

# APPLICATION OF THE FERMI FUNCTION IN MONEY EXCHANGE

Hsin-Lun Li

<sup>1</sup>National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 804, Taiwan

**ABSTRACT.** Money exchange involves a buyer and a seller. A money exchange model is designed as follows: at each time step, a pair of socially connected agents are selected to transact. A Fermi function defines the probability of one of the selected pair being a buyer. Their transaction amount is an integral random variable with finite states. We argue that achieving equal wealth is unattainable. Additionally, we demonstrate conditions that result in the money distribution forming two or more clusters, and we explore circumstances in which a connected social graph with more links leads to a reduced number of states in the money distribution.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Money exchange consists of two roles: buyer and seller. We propose a money exchange model that involves a finite number of agents whose initial money is in  $(\ell, h)$  for  $\ell$  and  $h$  integers. Say  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is the collection of all agents. At each time step, a pair of socially connected agents are selected to transact.

- A transaction occurs if and only if their money falls in  $(\ell, h)$ .

The social relationships among agents are characterized by a social graph  $G$ , where each vertex represents an agent and each edge indicates a social connection between the corresponding agents. Let  $X_{ij}(t)$ ,  $i, j \in [n]$ ,  $t \geq 0$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with a finite state space  $\{1, 2, \dots, d\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If agents  $i$  and  $j$  engage in a transaction at time  $t$ , agent  $i$  has a probability  $Q_{ij}(t)$  of paying agent  $j$  a certain amount  $X_{ij}(t)$  of money for goods or services.  $Q_{ij}(t)$  is defined by a Fermi function,

$$Q_{ij}(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-\eta_t(m_i(t) - m_j(t))]},$$

where

$m_i$  = money of agent  $i$  at time  $t$ ,

$\eta_t$  = inverse temperature at time  $t$ .

Observe that  $(\ell - d, \ell]$  and  $[h, h + d)$  are absorbing states. The parameter  $\eta_t$  determines the degree of randomness in the dynamics. A wealthier agent is more likely to act as a buyer when  $\eta_t > 0$ , and as a seller when  $\eta_t < 0$ . However, if  $\eta_t = 0$ , a richer agent has an equal chance of being a buyer or a seller compared to a poorer agent.

- We set  $\eta_t \leq 0$  at all times so that a wealthier agent is more likely or has an equal chance to be a seller.

We can set  $h - \ell$  to be significantly larger than  $d$  and initialize the money distribution at the center of  $(\ell, h)$ . This ensures that agents are less likely to end up in the absorbing states after a transaction. Agents with a money amount greater than or equal to  $h$  can be considered quite rich, while agents with a money amount less

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 91C20, 91D25, 91D30, 94C15, 60G48.

*Key words and phrases.* Money exchange, social network, equal wealth, Fermi function.

than or equal to  $\ell$  can be considered quite poor. By shifting, the initial money distribution is at state zero in  $(-(h - \ell)/2, (h - \ell)/2)$ . Let  $X_{ij}(t_1)$ ,  $m_k(t_2)$  and  $\eta_{t_3}$  be independent for all  $i, j, k \in [n]$ , and  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \geq 0$ . This implies that an agent spends money without considering the amount of money remaining. Let  $|H|$  denote the order of the graph  $H$ , which represents the number of vertices in  $H$ . We use  $H - v$  to denote the graph obtained by removing vertex  $v$  from  $H$ , and  $H - e$  to denote the graph obtained by removing edge  $e$  from  $H$ .

An application of the Fermi function can be observed in the Bonabeau model presented in [1]. The Bonabeau model describes a system of finite agents positioned on sites within a two-dimensional grid. At each time step, an agent is selected along with one of its neighboring sites. If the neighboring site is unoccupied, the agent moves to that site. However, if the neighboring site is occupied by another agent, a fight between the two agents occurs. The Fermi function represents the probability of the attacking agent winning the fight. Unlike certain models in opinion dynamics, such as the Deffuant model discussed in [3, 2], the Hegselmann-Krause model presented in [4], and the mixed Hegselmann-Krause model described in [5, 6], the money exchange model ensures the conservation of total money during a transaction between two agents.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We derive the following results under the proposed money exchange model.

**Theorem 1.** All transactions end almost surely in finite time.

Let  $T$  be the earliest time that all transactions end, i.e.,

$$T = \inf\{t \geq 0 : m_i(s) = m_i(t) \text{ for all } s \geq t\}.$$

Then,  $T$  is almost surely finite. Corollary 2 states that agents are not social neighbors if their money is in a non-absorbing state at time  $T$ .

**Corollary 2.** Agents whose money is in  $(\ell, h)$  at time  $T$  are not social neighbors.

Namely, if one of the components of the social graph is of order greater than one, then one of the clusters in the money distribution at time  $T$  will be in an absorbing state. Corollary 3 states that equal wealth is unattainable on a connected social graph of order greater than one.

**Corollary 3.** It is almost surely impossible to achieve equal wealth at time  $T$  when  $G$  is connected and of order more than one.

It turns out that a social graph with the most links engenders at most one agent out of the absorbing states at time  $T$ .

**Corollary 4.** Assume that  $G$  is complete. Then, at most one agent is out of the absorbing states at time  $T$ .

Corollary 5 demonstrates situations where a connected social graph with an increased number of links results in a reduction in the number of states in the money distribution at time  $T$ .

**Corollary 5.** Assuming that  $G$  is connected and cyclic and edge  $e = (i, j)$  belongs to a cycle in  $G$ , let  $n_H$  represent the number of states in the money distribution at time  $T$  under social graph  $H$ . Then, we have  $n_G \leq n_{G-e}$  when the same pair of agents is selected at each time step, no two agents are in the same non-absorbing state for all  $t \geq 1$ , and an absorbing state occurs before agents  $i$  and  $j$  engage in a transaction with each other.

### Application of the Fermi function in money exchange

Although achieving equal wealth is not possible, having more social connections does not necessarily lead to a greater number of states in the money distribution at time  $T$ .

### 3. THE MODEL

The key aspect in obtaining the main results is to identify a suitable supermartingale or submartingale.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $Z_t = \sum_{i,j \in [n]} (m_i(t) - m_j(t))^2$ . Then,  $Z_t$  is a submartingale. In particular,

$$\mathbf{E}[Z_t - Z_{t+1} | \text{a transaction at time } t] \leq -4n \text{ if there is a transaction at time } t.$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_{ij} = X_{ij}(t)$ ,  $m_i = m_i(t)$  and  $m_i^* = m_i(t+1)$  for all  $i, j \in [n]$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Say agents  $p$  and  $q$  transact at time  $t$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (m_i - m_p)^2 - (m_i - m_p^*)^2 &= 2(m_i - m_p^*)(m_p^* - m_p) + (m_p^* - m_p)^2, \\ (m_i - m_q)^2 - (m_i - m_q^*)^2 &= 2(m_i - m_q^*)(m_q^* - m_q) + (m_q^* - m_q)^2, \\ (m_i - m_p)^2 - (m_i - m_p^*)^2 + (m_i - m_q)^2 - (m_i - m_q^*)^2 \\ &= 2(m_p^* - m_p)(m_q^* - m_p^*) + (m_p^* - m_p)^2 + (m_q^* - m_q)^2, \\ (m_p - m_q)^2 - (m_p^* - m_q^*)^2 &= 4X_{pq}(X_{pq} - m_p + m_q). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t - Z_{t+1} &= 2 \left\{ \sum_{i \in [n] - \{p,q\}} [(m_i - m_p)^2 - (m_i - m_p^*)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (m_i - m_q)^2 - (m_i - m_q^*)^2] + (m_p - m_q)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $F_t$  be the event of a transaction at time  $t$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}[Z_t - Z_{t+1} | F_t] \\ &= 2 \left\{ (n-2) \mathbf{E}[-2X_{pq}(X_{pq} + m_q - m_p)Q_{pq} - 2X_{qp}(X_{qp} + m_p - m_q)Q_{qp} | F_t] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbf{E}[-4X_{pq}(X_{pq} - m_p + m_q)Q_{pq} - 4X_{qp}(X_{qp} - m_q + m_p)Q_{qp} | F_t] \right\} \\ &= 2 \left[ -2(n-2) - 4 \right] \left( \mathbf{E}[X_{12}^2 | F_t] + \mathbf{E}[X_{12} | F_t] \mathbf{E}[(m_q - m_p)(Q_{pq} - Q_{qp}) | F_t] \right) \\ &= -4n \left( \mathbf{E}[X_{12}^2 | F_t] + \mathbf{E}[X_{12} | F_t] \mathbf{E}[(m_q - m_p)(Q_{pq} - Q_{qp}) | F_t] \right) \leq -4n. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 1.** It follows from Lemma 6,  $Z_t$  is a submartingale bounded in  $L^1$  by  $n^2(h - \ell + 2d)^2$ . By the martingale convergence theorem,  $Z_t$  converges almost surely to a random variable  $Z_\infty$  with finite expectation. Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (Z_t - Z_{t+1}) = Z_\infty - Z_\infty = 0.$$

So  $|Z_t - Z_{t+1}| < 1/2$  for some  $N \geq 0$  and for all  $t \geq 0$ . Since  $Z_t - Z_{t+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $Z_t - Z_{t+1} = 0$  for all  $t \geq N$ . If there is a transaction at some time  $t \geq N$ , then by Lemma 6,

$$\mathbf{E}[Z_t - Z_{t+1} | \text{a transaction at time } t] \leq -4n, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Hence, there is no transaction from time  $N$  on. □

**Proof of Corollary 2.** Assuming the opposite of the statement, let  $E_t$  be the event that two agents whose money is in  $(\ell, h)$  at time  $T$  are social neighbors, and let  $F_t$  be the event that the two agents transact at some time  $t \geq T$ . Then,

$$P(F_t) \geq P(F_t|E_t)P(E_t) > \frac{1}{n^2}P(E_t) > 0, \text{ contradicting } P(F_t) = 0.$$

□

**Proof of Corollary 3.** Assuming that this is not the case, since the total money of all agents is conserved over time, the money of all agents would be the average initial money of all agents, which falls in  $(\ell, h)$ . This contradicts Corollary 2. □

**Proof of Corollary 4.** Assume that this is not the case. Then, there are two agents whose money is in  $(\ell, h)$  at time  $T$ .  $G$  complete implies they are social neighbors, contradicting Corollary 2. □

**Proof of Corollary 5.** We prove by induction on  $|G|$ . For  $|G| = 3$ , we consider  $K_3$  the complete graph of order 3 and  $P_3$  the path of order 3. Say  $1 \implies 2 \implies 3$  is the path. If more than one agent is in an absorbing state before agents 1 and 3 are selected, we are done. Else, only one agent is in an absorbing state before agents 1 and 3 are selected. By symmetry, we consider two cases:

- (1) agent 1 in an absorbing state and
- (2) agent 2 in an absorbing state.

Under case (1), a transaction occurs only when agents 2 and 3 are selected before time  $T$  for  $K_3$  and  $P_3$ , therefore  $n_{K_3} = n_{P_3}$ . Since all agents in non-absorbing states are distinct for all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $n_{P_3} = 3 \geq n_{K_3}$  under case (2). Thus, it is true for  $|G| = 3$ . For  $|G| > 3$ , if agent  $i$  or agent  $j$  is in an absorbing state before agents  $i$  and  $j$  are selected, then the states of all agents under  $G$  are the same as the states of all agents under  $G - e$  all the time. Else, let vertex  $v$  be in an absorbing state before edge  $e = (i, j)$  is selected and  $H$  be the component of  $G - v$  containing  $e$ . Since agents in distinct components of  $G - v$  can not transact with each other, by induction,  $n_{H-e} \geq n_H$ . Hence,  $n_{G-e} \geq n_G$ . □

#### 4. STATEMENTS AND DECLARATIONS

**4.1. Competing Interests.** The author is funded by the National Science and Technology Council in Taiwan.

**4.2. Data availability.** No associated data was used.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Castellano, S. Fortunato, and V. Loreto. Statistical physics of social dynamics. *Reviews of modern physics*, 81(2):591, 2009.
  - [2] N. Lanchier. The critical value of the Deffuant model equals one half. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 9(2):383–402, 2012.
  - [3] N. Lanchier and H.-L. Li. Probability of consensus in the multivariate Deffuant model on finite connected graphs. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 25:1–12, 2020.
  - [4] N. Lanchier and H.-L. Li. Consensus in the Hegselmann–Krause model. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 187(3):1–13, 2022.
  - [5] H.-L. Li. Mixed Hegselmann–Krause dynamics. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - B*, 27(2):1149–1162, 2022.
  - [6] H.-L. Li. Mixed Hegselmann–Krause dynamics II. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - B*, 28(5):2981–2993, 2023.
- Email address:* hsinlunl@asu.edu