

SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTION FOR FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN IN CONES

KRZYSZTOF BOGDAN^{1,*}, PIOTR KNOSALLA², ŁUKASZ LEŻAJ^{1,3,†} AND DOMINIKA PILARCZYK^{1,‡}

¹*Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Pure and Applied Mathematics, wyb. Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland*

*krzysztof.bogdan@pwr.edu.pl, †lukasz.lezaj@pwr.edu.pl, ‡dominika.pilarczyk@pwr.edu.pl

²*University of Opole, Institute of Physics, ul. Oleska 48, 45-052 Opole, Poland*
piotr.knosalla@uni.opole.pl

³*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, 40014 Jyväskylä, Finland*

ABSTRACT. We construct a self-similar solution of the heat equation for the fractional Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions in every fat cone. Furthermore, we give the entrance law from the vertex and the Yaglom limit for the corresponding killed isotropic stable Lévy process and precise large-time asymptotics for solutions of the Cauchy problem in the cone.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $d \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Consider an arbitrary non-empty open cone $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus, $ry \in \Gamma$ whenever $y \in \Gamma$ and $r > 0$. Let $p_t^\Gamma(x, y)$, $t > 0$, $x, y \in \Gamma$, be the Dirichlet heat kernel of the cone for the fractional Laplacian. More specifically, for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, p_t^Γ is the transition density of the isotropic α -stable Lévy process in \mathbb{R}^d killed upon leaving Γ ; see, e.g., Bogdan and Grzywny [9]. Let $M_\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the Martin kernel of Γ with the pole at infinity (for definitions, see Section 2). The function is homogeneous (or self-similar) of some degree $\beta \in [0, \alpha)$. Our first result captures the asymptotics of p_t^Γ at the vertex 0 of Γ , as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *If the cone Γ is fat, then for $s, t > 0$, $x \in \Gamma$, we have*

$$\Psi_t(x) := \lim_{\Gamma \ni y \rightarrow 0} p_t^\Gamma(x, y)/M_\Gamma(y) \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(1.1) \quad \Psi_t(x) = t^{-(d+\beta)/\alpha} \Psi_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x),$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \int_\Gamma \Psi_s(y) p_t^\Gamma(x, y) dy = \Psi_{s+t}(x).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. In view of (1.1) and (1.2), $\Psi_t(x)$ is a self-similar semigroup solution of the heat equation for the fractional Laplacian with Dirichlet conditions. By (1.2), Ψ_t is also an entrance law for p_t^Γ at the origin, see, e.g., Blumenthal [6, p. 104], Haas and Rivero [23] or Bañuelos et al. [2].

The result is the next step in the program for the boundary potential theory sketched in the Introduction of Bogdan et al. [10], building on the boundary Harnack principle, Green

Date: November 9, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 60G18, 60J35; secondary: 60G51, 60J50.

Key words and phrases. Dirichlet heat kernel; Martin kernel; entrance law; Yaglom limit; stable process; cone.

Krzysztof Bogdan was partially supported by the National Science Centre (Poland): grant 2017/27/B/ST1/01339. Łukasz Leżaj was partially supported by the National Science Centre (Poland): grant 2021/41/N/ST1/04139.

function, and Dirichlet heat kernel estimates. In Theorems 3.11 and 3.12 below, we make further applications to Probability by obtaining the so-called Yaglom limit for Γ . We also give applications to Functional Analysis and Partial Differential Equations, as follows.

Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $L^q(\Gamma) := L^q(\Gamma, dx)$. For a weight function $w > 0$, we denote $L^q(w) := L^q(\Gamma, w(x) dx)$. For instance, $L^1(M_\Gamma) = \{f/M_\Gamma : f \in L^1\}$. Then, for $1 \leq q < \infty$, we define

$$\|f\|_{q, M_\Gamma} := \|f/M_\Gamma\|_{L^q(M_\Gamma^2)} = \left(\int_\Gamma |f(x)|^q M_\Gamma^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|f\|_{L^q(M_\Gamma^{2-q})},$$

and, for $q = \infty$, we let

$$\|f\|_{\infty, M_\Gamma} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Gamma} |f(x)|/M_\Gamma(x).$$

Of course, $\|f\|_{1, M_\Gamma} = \|f\|_{L^1(M_\Gamma)}$. For a non-negative or integrable function f we let

$$P_t^\Gamma f(x) := \int_\Gamma p_t^\Gamma(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad t > 0, x \in \Gamma.$$

We say that the cone Γ is *smooth* if its boundary is $C^{1,1}$ outside of origin, to wit, there is $r > 0$ such that at every boundary point of Γ on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , there exist inner and outer tangent balls for Γ , with radii r . Put differently, for $d \geq 2$, the *spherical cap*, $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, is a $C^{1,1}$ subset of \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . For instance, the right-circular cones (see Section 2) are smooth.

The second result describes the large-time asymptotic behavior of the semigroup P_t^Γ .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $q \in [1, \infty)$. Assume that the cone Γ is smooth with $\beta \geq \alpha/2$. Then for every $f \in L^1(M_\Gamma)$ and $A = \int_\Gamma f(x) M_\Gamma(x) dx$ we have*

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} - \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^\Gamma f - A\Psi_t\|_{q, M_\Gamma} = 0.$$

As stated in Lemma 4.9, the condition $\beta \geq \alpha/2$ is sharp for smooth cones and $d \geq 2$; see also Example 4.12. Theorem 1.2 follows from the more general Theorem 4.6, by means of Corollary 4.10.

Let us comment on previous developments in the literature and our methods. If $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^d$, then $\beta = 0$, $M_\Gamma = 1$ and $p_t^\Gamma(x, y) = p_t(x, y)$ is the transition density of the fractional Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^d (see below). In this case, $\Psi_t(x) = p_t(0, y)$ and Theorem 1.2 was resolved by Vázquez [36], see also Bogdan et al. [13] with $\kappa = 0$ in [13, Eq. (1.1)]; see Example 4.4 below, too.

For general cones Γ , the behavior of p_t^Γ is intrinsically connected to properties of M_Γ , see, e.g., [9], [15], or Kyprianou et al. [28]. The identification of the Martin kernel M_Γ was accomplished by Bañuelos and Bogdan [3]. Its crucial property is the homogeneity of order $\beta \in [0, \alpha)$, which is also reflected in the behavior of the Green function studied by Kulczycki [25] and Michalik [29, Lemma 3.3], at least when Γ is a right-circular cone. As we see in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, the exponent β determines the self-similarity of the semigroup solution and the asymptotic behavior of the semigroup P_t^Γ , too. For more information on β we refer to [3] and Bogdan et al. [17].

If Γ is a Lipschitz cone, then Theorem 1.1 follows from [15, Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.3]. However, the method presented in [15] does not apply to general fat cones, in particular, to $\Gamma = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ or $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus ([0, \infty) \times \{0\})$, which are of interest for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

Instead, in this work we develop an approach suggested by [13], where the authors employ a stationary density of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type semigroup corresponding to a homogeneous (self-similar) heat kernel in a different setting (on the whole of \mathbb{R}^d). Another key tool in their analysis is the so-called Doob conditioning using an invariant function or the heat kernel. Due to Theorem 3.1 below, the Martin kernel M_Γ is invariant with respect to P_t^Γ , which indeed allows for Doob conditioning. Then we form the corresponding Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup and prove existence of a stationary density φ in Theorem 3.4 by using the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed-point theorem. As we shall see in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the self-similar semigroup solution Ψ_t is directly expressed by φ and M_Γ .

The remaining developments in our paper are as follows. In Subsection 3.3 we obtain an asymptotic relation between the Martin kernel and the survival probability near the vertex of the cone (see Corollary 3.10). We also obtain a Yaglom limit (quasi-stationary distribution) in

Theorem 3.11, which describes the behavior of the stable process starting from a fixed point $x \in \Gamma$ and conditioned to stay in a cone, generalizing Theorem 1.1 of [15]. In Theorem 3.12 we considerably extend both results by allowing arbitrary initial distributions with finite moment of order α .

We note that the Yaglom limit for random walks in cones is discussed by Denisov and Wachtel [27], but generally there are very few results in literature on unbounded sets. For a broad survey on quasi-stationary distributions, we refer to van Doorn and Pollet [35]; see also Champagnat and Villemonais [19]. Self-similar solutions for general homogeneous semigroups are discussed in Cholewa and Rodriguez-Bernal [21]. Patie and Savov [30] discuss generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups, which they call generalized Laguerre semigroups. Results related to Theorem 1.2, but for fractal Burgers equation and fractional p -Laplacian can be found in Biler et al. [5, Theorem 2.2] and Vázquez [37, Theorem 1.2], respectively. For an approach to entrance laws based on fluctuation theory of Markov additive processes, we refer to [27], see also Chaumont et al. [20].

This brief review shows that the asymptotics of the heat kernel is in a busy intersection between Probability, Partial Differential Equations and Functional Analysis even though the fields do not always communicate. Our approach should apply to rather general self-similar operator semigroup kernels, at least when they enjoy an invariant function and suitable upper and lower bounds.

Here is a simple example to illustrate our findings.

Example 1.3. If $d = 1$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, and $\Gamma = (0, \infty)$, then $\beta = \alpha/2$, $M_\Gamma(x) = (0 \vee x)^{\alpha/2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so, by Theorem 4.6, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{(1+\alpha)/(2\alpha)} \|P_t^\Gamma f\|_2 = 0$ if $\int_0^\infty f(x)x^{\alpha/2} dx = 0$; see Example 4.11. Furthermore, by Remark 3.10 and (4.10), the survival probability is $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t) \approx (1 \wedge t^{-1/\alpha}x)^{\alpha/2}$ and the heat kernel satisfies $p_1^\Gamma(x, y) \approx (1 + |x - y|)^{-1-\alpha}(1 \wedge x)^{\alpha/2}(1 \wedge y)^{\alpha/2}$, so $\Psi_t(x) \approx (t^{1/\alpha} \vee x)^{-1-\alpha}(t^{1/\alpha} \wedge x)^{\alpha/2}$. Here and below $x, y, t > 0$. By Lemma 3.6, the stationary density of the corresponding Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup is $\varphi(x) \approx (1+x)^{-1-3\alpha/2}$ and the Yaglom limit has density $\varphi(x)x^{\alpha/2} / \int_0^\infty \varphi(y)y^{\alpha/2} dy \approx x^{\alpha/2}(1+x)^{-1-3\alpha/2} \approx \Psi_1(x)$; see Theorem 3.11. We refer to [23, Example 5] for an exact but less explicit expression for the Yaglom limit by means of exponential functionals. See also Example 4.11 for the case of $\Gamma = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and Example 4.12 for d -dimensional extensions.

Acknowledgments. Part of the research for this work was conducted during Łukasz Leżaj's post-doctoral stay at the University of Jyväskylä from January to June 2022. He expresses his gratitude to the University for their hospitality and to Professor Stefan Geiss for his warm welcome, guidance, and support during the stay.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the standard scalar product of is denoted by $x \cdot z$ and $|z|$ is the Euclidean norm. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, we let $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - y| < r\}$, the ball centered at x with radius r , and write $B_r := B(0, r)$. All the considered sets, functions and measures are Borel. For non-negative functions f, g , we write $f \approx g$ if there is a number $c \in (0, \infty)$, i.e., a *constant*, such that $c^{-1}f \leq g \leq cf$, and write $f \lesssim g$ if there is a constant c such that $f \leq cg$. As usual, for two real numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $a \wedge b := \min\{a, b\}$ and $a \vee b := \max\{a, b\}$.

Recall that $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and let

$$\nu(z) = c_{d,\alpha}|z|^{-d-\alpha}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where the constant $c_{d,\alpha}$ is such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(\xi \cdot z))\nu(z) dz = |\xi|^\alpha, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For $t > 0$ we let

$$(2.1) \quad p_t(x) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By the Lévy-Khintchine formula, p_t is a probability density function and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} p_t(x) dx = e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0.$$

We consider the isotropic α -stable Lévy process $\mathbf{X} = (X_t, t \geq 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^d , with

$$p_t(x, y) := p_t(y - x), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0,$$

as transition density. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}_x e^{i\xi \cdot X_t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot y} p_t(x, y) dy = e^{i\xi \cdot x - t|\xi|^\alpha}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0.$$

The Lévy-Khintchine exponent of \mathbf{X} is, of course, $|\xi|^\alpha$ and ν is the intensity of jumps. By (2.1),

$$(2.2) \quad p_t(x, y) = t^{-d/\alpha} p_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x, t^{-1/\alpha}y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad p_t(Tx, Ty) = p_t(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0,$$

for every isometry T on \mathbb{R}^d . It is well known that

$$(2.4) \quad p_t(x, y) \approx t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge t|y - x|^{-d-\alpha}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0,$$

see, e.g., [7]. We then consider the time of the first exit of \mathbf{X} from the cone Γ ,

$$\tau_\Gamma := \inf\{t \geq 0: X_t \notin \Gamma\},$$

and we define the Dirichlet heat kernel for Γ ,

$$p_t^\Gamma(x, y) := p_t(x, y) - \mathbb{E}_x[\tau_\Gamma < t; p_{t-\tau_\Gamma}(X_{\tau_\Gamma}, y)], \quad x, y \in \Gamma, t > 0,$$

see [9, 14, 22]. It immediately follows that $p_t^\Gamma(x, y) \leq p_t(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \Gamma$ and $t > 0$. The Dirichlet heat kernel is non-negative, and symmetric: $p_t^\Gamma(x, y) = p_t^\Gamma(y, x)$ for $x, y \in \Gamma, t > 0$. It satisfies the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations:

$$(2.5) \quad p_{t+s}^\Gamma(x, y) = \int_\Gamma p_t^\Gamma(x, z) p_s^\Gamma(z, y) dz, \quad x, y \in \Gamma, s, t > 0.$$

For nonnegative or integrable functions f we define the *killed semigroup* by

$$P_t^\Gamma f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x[\tau_\Gamma > t; f(X_t)] = \int_\Gamma p_t^\Gamma(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad x \in \Gamma, t > 0.$$

In particular, for $f \equiv 1$ we obtain the *survival probability*:

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t) = \int_\Gamma p_t^\Gamma(x, y) dy, \quad x \in \Gamma, t > 0,$$

see [11, Remark 1.9]. Since $t^{-1/\alpha}\Gamma = \Gamma$, the scaling (2.2) extends to the Dirichlet heat kernel:

$$p_t^\Gamma(x, y) = t^{-d/\alpha} p_1^\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}x, t^{-1/\alpha}y), \quad x, y \in \Gamma, t > 0.$$

As a consequence,

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t) = \mathbb{P}_{t^{-1/\alpha}x}(\tau_\Gamma > 1), \quad x \in \Gamma, t > 0.$$

Furthermore, by (2.3),

$$(2.8) \quad p_t^{T\Gamma}(Tx, Ty) = p_t^\Gamma(x, y), \quad x, y \in \Gamma, t > 0.$$

The operators P_t^Γ and the kernel $p_t^\Gamma(x, y)$ are the main subject of the paper. In view of (2.8), without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbf{1} := (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \Gamma$. By [3, Theorem 3.2], there is a unique non-negative function M_Γ on \mathbb{R}^d such that $M_\Gamma(\mathbf{1}) = 1$, $M_\Gamma = 0$ on Γ^c , and for every open bounded set $B \subseteq \Gamma$,

$$(2.9) \quad M_\Gamma(x) = \mathbb{E}_x M_\Gamma(X_{\tau_B}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Moreover, M_Γ is locally bounded on \mathbb{R}^d and homogeneous of some order $\beta \in [0, \alpha)$, i.e.,

$$(2.10) \quad M_\Gamma(x) = |x|^\beta M_\Gamma(x/|x|), \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

We call M_Γ the Martin kernel of Γ with the pole at infinity.

Example 2.1. By [3], $\beta = \alpha/2$ if Γ is a half-space and $\beta = \alpha - 1$ if $\Gamma = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$. By [12], $\beta = (\alpha - 1)/2$ if $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus ([0, \infty) \times \{0\})$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$.

Below we often assume that Γ is *fat*, i.e., $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ exists such that for all $Q \in \bar{\Gamma}$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, there is a point $A = A_r(Q) \in \Gamma \cap B(Q, r)$ such that $B(A, \kappa r) \subseteq \Gamma \cap B(Q, r)$, see [10, Definition 1]. Recall that Γ is *smooth* if $d = 1$ or $d \geq 2$ and $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is a $C^{1,1}$ subset of \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . Furthermore, a cone Γ is called *right-circular*, if $\Gamma = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : x_d > |x| \cos \eta\}$, with $\eta \in (0, \pi)$ called the angle of the cone. Of course, every right-circular cone is smooth, and every smooth cone is fat.

By [10, Theorem 1], the following approximate factorization holds true for fat cones:

$$(2.11) \quad p_t^\Gamma(x, y) \approx \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t) p_t(x, y) \mathbb{P}_y(\tau_\Gamma > t), \quad x, y \in \Gamma, t > 0.$$

For $R \in (0, \infty)$, we let $\Gamma_R := \Gamma \cap B_R$, the *truncated cone*.

3. DOOB CONDITIONING

The Martin kernel M_Γ is invariant for the semigroup P_t^Γ , as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *For all $x \in \Gamma$ and $t > 0$, we have $P_t^\Gamma M_\Gamma(x) = M_\Gamma(x)$.*

Proof. Fix $t > 0$ and $x \in \Gamma$. We have

$$(3.1) \quad P_t^\Gamma M_\Gamma(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[\tau_\Gamma > t; M_\Gamma(X_t)].$$

Let $R > 0$ and $\tau_R := \tau_{\Gamma_R}$. By (2.9) and the strong Markov property,

$$(3.2) \quad M_\Gamma(x) = \mathbb{E}_x M_\Gamma(X_{\tau_R}) = \mathbb{E}_x M_\Gamma(X_{t \wedge \tau_R}) = \mathbb{E}_x[X_{t \wedge \tau_R} \in \Gamma; M_\Gamma(X_{t \wedge \tau_R})],$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $M_\Gamma = 0$ outside Γ . We note that $\mathbb{P}_x - a.s.$, $\tau_R \rightarrow \tau_\Gamma$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ (see, e.g., [1, proof of Proposition A.1]). We consider two scenarios. On $\{\tau_\Gamma = \infty\}$, for R large enough, we have: $\tau_R > t$, $\mathbb{1}_{X_{t \wedge \tau_R} \in \Gamma} = 1 = \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau_\Gamma}$, and

$$M_\Gamma(X_{t \wedge \tau_R}) \mathbb{1}_{X_{t \wedge \tau_R} \in \Gamma} = M_\Gamma(X_t) = M_\Gamma(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau_\Gamma}.$$

On $\{\tau_\Gamma < \infty\}$, for R large enough we have: $\tau_R = \tau_\Gamma$, $\mathbb{1}_{X_{t \wedge \tau_R} \in \Gamma} = \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau_\Gamma}$, and

$$M_\Gamma(X_{t \wedge \tau_R}) \mathbb{1}_{X_{t \wedge \tau_R} \in \Gamma} = M_\Gamma(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau_\Gamma},$$

too. In both cases, the integrand on the right-hand side of (3.2) converges *a.s.* to the integrand on the right-hand side of (3.1) as $R \rightarrow \infty$. By the local boundedness of M_Γ and (2.10),

$$\left| M_\Gamma(X_{t \wedge \tau_R}) \mathbb{1}_{X_{t \wedge \tau_R} \in \Gamma} \right| \leq c |X_{t \wedge \tau_R}|^\beta \leq c (X_t^*)^\beta,$$

where

$$X_t^* := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s|.$$

Using [4, Theorem 2.1] and the fact that $\beta \in [0, \alpha)$, we conclude that $\mathbb{E}_x (X_t^*)^\beta < \infty$. An application of the dominated convergence theorem ends the proof. \square

3.1. Renormalized kernel. We define the renormalized (Doob-conditioned) kernel

$$(3.3) \quad \rho_t(x, y) = \frac{p_t^\Gamma(x, y)}{M_\Gamma(x) M_\Gamma(y)}, \quad x, y \in \Gamma, t > 0.$$

Note that ρ is jointly continuous. By Theorem 3.1,

$$(3.4) \quad \int_\Gamma \rho_t(x, y) M_\Gamma^2(y) dy = 1, \quad x \in \Gamma, t > 0,$$

and by (2.5),

$$(3.5) \quad \int_\Gamma \rho_t(x, y) \rho_s(y, z) M_\Gamma^2(y) dy = \rho_{t+s}(x, z), \quad x, y \in \Gamma, s, t > 0.$$

In other words, ρ_t is a symmetric transition probability density on Γ with respect to the measure $M_\Gamma^2(y) dy$. Furthermore, the following scaling property holds true: for all $x, y \in \Gamma$ and all $t > 0$,

$$(3.6) \quad \rho_t(x, y) = \frac{t^{-d/\alpha} p_1^\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}x, t^{-1/\alpha}y)}{t^{2\beta/\alpha} M_\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}x) M_\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}y)} = t^{-(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \rho_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x, t^{-1/\alpha}y).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.7) \quad \rho_{st}(t^{1/\alpha}x, t^{1/\alpha}y) = t^{-(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \rho_s(x, y), \quad x, y \in \Gamma, \quad s, t > 0.$$

By (2.11), for fat cones we have

$$(3.8) \quad \rho_t(x, y) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t)}{M_\Gamma(x)} p_t(x, y) \frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_\Gamma > t)}{M_\Gamma(y)}, \quad x, y \in \Gamma, \quad t > 0.$$

The boundary behavior of $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t)/M_\Gamma(x)$ is important due to (3.8), but it is rather elusive. The next lemma strengthens the upper bound from [3, Lemma 4.2].

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a constant c depending only on α and Γ , such that*

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t) \leq c \left(t^{-\beta/\alpha} + t^{-1} |x|^{\alpha-\beta} \right) M_\Gamma(x), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

Remark 3.3. (1) For $t = 1$, (3.9) reads as follows,

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > 1) \leq c(1 + |x|^{\alpha-\beta}) M_\Gamma(x), \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

(2) The estimate (3.9) applies to arbitrary cones and arguments t, x , however, it is not optimal. For example, for the right-circular cones, we can confront (3.8) with

$$M_\Gamma(x) \approx \delta_\Gamma(x)^{\alpha/2} |x|^{\beta-\alpha/2}, \quad x \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > 1) \approx (1 \wedge \delta_\Gamma(x))^{\alpha/2} (1 \wedge |x|)^{\beta-\alpha/2}, \quad x \in \Gamma,$$

as provided by [29, Lemma 3.3] and [10, Example 7].

(3) For the right-circular cones, the ratio

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > 1)}{M_\Gamma(x)} \approx \frac{(1 + \delta_\Gamma(x))^{-\alpha/2}}{(1 + |x|)^{\beta-\alpha/2}}, \quad x \in \Gamma,$$

is bounded if and only if $\beta \geq \alpha/2$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We slightly modify the proof of [3, Lemma 4.2]. First, suppose that $t = 1$. The case $x \in \Gamma_1$ in (3.10) is resolved by [3, Lemma 4.2], so we assume that $x \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1$. For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ we define its projection on the unit sphere $\tilde{z} := z/|z|$. By (2.7),

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > 1) = \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{x}}(\tau_\Gamma > |x|^{-\alpha}).$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{x}}(\tau_\Gamma > |x|^{-\alpha}) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{x}}(\tau_{\Gamma_2} > |x|^{-\alpha}) + \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{x}}(\tau_{\Gamma_2} < \tau_\Gamma).$$

By the boundary Harnack principle (BHP), see Song and Wu [34, Theorem 3.1], and the homogeneity of M_Γ (2.10),

$$(3.11) \quad \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{x}}(\tau_{\Gamma_2} < \tau_\Gamma) \leq \mathbb{P}_1(\tau_{\Gamma_2} < \tau_\Gamma) M_\Gamma(\tilde{x}) = c_1 |x|^{-\beta} M_\Gamma(x).$$

We let

$$c_2 = \inf_{y \in \Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_2} \nu(y - z) dz.$$

Clearly, $c_2 > 0$. We recall the Ikeda-Watanabe formula:

$$\mathbb{P}_x[\tau_D \in I, Y_{\tau_D-} \in A, Y_{\tau_D} \in B] = \int_I \int_A \int_B p_s^D(x, v) \nu(v, z) dz dv ds,$$

where $x \in D$, $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$, $A \subseteq D$ and $B \subseteq D^c$, see, e.g., Bogdan et al. [16, Section 4.2]. By Markov inequality and BHP,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{x}}(\tau_{\Gamma_2} > |x|^{-\alpha}) &\leq |x|^\alpha \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} \tau_{\Gamma_2} = |x|^\alpha \int_{\Gamma_2} G_{\Gamma_2}(\tilde{x}, y) \, dy \\ &\leq c_2^{-1} |x|^\alpha \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_2} G_{\Gamma_2}(\tilde{x}, y) \nu(y - z) \, dy \, dz \\ &\leq c_2^{-1} |x|^\alpha \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{x}}(X_{\tau_{\Gamma_2}} \in \Gamma) \\ &\leq c_1 c_2^{-1} |x|^\alpha \mathbb{P}_1(X_{\tau_{\Gamma_2}} \in \Gamma) M_\Gamma(\tilde{x}) \\ &= c_1 c_2^{-1} c |x|^{\alpha - \beta} M_\Gamma(x). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.11), we get (3.10) when $x \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1$. For arbitrary $t > 0$, we use (2.7) and (3.10):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t) &= \mathbb{P}_{t^{-1/\alpha}x}(\tau_\Gamma > 1) \\ &\leq c \left(1 + \left(t^{-1/\alpha} |x| \right)^{\alpha - \beta} \right) M_\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}x) \\ &= c \left(t^{-\beta/\alpha} + t^{-1} |x|^{\alpha - \beta} \right) M_\Gamma(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

By the proof of [3, Lemma 4.2], for every $R \in (0, \infty)$ there exists a constant c , depending only on α , Γ and R , such that

$$c^{-1} M_\Gamma(x) t^{-\beta/\alpha} \leq \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t) \leq c M_\Gamma(x) t^{-\beta/\alpha}, \quad x \in \Gamma_{Rt^{1/\alpha}}, \, t > 0.$$

In particular, for fat cones, in view of (2.4) and (3.8),

$$(3.12) \quad \rho_1(x, y) \approx (1 + |y|)^{-d - \alpha} \frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_\Gamma > 1)}{M_\Gamma(y)}, \quad x \in \Gamma_R, \, y \in \Gamma,$$

with comparability constant depending only on α , Γ and R . Using Lemma 3.2 we also conclude that for every $R \geq 1$ there is a constant c depending only on R , α and Γ , such that

$$(3.13) \quad \rho_1(x, y) \leq c(1 + |y|)^{-d - \beta}, \quad x \in \Gamma_R, \, y \in \Gamma.$$

3.2. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck kernel. Encouraged by [13], we let

$$(3.14) \quad \ell_t(x, y) := \rho_{1 - e^{-t}}(e^{-t/\alpha}x, y), \quad x, y \in \Gamma, \, t > 0,$$

and, by (3.7), we get the Chapman-Kolmogorov property for ℓ_t :

$$\int_\Gamma \ell_t(x, y) \ell_s(y, z) M_\Gamma^2(y) \, dy = \ell_{t+s}(x, z), \quad x, z \in \Gamma, \, s, t > 0.$$

By (3.4),

$$\int_\Gamma \ell_t(x, y) M_\Gamma^2(y) \, dy = 1, \quad x \in \Gamma, \, t > 0.$$

Thus, ℓ_t is a transition probability density on Γ with respect to $M_\Gamma^2(y) \, dy$. We define the corresponding Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup:

$$L_t f(y) = \int_\Gamma \ell_t(x, y) f(x) M_\Gamma^2(x) \, dx, \quad x \in \Gamma, \, t > 0.$$

We easily see that the operators are bounded on $L^1(M_\Gamma^2(y) \, dy)$. In fact, they preserve densities, i.e., functions $f \geq 0$ such that $\int_\Gamma f(x) M_\Gamma^2(x) \, dx = 1$.

Before we immerse into details, let us note that the relations (3.12) and (3.13) will be crucial in what follows. Both of them rely on the factorization of the Dirichlet heat kernel (2.11), which is valid for fat sets. So, below in this section we assume (sometimes tacitly) that Γ is a fat cone.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume Γ is a fat cone. Then there is a unique stationary density φ for the operators L_t , $t > 0$.*

Proof. Fix $t > 0$ and consider the family F of non-negative functions on Γ that have the form

$$f(y) = \int_{\Gamma_1} \rho_t(x, y) \mu(dx), \quad y \in \Gamma,$$

for some sub-probability measure μ concentrated on Γ_1 . By (3.4), $F \subseteq L^1(M_\Gamma^2(y))$. By the scaling (3.7) and the same reasoning as in the proof of [13, Theorem 3.2], $L_t F \subseteq F$. Since L_t is continuous, we also have $L_t \overline{F} \subseteq \overline{F}$, where \overline{F} is the closure of F in the norm topology of $L^1(\Gamma, M_\Gamma^2(y) dy)$. Next, we observe that F is convex, therefore by [18, Theorem 3.7], \overline{F} is equal to the closure of F in the weak topology. In view of (3.12),

$$(3.15) \quad f(y) \lesssim (1 + |y|)^{-d-\alpha} \frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_\Gamma > 1)}{M_\Gamma(y)}, \quad y \in \Gamma,$$

uniformly for $f \in F$. Moreover, (3.4) and (3.12) show that the right-hand side of (3.15) is integrable with respect to $M_\Gamma^2(y) dy$. Therefore, the family F is uniformly integrable with respect to $M_\Gamma^2(y) dy$. By [8, Theorem 4.7.20], F is weakly pre-compact in $L^1(M_\Gamma^2(y))$, so \overline{F} is weakly compact. Furthermore, we invoke [18, Theorem 3.10] to conclude that L_t is weakly continuous. By the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem [32, Theorem 5.28], there is a density $\varphi \in \overline{F}$ satisfying $L_t \varphi = \varphi$. It is unique by the strict positivity of the kernel ℓ_t , and the same for every $t > 0$, see the proof of [13, Theorem 3.2]. \square

Let us note that by Theorem 3.4 and [26, Theorem 1 and Remark 2], the following stability result for kernels ℓ_t in $L^1(M_\Gamma^2(y) dy)$ holds true for every $x \in \Gamma$:

$$(3.16) \quad \int_\Gamma |\ell_t(x, y) - \varphi(y)| M_\Gamma^2(y) dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We claim that the convergence in (3.16) is in fact uniform for x in any bounded subset $A \subseteq \Gamma$. Indeed, let $x, x_0 \in A$. In view of (3.14) and (3.8) we may write

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Gamma |\ell_{1+t}(x, y) - \varphi(y)| M_\Gamma^2(y) dy &= \int_\Gamma \left| \int_\Gamma \ell_1(x, z) (\ell_t(z, y) - \varphi(y)) M_\Gamma^2(z) dz \right| M_\Gamma^2(y) dy \\ &\leq c \int_\Gamma \ell_1(x_0, z) \int_\Gamma |\ell_t(z, y) - \varphi(y)| M_\Gamma^2(y) dy M_\Gamma^2(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.16), for every $z \in \Gamma$,

$$I_t(z) := \int_\Gamma |\ell_t(z, y) - \varphi(y)| M_\Gamma^2(y) dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, $I_t(z) \leq \int_\Gamma (\ell_t(z, y) + \varphi(y)) M_\Gamma^2(y) dy = 2$. Since

$$\int_\Gamma 2\ell_1(x_0, z) M_\Gamma^2(z) dz = 2 < \infty,$$

by the dominated convergence theorem the iterated integral in (3.17) tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so the convergence in (3.16) is uniform for all $x \in A$, as claimed. By rewriting (3.16) in terms of ρ , we get that, uniformly for $x \in A$,

$$(3.18) \quad \int_\Gamma \left| \rho_{1-e^{-t}}(e^{-t/\alpha} x, y) - \varphi(y) \right| M_\Gamma^2(y) dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

This leads to the following spacial asymptotics for ρ_1 .

Corollary 3.5. *Let Γ be a fat cone. If $\Gamma \ni x \rightarrow 0$ then $\int_\Gamma |\rho_1(x, y) - \varphi(y)| M_\Gamma^2(y) dy \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. By the scalings (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\rho_{1-e^{-t}}(e^{-t/\alpha} x, y) = (1 - e^{-t})^{-(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \rho_1\left((e^t - 1)^{-1/\alpha} x, (1 - e^{-t})^{-1/\alpha} y\right),$$

thus, in view of (3.18),

$$(3.19) \quad \int_\Gamma \left| \rho_1\left((e^t - 1)^{-1/\alpha} x, (1 - e^{-t})^{-1/\alpha} y\right) - \varphi(y) \right| M_\Gamma^2(y) dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the continuity of dilations in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\int_{\Gamma} \left| \varphi \left((1 - e^{-t})^{1/\alpha} y \right) M_{\Gamma}^2(y) - \varphi(y) M_{\Gamma}^2(y) \right| dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, by a change of variables in (3.19) and the triangle inequality, we conclude that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \left| \rho_1 \left((e^t - 1)^{-1/\alpha} z, y \right) - \varphi(y) \right| M_{\Gamma}^2(y) dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for all $z \in A$. To end the proof, we take $A = B_1$ and $x = (e^t - 1)^{-1/\alpha} z$, where $t = \ln(1 + |x|^{-\alpha})$ and $z = x/|x| \in A$. \square

Lemma 3.6. *After a modification on set of Lebesgue measure 0, φ is continuous on Γ and*

$$\varphi(y) \approx (1 + |y|)^{-d-\alpha} \frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_{\Gamma} > 1)}{M_{\Gamma}(y)}, \quad y \in \Gamma.$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.5 and (3.12),

$$\varphi(y) \approx (1 + |y|)^{-d-\alpha} \frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_{\Gamma} > 1)}{M_{\Gamma}(y)}$$

on Γ less a set of Lebesgue measure zero. Theorem 3.4 entails that $\varphi = L_1\varphi$ a.e., so it suffices to verify that $L_1\varphi$ is continuous on Γ . To this end we note that $\ell_1(x, y)$ is continuous in $x, y \in \Gamma$. Next, by (3.14) and (3.8),

$$\ell_1(x, y) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}_{e^{-1/\alpha}x}(\tau_{\Gamma} > 1 - e^{-1})}{M_{\Gamma}(e^{-1/\alpha}x)} p_{1-e^{-1}}(e^{-1/\alpha}x, y) \frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_{\Gamma} > 1 - e^{-1})}{M_{\Gamma}(y)}, \quad x, y \in \Gamma.$$

Let $R > 1$. By (2.4) and (3.9), [10, Remark 3] and (2.7), and the homogeneity (2.10) of M_{Γ} ,

$$\ell_1(x, y) \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-d-\alpha} \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{\Gamma} > 1)}{M_{\Gamma}(x)}, \quad x \in \Gamma, y \in \Gamma_R.$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, $L_1\varphi$ is continuous on Γ_R . \square

In what follows, φ denotes the continuous modification from Lemma 3.6.

Theorem 3.7. *Let Γ be a fat cone. For every $t > 0$, uniformly in $y \in \Gamma$ we have*

$$\rho_t(0, y) := \lim_{\Gamma \ni x \rightarrow 0} \rho_t(x, y) = t^{-(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \varphi(t^{-1/\alpha}y).$$

Proof. If $\beta = 0$ then $\rho_t(x, y) = p_t(x, y)$ and the claim is simply the continuity property of the heat kernel p_t . Thus, we assume that $\beta > 0$.

We only prove the claim for $t = 1$; the extension to arbitrary t is a consequence of the scaling (3.6). By (3.7) and the Chapman-Kolmogorov property, for $x, y \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(x, y) &= 2^{(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \rho_2(2^{1/\alpha}x, 2^{1/\alpha}y) \\ &= 2^{(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \int_{\Gamma} \rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha}y) M_{\Gamma}^2(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that, uniformly in $y \in \Gamma$,

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha}y) M_{\Gamma}^2(z) dz \rightarrow \int_{\Gamma} \varphi(z) \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha}y) M_{\Gamma}^2(z) dz$$

as $\Gamma \ni x \rightarrow 0$. To this end we first claim that there is $c \in (0, \infty)$ dependent only on α and Γ , such that for all $x \in \Gamma_1$ and $y \in \Gamma$,

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{\Gamma} |\rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) - \varphi(z)| \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha}y) M_{\Gamma}^2(z) dz \leq c(1 + |y|)^{-\beta}.$$

Indeed, denote $\tilde{y} = 2^{1/\alpha}y$. By (3.8), Lemma 3.6 and (3.10), there is $c > 0$ such that for all $z, y \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \Gamma_1$,

$$|\rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) - \varphi(z)| \rho_1(z, \tilde{y}) M_{\Gamma}^2(z) \lesssim (1 + |z|)^{-d-\alpha} (1 + |z - \tilde{y}|)^{-d-\alpha} (1 + |y|)^{\alpha-\beta}.$$

We split the integral in (3.21) into two integrals. For $z \in A := B(\tilde{y}, |\tilde{y}|/2)$ we use the fact that $|z| \approx |\tilde{y}| \approx |y|$ and $1 + |z - \tilde{y}| \geq 1$, therefore

$$(3.22) \quad \int_A |\rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) - \varphi(z)| \rho_1(z, \tilde{y}) M_\Gamma^2(z) dz \lesssim |y|^d (1 + |y|)^{-d-\beta} \leq (1 + |y|)^{-\beta}.$$

For $z \in \Gamma \setminus A$ we simply have $1 + |z| \geq 1$, thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \setminus A} |\rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) - \varphi(z)| \rho_1(z, \tilde{y}) M_\Gamma^2(z) dz &\lesssim (1 + |y|)^{\alpha-\beta} \int_{\Gamma \setminus A} (1 + |z - \tilde{y}|)^{-d-\alpha} dz \\ &\lesssim (1 + |y|)^{\alpha-\beta} \int_{|\tilde{y}|/2}^\infty (1 + r)^{-1-\alpha} dr \\ &\lesssim (1 + |y|)^{-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with (3.22), we arrive at (3.21), as claimed.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. In view of (3.21) and the fact that $\beta > 0$, there is $R \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on α, β, Γ and ε such that

$$(3.23) \quad \int_\Gamma |\rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) - \varphi(z)| \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha}y) M_\Gamma^2(z) dz < \varepsilon,$$

provided that $y \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_R$. For $y \in \Gamma_R$, by (3.13) we get

$$\int_\Gamma |\rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) - \varphi(z)| \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha}y) M_\Gamma^2(z) dz \lesssim \int_\Gamma |\rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) - \varphi(z)| M_\Gamma^2(z) dz,$$

with the implied constant dependent only on α, β, Γ and R , but not otherwise dependent of y . Thus, by Corollary 3.5,

$$(3.24) \quad \int_\Gamma |\rho_1(2^{1/\alpha}x, z) - \varphi(z)| \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha}y) M_\Gamma^2(z) dz < \varepsilon$$

for all $y \in \Gamma_R$ and $x \in \Gamma_1$ small enough. Putting (3.24) together with (3.23) we arrive at (3.20). Using the scaling property (3.7) and Theorem 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Gamma \ni x \rightarrow 0} \rho_1(x, y) &= 2^{(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \int_\Gamma \varphi(z) \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha}y) M_\Gamma^2(z) dz \\ &= \int_\Gamma \varphi(z) \rho_{1/2}(2^{-1/\alpha}z, y) M_\Gamma^2(z) dz = L_{\ln 2} \varphi(y) = \varphi(y). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Note that by the symmetry of ρ_t , for $x \in \Gamma$,

$$\rho_t(x, 0) := \lim_{\Gamma \ni y \rightarrow 0} \rho_t(x, y) = \rho_t(0, x) = t^{-(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \varphi(t^{-1/\alpha}x).$$

Recall also that by (3.12) and (3.4),

$$\rho_1(x, y) \approx (1 + |y|)^{-d-\alpha} \frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_\Gamma > 1)}{M_\Gamma(y)} \in L^1(M_\Gamma^2(y) dy).$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.7 and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$(3.25) \quad \int_\Gamma \varphi(x) M_\Gamma^2(x) dx = 1.$$

Let us summarize the results of this section in one statement.

Theorem 3.8. *Assume Γ is a fat cone. Then the function ρ has a continuous extension to $(0, \infty) \times (\Gamma \cup \{0\}) \times (\Gamma \cup \{0\})$ and*

$$(3.26) \quad \rho_t(0, y) := \lim_{\Gamma \ni x \rightarrow 0} \rho_t(x, y) \in (0, \infty), \quad t > 0, y \in \Gamma,$$

satisfies

$$(3.27) \quad \rho_t(0, y) = t^{-(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \rho_1(0, t^{-1/\alpha}y), \quad t > 0, y \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$(3.28) \quad \int_\Gamma \rho_t(0, y) \rho_s(y, z) M_\Gamma^2(y) dy = \rho_{t+s}(0, z), \quad s, t > 0, z \in \Gamma.$$

Proof. The existence of the limit (3.26) and the scaling property (3.27) are proved in Theorem 3.7, see also Lemma 3.6. For the proof of (3.28) we employ (3.5) to write

$$\rho_{t+s}(0, z) = \lim_{\Gamma \ni y \rightarrow 0} \rho_{t+s}(y, z) = \lim_{\Gamma \ni y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma} \rho_t(y, w) \rho_s(w, z) M_{\Gamma}^2(w) dw,$$

and use (3.4), (3.6), (3.13), and the dominated convergence theorem.

Thus, it remains to prove the continuity of ρ on $(0, \infty) \times (\Gamma \cup \{0\}) \times (\Gamma \cup \{0\})$. By symmetry and the Chapman-Kolmogorov property (3.5) of ρ_1 ,

$$(3.29) \quad \rho_1(x, y) = \int_{\Gamma} \rho_{1/2}(x, z) \rho_{1/2}(y, z) M_{\Gamma}^2(z) dz, \quad x, y \in \Gamma.$$

The continuity of ρ_1 on $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ together with Theorem 3.7, for every $x_0, y_0 \in \Gamma \cup \{0\}$ we have $\rho_{1/2}(x, z) \rightarrow \rho_{1/2}(x_0, z)$ and $\rho_{1/2}(y, z) \rightarrow \rho_{1/2}(y_0, z)$ as $x \rightarrow x_0$ and $y \rightarrow y_0$. Moreover, (3.12) entails that

$$\rho_{1/2}(x, z) \rho_{1/2}(y, z) M_{\Gamma}^2(z) \leq c(1 + |z|)^{-2d-2\alpha},$$

with the constant c possibly dependent on x_0 and y_0 . It follows by the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\rho_1(x, y) \rightarrow \int_{\Gamma} \rho_{1/2}(x_0, z) \rho_{1/2}(y_0, z) M_{\Gamma}^2(z) dz,$$

as $x \rightarrow x_0$ and $y \rightarrow y_0$ and in view of (3.29), it is an extension of ρ_1 to $(\Gamma \cup \{0\}) \times (\Gamma \cup \{0\})$, which will be denoted by the same symbol. It follows now from (3.6) that

$$t^{-(d+2\beta)/\alpha} \rho_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x, t^{-1/\alpha}y), \quad x, y \in \Gamma \cup \{0\}, t > 0,$$

is a finite continuous extension of ρ_t for every $t > 0$. It remains to observe that the extension is unique and jointly continuous in $(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\Gamma \cup \{0\}) \times (\Gamma \cup \{0\})$. \square

Corollary 3.9. *We have $\rho_1(0, 0) = \lim_{\Gamma \ni x, y \rightarrow 0} \rho_1(x, y) \in (0, \infty)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.8, $\rho_1(0, 0) = \lim_{\Gamma \ni y \rightarrow 0} \varphi(y) =: \varphi(0)$. Thus, the claim follows by Lemma 3.6 and [3, Lemma 4.2]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By (3.26), $\Psi_t(x) = \rho_t(0, x) M_{\Gamma}(x)$, $t > 0$, $x \in \Gamma$. Thus, the existence of Ψ_t is just a reformulation of (3.26). The scaling property (1.1) follows immediately from (3.27) and the homogeneity of the Martin kernel (2.10), and (1.2) is equivalent to (3.28). \square

We conclude this part by rephrasing (3.25) in terms of Ψ_t :

$$(3.30) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \Psi_t(x) M_{\Gamma}(x) dx = 1, \quad t > 0.$$

3.3. Yaglom limit. The above results quickly lead to calculation of the Yaglom limit for the stable process (conditioned to stay in a cone). Note that our proof is different from that in [15]. We also cover more general cones, e.g., $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus ([0, \infty) \times \{0\})$. First, we obtain the following extension of [15, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 3.10. *Let Γ be a fat cone. For every $t > 0$,*

$$\lim_{\Gamma \ni x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{\Gamma} > t)}{M_{\Gamma}(x)} = C_1 t^{-\beta/\alpha} \quad \text{where} \quad C_1 = \int_{\Gamma} \varphi(z) M_{\Gamma}(z) dz \in (0, \infty).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the claim for $t = 1$; the general case follows by the scalings (2.7) and (2.10). We have

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{\Gamma} > 1)}{M_{\Gamma}(x)} = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{p_1^{\Gamma}(x, y)}{M_{\Gamma}(x)} dy = \int_{\Gamma} \rho_1(x, y) M_{\Gamma}(y) dy, \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

We use (3.12), the dominated convergence theorem, and Theorem 3.7 to get the conclusion. \square

The first identity below is the Yaglom limit.

Theorem 3.11. *Assume Γ is a fat cone and B is a bounded subset of Γ . Then,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_x \left(t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A \mid \tau_\Gamma > t \right) = \mu(A), \quad A \subseteq \Gamma,$$

uniformly in $x \in B$, where

$$\mu(A) := \frac{1}{C_1} \int_A \varphi(y) M_\Gamma(y) dy, \quad A \subseteq \Gamma.$$

Proof. By (2.6) and the scaling property (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x \left(t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A \mid \tau_\Gamma > t \right) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_x \left(\tau_\Gamma > t, t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A \right)}{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_{t^{-1/\alpha}x}(\tau_\Gamma > 1, X_1 \in A)}{\mathbb{P}_{t^{-1/\alpha}x}(\tau_\Gamma > 1)} \\ &= \int_A \frac{p_1^\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}x, y)}{M_\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}x)} dy \cdot \frac{M_\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}x)}{\mathbb{P}_{t^{-1/\alpha}x}(\tau_\Gamma > 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows by Corollary 3.10, (3.12), and the dominated convergence theorem. \square

Theorem 3.12. *If Γ is a fat cone and γ is a probability measure on Γ with $\int_\Gamma (1 + |y|)^\alpha \gamma(dy) < \infty$, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\gamma \left(t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A \mid \tau_\Gamma > t \right) = \mu(A), \quad A \subseteq \Gamma.$$

Proof. Let $t \geq 1$. In view of [24, Lemma 8.7], we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\gamma \left(t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A \mid \tau_\Gamma > t \right) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_\gamma \left(t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A, \tau_\Gamma > t \right)}{\mathbb{P}_\gamma(\tau_\Gamma > t)} \\ &= \int_\Gamma \mathbb{P}_x \left(t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A \mid \tau_\Gamma > t \right) \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t)}{\mathbb{P}_\gamma(\tau_\Gamma > t)} \gamma(dx). \end{aligned}$$

We first prove that for all $x \in \Gamma$,

$$(3.31) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}_\gamma(\tau_\Gamma > t)}{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t)} := \int_\Gamma \frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_\Gamma > t)}{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t)} \gamma(dy) \rightarrow \int_\Gamma \frac{M_\Gamma(y)}{M_\Gamma(x)} \gamma(dy),$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, fix $x \in \Gamma$. First we note that by local boundedness of M_Γ and (2.10),

$$\int_\Gamma M_\Gamma(y) \gamma(dy) \leq c \int_\Gamma (1 + |y|)^\beta \gamma(dy) < \infty,$$

so the right-hand side of (3.31) is finite. Next, by Corollary 3.10, (2.7), and (2.10),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_\Gamma > t)}{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{t^{-1/\alpha}y}(\tau_\Gamma > 1) M_\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}x) M_\Gamma(y)}{\mathbb{P}_{t^{-1/\alpha}x}(\tau_\Gamma > 1) M_\Gamma(t^{-1/\alpha}y) M_\Gamma(x)} = \frac{M_\Gamma(y)}{M_\Gamma(x)}, \quad x, y \in \Gamma.$$

Moreover, since x is fixed, we may assume that $t \geq 1 \vee |x|^\alpha$. Thus, by [3, Lemma 4.2], Lemma 3.2, the local boundedness of M_Γ and (2.10),

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}_y(\tau_\Gamma > t)}{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t)} \leq c \frac{(t^{-\beta/\alpha} + t^{-1}|y|^{\alpha-\beta}) M_\Gamma(y)}{t^{-\beta/\alpha} M_\Gamma(x)} \leq c \frac{(1 + |y|^{\alpha-\beta}) M_\Gamma(y)}{M_\Gamma(x)} \leq c \frac{(1 + |y|)^\alpha}{M_\Gamma(x)}.$$

Thus, the dominated convergence theorem yields (3.31), as desired.

Next, we consider a family \mathcal{F}_1 of functions f_t of the form

$$f_t(x) = \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t)}{\mathbb{P}_\gamma(\tau_\Gamma > t)}, \quad x \in \Gamma, t \geq 1.$$

Denote

$$f(x) = \frac{M_\Gamma(x)}{\int_\Gamma M_\Gamma(y) \gamma(dy)}, \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

By virtue of (3.31), $f_t \rightarrow f$ everywhere in Γ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $f_t \rightarrow f$ in measure γ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, see [33, Definition 22.2]. Moreover, we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(x) \gamma(dx) = 1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} 1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} f_t(x) \gamma(dx).$$

Therefore, by Vitali's theorem [33, Theorem 22.7], the family \mathcal{F}_1 is uniformly integrable. If we now consider the family \mathcal{F}_2 of functions \tilde{f}_t of the form

$$\tilde{f}_t(x) = \mathbb{P}_x \left(t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A \mid \tau_{\Gamma} > t \right) f_t(x), \quad x \in \Gamma, t \geq 1,$$

then a trivial bound $\mathbb{P}_x \left(t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A \mid \tau_{\Gamma} > t \right) \leq 1$ shows that \mathcal{F}_2 is uniformly integrable as well (see, e.g., [33, Theorem 22.9]). By Theorem 3.11, (3.31) and [33, Theorem 22.7],

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbb{P}_x \left(t^{-1/\alpha} X_t \in A \mid \tau_{\Gamma} > t \right) \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{\Gamma} > t)}{\mathbb{P}_{\gamma}(\tau_{\Gamma} > t)} \gamma(dx) = \int_{\Gamma} \mu(A) \frac{M_{\Gamma}(x)}{\int_{\Gamma} M_{\Gamma}(y) \gamma(dy)} \gamma(dx) = \mu(A).$$

The proof is complete. \square

Example 3.13. Note that $\beta = 0$ if and only if Γ^c is a polar set and then $M_{\Gamma}(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \Gamma$, see [3, Theorem 3.2]. Consequently, we have $p_t^{\Gamma}(x, y) = p_t(x, y)$ and $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{\Gamma} > t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in \Gamma$ and all $t > 0$. It follows that $\rho_t(x, y) = p_t(x, y)$ and a direct calculation using the Chapman-Kolmogorov property entails that $\varphi(y) = p_1(0, y)$ is the stationary density for the (classical) α -stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, see (3.14) and Theorem 3.4. The statement of Theorem 3.7 thus reduces to continuity of the heat kernel of the isotropic α -stable Lévy process. Theorems 3.11 and 3.12 trivialize in a similar way. Incidentally, in this case the moment condition on γ in Theorem 3.12 is superfluous. Further examples are given in Section 4.

4. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR FOR THE KILLED SEMIGROUP

This section is devoted to examples and applications in Functional Analysis and Partial Differential Equations. Note that in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 we do not assume that Γ is fat.

Lemma 4.1. $\{P_t^{\Gamma}\}_{t>0}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^1(M_{\Gamma})$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\Gamma} P_t^{\Gamma} f(x) M_{\Gamma}(x) dx = \int_{\Gamma} f(x) M_{\Gamma}(x) dx, \quad t > 0, \quad f \in L^1(M_{\Gamma}).$$

Proof. Let $f \geq 0$. By the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, the symmetry of p_t^{Γ} and Theorem 3.1,

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Gamma} P_t^{\Gamma} f(x) M_{\Gamma}(x) dx = \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} p_t^{\Gamma}(x, y) f(y) M_{\Gamma}(y) dy dx = \int_{\Gamma} f(y) M_{\Gamma}(y) dy.$$

Since $|P_t^{\Gamma} f| \leq P_t^{\Gamma} |f|$, the contractivity follows. Furthermore, for arbitrary $f \in L^1(M_{\Gamma})$ we write $f = f_+ - f_-$ and use (4.2) to prove (4.1). The semigroup property follows from (2.5).

To prove the strong continuity, we fix $f \in L^1(M_{\Gamma})$ and let $G := f M_{\Gamma} \in L^1(\Gamma)$. There is a sequence $g_n \in C_c^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ such that $\|g_n - G\|_{L^1(\Gamma)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $f_n := g_n / M_{\Gamma}$ we get $f_n \in C_c^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and $\|f_n - f\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} = \|g_n - G\|_{L^1(\Gamma)} \rightarrow 0$. By the first part of the proof,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f - f\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} &\leq \|P_t^{\Gamma} f - P_t^{\Gamma} f_n\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} + \|P_t^{\Gamma} f_n - f_n\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} + \|f_n - f\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} \\ &\leq 2\|f_n - f\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} + \|P_t^{\Gamma} f_n - f_n\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that $\|P_t^{\Gamma} f - f\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ for every $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. To this end we let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $R > 0$ such that $\text{supp } f \in B_R$ and $\int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_R} P_t^{\Gamma} |f|(x) M_{\Gamma}(x) dx < \varepsilon$. Then,

$$(4.3) \quad \|P_t^{\Gamma} f - f\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} < \int_{\Gamma_R} |P_t^{\Gamma} f(x) - f(x)| M_{\Gamma}(x) dx + \varepsilon.$$

Considering the integrand in (4.3), for all $x \in \Gamma_R$ we have

$$(4.4) \quad |P_t^{\Gamma} f(x) - f(x)| \leq \int_{\Gamma} p_t^{\Gamma}(x, y) |f(y) - f(x)| dy + |f(x)| \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{\Gamma} \leq t).$$

Since $P_t f \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, for $t > 0$ small enough we get

$$\int_{\Gamma} p_t^{\Gamma}(x, y) |f(y) - f(x)| dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, y) |f(y) - f(x)| dy < \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand,

$$|f(x)| \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{\Gamma} \leq t) \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{\Gamma} \leq t),$$

where $K := \text{supp } f$. We have $r := \text{dist}(K, \Gamma^c) > 0$, so

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{\Gamma} \leq t) \leq \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{B(x, r)} \leq t) = \mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{B_r} \leq t) \leq ctr^{-\alpha} < \varepsilon,$$

for t small enough, see, e.g., [31]. By (4.3) and (4.4) we get, as required,

$$\|P_t^{\Gamma} f - f\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} < \varepsilon + (\varepsilon + \|f\|_{\infty} \varepsilon) |\Gamma_R| \sup_{\Gamma_R} M_{\Gamma}.$$

□

Recall that

$$\|f\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} := \|f/M_{\Gamma}\|_{L^q(M_{\Gamma}^2)} = \left(\int_{\Gamma} |f(x)|^q M_{\Gamma}^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|f\|_{L^q(M_{\Gamma}^{2-q})},$$

if $1 \leq q < \infty$, and

$$\|f\|_{\infty, M_{\Gamma}} := \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Gamma} |f(x)|/M_{\Gamma}(x).$$

The following characterization of *hypercontractivity* of P_t^{Γ} is crucial for the proof of (1.3).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $q \in [1, \infty)$. We have*

$$(4.5) \quad \|P_t^{\Gamma} f\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|f\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}}$$

for all $t > 0$ and all non-negative functions f on \mathbb{R}^d if and only if

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_{y \in \Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \rho_1(x, y)^q M_{\Gamma}^2(x) dx < \infty.$$

Proof. Assume (4.6). Let $f \geq 0$. With the notation $F := f/M_{\Gamma}$ we get

$$\|P_1^{\Gamma} f\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} = \left(\int_{\Gamma} \left(\int_{\Gamma} \rho_1(x, y) F(y) M_{\Gamma}^2(y) dy \right)^q M_{\Gamma}^2(x) dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Let c be the supremum in (4.6). By Minkowski integral inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Gamma} \left(\int_{\Gamma} \rho_1(x, y) F(y) M_{\Gamma}^2(y) dy \right)^q M_{\Gamma}^2(x) dx \right)^{1/q} &\leq \int_{\Gamma} \left(\int_{\Gamma} \rho_1(x, y)^q M_{\Gamma}^2(x) dx \right)^{1/q} F(y) M_{\Gamma}^2(y) dy \\ &\leq c \int_{\Gamma} F(y) M_{\Gamma}^2(y) dy = c \|f\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $t > 0$, by scaling we get (4.5) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} &= t^{\frac{d+\beta(2-q)}{\alpha q}} \|P_1^{\Gamma} f(t^{1/\alpha} \cdot)\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} \leq ct^{\frac{d+\beta(2-q)}{\alpha q}} \|f(t^{1/\alpha} \cdot)\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}} \\ &= ct^{\frac{d+\beta(2-q)}{\alpha q}} t^{-\frac{d+\beta}{\alpha}} \|f\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}} = ct^{-\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|f\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume (4.5). Let $y \in \Gamma$. Let $g_n \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be functions in $C_c^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ approximating δ_y , the Dirac measure at y , as follows:

$$\int_{\Gamma} g_n(x) dx = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} h(x) g_n(x) dx = h(y),$$

for every function h continuous near y . For $f_n := g_n/M_{\Gamma}$, $\|f_n\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}} = \|g_n\|_1 = 1$ and

$$P_1^{\Gamma} f_n(x) = \int_{\Gamma} p_1^{\Gamma}(x, z) \frac{g_n(z)}{M_{\Gamma}(z)} dz \rightarrow \frac{p_1^{\Gamma}(x, y)}{M_{\Gamma}(y)},$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (4.5) and Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} C^q &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_1^\Gamma f_n\|_{q, M_\Gamma}^q \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Gamma \left| \int_\Gamma \frac{p_1^\Gamma(x, z)}{M_\Gamma(z)} g_n(z) dz \right|^q M_\Gamma^{2-q}(x) dx \\ &\geq \int_\Gamma \rho_1(x, y)^q M_\Gamma^2(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y \in \Gamma$ was arbitrary, we obtain (4.6). \square

Remark 4.3. Of course, (4.5) extends to arbitrary $f \in L^1(M_\Gamma)$.

Example 4.4. As in Example 3.13, we assume that $\beta = 0$. In fact, to simplify notation, let $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^d$. Then (4.6) is trivially satisfied for every $q \in [1, \infty)$, because $\rho_1(x, y) = p_1(x, y)$ is bounded. Therefore, by (4.5), for each $f \in L^1$,

$$\|P_t f\|_q \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|f\|_1.$$

This agrees with [36], see also [13].

Here is a refinement of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $q \in [1, \infty)$, assume (4.6) and suppose Γ is fat. If $f \in L^1(M_\Gamma)$, $\int_\Gamma f(x) M_\Gamma(x) dx = 0$ then*

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^\Gamma f\|_{q, M_\Gamma} = 0.$$

If, additionally, f has compact support, then (4.7) is true for $q = \infty$, too.

Proof. Let $\omega > 0$. First, we prove (4.7) for a compactly supported function $f \in L^1(M_\Gamma)$ satisfying

$$(4.8) \quad \int_\Gamma f(x) M_\Gamma(x) dx = 0.$$

Step 1. Case $q = \infty$.

For $t > 0$ we let

$$I(t) := t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha}} \|P_t^\Gamma f\|_{\infty, M_\Gamma} = t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha}} \sup_{x \in \Gamma} \left| \int_\Gamma \rho_t(x, y) M_\Gamma(y) f(y) dy \right|.$$

By (4.8),

$$I(t) = t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha}} \sup_{x \in \Gamma} \left| \int_\Gamma (\rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0)) M_\Gamma(y) f(y) dy \right|.$$

Since f has compact support, for sufficiently large $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha}} \sup_{x \in \Gamma} \left| \int_{|y| \leq t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega} (\rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0)) M_\Gamma(y) f(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha}} \sup_{\substack{x \in \Gamma \\ |y| \leq t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega}} |\rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0)| \int_{|y| \leq t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega} M_\Gamma(y) |f(y)| dy \\ &= \sup_{\substack{x \in \Gamma \\ |y| \leq \omega}} |\rho_1(x, y) - \rho_1(x, 0)| \int_\Gamma M_\Gamma(y) |f(y)| dy, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used scaling (3.6) of ρ . By Theorem 3.7, we can make it arbitrary small by choosing small ω , and (4.7) follows in this case.

Step 2. Case $q = 1$.

For $t > 0$ we let

$$J(t) := \|P_t^\Gamma f\|_{1, M_\Gamma} = \int_\Gamma \left| \int_\Gamma p_t^\Gamma(x, y) M_\Gamma(x) f(y) dy \right| dx = \int_\Gamma \left| \int_\Gamma \rho_t(x, y) M_\Gamma^2(x) f(y) M_\Gamma(y) dy \right| dx.$$

Applying (4.8), we get

$$J(t) \leq \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} |\rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0)| M_{\Gamma}^2(x) |f(y)| M_{\Gamma}(y) dy dx.$$

Since f has compact support,

$$\begin{aligned} J(t) &\leq \int_{\Gamma} \int_{|y| \leq t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega} |\rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0)| M_{\Gamma}^2(x) |f(y)| M_{\Gamma}(y) dy dx \\ &\leq \sup_{|y| \leq t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega} \|\rho_t(\cdot, y) - \rho_t(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma}^2)} \int_{\Gamma} M_{\Gamma}(y) |f(y)| dy, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large t . In view of (2.10) and (3.6), by changing variables $t^{-1/\alpha}x \rightarrow x$ and $t^{-1/\alpha}y \rightarrow y$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{|y| \leq t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega} \|\rho_t(\cdot, y) - \rho_t(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma}^2)} \\ &= t^{-\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha}} \sup_{|y| \leq t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega} \int |\rho_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x, t^{-1/\alpha}y) - \rho_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x, 0)| M_{\Gamma}^2(x) dx \\ &= \sup_{|y| \leq \omega} \|\rho_1(\cdot, y) - \rho_1(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.5, we can make it arbitrary small by choosing small ω , so (4.7) is true.

Step 3. Case $q \in (1, \infty)$.

By Hölder inequality we get that, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} &= t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \left(\int_{\Gamma} |P_t^{\Gamma} f(x)/M_{\Gamma}(x)|^{q-1} |P_t^{\Gamma} f(x) M_{\Gamma}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left(t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha}} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f\|_{\infty, M_{\Gamma}} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}}^{\frac{1}{q}} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since both factors converge to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by *Step 1.* and *Step 2.*

Finally, consider arbitrary $f \in L^1(M_{\Gamma})$ with $\int_{\Gamma} f(x) M_{\Gamma}(x) dx = 0$. Let $R > 0$ and $f_R(x) = (f(x) - c_R) \mathbf{1}_{|x| \leq R}$, where $c_R = \int_{|x| \leq R} f(x) M_{\Gamma}(x) dx / \int_{|x| \leq R} M_{\Gamma}(x) dx$. Of course,

$$(4.9) \quad \int_{\Gamma} M_{\Gamma}(x) f_R(x) dx = 0,$$

and f_R is compactly supported. Furthermore, due to our assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_R\|_{L^1(M_{\Gamma})} &= |c_R| \int_{|x| \leq R} M_{\Gamma}(x) dx + \int_{|x| > R} M_{\Gamma}(x) |f(x)| dx \\ &= \left| \int_{|x| \leq R} M_{\Gamma}(x) f(x) dx \right| + \int_{|x| > R} M_{\Gamma}(x) |f(x)| dx \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $R > 0$ so large that

$$\|f - f_R\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}} < \varepsilon.$$

For $q = 1$, by using the triangle inequality and Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}} &\leq \|P_t^{\Gamma} f_R\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}} + \|P_t^{\Gamma} (f - f_R)\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}} \\ &\leq \|P_t^{\Gamma} f_R\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}} + \|f - f_R\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

and *Step 2.* yields

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

which proves (4.7) in this case.

If $1 < q < \infty$, then using the triangle inequality and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} &\leq t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f_R\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} + t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^{\Gamma} (f - f_R)\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} \\ &\leq t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^{\Gamma} f_R\|_{q, M_{\Gamma}} + C \|f - f_R\|_{1, M_{\Gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.9) and Step 3.,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^\Gamma f\|_{q, M_\Gamma} \leq 2C\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof of (4.7) for $q \in (1, \infty)$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $q \in [1, \infty)$, assume (4.6) and suppose Γ is fat. Then for $f \in L^1(M_\Gamma)$ and $A = \int_\Gamma f(x) M_\Gamma(x) dx$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^\Gamma f - A\Psi_t\|_{q, M_\Gamma} = 0.$$

Remark 4.7. In view of (3.30) and Lemma 4.1, the constant A in Theorem 4.6 satisfies

$$\int_\Gamma (P_t^\Gamma f(x) - A\Psi_s(x)) M_\Gamma(x) dx = 0, \quad s, t > 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.6. By (2.5), (1.2), Remark 4.7 and Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^\Gamma f - A\Psi_t\|_{q, M_\Gamma} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_{t+1}^\Gamma f - A\Psi_{t+1}\|_{q, M_\Gamma} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{d+2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{q-1}{q}} \|P_t^\Gamma (P_1^\Gamma f - A\Psi_1)\|_{q, M_\Gamma} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

\square

4.1. Applications. We conclude the article by providing several applications and examples which apply to our results. In particular, we draw the reader's attention to Lemma 4.9, which provides sharp distinction between cones bigger and smaller than the half-space $\mathbb{R}_+^d := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_d > 0\}$. The same behavior is displayed by the bigger class of smooth cones, as we assert in Corollary 4.10. First, we note a simple observation.

Example 4.8. Let $q = 1$. By (3.4), the condition (4.6) holds for every fat cone Γ .

Lemma 4.9. *Let $q \in (1, \infty)$ and suppose Γ is a right-circular cone. Then (4.6) holds if $\beta \geq \alpha/2$. Conversely, if $d \geq 2$ and $\beta < \alpha/2$, then (4.6) does not hold.*

Proof. Recall that by [10, Theorem 2 and Eq. (3)],

$$(4.10) \quad p_1^\Gamma(x, y) \approx p_1(x, y) \frac{(1 \wedge \delta_\Gamma(x))^{\alpha/2} (1 \wedge \delta_\Gamma(y))^{\alpha/2}}{(1 \wedge |x|)^{\alpha/2-\beta} (1 \wedge |y|)^{\alpha/2-\beta}}, \quad x, y \in \Gamma.$$

Moreover, [29, Lemma 3.3] entails that

$$M_\Gamma(x) \approx \delta_\Gamma(x)^{\alpha/2} |x|^{\beta-\alpha/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Using this together with (4.10) and (2.4), we infer that, for $x, y \in \Gamma$,

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_1(x, y) &\approx p_1(x, y) \frac{(1 \wedge \delta_\Gamma(x))^{\alpha/2} (1 \wedge \delta_\Gamma(y))^{\alpha/2}}{(1 \wedge |x|)^{\alpha/2-\beta} (1 \wedge |y|)^{\alpha/2-\beta} \delta_\Gamma(x)^{\alpha/2} |x|^{\beta-\alpha/2} \delta_\Gamma(y)^{\alpha/2} |y|^{\beta-\alpha/2}} \\ &\approx (1 + |x - y|)^{-d-\alpha} \frac{(1 + \delta_\Gamma(x))^{-\alpha/2} (1 + \delta_\Gamma(y))^{-\alpha/2}}{(1 + |x|)^{\beta-\alpha/2} (1 + |y|)^{\beta-\alpha/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $q \in (1, \infty)$ and assume $\beta \geq \alpha/2$. Then it follows from (4.11) that $\rho_1(x, y) \lesssim 1$ for $x, y \in \Gamma$, and (3.4) entails that

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Gamma \rho_1(x, y)^q M_\Gamma^2(x) dx &\leq \|\rho_1(x, \cdot)\|_\infty^{q-1} \int_\Gamma \rho_1(x, y) M_\Gamma^2(y) dy \\ &= \|\rho_1(x, \cdot)\|_\infty^{q-1} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get (4.6) as claimed.

Now assume that $d \geq 2$ and $\beta < \alpha/2$. Let $y \in \Gamma$ be such that $\delta_\Gamma(y) = 2$, so that $A := B(y, 1) \subseteq \Gamma$. Then for $x \in A$ one clearly has that $1 + |x - y| \approx 1$ and $\delta_\Gamma(x) \approx 1$. Then it follows from (4.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Gamma \rho_1(x, y)^q M_\Gamma^2(x) dx &\geq \int_A \rho_1(x, y)^q M_\Gamma^2(x) dx \\ &\approx \int_A (1 + |x|)^{q(\alpha/2 - \beta)} (1 + |y|)^{q(\alpha/2 - \beta)} \delta_\Gamma(x)^\alpha |x|^{2\beta - \alpha} dx \\ &\approx |y|^{(q-1)(\alpha - 2\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha - 2\beta > 0$ and $q > 1$, by taking $|y| \rightarrow \infty$ we see that (4.6) cannot hold in this case. \square

Corollary 4.10. *For $d \geq 2$ and smooth cone Γ , (4.6) holds if and only if $\beta \geq \alpha/2$.*

Proof. Recall that Γ is open and $C^{1,1}$ outside of the origin. From the harmonicity and homogeneity of M_Γ , by the boundary Harnack principle we get, as in [29, Lemma 3.3], that

$$M_\Gamma(x) \approx \delta_\Gamma(x)^{\alpha/2} |x|^{\beta - \alpha/2}, \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

Moreover, since a smooth cone is fat, its Dirichlet heat kernel satisfies (4.10). Thus, one can directly repeat the proof of Lemma 4.9 to conclude the claim. \square

Example 4.11. For $d = 1$, either $\Gamma = (0, \infty)$ or $\Gamma = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and both cases are (trivially) smooth cones, with $\delta_\Gamma(y) = |y|$ for $y \in \Gamma$.

When $\Gamma = (0, \infty)$, then (4.11) yields the boundedness of ρ_1 and (4.6) holds through (4.12), since this Γ is right-circular. Recall that here one has $\beta = \alpha/2$ and $M_\Gamma(x) = (0 \vee x)^{\alpha/2}$ by [3, Example 3.2], and we refer to Example 1.3 for the rest of the summary of this case.

If $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, then $\Gamma^c = \{0\}$ is a non-polar set, $\beta = \alpha - 1$, and $M_\Gamma(x) = |x|^{\alpha-1}$; see [3, Example 3.3]. Note that in this example, Γ is not right-circular anymore. Nevertheless, by [10, Example 8], the survival probability is $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t) \approx (1 \wedge t^{-1/\alpha} |x|)^{\alpha-1}$ and

$$p_1^\Gamma(x, y) \approx (1 + |x - y|)^{-1-\alpha} (1 \wedge |x|)^{\alpha-1} (1 \wedge |y|)^{\alpha-1}, \quad x, y \in \Gamma.$$

Thus,

$$\rho_1(x, y) \approx (1 + |x - y|)^{-1-\alpha} (1 + |x|)^{1-\alpha} (1 + |y|)^{1-\alpha} \lesssim 1, \quad x, y \in \Gamma,$$

and one may apply (4.12) to get (4.6), too. It then follows from Theorem 4.6 with $q = 2$ that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{(2\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)} \|P_t^\Gamma f\|_2 = 0$ if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) |x|^{\alpha-1} dx = 0$. Accordingly, by Lemma 3.6, the stationary density φ of Theorem 3.4 satisfies $\varphi(x) \approx (1 + |x|)^{-2\alpha}$. Here and below, $x \in \Gamma$ and $t > 0$. Then, $\Psi_t(x) \approx t^{-1} (1 + t^{-1/\alpha} |x|)^{-1-\alpha} (1 \wedge t^{-1/\alpha} |x|)^{\alpha-1}$ and the density of the Yaglom limit is comparable with $\varphi(x) |x|^{\alpha-1} \approx (1 + |x|)^{-2\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} \approx \Psi_1(x)$.

Example 4.12. Let $d \geq 2$ and Γ be a right-circular cone which is a subset of the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^d . Then by [3, Example 3.2 and Lemma 3.3], we have $\beta \geq \alpha/2$ and Lemma 4.9 gives (4.6). On the other hand, if Γ is such that $\mathbb{R}_+^d \not\subseteq \Gamma$, then $\beta < \alpha/2$ by [3, Lemma 3.3], and Lemma 4.9 asserts that (4.6) does not hold.

The following extends Example 1.3. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}_+^d$, so that $M_\Gamma(x) = (0 \vee x_d)^{\alpha/2}$. By Theorem 4.6, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{(d+\alpha)/(2\alpha)} \|P_t^\Gamma f\|_2 = 0$ if $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} f(x) x_d^{\alpha/2} dx = 0$. Furthermore, the survival probability is $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Gamma > t) \approx 1 \wedge t^{-1/2} (0 \vee x_d)^{\alpha/2}$ and

$$p_1^\Gamma(x, y) \approx (1 + |x - y|)^{-d-\alpha} (1 \wedge x_d)^{\alpha/2} (1 \wedge y_d)^{\alpha/2},$$

see [10, Example 2]. Here and below, $x, y \in \Gamma$. So, $\Psi_t(x) \approx (t^{1/\alpha} \vee |x|)^{-d-\alpha} (t^{1/\alpha} \wedge x_d)^{\alpha/2}$, $t > 0$. The stationary density φ is comparable to $(1 + |x|)^{-d-\alpha} (1 + x_d)^{-\alpha/2}$ and the density of the Yaglom distribution is $\varphi(x) x_d^{\alpha/2} / \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} \varphi(y) y_d^{\alpha/2} dy \approx (1 + |x|)^{-d-\alpha} (1 \wedge x_d)^{\alpha/2} \approx \Psi_1(x)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Armstrong, K. Bogdan, T. Grzywny, Ł. Leżaj, and L. Wang. Yaglom limit for unimodal Lévy processes. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 59(3):1688–1721, 2023.
- [2] R. Bañuelos, R. F. Bass, and K. Burdzy. A representation of local time for Lipschitz surfaces. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 84(4):521–547, 1990.
- [3] R. Bañuelos and K. Bogdan. Symmetric stable processes in cones. *Potential Anal.*, 21(3):263–288, 2004.
- [4] D. Berger, F. Kühn, and R. L. Schilling. Lévy processes, generalized moments and uniform integrability. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 42(1):109–131, 2022.
- [5] P. Biler, G. Karch, and W. A. Woyczyński. Critical nonlinearity exponent and self-similar asymptotics for lévy conservation laws. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire*, 18(5):613–637, 2001.
- [6] R. M. Blumenthal. *Excursions of Markov processes*. Probability and its Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.
- [7] R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Gettoor. Some theorems on stable processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 95:263–273, 1960.
- [8] V. I. Bogachev. *Measure theory. Vol. I, II*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [9] K. Bogdan and T. Grzywny. Heat kernel of fractional Laplacian in cones. *Colloq. Math.*, 118(2):365–377, 2010.
- [10] K. Bogdan, T. Grzywny, and M. Ryznar. Heat kernel estimates for the fractional Laplacian with Dirichlet conditions. *Ann. Probab.*, 38(5):1901–1923, 2010.
- [11] K. Bogdan, T. Grzywny, and M. Ryznar. Dirichlet heat kernel for unimodal Lévy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 124(11):3612–3650, 2014.
- [12] K. Bogdan and T. Jakubowski. Problème de Dirichlet pour les fonctions α -harmoniques sur les domaines coniques. *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal*, 12(2):297–308, 2005.
- [13] K. Bogdan, T. Jakubowski, P. Kim, and D. Pilarczyk. Self-similar solutions for Hardy operator. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 285(5):110014, 2023.
- [14] K. Bogdan, K. Jastrzębski, M. Kassmann, M. Kijaczko, and P. Popławski. Shot-down stable processes. Preprint, arXiv:2301.12290, 2023.
- [15] K. Bogdan, Z. Palmowski, and L. Wang. Yaglom limit for stable processes in cones. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 23:1–19, 2018.
- [16] K. Bogdan, J. Rosiński, G. Serafin, and Ł. Wojciechowski. Lévy systems and moment formulas for mixed Poisson integrals. In *Stochastic analysis and related topics*, volume 72 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 139–164. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [17] K. Bogdan, B. Siudeja, and A. Stós. Martin kernel for fractional Laplacian in narrow cones. *Potential Anal.*, 42(4):839–859, 2015.
- [18] H. Brezis. *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [19] N. Champagnat and D. Villemonais. General criteria for the study of quasi-stationarity. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 28:Paper No. 22, 84, 2023.
- [20] L. Chaumont, H. Pantí, and V. Rivero. The Lamperti representation of real-valued self-similar Markov processes. *Bernoulli*, 19(5B):2494–2523, 2013.
- [21] J. W. Cholewa and A. Rodriguez-Bernal. Self-similarity in homogeneous stationary and evolution problems. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 23(2):42, 2023.
- [22] K. L. Chung and Z. X. Zhao. *From Brownian motion to Schrödinger's equation*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [23] B. Haas and V. Rivero. Quasi-stationary distributions and Yaglom limits of self-similar Markov processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122(12):4054–4095, 2012.
- [24] O. Kallenberg. *Foundations of modern probability*. Probability and its Applications. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [25] T. Kulczycki. Exit time and Green function of cone for symmetric stable processes. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 19(2, Acta Univ. Wratislav. No. 2198):337–374, 1999.
- [26] A. Kulik and M. Scheutzow. A coupling approach to Doob's theorem. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.*, 26(1):83–92, 2015.
- [27] A. E. Kyprianou, V. Rivero, B. Şengül, and T. Yang. Entrance laws at the origin of self-similar Markov processes in high dimensions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373(9):6227–6299, 2020.
- [28] A. E. Kyprianou, V. Rivero, and W. Satitkanitkul. Stable Lévy processes in a cone. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 57(4):2066–2099, 2021.
- [29] K. Michalik. Sharp estimates of the Green function, the Poisson kernel and the Martin kernel of cones for symmetric stable processes. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 36(1):1–21, 2006.
- [30] P. Patie and M. Savov. Spectral expansions of non-self-adjoint generalized Laguerre semigroups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 272(1336):vii+182, 2021.
- [31] W. E. Pruitt. The growth of random walks and Lévy processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 9(6):948–956, 1981.
- [32] W. Rudin. *Functional analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, second edition, 1991.

- [33] R. L. Schilling. *Measures, integrals and martingales*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2017.
- [34] R. Song and J.-M. Wu. Boundary Harnack principle for symmetric stable processes. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 168(2):403–427, 1999.
- [35] E. A. van Doorn and P. K. Pollett. Quasi-stationary distributions for discrete-state models. *European J. Oper. Res.*, 230(1):1–14, 2013.
- [36] J. L. Vázquez. Asymptotic behaviour for the fractional heat equation in the Euclidean space. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 63(7-8):1216–1231, 2018.
- [37] J. L. Vázquez. The evolution fractional p-Laplacian equation in \mathbb{R}^n . fundamental solution and asymptotic behaviour. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 199:112034, 2020.