

ALMOST AUTOMORPHIC AND BIJECTIVE FACTORS OF SUBSTITUTION SHIFTS

ALVARO BUSTOS-GAJARDO, JOHANNES KELLENDONK, AND REEM YASSAWI

ABSTRACT. In this article we completely characterise constant length substitution shifts which have an almost automorphic factor, or which have a bijective substitution factor. Our approach is algebraic: we characterise these dynamical properties in terms of a finite semigroup defined by the substitution. We characterise the existence of almost automorphic factors in terms of Green’s \mathcal{R} -relation and the existence of bijective factors in terms of Green’s \mathcal{L} -relation. Our results are constructive.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we are interested in the existence of certain factors for substitutional dynamical systems. Factors with a specific spectral behaviour tell us something about the spectrum of the original system. In particular, we are interested in characterising when a shift possesses an almost automorphic factor, or when it possesses factors with a singular component in their maximal spectral type. We are also interested in factors whose Ellis semigroup we can describe. We investigate these questions for constant length substitution shifts.

More specifically, we would like to better understand when a substitution shift (X_θ, σ) defined by a primitive aperiodic length- ℓ substitution θ has a factor with the following additional properties. We say that a map is *almost injective* if it is somewhere injective, and a dynamical system is *almost automorphic* if it is an almost injective extension of a group rotation. We are interested in characterising two different scenarios:

- (1) (X_θ, σ) has a non-equicontinuous factor, which is almost automorphic over the maximal equicontinuous factor of (X_θ, σ) , and
- (2) (X_θ, σ) is an almost injective extension of a *bijective* substitution shift.

In the first scenario, looking for almost automorphic shift factors already solves the problem. This is because the substitution systems we consider have odometers as maximal equicontinuous factors, and an almost automorphic extension of an odometer is necessarily conjugate to a shift [6, Theorem 6.4]. We also note that for the systems we study, we find an almost automorphic factor $\pi : (X_\theta, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ if and only if the maximal equicontinuous factor map for (X_θ, σ) factors through π ; see Corollary 2.10.

The interest of the second question is twofold. Firstly, although “most” length- ℓ substitution shifts should have a singular component in their maximal spectral type,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B02, 37B10, 37B52, 20M10, 20M35.

This work was supported by the EPSRC grant numbers EP/V007459/2 and EP/S010335/1. The first author received funding from ANID/FONDECYT Postdoctorado 3230159 during the final phase of this work.

it is only for a subfamily of bijective substitutions that this has been established in some generality; see [1, 17]. Secondly, at the moment, bijective substitution shifts are one of the few families for which an explicit description of the Ellis semigroup exists [11].

We answer both questions completely using a combination of two sets of tools. The first tool is algebraic, the semigroup S_θ of a substitution θ ; see Definition 3.6. This semigroup has been extensively used in the case when it is a group G_θ , i.e., when the substitution is bijective. For example, G_θ is used to characterise the automorphism group [12, 15], and, if it is commutative, then (X_θ, σ, μ) has a singular component in its maximal spectral type [1, 17]. Also, it is a fundamental building block of the Ellis semigroup of a bijective substitution [11]. It is interesting that we use Green's \mathcal{R} relation to prove Theorem 1.1, and Green's \mathcal{L} relation to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

The second set of tools is classical and involves building topologically conjugate versions of (X_θ, σ) using *collaring* and *k-shifting* (Definitions 2.1 and 2.2). In particular, collaring allows us to control the radius of a putative factor map $F : X_\theta \rightarrow Y$, and *k-shifting* allows us to compose F with a “translation”. We use both these constructions to limit and manipulate possible factor maps, and this results in theorem statements that are constructive, i.e., given a length- ℓ substitution shift, one can explicitly determine whether or not it has an almost automorphic or bijective shift factor.

Let $\theta^{(-l,r)}$ denote the $(-l,r)$ -collaring of θ , which is the model of θ that we work with if $F : X_\theta \rightarrow Y$ has left and right radius l and r . To state our first result, we distinguish between different families of factor maps. The simplest factor maps are *inner encodings*; see Definition 2.3. Equivalently, a factor map $F : X_\theta \rightarrow Y$ is an inner encoding if it has radius zero, if Y is substitutional, and if fixed points are mapped to fixed points. Inner encodings arise whenever there is an equivalence relation on the alphabet \mathcal{A}_θ of the substitution θ such that if $a \sim b$, then as words, $\theta(a) \sim \theta(b)$. Thus inner encodings define partitions \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{A}_θ . Conversely, given a substitution θ , one can define a partition \mathcal{P}_θ , which we call *the coincidence partition of θ* (Definition 3.8), and which yields an inner encoding of θ , called the *inner encoding associated to θ* . This inner encoding generates an almost automorphic shift. However one cannot guarantee that the inner encoding is aperiodic, so that its shift space is infinite. Our first result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let θ be a length- ℓ , primitive aperiodic substitution, with pure base $\tilde{\theta}$. Then θ has an aperiodic almost automorphic shift factor if and only if the inner encoding associated to $\tilde{\theta}^{(-1,1)}$ is aperiodic.*

The beauty of this result is that it is quite simple to verify its conditions for a fixed substitution. Both Martin [14] and later Herning [9] have worked on this question, but only in the case where θ is bijective; see below for a discussion of their results. Note that as a corollary, we can show that there exist substitution shifts for which the maximal *tame* factor, [7], equals the maximal equicontinuous factor. For, a tame factor which is not equicontinuous must be almost automorphic [10], and with Theorem 1.1, we can give many examples of substitution shifts with no almost automorphic factor.

Our second result characterises, in terms of the semigroup S_θ , when a substitution has a bijective substitution factor. We make use of the fact that S_θ admits a kernel, i.e., a minimal bilateral ideal, which is a union of minimal left ideals. The

naïve column number of θ is the rank of any element in the kernel of S_θ . We first show the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let θ be constant length substitution with naïve column number $c > 1$. Then (X_θ, σ) factors almost injectively onto a bijective inner encoding on a c -letter alphabet if and only if S_θ has a unique minimal left ideal.*

Note that an almost injective factor onto a bijective substitution exists if and only if an almost injective factor onto a bijective substitution on c letters exists. Note also that if $c = 1$, there is no such factor.

To drop the condition that the bijective factor comes from an inner encoding, let $\theta^{(+k)}$ be the k -shifted extension of θ . This version of θ is especially useful when one considers factor maps F which translate the fixed points of θ , from one fibre of the maximal equicontinuous factor to another, i.e., when in Theorem 4.14, $\kappa(F) \neq 0$. We show

Theorem 1.3. *Let θ be an aperiodic primitive constant length- ℓ substitution with naïve column number $c > 1$ and trivial height. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) (X_θ, σ) factors almost injectively onto a bijective substitution shift on an alphabet with c letters.
- (2) There exist $0 \leq n, k \leq C$ such that the semigroup $S_{(\theta^n)^{(+k)}}$ contains a unique minimal left ideal.

Moreover, C can be explicitly obtained. In general it is doubly exponential in ℓ ; see the statement of Theorem 4.21.

We discuss prior work concerning Theorem 1.1. The original result is by Martin [14]. He couched his work in terms of Veech's result on almost isometric systems [18, Theorem 7.2], which roughly speaking, says that any system with a residual set of distal points can be realised as an inverse limit of alternating isometric and almost automorphic extensions. Constant length substitution shifts satisfy the requirements of Veech's theorem, and given their low complexity, a first natural question arises, which is whether such a shift (X_θ, σ) is already an isometric extension of an almost automorphic shift. Martin investigated this question with the assumption that θ is bijective, i.e., $S_\theta = G_\theta$ is a group. Inside one of his proofs ([14, Lemma 8.05]), he made an additional assumption, which is that any factor map can be assumed to fix fibres with respect to the maximal equicontinuous factor. This renders his work incomplete. Martin goes on to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an almost automorphic factor for a bijective shift, in [14, Lemma 8.08], and his condition (A) in fact translates to our condition that the minimal sets for the collared $\theta^{(0,1)}$ form a partition. This is almost our statement in Theorem 1.1, although for bijective substitutions the situation may be simpler.

Later, in his thesis, Herning [9] re-approaches this question; it seems he was unaware of Martin's work. He cites a question of Michael Baake, who asks whether any substitution shift has a subshift factor that is metrically isomorphic to its Kronecker factor, which for substitutions coincides with the maximal equicontinuous factor. Herning answers this question in the negative by finding bijective substitutions that do not have an almost automorphic shift factor. In [9, Theorem 4.24], Herning characterises bijective length- p substitutions of prime length that have an almost automorphic factor, and his work, although more restrictive, does not make the omission that Martin does. Once translated, his characterisation is very similar

to ours. Our Theorem 1.1 extends these results to characterise when any primitive length- ℓ substitution, not just bijective, has an almost automorphic factor. To complete the connection to Veech's theorem, we mention the elegant result of Lemanczyk and Müllner [13], notably that any constant length substitution shift has an almost injective extension which has an almost automorphic factor.

To our knowledge, the question of the existence of bijective factors has not been addressed in the literature.

We summarise the contents of this paper. In Section 2, we set the background, fix notation, and define collared, shifted and inner encoded substitutions. We then limit the radii of factor maps for a length- ℓ substitution, extending techniques that exist in the literature for invertible factor maps. In Section 3, we set the stage to prove Theorem 1.1. To do this, we define *outer encodings* of a substitution. These are substitutions whose semigroup is an epimorphic image of S_θ . As such, they do not necessarily give rise to dynamical factors. However, we show in Proposition 3.24 that any automorphic factor must factor through the *canonical outer encoding*; see Definition 3.1. This is the key tool to prove Theorem 1.1, once we bound possible factor maps using the tools from Section 2. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3 in three successive steps. First we prove Theorem 1.2, which characterises when a substitution has a bijective factor arising from an inner encoding, i.e., a radius zero factor map which sends fixed points to fixed points. Next, in Theorem 4.13, we give a characterisation while relaxing the condition that the factor map has radius 0. Finally, in Theorem 4.21, we eliminate the condition that the factor map send fixed points to fixed points. We strive to isolate the requirements on the substitution, noting that generally, purely algebraic results do not need the restriction to primitive aperiodic substitutions. We illustrate with examples throughout.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Constant length substitutions. A *length- ℓ substitution* θ is an ordered collection of ℓ maps, the so-called column maps $\theta_m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $m = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$, on a finite set \mathcal{A} , its alphabet.¹ The substitution θ can be understood as a map which associates to a letter $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the word $\theta(a) := \theta_0(a) \cdots \theta_{\ell-1}(a)$ and to a word $a_1 \cdots a_k$ the word

$$(2.1) \quad \theta(a_1 \cdots a_k) = \theta(a_1) \cdots \theta(a_k),$$

of length $k\ell$, and to the bi-infinite sequences $\cdots u_{-2}u_{-1}u_0u_1 \cdots$ the bi-infinite sequence

$$\theta(\cdots u_{-2}u_{-1}u_0u_1 \cdots) := \cdots \theta(u_{-2})\theta(u_{-1})\theta(u_0) \cdot \theta(u_0)\theta(u_1) \cdots.$$

Here the \cdot indicates the position between the negative indices and the nonnegative indices. Powers of θ (iterated compositions of θ with itself) are again substitutions and we write θ_m^k for the $m + 1$ -st map of θ^k .

A bi-infinite sequence u is *θ -periodic* if $\theta^k(u) = u$ for some $k \geq 1$. If $k = 1$ then we say that u is a *fixed point*. By taking a power of θ if necessary, we will assume that each θ -periodic point is θ -fixed. We say that a finite word is *allowed* for θ if it appears somewhere in $\theta^k(a)$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The *substitution shift* (X_θ, σ) is the dynamical system where the space X_θ consists of all bi-infinite

¹Since we do not consider substitutions which are not of constant length, all substitutions will be understood to have constant length.

sequences all of whose subwords are allowed for θ . We equip X_θ with the subspace topology of the product topology on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, making the left shift map σ a continuous \mathbb{Z} -action.

In this article our techniques are a combination of algebraic arguments involving finite semigroups, and dynamical techniques applied to the dynamical system (X_θ, σ) generated by θ . For the algebraic arguments, very few constraints are imposed on θ . For the dynamical arguments, we collect the various properties of substitutions which will play a role.

- *Primitivity.* We say that θ is *primitive* if there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$, the word $\theta^k(a)$ contains at least one occurrence of a' . For dynamical arguments, substitutions will mostly be assumed primitive. Primitivity of θ implies that X_θ is the shift-orbit closure of any θ -periodic point, and (X_θ, σ) is minimal. If θ is primitive, then $X_\theta = X_{\theta^n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by considering a power of θ if necessary, we will assume that all θ -periodic points are θ -fixed.
- *Aperiodicity.* We say that θ is *aperiodic* if X_θ does not contain any σ -periodic sequences. This is the case if and only if X_θ is an infinite space.
- *Bijectivity.* We say that θ is *bijective* if all column maps $\theta_m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are bijective.

2.2. The maximal equicontinuous factor of a length- ℓ substitution. Let \mathbb{Z}_ℓ denote the ℓ -adic integers, i.e., the inverse limit of cyclic groups $\varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{\ell}, h} := \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n h \mathbb{Z}$ and let $1 := (\dots, 0, 0, 1)$; addition in $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{\ell}, h}$ is performed with carry. If θ is primitive and aperiodic, then Dekking's theorem [5] tells us that $(\mathbb{Z}_\ell, +1)$ is an equicontinuous factor of (X_θ, σ) . Furthermore, there is an h , with $0 < h < \ell$, with h coprime to ℓ , such that $(\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{\ell}, h}, +1)$ is the maximal equicontinuous factor of (X_θ, σ) . The integer h is called the *height* of θ , and we say that θ has *trivial height* if $h = 1$.

We fix the factor map $\pi : X_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ from a primitive aperiodic length- ℓ substitution shift (X_θ, σ) to $(\mathbb{Z}_\ell, +1)$ with which we work in this article. We will specify it by requiring $\pi(u) = 0$ if and only if u is a θ -fixed point. We refer the reader to [5] for details.

Given the substitution θ , the substitution θ^n is a length- ℓ^n substitution. If $0 \leq j \leq \ell^n - 1$, we use θ_j^n to denote its j -th column map. The *naïve column number* of a substitution θ is defined as the minimal number of distinct letters in the image of a column map of θ^n , for some n . In other words,

$$(2.2) \quad c = c(\theta) := \inf_{j, n} \{|\theta_j^n(\mathcal{A})| : 0 \leq j < \ell^n\}.$$

We say that θ has a *coincidence* if $c = h$. In this case, (X_θ, σ) is *almost automorphic*, i.e., an almost injective extension of its maximal equicontinuous factor. For details, see [5]. We remark that our notion of column number is different to Dekking's original definition; however it is in this paper more useful, as it is also in [13], where Lemanczyk and Muellner show that h divides $c(\theta)$, and that (X_θ, σ) is a somewhere $c(\theta)$ -to-one extension of $(\mathbb{Z}_\ell, +1)$. Dekking's definition of the column number of θ coincides with our definition of naïve column number if θ has trivial height; in this case we will drop the adjective naïve.

2.3. Collared and shifted substitutions. It will be necessary to consider collared substitutions and k -shifted substitutions of the substitution θ , which yield

shifts that are topologically conjugate to (X_θ, σ) . The notation we use in the following definition will be useful when we consider recasting factor maps of left radius $l \geq 0$ and right radius $r \geq 0$ as codings.

Definition 2.1. Let $n = (-l, r)$ where $l, r \geq 0$. The n -collared extension of θ is the substitution $\theta^{(n)}$ of the same length whose alphabet consists of the allowed $r + 1 + l$ -letter words of θ and which is given as follows. Given an allowed word $a_{-l} \dots a_r$ compute $a'_{-l} \dots a'_{\ell(r+1)-1} := \theta(a_{-l} \dots a_r)$ and set

$$\theta^{(n)}_m(a_{-l} \dots a_r) := a'_{m-l} \dots a'_{m+r}.$$

If we take $l = r = 0$ then we obtain $\theta^{(n)} = \theta$. If $l = 0$ then $\theta^{(n)}$ is the so-called r -sliding block representation of θ ; see [17, Section 5.4].

Definition 2.2. Let $0 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$. The k -shifted extension of θ is the substitution $\theta^{(+k)}$ of the same length whose alphabet consists of the allowed 2-letter words of θ and which is given as follows. Given an allowed word $a_0 a_1$, write $\theta(a_0 a_1) = a'_0 \dots a'_{2\ell-1}$ and set

$$\theta^{(+k)}_m(a_0 a_1) := a'_{m+k} a'_{m+k+1}.$$

We have $\theta^{(+0)} = \theta^{(0,1)}$.

2.4. Factors, codes and encoded substitutions. We say that a shift (Y, σ) is a *dynamical factor* of the shift (X, σ) if there is a continuous, surjective map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ (the factor map) which intertwines the shifts, $F \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ F$.

The Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon theorem states that a factor map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ between two shifts, $X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $Y \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by a local rule, that is, given integers $l \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$ there is a surjective map $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^{r+1+l} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, so that

$$(F(x))_n = \varphi(x_{n-l}, \dots, x_{n+r})$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The quantities l, r are called the left and right radius of F respectively. If F is radius zero, i.e., $l = r = 0$, then the local rule $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of F is called a *code*. We use uppercase letters to denote factor maps, and lowercase greek letters to denote local rules. If we are given a local rule φ which defines a factor map, we will denote it by F_φ .

Definition 2.3. Let η and θ be length- ℓ substitutions. We say that η is an *inner encoding* of θ if there exists a surjective map $\beta : \mathcal{A}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\eta$ which intertwines the column maps of the substitutions, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_\theta & \xrightarrow{\theta_m} & \mathcal{A}_\theta \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ \mathcal{A}_\eta & \xrightarrow{\eta_m} & \mathcal{A}_\eta \end{array}$$

commutes.

If we need more precision then we denote the inner encoding also by the pair (η, β) . If (η, β) is an inner encoding of θ , then β is the code of a factor map $F_\beta : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$. However, given an arbitrary code $\beta : \mathcal{A}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\eta$ for a factor map $F_\beta : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$, it will in general not intertwine the substitutions as above. Indeed, the code

$$\mathcal{A}_{\theta^{(+1)}} \ni a_0 a_1 \xrightarrow{\tau} a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$$

gives rise to a factor map $F_r : X_{\theta^{(r+1)}} \rightarrow X_\theta$ which is injective and therefore defines a conjugacy, but θ is not an inner encoding of $\theta^{(r+1)}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Consider a substitution θ with its $n = (-l, r)$ -collaring $\theta^{(n)}$. Let $\iota : \mathcal{A}_{\theta^{(n)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\theta$ be given by*

$$(2.3) \quad \iota(a_{-l} \dots a_r) := a_0.$$

Then (θ, ι) is an inner coding of $\theta^{(n)}$, and the factor map F_ι is injective.

Proof. Direct computation. \square

We say that a factor map $F : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$ between two substitution shifts of equal length *preserves the fixed point fibre* if it maps the fixed points of θ to fixed points of η .

Lemma 2.5. *Let η and θ be length- ℓ substitutions and let $\beta : \mathcal{A}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\eta$ be a code. If (η, β) is an inner encoding of θ then the factor map F_β preserves the fixed point fibre. Hence if (η, β) is an aperiodic inner encoding of θ , and if θ has trivial height, then the maximal equicontinuous factor map of (X_θ, σ) factors through F_β . If θ is primitive then the converse is true as well.*

Proof. Recall that we can assume, by going over to a power of the substitution if needed, that all θ -periodic points of θ are fixed. Let $v.u$ be a fixed point of θ and hence also of θ^m for any $m \geq 1$. We denote by $u = u_0 \dots$ and $\dots v_{-1} = v$ the right and left infinite parts.

Suppose that η is inner encoded by β , that is, for each n and a , $\beta(\theta^n(a)) = \eta^n(\beta(a))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_\beta(v.u) &= \lim_n \beta \theta^n(v_{-1}.u_0) = \lim_n \eta^n(\beta(v_{-1}).\beta(u_0)) \\ &= \eta(\lim_n \eta^n(\beta(v_{-1}).\beta(u_0))) = \eta(F_\beta(v.u)). \end{aligned}$$

The second statement is now immediate.

Conversely, suppose that F_β sends fixed points to fixed points, so that $F_\beta(v.u)$ is a fixed point of η^n for any $n \geq 1$. If $m < \ell^n$, then β maps the m -th letter of u , which is $\theta_m^m(u_0)$, to the m th letter of $\beta(u)$, which is $\eta_m^m(\beta(u_0))$. In particular, β maps $\theta_m(\theta_k(u_0))$ to $\eta_m(\eta_k(\beta(u_0))) = \eta_m(\beta(\theta_k(u_0)))$. If θ is primitive all letters arise as $\theta_k^N(u_0)$ for some N and k showing that $\eta_m(\beta(a)) = \beta(\theta_m(a))$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$. \square

Any map $\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between sets defines a partition $\mathcal{P}_\beta = \{\beta^{-1}(b) : b \in \mathcal{B}\}$. We call \mathcal{P}_β the *partition associated to β* .

Lemma 2.6. *Let θ be a length- ℓ substitution on \mathcal{A} .*

(1) *If (η, β) is an inner coding of θ then the partition \mathcal{P}_β associated to β satisfies*

$$\forall m \forall A \in \mathcal{P}_\beta \exists B \in \mathcal{P}_\beta \text{ such that } \theta_m(A) \subset B.$$

(2) *Conversely, if there is a partition \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{A} such that*

$$\forall m \forall A \in \mathcal{P} \exists B \in \mathcal{P} \text{ such that } \theta_m(A) \subset B,$$

then the canonical projection $\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ defines an inner coding (η, β) of θ through $\eta_m := \beta \theta_m \beta^{-1}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}_\theta & \xrightarrow{\beta_\tau} & \mathcal{A}_{\eta_\tau} \\
\tau \downarrow & \swarrow \tau' & \\
\mathcal{B} & &
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. The commuting diagram of codes defined by a code τ and its inner encoding (η_τ, β_τ) .

Proof. Suppose that (η, β) is an inner coding of θ . Then for all m we have $\eta_m \circ \beta = \beta \circ \theta_m$. This implies that for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $\beta\theta_m$ has the same value on all elements of $\beta^{-1}(b)$. In other words for any $A \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ we have that $\beta\theta_m(A)$ is a singleton, and this implies that $\theta_m(A)$ must be a subset of a member of \mathcal{P}_β .

As for the converse, if \mathcal{P} is a partition with the required property then we can define $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{P}$, the code $\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ to be the map that sends $a \in \mathcal{A}$ to the member of \mathcal{P} to which it belongs and for $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $\eta_m(b)$ is defined to be the member of \mathcal{P} which contains $\theta_m(b)$, i.e., $\eta_m := \beta\theta_m\beta^{-1}$. \square

If \mathcal{P} satisfies (2) of Lemma 2.6, we call the associated inner encoding the *inner encoding defined by the partition \mathcal{P}* .

Although not all codes give rise directly to inner encoded substitutions, they induce an inner encoded substitution in the following way: Let θ be a substitution on the alphabet \mathcal{A} and $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a code. If \mathcal{P}_τ does not satisfy Condition (2) of Lemma 2.6, we can define a finer partition $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\tau$ which has this property, notably through the equivalence relation $a \sim b$ if $\forall n \geq 0, 0 \leq m < \ell^n, \tau(\theta^n_m(a)) = \tau(\theta^n_m(b))$. We denote the associated inner encoded system by (η_τ, β_τ) and call it the *inner encoding defined by τ* . The alphabet of η_τ is usually smaller than \mathcal{A} and usually larger than \mathcal{B} . There is thus a code $\tau' : \mathcal{A}_{\eta_\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ which satisfies $\tau = \tau' \circ \beta_\tau$. This is summarised in the commuting Figure 1.

2.5. Factors of substitution shifts. Our aim is to show that, up to conjugacy, any dynamical factor of any primitive, aperiodic, constant length substitution θ of trivial height is an inner encoding of a collaring $\theta^{(n)}$ of θ , where $n = (-l, r)$ with $l, r \leq 1$. Later we will see using the suspension construction how the result transposes to the case of any height.

We first state in this context Theorem 2.7, which is [15, Theorem 22], and which we use extensively. It focuses on shift factors which are given by a code. Such shift factors are also called *ℓ -automatic*. While the statement of [15, Theorem 22] does not mention inner encoded substitutions, a look at its proof shows that the substitution referred to in that theorem is exactly the inner encoded substitution defined by the code. Theorem 2.7 uses the additional assumption of pair aperiodicity which we explain.

We call the letters a, b a *periodic pair* if there exists $p = p(a, b)$ and ℓ^p such that $0 \leq m < \ell^p$ such that $\theta^p_m(a) = a$ and $\theta^p_m(b) = b$. We define

$$(2.4) \quad p(\theta) := \text{lcm}\{p(a, b) : a, b \text{ are a periodic pair}\},$$

and call a substitution θ with $p(\theta) = 1$ *pair-aperiodic*. $\theta^{p(\theta)}$ is always pair-aperiodic [15].

Theorem 2.7. *Let θ be an aperiodic, pair aperiodic, primitive, length- ℓ substitution on \mathcal{A}_θ , of trivial height. Let $F_\tau : X_\theta \rightarrow Y \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the factor map defined by a*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_\theta & \xrightarrow{F_{\beta_\tau}} & X_{\eta_\tau} \\
F_\tau \downarrow & & \swarrow F_{\tau'} \\
& & Y
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. The commutative diagram for Theorem 2.7. $F_{\tau'}$ is a conjugacy.

code $\tau : \mathcal{A}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Consider the inner coding (η_τ, β_τ) of θ defined by τ with its accompanying code $\tau' : \mathcal{A}_{\eta_\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. The induced shift-commuting map $F_{\tau'} : X_{\eta_\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is injective and has image Y . In other words $F_{\tau'}$ is a conjugacy between X_{η_τ} and Y .

We have summarised the statement in Figure 2. The diagram follows from Figure 1. The theorem states that $F_{\tau'}$ is a conjugacy.

Proposition 2.8. *Let θ be a primitive, aperiodic length- ℓ substitution, of trivial height, and let $F : X_\theta \rightarrow Y \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a factor map. There exists an $n = (-l, r)$ -collaring $\theta^{(n)}$ and a code $\tau : \mathcal{A}_{\theta^{(n)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $F = F_\tau \circ F_\tau^{-1}$. Also, if $Y = X_\eta$ is an aperiodic length- ℓ substitution shift and F preserves the fixed point fibre, then $l, r \leq 1$.*

The statement of this proposition is summarised in Figure 3.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_\theta & \xleftarrow{F_l} & X_{\theta^{(n)}} \\
& \searrow F & \downarrow F_\tau \\
& & Y
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. The commutative diagram for Prop. 2.8. The composition $F_{\beta_\tau} \circ F_\tau^{-1}$ preserves the fixed point fibre.

Proof. Let l, r be the left, right radius of F respectively, and let $n = (-l, r)$. Then there is a code $\tau : \mathcal{A}_{\theta^{(n)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $F_\tau : X_{\theta^{(n)}} \rightarrow Y$ is a factor map and such that $F = F_\tau \circ F_\tau^{-1}$. Note that by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, F_τ^{-1} maps fixed points to fixed points.

To prove the second statement, suppose that $Y = X_\eta$ for some length- ℓ substitution and that F preserves the fibre of fixed points.

Let $R = \max\{l, r\}$. We know that $(F(x))_i$ is determined by $x_{[i-R, i+R]}$, and thus $(F(x))_{[0, \ell^n]}$ is determined by $x_{[-R, \ell^n + R]}$. Thus, if we choose $n \geq \lceil \log_\ell R \rceil$, this ensures $R \leq \ell^n$, hence $(F(x))_{[0, \ell^n]}$ is entirely determined by $x_{[-\ell^n, 2\ell^n]}$.

Fix $n \geq \lceil \log_\ell R \rceil$. Since F sends fixed points to fixed points, then $F(\theta^n(X_\theta)) \subseteq \eta^n(X_\eta)$. Define $G : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$ as $G := \eta^{-n} \circ F \circ \theta^n$. Note that $F \circ \theta^n$ maps X_θ to $\eta^n(X_\eta)$, and the map $\eta^{-n} : \eta^n(X_\eta) \rightarrow X_\eta$ is well-defined by recognisability of η , so G is also well-defined. It is not hard to check that G is continuous and $G \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ G$, so G is also a factor map.

Given knowledge of $x_{[-1, 1]}$, we know $(\theta^n(x))_{[-\ell^n, 2\ell^n]}$ and hence $(F \circ \theta^n(x))_{[0, \ell^n]}$ is also determined, as discussed above.

Recall that a substitution η is *injective* if the map $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\ell$ is injective. If η is injective, then $(F \circ \theta^n(x))_{[0, \ell^n]}$ determines $(\eta^{-n} \circ F \circ \theta^n(x))_0$, and thus G has

left and right radius at most 1. Also, G must send fixed points to fixed points. If η is non-injective on letters, we can replace it with an injectivisation $\tilde{\eta}$ of η , which is the standard example of an inner encoding of η , with two letters identified if and only if their images under η are equal, see [2]. As the natural conjugacy $X_\eta \rightarrow X_{\tilde{\eta}}$ has radius 0, this will not change the desired result, that G has left and right radius at most 1.

It remains to show that the radius restriction for G implies the same restriction for F . Minimality implies that any factor map $X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is entirely determined by the image of a single point. As the fixed point fibres of substitutions are finite, there can only be finitely many factor maps $F_i : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$ which preserve the fixed point fibre. Let $\mathcal{F} := \{F_1, \dots, F_k\}$ be the collection of those. Let l_i, r_i be the left and right radius of each F_i . If we define $R = \max\{l_1, r_1, \dots, l_k, r_k\}$ and take $n \geq \lceil \log_\ell R \rceil$, then the above argument shows that $\eta^{-n} \circ F_i \circ \theta^n$ preserves the fixed point fibre of θ and hence the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by $F_i \mapsto \eta^{-n} \circ F_i \circ \theta^n$ is well-defined. This map is a bijection. For, if $\eta^{-n} \circ F_i \circ \theta^n = \eta^{-n} \circ F_j \circ \theta^n$, then $F_i \circ \theta^n(u) = F_j \circ \theta^n(u)$ for any point $u \in X_\theta$, and in particular for any fixed point u of θ , so that $F_i(u) = F_j(u)$ for u a fixed point. Now minimality implies that the map is injective and since \mathcal{F} is finite it is also surjective.

To conclude, we have seen above that every factor map of the form $\eta^{-n} \circ F_i \circ \theta^n$ has left and right radius at most 1 and so the same must hold for all F_i . \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let θ be an aperiodic, primitive, length- ℓ substitution on \mathcal{A}_θ , of trivial height. Let $F : X_\theta \rightarrow Y$ be a shift factor. Then there exists an $n = (-l, r)$ -collaring $\theta^{(n)}$ with $l, r \leq 1$, a natural number $p \geq 1$, a length- ℓ^p substitution η and a code $\beta : \mathcal{A}_{\theta^{(n)p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\eta$ such that (η, β) is an inner encoding of $\theta^{(n)p}$ and (X_η, σ) is conjugate to (Y, σ) .*

Proof. By Proposition 2.8 there is a factor map $F_\tau : X_{\theta^{(n)}} \rightarrow Y$ for some collaring $\theta^{(n)}$ of θ such that the diagram in Figure 3 commutes.

Let p be such that $\theta^{(n)p}$ is pair aperiodic. We apply Theorem 2.7 to $F_\tau : X_{\theta^{(n)p}} \rightarrow Y$ to obtain the inner encoding (η_τ, β_τ) of $\theta^{(n)p}$. This situation is summarised in the following diagram. Note that $X_{\theta^{(n)p}}$ is equal to $X_{\theta^{(n)}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_\theta & \xrightarrow{F_l^{-1}} & X_{\theta^{(n)p}} & \xrightarrow{F_{\beta_\tau}} & X_{\eta_\tau} \\ & \searrow F & \downarrow F_\tau & \swarrow F_{\tau'} & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

If $n = (-l, r)$ with $l, r \leq 1$ then we are done, the factor $X_\theta \rightarrow Y$ is conjugate to the factor $F_{\beta_\tau} : X_{\theta^{(n)p}} \rightarrow X_{\eta_\tau}$ where (η_τ, β_τ) is an inner encoding.

If $n = (-l, r)$ with perhaps $l > 1$ or $r > 1$ then we need one more step. As F_l and F_{β_τ} are both obtained from inner encodings, they preserve the fixed point fibres. It follows that the composition $\tilde{F} := F_{\beta_\tau} \circ F_l^{-1} : X_\theta \rightarrow X_{\eta_\tau}$ preserves the fixed point fibre. We repeat the whole argument above but with X_{η_τ} in place of Y . We can apply Proposition 2.8, to obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_\theta & \xleftarrow{F_l} & X_{\theta^{(\tilde{n})p}} & \xrightarrow{F_{\beta_{\tilde{\tau}}}} & X_{\eta_{\tilde{\tau}}} \\ & \searrow \tilde{F} & \downarrow F_{\tilde{\tau}} & \swarrow F_{\tilde{\tau}'} & \\ & & X_{\eta_\tau} & & \end{array}$$

however this time with $\tilde{n} = (\tilde{l}, \tilde{r})$ with $\tilde{l}, \tilde{r} \leq 1$. This gives us a chain of conjugacies, namely between the factor $F : X_\theta \rightarrow Y$ and $\tilde{F} : X_\theta \rightarrow X_{\eta_\tau}$ as we saw above, and then between $\tilde{F} : X_\theta \rightarrow X_{\eta_\tau}$ and $F_{\beta_{\tilde{r}}} : X_{\theta^{(\tilde{n})\tilde{p}}} \rightarrow X_{\eta_{\tilde{r}}}$. \square

We note that the number p in Corollary 2.9 is bounded by $p(\theta^{(n)})$ in (2.4).

Combining Corollary 2.9 and Lemma 2.5, we obtain

Corollary 2.10. *Let θ be an aperiodic, primitive, length- ℓ substitution on \mathcal{A}_θ , of trivial height, with maximal equicontinuous factor map $\pi_\theta : X_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$. If (X_θ, σ) has an almost automorphic shift factor, then it has a shift factor $\pi : X_\theta \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi_\theta = \pi_Y \circ \pi$, where $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is an almost injective factor map.*

3. THE SEMIGROUP OF A SUBSTITUTION, INNER ENCODINGS AND OUTER ENCODINGS

In this section we completely characterise the length- ℓ substitutions θ which have a factor that is almost automorphic over the maximal equicontinuous factor of (X_θ, σ) , in Theorem 3.27 and Corollary 3.31. In Section 2 we have seen that such a factor is conjugate to one which is obtained by an inner encoding of θ which has a coincidence, provided that θ has trivial height. We will do this here by using the algebraic structure of the semigroup S_θ which we introduce in Definition 3.6. We will find that there are always inner encodings of θ which have a coincidence, but the desired almost automorphic factor will exist only if the relevant inner encoding is aperiodic. Finally we explain how to transpose these results to substitutions with non-trivial height.

3.1. Semigroup preliminaries. We need some background material on subsemigroups of the semigroup $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of maps from X to itself; see also [3, 8, 16]. Here X is just a set and the semigroup product is composition of functions. For our purposes X will be a finite set. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the set of subsets of X .

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. We denote by $f^{-1} : \mathcal{P}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ the pre-image map but simply write $f^{-1}(y)$ for $f^{-1}(\{y\})$. The map f defines an equivalence relation as $x \sim x'$ if $f(x) = f(x')$. We denote the associated partition by \mathcal{P}_f , that is,

$$\mathcal{P}_f = \{f^{-1}(y) : y \in Y\}.$$

The cardinality of \mathcal{P}_f equals the rank of f , that is the cardinality of its image $\text{im}f$.

Recall two of Green's equivalence relations \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{R} . They give a first way to approach and organise a semigroup S . We say that $a, b \in S$ are \mathcal{L} -related, or \mathcal{R} -related, if they generate the same left, or right ideal, respectively. If S is a group, then these relations coincide with the full relation. Each of the above relations partition the semigroup. \mathcal{R} is a left congruence and therefore S/\mathcal{R} a left- S -module. \mathcal{L} is a right congruence and therefore S/\mathcal{L} a right- S -module.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a semigroup of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. If $f, g \in S$ are \mathcal{R} -related then $\text{im}f = \text{im}g$. If $f, g \in S$ are \mathcal{L} -related then $\mathcal{P}_f = \mathcal{P}_g$.*

Proof. Let f and g be \mathcal{R} -related, that is, $f = g$ or there is f', g' such that $f = gg'$, $g = ff'$. Then clearly $\text{im}f \subset \text{im}g$ and $\text{im}g \subset \text{im}f$.

Let f and g be \mathcal{L} -related, that is, $f = g$ or there is f', g' such that $f = g'g$, $g = f'f$. Then $f^{-1}(x) = g^{-1}(g'^{-1}(x))$ showing that the members of the partition \mathcal{P}_f are unions of members of the partition of \mathcal{P}_g , i.e. the partition \mathcal{P}_g is finer than \mathcal{P}_f . A symmetric argument shows that $\mathcal{P}_f = \mathcal{P}_g$. \square

An element $f \in S \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$ is *completely regular* if it has a normal inverse, that is, there exists $g \in S$ such that $fgf = f$ and $fg = gf$. This implies that fg is an idempotent. As normal inverses are unique we call fg the idempotent associated to f and denote it f^0 .

A *completely simple* semigroup is a semigroup which has no proper bilateral ideals and which contains an idempotent. The *kernel* of a semigroup, if it exists, is its smallest bilateral ideal. If X is finite then any sub-semigroup of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ admits a kernel. We denote the kernel of S by $\ker S$; if it contains an idempotent it is completely simple, and any element is completely regular.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a finite set and $S \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then S is completely simple if and only if all its functions have the same rank. Moreover, if $f, g \in S$ belong to the same right ideal, then $g^0 f = f$, while if f, g belong to the same left ideal, then $f = fg^0$.*

Proof. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the subset of functions of rank $\leq n$ form a bilateral ideal in S . Hence the condition that all functions have the same rank is necessary for simplicity.

Now suppose that all functions of S have the same rank. Let $f \in S$. Then $\text{im} f = \text{im} f^k$ for all $k \geq 1$. As X is finite there must be a $k > 0$ such that $f = f^{k+1}$. Hence $f^k = f^0 \in S$. The restriction of f^0 to $\text{im} f$ is the identity. Let also $g \in S$. As $fg \in S$ the argument above shows that there is $k > 0$ such that the restriction of $(gf)^k$ to $\text{im} gf = \text{im} g$ is the identity. It follows that $(gf)^k g = g$. Hence g belongs to the bilateral ideal generated by f . Choosing $f \in \ker S$ we see that $S = \ker S$ and so is simple.

Suppose that $f, g \in S$ belong to the same right ideal. Then $f = gg'$ for some $g' \in S$. Hence $g^0 f = g^0 gg' = gg' = f$. Similarly, if $f, g \in S$ belong to the same left ideal. Then $f = g'g$ for some $g' \in S$. Hence $fg^0 = g'gg^0 = f$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a finite set and $S \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$. The kernel of S is given by its functions of minimal rank. It is completely simple.*

The Rees structure theorem ([3, Theorem 3.5] or [11, Theorem 2.1]) tells us that a completely simple semigroup (without zero) is isomorphic to a matrix semigroup $S \cong I \times G \times \Lambda$ where I indexes the right ideals of S , Λ indexes the left ideals of S , G is a group and there is an $I \times \Lambda$ matrix M such that multiplication in $I \times G \times \Lambda$ is defined as

$$(i, g, \lambda)(i', g', \lambda') = (i, gM_{\lambda, i'}g', \lambda')$$

The right ideals are given by $\{i\} \times G \times \Lambda$, $i \in I$, and the left ideals by $I \times G \times \{\lambda\}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In particular one sees that any right ideal intersects any left ideal non-trivially.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $S \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$ be a completely simple semigroup and $f, g \in S$. The following are equivalent.*

- R1 f and g are \mathcal{R} -related.
- R2 f and g belong to the same right ideal.
- R3 f and g have the same image.

Moreover, the following are equivalent.

- L1 f and g are \mathcal{L} -related.
- L2 f and g belong to the same left ideal.

L3 f and g define the same partition.

Proof. A completely simple semigroup (without zero) is the disjoint union of its right ideals and all the right ideals are simple. As the \mathcal{R} -class of any element of a simple right ideal is that right ideal, we have equivalence between R1 and R2. It remains to show that R3 implies R2: Suppose that f and g belong to the same left ideal. Then $fg^0 = f$. If also $\text{im}f = \text{img}$ then $g^0f = f$, hence f and g belong to the same right ideal. Now suppose that f and g are arbitrary elements satisfying $\text{im}f = \text{img}$. Then there is $h \in S$ which is in the same right ideal as g and the same left ideal as f . The first property implies $\text{im}h = \text{img}$ and, by the above, h is also in the same right ideal as f . Hence f and g belong to the same right ideal.

The equivalence between L1 and L2 is shown as for right ideals. We show that L3 implies L2: Suppose that f and g belong to the same right ideal. Then $g^0f = f$. If also $\mathcal{P}_f = \mathcal{P}_g$ then $fg^0 = f$, hence f and g belong to the same left ideal. The rest of the argument is as for right ideals. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let S be a semigroup which admits a kernel. Let $\Phi : S \rightarrow T$ be an epimorphism onto another semigroup T . The restriction of Φ to the kernel of S is an epimorphism onto the kernel of T . Moreover, if S has a unique minimal left ideal then also T has a unique minimal left ideal.*

Proof. Any epimorphism preserves ideals: We show this for left ideals. Let I be a left ideal of S and $t \in T$; we ought to show that $t\Phi(I) \subset \Phi(I)$. Since Φ is onto there is $s \in S$ such that $\Phi(s) = t$. Then $t\Phi(I) = \Phi(sI) \subset \Phi(I)$.

Furthermore, the preimage of an ideal is an ideal: We show this for left ideals. Let I be a left ideal of T and $s \in S$; we ought to show that $s\Phi^{-1}(I) \subset \Phi^{-1}(I)$. Indeed, $\Phi(s\Phi^{-1}(I)) = tI \subset I$.

Now the above implies that $I := \Phi(\ker S)$ is the kernel of T : Indeed, by the first paragraph it is a bilateral ideal. Let $J \subset I$ be a bilateral ideal of T . Then $\Phi^{-1}(J)$ is a bilateral ideal in S by the second paragraph. $\Phi^{-1}(J)$ thus contains $\ker S$. Hence $\Phi(\ker S) \subset J$ showing that $J = I$.

Suppose now that $\ker S$ is left simple and that L_1, L_2 are left ideals of $\ker T$. Then $\Phi^{-1}(L_1) \cap \ker S$ and $\Phi^{-1}(L_2) \cap \ker S$ belong to the same left ideal. As epimorphisms preserve ideals their image under Φ belongs to the same left ideal. Hence L_1 and L_2 belong to the same left ideal. Hence $L_1 = L_2$. \square

3.2. The semigroup of a length- ℓ substitution. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite set. Let $S \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $S^{(n)}$ to be the family of maps which have rank smaller or equal n . If $S^{(n)}$ is not empty then it is a two-sided ideal of S .

Definition 3.6. The semigroup S_θ of a length- ℓ substitution θ over the alphabet \mathcal{A} is the subsemigroup of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by the column maps $\theta_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$.

Recall from (2.2) that the naïve column number of θ is the smallest rank of a product of column maps. From Corollary 3.3 we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.7. *Let θ be a constant length substitution. The kernel of S_θ is $S_\theta^{(c)}$, where c is the naïve column number of θ .*

Definition 3.8. The *minimal sets* of the substitution θ are the images of the maps of S_θ of minimal rank. We denote the family of minimal sets by U_θ , i.e.,

$$U_\theta := \{\text{im}f : f \in \ker S_\theta\}.$$

If U_θ is a cover of \mathcal{A} , that is, $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{f \in \ker S_\theta} \text{im} f$, then we call the substitution *essentially surjective*. Given U_θ we define a relation on the members by $A \sim B$ if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. The transitive closure of this relation is an equivalence relation on $\bigcup_{f \in \ker S_\theta} \text{im} f$ which defines a partition which we call the *coincidence partition* and denote by \mathcal{P}_θ .

Note that while $S_{\theta^N} \subset S_\theta$, for any $N \geq 1$, the inclusion may be strict. However their kernels are always equal, by the next lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *Let θ be a substitution and $N \geq 1$. Then $\ker S_{\theta^N} = \ker S_\theta$. In particular U_θ and U_{θ^N} coincide.*

Proof. By definition of the column rank, θ and θ^N have the same column rank. Clearly $S_{\theta^N}^{(c)} \subset S_\theta^{(c)}$. To see that the inclusion is surjective, recall that any element f of $\ker S_\theta$ is completely regular and hence we can factorise $f = f f^0 \theta^{N-1}$, where we recall that f^0 is the idempotent generated by f . This shows that $\ker S_\theta = \ker S_{\theta^N}$ and immediately implies $U_\theta = U_{\theta^N}$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *A primitive substitution is essentially surjective.*

Proof. Suppose that θ is primitive. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \text{im} f$ for some $f \in \ker S_\theta$. By primitivity a occurs in $\theta^N(b)$ for some N . Hence $a \in \text{img} f$ for some $g \in S_\theta$. Clearly $gf \in \ker S_\theta$. Hence $a \in \bigcup_{f \in \ker S_\theta} \text{im} f$. \square

The condition of primitivity is sufficient but not necessary: the length 2 substitution on $\{a, b\}$, $\theta(a) = aa$, $\theta(b) = ab$ is not primitive and b does not belong to a minimal set. The converse need not be true: the length 1 substitution $\theta = \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity map, is not primitive, but $U_\theta = \{\mathcal{A}\}$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let θ be an essentially surjective substitution. Then \mathcal{P}_θ is a partition of \mathcal{A} which satisfies Condition (2) of Lemma 2.6. Its associated inner encoding $(\eta_{\mathcal{P}_\theta}, \beta_{\mathcal{P}_\theta})$ has naïve column number $c = 1$.*

Proof. Let $A \in U_\theta$, that is, $A = \text{img} g$ for some $g \in \ker S_\theta$. Let $f \in S_\theta$. Then $f(A) \in U_\theta$ as $fg \in \ker S_\theta$. Now if $A \cap A' \neq \emptyset$ then also $f(A) \cap f(A') \neq \emptyset$. Thus if two members A, A' of the cover U_θ belong to the same member of \mathcal{P}_θ then also $f(A)$ and $f(A')$ belong to the same member of \mathcal{P}_θ . This implies Condition (2) of Lemma 2.6 for \mathcal{P}_θ . Furthermore, if f belongs to the kernel of S_θ then $f(A) = \text{img} f g = \text{im} f$ and so $f(A)$ is the same for all $A \in U_\theta$. Hence $\beta_{\mathcal{P}_\theta} f \beta_{\mathcal{P}_\theta}^{-1}$ has rank 1, and so $\eta_{\mathcal{P}_\theta}$ has naïve column number 1. \square

Definition 3.12. Let θ be an essentially surjective substitution with coincidence partition \mathcal{P}_θ . We call the inner encoding defined by \mathcal{P}_θ the *inner encoding associated to θ* . We denote this inner encoding $(\eta_{\mathcal{P}_\theta}, \beta_{\mathcal{P}_\theta})$ by $(\eta_\theta, \beta_\theta)$.

As η_θ has column number 1, then since h divides $c(\theta)$, it has a coincidence and trivial height. Its associated dynamical system is thus almost automorphic. However, it need not be aperiodic, nor, if it is aperiodic, does it have to have the same maximal equicontinuous factor as θ . Indeed, if θ has non-trivial height then the maximal equicontinuous factor of η_θ is strictly smaller than the MEF of θ . In the rest of this section we will show two things: if $\eta_{\theta^{(n)}}$ is periodic for all the collared versions of θ (we only need $n = (l, r)$ with $l, r \leq 1$) then X_θ does not admit an aperiodic almost automorphic factor, and if the height is non-trivial we can reduce the task to working with the pure base of θ .

Lemma 3.13. *Let θ be an essentially surjective substitution and $N \geq 1$. The inner encodings $(\eta_{\theta^N}, \beta_{\theta^N})$ and $(\eta_\theta, \beta_\theta)$ associated to θ^N and θ satisfy $\eta_{\theta^N} = \eta_\theta^N$ and $\beta_{\theta^N} = \beta_\theta$.*

Proof. The statement about the codes, $\beta_{\theta^N} = \beta_\theta$, follows directly from Lemma 3.9. Let $0 \leq j \leq \ell^N - 1$. There are j_1, \dots, j_N such that $\theta^N_{j} = \theta_{j_1} \cdots \theta_{j_N}$. Hence $\eta_{\theta^N}_j = \beta_\theta \theta_{j_1} \cdots \theta_{j_N} \beta_\theta^{-1} = \eta_{\theta_{j_1}} \cdots \eta_{\theta_{j_N}}$. \square

We provide an algebraic property of S_θ characterising column number 1. A semigroup is *left zero* if every element acts as a zero element when multiplying from the left, i.e. $xy = x$ for all $x, y \in S$. If S is completely simple, then it is left zero if and only if the \mathcal{R} -relation is trivial (equal to the diagonal relation).

Lemma 3.14. *A constant length substitution has naïve column number 1 if and only if $\ker S_\eta$ is a left zero semigroup. If this is the case and if θ is essentially surjective then $\ker S_\eta \ni x \mapsto \text{im}x \in \mathcal{A}$ is a bijection.*

Proof. θ has naïve column number 1 if and only if S_θ contains an element of rank 1 which is equivalent to saying that $\ker S_\theta$ contains exactly the maps of rank 1 of S_θ . Any collection of rank 1 maps from $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ forms a left zero semigroup.

Now, suppose that the naïve column number is $c > 1$. If S_θ has more than one minimal left ideal, then $\ker S_\theta$ is not a left zero semigroup. If S_θ has a unique minimal left ideal, then, as we will see in Theorem 4.1, θ inner encodes a substitution η such that S_η is a non-trivial group. In particular $\ker S_\eta = S_\eta$ and since an inner encoding induces an epimorphism from $\ker S_\theta$ to $\ker S_\eta$, $\ker S_\theta$ cannot be left zero.

Any rank 1 map can be identified with the unique element in its image. Hence $\ker S_\eta \ni x \mapsto \text{im}x \in \mathcal{A}$ is injective and, if U_θ is a cover, also surjective. \square

3.3. The canonical outer encoding.

Definition 3.15. Let θ and η be length- ℓ substitutions. We say that η is *outer encoded* by θ if there is an epimorphism $\Phi : S_\theta \rightarrow S_\eta$ such that $\eta_m = \Phi(\theta_m)$ for $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$.

We may also say that (η, Φ) is outer encoded by θ , that η is an outer encoded substitution of θ , or that η is an outer encoding of θ . Note that if (η, β) is inner encoded by θ , then η is outer encoded with epimorphism

$$\Phi_\beta(f) = \beta f \beta^{-1}.$$

However an outer encoding does not necessarily define an inner encoding or indeed a dynamical factor; see Example 3.22.

Corollary 3.16. *If (η, Φ) is outer encoded by θ , then the restriction of Φ to $\ker S_\theta$ is an epimorphism onto $\ker S_\eta$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.5 as S_θ is finite and hence admits a kernel. \square

Definition 3.17. Let θ be a length- ℓ substitution with naïve column number c . Let $\mathcal{B} = \ker(S_\theta)/\mathcal{R}$, and ${}^c\Phi : S_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})$ be the morphism defined by

$$(3.1) \quad {}^c\Phi(f)([x]_{\mathcal{R}}) := [fx]_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

The *canonical outer encoding* of θ is the substitution ${}^c\theta : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\ell$ defined by

$$(3.2) \quad {}^c\theta_m := {}^c\Phi(\theta_m).$$

The semigroup of a bijective substitution is a group. Therefore $S_\theta = \ker S_\theta$ and S_θ/\mathcal{R} consists of a single point. Hence the canonical outer encoding of θ is the one letter periodic substitution, which is, of course, a trivial inner encoding.

Example 3.18. The canonical outer encoded substitution of the collared Thue-Morse system $\theta^{(0,1)}$ is the period doubling substitution, and it is also an inner encoding, see Section 3.6. Note that we must take a collared version of θ , as the canonical outer encoding of any bijective substitution is trivial.

We are interested in finding almost automorphic factors. The following lemma explains our interest in canonical outer encodings. Note there is no need to assume that θ is primitive.

Lemma 3.19. *The canonical outer encoding $({}^c\theta, {}^c\Phi)$ of θ is primitive and has column number 1, i.e., has trivial height and a coincidence.*

Proof. We will show that there is an element $f \in S_{c_\theta}$ whose image contains only one letter; this implies that ${}^c\theta$ has column number 1 and therefore a coincidence and trivial height. By Lemma 3.5, ${}^c\Phi$ restricts to an epimorphism from $\ker S_\theta$ to $\ker S_{c_\theta}$. Given $f \in \ker S_\theta$ and $[y]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \ker S_\theta/\mathcal{R}$ we have ${}^c\Phi(f)([y]_{\mathcal{R}}) = [fy]_{\mathcal{R}} = [f]_{\mathcal{R}}$. We thus see that the image of ${}^c\Phi(f)$ contains only the letter $[f]_{\mathcal{R}}$. Hence the column number is 1.

To see that ${}^c\theta$ is primitive, we need to show that for any two letters $[x], [y] \in \ker S_{c_\theta}/\mathcal{R}$, there is an $f \in S_{c_\theta}$ such that $[fx] = [y]$. As any left ideal of $\ker S_\theta$ intersects any right ideal of $\ker S_\theta$, any two classes $[x], [y] \in \ker S_{c_\theta}/\mathcal{R}$ have representatives x, y which belong to the same \mathcal{L} -class. This means that there is $f \in S_{c_\theta}$ such that $y = fx$. \square

Proposition 3.20. *Let θ be an essentially surjective substitution and $({}^c\theta, {}^c\Phi)$ be the canonical outer encoding of θ . Then ${}^c\theta$ is an inner encoding of θ if and only if U_θ is a partition of \mathcal{A} . In this case, and upon identifying $\ker S_\theta/\mathcal{R}$ with U_θ , we have ${}^c\theta = \eta_\theta$, the inner encoding associated with U_θ .*

Proof. Recall that the alphabet of ${}^c\theta$ is $\ker S_\theta/\mathcal{R}$. By Lemma 3.2 the map $\ker S_\theta/\mathcal{R} \ni [x]_{\mathcal{R}} \mapsto \text{im } x \in U_\theta$ is a bijection.

If U_θ is a partition of \mathcal{A} then it coincides with the coincidence partition \mathcal{P}_θ and hence we may identify $\beta_\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\theta$ with the code $a \mapsto [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$ where x is any function from $\ker S_\theta$ which contains a in its image. Say $a = x(b)$. We then have ${}^c\theta_m(\beta_\theta(a)) = [\theta_m x]_{\mathcal{R}}$ while $\beta_\theta(\theta_m(a)) = \beta_\theta(\theta_m x(b)) = [\theta_m x]_{\mathcal{R}}$. Hence ${}^c\theta = \eta_\theta$.

Suppose that ${}^c\theta$ is an inner encoding of θ , i.e. there is a code $\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \ker S_\theta/\mathcal{R}$ such that $\beta\theta_m = {}^c\theta_m\beta$. By Lemma 3.19, ${}^c\theta$ has column number 1 and so $\ker S_{c_\theta}$ contains only rank 1 maps. Hence, for $g_1, g_2 \in \ker S_{c_\theta}$ the condition $g_1 \neq g_2$ is equivalent to $\text{im } g_1 \cap \text{im } g_2 = \emptyset$. Let $f_i \in ({}^c\Phi)^{-1}(g_i) \cap \ker S_\theta$. If $\text{im } f_1 \cap \text{im } f_2 \neq \emptyset$ then there are $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f_1(a_1) = f_2(a_2)$. It follows that $g_1(\beta(a_1)) = \beta f_1(a_1) = \beta f_2(a_2) = g_2(\beta(a_2))$, hence $\text{im } g_1 \cap \text{im } g_2 \neq \emptyset$, hence $g_1 = g_2$. Thus $\text{im } f_1 \cap \text{im } f_2 = \emptyset$ implies ${}^c\Phi(f_1) = {}^c\Phi(f_2)$ which means that f_1 and f_2 are \mathcal{R} -related. By Lemma 3.1 they then have the same image. Thus the elements of U_θ either coincide or do not intersect. As we assumed that $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ covers \mathcal{A} it is a partition of \mathcal{A} . \square

Example 3.21. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : a &\mapsto abcc \\ b &\mapsto badd \\ c &\mapsto cacd \\ d &\mapsto dbdc.\end{aligned}$$

This example has $U_\theta = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$; thus $U_\theta = \mathcal{P}_\theta$ and ${}^c\theta = \eta_\theta$ is inner encoded. Setting $A = \{a, b\}$ and $C = \{c, d\}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_\theta : A &\mapsto AAC C \\ C &\mapsto CACC.\end{aligned}$$

X_{η_θ} is an aperiodic almost automorphic factor of X_θ .

Example 3.22. Consider the substitution

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : a &\mapsto acae f \\ b &\mapsto bdbde \\ c &\mapsto ceccg \\ d &\mapsto dfbde \\ e &\mapsto egae f \\ f &\mapsto dfbfg \\ g &\mapsto cecge.\end{aligned}$$

Its minimal sets are $U_\theta = \{A = \{a, b, c\}, B = \{c, d, e\}, C = \{e, f, g\}\}$. The canonical outer encoding is $A \mapsto ABABC, B \mapsto BCABC, C \mapsto BCACC$. The cover U_θ does not form a partition. It generates the partition $\mathcal{P}_\theta = \{\{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}\}$ which leads to the periodic substitution

$$\eta_\theta : D \mapsto DDDDD$$

Lemma 3.23. *Any inner encoding of an essentially surjective substitution is essentially surjective.*

Proof. Let (η, β) be inner encoded by θ , $\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Let $b \in \mathcal{B}$. As U_θ covers \mathcal{A} there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \ker S_\theta$ such that $\beta(f(a)) = b$. Hence $\beta f \beta^{-1}(\beta(a)) = b$. Moreover, $\beta f \beta^{-1} \in \ker S_\eta$. Hence $b \in U_\eta$. \square

Proposition 3.24. *Let θ be a substitution which is essentially surjective. Let ${}^c\theta$ be the canonical outer encoding of θ . If (η, Φ) is outer encoded by θ , and η is essentially surjective and has column number 1, then (η, Φ) is outer encoded by ${}^c\theta$.*

Proof. Let $({}^c\eta, {}^c\Phi_\eta)$ be the canonical outer encoding of η . We claim that there is a morphism $\varphi : S_{c_\theta} \rightarrow S_{c_\eta}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_\theta & \xrightarrow{{}^c\Phi} & S_{c_\theta} \\ \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ S_\eta & \xrightarrow{{}^c\Phi_\eta} & S_{c_\eta} \end{array}$$

is commutative. By Lemma 3.5 $\Phi(\ker S_\theta) = \ker S_\eta$ and Φ preserves the \mathcal{R} -relation. Therefore, given $t, s \in S_\theta$ and $x \in \ker S_\theta$, $[tx]_{\mathcal{R}_\theta} = [sx]_{\mathcal{R}_\theta}$ implies $[\Phi(t)\Phi(x)]_{\mathcal{R}_\eta} = [\Phi(s)\Phi(x)]_{\mathcal{R}_\eta}$ (here \mathcal{R}_θ is the \mathcal{R} -relation on S_θ and \mathcal{R}_η is the \mathcal{R} -relation on S_η). Also by Lemma 3.5, any $y \in \ker S_\eta$ has a pre-image under Φ in $\ker S_\theta$, so we see that ${}^c\Phi(t) = {}^c\Phi(s)$, which is $[tx]_{\mathcal{R}_\theta} = [sx]_{\mathcal{R}_\theta}$ for all $x \in \ker S_\theta$, implies ${}^c\Phi_\eta(\Phi(t)) = {}^c\Phi_\eta(\Phi(s))$, which is $[\Phi(t)y]_{\mathcal{R}_\eta} = [\Phi(s)y]_{\mathcal{R}_\eta}$ for all $y \in S_\eta$. Thus φ is well defined through the formula $\varphi({}^c\Phi(t)) = {}^c\Phi_\eta(\Phi(t))$.

As η has column number 1 and is essentially surjective, $\ker S_\eta$ can be identified with the alphabet of η and therefore ${}^c\Phi_\eta : S_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\ker S_\eta)$ is injective. Hence $\Phi(t) = ({}^c\Phi_\eta)^{-1}(\varphi({}^c\Phi(t)))$ showing that it factors through ${}^c\Phi$. \square

Definition 3.25. Let θ be an essentially surjective substitution. Its *maximal inner encoding with column number 1* η is an inner encoded substitution of θ , such that any other inner encoding of θ which has column number 1 factors, via an inner encoding, through η .

We now show that maximal inner encodings with column number 1 exist.

Theorem 3.26. *Let θ be an essentially surjective substitution. Its maximal inner encoding with column number 1 is the inner encoding η_θ associated to θ . In particular, θ admits an aperiodic inner encoding with column number 1 if and only if the inner coding associated to θ is aperiodic.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.11 η_θ is an inner encoding with column number 1. Suppose that η is an inner encoding of θ with column number 1. We saw in Proposition 3.24 that it must be an outer encoding of the canonical outer encoding ${}^c\theta$. Furthermore the epimorphism $\Phi : S_{c_\theta} \rightarrow S_\eta$ restricts to an epimorphism from $\ker S_{c_\theta}$ to $\ker S_\eta$. Since both have column number 1, this restriction of Φ is an epimorphism between left zero semigroups, hence a surjective map from the alphabet of ${}^c\theta$, which is U_θ , to the alphabet of η . On the other hand, the code from \mathcal{A} to the alphabet of η is given by a partition \mathcal{P} . Any member of U_θ must be a subset of an element of \mathcal{P} . Thus any element of \mathcal{P}_θ is a subset of an element of \mathcal{P} , and η is a inner encoding of the inner encoding η_θ defined by \mathcal{P}_θ . \square

3.4. Trivial height. Recall that a substitution has a coincidence if and only if its height coincides with its naïve column number. In this subsection we consider the case in which θ has height 1. This allows us to exploit the above results about inner encoded substitutions with column number 1 for the analysis of factors which are almost automorphic.

Theorem 3.27. *Let θ be a primitive substitution of trivial height. Then X_θ has an aperiodic almost automorphic shift factor over its maximal equicontinuous factor if and only if one of the inner encodings associated to $\theta^{(n)}$, $n = (-l, r)$, $0 \leq l, r \leq 1$, is aperiodic.*

Note that Theorem 3.27 and Lemma 2.5 imply that if X_θ has an aperiodic almost automorphic factor, then its maximal equicontinuous factor map factors through this almost automorphic factor.

We remark that it is sufficient to check whether the inner encoding associated $\theta^{(-1,1)}$ is aperiodic, as all other $\theta^{(n)}$ are inner encodings of $\theta^{(-1,1)}$. However if one suspects that X_θ has an almost automorphic factor, in practice it is easier to check

whether X_θ or $X_{\theta(0,1)}$ give almost automorphic factors, especially if doing these computations by hand.

Proof. By Cor. 2.9 any factor $X_\theta \rightarrow Y$ is conjugate to an inner encoding of $\theta^{(n)^p}$ for some $|n| \leq 1$ and $p \geq 1$. By Theorem 3.26 the maximal inner encoding of $(\theta^{(n)})^p$ with column number 1 is the inner encoding defined by $\mathcal{P}_{(\theta^{(n)})^p}$. By Lemma 3.13 the inner encoding of $(\theta^{(n)})^p$ defined by $\mathcal{P}_{(\theta^{(n)})^p}$ is the p -th power of the inner encoding of $\theta^{(n)}$ defined by $\mathcal{P}_{\theta^{(n)}}$. We can therefore conclude that X_θ has an aperiodic almost automorphic shift factor over its maximal equicontinuous factor if and only if one of the inner encodings defined by $\mathcal{P}_{\theta^{(n)}}$, $n = (-1, 1)$, $l, r \leq 1$, has maximal equicontinuous factor $(\mathbb{Z}_\ell, (+1))$. Indeed, as the inner encoding has column number 1 its shift is almost automorphic, but if it is periodic, its maximal equicontinuous factor is finite, whereas if it is aperiodic its maximal equicontinuous factor must be $(\mathbb{Z}_\ell, (+1))$, which coincides with the maximal equicontinuous factor of $\theta^{(n)}$, as $\theta^{(n)}$ has trivial height. \square

Example 3.28. We return to Example 3.22 which has height 1. We saw that θ has no nontrivial inner encoding with a coincidence. By the comment after Theorem 3.27, it is enough to check whether $X_{\theta(-1,1)}$ has an almost automorphic factor. We find

$\theta^{(-1,1)}: 0 \mapsto W7I68$	$1 \mapsto YSf1Q$	$2 \mapsto LFU3C$
$3 \mapsto LFU3E$	$4 \mapsto LFV4b$	$5 \mapsto e051R$
$6 \mapsto h7I69$	$7 \mapsto oSf1P$	$8 \mapsto h7KAj$
$9 \mapsto h7KAl$	$A \mapsto h7KAn$	$B \mapsto H2B3D$
$C \mapsto MSf1O$	$D \mapsto MSf1Q$	$E \mapsto MSf1R$
$F \mapsto LFV4a$	$G \mapsto T051P$	$H \mapsto U2B3D$
$I \mapsto W7I69$	$J \mapsto W7I6A$	$K \mapsto W7I69$
$L \mapsto XFU3D$	$M \mapsto YSf1P$	$N \mapsto XFV4Z$
$O \mapsto XFV4a$	$P \mapsto XFV4b$	$Q \mapsto XFV4c$
$R \mapsto XFV4d$	$S \mapsto W7KAj$	$T \mapsto e051P$
$U \mapsto g2B3D$	$V \mapsto g2B3D$	$W \mapsto h7I6A$
$X \mapsto iFU3D$	$Y \mapsto oSf1P$	$Z \mapsto h7KAj$
$a \mapsto h7KAk$	$b \mapsto h7KAl$	$c \mapsto h7KAm$
$d \mapsto h7KAn$	$e \mapsto G051P$	$f \mapsto G051R$
$g \mapsto H2B3D$	$h \mapsto J7I6A$	$i \mapsto LFU3D$
$j \mapsto MSf1N$	$k \mapsto MSf1O$	$l \mapsto MSf1P$
$m \mapsto MSf1Q$	$n \mapsto MSf1R$	$o \mapsto MSf1P$.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}_{\theta(-1,1)} = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \{1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, A, C, D, E, N, O, P, Q, R, Z, a, b, c, d, j, k, l, m, n\}, \\ \beta &= \{5, B, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, T, U, V, W, X, Y, e, f, g, h, i, o\}, \text{ and} \\ \gamma &= \{0, 2, 7, F, S\}. \end{aligned}$$

The maximal inner encoding with a coincidence is the substitution

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{\theta(-1,1)} : \alpha &\mapsto \beta\gamma\beta\alpha\alpha \\ \beta &\mapsto \beta\gamma\beta\alpha\alpha \\ \gamma &\mapsto \beta\gamma\beta\alpha\alpha\end{aligned}$$

which is periodic. We conclude that X_θ does not have an almost automorphic factor.

3.5. Non-trivial height. Suppose that our substitution θ has non-trivial height. While we can still apply Theorem 3.26 to find the maximal encoded substitution with column number 1, and this one will give an almost automorphic factor of X_θ , the resulting substitution η_θ must have height 1 and thus its MEF is strictly smaller than that of θ , as Example 3.29 shows. This is not what we are after.

Example 3.29. To highlight the difference between working with or without the pure base, consider

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : a &\mapsto aba \\ b &\mapsto bac \\ c &\mapsto cab\end{aligned}$$

which has height 2. Its semigroup is

$$S_\theta = \{\mathbf{1}, \tau_{bc}\} \sqcup \{p_b, p_c, \bar{p}_b, \bar{p}_c\}$$

where τ_{bc} exchanges b with c and leaves a fixed, p_b is the idempotent of rank 2 mapping c to b , p_c is the idempotent of rank 2 mapping b to c , \bar{p}_b is p_b followed by an exchange of a and b and \bar{p}_c is p_c followed by an exchange of a and c . The kernel $\ker S_\theta$ is thus given by rank 2 maps $\ker S_\theta = LZ_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, where LZ_2 is the left zero semigroup on two letters. We see that θ 's height equals its naïve column number and hence the substitution shift is a somewhere injective extension of its maximal equicontinuous factor. So in principle we don't need to look at an almost automorphic factor, as the substitution shift already is almost automorphic. But it is instructive to apply the construction of the canonical outer encoding, as the result is a primitive almost automorphic substitution with trivial height. Indeed, if we denote ${}^c b = [p_b]$, the \mathcal{R} -class of p_b and ${}^c c = [p_c]$ that of p_c we find that the canonical outer encoded substitution is given by

$$\begin{aligned}{}^c \theta : {}^c b &\mapsto {}^c b {}^c b {}^c c \\ {}^c c &\mapsto {}^c c {}^c b {}^c b\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the cover U_θ is given by $\{\text{imp}_b, \text{imp}_c\} = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ which is not a partition, hence the canonical outer encoded substitution is not inner encoded. The coincidence partition is trivial $\mathcal{P}_\theta = \mathcal{A}$.

Thus instead we will work with the pure base of θ .

We recall from [5] the following results: If θ is primitive and has height h , then there exists a σ^h -periodic clopen partition of X_θ , $X_\theta = \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}} X_\theta^k$, and $\sigma(X_\theta^k) = X_\theta^{k+1}$. Moreover, X_θ^0 is invariant under θ and there exists a subset $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}^h$ such that X_θ^0 consists precisely of the sequences $x \in X_\theta$ for which $x_0 \dots x_{h-1} \in \mathcal{A}'$. Define a substitution θ' on \mathcal{A}' as follows: Given $a_0 \dots a_{h-1} \in \mathcal{A}'$ compute $\theta(a_0 \dots a_{h-1}) = a'_0 \dots a'_{h\ell-1}$ and set

$$\theta'_k(a_0 \dots a_{h-1}) = a'_{kh} \dots a'_{(k+1)h-1}.$$

θ' is called the pure base of θ . It corresponds to the restriction of θ to X_θ^0 but expressed in the alphabet \mathcal{A}' .

The suspension of a \mathbb{Z} -action (X, φ) with $\mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}$ is the space $X \times \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}$ equipped with the \mathbb{Z} -action

$$(3.3) \quad T_\varphi(x, i) := \begin{cases} (x, i+1) & \text{if } 0 \leq i < h-1 \\ (\varphi(x), 0) & \text{if } i = h-1 \end{cases}$$

The shift (X_θ, σ) is, for a substitution of height h , topologically conjugate to a suspension of the substitution shift $(X_{\theta'}, \sigma')$ with the finite group $\mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}$. Here we have denoted the shift action on $X_{\theta'}$ by σ' in order to distinguish it from the shift action σ on X_θ . The conjugacy is given by

$$X_{\theta'} \times \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z} \ni (x', i) \mapsto \sigma^i(x) \in X_\theta,$$

where on the left hand side x' is a sequence of letters from \mathcal{A}' , that is, a sequence of allowed (for θ) words of length h whereas on the right hand side x is the sequence of letters from \mathcal{A} that one obtains when one interprets x' as a sequence of letters in \mathcal{A} . Note that $(x', i) \mapsto (\sigma'(x'), i)$ on the left corresponds to $\sigma^i(x) \mapsto \sigma^{h+i}(x)$ on the right. The suspension construction is functorial and immediately implies:

- If $F : (X_{\theta'}, \sigma') \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$ is a factor map then $F \times \mathbf{1} : (X_{\theta'} \times \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}, T_{\sigma'}) \rightarrow (Y \times \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}, T_\varphi)$ is a factor map and any factor map of $(X_{\theta'} \times \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}, T_{\sigma'})$, up to a rotation, arises in this way. In particular the MEF of (X_θ, σ) is conjugate to $(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \times \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}, T_{+1})$.
- (Y, φ) is almost automorphic if and only if $(Y \times \mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}, T_\varphi)$ is almost automorphic.

Recall that a topological dynamical system (X, T) is a minimal almost automorphic extension of an odometer if and only if it is topologically conjugate to a shift [6, Theorem 6.4]. Combining this with the remarks above, we obtain the following.

Proposition 3.30. *Let θ be a length- ℓ , primitive aperiodic substitution, with pure base θ' . Then (X_θ, σ) has an aperiodic almost automorphic shift factor above its maximal equicontinuous factor if and only if $(X_{\theta'}, \sigma')$ has an aperiodic almost automorphic shift factor above its maximal equicontinuous factor.*

We describe how to construct the desired almost automorphic factor of X_θ when $X_{\theta'}$ has an aperiodic almost automorphic shift factor $X_{\eta'}$ via the map $F' : X_{\theta'} \rightarrow X_{\eta'}$. η' necessarily has height 1. Define a new length- ℓ substitution η with alphabet $\mathcal{A}_\eta = \{a_j : a \in \mathcal{A}_{\eta'}, 1 \leq j \leq h\}$ as follows. Define $i : \mathcal{A}_{\eta'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\eta^h$ by $i(a) = a_1 \dots a_h$. Now let η be the unique length- ℓ substitution which satisfies $\eta \circ i = i \circ \eta'$. That is, we “split” each $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\eta'}$ into h different letters a_1, \dots, a_h in such a way that the concatenation of the length ℓ words $\eta(a_1) \dots \eta(a_h)$ is the word obtained from $\eta'(a)$ by splitting every letter. As defined, η has height h and pure base η' . Thus, X_η is also a suspension of $X_{\eta'}$ over $\mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}$. It can be seen that X_η is almost automorphic over $(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell, h}, +1)$. See Example 3.33 for such a construction.

Corollary 3.31. *Let θ be a length- ℓ , primitive aperiodic substitution, with pure base $\tilde{\theta}$. Then X_θ has an aperiodic almost automorphic shift factor if and only if the inner encoding defined by $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\theta}(-1,1)}$ is aperiodic.*

3.6. Two-letter substitutions of bijective substitutions and their canonical outer encodings. In this section we apply our results above to study when a bijective substitution shift has an almost automorphic factor. In the process we revisit the work in [11, Section 4]. We start with bijective substitutions of trivial height. Remember that for bijective substitutions, S_θ is a group and so ${}^c\theta$ is trivial, being defined on a one letter alphabet. This does not mean that a bijective substitution shift does not admit an almost automorphic substitutional factor, but only that these may only be seen when working with the collared versions of the substitution. We will work with $\theta^{(0,1)}$ and, in case that $X_{\theta^{(0,1)}}$ does not have an almost automorphic factor, verify whether or not $\theta^{(-1,1)}$ does.

For θ a bijective substitution on \mathcal{A} let $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ be the set of allowed two-letter words for θ . Recall that the 2-collared substitution $\theta^{(0,1)}$ associated to θ is the substitution on $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ of the same length given by

$$\theta_m^{(0,1)}(a, b) = (\theta_m(a), \theta_{m+1}(a)), \quad 0 \leq m < \ell - 1, \quad \theta_{\ell-1}^{(0,1)}(a, b) = (\theta_{\ell-1}(a), \theta_0(b))$$

We assume that all θ -periodic points are fixed, so that $\theta_0 = \theta_{\ell-1} = \mathbf{1}$; thus all maps $\theta_m^{(0,1)}$ with $m < \ell - 1$ have rank $c = |\mathcal{A}|$ while $\theta_{\ell-1}^{(0,1)}$ is equal to the identity on $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ and hence has rank equal to $|\mathcal{A}^{(2)}|$. We know that the naïve column number of a substitution is a conjugacy invariant and so the naïve column number of $\theta^{(0,1)}$ must also be c . Hence we see that the kernel of $S_{\theta^{(0,1)}}$ is generated by $\theta_m \text{pr}_1 \times \theta_{m+1} \text{pr}_1$, $m < \ell - 1$, where $\text{pr}_1 : \mathcal{A}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the projection onto the first factor. Recall that the right ideals of $\ker S_{\theta^{(0,1)}}$ are in one-to-one correspondence to the images of these maps. As θ_m is bijective, the image of $\theta_m \text{pr}_1 \times \theta_{m+1} \text{pr}_1$ coincides with that of $\text{pr}_1 \times \theta_{m+1} \theta_m^{-1} \text{pr}_1$ and so uniquely is determined by the map $\theta_{m+1} \theta_m^{-1}$. We thus see that the set of right ideals is in one-to-one correspondence to the set

$$I_\theta := \{\theta_{m+1} \theta_m^{-1} \mid m = 0, \dots, \ell - 2\}$$

which plays a prominent role in the description of the Ellis semigroup of the substitution shift (X_θ, σ) and is also called the R -set of the substitution [11]. We have shown the first part of

Corollary 3.32. *The alphabet of the canonical outer encoding ${}^c\theta^{(0,1)}$ of $\theta^{(0,1)}$ can be identified with I_θ . Under this identification it is given by*

$${}^c\theta^{(0,1)}_m(\theta_i \theta_{i-1}^{-1}) = \theta_{m+1} \theta_m^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq m < \ell - 1, \quad {}^c\theta^{(0,1)}_{\ell-1}(\theta_i \theta_{i-1}^{-1}) = \theta_i \theta_{i-1}^{-1}$$

It is an inner encoding of $\theta^{(0,1)}$ if and only if for all $f, g \in I_\theta$ and $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}$: $f(a) \neq g(a)$. Thus the following is a necessary condition for ${}^c\theta^{(0,1)}$ to be an inner encoding:

$$(3.4) \quad |I_\theta| \times |\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{A}^{(2)}|$$

and so the code of the inner encoding is a $|\mathcal{A}|$ -to-1 map.

Proof. Recall that the canonical outer encoding is an inner encoding if the images of the maps $\theta_m \text{pr}_1 \times \theta_{m+1} \text{pr}_1$, $m < \ell - 1$, either coincide or do not overlap. As the images of $\theta_m \text{pr}_1 \times \theta_{m+1} \text{pr}_1$ and $\theta_{m'} \text{pr}_1 \times \theta_{m'+1} \text{pr}_1$ coincide if and only if $\theta_m \theta_{m-1}^{-1} = \theta_{m'} \theta_{m'-1}^{-1}$ this is exactly the condition stated. If this is the case, then the number of letters of $\theta^{(0,1)}$ is equal to the maximal choice of distinct maps $\theta_m \text{pr}_1 \times \theta_{m+1} \text{pr}_1$, $1 \leq m < \ell - 1$ (the size of I_θ) times the size of the image of one of them, which is $|\mathcal{A}|$. \square

We go through the examples in [11].

The canonical outer encoding of any 2-letter bijective substitution is an inner encoded substitution, because for those $I_\theta = \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{f}\}$, where \mathbf{f} interchanges a with b . The simplest example is the Thue-Morse substitution

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : a &\mapsto abba \\ b &\mapsto baab\end{aligned}$$

whose semigroup is $S_\theta = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The alphabet of $\theta^{(0,1)}$ is

$$\mathcal{A}^{(2)} = \{o = ab, \bar{o} = ba, e = aa, \bar{e} = bb\}$$

and

$$S_{\theta^{(0,1)}} = \{\mathbf{1}, \omega\} \sqcup \{\Pi_o, \bar{\Pi}_o, \Pi_e, \bar{\Pi}_e\}$$

where ω is the order 2 symmetry exchanging o with \bar{e} and e with \bar{o} , Π_o the rank 2 idempotent mapping e to o and \bar{e} to \bar{o} , Π_e the rank 2 idempotent mapping o to e and \bar{o} to \bar{e} , and $\bar{\Pi}_\bullet$ is Π_\bullet followed by barring the letter. The kernel contains the four maps of rank 2 and is isomorphic to $\ker S_{\theta^{(0,1)}} \cong LZ_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the left zero semigroup of two elements times the group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $[o]$ the \mathcal{R} -class of Π_o and by $[e]$ the \mathcal{R} -class of Π_e . It is now easily seen that ${}^c\phi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, ${}^c\phi(\omega) = \mathbf{f}$, ${}^c\phi(\Pi_o)$ the projection onto $[o]$, ${}^c\phi(\Pi_e)$ the projection onto $[e]$, and ${}^c\phi(\bar{\Pi}_\bullet) = \mathbf{f} {}^c\phi(\Pi_\bullet)$. With this we find that the column maps of ${}^c\theta^{(0,1)}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}{}^c\theta^{(0,1)} : o &\mapsto oeoo \\ e &\mapsto oeoe\end{aligned}$$

This result is well known, ${}^c\theta^{(0,1)}$ is the period doubling substitution.

Our next example has three letters,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : a &\mapsto abcca \\ b &\mapsto babab \\ c &\mapsto ccabc\end{aligned}$$

It does not satisfy (3.4), as $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ has five letters and five is a prime number. A further investigation of $\theta^{(-1,1)}$ shows, that also its canonical outer encoding has a trivial coincidence partition. Thus the substitution shift does not have an aperiodic almost automorphic factor.

In our second example²

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : a &\mapsto abacaaa \\ b &\mapsto babbbcb \\ c &\mapsto cccacbc\end{aligned}$$

we have $I_\theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_3, \theta_5\}$ with $\theta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$, $\theta_3 = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ b \\ a \end{pmatrix}$, and $\theta_5 = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \\ b \end{pmatrix}$. We see that the partition of $\mathcal{A}^{(2)} = \mathcal{A}^2$ is given by $A = \{ab, bc, cc\}$, $B = \{ac, bb, ca\}$,

²This example has generalised height equal to 2 but trivial classical height.

$C = \{aa, bc, cb\}$ leading to the inner encoded substitution

$$\begin{aligned}\eta : A &\mapsto AABBCCA \\ B &\mapsto AABBCCB \\ C &\mapsto AABBCCC.\end{aligned}$$

which is hence an almost automorphic substitutional shift factor of X_θ .

In our third example θ is the substitution

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : a &\mapsto abc \\ b &\mapsto bca \\ c &\mapsto cab\end{aligned}$$

has θ^3 -fixed points, so we consider the third power θ^3 . We have $I_\theta = \{\mathbf{1}, \omega, \omega^2\}$ leading to the partition of $\mathcal{A}^{(2)} = \{ab, bc, ca, ac, ba, cb\}$ given by $A = \{ab, bc, ca\}$, $B = \{ac, ba, cb\}$. This yields the substitution η

$$\begin{aligned}\eta : A &\mapsto AABAABAAA \\ B &\mapsto AABAABAAB,\end{aligned}$$

so that X_η is an almost automorphic factor of X_θ .

As our last example from [11] has nontrivial height, we go through the required details carefully.

Example 3.33. This substitution has four letters and G_θ equals the dihedral group D_4 :

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : a &\mapsto abadcba \\ b &\mapsto badcbab \\ c &\mapsto cdcbadc \\ d &\mapsto dcbadcd\end{aligned}$$

and it has height 2. We have $I_\theta = \{\theta_1, \rho\}$ where $\rho = \theta_2\theta_1^{-1}$ (This corrects the mistake in [11] which stated that $\rho = \theta_1\theta_2$). The alphabet of the canonical outer encoding ${}^c\theta^{(0,1)}$ thus has 2 letters. But $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ contains only 6 letters. Therefore (3.4) tells us that ${}^c\theta^{(0,1)}$ cannot be an inner encoded substitution.

To find out whether the shift generated by this substitution has an almost automorphic factor, then, as it has height 2, we move to its pure base by Lemma 3.30. This is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\theta} : 0 &\mapsto 3010102 \\ 1 &\mapsto 2101013 \\ 2 &\mapsto 2102102 \\ 3 &\mapsto 3013013\end{aligned}$$

where each of the four symbols in the new substitution represents a two-letter-word from the original (respectively, 0, 1, 2, 3 correspond to $[ad], [cb], [cd], [ab]$). By inspection, we see that the minimal sets of this new substitution are disjoint, and thus its coincidence partition is given by $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\theta}} = \{\{0, 1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$, which means that the map $\tilde{F} : X_{\tilde{\theta}} \rightarrow X_{\tilde{\eta}}$, whose local rule is a code and sends 0, 1 to A and 2, 3 to B ,

is a factor map to the aperiodic, primitive, almost automorphic substitution shift given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\eta}: A &\mapsto BAAAAAB \\ B &\mapsto BAABAAB\end{aligned}$$

As in the construction description after Proposition 3.30, because the original substitution θ has height 2, to find an almost automorphic factor of X_θ we introduce a height-2 suspension η of $\tilde{\eta}$ by “splitting” each symbol into two, moving from e.g. $A \mapsto BAAAAAB$ to $Aa \mapsto BbAaAaAaAaBb$, which is a concatenation of two length 7 words. The new substitution, almost automorphic by construction, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta: A &\mapsto BbAaAaA \\ B &\mapsto BbAaAaB \\ a &\mapsto aAaAaBb \\ b &\mapsto bAaAaBb.\end{aligned}$$

The previously defined map \tilde{F} induces a factor map $F: X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$. To define it explicitly, we use the fact that each element of $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ corresponds to a two-letter word in X_θ and is mapped to either A or B , which also corresponds to the two-letter words Aa or Bb in X_η , so we expect F to map any instance of, say, ad in some $x \in X_\theta$ to the word Aa in the corresponding $F(x) \in X_\eta$. We can accomplish this by giving F left- and right-radius 1; accordingly, its local rule will be:

$$\begin{array}{ll}aba \mapsto b & adc \mapsto a \\ bab \mapsto B & bad \mapsto A \\ cba \mapsto a & cdc \mapsto b \\ dcb \mapsto A & dcd \mapsto B.\end{array}$$

4. FACTORING ONTO A BIJECTIVE SUBSTITUTION

In this section, we characterise, using the semigroup S_θ , when a substitution shift has a bijective substitution shift as a factor. First we restrict to the case where the factor map preserves the fixed point fibre. As some of our results require trivial height, while others don't, we continue to specify what is needed at each step.

Theorem 4.1. *Let θ be a constant length substitution with naïve column number c . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) S_θ has a unique minimal left ideal.
- (2) There is a bijective substitution η on a c -letter alphabet which is an inner encoding of θ .

The above result is obvious in the case that the column number c of θ equals 1, because then η is the unique length- ℓ substitution on a one-letter alphabet, and S_θ always has a unique minimal left ideal.

Definition 4.2. Let (X, σ) and (Y, σ) be two shifts with a common rotation factor (\mathcal{G}, R) . Let $\pi_X: X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $\pi_Y: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be respective equicontinuous factor maps.

We say that the factor map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is *almost injective* for (\mathcal{G}, R) , if there is $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that the restriction of F to the fibre $\pi_X^{-1}(g)$ is injective. If we don't specify the equicontinuous factor then we take it to be the maximal common one.

The following result shows that the bijective substitution η of the last theorem has to be aperiodic and that the factor map between (X_θ, σ) and (X_η, σ) has to be almost injective if θ has naïve column number $c > 1$. While we do not need any assumptions on height in Theorem 4.1, we do assume that θ has trivial height for Theorems 4.3 and 4.21. This is because in general the existence of a bijective factor for a substitution is not linked to the existence of a bijective factor for its pure base; see Example 4.24. The issue here is that we characterise the existence of a bijective factor in terms of the semigroup \mathcal{S}_θ , and the relationship between this semigroup and that of the pure base of θ is not clear.

Theorem 4.3. *Let θ be a primitive, aperiodic length- ℓ substitution over the alphabet A , of trivial height. Suppose that θ has naïve column number $c > 1$, and that (η, β) is inner encoded by θ , with η bijective. Then η is primitive and aperiodic, and the factor map induced by $\beta : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is almost injective.*

4.1. More preliminaries from semigroup theory. In order to prove the above theorems we need we analyse further sub-semigroups of the semigroup $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of maps from $X \rightarrow X$. Recall that the partition defined by a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $\mathcal{P}_f = \{f^{-1}(y) | y \in Y\}$.

Definition 4.4. We say that a map $g : X \rightarrow X$ *preserves a partition* $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ if $g^{-1}(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{P}$.

Stated differently, let $\mathcal{P} = \{A_i | i \in I\}$, then g preserves \mathcal{P} if for all $i \in I$ there is a unique $j \in I$ such that $g^{-1}(A_i) = A_j$. Note that g does not necessarily preserve \mathcal{P}_g .

Lemma 4.5. *Let $g : X \rightarrow X$ preserve a partition \mathcal{P} . Then $g^{-1}|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is injective and hence bijective if \mathcal{P} is finite.*

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose $g^{-1}(A) = g^{-1}(B)$ which means $A \cap \text{img} = B \cap \text{img}$. Since A and B are either equal or have empty intersection, $A \cap \text{img} = B \cap \text{img}$ is the case if $A = B$ or $A \cap \text{img} = B \cap \text{img} = \emptyset$. But $A \cap \text{img} = \emptyset$ means $g^{-1}(A) = \emptyset$, a possibility which is excluded, as a partition does not contain the empty set. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$. If $\mathcal{P}_f = \mathcal{P}_{f \circ g}$ then g preserves \mathcal{P}_f .*

Proof. By assumption

$$\{f^{-1}(y) | y \in Y\} = \{g^{-1}(f^{-1}(y)) | y \in Y\}$$

which says exactly that $g^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_f) = \mathcal{P}_f$. \square

A map $p : X \rightarrow X$ is an idempotent if and only if it preserves its partition and maps each member $A \in \mathcal{P}_p$ to a single point in A .

Lemma 4.7. *Let $p : X \rightarrow X$ be an idempotent. If p preserves \mathcal{P} and $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}_p| < +\infty$ then $\mathcal{P}_p = \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. As p maps each member $A \in \mathcal{P}_p$ to a single point in A , \mathcal{P}_p is the finest partition preserved by p . Hence if p preserves \mathcal{P} then it preserves the partition generated by \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}_p which can't be finer than \mathcal{P}_p . Therefore $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}_p| < +\infty$ implies $\mathcal{P}_p = \mathcal{P}$. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $p, q : X \rightarrow X$ two idempotents. If p preserves \mathcal{P}_q then $qp = q$.*

Proof. Suppose p preserves \mathcal{P}_q . Then it also preserves the partition generated by \mathcal{P}_p and \mathcal{P}_q . As \mathcal{P}_p is the finest partition preserved by p , \mathcal{P}_q must be coarser than \mathcal{P}_p or $\mathcal{P}_q = \mathcal{P}_p$. It follows that $p^{-1}|_{\mathcal{P}_q}$ is the identity map from \mathcal{P}_q to itself. This implies $q^{-1} = p^{-1}q^{-1}$ hence $qp = q$. \square

The condition of the following lemma is satisfied for all compact right topological semigroups and so in particular for any finite semigroup.

Lemma 4.9. *Let S be a sub-semigroup of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ which admits a kernel which contains an idempotent. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *All elements of S preserve the partition defined by the idempotent.*
- (2) *S has a unique minimal left ideal, i.e., the kernel is left simple.*

Proof. 1 \Rightarrow 2. Let $q \in S$ be a minimal idempotent such that all elements of S preserve \mathcal{P}_q . Let $p \in S$ be another minimal idempotent. By assumption p preserves \mathcal{P}_q . By Lemma 4.8 we have $qp = q$. Hence q lies in the minimal left ideal generated by p . Since all idempotents of a minimal left ideal generate the same left ideal, therefore S has a unique minimal left ideal.

2 \Rightarrow 1. Let p be an idempotent in the unique minimal left ideal L . Let $s \in S$. Then ps lies in the kernel of S which coincides with L . By Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{P}_{ps} = \mathcal{P}_p$. By Lemma 4.6, s preserves \mathcal{P}_p . \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Suppose first that \mathcal{S}_θ contains a unique minimal left ideal. By Lemma 4.9 all its elements preserve a partition $\mathcal{B} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ defined by any element of that ideal. Note that k must be the naïve column number c . Define the length- ℓ substitution η on the alphabet \mathcal{B} through $\eta = \eta_0 | \dots | \eta_{\ell-1}$ where

$$\eta_m^{-1} := \theta_m^{-1}|_{\mathcal{B}},$$

in other words, $\eta_m(A_i)$ is the unique A_j which contains $\theta_m(a_i)$ for some $a_i \in A_i$. This is well-defined as θ_m preserves the partition \mathcal{B} . By Lemma 4.5 η is bijective. The code β is given by $a \mapsto A_i$ for all $a \in A_i$.

To prove the converse of the statement, suppose now there is a bijective substitution $\eta = \eta_0 | \dots | \eta_{\ell-1}$ on a c -letter alphabet \mathcal{B} and a map $\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\eta_m \circ \beta = \beta \circ \theta_m$$

for all $m = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$. Since η is bijective this implies that the partition \mathcal{P}_β defined by β must be the same as that defined by each $\beta \circ \theta_m$ and then also the same as that defined by any $\beta \circ f$ for any $f \in \mathcal{S}_\theta$. By Lemma 4.6 all elements of \mathcal{S}_θ must preserve \mathcal{P}_β . Let p be a minimal idempotent of \mathcal{S}_θ . By definition of the naïve column rank its rank is c , which is also the rank of β . Lemma 4.7 implies therefore that $\mathcal{P}_p = \mathcal{P}_\beta$. Now, we conclude with Lemma 4.9 that \mathcal{S}_θ has a unique minimal left ideal. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Primitivity of η follows directly from the primitivity of θ .

We show that η is aperiodic. We may assume that $\eta_0 = \mathbf{1}$ (otherwise, we take a power of η). Assume, by contradiction, that h is the period of η , i.e. all $x \in X_\eta$ satisfy $x_{n+h} = x_n$. This is only possible if $\eta_{kh}^N = \eta_0$ for all $k \geq 0$ and N large enough such that $kh < \ell^N$. Furthermore, $\frac{1}{h}$ must be an eigenvalue of the dynamical system defined by η and therefore also an eigenvalue of the dynamical system defined by

θ . As θ has trivial height this means that h must divide ℓ^N for some N . However, as $\eta_{kh}^N = \eta_0 = \mathbf{1}$ we then have, for all $0 \leq k < \ell$

$$\mathbf{1} = \eta^{N+1}_{k\ell^N} = \eta^N_0 \eta_k = \eta_k$$

which contradicts the primitivity of η , as $c > 1$.

We show that the factor map F_β induced by β is almost injective. The common maximal equicontinuous factor is \mathbb{Z}_ℓ , as the height of θ is trivial. The regular fibres of θ have the same size as those of η . The factor map induced by η maps fibres surjectively onto fibres. Also, it must map at least one regular fibre to a regular fibre. This is because these fibres are a set of Haar probability measure one in \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . Thus the restriction of F_β to that fibre must be bijective. \square

Remark. The following shows that our characterisation of when a substitution allows for an inner encoded bijective substitution is stable under taking powers.

Lemma 4.10. *Let θ be a constant length substitution. Then S_{θ^n} has a unique minimal left ideal if and only if S_θ has a unique minimal left ideal.*

Proof. We have $S_{\theta^n} \subset S_\theta$, and also, since θ and θ^n have the same column number c , $S_{\theta^n}^{(c)} \subset S_\theta^{(c)}$. If S_θ has two (disjoint) minimal left ideals L_1 and L_2 , then $L_1 \cap S_{\theta^n}$ and $L_2 \cap S_{\theta^n}$ are disjoint minimal left ideals of S_{θ^n} . This shows the direction \Rightarrow . The other direction is actually a consequence of Theorem 4.1. If S_θ has a unique minimal left ideal then there is a bijective substitution η and a code β such that $\beta \circ \theta_m = \eta_m \circ \beta$. This implies $\beta \circ \theta^n_m = \eta^n_m \circ \beta$ for any n and any $m \leq \ell^n - 1$. Hence η^n is a bijective substitution which is an inner encoding of θ^n . Clearly η^n is bijective and hence by Theorem 4.1, S_{θ^n} must have a unique minimal left ideal. \square

Example 4.11. Bijective substitution shifts have a single irregular fibre modulo \mathbb{Z} , with respect to their maximal equicontinuous factor. Thus, it is natural to ask if a substitutive subshift with a bijective factor has only finitely many singular fibres. This is false. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: 0 &\mapsto 021 \\ 1 &\mapsto 130 \\ 2 &\mapsto 201 \\ 3 &\mapsto 310 \end{aligned}$$

which is easily checked to be primitive, aperiodic and of height 1. Let π be the code

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: 0, 2 &\mapsto a \\ 1, 3 &\mapsto b \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that (η, π) is an inner encoding of X_θ where η is the bijective, aperiodic substitution given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta: a &\mapsto aab \\ b &\mapsto bba. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.1, S_θ has a unique minimal left ideal. Indeed, all elements of this minimal left ideal have the common partition $\{\{0, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$. Note that any element from \mathbb{Z}_3 which does not contain the digit 2 is an irregular fibre.

4.2. Factors which preserve the fixed point fibre. In Theorem 4.1, we characterised the substitution shifts which admit a bijective inner encoding. In this section, we extend this to characterising substitution shifts which admit a bijective substitution shift as a factor via a factor map which preserves the fixed point fibre.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $n = (-l, r)$. If $S_{\theta^{(n)}}$ has a unique minimal left ideal then S_θ has a unique minimal left ideal.*

Proof. As θ is an inner encoding of the collared substitution $\theta^{(n)}$, the semigroup of the collared substitution S_θ is a homomorphic image of $S_{\theta^{(n)}}$. Now Lemma 3.5 implies the result. \square

Theorem 4.13. *Let θ be a primitive aperiodic length- ℓ substitution with naïve column number c . The following are equivalent.*

- (1) (X_θ, σ) factors onto a bijective substitution shift (X_η, σ) via a factor map F which preserves the fixed point fibre.
- (2) S_θ has a unique minimal left ideal.

Proof. First suppose that $F : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is a factor map which preserves the fixed point fibre and with η bijective. By Proposition 2.8 there is an $n = (-l, r)$, with $0 \leq l, r \leq 1$ such that (X_η, σ) is a factor of $(X_{\theta^{(n)}}, \sigma)$ via a radius zero factor map $F_\tau : X_{\theta^{(n)}} \rightarrow X_\eta$ where $F = F_\tau \circ F_\tau^{-1}$. Now by Lemma 2.4, F_τ preserves the fixed point fibre, and by assumption, so does F . Hence F_τ preserves the fixed point fibre. Finally by Lemma 2.5 η is an inner encoding of $\theta^{(n)}$. By Theorem 4.1, $S_{\theta^{(n)}}$ has a unique minimal left ideal. By Lemma 4.12, S_θ has a unique minimal left ideal.

The converse follows directly from Theorem 4.1. \square

We remark that Theorems 4.1 and 4.13 imply that all factor maps to a bijective substitution which fix the fixed point fibre must have radius zero.

4.3. Bijective factors which do not preserve the fixed point fibre. In this section we extend Theorem 4.13 to substitutions which have a bijective substitution factor via a factor map which does not send fixed points to fixed points. To do this we need to recall a little more information on the arithmetic information that factor maps encode.

4.4. Factors of substitution shifts and their κ -values. We describe factor maps F of substitution shifts by associating to each of them an ℓ -adic integer which describes how F translates fibres of an equicontinuous factor. This element of \mathbb{Z}_ℓ is determined by where F sends fixed points.

Let X, Y be minimal shift spaces with a common equicontinuous factor (\mathcal{G}, R) . Let $\pi_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be corresponding equicontinuous factor maps. Let $\text{Fac}(X, Y)$ be the collection of factor maps from (X, σ) to (Y, σ) , and let $\text{Conj}(X, Y)$ be the set of injective factor maps from (X, σ) to (Y, σ) .

Theorem 4.14. [4, Theorem 3.3]. *Let (X, σ) and (Y, σ) be infinite minimal shifts. Suppose that the group rotation (\mathcal{G}, R) is the maximal equicontinuous factor of both (X, σ) and (Y, σ) and fix the factor maps $\pi_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Then there is a map $\kappa : \text{Fac}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that*

$$\pi_Y(F(x)) = \kappa(F) + \pi_X(x)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $F \in \text{Fac}(X, Y)$. Also

- (1) if (Z, σ) is another shift which satisfies the assumptions on (X, σ) , then $\kappa(G \circ F) = \kappa(G) + \kappa(F)$ for $F \in \text{Fac}(X, Y)$, $G \in \text{Fac}(Y, Z)$, and
- (2) if $\min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} |\pi_X^{-1}(g)| = \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} |\pi_Y^{-1}(g)| = c < \infty$, then for each $F \in \text{Fac}(X, Y)$, we have

$$\{z \in \mathbb{Z}_r : |\pi_Y^{-1}(z)| > c\} \subset \{z \in \mathbb{Z}_r : |\pi_X^{-1}(z)| > c\} + \kappa(F),$$

and κ is at most c -to-one.

We call $\kappa(F)$ the κ -value of F . In fact $\kappa : \text{Fac}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is defined by $\kappa(F) := \pi_Y(F(x)) - \pi_X(x)$, where this quantity does not depend on x for minimal systems. It is important to note that the κ -value of a map depends on the choice of maximal equicontinuous factor maps.

If $F : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is a factor map between two length- ℓ substitution shifts, then $\kappa(F) = 0$ if and only if θ -fixed points are mapped to η -fixed points, i.e., if F preserves the fixed point fibre.

The following tells us that κ -values of conjugacies between two length- ℓ shifts are constrained. We say that $z \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is *rational* if it is eventually periodic. This naming follows from the fact that if $z \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is eventually periodic, then it is the ℓ -adic expansion of a rational number.

Proposition 4.15. *Let θ and θ' be primitive, aperiodic length- ℓ substitutions, with θ of trivial height. If $F \in \text{Fac}(X_\theta, X_{\theta'})$, then $\kappa(F)$ is rational.*

Proof. In the case where the factor map is a conjugacy, the statement is [4, Proposition 3.24]. We will suppose that $F = F_\tau$ where $\tau : \mathcal{A}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\theta'}$, as otherwise the usual combination of Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.7 allows us to reduce to this case.

Theorem 2.7 tells us that there is a pair (η, β) which is inner encoded by θ , and a code $\tau' : \mathcal{A}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\theta'}$ such that $F_{\tau'} : X_\eta \rightarrow X_{\theta'}$ is a conjugacy. Again by [4, Proposition 3.24], $\kappa(F_{\tau'})$ is rational. But also, $\tau = \tau' \circ \beta$, and since (θ', β) is inner encoded by θ , then by Lemma 2.5, $\kappa(F_\beta) = 0$. Thus $\kappa(F_\tau) = \kappa(F_{\tau'})$ is also rational. \square

If $F : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is a factor map onto a bijective substitution shift with $\kappa(F) = m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\tilde{F} := \sigma^{-m} \circ F : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is also a factor map onto a bijective substitution and, as $\kappa(\tilde{F}) = 0$, we can apply Theorem 4.13 to conclude that S_θ has a unique left minimal ideal. In other words, Theorem 4.13 extends verbatim to the case in which the kappa-value of the factor map is an integer.

The following example shows that there exist factor maps F where $\kappa(F) \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 4.16. Take the following two substitutions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \eta: a \mapsto abcba, & \theta: 0 \mapsto 35203 \\ b \mapsto bcacb, & 1 \mapsto 35214 \\ c \mapsto cabac, & 2 \mapsto 41520 \\ & 3 \mapsto 41534 \\ & 4 \mapsto 02140 \\ & 5 \mapsto 02153 \end{array}$$

The words of length two in \mathcal{L}_η are

$$\{ab, ac, ba, bc, ca, cb\},$$

and by mapping $(ab), (ac), (ba), (bc), (ca), (cb)$ to $0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, respectively, it can be verified that $\theta = \eta^{(+1)}$. Thus X_θ factors bijectively onto the bijective substitution shift X_η . However, it can be verified that \mathcal{S}_θ does *not* have a unique minimal left ideal. Indeed, it has two minimal idempotents, one generated by the partition $\{\{0, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}$, and the other generated by the partition $\{\{0, 5\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}\}$; see Lemma 3.1. This does not contradict Theorem 4.13 because we will see that the radius zero conjugacy $F_\tau : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$, which is given by the local rule τ :

$$\begin{aligned}\tau : 0, 1 &\mapsto a, \\ 2, 3 &\mapsto b, \\ 4, 5 &\mapsto c\end{aligned}$$

is a factor map with nonzero κ -value. In particular, Lemma 4.17 will tell us that $\kappa(F_\tau) = -1/4 = -1/(\ell - 1)$.

We now show how to “correct” such factor maps to obtain factor maps with κ -value 0.

Recall the k -shifted extension of θ from Definition 2.2.

Lemma 4.17. *Let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\ell$ be an aperiodic primitive length- ℓ substitution of trivial height, and let $\zeta := \theta^{(+k)}$ be its k -shifted extension, with $0 \leq k < \ell$. Then there is a conjugacy given by a code $F : X_\zeta \rightarrow X_\theta$ and $\kappa(F) = \frac{k}{1-\ell}$.*

Proof. Let $F^{-1} : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\zeta$ be the natural conjugacy with right radius 1 and left radius 0, where the valid word ab is mapped to the letter (a, b) . Then iteration of

$$\zeta \circ F^{-1} = F^{-1} \circ \sigma^k \circ \theta$$

gives

$$\zeta^n \circ F^{-1} = F^{-1} \circ (\sigma^k \circ \theta)^n = F^{-1} \circ \sigma^{k \frac{1-\ell^n}{1-\ell}} \circ \theta^n.$$

Applying this identity to a word of length 2, and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain, for some fixed points u and v of θ and ζ respectively,

$$v = F^{-1} \circ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{k \frac{1-\ell^n}{1-\ell}}(u),$$

so that $\kappa(F^{-1}) = \frac{-k}{1-\ell}$. The result follows. \square

Remember that F^{-1} is not necessarily a radius zero factor map.

Corollary 4.18. *Let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\ell$ be an aperiodic primitive length- ℓ substitution of trivial height, and let $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ be irreducible. Then there exists some substitution ζ and a conjugacy $F : X_\zeta \rightarrow X_\theta$ that satisfies $\kappa(F) = \frac{p}{q}$.*

Proof. As shown in Lemma 4.17, if $0 \leq k < \ell$ and $\zeta = \theta^{(+k)}$, then $\kappa(F) = \frac{k}{1-\ell}$. Similarly, if we replace θ by θ^m for some value of m and take $\zeta = (\theta^m)^{(+k)}$, the corresponding factor map has κ -value $\frac{k}{1-\ell^m}$, where we can take k to be any value between 0 and $\ell^m - 1$.

To prove the general statement, suppose first that $-q < p \leq 0$, and that p and q have no common divisors. As we assume that $p/q \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$, then q and ℓ are coprime, so that $\ell^{\varphi(q)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, where φ is Euler’s totient function. Thus $\ell^{\varphi(q)} - 1 = hq$

for some $h > 0$. Since $-q < p \leq 0$, we have $0 \leq -hp < hq < \ell^{\varphi(q)}$, so we can take $\zeta = (\theta^{\varphi(q)})^{+(-hp)}$, and the natural conjugacy $F : X_\zeta \rightarrow X_\theta$ will satisfy:

$$\kappa(F) = \frac{-hp}{1 - \ell^{\varphi(q)}} = \frac{-hp}{-hq} = \frac{p}{q},$$

as desired.

For the general case, it is enough to note that $\frac{p}{q} = M + \frac{p_0}{q}$ for some integer M and $-q < p_0 \leq 0$, where p_0 will also be coprime to q , so it suffices to take $\zeta = (\theta^{\varphi(q)})^{+(-hp_0)}$, as above, and $\sigma^M \circ F$ as the desired conjugacy. \square

Define, for a substitution of trivial height with column number c ,

$$\mathcal{F}_\theta := \{w = w_k \dots w_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^+ : |\theta_{w_1} \circ \dots \circ \theta_{w_k}(\mathcal{A})| = c\}.$$

Note that if \mathcal{F}_θ contains a length k word, then θ^k has a column with c elements.

Lemma 4.19. *Let θ be a constant length substitution. Let (η, β) be an inner encoding of θ . The length $j(\eta)$ of the smallest word in \mathcal{F}_η is bounded by the length $j(\theta)$ of the smallest word in \mathcal{F}_θ .*

Proof. Let $U_\theta = \{\text{im } f : f \in \ker S_\theta\}$. We first show that $U_\eta = \beta(U_\theta)$. Indeed, by Lemma 3.5 we have $\ker S_\eta = \beta(\ker S_\theta)\beta^{-1}$ and hence

$$U_\eta = \{\text{im } f : f \in \ker S_\eta\} = \{\text{im } \beta \circ g : g \in \ker S_\theta\}.$$

By definition, $w_k \dots w_1$ is a word in \mathcal{F}_θ if and only if $\theta_{w_1} \circ \dots \circ \theta_{w_k}(\mathcal{A}) \in U_\theta$. Now, by the above,

$$\eta_{w_1} \circ \dots \circ \eta_{w_k}(\mathcal{B}) = \beta \circ \theta_{w_1} \circ \dots \circ \theta_{w_k}(\mathcal{A}) \in \beta(U_\theta) = U_\eta.$$

This implies $\mathcal{F}_\theta \subset \mathcal{F}_\eta$ and hence $j(\eta) \leq j(\theta)$. \square

Lemma 4.20. *Let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\ell$ be an aperiodic primitive length- ℓ substitution of trivial height, and let $F : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\zeta$ be a factor map. If \mathcal{F}_θ contains a word of length j , then $n\kappa(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some $0 \leq n \leq (\ell - 1)(\ell^j - 1)$.*

Proof. In the case where the factor map is a conjugacy, the statement is [4, Proposition 3.24]. Otherwise, we can suppose that $F = F_\tau$ where $\tau : \mathcal{A}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\zeta$ (invoking the usual combination of Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.7 to reduce to this case).

Theorem 2.7 tells us that there is a pair (η, β) which is inner encoded by θ , and a code $\tau' : \mathcal{A}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\zeta$ such that $F_{\tau'} : X_\eta \rightarrow X_\zeta$ is a conjugacy, and $\kappa(F_\tau) = \kappa(F_{\tau'})$. Then again by [4, Proposition 3.24], the denominator of the reduced form of $\kappa(F_{\tau'})$ is at most $(\ell - 1)(\ell^j - 1)$, where $j = j(\eta)$ is the length of the smallest word in \mathcal{F}_η . Now by Lemma 4.19, we have that $j(\eta) \leq j(\theta)$. Thus the denominator of $\kappa(F_{\tau'})$ is at most $(\ell - 1)(\ell^{j(\theta)} - 1)$. Since $\kappa(F_{\tau'}) = \kappa(F_\tau)$, the result follows. \square

Theorem 4.21. *Let θ be an aperiodic primitive constant length- ℓ substitution with column number $c > 1$ and trivial height. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) X_θ factors onto an aperiodic bijective length- ℓ substitution shift whose alphabet has c letters and such that the factor map is almost everywhere one-to-one.
- (2) There exists $0 \leq n, k$ such that the semigroup $S_{(\theta^n)^{+(+k)}}$ contains a unique minimal left ideal.

Moreover, if j is the length of the smallest word in \mathcal{F}_θ , then $n \leq (\ell - 1)(\ell^j - 1) - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq \ell^n$.

Proof. Let $F : (X_\theta, \sigma) \rightarrow (X_\eta, \sigma)$ where η is bijective, defined on a c -letter alphabet. By Proposition 4.15, $\kappa(F)$ is rational, and by Lemma 4.20, $\kappa(F) = p/q$ where $1 \leq q \leq (\ell - 1)(\ell^j - 1)$, where j is the length of the smallest word in \mathcal{F}_η . Using $\varphi(q) \leq (\ell - 1)(\ell^j - 1) - 1$, and taking a shift if necessary, Corollary 4.18 tells us that there is $n \leq (\ell - 1)(\ell^j - 1) - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq \ell^n$, and a conjugacy $\tilde{F} : (X_{(\theta^n)_{(+k)}}, \sigma) \rightarrow (X_\theta, \sigma)$ such that $\kappa(F) + \kappa(\tilde{F}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $\kappa(F \circ \tilde{F}) \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $S_{(\theta^n)_{(+k)}}$ must have a unique minimal left ideal by Theorem 4.13.

For the converse, if $S_{(\theta^n)_{(+k)}}$ has a unique minimal left ideal, then by Theorem 4.13, $(X_{(\theta^n)_{(+k)}}, \sigma)$ factors dynamically onto a bijective substitution shift. As $(X_{(\theta^n)_{(+k)}}, \sigma)$ is conjugate to (X_θ, σ) , the result follows. \square

Example 4.22. We return to Example 4.16, with θ and η defined there. We take $\zeta := \theta^{(+3)}$, and we claim that ζ has a unique minimal ideal. The reason why we make this choice $\theta^{(+3)}$ is that, by Lemma 4.17, the natural conjugacy $G : X_\zeta \rightarrow X_\theta$ satisfies $\kappa(G) = -3/4$. Then $\kappa(\sigma \circ F) = 3/4$, and $F \circ \sigma \circ G : X_\zeta \rightarrow X_\eta$ has κ -value zero. Note though that $F \circ \sigma \circ G$ has right radius one, so we will need to work with $X_{\zeta^{(2)}}$. Theorem 4.13 guarantees that $\mathcal{S}_{\zeta^{(2)}}$ has a unique minimal left ideal, and Lemma 4.12 guarantees that so also does \mathcal{S}_ζ .

To define ζ , we simultaneously list and code the words of length two in \mathcal{L}_θ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} A = 02, & B = 03, \\ C = 14, & D = 15, \\ E = 20, & F = 21, \\ G = 34, & H = 35, \\ I = 40, & J = 41, \\ K = 52, & L = 53; \end{array}$$

it then can be verified that the 3-shifted extension $\zeta := \theta^{(+3)}$ of θ is given by

$$\begin{array}{l} \zeta : A \mapsto BGJDK \\ B \mapsto BGJDL \\ C \mapsto CIAFC \\ D \mapsto CIAFD \\ E \mapsto EBHKE \\ F \mapsto EBHKF \\ G \mapsto GIAFC \\ H \mapsto GIAFD \\ I \mapsto IBHKE \\ J \mapsto IBHKF \\ K \mapsto LGJDK \\ L \mapsto LGJDL \end{array}$$

Example 4.23. Consider the following length 3 substitution:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta: a &\mapsto abf \\ b &\mapsto aef \\ c &\mapsto abf \\ d &\mapsto dec \\ e &\mapsto dbc \\ f &\mapsto dec.\end{aligned}$$

This substitution has column number 2, trivial height, is primitive, and it has two left minimal ideals, associated to the partitions $\mathcal{P}_{\theta_0} = \mathcal{P}_{\theta_2} = \{\{a, b, c\}, \{d, e, f\}\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\theta_1} = \{\{a, c, e\}, \{b, d, f\}\}$. In fact, this substitution is *quasi-bijective* (see [13]) in the sense that every element in S_θ (bar the identity map) has rank 2, and thus the only irregular fibre of this substitution corresponds to the set of fixed points. Thus there are forbidden words of length one, and $j = 1$.

Theorem 4.21 tells us that, if for every $0 \leq n \leq (\ell - 1)(\ell^j - 1) - 1$ and $0 \leq k < \ell^n$ the semigroup $S_{(\theta^n)^{(+k)}}$ has more than one left minimal ideal, then the shift X_θ has no non-trivial bijective factors; here, $j = 1$, so the bounds for n and k are 3 and 27, respectively.

Via automated computation, we can determine each of the finitely many substitutions $(\theta^n)^{(+k)}$, e.g. for $n = 3$ and $k = 11$ we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}a_b &\mapsto fadb_bccadde_eccadde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffaab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_eccadde_ecc \\ a_e &\mapsto fadb_bccadde_eccadde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_dde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_aab_bf \\ b_c &\mapsto c_aae_effa_aab_bffa_dde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_ecc_aab_bf \\ b_f &\mapsto c_aae_effa_aab_bffa_dde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_dde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_dde_ecc \\ c_a &\mapsto fadb_bccadde_eccadde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_ecc_aab_bf \\ c_d &\mapsto fadb_bccadde_eccadde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_dde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_dde_ecc \\ d_b &\mapsto c_aae_effa_aab_bffa_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_ecc_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_eccadde_ecc \\ d_e &\mapsto c_aae_effa_aab_bffa_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_eccadde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_aab_bf \\ e_c &\mapsto fadb_bccadde_ecc_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_ecc_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_ecc_aab_bf \\ e_f &\mapsto fadb_bccadde_ecc_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_eccadde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_dde_ecc \\ f_a &\mapsto c_aae_effa_aab_bffa_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_ecc_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_ecc_aab_bf \\ f_d &\mapsto c_aae_effa_aab_bffa_aab_bffa_ae_effa_dde_eccadde_eccadb_bcc_aab_bffa_dde_ecc\end{aligned}$$

where each symbol u_v corresponds to a 2-letter word in θ . Computing the corresponding semigroup for each, we observe that in every case it has between 2 and 4 minimal left ideals; for example, in the above case there are four minimal left ideals given by the following partitions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_1 &= \{\{b_f, e_f, e_c, f_a, c_d, c_a\}, \{f_d, d_b, d_e, b_c, a_b, a_e\}\} \\
\mathcal{P}_2 &= \{\{b_f, f_d, d_e, e_c, f_a, a_e\}, \{d_b, e_f, b_c, a_b, c_d, c_a\}\} \\
\mathcal{P}_3 &= \{\{b_f, e_f, e_c, a_b, a_e, c_a\}, \{f_d, d_b, d_e, b_c, f_a, c_d\}\} \\
\mathcal{P}_4 &= \{\{b_f, e_f, d_e, b_c, a_b, c_d\}, \{f_d, d_b, e_c, f_a, a_e, c_a\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, X_θ cannot factor onto any bijective substitution.

We end with an example that shows that if a substitution shift has a bijective shift factor, this does not imply that its pure base has a bijective shift factor.

Example 4.24. Consider the following substitution on $\{a, \bar{a}, b, c, d, e, f\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta: a &\mapsto adc \\
\bar{a} &\mapsto \bar{a}dc \\
b &\mapsto bea \\
c &\mapsto cfb \\
d &\mapsto d\bar{a}e \\
e &\mapsto ebf \\
f &\mapsto fcd.
\end{aligned}$$

It is routine to check that this substitution is primitive and has height 2. We can define a factor map $F_\tau : X_\theta \rightarrow X_\eta$, which has local rule $\tau : \{a, \bar{a}, b, c, d, e, f\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$, with $\tau(a) = \tau(\bar{a})$, and τ is the identity otherwise; η is the resulting inner encoding. It can be verified that η is bijective. As such, we can check that S_θ has indeed a unique minimal left ideal, satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1.

Given that both θ and η have height 2, one may proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.30 and define a factor map between the pure bases $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ of both substitutions, but, in general, the property of being bijective is *not* a conjugacy invariant. In fact, $\tilde{\eta}$ is not a bijective substitution. Furthermore, it cannot be taken to be conjugate to one: it can be verified that the maximal equicontinuous factor map of $X_{\tilde{\eta}}$ has two irregular fibres modulo \mathbb{Z} , namely 0 and $\cdots 1, 1, 1 = -1/2$, but any subshift that is conjugate to one arising from a bijective substitution can only have one irregular fibre modulo \mathbb{Z} .

Theorem 4.21 tells us that to decide whether $\tilde{\theta}$ has a bijective factor, we need to compute the minimal left ideals of $(\tilde{\theta}^n)^{(+k)}$ with $1 \leq n \leq 3$ and $0 \leq k \leq 27$. Via automated computation, we have verified that $\tilde{\theta}$ has no bijective substitution shift factors. (See Example 4.23 for one example of such a computation.)

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bartlett. *Spectral theory of \mathbb{Z}^d substitutions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **38**, No. 4, 1289–1341, 2018.
- [2] F. Blanchard, F. Durand, A. Maass. *Constant-length substitutions and countable scrambled sets*, Nonlinearity **17**, No. 3, 817–833, 2004.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston. *The algebraic theory of semigroups, Vol. I*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.
- [4] E. M. Coven, A. Quas, and R. Yassawi. *Computing automorphism groups of shifts using atypical equivalence classes*, Discrete Anal., pages Paper No. 3, 28, 2016.

- [5] F. M. Dekking. *The spectrum of dynamical systems arising from substitutions of constant length*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, 41(3):221–239, 1977/78.
- [6] T. Downarowicz. *Survey of odometers and Toeplitz flows*, Algebraic and topological dynamics, Contemp. Math., 385: 7–37, 2005.
- [7] E. Glasner and M. Megrelishvili. *More on tame dynamical systems*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.09571>.
- [8] J. M. Howie. *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, volume 12. Clarendon Oxford, 1995.
- [9] J. L. Herning. *Spectrum and Factors of Substitution Dynamical Systems*, Thesis (Ph.D)-The George Washington University. 2013. 93 pp.
- [10] W. Huang. *Tame systems and scrambled pairs under an abelian group action*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 26(5):1549–1567, 2006.
- [11] J. Kellendonk and R. Yassawi, *The Ellis semigroup of bijective substitutions*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 16, 1 29–73, 2022.
- [12] M. Lemańczyk and M. K. Mentzen. *On metric properties of substitutions*, Compositio Math., 65(3):241–263, 1988.
- [13] M. Lemańczyk and C. Müllner. *Automatic sequences are orthogonal to aperiodic multiplicative functions*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 40(12):6877–6918, 2020.
- [14] J. C. Martin. *Substitution minimal flows*, Amer. J. Math., 93:503–526, 1971.
- [15] C. Muellner and R. Yassawi. *Automorphisms of automatic shifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, Volume 41, Number 5:1530–1559, 2021. DOI=10.1017/etds.2020.13.
- [16] J.-E. Pin. *Varieties of formal languages*, Foundations of Computer Science Plenum Publishing Corp., New York, 1986 DOI = 10.1007/978-1-4613-2215-3.
- [17] M. Queffélec. *Substitution dynamical systems—spectral analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 1294, Second Edition, Springer Verlag, Berlin 2010.
- [18] W. A. Veech. *Point-distal flows*, Amer. J. Math. Volume 92, 205–242, 1970.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE OPEN UNIVERSITY, U.K. & FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE, SANTIAGO, CHILE
Email address: `abustos@dim.uchile.cl`

INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, UNIVERSITÉ LYON-1, FRANCE
Email address: `kellendonk@math.univ-lyon1.fr`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES , QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, U.K.
Email address: `r.yassawi@qmul.ac.uk`