\theta_\psi)_x(\theta_\varphi)_x a$ for morphisms φ and ψ , which implies the functoriality of F . This completes the proof. \square

The following is the counterpart of the correspondence between lax functors and the Grothendieck fibrations (B1 [4]), and enhances Corollary 5.26 of [1].

Proposition 3.9. *The Grothendieck construction functor $E : \mathbf{Met}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Fib}_X$ is a category equivalence.*

Proof. We show that $FE \cong \text{id}_{\mathbf{Met}_X}$ and $EF \cong \text{id}_{\mathbf{Fib}_X}$. It is immediate to verify $FE \cong \text{id}_{\mathbf{Met}_X}$ by the definition. We show that $EF_\pi \cong \pi$ for a metric fibration $\pi : E \rightarrow X$. Note that EF_π is a metric space consists of points (x, a) with $x \in X$ and $a \in \pi^{-1}x$, and we have $d_{EF_\pi}((x, a), (x', a')) = d_X(x, x') + d_{\pi^{-1}x'}(a, a')$. We define a map $f : EF_\pi \rightarrow E$ by $f(x, a) = a$ for any $x \in X$ and $a \in \pi^{-1}x$. Then it is obviously an isometry and preserves fibers, hence an isomorphism of metric fibrations. The naturality of this isomorphism is obvious. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.10. Note that the trivial metric fibration corresponds to the constant metric action, that is $F_{xx'} = \text{id}$ for any $x, x' \in X$.

4 The fundamental metric group of a metric space

In this section, we give a concise introduction to *metric groups*. We also give a definition of *metric fundamental group*, which plays a role of π_1 for metric space in the classification of metric fibrations.

4.1 Metric groups

Definition 4.1. (1) A *metric group* is a group object in \mathbf{Met} . That is, a metric space \mathcal{G} equipped with Lipschitz maps $\cdot : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $(-)^{-1} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and a point $e \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfying the suitable conditions of groups.

(2) For metric groups \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} , a *homomorphism* from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{H} is a Lipschitz map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ that commutes with the group structure.

(3) We denote the category of metric groups and homomorphisms by \mathbf{MGrp} .

Lemma 4.2. *Let (\mathcal{G}, d) be a metric group. Then*

(1) *we have $d(kg, kh) = d(g, h) = d(gk, hk)$ for any $g, h, k \in \mathcal{G}$.*

(2) *we have $d(g, h) = d(g^{-1}, h^{-1})$ for any $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. (1) Since the map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} : g \rightarrow kg$ is a Lipschitz map for any $k \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $d(kg, kh) \leq d(g, h)$ and $d(k^{-1}(kg), k^{-1}(kh)) \leq d(kg, kh)$. Hence we obtain that $d(kg, kh) = d(g, h)$. The other can be proved similarly.

(2) By (1), we have $d(g^{-1}, h^{-1}) = d(e, gh^{-1}) = d(h, g) = d(g, h)$.

This completes the proof. \square

Example 4.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $\text{Aut}^u X$ be the set of isometries f on X such that $\sup_{x \in X} d_X(x, fx) < \infty$. We equip $\text{Aut}^u X$ with a group structure by compositions. We also define a distance function on $\text{Aut}^u X$ by $d_{\text{Aut}^u X}(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X} d_X(fx, gx)$. Then it is immediate to verify the conditions that $(\text{Aut}^u X, d_{\text{Aut}^u X})$ is a metric group. Note that, if the metric space X is bounded, namely we have

$$\sup_{x, x' \in X} d_X(x, x') < \infty,$$

then the group $\text{Aut}^u X$ consists of all isometries on X , by which we denote $\text{Aut} X$.

Definition 4.4. (1) A *normed group* is a group G equipped with a map $|\cdot| : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying that

- $|g| = 0$ if and only if $g = e$,
- $|gh| \leq |g| + |h|$ for any $g, h \in G$.

Here we denote the unit of G by e .

(2) A normed group G is called *conjugation invariant* if it satisfies that $|h^{-1}gh| = |g|$ for any $g, h \in G$.

(3) A normed group G is called *inverse invariant* if it satisfies that $|g^{-1}| = |g|$ for any $g \in G$.

(4) For normed groups G and H , a *normed homomorphism* from G to H is a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ satisfying that $|\varphi g| \leq |g|$.

(5) We denote the category of conjugation and inverse invariant normed groups and normed homomorphisms by $\text{NGrp}_{\text{conj}}^{-1}$.

Proposition 4.5 (E. Roff Chap. 6 [9]). *The categories MGrp and $\text{NGrp}_{\text{conj}}^{-1}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. For a metric group \mathcal{G} , we define a conjugation and inverse invariant normed group NG by

- $\text{NG} = \mathcal{G}$ as a group,
- $|g| = d_{\mathcal{G}}(e, g)$ for any $g \in \text{NG}$.

Note that this construction is functorial. Conversely, we define a metric group MG from a conjugation and inverse invariant normed group G by

- $\text{MG} = G$ as a group,
- $d_{\text{MG}}(g, h) = |h^{-1}g|$.

This construction is also functorial. It is straightforward to verify that the compositions of these functors are naturally isomorphic to the identities. This completes the proof. \square

4.2 The fundamental metric group

Definition 4.6. Let X be a metric space and $x \in X$.

- (1) For each $n \geq 0$, we define a set $P_n(X, x)$ by

$$P_n(X, x) := \{(x, x_1, \dots, x_n, x) \in X^{n+2}\}.$$

We also define that $P(X, x) := \bigcup_n P_n(X, x)$.

- (2) We define a connected graph $G(X, x)$ with the vertex set $P(X, x)$ as follows. For $u, v \in P(X, x)$, an unordered pair $\{u, v\}$ spans an edge if and only if it satisfies both of the following :

- There is an $n \geq 0$ such that $u \in P_n(X, x)$ and $v \in P_{n+1}(X, x)$.
- There is a $0 \leq j \leq n$ such that $u_i = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq j$ and $u_i = v_{i+1}$ for $j+1 \leq i \leq n$, where we have $u = (x, u_1, \dots, u_n, x)$ and $v = (x, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}, x)$.

- (3) We equip the graph $G(X, x)$ with a weighted graph structure by defining a function $w_{G(X, x)}$ on edges by

$$w_{G(X, x)}\{u, v\} = \begin{cases} d_X(v_j, v_{j+1}) + d_X(v_{j+1}, v_{j+2}) - d_X(v_j, v_{j+2}) & v_j \neq v_{j+2}, \\ 0 & v_j = v_{j+2}, \end{cases}$$

where we use the notations in (2).

- (4) We denote the quasi-metric space obtained from the weighted graph $G(X, x)$ by $Q(X, x)$. We also denote the Kolmogorov quotient of $Q(X, x)$ by $\pi_1^m(X, x)$.

Lemma 4.7. Let X be a metric space and $x \in X$.

- (1) The metric space $\pi_1^m(X, x)$ has a metric group structure given by the concatenation defined as

$$[(x, u_1, \dots, u_n, x)] \bullet [(x, v_1, \dots, v_k, x)] = [(x, u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_k, x)].$$

The unit is given by $[(x, x)] \in \pi_1^m(X, x)$.

- (2) For any $x' \in X$, we have an isomorphism $\pi_1^m(X, x) \cong \pi_1^m(X, x')$ given by

$$[(x, u_1, \dots, u_n, x)] \mapsto [(x', x, u_1, \dots, u_n, x, x')].$$

Proof. (1) We first show that the weighted graph $G(X, x)$ is a monoid object in $\mathbf{wGrph}_{\text{conn}}$ by the concatenation. Let $(u, v), (u', v') \in G(X, x)$, and suppose that $\{(u, v), (u', v')\}$ spans an edge. Then we have that $u = u'$ and $v \in P_n(X, x), v' \in P_{n+1}(X, x)$, or $v = v'$ and $u \in P_n(X, x), u' \in P_{n+1}(X, x)$ for some n . We also have that $w_{G(X, x) \times G(X, x)}\{(u, v), (u', v')\} = w_{G(X, x)}\{u, u'\} + w_{G(X, x)}\{v, v'\}$. Note that $\{u \bullet v, u' \bullet v'\}$ spans an edge in $G(X, x)$. Further, we have $w_{G(X, x)}\{u \bullet v, u' \bullet v'\} = w_{G(X, x)}\{u, u'\} + w_{G(X, x)}\{v, v'\}$. Hence the concatenation map $\bullet : G(X, x) \times G(X, x) \rightarrow G(X, x)$ is a weighted graph homomorphism. It is immediate to verify that the identity is the element (x, x) and that the product is associative. Thus the weighted graph $G(X, x)$ is a monoid object in $\mathbf{wGrph}_{\text{conn}}$, and by Proposition 2.11, $\pi_1^m(X, x)$ is a monoid object in \mathbf{Met} . Now we show that it is a group object, namely, any element $[(x, x_0, \dots, x_n, x)]$ has the inverse $[(x, x_n, \dots, x_0, x)]$. It reduces to show that $d_{Q(X, x)}((x, x_n, \dots, x_0, x_0, \dots, x_n, x), (x, x)) = 0$. However, it is obvious that the elements $(x, x_n, \dots, x_0, x_0, \dots, x_n, x)$ and (x, x) can be connected by a path that consists of edges with weight 0 in $G(X, x)$, that implies the desired equality. This completes the proof.

(2) It is straightforward. □

Definition 4.8. Let X be a metric space and $x \in X$. We call the metric group $\pi_1^m(X, x)$ the *fundamental metric group of X* with the base point x . We sometimes omit the base point and denote it by $\pi_1^m(X)$.

Remark 4.9. As just a group, $\pi_1^m(X)$ is obtained as the fundamental group of a simplicial complex S_X whose n -simplices are subsets $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ such that any distinct 3 points x_i, x_j, x_k satisfy that $|\Delta(x_i, x_j, x_k)| = 0$ (see Definition 7.1).

Remark 4.10. Note that our fundamental group $\pi_1^m(X)$ is not functorial with respect to Lipschitz maps. However, it is functorial with respect to Lipschitz maps that preserve coline'ness ($|\Delta(x_i, x_j, x_k)| = 0$), in particular embedding of metric spaces.

5 $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \text{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G})$

In this section, we introduce the notion of ‘principal \mathcal{G} -bundles’ for metric spaces. We define it from two different view points, namely as a metric action and as a metric fibration, which turn out to be equivalent. As a metric action, we call it a *\mathcal{G} -metric action*, and as a metric fibration, we call it a *\mathcal{G} -torsor*. Then we show that they are classified by the conjugation classes of homomorphisms $\pi_1^m(X, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.

5.1 $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$

Definition 5.1. Let X be a metric space and \mathcal{G} be a metric group.

(1) A *\mathcal{G} -metric action* $F : X \rightarrow \text{Met}$ is a metric action satisfying the following :

- $F_x = \mathcal{G}$ for any $x \in X$.
- $F_{xx'}$ is a left multiplication by some $f_{xx'} \in \mathcal{G}$ for any $x, x' \in X$.

(2) Let $F, G : X \rightarrow \text{Met}$ be \mathcal{G} -metric actions. A *\mathcal{G} -metric transformation* $\theta : F \Rightarrow G$ is a metric transformation such that each component $\theta_x : Fx \rightarrow Gx$ is a left multiplication by an element $\theta_x \in \mathcal{G}$. We denote the category of \mathcal{G} -metric actions $X \rightarrow \text{Met}$ and \mathcal{G} -metric transformations by $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$.

Remark 5.2. Apparently, $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a subcategory of Met_X and is also a groupoid.

Definition 5.3. Let G be a group and X be a metric space. We say that X is a *right G -torsor* if G acts on X from the right and satisfies the following :

- It is free and transitive.
- $g : X \rightarrow X$ is an isometry for any $g \in G$.
- we have $d_X(x, xg) = d_X(x', x'g)$ for any $x, x' \in X$ and $g \in G$.

Lemma 5.4. Let (X, d_X) be a metric space and G be a group. Suppose that X is a right G -torsor. Then there exist a distance function d_G on G and a metric group structure \cdot_x on X for each $x \in X$ such that the map

$$G \rightarrow X; g \mapsto xg$$

gives an isomorphism of metric groups $(G, d_G) \cong (X, \cdot_x)$. Furthermore, the unit of the metric group (X, \cdot_x) is x .

Proof. Fix a point $x \in X$. We define a map $d_G : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by $d_G(f, g) = d_X(xf, xg)$, which is independent from the choice of $x \in X$. It is immediate to check that (G, d_G) is a metric space. Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(ff', gg') &= d_X(xff', xgg') \\ &\leq d_X(xff', xgf') + d_X(xgf', xgg') \\ &\leq d_X(xf, xg) + d_X(xf', xg') \\ &= d_G(f, g) + d_G(f', g'), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(f^{-1}, g^{-1}) &= d_X(xf^{-1}, xg^{-1}) \\ &= d_X(x, xg^{-1}f) \\ &= d_X(xg, (xg)g^{-1}f) \\ &= d_X(xg, xf) \\ &= d_X(xf, xg) \\ &= d_G(f, g), \end{aligned}$$

for any $f, f', g, g' \in G$. Hence (G, d_G) is a metric group. Now we define a map $G \rightarrow X$ by $g \mapsto xg$. Then this map is an isometry by the definition. Hence we can transfer the metric group structure on G to X by this map. With respect to this group structure \cdot_x on X , we have $x \cdot_x x' = eg' = x'$ and $x' \cdot_x x = g'e = x'$, where we put $x' = xg'$. Hence $x \in X$ is the unit of the group (X, \cdot_x) . This completes the proof. \square

Definition 5.5. Let G be a group. A metric fibration $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ is a G -torsor over X if it satisfies the following :

- G acts isometrically on E from the right, and preserves each fiber of π .
- each fiber of π is a right G -torsor with respect to the above action.

Lemma 5.6. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a G -torsor, and $x, x' \in X$. Then the metric group structures on G induced from the fibers $\pi^{-1}x$ and $\pi^{-1}x'$ are identical.

Proof. Note that, for any $\varepsilon \in \pi^{-1}x$ and $f \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_E((\varepsilon f)_{x'}, \varepsilon_{x'} f) &= d_E(\varepsilon f, \varepsilon_{x'} f) - d_E(\varepsilon f, (\varepsilon f)_{x'}) \\ &= d_E(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{x'}) - d_E(\varepsilon f, (\varepsilon f)_{x'}) \\ &= d_X(x, x') - d_X(x, x') \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain that $(\varepsilon f)_{x'} = \varepsilon_{x'} f$. Let d_x and $d_{x'}$ be the distance function on G induced from the fibers $\pi^{-1}x$ and $\pi^{-1}x'$ respectively. Namely, for $\varepsilon \in \pi^{-1}x$ and $f, g \in G$, we have $d_x(f, g) = d_E(\varepsilon f, \varepsilon g)$ and $d_{x'}(f, g) = d_E(\varepsilon_{x'} f, \varepsilon_{x'} g)$. Therefore we obtain that $d_{x'}(f, g) = d_E(\varepsilon_{x'} f, \varepsilon_{x'} g) = d_E((\varepsilon f)_{x'}, (\varepsilon g)_{x'}) = d_E(\varepsilon f, \varepsilon g) = d_x(f, g)$ by Lemma 3.4. This completes the proof. \square

For a G -torsor $\pi : E \rightarrow X$, we can consider the group G as a metric group that is isometric to a fiber of π by Lemma 5.4. Further, such a metric structure is independent from the choice of the fiber by Lemma 5.6. Hence, in the following, we write ‘ G -torsors’ by ‘ \mathcal{G} -torsors’, where \mathcal{G} is the metric group that is the group G equipped with the above metric structure.

Definition 5.7. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ and $\pi' : E' \rightarrow X$ be \mathcal{G} -torsors. A \mathcal{G} -morphism $\varphi : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant map $E \rightarrow E'$ that is also a morphism of metric fibrations. We denote the category of \mathcal{G} -torsors over X and \mathcal{G} -morphisms by $\mathbf{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$.

Remark 5.8. Note that the category $\mathbf{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a subcategory of \mathbf{Fib}_X . Further, we can show that any \mathcal{G} -morphism is an isomorphism as follows : Note that for any $\varepsilon \in E, x \in X$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $d_E(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_x g) = d_X(\pi\varepsilon, x) + |g|$ by the definitions. Then the \mathcal{G} -equivariance of φ and Lemma 3.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{E'}(\varphi\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon_x g)) &= d_{E'}(\varphi\varepsilon, (\varphi\varepsilon)_x g) \\ &= d_X(\pi'\varphi\varepsilon, x) + |g| \\ &= d_X(\pi\varepsilon, x) + |g| \\ &= d_E(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_x g), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that φ preserves distances. The invertibility of φ is immediate from the \mathcal{G} -equivariance.

Now we show the equivalence of \mathcal{G} -metric actions and \mathcal{G} -torsors in the following.

Proposition 5.9. *The Grothendieck construction functor $E : \mathbf{Met}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Fib}_X$ of Proposition 3.7 restricts to a functor $\mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$.*

Proof. Let $F : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}$ be a \mathcal{G} -metric action. Let $E(F)$ be the metric fibration given by the Grothendieck construction. Note that we have $d_{E(F)}((x, g), (x', g')) = d_X(x, x') + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g_{xx'}g, g')$. We define a \mathcal{G} action on $E(F)$ by $(x, g)h = (x, gh)$ for any $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$ and $x \in X$. Then it is obviously compatible with the projection, and also free and transitive on each fiber. We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{E(F)}((x, g)h, (x', g')h) &= d_{E(F)}((x, gh), (x', g'h)) \\ &= d_X(x, x') + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g_{xx'}gh, g'h) \\ &= d_X(x, x') + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g_{xx'}g, g') \\ &= d_{E(F)}((x, g), (x', g')), \end{aligned}$$

hence it acts isometrically. Further, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{E(F)}((x, g), (x, g)h) &= d_{E(F)}((x, g), (x, gh)) \\ &= d_{\mathcal{G}}(g, gh) \\ &= d_{\mathcal{G}}(e, h), \end{aligned}$$

hence each fiber is a right \mathcal{G} -torsor. Therefore, we obtain that $E(F)$ is a \mathcal{G} -torsor. Let $\theta : F \rightarrow F'$ be a \mathcal{G} -metric transformation. The Grothendieck construction gives a map $\varphi_\theta : E(F) \rightarrow E(F')$ by $\varphi_\theta(x, g) = (x, \theta_x g)$, which is a morphism of metric fibrations. It is checked that φ_θ is \mathcal{G} -equivariant as follows :

$$(\varphi_\theta(x, g))h = (x, \theta_x gh) = \varphi_\theta(x, gh).$$

Hence it is a \mathcal{G} -morphism. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.10. *The functor $F : \mathbf{Fib}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_X$ of Proposition 3.8 restricts to a functor $\mathbf{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a \mathcal{G} -torsor. We fix points $x_0 \in X$ and $\varepsilon \in \pi^{-1}x_0$. For any $x \in X$, we equip each set $\pi^{-1}x$ with a metric group structure isomorphic to \mathcal{G} with the unit ε_x by Lemma 5.4. Hence we can identify each fiber with \mathcal{G} by the map $g \mapsto \varepsilon_x g$ for

any $x \in X$. Now we put $(\varepsilon_x)_{x'} = \varepsilon_{x'}g_{xx'} \in \pi^{-1}x'$ for $x, x' \in X$ and $g_{xx'} \in \mathcal{G}$. Then, for any $h \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(x, x') &= d_E(\varepsilon_x h, (\varepsilon_x h)_{x'}) \\ &= d_E(\varepsilon_x, (\varepsilon_x h)_{x'} h^{-1}) \\ &= d_E(\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_{x'} g_{xx'}) + d_E(\varepsilon_{x'} g_{xx'}, (\varepsilon_x h)_{x'} h^{-1}) \\ &= d_X(x, x') + d_E(\varepsilon_{x'} g_{xx'}, (\varepsilon_x h)_{x'} h^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain that $(\varepsilon_x h)_{x'} = \varepsilon_{x'} g_{xx'} h$. This implies that the map $\pi^{-1}x \rightarrow \pi^{-1}x'$ given by lifts $\varepsilon_x h \mapsto (\varepsilon_x h)_{x'}$ is the left multiplication by $g_{xx'}$ when we identify each fiber with \mathcal{G} as above. Hence the functor F gives a \mathcal{G} -metric action. Next, let $\varphi : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ be a \mathcal{G} -morphism between \mathcal{G} -torsors $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ and $\pi' : E' \rightarrow X$. It induces a Lipschitz map $\varphi_x : \pi^{-1}x \rightarrow \pi'^{-1}x$. Since fibers $\pi^{-1}x$ and $\pi'^{-1}x$ are identified with \mathcal{G} and φ_x is \mathcal{G} -equivariant, we can identify φ_x with the left multiplication by $\varphi_x \varepsilon_x$. This implies that the functor F sends the \mathcal{G} -morphism φ to a \mathcal{G} -metric transformation between F_π and $F_{\pi'}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.11. *The Grothendieck construction functor $\mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a category equivalence.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, we have natural isomorphisms $EF \cong \text{id}_{\text{Fib}_X}$ and $FE \cong \text{id}_{\text{Met}_X}$. We should show that these isomorphisms are obtained in $\mathbf{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ when restricted to them, which is immediate. This completes the proof. \square

5.2 $\mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \mathbf{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G})$

First we define the category of homomorphisms of metric groups $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$.

Definition 5.12. Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' be metric groups, and let $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ be the set of all homomorphisms $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$. We equip $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ with a groupoid structure by defining $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')(\varphi, \psi) = \{h \in \mathcal{G}' \mid \varphi = h^{-1}\psi h\}$ for any homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$. The identity on $\varphi \in \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ is the unit $e \in \mathcal{G}'$, and the composition of morphisms $h \in \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')(\varphi, \psi)$ and $h' \in \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')(\psi, \xi)$ is defined by $h' \circ h = h'h$.

Lemma 5.13. *Let X be a metric space and \mathcal{G} be a metric group. For each $x_0 \in X$, we have a functor $A : \mathbf{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : \pi_1^m(X, x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a homomorphism. We define a \mathcal{G} -metric action $F_\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}$ by $F_\varphi x = \mathcal{G}$ and $(F_\varphi)_{xx'} = \varphi[(x_0, x', x, x_0)] \cdot : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, where we denote the left multiplication by $(-)\cdot$. It is verified that this certainly defines a \mathcal{G} -metric action as follows. For any $x, x' \in X$, we have $(F_\varphi)_{xx} = \varphi[(x_0, x, x, x_0)] \cdot = e \cdot = \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}$, and $(F_\varphi)_{x'x} = \varphi[(x_0, x, x', x_0)] \cdot = (\varphi[(x_0, x', x, x_0)])^{-1} \cdot = (F_\varphi)_{xx'}^{-1}$. Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{G}}((F_\varphi)_{x'x''} (F_\varphi)_{xx'} g, (F_\varphi)_{xx''} g) &= d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi[(x_0, x'', x', x_0)] \varphi[(x_0, x', x, x_0)], \varphi[(x_0, x'', x, x_0)]) \\ &= d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi[(x_0, x'', x', x, x_0)], \varphi[(x_0, x'', x, x_0)]) \\ &= d_{\mathcal{G}}((\varphi[(x_0, x'', x, x_0)])^{-1} \varphi[(x_0, x'', x', x, x_0)], e) \\ &= d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi[(x_0, x, x'', x', x, x_0)], e) \\ &\leq d_{\pi_1^m(X, x_0)}([(x_0, x, x'', x', x, x_0)], [x_0, x_0]) \\ &\leq d_X(x, x') + d_X(x', x'') - d_X(x, x''), \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, x', x'' \in X$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Let $h : \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G})$, namely we have $\varphi = h^{-1}\psi h$ with $h \in \mathcal{G}$. Then we can construct a \mathcal{G} -metric transformation $\theta : F_\varphi \Rightarrow F_\psi$ by $\theta_x = h \cdot : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. It satisfies that $(F_\psi)_{xx'} \theta_x = \theta_{x'} (F_\varphi)_{xx'}$ since we have $\psi[(x_0, x', x, x_0)] h = h \varphi[(x_0, x', x, x_0)]$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.14. *Let X be a metric space and \mathcal{G} be a metric group. For each $x_0 \in X$, we have a functor $B : \text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. Let $F : X \longrightarrow \text{Met}$ be a \mathcal{G} -metric action. Then we can define a homomorphism $\varphi_F : \pi_1^m(X, x_0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by

$$\varphi_F[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0)] = F_{x_1 x_0} F_{x_2 x_1} \cdots F_{x_n x_{n-1}} F_{x_0 x_n},$$

for any $[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0)] \in \pi_1^m(X, x_0)$. It is immediate to check the well-defined'ness. Let $F, F' : X \longrightarrow \text{Met}$ be \mathcal{G} -metric actions and $\theta : F \Longrightarrow F'$ be a \mathcal{G} -metric transformation. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{x_0}^{-1} \varphi_{F'}[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0)] \theta_{x_0} &= \theta_{x_0}^{-1} F'_{x_1 x_0} F'_{x_2 x_1} \cdots F'_{x_n x_{n-1}} F'_{x_0 x_n} \theta_{x_0} \\ &= F_{x_1 x_0} F_{x_2 x_1} \cdots F_{x_n x_{n-1}} F_{x_0 x_n} \\ &= \varphi_F[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0)], \end{aligned}$$

for any $[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0)] \in \pi_1^m(X, x_0)$. Hence $\theta_{x_0} \in \mathcal{G}$ gives a morphism $\theta_{x_0} : \varphi_F \longrightarrow \varphi_{F'}$. This correspondence is obviously functorial. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.15. *The functor $A : \text{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ of Lemma 5.13 is a category equivalence.*

Proof. We show the natural isomorphisms $BA \cong \text{id}_{\text{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G})}$ and $AB \cong \text{id}_{\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}}$. For a homomorphism $\varphi : \pi_1^m(X, x_0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{F_\varphi}[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0)] &= (F_\varphi)_{x_1 x_0} (F_\varphi)_{x_2 x_1} \cdots (F_\varphi)_{x_0 x_n} \\ &= \varphi[(x_0, x_0, x_1, x_0)] \varphi[(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_0)] \cdots \varphi[(x_0, x_n, x_0, x_0)] \\ &= \varphi[(x_0, x_0, x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n, x_0, x_0)] \\ &= \varphi[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0)], \end{aligned}$$

for any $[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0)] \in \pi_1^m(X, x_0)$. Hence we obtain an isomorphism $BA\varphi = \varphi$ that is obviously natural. Conversely, let $F : X \longrightarrow \text{Met}$ be a \mathcal{G} -metric action. Then we have $(F_{\varphi_F})_x = \mathcal{G}$ and $(F_{\varphi_F})_{xx'} = \varphi_F[(x_0, x', x, x_0)] = F'_{x'x_0} F_{xx'} F_{x_0 x}$. Now we define a \mathcal{G} -metric transformation $\theta : F_{\varphi_F} \Longrightarrow F$ by $\theta_x = F_{x_0 x}$. It is obvious that we have $F_{xx'} \theta_x = \theta_{x'} (F_{\varphi_F})_{xx'}$, hence it is well-defined and obviously an isomorphism. For a \mathcal{G} -metric transformation $\tau : F \Longrightarrow F'$, we have $(AB\tau)_x = \tau_{x_0} \cdot : (F_{\varphi_F})_x \longrightarrow (F'_{\varphi_{F'}})_x$ by the construction. Hence the condition $\tau_x F_{x_0 x} = F'_{x_0 x} \tau_{x_0}$ of the \mathcal{G} -metric transformation implies the naturality of this isomorphism. This completes the proof. \square

5.3 Example

We give the following example of fundamental metric group.

Proposition 5.16. *Let C_n be an undirected n -cycle graph. Then we have*

$$\pi_1^m(C_n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } |1| = 1 & n : \text{odd}, \\ 0 & n : \text{even}. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have that $\text{PMet}_{C_n}^{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{G}) & n : \text{odd}, \\ 0 & n : \text{even}, \end{cases}$ for any metric group \mathcal{G} , which implies that there is only a trivial metric fibration over C_{2n} and that there is at most one non-trivial metric fibration over C_{2n+1} .

Proof. Let $V(C_n) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be the vertex set whose numbering is anti-clockwise. For C_{2n} , it reduces to show that $[(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n}, v_1)] = [(v_1, v_1)]$. Since we have $d_{C_{2n}}(v_i, v_j) = d_{C_{2n}}(v_i, v_k) + d_{C_{2n}}(v_k, v_j)$ for any $i \leq k \leq j$ with $j - i \leq n$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} [(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n}, v_1)] &= [(v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_{2n}, v_1)] \\ &= [(v_1, v_{n+1}, v_1)] \\ &= [(v_1, v_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

For C_{2n+1} , the possible non-trivial element of $\pi_1^m(C_{2n+1})$ is a concatenation or its inverse of the element $[(v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1}, v_1)]$. Now we have $[(v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1}, v_1)] = [(v_1, v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, v_1)]$, by the same argument as above, and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Q(C_{2n+1}, v_1)}((v_1, v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, v_1), (v_1, v_{n+1}, v_1)) \\ &= d_{C_{2n+1}}(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}) + d_{C_{2n+1}}(v_{n+2}, v_1) - d_{C_{2n+1}}(v_{n+1}, v_1) \\ &= d_{C_{2n+1}}(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that $|(v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1}, v_1)| = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.17. Note that the cycle graph C_n is a metric group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $|1| = 1$. Hence the examples in Figure 1 are $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -torsors, which are classified by $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

6 Classification of metric fibrations

In this section, we classify general metric fibrations by fixing the base and the fiber. It is analogous to that of topological fiber bundles, namely it reduces to classifying principal bundles whose fiber is the structure group of the concerned fibration. We divide it into two cases, whether the fiber is bounded or not, since we need to consider *expanded metric spaces* for the unbounded case, which are essentially same although.

6.1 The functor $\widehat{(-)}^{x_0}$

Before we show the classification, we introduce a technical functor that will be used later.

Definition 6.1. For any metric action $F : X \rightarrow \text{Met}$ and a point $x_0 \in X$, we define a metric action $\widehat{F}^{x_0} : X \rightarrow \text{Met}$ as follows. We define that $\widehat{F}^{x_0}x = Fx_0$ and $\widehat{F}^{x_0}_{x'x'} = F_{x'x_0}F_{xx'}F_{x_0x} : Fx_0 \rightarrow Fx_0$ for any $x, x' \in X$. Then it is verified that this defines a metric action as follows : We have $\widehat{F}^{x_0}_{xx} = F_{xx_0}F_{xx}F_{x_0x} = \text{id}_{Fx_0} = \text{id}_{\widehat{F}^{x_0}x}$. We also have $(\widehat{F}^{x_0}_{x'x'})^{-1} = (F_{xx_0}F_{x'x}F_{x_0x'})^{-1} = F_{x'x_0}F_{xx'}F_{x_0x} = \widehat{F}^{x_0}_{xx'}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\widehat{F}^{x_0}x''}(\widehat{F}^{x_0}_{x'x''}, \widehat{F}^{x_0}_{xx''}a, \widehat{F}^{x_0}_{xx''}a) &= d_{Fx_0}(F_{x'x_0}F_{x'x''}F_{x_0x'}F_{x'x_0}F_{xx'}F_{x_0x}a, F_{x''x_0}F_{xx''}F_{x_0x}a) \\ &= d_{Fx''}(F_{x'x''}F_{xx'}F_{x_0x}a, F_{xx''}F_{x_0x}a) \\ &\leq d_X(x, x') + d_X(x', x'') - d_X(x, x''), \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, x', x'' \in X$ and $a \in \widehat{F}^{x_0}x$.

Lemma 6.2. *The correspondence $F \mapsto \widehat{F}^{x_0}$ defines a fully faithful functor $\widehat{(-)}^{x_0} : \text{Met}_X \rightarrow \text{Met}_X$. Further, it is restricted to a fully faithful functor $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ for any metric group \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. Let $\theta : F \Longrightarrow G$ be a metric transformation. We define a metric transformation $\widehat{\theta}^{x_0} : \widehat{F}^{x_0} \Longrightarrow \widehat{G}^{x_0}$ by $\widehat{\theta}^{x_0} = \theta_{x_0} : \widehat{F}^{x_0}x \longrightarrow \widehat{G}^{x_0}x; a \mapsto \theta_{x_0}a$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G}_{xx'}^{x_0}\widehat{\theta}_x^{x_0} &= G_{x'x_0}G_{xx'}G_{x_0x}\theta_{x_0} \\ &= G_{x'x_0}G_{xx'}\theta_x F_{x_0x} \\ &= G_{x'x_0}\theta_{x'}F_{xx'}F_{x_0x} \\ &= \theta_{x_0}F_{x'x_0}F_{xx'}F_{x_0x} \\ &= \widehat{\theta}_x^{x_0}\widehat{F}_{xx'}^{x_0}, \end{aligned}$$

hence this certainly defines a metric transformation. It is obvious that $\widehat{\text{id}_F}^{x_0} = \text{id}_{\widehat{F}^{x_0}}$ and $(\widehat{\theta}'\theta)^{x_0} = \widehat{\theta}'^{x_0}\widehat{\theta}^{x_0}$. It is a faithful functor because $G_{xx_0}\theta_x = \theta_{x_0}F_{xx_0}$ implies that $\theta_x = \theta'_x$ for any $x \in X$ if two metric transformation θ, θ' satisfies $\theta_{x_0} = \theta'_{x_0}$. By the definition, it is restricted to a faithful functor $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ for any metric group \mathcal{G} . Next we show the fullness. Let $\eta : \widehat{F}^{x_0} \Longrightarrow \widehat{G}^{x_0}$ be a metric transformation. Then we have $\widehat{G}_{x_0x}^{x_0}\eta_{x_0} = \eta_x\widehat{F}_{x_0x}^{x_0}$ and $\widehat{F}_{x_0x}^{x_0} = \text{id}_{F_{x_0}}$, $\widehat{G}_{x_0x}^{x_0} = \text{id}_{G_{x_0}}$. Hence we obtain that $\eta_{x_0} = \eta_x$ for any $x \in X$. Now we define a metric transformation $\widetilde{\eta} : F \Longrightarrow G$ by $\widetilde{\eta}_x = G_{x_0x}\eta_{x_0}F_{xx_0} : Fx \longrightarrow Gx$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{xx'}\widetilde{\eta}_x &= G_{xx'}G_{x_0x}\eta_{x_0}F_{xx_0} \\ &= G_{x_0x'}\widehat{G}_{xx'}^{x_0}\eta_x F_{xx_0} \\ &= G_{x_0x'}\eta_{x'}\widehat{F}_{xx'}^{x_0}F_{xx_0} \\ &= G_{x_0x'}\eta_{x'}F_{x'x_0}F_{xx'}F_{x_0x}F_{xx_0} \\ &= G_{x_0x'}\eta_{x_0}F_{x'x_0}F_{xx'} \\ &= \widetilde{\eta}_{x'}F_{xx'}, \end{aligned}$$

hence this certainly defines a metric transformation. We obviously have $(\widetilde{\eta})^{x_0} = \eta$, which implies that the functor $(-)^{x_0}$ is full. The restriction to $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ is immediate. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.3. *The functor $(-)^{x_0} : \text{Met}_X \longrightarrow \text{Met}_X$ is split essentially surjective. Its restriction $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ is also split essentially surjective for any metric group \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. Let $F : X \longrightarrow \text{Met}$ be a metric action. We define a metric transformation $\theta : \widehat{F}^{x_0} \Longrightarrow F$ by $\theta_x = F_{x_0x} : \widehat{F}^{x_0}x \longrightarrow Fx; a \mapsto F_{x_0x}a$. It certainly satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{xx'}\theta_x &= F_{xx'}F_{x_0x} \\ &= F_{x_0x'}F_{x'x_0}F_{xx'}F_{x_0x} \\ &= \theta_{x'}\widehat{F}_{xx'}^{x_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we define a metric transformation $\theta^{-1} : F \Longrightarrow \widehat{F}^{x_0}$ by $\theta_x^{-1} = F_{x_0x} : Fx \longrightarrow \widehat{F}^{x_0}x$ for any $x \in X$. Then we have $\widehat{F}_{xx'}^{x_0}\theta_x^{-1} = \theta_x^{-1}F_{xx'}$ similarly to the above, hence it certainly defines a metric transformation. It is obviously an isomorphism. The restriction to $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ is immediate. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 6.4. *The functor $(-)^{x_0} : \text{Met}_X \longrightarrow \text{Met}_X$ and its restriction $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ for any metric group \mathcal{G} are category equivalences.*

Definition 6.5. (1) We denote the image of the functor $(-)^{x_0} : \text{Met}_X \longrightarrow \text{Met}_X$ by $\widehat{\text{Met}}_X^{x_0}$.

(2) We denote the full subcategory of Met_X that consists of metric actions $F : X \longrightarrow \text{Met}$ such that $Fx \cong Y$ for any $x \in X$ and a metric space Y by Met_X^Y .

(3) We denote the image of $(-)^{x_0}$ restricted to Met_X^Y and $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ by $\widehat{\text{Met}}_X^{Y,x_0}$ and $\widehat{\text{PMet}}_X^{\mathcal{G},x_0}$ respectively.

(4) We denote the full subcategory of Fib_X that consists of metric fibrations $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi^{-1}x \cong Y$ for any $x \in X$ and a metric space Y by Fib_X^Y .

Lemma 6.6. (1) We have category equivalences $\text{Met}_X^Y \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Met}}_X^{Y,x_0}$ and $\text{PMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{PMet}}_X^{\mathcal{G},x_0}$.

(2) The Grothendieck construction functor $E : \text{Met}_X \rightarrow \text{Fib}_X$ is restricted to the category equivalence $\text{Met}_X^Y \rightarrow \text{Fib}_X^Y$.

Proof. (1) follows from Corollary 6.4, and (2) follows from the proof of Proposition 3.9. \square

6.2 Classification for the case of bounded fibers

In this subsection, we suppose that X and Y are metric spaces and Y is bounded. Note that we have a metric group $\text{Aut}Y$ (Example 4.3).

Lemma 6.7. We have a faithful functor

$$- \curvearrowright Y : \text{PMet}_X^{\text{Aut}Y} \rightarrow \text{Met}_X^Y.$$

Proof. Let $F \in \text{PMet}_X^{\text{Aut}Y}$. We define a metric action $F \curvearrowright Y : X \rightarrow \text{Met}$ by $(F \curvearrowright Y)x = Y$ and $(F \curvearrowright Y)_{xx'} = F_{xx'} : Y \rightarrow Y$. It is immediate to verify that this certainly defines a metric action. For an $\text{Aut}Y$ -metric transformation $\theta : F \Rightarrow G$, we define a metric transformation $\theta \curvearrowright Y : F \curvearrowright Y \Rightarrow G \curvearrowright Y$ by $(\theta \curvearrowright Y)_x = \theta_x : Y \rightarrow Y; y \mapsto \theta_x y$. Then it is also immediate to verify that it is a metric transformation. Further, this obviously defines a faithful functor. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.8. The functor $- \curvearrowright Y : \text{PMet}_X^{\text{Aut}Y} \rightarrow \text{Met}_X^Y$ is split essentially surjective.

Proof. Let $F \in \text{Met}_X^Y$ and fix isometries $\varphi_x : Y \rightarrow Fx$ by the axiom of choice. We define an $\text{Aut}Y$ -metric action $\text{Aut}F$ by $(\text{Aut}F)x = \text{Aut}Y$ and $(\text{Aut}F)_{xx'} = \varphi_{x'}^{-1}F_{xx'}\varphi_x$ that is a left multiplication. Then we can verify that it is an $\text{Aut}Y$ -metric action as follows. Note that we have $(\text{Aut}F)_{xx} = \varphi_x^{-1}F_{xx}\varphi_x = \text{id}_{\text{Aut}Y}$ and $(\text{Aut}F)_{xx'}^{-1} = \varphi_x^{-1}F_{x'x}\varphi_{x'} = (\text{Aut}F)_{x'x}$. We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{\text{Aut}Y}((\text{Aut}F)_{x'x''}(\text{Aut}F)_{xx'}, (\text{Aut}F)_{xx'}) \\ &= d_{\text{Aut}Y}(\varphi_{x''}^{-1}F_{x'x''}\varphi_{x'}\varphi_{x'}^{-1}F_{xx'}\varphi_x, \varphi_{x''}^{-1}F_{xx''}\varphi_x) \\ &= d_{\text{Aut}Y}(\varphi_{x''}^{-1}F_{x'x''}F_{xx'}\varphi_x, \varphi_{x''}^{-1}F_{xx''}\varphi_x) \\ &= \sup_{a \in Y} d_Y(\varphi_{x''}^{-1}F_{x'x''}F_{xx'}\varphi_x a, \varphi_{x''}^{-1}F_{xx''}\varphi_x a) \\ &= \sup_{a \in Fx} d_{Fx''}(F_{x'x''}F_{xx'}a, F_{xx''}a) \\ &\leq d_X(x, x') + d_X(x', x'') - d_X(x, x''). \end{aligned}$$

Now we define a metric transformation $\varphi : \text{Aut}F \curvearrowright Y \Rightarrow F$ by $\varphi_x : (\text{Aut}F \curvearrowright Y)x = Y \rightarrow Fx$. Then it certainly satisfies that $F_{xx'}\varphi_x = \varphi_{x'}(\text{Aut}F \curvearrowright Y)_{xx'}$ and is an isomorphism by the definition. This completes the proof. \square

Since the category $\text{PMet}_X^{\text{Aut}Y}$ is a groupoid, the image of the functor $- \curvearrowright Y$ is in coreMet_X^Y , where we denote the subcategory that consists of all isomorphisms by core (Definition 2.1 (4)).

Lemma 6.9. The functor $\widehat{- \curvearrowright Y}^{x_0} : \text{PMet}_X^{\text{Aut}Y} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{coreMet}}_X^{Y,x_0}$ is full.

Proof. Note that we have $\widehat{- \curvearrowright} Y^{x_0} = \widehat{(-)^{x_0}} \curvearrowright Y$ by the definitions. Since the functor $\widehat{(-)^{x_0}} : \widehat{\text{PMet}}_X^{\text{Aut}Y} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{PMet}}_X^{\text{Aut}Y}$ is full by Lemma 6.2, we show that the restriction $\widehat{- \curvearrowright} Y : \widehat{\text{PMet}}_X^{\text{Aut}Y, x_0} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{coreMet}}_X^{Y, x_0}$ is full. Let $\theta : \widehat{F}^{x_0} \curvearrowright Y \implies \widehat{G}^{x_0} \curvearrowright Y$ be an isomorphism in $\widehat{\text{Met}}_X^{Y, x_0}$, where $F, G \in \widehat{\text{PMet}}_X^{\text{Aut}Y}$. Then we have an isometry $\theta_x : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $G_{x'x_0} G_{xx'} G_{x_0x} \theta_x = \theta_{x'} F_{x'x_0} F_{xx'} F_{x_0x}$ for any $x, x' \in X$. Since we have $\theta_x \in \text{Aut}Y$, we obtain a morphism $\theta' : \widehat{F}^{x_0} \implies \widehat{G}^{x_0} \in \widehat{\text{PMet}}_X^{\text{Aut}Y, x_0}$ defined by $\theta'_x = \theta_x$. It is obvious that we have $\theta' \curvearrowright Y = \theta$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 6.10. *The functor $\widehat{- \curvearrowright} Y^{x_0} : \widehat{\text{PMet}}_X^{\text{Aut}Y} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{coreMet}}_X^{Y, x_0}$ is a category equivalence.*

Corollary 6.11. *The categories $\widehat{\text{PMet}}_X^{\text{Aut}Y}$ and $\widehat{\text{coreFib}}_X^Y$ are equivalent.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 6.10 with $\widehat{\text{coreFib}}_X^Y \simeq \widehat{\text{coreMet}}_X^Y \simeq \widehat{\text{coreMet}}_X^{Y, x_0}$ by Lemma 6.6. \square

6.3 Classification for the case of unbounded fibers

To classify general metric fibrations, we generalize the discussions so far to *extended metric groups*.

Definition 6.12. (1) An *extended metric group* is a group object in EMet .

(2) For extended metric groups \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} , a *homomorphism* from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{H} is a Lipschitz map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ that commutes with the group structure.

(3) We denote the category of extended metric groups and homomorphisms by EMGrp . Note that the category MGrp is a full subcategory of EMGrp .

Example 6.13. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $\text{Aut}X$ be the group of isometries on X . We define a distance function on $\text{Aut}X$ by $d_{\text{Aut}X}(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X} d_X(fx, gx)$. Then it is immediate to verify the conditions that $(\text{Aut}X, d_{\text{Aut}X})$ is an extended metric group. We note that the ‘unit component’ of $\text{Aut}X$, that is a set of isometries f such that $d_{\text{Aut}X}(\text{id}_X, f) < \infty$, is exactly $\text{Aut}^u X$ (Example 4.3). Note that, if the metric space X has finite diameter, then we have $\text{Aut}X = \text{Aut}^u X$ that is a metric group.

Definition 6.14. Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' be extended metric groups, and let $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ be the set of homomorphisms. We equip $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ with a groupoid structure similarly to the metric group case by defining $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')(\varphi, \psi) = \{h \in \mathcal{G}' \mid \varphi = h^{-1}\psi h\}$ for any homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$.

Remark 6.15. We note that the same statement as Lemma 4.2 holds for extended metric groups. Further, the relationship between extended metric spaces and normed groups similar to Proposition 4.2 holds if we replace the codomain of norms by $[0, \infty]$.

Definition 6.16. Let \mathcal{G} be an extended metric group and X be a metric space. An *extended \mathcal{G} -metric action* F is a correspondence $X \ni x \mapsto Fx = \mathcal{G}$ and $F_{xx'} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

- $F_{xx} = e, F_{xx'} = F_{x'x}^{-1}$,
- $d_{\mathcal{G}}(F_{x'x''} F_{xx'}, F_{xx''}) \leq d_X(x, x') + d_X(x', x'') - d_X(x, x'')$.

For extended \mathcal{G} -metric actions F and G , an *extended \mathcal{G} -metric transformation* $\theta : F \implies G$ is a family of elements $\{\theta_x \in \mathcal{G}\}_{x \in X}$ such that $G_{xx'} \theta_x = \theta_{x'} F_{xx'}$. We denote the category of extended \mathcal{G} -metric actions and extended \mathcal{G} -metric transformations by $\text{EPMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$.

The following is obtained from the same arguments in subsection 5.2 by replacing the ‘metric group’ by ‘extended metric group’.

Proposition 6.17. *For an extended metric group \mathcal{G} and a metric space X , the categories $\text{EPMet}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\text{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G})$ are equivalent.*

Further, the arguments in subsection 6.2 can be applied for extended case, and we obtain the following.

Proposition 6.18. *For any metric spaces X and Y , the categories $\text{EPMet}_X^{\text{Aut}Y}$ and coreFib_X^Y are equivalent. Hence metric fibrations with fiber Y are classified by $\text{Hom}(\pi_1^m(X, x_0), \mathcal{G})$.*

7 Cohomological interpretation

In this section, we give a cohomological classification of \mathcal{G} -torsors. It is an analogy of the 1-Čech cohomology. Before giving the definition, we introduce the following technical term.

Definition 7.1. Let X be a metric space, and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$. We denote the subset $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \subset X$ by $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and call it a *triangle*. We define the *degeneracy degree of the triangle* $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by

$$|\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)| := \min \{d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_X(x_j, x_k) - d_X(x_i, x_k) \mid \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}\}.$$

Note that it is enough to consider i, j, k 's running in the cyclic order to obtain the above minimum.

The following is the definition of our ‘1-Čech cohomology’.

Definition 7.2. Let X be a metric space and suppose that points of X are indexed as $X = \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$. For a metric group \mathcal{G} , we define the *1-cohomology of X with the coefficient in \mathcal{G}* as the category $\text{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$ by

$$\text{ObH}^1(X; \mathcal{G}) = \left\{ (a_{ijk}) \in \mathcal{G}^{I^3} \mid a_{ijk}a_{kjl} = a_{ijl}, |a_{ijk}a_{jki}a_{kij}| \leq |\Delta(x_i, x_j, x_k)| \right\},$$

and

$$\text{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})((a_{ijk}), (b_{ijk})) = \left\{ (f_{ij}) \in \mathcal{G}^{I^2} \mid a_{ijk}f_{jk} = f_{ij}b_{ijk} \right\},$$

where we denote the conjugation invariant norm on \mathcal{G} by $|\cdot|$. We call an object of $\text{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$ a *cocycle*. Apparently, the above constructions are independent from the choice of the index I .

Remark 7.3. Note that, for a cocycle $(a_{ijk}) \in \text{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$, the condition $a_{ijk}a_{kjl} = a_{ijl}$ implies that $a_{iji} = e$ and $a_{ijk} = a_{kji}^{-1}$ for any $i, j, k \in I$. Further, for a morphism (f_{ij}) , we have $f_{ij} = f_{ji}$ from the condition $a_{ijk}f_{jk} = f_{ij}b_{ijk}$ and $a_{iji} = b_{iji} = e$.

Lemma 7.4. *The 1-cohomology of X with the coefficient in \mathcal{G} is well-defined, that is, $\text{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$ is indeed a category, in particular a groupoid.*

Proof. Let $(a_{ijk}), (b_{ijk}), (c_{ijk}) \in \text{ObH}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$, and $(f_{ij}) : (a_{ijk}) \rightarrow (b_{ijk})$ and $(f'_{ij}) : (b_{ijk}) \rightarrow (c_{ijk})$ be morphisms. Then $(f' \circ f)_{ij} := f'_{ij}f_{ij}$ defines a morphism $((f' \circ f)_{ij}) : (a_{ijk}) \rightarrow (c_{ijk})$ since we have

$$a_{ijk}f_{jk}f'_{jk} = f_{ij}b_{ijk}f'_{jk} = f_{ij}f'_{ij}c_{ijk}.$$

It obviously satisfies the associativity. The identity on a_{ijk} is apparently defined by $e_{ij} = e$, where e denotes the unit of \mathcal{G} . Further, (f_{ij}^{-1}) defines a morphism $(f_{ij}^{-1}) : b_{ijk} \rightarrow a_{ijk}$ that is the inverse of (f_{ij}) . This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 7.5. *We have a faithful functor $\beta : \mathbf{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \text{Tors}_{\mathcal{G}}^X$.*

Proof. For $(a_{ijk}) \in \text{ObH}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$, we define a \mathcal{G} -torsor $\beta(a_{ijk})$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{U} = \coprod_{(i,j) \in I^2} \mathcal{G}_{ij}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{ij} = \mathcal{G}_i^{ij} \coprod \mathcal{G}_j^{ij} = \mathcal{G} \coprod \mathcal{G}$. We write an element of $\mathcal{G}_{\bullet}^{ij}$ as g_{\bullet}^{ij} and we denote the identification $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\bullet}^{ij}$ by the map $\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\bullet}^{ij}; g \mapsto g_{\bullet}^{ij}$, where $\bullet \in \{i, j\}$ for any $i \neq j \in I$. We define an equivalence relation \sim on \mathcal{U} generated by

$$g_j^{ij} \sim (ga_{ijk})_j^{jk}.$$

Note that we have $g_j^{ij} \sim g_j^{ji}$ for any $i, j \in I$. We denote the quotient set \mathcal{U}/\sim by $\beta(a_{ijk})$ in the following. Then we have a surjective map $\pi : \beta(a_{ijk}) \longrightarrow X$ defined by $\pi[g_j^{ij}] = x_j$. For this map π , we have the following.

Lemma 7.6. *For any $i, j \in I$, the map $\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}x_j; g \mapsto [g_j^{ij}]$ is a bijection.*

Proof. The surjectivity is clear. We show the injectivity. Suppose that we have $[g_j^{ij}] = [h_j^{ij}]$ for $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$. That is, we have elements $a_{k_0j k_1}, a_{k_1j k_2}, \dots, a_{k_{N-1}j k_N} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $ga_{k_0j k_1} \dots a_{k_{N-1}j k_N} = h$ and $k_0 = k_N = i$. Then the condition $a_{ijk}a_{kjl} = a_{ijl}$ implies that $ga_{iji} = h$, hence $g = h$. This completes the proof. \square

Note that Lemma 7.6 implies that $[g_j^{ij}] = [h_j^{jk}]$ implies that $h = ga_{ijk}$. Now we can define a distance function $d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}$ on $\beta(a_{ijk})$ as follows. Let $\varepsilon_i \in \pi^{-1}x_i$ and $\varepsilon_j \in \pi^{-1}x_j$. Then there uniquely exist $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $[g_i^{ij}] = \varepsilon_i$ and $[h_j^{ij}] = \varepsilon_j$ by Lemma 7.6. Then we define that

$$d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g, h).$$

The non-degeneracy is clear. The symmetry follows from that $[g_i^{ij}] = [g_i^{ji}]$. The triangle inequality is verified as follows. Let $\varepsilon_i \in \pi^{-1}x_i$, $\varepsilon_j \in \pi^{-1}x_j$ and $\varepsilon_k \in \pi^{-1}x_k$. Suppose that we have $[g_i^{ij}] = \varepsilon_i = [g_i^{ik}]$, $[h_j^{ij}] = \varepsilon_j = [h_j^{jk}]$, and $[m_k^{jk}] = \varepsilon_k = [m_k^{ik}]$. Then we have $g = g'a_{kij}$, $h' = ha_{ijk}$ and $m = m'a_{ikj}$, hence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) + d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}(\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_k) \\ &= d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g, h) + d_X(x_j, x_k) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(h', m) \\ &= d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_X(x_j, x_k) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g'a_{kij}, h) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(ha_{ijk}, m'a_{ikj}) \\ &= d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_X(x_j, x_k) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g'a_{kij}, h) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(ha_{ijk}a_{jki}a_{kij}, m'a_{kij}) \\ &\quad + d_{\mathcal{G}}(h, ha_{ijk}a_{jki}a_{kij}) - d_{\mathcal{G}}(h, ha_{ijk}a_{jki}a_{kij}) \\ &\geq d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_X(x_j, x_k) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g'a_{kij}, m'a_{kij}) - |a_{ijk}a_{jki}a_{kij}| \\ &\geq d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_X(x_j, x_k) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g', m') - |\Delta(x_i, x_j, x_k)| \\ &\geq d_X(x_i, x_k) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g', m') \\ &= d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_k). \end{aligned}$$

Now a map $\pi : \beta(a_{ijk}) \longrightarrow X$ is obviously a 1-Lipschitz map. Further, we verify that it is a metric fibration as follows. Let $x_i, x_j \in X$ and $\varepsilon_i \in \pi^{-1}x_i$. Suppose that we have $\varepsilon_i = [g_i^{ij}]$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $\varepsilon_j := [g_j^{ij}] \in \pi^{-1}x_j$ is the unique element in $\pi^{-1}x_j$ such that $d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = d_X(x_i, x_j)$. Also, for $\varepsilon'_j := [h_j^{ij}] \in \pi^{-1}x_j$, we have $d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon'_j) = d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g, h) = d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) + d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}(\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon'_j)$. Finally, we equip the metric fibration $\pi : \beta(a_{ijk}) \longrightarrow X$ with a right action by \mathcal{G} as $[g_{\bullet}^{ij}]h = [(h^{-1}g)_{\bullet}^{ij}]$ for any $i, j \in I$ and $\bullet \in \{i, j\}$. This is well-defined since we have that

$$[(ga_{ijk})_j^{jk}]h = [(h^{-1}ga_{ijk})_j^{jk}] = [(h^{-1}g)_j^{ij}] = [g_j^{ij}]h.$$

It is straightforward to verify that this is a \mathcal{G} -torsor.

Next we show the functoriality. Let $(f_{ij}) : (a_{ijk}) \rightarrow (b_{ijk}) \in \mathbf{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$. We construct a map $f_* : \beta(a_{ijk}) \rightarrow \beta(b_{ijk})$ by $[g_{\bullet}^{ij}] \mapsto [(gf_{ij})_{\bullet}^{ij}]$ for any $i, j \in I$ and $\bullet \in \{i, j\}$. It is well-defined since we have that

$$[(ga_{ijk})_j^{jk}] \mapsto [(ga_{ijk}f_{jk})_j^{jk}] = [(gf_{ij}b_{ijk})_j^{jk}] = [(gf_{ij})_j^{ij}].$$

The map f_* obviously preserves fibers, and is an isometry since we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\beta(b_{ijk})}(f_*[g_i^{ij}], f_*[h_j^{ij}]) &= d_{\beta(b_{ijk})}([(gf_{ij})_i^{ij}], [(hf_{ij})_j^{ij}]) \\ &= d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(gf_{ij}, hf_{ij}) \\ &= d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g, h) \\ &= d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}([g_i^{ij}], [h_j^{ij}]). \end{aligned}$$

Further, it is \mathcal{G} -equivariant since we have that

$$(f_*[g_j^{ij}])m = [(gf_{ij})_j^{ij}]m = [(m^{-1}gf_{ij})_j^{ij}] = f_*([g_j^{ij}]m).$$

The faithfulness is obvious from the construction. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 7.7. *The functor $\beta : \mathbf{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ is full.*

Proof. Let $(a_{ijk}), (b_{ijk}) \in \text{Ob}\mathbf{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$ be cocycles, and suppose that we have a morphism $\varphi : \beta(a_{ijk}) \rightarrow \beta(b_{ijk})$ in $\text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$. We denote the projections $\beta(a_{ijk}) \rightarrow X$ and $\beta(b_{ijk}) \rightarrow X$ by π_a and π_b respectively in the following. For any $i, j \in I$, we have bijections $A_{ij} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \pi_a^{-1}x_j$ and $B_{ij} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \pi_b^{-1}x_j$ given by $g \mapsto [g_j^{ij}]$ by Lemma 7.6. Then we define a map $\varphi_{ij} = B_{ij}^{-1}\varphi A_{ij} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, namely we have $\varphi[g_j^{ij}] = [(\varphi_{ij}g)_j^{ij}]$. Now the \mathcal{G} -equivariance of φ implies that

$$\varphi[g_j^{ij}] = \varphi[(ge)_j^{ij}] = (\varphi[e_j^{ij}])g^{-1} = [(\varphi_{ij}e)_j^{ij}]g^{-1} = [(g\varphi_{ij}e)_j^{ij}],$$

which implies that $\varphi_{ij}g = g\varphi_{ij}e$ by Lemma 7.6. From this, we obtain that

$$\varphi[(ga_{ijk})_j^{jk}] = \varphi[(ga_{ijk})_j^{kj}] = [(\varphi_{kj}(ga_{ijk}))_j^{kj}] = [(ga_{ijk}\varphi_{kj}e)_j^{kj}].$$

Since we have $[g_j^{ij}] = [(ga_{ijk})_j^{jk}]$, we obtain that $a_{ijk}\varphi_{kj}e = (\varphi_{ij}e)b_{ijk}$ by Lemma 7.6. Further, since the lift of x_j along $[g_i^{ij}]$ is $[g_j^{ij}]$ and φ preserves the lift, the conditions $\varphi[g_j^{ij}] = [(\varphi_{ij}g)_j^{ij}]$ and $\varphi[g_i^{ji}] = [(\varphi_{ji}g)_i^{ji}]$ implies that $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_{ji}$. Hence we obtain a morphism $(\varphi_{ij}e) : (a_{ijk}) \rightarrow (b_{ijk})$ in $\mathbf{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$, which satisfies that $\beta(\varphi_{ij}e) = \varphi$ by the construction. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 7.8. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a \mathcal{G} -torsor. For $x_i, x_j \in X$, we define a *local section of π over a pair (x_i, x_j)* as a pair of points $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) \in E^2$ such that $\pi\varepsilon_i = x_i, \pi\varepsilon_j = x_j$ and ε_j is the lift of x_j along ε_i . We say that $((\varepsilon_i^{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij}))_{(i,j) \in I^2}$ is a *local section of π* if each $(\varepsilon_i^{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij})$ is a local section of π over a pair (x_i, x_j) and satisfies that $\varepsilon_i^{ij} = \varepsilon_i^{ji}$.

Proposition 7.9. *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a \mathcal{G} -torsor. For a local section $s = ((\varepsilon_i^{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij}))_{(i,j) \in I^2}$ of π , we can construct a cocycle $\alpha_s \pi \in \text{Ob}\mathbf{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$. Further, for any two local sections s, s' of π , the corresponding cocycles $\alpha_s \pi$ and $\alpha_{s'} \pi$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. We define $a_{ijk} \in \mathcal{G}$ as the unique element such that $\varepsilon_j^{ij}a_{ijk} = \varepsilon_j^{jk}$. Then (a_{ijk}) satisfies that $a_{ijk}a_{kjl} = a_{ijl}$ since we have

$$\varepsilon_j^{ij}a_{ijk}a_{kjl} = \varepsilon_j^{jk}a_{kjl} = \varepsilon_j^{kj}a_{kjl} = \varepsilon_j^{jl}.$$

Now note that we have $\varepsilon_x g = (\varepsilon g)_x$ for any $\varepsilon \in E, x \in X$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_j^{ij} a_{ijk} a_{jki} a_{kij} &= \varepsilon_j^{jk} a_{jki} a_{kij} \\
&= (\varepsilon_k^{jk})_{x_j} a_{jki} a_{kij} \\
&= (\varepsilon_k^{jk} a_{jki})_{x_j} a_{kij} \\
&= (\varepsilon_k^{ki})_{x_j} a_{kij} \\
&= ((\varepsilon_i^{ki})_{x_k} a_{kij})_{x_j} \\
&= ((\varepsilon_i^{ki} a_{kij})_{x_k})_{x_j} \\
&= ((\varepsilon_i^{ij})_{x_k})_{x_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_{ijk} a_{jki} a_{kij}| &= d_E(\varepsilon_j^{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij} a_{ijk} a_{jki} a_{kij}) \\
&= d_E(\varepsilon_j^{ij}, ((\varepsilon_i^{ij})_{x_k})_{x_j}) \\
&= -d_E(\varepsilon_j^{ij}, \varepsilon_i^{ij}) + d_E(\varepsilon_i^{ij}, ((\varepsilon_i^{ij})_{x_k})_{x_j}) \\
&\leq -d_E(\varepsilon_j^{ij}, \varepsilon_i^{ij}) + d_E(\varepsilon_i^{ij}, (\varepsilon_i^{ij})_{x_k}) + d_E((\varepsilon_i^{ij})_{x_k}, ((\varepsilon_i^{ij})_{x_k})_{x_j}) \\
&= -d_X(x_j, x_i) + d_X(x_i, x_k) + d_X(x_k, x_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the norm $|\cdot|$ on \mathcal{G} is conjugation invariant, the value $|a_{ijk} a_{jki} a_{kij}|$ is invariant under the cyclic permutation on $\{i, j, k\}$, hence we obtain that $|a_{ijk} a_{jki} a_{kij}| \leq |\Delta(x_i, x_j, x_k)|$. Thus we obtain a cocycle $\alpha_s \pi := (a_{ijk}) \in \text{ObH}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$. Suppose that we have local sections $s = ((\varepsilon_i^{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij}))_{(i,j) \in I^2}$ and $s' = ((\mu_i^{ij}, \mu_j^{ij}))_{(i,j) \in I^2}$. Then there exists an element $(f_{ij}) \in \mathcal{G}^{I^2}$ such that $(\varepsilon_i^{ij} f_{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij} f_{ij}) = (\mu_i^{ij}, \mu_j^{ij})$. Let $\alpha_s \pi = (a_{ijk})$ and $\alpha_{s'} \pi = (b_{ijk})$. Then we obtain that

$$\varepsilon_j^{ij} a_{ijk} f_{jk} b_{ijk}^{-1} = \varepsilon_j^{jk} f_{jk} b_{ijk}^{-1} = \mu_j^{jk} b_{ijk}^{-1} = \mu_j^{ij},$$

which implies that $f_{ij} = a_{ijk} f_{jk} b_{ijk}^{-1}$. Hence (f_{ij}) defines a morphism $(f_{ij}) : (a_{ijk}) \rightarrow (b_{ijk})$ in $\text{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$. Since $\text{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G})$ is a groupoid, this is an isomorphism. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 7.10. *The functor $\beta : \text{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ is split essentially surjective.*

Proof. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a \mathcal{G} -torsor. Fix a local section $s = ((\varepsilon_i^{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij}))_{(i,j) \in I^2}$ of π . Let $\alpha_s \pi = (a_{ijk})$ be the cocycle constructed in Proposition 7.9. We show that the \mathcal{G} -torsors $\beta(a_{ijk})$ and π are isomorphic. We define a map $\varphi : \beta(a_{ijk}) \rightarrow E$ by $[g_{\bullet}^{ij}] \mapsto \varepsilon_{\bullet}^{ij} g^{-1}$. It is well-defined since we have that

$$[(ga_{ijk})_j^{jk}] \mapsto \varepsilon_j^{jk} a_{ijk}^{-1} g^{-1} = \varepsilon_j^{ij} g^{-1}.$$

It obviously preserves fibers and is a bijection. Also, it is an isometry since we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_E(\varphi[g_i^{ij}], \varphi[h_j^{ij}]) &= d_E(\varepsilon_i^{ij} g^{-1}, \varepsilon_j^{ij} h^{-1}) \\
&= d_E(\varepsilon_i^{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij} h^{-1} g) \\
&= d_E(\varepsilon_i^{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij}) + d_E(\varepsilon_j^{ij}, \varepsilon_j^{ij} h^{-1} g) \\
&= d_X(x_i, x_j) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(g^{-1}, h^{-1}) \\
&= d_{\beta(a_{ijk})}([g_i^{ij}], [h_j^{ij}]).
\end{aligned}$$

Further, it is immediately verified that φ is \mathcal{G} -equivariant. Hence the map φ gives an isomorphism in $\text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 7.11. *The functor $\beta : \text{H}^1(X; \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Tors}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a category equivalence.*

References

- [1] Y. Asao, *Magnitude and magnitude homology of filtered set enriched categories*, (2023), preprint, arXiv:2303.05677.
- [2] A. Grothendieck, *Technique de descente et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. I. Généralités. Descente par morphismes fidèlement plats*, Séminaire N. Bourbaki exp. no190 (1960) 299–327
- [3] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental - Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1960/61*, LNM 224 Springer (1971)
- [4] P. T. Johnstone, *Sketches of an Elephant : A Topos Theory Compendium*, Oxford: Oxford University Press (2002).
- [5] F. W. Lawvere, *Metric spaces, generalized logic and closed categories*, Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano, XLIII : 135–166, 1973. Reprinted as Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories 1:1–37, 2002.
- [6] T. Leinster, *The magnitude of metric spaces*, Documenta Mathematica 18 (2013), 857–905.
- [7] T. Leinster, *The magnitude of a graph*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 166 (2019), 247–264.
- [8] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [9] E. Roff, *The size and shape of things: magnitude, diversity, homology*, PhD thesis, University of Edinburgh, 2022.