

# DIXON'S ASYMPTOTIC WITHOUT CFSG

SEAN EBERHARD

ABSTRACT. Without using the classification of finite simple groups, we show that the probability that two random elements of  $S_n$  generate a primitive group smaller than  $A_n$  is at most  $\exp(-c(n \log n)^{1/2})$ . As a corollary we get Dixon's asymptotic expansion

$$1 - 1/n - 1/n^2 - 4/n^3 - 23/n^4 - \dots$$

for the probability that two random elements of  $S_n$  (or  $A_n$ ) generate a subgroup containing  $A_n$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We give a CFSG-free proof of the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $S_n$  generated by two random elements. The probability that  $G$  is contained in a primitive subgroup of  $S_n$  smaller than  $A_n$  is bounded by  $\exp(-c(n \log n)^{1/2})$  for some  $c > 0$ .*

This improves [EV, Theorems 1.3 and 1.6]. By combining with the results of [D] we have the following corollary. (See also [O, A113869].)

**Corollary 2.** *The probability that two random elements of  $A_n$  generate the group is*

$$1 - 1/n - 1/n^2 - 4/n^3 - 23/n^4 - 171/n^5 - \dots$$

*The same asymptotic expansion is valid for the probability that two random elements of  $S_n$  generate at least  $A_n$ .*

## 2. SATISFACTION PROBABILITY FOR UNIMODAL WORDS

Let  $F_2 = F\{x, y\}$  be the free group on two letters  $x, y$ . We write  $\{x, y\}^*$  for the set of positive words, i.e., the submonoid generated by  $\{x, y\}$ . Let  $G = S_n = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  for  $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $u, v \in \{x, y\}^*$  be distinct and let  $w = uv^{-1} \in F_2$ . Let  $\ell = \ell(w) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$  be the length of  $w$ . For a random evaluation  $\bar{w} = w(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  with  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in S_n$  uniformly random and independent, we have*

$$\text{Prob}(\bar{w} = 1) \leq (2\ell/n)^{\lfloor n/2\ell \rfloor}.$$

---

SE is supported by the Royal Society.

*Proof.* Write  $w = w_1 \cdots w_\ell$  with  $\ell > 0$  and  $w_i \in \{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$  for each  $i$ . We may assume this expression is cyclically reduced.

We use the query model for random permutations (see [BS] or [EJ, Section A.1]). We gradually expose a random permutation  $\pi \in \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  by querying values of our choice. At every stage  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  are partially defined permutations. We may query the value of any  $\pi \in \{\bar{x}^{\pm 1}, \bar{y}^{\pm 1}\}$  at any point  $\omega \in \Omega$ . If  $\omega$  is already in the known domain of  $\pi$ , the known value is returned; this is a *forced choice*. Otherwise, a random value is chosen uniformly from the remaining possibilities (the complement of the known domain of  $\pi^{-1}$ ); this is a *free choice*. If the result of a free choice is a point in the known domain of any of  $\bar{x}^{\pm 1}, \bar{y}^{\pm 1}$  we say there was a *coincidence*. It is standard and easy to see that this process results in uniformly random permutations  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  once all values are revealed.

Begin by choosing any  $\omega_1 \in \Omega$  and exposing the trajectory

$$\omega_1^{\bar{w}_1}, \omega_1^{\bar{w}_1 \bar{w}_2}, \dots, \omega_1^{\bar{w}_1 \cdots \bar{w}_\ell}.$$

Let  $E_1$  be the event that  $\omega_1^{\bar{w}_1 \cdots \bar{w}_\ell} = \omega_1$ . For this event to occur we claim it is necessary there was some coincidence among our queries of the form  $\omega^{\bar{w}_i} = \omega_1$  (this is the crucial part of the argument). If  $\ell(u) = 0$  or  $\ell(v) = 0$  the argument is easy, so assume  $u$  and  $v$  have positive length. We may assume  $w_1 = x$  and  $w_\ell = y^{-1}$  since  $w$  is cyclically reduced. If there is no coincidence of the given form, the trajectory of  $\omega_1$  under  $\bar{u}$  does not return to  $\omega_1$ , so  $\omega_1$  cannot be added to the known domain of  $\bar{y}$ . Subsequently, during the negative part of the trajectory, unless there is a coincidence of the given form,  $\omega_1$  can be added to the known domains of  $\bar{x}^{-1}$  and  $\bar{y}^{-1}$  only. Therefore at the final step  $\omega_1$  is not in the known domain of  $\bar{y}$ , so if the final step is forced then the result is not  $\omega_1$ , and if the final step is free then the result is not  $\omega_1$  by hypothesis. This proves the claim.

Since the probability that any given free choice results in  $\omega_1$  is at most  $1/(n - \ell)$ , it follows by a union bound that

$$\text{Prob}(\omega_1^{\bar{w}} = \omega_1) \leq \ell/(n - \ell).$$

Conditional on the event  $E_1$  choose a new point  $\omega_2$  outside the trajectory of  $\omega_1$ , examine the trajectory of  $\omega_2$ , and so on. In general, at iteration  $i$ , conditional on the event  $\bigcap_{j < i} E_j$  where  $E_j = \{\omega_j^{\bar{w}_j} = \omega_j\}$ , choose a point  $\omega_i \in \Omega$  outside the union of the trajectories of  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{i-1}$  and query the trajectory of  $\omega_i$ . In order for the event  $E_i = \{\omega_i^{\bar{w}_i} = \omega_i\}$  to occur it is necessary that there be a coincidence of the form  $\omega^{\bar{w}_i} = \omega_i$ . Therefore

$$\text{Prob}(\omega_i^w = \omega_i \mid E_1, \dots, E_{i-1}) \leq \ell/(n - i\ell).$$

Let  $k = \lfloor n/2\ell \rfloor$ . Since the event  $\{\bar{w} = 1\}$  is contained in  $E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_k$ , it follows that

$$\text{Prob}(\bar{w} = 1) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\ell}{n - i\ell} \leq \left(\frac{2\ell}{n}\right)^{\lfloor n/2\ell \rfloor}. \quad \square$$

**Remark 4.** The proof above is essentially that of [GHS<sup>+</sup>, Section 3]. An error in that argument was identified in [E], but the problem does not arise for words of the special form  $w = uv^{-1}$ , as explained in the third paragraph of the proof.

### 3. THE ORDER OF THE GROUP

Now let  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in S_n$  be uniformly random and let  $G = \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle$ .

**Proposition 5.** *There is a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\text{Prob}(|G| < \exp(c(n \log n)^{1/2})) \leq \exp(-c(n \log n)^{1/2}).$$

*Proof.* Consider the elements of  $G$  of the form  $\bar{u}$  with  $u \in \{x, y\}^*$  and  $\ell(u) < r$  (for some  $r$ ). The number of such  $u$  is  $1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{r-1} = 2^r - 1$ . Applying the previous proposition, the probability that any two such  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{u}'$  are equal is bounded by

$$4^r (4r/n)^{\lfloor n/4r \rfloor} \leq \exp(c_1 r - c_2 (n/r) \log(n/r))$$

for some constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ . Choosing  $r = c_3 (n \log n)^{1/2}$  for a small enough constant  $c_3 > 0$ , we obtain a bound of the required form. Failing this event,  $|G| \geq 2^r - 1$ , so the result is proved.  $\square$

A beautiful recent result of Sun and Wilmes [SW, SW2] (building on seminal work of Babai [B]) classifies primitive coherent configurations with more than  $\exp(Cn^{1/3}(\log n)^{7/3})$  automorphisms. A corollary is a CFSG-free determination of the unprimitive subgroups of  $S_n$  of order greater than the same bound. Much stronger bounds for the order of 2-transitive groups have been known for a long time [B2, P]. Thus we know there are at most two conjugacy classes of primitive maximal subgroups  $M < S_n$  apart from  $A_n$  such that  $|M| > \exp(Cn^{1/3}(\log n)^{7/3})$ , and each satisfies  $|M| = \exp(O(n^{1/2} \log n))$ . Since the number of pairs of permutations lying in a common conjugate of a maximal subgroup  $M$  is at most  $1/[S_n : M]$ , Theorem 1 follows.

**Remark 6.** This proof was essentially anticipated in [B3, Remark 1].

## REFERENCES

- [B1] L. Babai, *On the order of uniprimitive permutation groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **113** (1981), no. 3, 553–568. MR621016 ↑3
- [B2] ———, *On the order of doubly transitive permutation groups*, Invent. Math. **65** (1981/82), no. 3, 473–484. MR643565 ↑3
- [B3] ———, *The probability of generating the symmetric group*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **52** (1989), no. 1, 148–153. MR1008166 ↑6
- [BS] A. Broder and E. Shamir, *On the second eigenvalue of random regular graphs*, 28th annual symposium on foundations of computer science (sfcs 1987), 1987Oct, pp. 286–294. ↑2
- [D] J. D. Dixon, *Asymptotics of generating the symmetric and alternating groups*, Electron. J. Combin. **12** (2005), Research Paper 56, 5. MR2180793 ↑1
- [E] S. Eberhard, *The trivial lower bound for the girth of  $S_n$* , arXiv e-prints (June 2017), arXiv:1706.09972, available at [1706.09972](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.09972). ↑4
- [EJ] S. Eberhard and U. Jezernik, *Babai’s conjecture for high-rank classical groups with random generators*, Invent. Math. **227** (2022), no. 1, 149–210. MR4359476 ↑2
- [EV] S. Eberhard and S.-C. Virchow, *The probability of generating the symmetric group*, Combinatorica **39** (2019), no. 2, 273–288. MR3962902 ↑1
- [GHS<sup>+</sup>] A. Gamburd, S. Hoory, M. Shahshahani, A. Shalev, and B. Virág, *On the girth of random Cayley graphs*, Random Structures Algorithms **35** (2009), no. 1, 100–117. MR2532876 ↑4
- [O] OEIS Foundation Inc., *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, 2023. Published electronically at <http://oeis.org>. ↑1
- [P] L. Pyber, *On the orders of doubly transitive permutation groups, elementary estimates*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **62** (1993), no. 2, 361–366. MR1207742 ↑3
- [SW1] X. Sun and J. Wilmes, *Faster canonical forms for primitive coherent configurations: Extended abstract*, ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC), 2015, pp. 693–702. ↑3
- [SW2] X. Sun and J. Wilmes, *Structure and automorphisms of primitive coherent configurations*, arXiv e-prints (Oct. 2015), arXiv:1510.02195, available at [1510.02195](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.02195). ↑3

SEAN EBERHARD, MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES RESEARCH CENTRE, QUEEN’S UNIVERSITY BELFAST, BELFAST BT7 1NN, UK

*Email address:* [s.eberhard@qub.ac.uk](mailto:s.eberhard@qub.ac.uk)