

Title

Profiling Of Volatiles In Tissues Of *Salacia Reticulata* Wight. With Anti-Diabetic Potential Using GC-MS And Molecular Docking

Authors

Anurabh Charkravarty¹, Gnanam Ramasamy²

1. Department of Plant Biotechnology, Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-03

Email: anurabh.chakravarty@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5781-9381>

2. Department of Plant Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics, Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-03

Email: gnanam.r@tnau.ac.in

ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9894-2140>

SCOPUS ID: <https://www.scopus.com/authid/detail.uri?authorId=8904136400>

1. Abstract:

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a global pandemic, it is a chronic, progressive and an incompletely understood metabolic condition. The disease is characterized by higher levels of sugar in blood caused either due to insufficient production of insulin or because of insulin resistance. Major drugs used for the treatment of the condition are fraught with side effects. Hence, it is becoming an obligation to gaze at alternative agents showing marginal adverse effects. An important source of such agents are the medicinal plants. Several plants have been positively identified to show anti-diabetic effects. The species *Salacia reticulata* Wight., belonging to the family Celastraceae which is found in the forests of Southern India is one such promising plant to tackle type 2 diabetics. In this study, numerous volatile compounds were identified from various tissues through GC-MS analysis. Among these the compounds possessed suitable ADMET properties, and high binding affinities were compared with two approved α -glucosidase inhibitors, Acarbose and Miglitol. The analysis indicated that the compounds with PubChem IDs, CID-240051 and CID-533471 exhibited potential as inhibitors of Human Maltase-Glucoamylase enzyme.

2. Keywords:

Type 2 diabetes, phytochemical profiling, human maltase-glucoamylase inhibition

Introduction:

Salacia reticulata Wight., is a large woody climbing shrub found in South Indian states ranging from Southern Odisha to Kerala as well as Sri Lanka. It is commonly called 'Ponkoranti' in Tamil, 'Ekanayakam' in Malayalam, 'Anukudu cettu' in Telegu, 'Saptaragni' in Sanskrit and 'Kothala himbutu' in Sinhala. The plant has been used in traditional medicine to treat various ailments in India. The species was formerly placed in the family Hippocrateaceae but has now been moved to the family Celastraceae which is colloquially known as the staff-vine or bittersweet family. Many bioactive compounds have been reported for the genus *Salacia* (Arunakumara and Subasinghe 2011). Several phenolics, flavonoids, and antioxidants from *Salacia chinensis* L. and communicated that when the field grown plants were sprayed with aqueous extracts of biotic and abiotic elicitors, further more eight new compounds were identified in GC-MS (Ghadage et al. 2017). Though the chemical composition of different parts of the plant has been extensively studied, yet the role of volatile compounds found in the plant has not been studied so far.

Extracts of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., roots has been utilized to treat inflammations, asthma, amenorrhea and dysmenorrhea (Tissera and Thabrew 2001). The roots of this species are acrid, bitter, thermogenic, urinary, astringent, anodyne, anti-inflammatory (Nadkarni 1993). The roots and stem of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., have been widely used in treating diabetes and obesity (Im et al. 2008; Li et al. 2008), gonorrhoea and rheumatism, skin diseases (Matsuda et al. 2002; Im et al. 2008) and hemorrhoids' (Nadkarni 1993). It was also shown that the water extracts of leaves of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., could be beneficial for the prevention of diabetes and obesity as its multiple effects such as the ability to increase the plasma insulin level and lower the lipid peroxide level of the kidney (Yoshino et al. 2009). Mangiferin, kotalanol and salacinol were reported to be the key anti-diabetic principles of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., established through pharmacological studies (Yoshikawa et al. 1997, 1998, 2001). Also a thiocyclitol compound has been isolated from *Salacia reticulata* Wight., which possesses hypoglycaemic properties (Hiromi 2008). In addition, numerous other constituents (dulcitol, kotalagenin, maytenfolic acid, soiguesterin and tannins) have also been isolated from this plant, but the exact effects of these constituent on the human physiology is not well understood (Arunakumara and Subasinghe 2011).

The inhibition of α -glucosidase has been identified as the key activity responsible for the hypoglycaemic of *Salacia reticulata* Wight. The effect has been studied using bioassay guided segregation of the various active fractions (Matsuda et al. 2005). The intestinal enzymes, α -glucosidase and α -amylase are responsible for the breakdown of starches, dextrans, maltose and sucrose into more easily absorbable monosaccharides in the small intestine. The inhibition of these enzymes leads to delayed absorption of glucose and hence attenuates the postprandial glucose surges in diabetic individuals. This mechanism is currently being exploited by α -glucosidase inhibitors like the drugs Acarbose and Voglibose (Derosa et al. 2012). Hence, extracts of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., reduces the postprandial hyperglycaemia and hyperinsulinemia by slowing the catabolism of poly and oligo saccharides. The methanolic as well as aqueous methanolic extracts from *Salacia reticulata* Wight., has been shown to significantly reduce glucose levels in maltose and sucrose loaded rats. While, this inhibition was not observed in glucose loaded rats, confirming the inhibitory effect of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., on the intestinal brush border enzymes (Matsuda et al. 2005).

It has been observed that aqueous extract of *Salacia reticulata* Wight. in a dose dependent manner suppresses the serum glucose level induced by sucrose, maltose and α -starch but not that induced by glucose and lactose (Kawamori and Kawahara 1998). The study also reported the effect of the *Salacia reticulata* Wight., extract on the activities of various rat jejunum derived glucosidases and α -amylase. Concluding that *Salacia reticulata* Wight., extracts strongly inhibited the action of α -glucosidase and α -amylase but not that of β -glucosidase enzyme. Similarly, another study reported the ability of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., extract to reduce the postprandial levels of glucose in sucrose and maltose loaded male Wistar rats to significantly at 0.5 and 2 hours whereas, glucose inhibition was not observed in the glucose loaded rats (Yoshikawa et al. 2002). The study attributed the glucose lowering effect to the compounds Salacinol and Kotalanol (Matsuda et al. 2005).

Another study reported the sucrase and maltase inhibitory activities of the aqueous extract of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., by using maltose and sucrose as substrates *in vitro*. Through the study it was observed that the novel thiocyclitol compound demonstrated greater α -glucosidase inhibitory effects compared to the compound Salacinol. In addition the study also analyzed the postprandial levels of glucose in maltose and sucrose loaded rats after administering them with either *Salacia reticulata* Wight., extract, Salacinol, a novel thiocyclitol compound or Voglibose. The results of the study confirmed that both maltase and sucrase activity were inhibited by all these compounds albeit the inhibitory activity observed was several times weaker than that of the drug Voglibose (Hiromi 2008).

Innumerable drugs fail to penetrate the market owing to poor pharmacokinetic properties, which leads to enormous losses to pharmaceutical companies (Fang et al. 2018). The emergence of *in silico* tools as sophisticated methods for drug discovery, has been applied to screen potential drugs from phytochemicals found in various medicinal plants (Sliwoski et al. 2014). Computational prediction models, also play a crucial role in the process of selection of methodologies guiding pharmaceutical research, and hence have also been utilized in *in silico* prediction of pharmacological, pharmacokinetic and toxicological properties of compounds (Loza-Mejía et al. 2018). Presently, molecular docking serves as an efficient and economical method for designing and testing of drugs. The technique generates information pertaining to the drug receptor interactions that are helps predict the binding mode of the drug candidates to their target (Lee and Kim 2019). Furthermore, this methodology facilitates a systemic study by introducing a molecule to the binding spot of the target in a non-covalent fashion, enabling accurate binding at the active site of the ligand (Bharathi et al. 2014). Therefore, the present study focuses on identification of bioactive compounds from methanol extracts from *Salacia reticulata* Wight., root, root bark, stem and leaf by GC–MS analysis. The study also evaluated the efficacy of the various identified volatiles of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., for their antidiabetic activity through, *in silico* molecular docking analysis.

Methods:

Collection and processing of plant material

Plant materials from root, root bark, stem, and leaf of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., were collected from the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Botanical Garden at Coimbatore (11°01'05.3"N; 76°55'58.1"E) Tamil Nadu, India. The samples were thoroughly dried and ground prior to extraction.

Continuous shaking extraction

One gram powder of each sample (root, root bark, stem and leaf) was subjected to continuous shaking on a shaker (C1 Platform Shaker, New Brunswick Scientific, Edison, NJ, USA) for extraction using 50 ml of methanol and placed at a room temperature (25 ± 2 °C) at 110 rpm for 24 hours. The extract was then filtered using a Whatman No. 1 filter paper and was concentrated to 20 ml.

GC-MS analysis of phytoconstituents

Volatiles from each sample (root, root bark, stem and leaf) were identified by GC/MS using Perkin Elmer Clarus SQ 8C equipped with an Elite-5MS (100% Dimethyl poly siloxane), 30 x 0.25 mm x

0.25 µm column. A turbo mass-gold Perkin-Elmer detector was used. The flow rate for the carrier gas was set at 1 ml per minutes, split 10:1, and injected volumes were 1µl. The column temperature was initially raised to 110°C at the rate of 10°C/minutes, following which the temperature was further raised to 280°C at the rate of 5°C /min for 9 minutes. The injector temperature was set at 250°C and this temperature was held constant for a duration of 36 minutes. The electron impact energy was 70eV, the source temperature was set at 200°C. Electron impact (EI) mass scan (m/z) was recorded in the range of 45-450 amu. Unknown components were compared with the known mass spectra of compounds provided by National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Preparation of ligand library

The structures of the identified compounds were downloaded from PubChem. The structures of two alpha-glucosidase inhibitors have also been included to enable comparison.

Preparation of target

The structure 3TOP (Human Maltase-Glucoamylase) was chosen as it was bound to the drug Acarbose which would permit validation of the screening strategy by redocking (Ren et al. 2011). The binding pocket used for the docking study was based on the interacting residues as described in Table 1.

ADMET properties

The ADMET properties were predicted using DruLiTo software (http://www.niper.gov.in/pi_dev_tools/DruLiToWeb/DruLiTo_index.html). The libraries were

filtered stringently based on multiple filters namely, Lipinski's rule, BBB likeness, unweighted QED and weighted QED. Only compounds passing all the filters were selected.

Virtual Screening

The compounds possessing suitable ADMET properties were then screened against Human Maltase-Glucoamylase to ascertain their binding affinity using MTiOpenScreen web-server (<https://mobyle.rpbs.univ-paris-diderot.fr/cgi-bin/portal.py#forms::MTiOpenScreen>) (Labbé et al. 2015). The four hits with highest binding affinity were docked again using PyRx 8.0 with exhaustiveness set at 12. The interaction between the ligands and receptor were analysed using PLIP web-server (<https://plip-tool.biotec.tu-dresden.de/plip-web/plip/index>) (Salentin et al. 2015).

Result & Discussion:

GC-MS analysis

The volatile compounds identified from the aerial tissues viz. leaf and stem tissues by GC-MS have been tabulated in Table 2 and Table 3 while for the below-ground tissues viz., root and root bark in Table 4 and Table 5 respectively along with their retention times, IUPAC names and Area Percentage. The chromatograms generated have been depicted in Fig. 1.

A total of 160 peaks were analysed resulting in the identification of 99 compounds. The number of unique compounds for leaf, stem, root and root bark are 22, 15, 16 and 21 respectively. The distribution of the volatiles in the analysed tissue has been represented in the form of a Venn diagram in Fig. 2. The similarity profile of the tissues studied has been represented in the form of a pairwise similarity matrix based on the number of non-distinct volatiles present among the individual pairs in Fig. 3.

Virtual screening

The compounds which have suitable ADMET properties based on the filtering criterion and the highest binding affinities are 6-Hydroxy-7-isopropyl-1,4a-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,9,10,10a-octahydro-1-phenanthrenemethanol,(1à, 4aá, 10a.alpha)-(CID – 240051),1-Oxaspiro[4.4]non-8-ene-4,7-dione,9-hydroxy-6-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-2-(1-methylethyl)-8-(3-methyl-1-oxobutyl)- (CID – 533471) 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol (CID – 7311), 16-Nitrobicyclo[10.4.0]hexadecan-1-ol-13-one (CID- 544211), their respective binding affinities are -11.01, -10.28, -8.17, -6.11 kcal/mol. The redocked Acarbose molecule as compared to the native Acarbose bound to Human Maltase-Glucoamylase (3TOP) can be viewed in Fig. 4. The four compounds are with their binding affinity and plant tissues are furnished in Table 6. The binding affinities of the approved drugs Acarbose and Miglitol are -10.93 and -7.29 kcal/mol. The interactions between CID – 240051 and Human Maltase-Glucoamylase (3TOP) have been elucidated in Table 3. CID – 240051 and CID – 533471 can be seen bound to Human Maltase-Glucoamylase (3TOP) in Fig. 5. The compound CID – 240051 forms hydrogen bonds *via* the residue TYR1251A while the compound CID – 533471 does so with the residue ARG1501A.

The objective of the study was to identify novel secondary metabolites from various tissues of *Salacia reticulata* Wight., and to identify their anti-diabetic potential through *in silico* analysis. The phytochemical profile for the different tissues was generated and compared. The identification of secondary metabolites was done using GC-MS. GC-MS is a robust analytical method to identify volatiles. GC-MS has been used to generate metabolites in several plant species such as *Ximenia americana*, *Nauclea latifolia*, *Rhazya stricta*, *Androsace foliosa* to name a few (Shettar et al. 2017; Mahmood et al. 2020; Mgbeje and Abu 2020; Zaheer et al. 2021). In the current study, among the ninety-nine different compounds present identified in the tissues, the compounds possessing optimal ADMET properties were chosen for molecular docking against the target, human intestinal brush-border maltase-glucoamylase enzyme to identify the compounds with anti-diabetic potential. Earlier studies have shown that phytochemicals show inhibition of human maltase-glucoamylase enzyme through molecular docking analysis. The triterpenes α -amyrine, β - amyrine, ursolic acid, oleanolic acid, betulinic acid found in *Pelliciera rhizophorae* show greater inhibition of the enzyme as compared to the drug Acarbose. In another study the compounds salacinol, kotalanol, and de-O-sulfonated kotalanol found in the species *Salacia reticulata* Wight., were shown to have excellent affinity for human maltase glucoamylase (Sim et al. 2010).

From our study the terpenoids with PubChem IDs CID – 240051 and CID – 533471 show true potential as inhibitors for the enzyme. Compounds having α -glucosidase inhibitory activity are ubiquitous in medicinal plants and commercially available glucosidase inhibitors involves tedious synthesis process and are associated with gastrointestinal side-effects. The compounds identified, 1,2,3,4,4a,9,10,10a-Octahydro-6-hydroxy-7-isopropyl-1,4a-dimethyl-1-phenanthrenemethanol (CID-240051) and 1-Oxaspiro[4.4]non-8-ene-4,7-dione, 9-hydroxy-6-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-2-(1-methylethyl)-8-(3-methyl-1-oxobutyl)- (CID-533471) show potential as inhibitors of alpha- glucosidase enzyme. The identified natural compounds could have tremendous clinical potential compared to synthetic oral hypoglycemic drugs after systematic evaluation and validation.

References:

- Arunakumara K, Subasinghe S (2011) *Salacia reticulata* Wight: A Review of Botany, Phytochemistry and Pharmacology. *Trop Agric Res Ext* 13:41. <https://doi.org/10.4038/tare.v13i2.3137>
- Bharathi A, Roopan SM, Vasavi CS, et al (2014) In silico molecular docking and in vitro antidiabetic studies of dihydropyrimido [4, 5-a] acridin-2-amines. *Biomed Res Int* 2014:
- Derosa G, Maffioli P, Cicero AFG (2012) Berberine on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors: an analysis from preclinical evidences to clinical trials. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 12:1113–1124
- Fang J, Liu C, Wang Q, et al (2018) In silico polypharmacology of natural products. *Brief Bioinform* 19:1153–1171
- Ghadage DM, Kshirsagar PR, Pai SR, Chavan JJ (2017) Extraction efficiency, phytochemical profiles and antioxidative properties of different parts of Saptarangi (*Salacia chinensis* L.) – An important underutilized plant. *Biochem Biophys Reports* 12:79–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2017.08.012>
- Hiromi O (2008) Hypoglycaemic effect of 13-Membered ring thiocyclitol a novel alpha-glucosidase inhibitor from Kothala himbutu (*salacia reticulata*). *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 72:1962–1964
- Im R, Mano H, Nakatani S, et al (2008) Aqueous Extract of Kotahla Himbutu (*Salacia reticulata*) Stems Promotes Oxygen Consumption and Suppresses Body Fat Accumulation in Mice. *J Heal Sci* 54:645–653. <https://doi.org/10.1248/jhs.54.645>
- Kawamori S, Kawahara Y (1998) Effects of an aqueous extract of *Salacia reticulata*, a useful plant in Sri Lanka, on postprandial hyperglycemia in rats and humans. *J Japanese Soc Nutr Food Sci*
- Labbé CM, Rey J, Lagorce D, et al (2015) MTiOpenScreen: A web server for structure-based virtual screening. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43:W448–W454. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv306>
- Lee K, Kim D (2019) In-Silico molecular binding prediction for human drug targets using deep neural multi-task learning. *Genes (Basel)* 10:906
- Li Y, Huang THW, Yamahara J (2008) *Salacia* root, a unique Ayurvedic medicine, meets multiple targets in diabetes and obesity. *Life Sci* 82:1045–1049. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2008.03.005>
- Loza-Mejía MA, Salazar JR, Sánchez-Tejeda JF (2018) In Silico studies on compounds derived from *calceolaria*: phenylethanoid glycosides as potential multitarget inhibitors for the development of pesticides. *Biomolecules* 8:121
- Mahmood R, Kayani WK, Ahmed T, et al (2020) Assessment of antidiabetic potential and phytochemical profiling of *Rhazya stricta* root extracts. *BMC Complement Med Ther* 20:1–17
- Matsuda H, Morikawa T, Yoshikawa M (2002) Antidiabetogenic constituents from several natural medicines. *Pure Appl Chem* 74:1301–1308. <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200274071301>
- Matsuda H, Yoshikawa M, Morikawa T, et al (2005) Antidiabetogenic constituents from *Salacia* species. *和漢医薬学雑誌* 22:145–153
- Mgbeje BIA, Abu C (2020) Chemical Fingerprinting of *Nauclea latifolia*, an Antidiabetic Plant, Using GC-MS. *J Complement Altern Med Res* 25–34
- Nadkarni KM (1993) *The Indian Materia Medica*. Popular Prakashan Pvt. Ltd., Bombay
- Ren L, Qin X, Cao X, et al (2011) Structural insight into substrate specificity of human intestinal maltase-glucoamylase. *Protein Cell* 2:827–836. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13238-011-1105-3>
- Salentin S, Schreiber S, Haupt VJ, et al (2015) PLIP: Fully automated protein-ligand interaction profiler. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43:W443–W447. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv315>
- Shettar AK, Sateesh MK, Kaliwal BB, Vedamurthy AB (2017) In vitro antidiabetic activities and GC-MS phytochemical analysis of *Ximenia americana* extracts. *South African J Bot* 111:202–211
- Sim L, Jayakanthan K, Mohan S, et al (2010) New glucosidase inhibitors from an ayurvedic herbal treatment for type 2 diabetes: Structures and inhibition of human intestinal maltase-glucoamylase with

- compounds from *Salacia reticulata*. *Biochemistry* 49:443–451. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi9016457>
- Sliwoski G, Kothiwale S, Meiler J, Lowe EW (2014) Computational methods in drug discovery. *Pharmacol Rev* 66:334–395
- Tissera MHA, Thabrew MI (2001) Medicinal plants and Ayurvedic preparations used in Sri Lanka for the control of diabetes mellitus. A Publ Dep Ayurveda, Minist Heal Indig Med Sri Lanka
- Yoshikawa M, Murakami T, Shimada H, et al (1997) Salacinol, potent antidiabetic principle with unique thiosugar sulfonium sulfate structure from the Ayurvedic traditional medicine *Salacia reticulata* in Sri Lanka and India. *Tetrahedron Lett* 38:8367–8370
- Yoshikawa M, Murakami T, Yashiro K, Matsuda H (1998) Kotalanol, a Potent α -Glucosidase Inhibitor with Thiosugar Sulfonium Sulfate Structure, from Antidiabetic Ayurvedic Medicine *Salacia reticulata*. *Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo)* 46:1339–1340. <https://doi.org/10.1248/cpb.46.1339>
- Yoshikawa M, Nishida N, Shimoda H, et al (2001) Polyphenol constituents from *Salacia* species: quantitative analysis of mangiferin with α -glucosidase and aldose reductase inhibitory activities. *Yakugaku zasshi J Pharm Soc Japan* 121:371
- Yoshikawa M, Shimoda H, Nishida N, et al (2002) *Salacia reticulata* and Its Polyphenolic Constituents with Lipase Inhibitory and Lipolytic Activities Have Mild Antiobesity Effects in Rats. *J Nutr* 132:1819–1824. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/132.7.1819>
- Yoshino K, Miyauchi Y, Kanetaka T, et al (2009) Anti-diabetic activity of a leaf extract prepared from *salacia reticulata* in mice. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 73:1096–1104. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.80854>
- Zaheer J, Najam-Us-Saqib Q, Anwar T, et al (2021) Phytochemical Profile of Rock Jasmine (*Androsace foliosa* Duby ex Decne) by Using HPLC and GC–MS Analyses. *Arab J Sci Eng*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-020-05241-8>

Table 1: Active residues of α -glucosidase.

PDB ID	Active Residues	Source
3TOP	TRP1418A, TYR1251A, TRP1355A, TRP1369A, TRP1523A	(Ren et al. 2011)

Table 2: Volatiles identified in leaf.

Name of Compound	RT	Area %	Name of Compound	RT	Area %
Dodecane	5.764	0.366	Octadecanoic acid	22.336	17.372
Benzene, 1,3-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-	6.44	0.645	2-Nonadecanone 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine	23.296	0.421
Tetradecane	8.481	0.435	Ethanol, 2-(9,12-octadecadienyloxy)-, (Z,Z)-	23.391	2.819
1,2-O-Isopropylidene-D-xylofuranose, TBDMSderivative	9.741	1.637	2-Nonadecanone 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine	23.766	0.437
2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	9.821	1.226	Oxiranepentanoic acid, 3-undecyl-, methylester, trans-	23.801	0.557
Undecanoic acid, 10-methyl-, methyl ester	10.066	0.504	1-Oxaspiro[4.4]non-8-ene-4,7-dione, 9-hydroxy-6-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-2-(1-methylethyl)-8-(3-methyl-1-oxobutyl)-	23.931	0.597
Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-	10.201	0.306	Cyclohexane, 1,1'-dodecylidenebis[4-methyl-	24.067	0.631
Pentadecanoic acid	10.711	0.254	5H-Cyclopropa[3,4]benz[1,2-e]azulen-5-one, 3,9,9a-tris(acetyloxy)-3-[(acetyloxy)methyl]-2-chloro-1,1a,1b,2,3,4,4a,7a,7b,8,9,9a-dodecahydro-4a,7b-dihydroxy-1,1,6,8-tetramethyl-, [1aR-(1aà,1bá,2à,3á,4aá,7aà,7bà,8à,9á,9aà)]-	24.197	0.511
1,4-Dioxane-2,5-diol,2TBDMS derivative	12.382	0.686	Prost-13-en-1-oic acid, 9,11,15-trihydroxy-6-oxo-, methyl ester, (9à,11à,13E,15S)-	24.337	0.253
à-D-Glucofuranose, 6-O-(trimethylsilyl)-, cyclic 1,2:3,5-bis(butylboronate)	12.562	0.306	Cyclopropanebutyric acid, 2-[(2-nonylcyclopropyl)methyl]-, methyl ester	24.482	0.448
Heptadecane, 9-hexyl-	13.377	0.302	Ursodeoxycholic acid	24.572	0.59
Neophytadiene	16.199	0.279	Glycyl-L-histidyl-L-lysine acetate	24.652	0.443
Stearyltrimethylammoniumchloride	17.604	0.7	2,6,9,12,16-Pentamethylheptadeca-2,6,11,15-tetraene-9-carboxylic acid	24.802	0.578
Pentadecanoic acid, 14-methyl-, methyl ester	17.959	0.303	17-Pentatriacontene	25.092	0.304
1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, butyl octyl ester	18.399	0.27	1-Diphenylethenylsilyloxydodec-9-yn	25.332	0.375
n-Hexadecanoic acid	18.654	5.835	Squalene	27.578	2.751
Ethyl iso-allocholate	19.26	0.282	Glucobrassicin	27.863	0.353
16-Octadecenoic acid, methylester	21.175	0.282	Hexadecanoic acid, 1-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2-ethanediyl ester	28.053	0.774
9,12-Octadecadienoic acid(Z,Z)-	21.756	4.147	Diisooctyl phthalate	28.283	1.254
9-Octadecenoic acid, (E)-	21.906	30.426	Cholest-22-ene-21-ol, 3,5-dehydro-6-methoxy-, pivalate	29.234	0.25

Table 3: Volatiles identified in stem.

Name of Compound	RT	Area %	Name of Compound	RT	Area%
Undecane	4.319	0.421	2-Ethylbutyric acid, eicosyl ester	13.372	2.311
Dodecane	5.769	1.141	Epirubicin	13.633	0.47
Benzene, 1,3-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-	6.435	1.174	Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester	17.954	0.364
Dodecane, 2,6,11-trimethyl-	6.8	0.376	n-Hexadecanoic acid	18.655	4.187
Tetradecane	8.486	1.592	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-	21.751	3.069
1,2-Propanediol, 3-(octadecyloxy)-, diacetate	9.261	0.479	9-Octadecenoic acid, (E)-	21.901	21.918
Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-	9.516	0.456	Octadecanoic acid	22.336	9.027
Dodecane, 2,7,10-trimethyl-	9.566	0.566	1-Hexadecanol, 2-methyl-	22.911	1.195
1,2-O-Isopropylidene-D-xylofuranose, TBDMS derivative	9.731	3.633	Dasycarpidan-1-methanol, acetate (ester)	23.001	1.95
2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	9.821	1.86	Oleic Acid	23.406	1.208
à-D-Galactopyranose, 6-O-(trimethylsilyl)-, cyclic 1,2:3,4-bis(methylboronate)	9.991	0.354	16-Nitrobicyclo[10.4.0]hexadecan-1-ol-13-one	23.511	0.504
9-Bromononanoic acid, methyl(ester)	10.066	0.736	Dasycarpidan-1-methanol, acetate (ester)	23.822	0.467
Hexadecane, 5-butyl-	10.126	0.369	1b,4a-Epoxy-2H-cyclopenta[3,4]cyclopropa[8,9]cycloundec[1, 2-b]oxiren-5(1aH)-one, 2,7,9,10-tetrakis(acetyloxy)decahydro-3,6,8,8, 10a-pentamethyl-	23.887	0.478
Eicosane, 2-methyl-	10.196	0.501	7-Methyl-Z-tetradecen-1-ol acetate	24.082	0.436
Hexadecane	11.397	0.65	Dasycarpidan-1-methanol, acetate (ester)	24.477	0.424
Pentitol, 1,3-dideoxy-tris-O-(trimethylsilyl)-	11.842	1.25	(5á,13á) Androst-8-en-3-one, 17-19-diacetoxy-4,4-dimethyl-	24.817	0.386
1,4-Dioxane-2,5-diol,2TBDMS derivative	12.037	0.969	Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-	25.092	0.591
1,4-Dioxane-2,5-diol,2TBDMS derivative	12.367	3.042	Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-	25.772	0.385
1,4-Dioxane-2,5-diol,2TBDMS derivative	12.547	1.389	Squalene	27.583	2.539
2-Oxiraneethanol, 2-t-butyl dimethylsilyloxymethyl-acetate	13.282	2.84	Diisooctyl phthalate	28.293	0.544

Table 4: Volatiles identified in root.

Name of Compound	RT	Area %	Name of Compound	RT	Area%
Undecane, 4,7-dimethyl-	3.639	0.692	1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, butyl 8-methylnonyl ester	18.394	0.707
Undecane	4.289	0.891	n-Hexadecanoic acid	18.62	2.286
Dodecane	5.739	2.231	Hexadecanoic acid, ethyl ester	19.255	0.542
Benzene, 1,3-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-	6.41	2.428	Ethanol, 2-(9,12-octadecadienyloxy)-, (Z,Z)-	21.025	0.613
Hexadecane	6.775	0.917	9-Octadecenoic acid (Z)-, methyl ester	21.166	0.929
Tetradecane	8.461	2.793	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-	21.731	1.765
Undecane, 3,7-dimethyl-	7.41	0.556	9-Octadecenoic acid, (E)-	21.856	10.67
Dodecanal	8.586	0.643	Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-	22.091	1.444
Dodecane, 5,8-diethyl-	9.166	0.524	12-Methyl-E,E-2,13-octadecadien-1-ol	22.251	1.184
Tetradecane, 2,6,10-trimethyl-	9.236	1.249	Octadecanoic acid	22.321	2.752
Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-	9.316	0.612	1-Hexadecanol, 2-methyl-	22.886	0.838
Dodecane, 2,6,11-trimethyl-	9.546	1.298	Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-	25.077	0.708
2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	9.801	0.817	Ethanol, 2-(9-octadecenyloxy)-, (Z)-	26.908	4.076
Dodecane, 1-iodo-	10.171	0.774	17-Pentatriacontene	27.373	0.739
Hexadecane	11.372	0.94	2,6,10,14,18-Pentamethyl-2,6,10,14,18-eicosapentaene	27.583	3.738
Pentadecane, 2,6,10,14-tetramethyl-	13.347	0.912	Retinoyl- α -glucuronide 6',3'- lactone	28.128	0.668
Heptadecane, 9-hexyl-	13.422	0.766	Diisooctyl phthalate	28.288	2.132
Heptadecane, 2,6,10,15-tetramethyl-	14.243	0.794	Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-	28.393	1.025
1-Nonadecene	15.318	0.997	6-Hydroxy-7-isopropyl-1,4a-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,9,10,10a-octahydro-1-phenanthrenemethanol, (1 α , 4 α , 10 α .alpha)-	29.314	0.836
Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester	17.944	0.887	Phenol, 2,3,4-trimethoxy-5-propionyloxy-6-propionyl-	29.404	1.093

Table 5: Volatiles identified in root bark.

Name of Compound	RT	Area %	Name of Compound	RT	Area %
Dodecane	5.764	0.785	Ethanol, 2-(9-octadecenyloxy)-, (Z)-	23.422	2.563
Benzene, 1,3-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-	6.435	1.392	Oxiraneoctanoic acid, 3-octyl-, cis-	23.612	0.933
Dodecane, 2,6,10-trimethyl-	6.8	0.321	Glycidyl oleate	23.812	1.801
Tetradecane	8.486	1.154	Dodecyl cis-9,10-epoxyoctadecanoate	24.112	1.548
Heptacosane	9.566	0.456	2-(16-Acetoxy-11-hydroxy-4,8,10,14-tetramethyl-3-oxohexadecahydrocyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17-ylidene)-6-methyl-hept-5-enoic acid, methyl ester	24.337	0.655
2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	9.826	0.411	Glucobrassicin	24.537	1.365
2-Bromo dodecane	10.196	0.371	Ferruginol	24.787	1.176
Hexadecane	11.397	0.486	9,10-Anthracenedione, 1,8-dimethoxy-	24.887	0.477
Tetradecane, 1-iodo-	13.383	0.355	Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-	25.122	0.781
1-Docosene	15.343	0.31	Androst-5,7-dien-3-ol-17-one	25.262	0.441
Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester	17.959	0.37	Pseudoarsasapogenin-5,20-dien	25.362	0.394
1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, butyl decyl ester	18.405	0.447	17-Pentatriacontene	25.767	0.438
n-Hexadecanoic acid	18.655	4.339	Z-8-Octadecen-1-ol acetate	26.913	0.447
Cholestan-3-ol, 2-methylene-, (3 α ,5 α)-	21.056	0.32	Squalene	27.573	2.274
6-Octadecenoic acid, methyl ester, (Z)-	21.181	0.57	Hexadecanoic acid, 1-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2-ethanediyl ester	27.863	0.481
9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-	21.751	3.561	Retinoic acid	28.123	0.399
9-Octadecenoic acid, (E)-	21.906	29.141	Di-n-octyl phthalate	28.283	0.824
Octadecanoic acid	22.346	9.103	14-Octadecenal	28.393	0.489
Oleic Acid	22.646	3.725	6-Hydroxy-7-isopropyl-1,4a-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,9,10,10a-octahydro-1-phenanthrenemethanol, (1 α , 4 α , 10 α .alpha)-	29.319	0.333
Z-(13,14-Epoxy)tetradec-11-en-1-ol acetate	22.901	4.894	Cyclopenta[d]anthracene-6,8,11-trione, 1,2,3,3a,4,5,6,6a,7,8,11,12-dodecahydro-3-(1-methylethyl)	29.404	0.539

Table 6: Binding affinities of identified lead molecules and approved drugs.


S. No.	Compound Name	PubChem ID	Binding Affinity (kcal/mol)	Plant Part	
1.	Identified Compounds	6-Hydroxy-7-isopropyl-1,4a-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,9,10,10a-octahydro-1-phenanthrenemethanol, (1à, 4aá, 10a.alpha)-	240051	-11.01	Root,Root Bark
2.		1-Oxaspiro[4.4]non-8-ene-4,7-dione, 9- hydroxy-6-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-2-(1- methylethyl)-8-(3-methyl-1-oxobutyl)-	533471	-10.28	Leaf
3.		2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	7311	-8.17	Leaf, Stem, Root and RootBark
4.		16-Nitrobicyclo[10.4.0]hexadecan-1-ol-13-one	544211	-6.11	Stem
5.	Approved Drugs	Acarbose	41774	-10.93	
6.		Miglitol	441314	-7.29	

Table 7: Interacting residues.


Compound	Hydrogen Bonds	Hydrophobic Interactions
CID-240051	TYR1251A	ILE1280A, PHE1427A, PHE1559A,
CID-533471	ARG1501A	TYR1251A, ILE1280A,PHE1427A, PHE1559A, ILE1587A

Fig. 1


a.



b.



c.



d.




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

	Leaf (39)	Stem (34)	Root (36)	Root Bark (38)
Leaf (39)		13	13	12
Stem (34)	13		15	13
Root (36)	13	15		14
Root Bark (38)	12	13	14	

Fig. 4





Fig. 5

a.



b.




Fig. 1: GC/MS chromatograms for the analyzed tissues: a. Leaf; b. Stem; c. Root; d. Root Bark

Fig. 2: Distribution of volatiles in the analyzed tissues.

Fig. 3: Pair-wise similarity profile of the analyzed tissues.

Fig. 4: Redocking of acarbose to 3TOP (Human Maltase-Glucoamylase), native acarbose molecule depicted in blue while the redocked molecule is in red

Fig. 5: Leads docked to target protein a. CID – 240051 and b. CID – 533471, bound to Human Maltase-Glucoamylase enzyme (3TOP)