THE BERRY-ESSEEN BOUND IN DE JONG'S CLT

CHRISTIAN DÖBLER

ABSTRACT. We prove a Berry-Esseen bound in de Jong's classical CLT for normalized, completely degenerate U-statistics, which says that the convergence of the fourth moment sequence to three and a Lindeberg-Feller type negligibility condition are sufficient for asymptotic normality. Our bound is of the same optimal order as the bound on the Wasserstein distance to normality that has recently been proved by Döbler and Peccati (2017).

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation and overview. Let p be a fixed positive integer and suppose that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the random variable W_n is a normalized, completely degenerate and not necessarily symmetric U-statistic of order p, based on independent random variables X_1, \ldots, X_n (see Section 2 for precise definitions), defined on a probability space that might vary with n. Henceforth, we will sometimes just refer to such a quantity as W_n as a (normalized) degenerate U-statistic of order p.

In the seminal paper [dJ90] (see also [dJ87, dJ89]), P. de Jong proved the following remarkable CLT: If

(1)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[W_n^4] = 3$$

and a Lindeberg-Feller type negligibility condition for the sequence $(W_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is satisfied (see again Section 2 for a precise statement), then $(W_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in distribution to a standard normal random variable Z.

In view of the typically non-normal limiting distributions of (symmetric) degenerate U-statistics with a fixed kernel [Ser80, RV80, Gre77, DM83], which does not depend on the sample size n, de Jong's theorem is a quite surprising result. Moreover, the class of degenerate U-statistics is rather large, containing for example the so-called *homogeneous sums* or *homogeneous multilinear forms* in independent centered real random variables with unit variance (see Section 2).

In the recent paper [DP17], G. Peccati and the author applied the *exchangeable* pairs approach within Stein's method [Ste72, Ste86] to prove a quantitative version of de Jong's purely qualitative statement, by providing an explicit error bound on the Wasserstein distance

$$d_{\mathcal{W}}(W_n, Z) := \sup_{h \in \operatorname{Lip}(1)} \left| \mathbb{E}[h(W_n)] - \mathbb{E}[h(Z)] \right|$$

between the distribution of such a normalized, degenerate U-statistic W_n and the standard normal distribution of Z. Here, Lip(1) is the class of all Lipschitz

Mathematisches Institut der Heinrich Heine Universität Düsseldorf

Email: christian.doebler@hhu.de

Keywords: Berry-Esseen bounds, de Jong's CLT; degenerate U-statistics; fourth moment theorems; normal approximation; exchangeable pairs; Stein's method $\$.

functions on \mathbb{R} with Lipschitz constant 1. The Wasserstein bound from [DP17] reads

(2)
$$d_{\mathcal{W}}(W_n, Z) \leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} + \frac{4}{3}\right) \sqrt{\left|\mathbb{E}[W_n^4] - 3\right|} + \sqrt{\kappa_p} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \varrho_n,$$

where the quantity ρ_n , which encodes the Lindeberg-Feller condition, is defined in Section 2 below and where κ_p is a finite combinatorial constant that only depends on p.

The goal of the present note is to complement the Wasserstein bound (2) with a *Berry-Esseen bound*, that is, a bound on the *Kolmogorov distance*

$$d_{\mathcal{K}}(W_n, Z) := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}(W_n \le t) - \mathbb{P}(Z \le t) \right| = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}(W_n \le t) - \Phi(t) \right|$$

between the distribution of W_n and the standard normal distribution, which is of the same order as the bound (2). Here, and in what follows,

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-x^2/2} dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

denotes the standard normal distribution function. From a statistical viewpoint, error bounds on the Kolmogorov distance are usually more informative and useful than bounds on the Wasserstein distance. For instance, if W_n is the test statistic of an asymptotic test, then $d_{\mathcal{K}}(W_n, Z)$ is the maximal error that arises from working with the standard normal quantiles instead of the true ones of W_n . However, when applying Stein's method, it is in general considerably more difficult to obtain sharp bounds on the Kolmogorov distance than on the Wasserstein distance. This is roughly because the solutions to the Stein equation for the Kolmogorov distance lack one order of smoothness as compared to those for the Wasserstein distance. Although, for a standard normal Z and for an integrable real random variable X, on has the general inequality

$$d_{\mathcal{K}}(X,Z) \le \sqrt{d_{\mathcal{W}}(X,Z)},$$

this inequality usually does not yield sharp bounds since, for a normal limit, the actual rates of convergence in the Kolmogorov distance and in the Wasserstein distance are typically the same.

As in [DP17], the proof of our Berry-Esseen result relies on a combination of the exchangeable pairs approach in Stein's method with the theory of *Hoeffding decompositions* [Hoe48] of arbitrary functionals on product probability spaces. In particular, we rely here on several crucial identities and bounds that were proved in [DP17] in the context of Hoeffding decompositions and exchangeable pairs (see again Section 2 for details). However, in place of Stein's classical exchangeable pairs bound on the Wasserstein distance to normality (see [Ste86, Lecture 3, Theorem 1], we employ here a recent bound on the Kolmogorov distance to normality from [SZ19].

1.2. Further related references. In addition to the bound on the Wasserstein distance, the work [DP17] also provided quantitative multivariate extensions of de Jong's CLT for vectors of such degenerate U-statistics. In particular, for vectors of degenerate U-statistics with pairwise different orders, these error bounds entail conditions for the multivariate CLT to hold that are equivalent to the conglomeration of the conditions for the univariate CLTs for the individual components to be

BERRY-ESSEEN BOUND

valid. The related paper [DP18b] complemented the quantitative CLT from [DP17] by proving analogous error bounds for the (centered) Gamma approximation of such a degenerate U-statistic and the very recent paper [DKP22b] even provided multivariate functional versions of de Jong type CLTs. We refer to these references for pointers to the relevant literature, example cases and possible applications.

From a modern perspective, de Jong's CLT can be considered the historically first instance of a so-called *fourth moment theorem*, which generally states that a normalized sequence $(W_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real random variables is asymptotically normally distributed, if (1) is satisfied, possibly up to imposing some further negligibility condition that sometimes cannot be dispensed with. In particular, de Jong's result preceded the remarkable uni- and multivariate fourth moment theorems for Gaussian Wiener chaos [NP05, NP09, PT05], for multiple Wiener-Itô integrals on Poisson spaces [DP18a, DP18b, DVZ18], for multiple integrals with respect to a general Rademacher sequence [DK19, Zhe19] as well as for eigenfunctions of Markov diffusion operators [Led12, ACP14, CNPP16].

As it turned out, the methodology developed in [DP17] is rather flexible and has been successfully adapted to prove error bounds on the (multivariate) normal approximation in other situations as well: In the paper [DP19], by combining the general approach from [DP17] with a new formula for the product of two symmetric, degenerate U-statistics, new error bounds on the normal approximation of symmetric (not necessarily degenerate) U-statistics with possibly sample size dependent kernels were proved. These analytical bounds only involve powers of the sample size n and integral norms of so-called contraction kernels associated to the symmetric U-statistic. These techniques were further refined in the reference [DKP22a], where we proved general functional CLTs for symmetric U-statistics with sample size dependent kernels. In the paper [Döb23] the methodology from [DP19] was further extended in order to prove accurate Wasserstein bounds on the normal approximation of quite arbitrary (symmetric and non-symmetric) functionals on product spaces.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the technical framework and state the main result of this work, Theorem 2.1, as well as a corollary dealing with symmetric U-statistics. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 3.

2. FRAMEWORK AND MAIN RESULT

In what follows, let $p \leq n$ be positive integers and suppose that X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, assuming values in the respective measurable spaces $(E_1, \mathcal{E}_1), \ldots, (E_n, \mathcal{E}_n)$. Further, let

$$f:\prod_{j=1}^{n} E_j \to \mathbb{R}$$
 be $\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}_j - \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ - measurable

in such a way that

$$W := W_n := f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in L^1(\mathbb{P}).$$

Then, as is well-known (see e.g. [Vit92, Maj13]), W has a \mathbb{P} -a.s. unique decomposition, the *Hoeffding decomposition*, of the form

(3)
$$W = \sum_{J \subseteq [n]} W_J,$$

where we write $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and where the $W_J, J \subseteq [n]$, are random variables with the following properties:

- (a) For each $J \subseteq [n]$ W_J is $\sigma(X_i, i \in J)$ -measurable.
- (b) For all $J, K \subseteq [n]$ one has that $\mathbb{E}[W_J \mid X_i, i \in K] = 0$ unless $J \subseteq K$.

Note that (a) implies that there are $\bigotimes_{j \in J} \mathcal{E}_j - \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable kernel functions $\psi_J : \prod_{j \in J} E_j \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $W_J = \psi_J(X_i, i \in J), J \subseteq [n]$. Here, and in what follows, the arguments of ψ_J are plugged in according to the usual ascending order on [n]. Since the summands in (3) are explicitly given by

$$W_J = \sum_{L \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J| - |L|} \mathbb{E} \big[W \, \big| \, X_i, i \in L \big], \quad J \subseteq [n],$$

one infers immediately that $W \in L^q(\mathbb{P})$ implies that $W_J \in L^q(\mathbb{P})$ for all $J \subseteq [n]$, where $q \in [1, \infty]$. If $W \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$, then its individual *Hoeffding components* W_J , $J \subseteq [n]$, are automatically uncorrelated, making the Hoeffding decomposition a very useful tool for the analysis of variances of functionals on product spaces.

In the above setting, the random variable W is called a *completely degenerate*, not necessarily symmetric U-statistic of order p or degenerate U-statistic for short, if its Hoeffding decomposition (3) has the form

(4)
$$W = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n)} W_J = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n)} \psi_J(X_i, i \in J),$$

where we let

$$\mathcal{D}_p(n) := \{ J \subseteq [n] : |J| = p \}$$

denote the collection of *p*-subsets of [n], that is, if $W_K = 0$ P-a.s. unless |K| = p. In [dJ90] such a W is also referred to as a *generalized multilinear form* since this class contains the class of random variables Y of the form

$$Y = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n)} a_J \prod_{i \in J} Y_i,$$

where Y_1, \ldots, Y_n are independent and centered real random variables and $(a_J)_{J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n)}$, is a family of real coefficients. Such random variables Y are called *homogeneous multilinear forms* or *homogeneous sums* in the literature.

If, in fact, the underlying random variables X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. and, in particular, assume values in the same space (E, \mathcal{E}) and the kernels $\psi_J, J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n)$, of W as in (4) are all equal to the same symmetric function $\psi : E^p \to \mathbb{R}$, which might still depend on the sample size n, then W is a called a *completely degenerate symmetric* U-statistic of order p. Note that the symmetric kernel ψ is then *canonical* in the sense that

$$\int_{E} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}, y) d\mu(y) = 0 \quad (x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) \in E^{p-1},$$

where μ denotes the distribution of X_1 .

From now on, we will assume that $W \in L^4(\mathbb{P})$ is a completely degenerate, not necessarily symmetric U-statistic of order $p \geq 1$ and with the Hoeffding decomposition (4), based on X_1, \ldots, X_n . Then, $\mathbb{E}[W] = 0$ and we will further assume that $\operatorname{Var}(W) = 1$. Moreover, we will write

$$\sigma_J^2 := \mathbb{E}[W_J^2] = \operatorname{Var}(W_J), \quad J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n), \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_n^2 := \max_{1 \le i \le n} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n): i \in J} \sigma_J^2.$$

Note that since, by orthogonality of the Hoeffding components,

$$1 = \operatorname{Var}(W) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n)} \sigma_J^2,$$

 ϱ_n^2 measures the maximal influence that an individual random variable X_i can possibly have on the total variance of W. We will refer to ϱ_n^2 as a Lindeberg-Feller type quantity.

The purpose of this note is to complement the bound (2) with the following bound on the Kolmogorov distance between the law of W and the standard normal distribution.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $W \in L^4(\mathbb{P})$ be a completely degenerate, not necessarily symmetric U-statistic of order p, based on the independent random variables X_1, \ldots, X_n , where $p \leq n$, and suppose that $Var(W) = \mathbb{E}[W^2] = 1$. Then, it holds that

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}(W \le t) - \Phi(t) \right| \le 11.9\sqrt{\left|\mathbb{E}[W^4] - 3\right|} + \left(3.5 + 10.8\sqrt{\kappa_p}\right)\varrho_n,$$

where $\kappa_p \in (0, \infty)$ is a combinatorial constant that only depends on p.

For a symmetric, completely degenerate U-statistic $W \in L^4(\mathbb{P})$, using that $\varrho_n^2 = p/n$ and that, as we have observed in the recent article [Döb23], the choice $\kappa_p = 2p$ is possible in this case, we directly infer the following result.

COROLLARY 2.2. Suppose that $W \in L^4(\mathbb{P})$ is a completely degenerate, symmetric U-statistic of order p, based on the independent random variables X_1, \ldots, X_n , where $p \leq n$, and suppose that $Var(W) = \mathbb{E}[W^2] = 1$. Then, one has the bound

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}(W \le t) - \Phi(t) \right| \le 12\sqrt{\left| \mathbb{E}[W^4] - 3 \right|} + 19\frac{p}{\sqrt{n}}$$

- REMARK 2.3. (a) The bound in Theorem 2.1 is of the same order as the Wasserstein bound (2). As can be seen from simple examples, like sums of independent symmetric Rademacher random variables, it is sharp, in general.
- (b) As has been shown in [DK19, Theorem 1.6] in the context of homogeneous sums based on independent symmetric Rademacher random variables, for $p \geq 2$ one cannot, in general, dispense with the Lindeberg-Feller type condition $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varrho_n^2 = 0$ to obtain a CLT, i.e. the fourth moment condition $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[W_n^4] = 3$ alone is not sufficient.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section we will prove Theorem 2.1 by employing a recent Berry-Esseen bound for exchangeable pairs taken from [SZ19]. We first recall the construction of the exchangeable pair (W, W') from [DP17]:

Let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ be an independent copy of $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ and suppose that α is a uniformly distributed random index with values in [n], which is also

independent of X and Y. Then, letting $X' := (X'_1, \ldots, X'_n)$ be given by $X'_i = X_i$ for $i \neq \alpha$ and $X'_i = Y_i$ for $i = \alpha$, we have that the pair (X, X') is exchangeable, i.e. has the same distribution as the pair (X', X). Recalling that $W = f(X) = f(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is a functional of X, we can thus let $W' := f(X') = f(X'_1, \ldots, X'_n)$ and obtain an exchangeable pair (W, W') of real random variables. In [DP17, Lemma 2.3] it was shown that the pair (W, W') satisfies *Stein's linear regression* property with $\lambda = p/n$, i.e.

(5)
$$\mathbb{E}[W' - W \mid W] = \mathbb{E}[W' - W \mid X] = -\frac{p}{n}W.$$

For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we will need the following further auxiliary results from [DP17].

LEMMA **3.1** (Lemma 2.11 of [DP17]). For the above constructed exchangeable pair we have

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{n}{2p}\mathbb{E}\left[(W'-W)^2 \mid X\right]\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[W^4\right] - 3 + \kappa_p \varrho_n^2,$$

where $\kappa_p \in (0, \infty)$ only depends on p.

LEMMA 3.2 (Lemma 2.12 of [DP17]). For the above constructed exchangeable pair we have the bound

$$\frac{n}{4p}\mathbb{E}\left[(W'-W)^4\right] \le 2\left(\mathbb{E}[W^4] - 3\right) + 3\kappa_p \varrho_n^2,$$

where κ_p is the same as in Lemma 3.1.

We will further make use of the next result, which we derive from [DP17, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.7].

LEMMA 3.3. For the above constructed exchangeable pair we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W^2 \frac{n}{2p} \mathbb{E}\left[(W' - W)^2 \mid W\right]\right] \le \mathbb{E}\left[W^4\right],$$
$$\frac{n}{4p} \mathbb{E}\left[(W' - W)^4\right] \le 2\mathbb{E}\left[W^4\right].$$

Proof. By [DP17, Lemma 2.7], letting

$$W^2 = \sum_{\substack{M \subseteq [n]:\\|M| \le 2p}} U_M$$

denote the Hoeffding decomposition of W^2 , one has that the Hoeffding decomposition of $\frac{n}{2p}\mathbb{E}\left[(W'-W)^2 \mid X\right]$ is given by

$$\frac{n}{2p}\mathbb{E}\big[(W'-W)^2 \,\big|\, X\big] = \sum_{\substack{M \subseteq [n]:\\|M| \le 2p-1}} \frac{2p - |M|}{2p} U_M.$$

6

Hence, using the orthogonality of Hoeffding components in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W^{2}\frac{n}{2p}\mathbb{E}\left[(W'-W)^{2} \mid W\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[W^{2}\frac{n}{2p}\mathbb{E}\left[(W'-W)^{2} \mid X\right]\right]$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{M \subseteq [n]:\\|M| \le 2p-1}} \frac{2p - |M|}{2p}\mathbb{E}[U_{M}^{2}] \le \mathbb{E}[W^{2}]^{2} + \frac{2p - 1}{2p}\sum_{\substack{M \subseteq [n]:\\1 \le |M| \le 2p-1}} \operatorname{Var}(U_{M})$$
$$\le \mathbb{E}[W^{2}]^{2} + \sum_{\substack{M \subseteq [n]:\\1 \le |M| \le 2p}} \operatorname{Var}(U_{M}) = \mathbb{E}[W^{2}]^{2} + \operatorname{Var}(W^{2}) = \mathbb{E}[W^{4}],$$

where we have used that $U_{\emptyset} = \mathbb{E}[W^2]$ in the first inequality. This proves the first claim. For the second claim, we just note that by [DP17, Lemma 2.2] we have that

$$\frac{n}{4p}\mathbb{E}\left[(W'-W)^4\right] \le 3\mathbb{E}\left[W^2\frac{n}{2p}\mathbb{E}\left[(W'-W)^2 \mid W\right]\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[W^4\right]$$

and apply the bound just proven.

We remark that, by homogeneity, Lemma 3.3 continues to hold when $Var(W) = \mathbb{E}[W^2] \neq 1$. We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In view of (5), by [SZ19, Theorem 2.1] we have the bound

(6)
$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}(W \le t) - \Phi(t) \right| \le \mathbb{E} \left| 1 - \frac{n}{2p} \mathbb{E} \left[(W' - W)^2 \mid W \right] \right| + \frac{n}{p} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[|W' - W| (W' - W) \mid W \right] \right|.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}[(W'-W)^2] = \frac{2p}{n},$$

for the first term on the right hand side of (6), from Lemma 3.1 we see that

(7)
$$\mathbb{E}\Big|1 - \frac{n}{2p} \mathbb{E}\Big[(W' - W)^2 \,|\, W\Big]\Big| \le \left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{n}{2p} \mathbb{E}\big[(W' - W)^2 \,|\, W\big]\right)\right)^{1/2} \\ \le \left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{n}{2p} \mathbb{E}\big[(W' - W)^2 \,|\, X\big]\right)\right)^{1/2} \le \sqrt{|\mathbb{E}[W^4] - 3|} + \sqrt{\kappa_p} \varrho_n,$$

where we have used the inequality $\operatorname{Var}(\mathbb{E}[T|\mathcal{G}]) \leq \operatorname{Var}(\mathbb{E}[T|\mathcal{A}])$ for sub- σ -fields $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ of \mathcal{F} and $T \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$.

To deal with the second term, we first introduce some useful notation. Let $\theta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by $\theta(x) := |x|x$. Moreover, for a random variable $T = t(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ and $i, j \in [n]$ with $i \neq j$ we let

$$T^{(i)} := t(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, Y_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)$$

and

$$T^{(i,j)} := (T^{(i)})^{(j)} := (T^{(j)})^{(i)} := t(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, Y_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_{j-1}, Y_j, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n),$$

that is, we replace the respective components of the vector X with those from Y in the argument of the function t. Furthermore, using this notation, we let

$$D_i := W^{(i)} - W = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n)} \left(W_J^{(i)} - W_J \right) = \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n):\\i \in J}} \left(W_J^{(i)} - W_J \right), \quad i \in [n].$$

With this notation at hand, using independence of α , X and Y, we have

$$n\mathbb{E}\big[|W'-W|(W'-W) \mid X\big] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\big[|D_i|D_i \mid X\big] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\big[\theta(D_i) \mid X\big]$$

and since each $\theta(D_i)$ has a symmetric distribution and, hence, $\mathbb{E}[\theta(D_i)] = 0$, it follows that

$$n\mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}\big[|W' - W|(W' - W) \mid W\big]\Big| \le n\mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}\big[|W' - W|(W' - W) \mid X\big]\Big|$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\big[\theta(D_i) \mid X\big]\Big| \le \left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\big[\theta(D_i) \mid X\big]\right)\right)^{1/2}$$
$$(8) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbb{E}\big[\theta(D_i) \mid X\big]\right) + \sum_{i \ne j} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbb{E}\big[\theta(D_i) \mid X\big], \mathbb{E}\big[\theta(D_j) \mid X\big]\right)\right)^{1/2}.$$

For the sum of variances, using Lemma 3.2 as well as $\mathbb{E}[\theta(D_i)] = 0$ and the conditional Jensen inequality we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_{i}) \mid X\right]\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_{i}) \mid X\right]\right)^{2}\right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\theta(D_{i})\right)^{2}\right]$$

(9)
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[D_{i}^{4}\right] = n\mathbb{E}\left[(W' - W)^{4}\right] \leq 8p\left(\mathbb{E}[W^{4}] - 3\right) + 12p\kappa_{p}\varrho_{n}^{2}.$$

In order to deal with the sum of covariances, first note that, by the total covariance law, we have for $i \neq j$ that

$$Cov(\theta(D_i), \theta(D_j))$$

= $Cov(\mathbb{E}[\theta(D_i) | X], \mathbb{E}[\theta(D_j) | X]) + \mathbb{E}[Cov(\theta(D_i), \theta(D_j) | X)]$
= $Cov(\mathbb{E}[\theta(D_i) | X], \mathbb{E}[\theta(D_j) | X]),$

since, given X, $\theta(D_i)$ is a (measurable) function of Y_i , whereas $\theta(D_j)$ is a function of Y_j and, hence, the two are conditionally independent given X. In particular,

$$\operatorname{Cov}(\theta(D_i), \theta(D_j) \mid X) = 0$$
 P-a.s.

Hence, using again that $\mathbb{E}[\theta(D_i)] = 0$, we have

(10)
$$\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_i) \mid X\right], \mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_j) \mid X\right]\right) = \operatorname{Cov}\left(\theta(D_i), \theta(D_j)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_i)\theta(D_j)\right]$$

and we further make the fundamental claim that for the latter term the identity

(11)
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_i)\theta(D_j)\right] = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\theta(D_i^{(j)}) - \theta(D_i)\right) \cdot \left(\theta(D_j^{(i)}) - \theta(D_j)\right)\right]$$

holds true. This identity is one of the main observations for our proof to succeed. In order to prove it, we make sure that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\theta(D_i^{(j)})\theta(D_j)\Big] = -\mathbb{E}\Big[\theta(D_i)\theta(D_j)\Big] = -\mathbb{E}\Big[\theta(D_i^{(j)})\theta(D_j^{(i)})\Big].$$

These identities follow from independence and (anti-)symmetry by interchanging, respectively, the identically distributed variables Y_j and X_j in the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\theta(D_i^{(j)})\theta(D_j)\Big] = \mathbb{E}\Big[\big(W^{(i,j)} - W^{(j)}\big)\big|W^{(i,j)} - W^{(j)}\big|\big(W^{(j)} - W\big)\big|W^{(j)} - W\big|\Big]$$

= $\mathbb{E}\Big[\big(W^{(i)} - W\big)\big|W^{(i)} - W\big|\big(W - W^{(j)}\big)\big|W^{(j)} - W\big|\Big]$
= $-\mathbb{E}\big[\theta(D_i)\theta(D_j)\big]$

and the identically distributed pairs (Y_i, Y_j) and (X_i, X_j) in the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_{i}^{(j)})\theta(D_{j}^{(i)})\right]$$

= $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(W^{(i,j)} - W^{(j)}\right) |W^{(i,j)} - W^{(j)}| \left(W^{(j,i)} - W^{(i)}\right) |W^{(j,i)} - W^{(i)}|\right]$
= $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(W - W^{(i)}\right) |W - W^{(i)}| \left(W - W^{(j)}\right) |W^{(j)} - W|\right]$
= $\mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_{i})\theta(D_{j})\right].$

Now, as observed in display (4.15) in [PT13] for instance, by using a Taylor argument, one has

$$(\theta(y) - \theta(x))^2 \le 8x^2(y-x)^2 + 2(y-x)^4, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that (10), (11) and the inequality $|ab| \leq a^2/2 + b^2/2$ imply that

(12)

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_{i}) \mid X\right], \mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_{j}) \mid X\right]\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\theta(D_{i}^{(j)}) - \theta(D_{i})\right)^{2}\right] + \frac{1}{8}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\theta(D_{j}^{(i)}) - \theta(D_{j})\right)^{2}\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[D_{i}^{2}\left(D_{i}^{(j)} - D_{i}\right)^{2}\right] + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(D_{i}^{(j)} - D_{i}\right)^{4}\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[D_{j}^{2}\left(D_{j}^{(i)} - D_{j}\right)^{2}\right] + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(D_{j}^{(i)} - D_{j}\right)^{4}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

To proceed from here, we make the next important observation that, for fixed $i \in [n]$, the random variable D_i is again a completely degenerate U-statistic of order p, this time based on the n+1 independent random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n, X_{n+1} := Y_i$. Indeed, using degeneracy, we see that

$$D_i = \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{D}_p(n):\\i \in J}} \left(W_J^{(i)} - W_J \right)$$

is the Hoeffding decomposition of D_i , from which we read off that the Hoeffding component of D_i belonging to a *p*-subset *J* of [n] is given by $-W_J$, whereas the Hoeffding component belonging to a *p*-subset *K* of [n+1] with $n+1 \in K$ is given by $W_{(K \setminus \{n+1\}) \cup \{i\}}^{(i)}$, if $i \notin K$ and equals 0, if $i \in K$.

Hence, by considering an independent copy $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n, Z_{n+1})$ of $(X_1, \ldots, X_n, X_{n+1})$ (for which only another copy Z_{n+1} of $X_{n+1} = Y_i$ must be additionally chosen) and an independent, uniformly distributed index β with values in [n + 1], in the same way as for W itself, we construct an exchangeable pair (D_i, D'_i) which, by (5), satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\big[D'_i - D_i\big|D_i\big] = -\frac{p}{n+1}D_i.$$

Thus, applying first the second bound in Lemma 3.3 to the pairs (D_i, D'_i) , then the definition of D_i and finally Lemma 3.2, we obtain that

(13)

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le i, j \le n: \\ i \ne j}} \mathbb{E}\Big[\left(D_i^{(j)} - D_i \right)^4 \Big] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le n: \\ j \ne i}} \mathbb{E}\Big[\left(D_i^{(j)} - D_i \right)^4 \Big] \right) \\
\leq (n+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\Big[\left(D_i' - D_i \right)^4 \Big] \le 8p \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\Big[D_i^4 \Big] = 8pn \mathbb{E}\Big[\left(W' - W \right)^4 \Big] \\
\leq 64p^2 \big(\mathbb{E}[W^4] - 3 \big) + 96p^2 \kappa_p \varrho_n^2.$$

Similarly, using the first bound from Lemma 3.3 to the pairs (D_i, D'_i) this time instead, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le i,j \le n: \\ i \ne j}} \mathbb{E} \left[D_i^2 \left(D_i^{(j)} - D_i \right)^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le n: \\ j \ne i}} \mathbb{E} \left[D_i^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(D_i^{(j)} - D_i \right)^2 | D_i \right] \right] \right)$$
$$\leq (n+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[D_i^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(D_i' - D_i \right)^2 | D_i \right] \right] = 2p \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[D_i^2 \frac{n+1}{2p} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(D_i' - D_i \right)^2 | D_i \right] \right]$$
$$(14)$$

$$\leq 2p \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[D_i^4] = 2pn \mathbb{E}\Big[(W' - W)^4 \Big] \leq 16p^2 (\mathbb{E}[W^4] - 3) + 24p^2 \kappa_p \varrho_n^2.$$

Thus, (12)-(14) together imply that

(15)
$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le i,j \le n:\\i \ne j}} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_i) \mid X\right], \mathbb{E}\left[\theta(D_j) \mid X\right]\right) \le 64p^2 \left(\mathbb{E}[W^4] - 3\right) + 96p^2 \kappa_p \varrho_n^2$$

so that (8), (9) and (15) yield that

$$\frac{n}{p} \mathbb{E} \Big| \mathbb{E} \Big[|W' - W| (W' - W) | W \Big] \Big|
\leq \frac{1}{p} \Big((8p + 64p^2) \big(\mathbb{E} [W^4] - 3 \big) + (12p + 96p^2) \kappa_p \varrho_n^2 \Big)^{1/2}
\leq (2\sqrt{2}p^{-1/2} + 8) \sqrt{|\mathbb{E} [W^4] - 3|} + (2\sqrt{3}p^{-1/2} + 4\sqrt{6}\sqrt{\kappa_p}) \varrho_n
\leq (2\sqrt{2} + 8) \sqrt{|\mathbb{E} [W^4] - 3|} + (2\sqrt{3} + 4\sqrt{6}\sqrt{\kappa_p}) \varrho_n.$$
16)

Theorem 2.1 now follows from (6), (7) and (16) by minor simplifications. \Box

References

- [ACP14] E. Azmoodeh, S. Campese, and G. Poly. Fourth Moment Theorems for Markov diffusion generators. J. Funct. Anal., 266(4):2341–2359, 2014.
- [CNPP16] S. Campese, I. Nourdin, G. Peccati, and G. Poly. Multivariate Gaussian approximations on Markov chaoses. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 21:Paper No. 48, 9, 2016.
- [dJ87] P. de Jong. A central limit theorem for generalized quadratic forms. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 75(2):261–277, 1987.
- [dJ89] P. de Jong. Central limit theorems for generalized multilinear forms, volume 61 of CWI Tract. Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [dJ90] P. de Jong. A central limit theorem for generalized multilinear forms. J. Multivariate Anal., 34(2):275–289, 1990.

(

- [DK19] C. Döbler and K. Krokowski. On the fourth moment condition for Rademacher chaos. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 55(1):61–97, 2019.
- [DKP22a] C. Döbler, M. J. Kasprzak, and G. Peccati. Functional convergence of sequential *U*-processes with size-dependent kernels. Ann. Appl. Probab., 32(1):551–601, 2022.
- [DKP22b] C. Döbler, M. J. Kasprzak, and G. Peccati. The multivariate functional de Jong CLT. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 184(1-2):367–399, 2022.
- [DM83] E. B. Dynkin and A. Mandelbaum. Symmetric statistics, Poisson point processes, and multiple Wiener integrals. Ann. Statist., 11(3):739–745, 1983.
- [Döb23] C. Döbler. Normal approximation via non-linear exchangeable pairs. ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat., 20(1):167–224, 2023.
- [DP17] C. Döbler and G. Peccati. Quantitative de Jong theorems in any dimension. Electron. J. Probab., 22:Paper No. 2, 35 pages, 2017.
- [DP18a] C. Döbler and G. Peccati. The fourth moment theorem on the Poisson space. Ann. Probab., 46(4):1878–1916, 2018.
- [DP18b] C. Döbler and G. Peccati. The gamma Stein equation and noncentral de Jong theorems. Bernoulli, 24(4B):3384–3421, 2018.
- [DP19] C. Döbler and G. Peccati. Quantitative CLTs for symmetric U-statistics using contractions. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 24:Paper No. 5, 43 pages, 2019.
- [DVZ18] C. Döbler, A. Vidotto, and G. Zheng. Fourth moment theorems on the Poisson space in any dimension. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 23:Paper No. 36, 27 pages, 2018.
- [Gre77] G. G. Gregory. Large sample theory for U-statistics and tests of fit. Ann. Statist., 5(1):110–123, 1977.
- [Hoe48] W. Hoeffding. A class of statistics with asymptotically normal distribution. Ann. Math. Statistics, 19:293–325, 1948.
- [Led12] M. Ledoux. Chaos of a Markov operator and the fourth moment condition. Ann. Probab., 40(6):2439–2459, 2012.
- [Maj13] P. Major. On the estimation of multiple random integrals and U-statistics, volume 2079 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [NP05] D. Nualart and G. Peccati. Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals. Ann. Probab., 33(1):177–193, 2005.
- [NP09] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati. Stein's method on Wiener chaos. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 145(1-2):75–118, 2009.
- [PT05] G. Peccati and C. A. Tudor. Gaussian limits for vector-valued multiple stochastic integrals. In Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII, volume 1857 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 247–262. Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [PT13] G. Peccati and C. Thäle. Gamma limits and U-statistics on the Poisson space. ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat., 10(1):525–560, 2013.
- [RV80] H. Rubin and R. A. Vitale. Asymptotic distribution of symmetric statistics. Ann. Statist., 8(1):165–170, 1980.
- [Ser80] R. J. Serfling. Approximation theorems of mathematical statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1980. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics.
- [Ste72] C. Stein. A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. In Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971), Vol. II: Probability theory, pages 583–602, Berkeley, Calif., 1972. Univ. California Press.
- [Ste86] C. Stein. Approximate computation of expectations. Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes—Monograph Series, 7. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA, 1986.
- [SZ19] Q.-M. Shao and Z.-S. Zhang. Berry-Esseen bounds of normal and nonnormal approximation for unbounded exchangeable pairs. *Ann. Probab.*, 47(1):61–108, 2019.
- [Vit92] R. A. Vitale. Covariances of symmetric statistics. J. Multivariate Anal., 41(1):14–26, 1992.
- [Zhe19] G. Zheng. A Peccati-Tudor type theorem for Rademacher chaoses. ESAIM Probab. Stat., 23:874–892, 2019.