

A p -ADIC SIMPSON CORRESPONDENCE FOR SMOOTH PROPER RIGID VARIETIES

BEN HEUER

ABSTRACT. For any smooth proper rigid analytic space X over a complete algebraically closed extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , we construct a p -adic Simpson correspondence: an equivalence of categories between vector bundles on Scholze’s pro-étale site of X and Higgs bundles on X . This generalises a result of Faltings from smooth projective curves to any higher dimension, and further to the rigid analytic setup. The strategy is new, and is based on the study of rigid analytic moduli spaces of pro-étale invertible sheaves on spectral varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Main result. Let K be a complete algebraically closed extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . The goal of this article is to prove the following global p -adic Simpson correspondence.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.1). *Let X be a smooth proper rigid space over K . Then choices of a B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift \mathbb{X} of X and of an exponential Exp for K induce an exact tensor equivalence*

$$S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}} : \{\text{pro-étale vector bundles on } X\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{Higgs bundles on } X\}$$

which is natural in the datum of the pair (X, \mathbb{X}) .

Here an exponential for K is a continuous splitting of the p -adic logarithm $\log : 1 + \mathfrak{m}_K \rightarrow K$, and the two sides of the p -adic Simpson correspondence $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ are defined as follows:

- Definition 1.2.**
- (1) A pro-étale vector bundle on X is a finite locally free sheaf on the pro-étale site $X_{\text{proét}}$ of Scholze [36] endowed with the completed structure sheaf $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.
 - (2) A Higgs bundle on X is a pair (E, θ) of an analytic vector bundle E on X and a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1(-1)$ satisfying $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$.

Faltings constructed the p -adic Simpson correspondence in the case when X is a smooth projective curve [11], under some further assumptions on X and K . More precisely, he formulated it in terms of “generalised representations”, which are equivalent to pro-étale vector bundles (see [18, Prop. 2.3]). Since then, it has been one of the main open questions in the area whether such a correspondence exists in higher dimension (see e.g. [3, Foreword]). Theorem 1.1 confirms that this is the case: It generalises Faltings’ result not only from smooth projective curves to smooth proper varieties, but further to the rigid analytic setting.

Our method is quite different from that of [11] even for curves, and we can avoid any use of semi-stable models and log-structures. Instead, we work with Scholze’s perfectoid foundations of p -adic Hodge theory. As a consequence, our result is stronger than that of Faltings even in the case of curves, namely we need weaker choices, as we will explain below.

Assume now additionally that X is connected and fix a base-point $x \in X(K)$. Then as the name “generalised representations” suggests, descent from the universal pro-finite-étale cover induces a natural fully faithful functor

$$\text{Rep}_K(\pi_1(X, x)) \hookrightarrow \{\text{pro-étale vector bundles on } X\}$$

from continuous representations of the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x)$ on finite dimensional K -vector spaces (see [19, Thm. 5.2]). We thus obtain a fully faithful exact tensor functor

$$S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}} : \text{Rep}_K(\pi_1(X, x)) \hookrightarrow \{\text{Higgs bundles on } X\}$$

from Theorem 1.1. This allows us to generalise the question posed in [11, §5]:

Question 1.3. How can we characterise the essential image of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$?

The name “ p -adic Simpson correspondence” for Theorem 1.1 alludes to the famous non-abelian Hodge correspondence in complex geometry due to Corlette and Simpson [39]. For a smooth *projective* variety Y over \mathbb{C} with a base-point $y \in Y(\mathbb{C})$, this is an equivalence of categories from representations of the topological fundamental group $\pi_1(Y, y)$ on finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector spaces to the category of semistable Higgs bundles on Y with vanishing rational Chern classes. The functor $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ is a very close analogue of this functor.

Question 1.3 is therefore another main question about the p -adic Simpson correspondence. One can hope that for smooth *projective* varieties over $K = \mathbb{C}_p$, the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, the essential image of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ is given by semistable Higgs bundles with vanishing rational Chern classes, as over \mathbb{C} . For line bundles, this is proved in [21, Thm. 1.1], where it is however also shown that for proper X or for larger K , one in general needs stronger assumptions.

1.2. p -adic non-abelian Hodge theory. Let X be a smooth proper rigid space over K . Then we have a p -adic analogue of the Hodge decomposition from complex geometry: By a result of Scholze [37, Thm. 3.20], building on the work of Tate [40], Faltings [10] and others in the algebraic setting, the datum of a B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift \mathbb{X} of X induces an isomorphism

$$(1) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(X, \Omega_X^j(-j))$$

where $(-j)$ denotes a Tate twist. We note that there is a canonical such lift \mathbb{X} if we are given a model of X over a complete discretely valued subfield of K with perfect residue field.

In complex geometry, the non-abelian Hodge correspondence of Corlette and Simpson is a non-abelian categorical generalisation of the Hodge decomposition. Starting with the pioneering work of Deninger–Werner [8] and Faltings [11], it has been a much studied question what a p -adic version of the non-abelian Hodge correspondence could look like. Our Theorem 1.1 can now be regarded as providing such a “ p -adic non-abelian Hodge correspondence”. There are several ways to explain this interpretation: The first perspective, emphasized by Abbes–Gros [2], is that the p -adic Simpson correspondence should generalise the p -adic Hodge decomposition (1) to more general coefficients. Indeed, we prove:

Theorem 1.4. *In the setting of Theorem 1.1, let V be a pro-étale vector bundle on X and let $(E, \theta) = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}(V)$ be the associated Higgs bundle. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma(X_{\text{proét}}, V) = R\Gamma_{\text{Higgs}}(X, (E, \theta)).$$

Here $R\Gamma(X_{\text{proét}}, -)$ is pro-étale cohomology, while $R\Gamma_{\text{Higgs}}(X, -)$ is Dolbeault cohomology (Definition 5.4). In the simplest case of $V = \mathcal{O}_X$, the left hand side equals $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ by Scholzes’ Primitive Comparison Theorem [36, Thm 5.1], while the right hand side is equal to Hodge cohomology. Hence, this case recovers the Hodge–Tate decomposition (1).

There is a second, very different way in which we can regard Theorem 1.1 as a generalisation of the Hodge decomposition (1) to more general coefficients: In the spirit of Simpson’s perspective on non-abelian Hodge theory [39, §0], the functor $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ can be interpreted as a “categorical Hodge decomposition for non-abelian coefficient groups”: If G is any rigid group, then the set of isomorphism classes of G -torsors on $X_{\text{proét}}$ is given by $H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, G)$. For $G = \text{GL}_r$, this classifies the pro-étale vector bundles of rank r on X up to isomorphism.

For $G = \mathbb{G}_a$, we instead have $H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_a) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ by the aforementioned Primitive Comparison Theorem. Second, there is a general notion of G -Higgs bundles for rigid groups G , and the set of isomorphism class of \mathbb{G}_a -Higgs bundles is $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(-1))$. From this perspective, the isomorphism (1) for $n = 1$ can be regarded as matching up pro-étale \mathbb{G}_a -torsors and \mathbb{G}_a -Higgs bundles, and indeed one can upgrade this bijection to an equivalence between these two categories. In this sense, Theorem 1.1 provides a categorified generalised Hodge decomposition in degree $n = 1$ for GL_r -coefficients.

1.3. Comparison to previous results. Faltings’ article [11] has been very influential and has sparked a great deal of activity in recent years. Its line of argument can roughly be divided into three parts: The local correspondence between “small” objects in terms of a toric chart, the global correspondence between “small” objects in terms of a lift, and finally the global p -adic Simpson correspondence in the case of projective curves.

The first two steps, which we shall summarise as the “small correspondence”, have since been the subject of extensive studies, and can now be regarded as being well-understood: This started with the work of Abbes–Gros and Tsuji [3][41], who have reinterpreted and studied in great detail the small correspondence for certain semi-stable schemes with log structures. More recently, the small correspondence has been studied for rigid analytic X under various additional technical assumptions, including the case when X is arithmetic and the pro-étale bundle comes from a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system due to Liu–Zhu [29], and the case when X has good reduction due to Wang [42]. Moreover, there are new approaches based on prismatic crystals [32][31], leading to a relative version of the small correspondence in families [4].

In contrast, the final step in [11], the p -adic Simpson correspondence for projective curves, is much less well-understood: It is deduced from the small correspondence by descent from finite étale covers, using a subtle construction of “twisted pullback” which has recently been studied further by Xu [45]. There are two main reasons why this strategy is limited to curves: Firstly, the descent step relies on the fact that one can make global differentials on curves p -adically small by passing to finite étale covers. Second, it uses a semistable reduction assumption, but in higher dimension one does not know if semistable models exist for any finite étale covers. For these reasons, this strategy does not generalise to higher dimension.

Our approach to the p -adic Simpson correspondence is quite different from that of [11], and in particular it is logically independent of the global correspondence for small objects. As a consequence, even in the case of curves, Theorem 1.1 is in fact more general than Faltings’ result: Firstly, due to the different technical foundations, the base field K in Theorem 1.1 is more general, as Faltings assumes that X admits a model X_0 over a discretely valued non-archimedean field $L \subseteq K$ with perfect residue field. More importantly, however, a new aspect is that our p -adic Simpson functor $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ depends on a lift of X to B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 , whereas in [11] it is important to instead choose a lift of a semi-stable model over \mathcal{O}_K to the integral subring $A_{\text{inf}}/\xi^2 \subseteq B_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2$, because such a datum is necessary for the global small correspondence.

The relevance of this improvement is that for a smooth proper variety X_0 over L , the base-change to K always admits a canonical lift to B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 . Indeed, one always has a canonical map $L \rightarrow B_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2$ along which one can base-change, but this does not restrict to a map $\mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow A_{\text{inf}}/\xi^2$ unless L is absolutely unramified. Consequently, in contrast to Faltings’ result, we can eliminate the choice of lift in the important special case that X admits a model X_0 over L , making it more canonical, in close analogy to the Hodge–Tate decomposition (1).

Apart from Faltings’ result for curves, the only other previously known cases of a p -adic Simpson correspondence for proper X beyond the small case are the case of line bundles, i.e. rank one [19], and the case of projective space $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ [4, Cor. 8.28]. Moreover, there are partial results, e.g. in the case of vanishing Higgs field [9][44]. But our result is new even when X is an abelian variety of dimension > 1 .

The cohomological comparison Theorem 1.4 was previously known for the small correspondence under various additional hypothesis: These include the algebraic settings of Abbes–Gros and Tsuji [3][2], the case of good reduction [42, Thm. 1.1][4], and arithmetic settings of Galois-equivariant pro-étale vector bundles, namely for \mathbb{Q}_p -local systems due to Liu–Zhu [29], and more generally by Min–Wang [31]. For curves, Faltings deduced it from the small case. Beyond these cases, this result is new, already for line bundles.

1.4. **Strategy.** Conceptually, the approach of this article is rooted in the idea of using p -adic analytic moduli spaces to study the p -adic Simpson correspondence, initiated in [21][20].

In this article, we apply this perspective to the spectral cover, an object going back to the work of Hitchin [26]: Let (E, θ) be a Higgs bundle on X . To simplify notation, we set $\tilde{\Omega} := \Omega_X^1(-1)$. The datum of θ is then equivalent to an \mathcal{O}_X -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\bullet} \tilde{\Omega}^{\vee} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)$$

on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Let $B \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)$ be its image. This is a coherent commutative \mathcal{O}_X -algebra and the Higgs field θ is encoded by the B -action on E via the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\bullet} \tilde{\Omega}^{\vee} \rightarrow B$. We call the finite cover $X' := \mathrm{Spa}_{\mathcal{O}_X} B \rightarrow X$ the spectral variety¹ of (E, θ) . Consider now Scholze's pro-étale site $X_{\text{proét}}$ of [36, §3] endowed with the completed structure sheaf. The very basic idea for defining $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}^{-1}$ is to use the morphism of ringed sites $\nu : X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$ and to send

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}^{-1} : \{ \text{Higgs bundles on } X \} &\rightarrow \{ \text{pro-étale vector bundles on } X \} \\ (E, \theta) &\mapsto \nu^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B} := \nu^* B$ and where \mathcal{L}_{θ} will be a certain invertible (i.e. locally free of rank 1) \mathcal{B} -module on $X_{\text{proét}}$ that depends on (E, θ) . In order to define \mathcal{L}_{θ} , the key idea is to show that the moduli functor of invertible \mathcal{B} -modules is represented by a rigid group variety. To make this precise, let $\mathrm{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$ be the site of smooth rigid spaces over K with the étale topology and let

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{X'} : \mathrm{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}, \quad \text{sheafification of } (S \mapsto H_{\text{an}}^1(X' \times S, \mathcal{O}^{\times}))$$

be the rigid analytic Picard functor of X' . We define the pro-étale Picard functor of \mathcal{B} as

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} : \mathrm{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}, \quad \text{sheafification of } (S \mapsto H_{\text{proét}}^1(X \times S, \mathcal{B}^{\times}) / H_{\text{proét}}^1(S, \pi_{S, \text{proét}*} \mathcal{B}^{\times}))$$

where $\pi_S : X \times S \rightarrow S$ denotes the projection. Our main technical result is now the following “multiplicative Hodge–Tate sequence for \mathcal{B} ”:

Theorem 1.5. *There is a short exact sequence of abelian sheaves on $\mathrm{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$*

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} \rightarrow H^0(X, B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \tilde{\Omega}) \otimes_K \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0.$$

If $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}$ is representable, then (2) is represented by a short exact sequence of rigid group varieties over K . The associated exact sequence of Lie algebras is the Hodge–Tate sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^1(X, B) \rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We can now explain how we use the choices in Theorem 1.1: The B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift \mathbb{X} induces a splitting $s_{\mathbb{X}}$ of (3). The natural map $\tilde{\Omega}^{\vee} \rightarrow B$ induces a section $\tau_B \in H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega})$. We now use an observation from [24] based on Fargues' work on p -divisible rigid groups [12]:

Theorem 1.6 ([24, Thm. 6.12]). *For any rigid group H over K such that $[p]: H \rightarrow H$ is surjective, any exponential for K induces a natural Lie exponential $\mathrm{Exp}_H : \mathrm{Lie}(H) \rightarrow H(K)$.*

For algebraic groups H this is shown in [11, p. 856f] for the definition of twisted pullback. We instead apply it to $H = \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}^0$, which is not algebraic even if X is a curve, to get an invertible \mathcal{B} -module $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} := \mathrm{Exp}_H(s_{\mathbb{X}}(\tau_B)) \in H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}^{\times})$. In summary, we used the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Pic}_{X'}(K) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}(K) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes B) \\ \uparrow & & \mathrm{Exp}_H \uparrow & & \parallel \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, B) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}) & \xleftarrow{s_{\mathbb{X}}} & H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes B) \ni \tau_B. \end{array}$$

In order to make the construction canonical and functorial, we develop a notion of rigidifications of invertible pro-étale \mathcal{B} -modules that makes \mathcal{L}_{θ} unique up to unique isomorphism.

¹This is slightly different to the spectral variety studied in the context of the Hitchin fibration. Roughly, we replace the characteristic polynomial by the minimal polynomial. But this difference is not essential.

The perspective of p -adic moduli spaces enters twice in the construction of \mathcal{L}_θ : Once in order to prove right-exactness in Theorem 1.5, and independently when we invoke Theorem 1.6.

The assumption in Theorem 1.5 that $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}$ is representable is satisfied when X is algebraic. As it currently seems a bit unclear whether it is true in general (see §2.2), we also give a more general construction of \mathcal{L}_θ motivated by [21, Thm 1.2]: we show that the torsor $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$ has a reduction of structure group to $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}[p^\infty]$ that is always representable.

The construction of the functor $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ from pro-étale vector bundles to Higgs bundles is similar: Let V be any pro-étale vector bundle on X . Then we use that by a construction of Rodríguez Camargo [34, Thm. 1.0.3], one can endow V with a canonical Higgs field

$$\theta_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes \tilde{\Omega}.$$

The image B of the induced morphism $\text{Sym}^\bullet \tilde{\Omega}^\vee \rightarrow \nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V)$ is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra. Set $\mathcal{B} := \nu^* B$, then as before, we can use Theorem 1.5 and the choices to define an invertible \mathcal{B} -module \mathcal{L}_V . We then show that $(V, \theta_V) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_V^{-1}$ is an analytic Higgs bundle.

Conceptually, this strategy builds on our previous works on moduli spaces [17][20][21], e.g. the simplest case of $B = \mathcal{O}_X$ of Theorem 1.5 is closely related to [17, Thm. 1.3]. However, it does not logically rely on their main results: With the exception of an easier special case of [20, Thm. 6.5], it only uses very limited technical input from these articles.

During the final stages of this work, we learnt that Daxin Xu was independently studying moduli of invertible B -modules in the case of curves. In our subsequent joint work [25], we build on this circle of ideas to compare moduli spaces in p -adic non-abelian Hodge theory.

Acknowledgements. We thank Johannes Anschütz, Bhargav Bhatt, Gabriel Dospinescu, Tongmu He, Alexander Petrov, Yupeng Wang, Annette Werner and Mingjia Zhang for very helpful discussions and for their comments on earlier versions of this article. We moreover thank Arthur-César Le Bras, Ruochuan Liu, Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo, Peter Scholze, Matti Würthen and Daxin Xu for very helpful conversations. This project was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 444845124 – TRR 326.

2. THE RELATIVE PRO-ÉTALE PICARD VARIETY OF THE SPECTRAL COVER

2.1. Setup. Let K be a complete algebraically closed non-archimedean field over \mathbb{Q}_p as in the introduction. Throughout, we work in the setting of [36] and thus work with analytic adic spaces in the sense of Huber: By a rigid space, we mean an adic space locally of topologically finite type over $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$. We use the following notation from [20, Def. 2.10]:

Definition 2.1. For any smooth rigid space X over K , we write $\tilde{\Omega}_X := \Omega_{X|K}^1(-1)$ for the Tate twist of the sheaf of Kähler differentials on X . We also just write $\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Omega}_X$ if X is clear from the context. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\tilde{\Omega}_X^k = \wedge_{\mathcal{O}_X}^k \tilde{\Omega}_X$. We can always make a choice of p -power unit roots in K to trivialise the Tate twist, but it is better to remember it to get the correct notion of functoriality in K , especially in situations where there is a Galois action.

For any rigid space X , we denote by Rig_X^{sm} the category of smooth rigid spaces over X , and by Perf_X the category of perfectoid spaces over X in the sense of [35]. If $X = \text{Spa}(K)$, we also write this category as Perf_K . We endow it with the étale topology, or with the finer v -topology, to make them into sites. We indicate the topology by an index, e.g. $\text{Perf}_{K, \text{ét}}$.

For any rigid space X , there is an associated diamond X^\diamond in the sense of [38, §15], which we can equivalently regard as a sheaf $X^\diamond : \text{Perf}_{K, v} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$. Since the resulting functor $-^\diamond : \text{Rig}_K^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \text{Perf}_{K, v}$ is fully faithful, we will freely switch back and forth between rigid spaces and their associated diamonds, and therefore usually drop the $-^\diamond$ from notation.

2.2. The relative pro-étale Picard variety of a coherent algebra. Let X be a proper rigid space over K . Then we have the rigid Picard functor

$$\mathbf{Pic}_X : \mathrm{Rig}_{K,\acute{e}t}^{\mathrm{sm}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$$

from the category of smooth rigid spaces over K to the category of abelian groups, defined as the étale sheafification of the presheaf

$$Y \mapsto H_{\mathrm{an}}^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times).$$

Here on the right hand side, we can equivalently use the étale topology by [13, Prop. 8.2.3].

It is conjectured that \mathbf{Pic}_X is always represented by a smooth rigid group variety. This is known e.g. when X is algebraisable, i.e. when there is a proper scheme X_0 over K such that $X = X_0^{\mathrm{an}}$: In this case, \mathbf{Pic}_{X_0} is represented by a locally finite type group scheme over K by Theorems of Grothendieck, Murre and Oort, see [6, §8.2]. We then have $\mathbf{Pic}_X = \mathbf{Pic}_{X_0}^{\mathrm{an}}$ by Köpf's relative GAGA Theorem [28]. There are other cases in which \mathbf{Pic}_X is known to be representable. We mention [16][43] and also refer to [17, §1] for an overview.

Definition 2.2. Let B be a (commutative) coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra on $X_{\acute{e}t}$ and let $\mathcal{B} := \nu^*B$ be the associated sheaf on $X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$. For any smooth rigid space S , let us write $\pi_S : X \times S \rightarrow S$ for the natural projection. Then we define the pro-étale Picard functor of B

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B},\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}} : \mathrm{Rig}_{K,\acute{e}t}^{\mathrm{sm}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$$

to be the étale sheafification of the presheaf

$$S \mapsto H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}^1(X \times S, \mathcal{B}^\times) / H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}^1(S, \pi_{S,\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}*} \mathcal{B}^\times).$$

Here and in the following, we also regard B and \mathcal{B} as sheaves on $X \times S$ via pullback from X .

Remark 2.3. If B is \mathcal{O}_X -torsionfree, then using the Leray sequence of the morphism of sites $\pi_{S,\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$, one can show that the above presheaf is already a sheaf before the sheafification step, and moreover $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B},\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(S) = H^0(S, R^1\pi_{S,\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}*} \mathcal{B}^\times)$. But we will not need this.

The main goal of this section is to prove the following result:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(K)$ be a smooth proper rigid space. Let B be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra on $X_{\acute{e}t}$ and let $f : X' := \mathrm{Spa}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(B) \rightarrow X$ be the associated finite morphism. We denote by $\mathcal{B} := \nu^*B$ the associated sheaf on $X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$. Then we have:*

- (1) *There is a canonical short exact sequence of abelian sheaves on $\mathrm{Rig}_{K,\acute{e}t}^{\mathrm{sm}}$*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B},\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HTlog}} H^0(X, B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \tilde{\Omega}_X) \otimes_K \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0$$

which is functorial in B and X .

- (2) *The sequence in (1) becomes split over an open subgroup of $H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a$.*

Assume now furthermore that the rigid analytic Picard functor $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}$ is representable by a rigid group. For example, this is the case when X is algebraisable. Then we moreover have:

- (3) *The sequence in (1) is representable by a sequence of rigid group varieties.*
(4) *The induced sequence of Lie algebras over K obtained by passing to tangent spaces at the identity is canonically isomorphic to the Hodge–Tate sequence of B*

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{an}}^1(X, B) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X) \rightarrow 0.$$

- (5) *The multiplication map $[p]$ on the identity component of $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B},\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$ is finite étale.*

Remark 2.5. In comparison to the rigid analytic Picard functor \mathbf{Pic}_X , we have made the following changes in the definition of $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B},\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$:

- We have replaced the analytic (or étale) topology with the pro-étale topology.
- We have replaced \mathcal{O}^\times with units in a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra B .

There is a technical variant of $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B},\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$ where we additionally make the following changes:

- We replace the test category $\mathrm{Rig}_{K,\acute{e}t}^{\mathrm{sm}}$ by $\mathrm{Perf}_{K,\acute{e}t}$.

- We replace the pro-étale topology with the v -topology.

It is possible to relate these two versions to each other via “diamondification” [17, §2]. But for the purpose of this article it is much easier for technical reasons (and arguably more natural) to instead work with rigid test objects. Nevertheless, both approaches would work. We note that up to this non-essential technical difference, we can then recover the “diamantine v -Picard variety” from [17, Thm. 1.3] as the simplest special case of $B = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Remark 2.6. In contrast to the natural map $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, B^\times) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}^\times)$, which is an isomorphism, the natural map $H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}^\times) \rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}^\times)$ is usually not an isomorphism, i.e. it makes a difference whether we consider invertible B -modules on $X_{\text{proét}}$ or invertible $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules on $X'_{\text{proét}}$. For example, X' could be non-reduced, but as perfectoid algebras are reduced, $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ on $X'_{\text{proét}}$ does not see the non-reduced structure. In contrast, the algebra B on $X_{\text{proét}}$ keeps this structure. This motivates the relative setup of Theorem 2.4.

2.3. The Leray exact sequence of the sheaf \mathcal{B}^\times . Let X be any quasi-compact smooth rigid space and let B be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra on X_{an} . We denote by

$$\nu : X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}, \quad \lambda : X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{an}}$$

the natural morphisms of sites. As before, we set $\mathcal{B} := \lambda^* B$.

Lemma 2.7. *There is a finitely presented \mathcal{O}_X^+ -module B_0 with an isomorphism $B_0[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} B$.*

Proof. We use the results of [6] on existence of formal models: These guarantee that we can find a formal model $\mathfrak{f} : \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ of f which is finite ([1, Prop. 5.9.17], or combine [30, 3.3.8.(b)] and Thm. 3.3.12] using that quasi-finite and proper implies finite). Then $\mathfrak{B} := \mathfrak{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}'}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module ([1, Thm. 2.11.5]) whose rigid generic fibre is B . Let $\eta : X_{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be the natural morphism of ringed spaces, then $\eta^{-1} \mathfrak{B} \otimes_{\eta^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{an}}}^+$ has the desired properties. \square

For our coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module B , let us fix a choice of an \mathcal{O}_X^+ -module B_0 like in Lemma 2.7. We also write B_0 for the associated \mathcal{O}^+ -module on $X_{\text{ét}}$ obtained by pullback. Note that B_0 is not necessarily p -torsionfree. We let $B_0[p^\infty]$ be the p -power torsion submodule and define

$$B^+ := B_0 / B_0[p^\infty]$$

on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Equivalently, this is the image of the given map $B_0 \rightarrow B$ on $X_{\text{ét}}$. We caution that in general, the sheaf B_0 may have unbounded p -torsion, and thus B^+ may not be coherent.

We make the analogous definitions also on $X_{\text{proét}}$, namely we define

$$\mathcal{B}_0 := \lambda^* B_0 = \nu^{-1} B_0 \otimes_{\nu^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}^+} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{proét}}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}.$$

By right-exactness of λ^* , this is still finitely presented in the sense that locally in $X_{\text{proét}}$, there is an exact sequence $\mathcal{O}^{+m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{+n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow 0$. Like before, we set

$$\mathcal{B}^+ := \mathcal{B}_0 / \mathcal{B}_0[p^\infty] \subseteq \mathcal{B}.$$

Lemma 2.8. (1) *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathcal{B}/p^k \mathcal{B}^+ = \nu^{-1}(B/p^k B^+)$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$. As a consequence, we have $R\nu_*(\mathcal{B}/p^k \mathcal{B}^+) = B/p^k B^+$.*

(2) *We have $\mathcal{B}^\times / (1 + p\mathcal{B}^+) = \nu^{-1}(B^\times / (1 + pB^+))$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$. In particular, we have $R\nu_*(\mathcal{B}^\times / (1 + p\mathcal{B}^+)) = B^\times / (1 + pB^+)$.*

(3) *The exponential defines an isomorphism of sheaves of groups on $X_{\text{proét}}$*

$$\exp : p\mathcal{B}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} 1 + p\mathcal{B}^+, \quad x \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

if $p > 2$, or $\exp : 4\mathcal{B}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} 1 + 4\mathcal{B}^+$ if $p = 2$.

Proof. (1) As there is a natural map from right to left, the statement is local on $X_{\text{ét}}$. We can therefore assume that B_0 admits a presentation on $X_{\text{ét}}$ of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{+m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{+n} \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

This stays right-exact after tensoring with the locally constant sheaf $\mathbb{Q}_p/p^k\mathbb{Z}_p$. Note that

$$B_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/p^k\mathbb{Z}_p = B/p^k B^+$$

as we can see from applying $B_0 \otimes -$ to the short exact sequence $p^k\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/p^k\mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence we obtain a right-exact sequence

$$(4) \quad (\mathcal{O}_X/p^k\mathcal{O}_X^+)^m \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X/p^k\mathcal{O}_X^+)^n \rightarrow B/p^k B^+ \rightarrow 0.$$

The same argument also applies on $X_{\text{proét}}$ to give an analogous presentation of

$$\mathcal{B}/p^k\mathcal{B}^+ = \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/p^k\mathbb{Z}_p = \nu^*(B_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/p^k\mathbb{Z}_p) = \nu^*(B/p^k B^+).$$

Consider now the natural transformation $\nu^{-1} \rightarrow \nu^*$. This induces an isomorphism on $\mathcal{O}_X/p^k\mathcal{O}_X^+$. Applied to the right-exact sequence (4), we deduce from this that

$$\nu^{-1}(B/p^k B^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \nu^*(B/p^k B^+) = \mathcal{B}/p^k\mathcal{B}^+.$$

The second part of the statement now follows from [36, Lemma 3.16 and Cor. 3.17].

(3) The statement is local on X , so we may assume that $X = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is affinoid and that there is a surjection $q : \mathcal{O}^{+m} \rightarrow B_0$. It suffices to see that for any affinoid perfectoid $U \in X_{\text{proét}}$ that lives over some affinoid $V \in X_{\text{ét}}$, the image $S = S_{U \rightarrow V}$ of the natural map

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{+m}(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^+(V)} \mathcal{O}_X^+(U) \xrightarrow{q \otimes \text{id}} B(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(V)} \mathcal{O}_X(U)$$

is p -adically complete: This implies that we get a bijective exponential on S (see e.g. [19, Lemma 2.18]), and we obtain \mathcal{B}^+ from the algebras $S_{U \rightarrow V}$ by sheafification in $U \rightarrow V$.

As S is finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}_X^+(U)$, it is clearly bounded in the Banach K -algebra $B(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(V)} \mathcal{O}_X(U)$. To see that it is p -adically complete, it thus suffices to see that S is moreover closed. For this we use that $q : \mathcal{O}_X^m(V) \rightarrow B(V)$ is surjective, hence S is open by the Banach Open Mapping Theorem. As S is an additive subgroup, it is thus also closed.

Note that the same argument applied on $X_{\text{ét}}$ yields an isomorphism $pB^+ \simeq 1 + pB^+$.

(2) This follows from (1) by the same argument as in [19, Lemma 2.17]: Namely, let $Z \approx \varprojlim_i Z_i$ be any pro-étale affinoid perfectoid tilde-limit in $X_{\text{proét}}$ with $Z_i \in X_{\text{ét}}$. We claim that

$$\mathcal{B}^\times(Z)/(1 + p\mathcal{B}^+)(Z) = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{B}^\times(Z_i)/(1 + p\mathcal{B}^+)(Z_i).$$

This shows the desired statement by [36, Lemma 3.16].

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}^\times(Z)$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough so that $p^k f \in p\mathcal{B}^+(Z)$ and $p^k f^{-1} \in p\mathcal{B}^+(Z)$. Then by (1) we can pass to an étale cover of Z_i for some i to find g and g' in $\varprojlim B(Z_i)$ whose images under the map $\phi : \varprojlim B(Z_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(Z)$ satisfy $\phi(g) - f \in p^k\mathcal{B}^+(Z)$ and $\phi(g') - f^{-1} \in p^k\mathcal{B}^+(Z)$. Then $\phi(gg' - 1) \in p\mathcal{B}^+(Z)$, hence $gg' - 1 \in p\mathcal{B}^+(Z_i)$ for some i large enough by part (1). As we have seen in the proof of (3) that $1 + p\mathcal{B}^+(Z_i)$ is a subgroup of $B^\times(Z_i)$, this shows that $g \in B^\times(Z_i)$. Since $\phi(g)f^{-1} \in 1 + p\mathcal{B}^+(Z)$, this shows surjectivity.

Injectivity follows from (1) by a similar argument. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a smooth rigid space and let $\nu : X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$ be the natural map. Let B be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module on X . We consider the functor*

$$\nu^* := \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{proét}}} \otimes_{\nu^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}} \nu^{-1} - : \text{Mod}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(X_{\text{proét}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{proét}}})$$

where we recall that we denote by $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{proét}}}$ the completed structure sheaf. Let $\mathcal{B} = \nu^*B$.

- (i) We have $\mathcal{B} = \nu^*B = L\nu^*B$ via the natural map.
- (ii) For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $R^n\nu_*\mathcal{B} = B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \tilde{\Omega}_X^n$.
- (iii) If B is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra, then $\nu_*\mathcal{B}^\times = B^\times$ and $R^n\nu_*\mathcal{B}^\times = B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X^n$ for $n \geq 1$.

Remark 2.10. Relations like $L\nu^*B = \nu^*B$ are the reason why $X_{\text{proét}}$ is also called the “flattened pro-étale site”. Note that (i) becomes false if we replace $X_{\text{proét}}$ by X_ν , which for example includes points $\text{Spa}(K) \rightarrow X$. That said, (ii) still has a chance to be true for X_ν .

Proof. (i) All statements are local on X , so we may assume that $X = \mathrm{Spa}(R)$ is affinoid. Since R is then regular, there exists a finite free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{n_1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{n_i} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0.$$

We need to show that this stays exact after applying ν^* as this computes $L\nu^*$.

To do so, we use that a basis of $X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$ is given by objects that are of the form

$$U_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} U_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} U_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X, \quad \text{where}$$

- (a) f_1 is an étale morphism,
- (b) f_2 is obtained from a toric chart $U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ as the pullback of the affinoid perfectoid toric cover $\mathbb{T}_\infty^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$, and
- (c) f_3 is a pro-finite-étale map of affinoid perfectoid objects.

It therefore suffices to prove that $f_3^* f_2^* f_1^*$ –preserves the exactness.

The functor f_1^* is exact since f_1 is a flat morphism of rigid spaces. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that $U_1 = X$.

To show that f_2 preserves the exactness, write $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ and $\mathbb{T}_\infty^d = \mathrm{Spa}(A_\infty, A_\infty^+)$. We need to see that $\widehat{\otimes}_A A_\infty$ preserves the exactness. For this we use that after rescaling the transition morphisms, we can find an integral model

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{+, n_1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{+, n_i} \rightarrow B^+ \rightarrow 0$$

of our complex which has bounded p -torsion cohomology. Since $A^+ \rightarrow A_\infty^+$ is faithfully flat mod p^n , the complex still has bounded p -torsion cohomology after applying $\widehat{\otimes}_A A_\infty^+$, and thus is exact after inverting p .

Finally, the map f_3 is of the form $\mathrm{Spa}(D, D^+) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(C, C^+)$ for perfectoid algebras C and D such that $C^+/p^k \rightarrow D^+/p^k$ is a filtered colimit of almost finite étale maps by almost purity [35, Thm. 5.2] for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, it is almost faithfully flat mod p^k . Thus the same argument as for f_2 shows that f_3^* –preserves exactness.

(ii) We have $R^n \nu_* \mathcal{O} = \widetilde{\Omega}_X^n = \Omega_X^n(-n)$ by [37, Prop. 3.23]. Since B is a perfect complex on the smooth rigid space X , it follows from part (i) and the projection formula that

$$R\nu_* \mathcal{B} = R\nu_* L\nu^* B = B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L R\nu_* \mathcal{O} = B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R\nu_* \mathcal{O}$$

where as before we denote by \mathcal{O} the completed structure sheaf on $X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$.

(iii) That $\nu_* \mathcal{B}^\times = B^\times$ follows from (ii) by taking units. For the second part, we now use the isomorphism \exp from Lemma 2.8.(3). This induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B^+ \xrightarrow{\exp(p \cdot -)} \mathcal{B}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\times / (1 + p\mathcal{B}^+) \rightarrow 0$$

for $p > 2$, and similarly for $p = 2$ using $\exp(4 \cdot -)$ instead. Applying $R\nu_*$ and using Lemma 2.8.(2), this shows that the exponential defines an isomorphism $R^n \nu_* \mathcal{B}^+ = R^n \nu_* \mathcal{B}^\times$ for $n > 0$. Second, using the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/B^+ \rightarrow 0,$$

we see from Lemma 2.8.(1) and part (ii) that

$$R^n \nu_* \mathcal{B}^+ = R^n \nu_* \mathcal{B} = B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R\nu_* \mathcal{O}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.11. *We have a left-exact sequence of abelian groups, functorial in X ,*

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^1(X, B^\times) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}^\times) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HTlog}} H^0(X, \widetilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B)$$

Proof. We form the Leray sequence and use Proposition 2.9.(iii). □

Applying the Corollary to $X \times Y$ for any $Y \in \mathrm{Rig}_{K, \acute{e}t}^{\mathrm{sm}}$, it follows that for varying Y , we obtain short exact sequences, functorial in X and Y ,

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^1(X \times Y, B^\times) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{B}^\times) \rightarrow H^0(X \times Y, \widetilde{\Omega}_{X \times Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} B).$$

Recall now that we have the product formula for differentials $\Omega_{X \times Y}^1 = \pi_X^* \Omega_X^1 \oplus \pi_Y^* \Omega_Y^1$ where $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ denote the respective projections. We now use that X is proper. By Kiehl’s Proper Mapping Theorem and the resulting rigid version of “cohomology and base-change” [22, Cor. 3.10, Thm. 3.18.(b)], this shows that

$$H^0(X \times Y, \tilde{\Omega}_{X \times Y} \otimes B) = \left(H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}(Y) \right) \oplus \left(H^0(Y, \tilde{\Omega}_Y) \otimes_K B(X) \right).$$

Similarly, the pro-étale version of “cohomology and base-change” [22, Thm. 3.18.(c)] shows that $\pi_{Y,*} \mathcal{B} = B(X) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\text{proét}}}$. Using functoriality of the above sequence applied to the morphism $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$, we thus obtain the following morphism of left-exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \pi_{Y,*} \mathcal{B}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{proét}}^1(Y, \pi_{Y,*} \mathcal{B}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, \tilde{\Omega}_Y) \otimes_K B(X) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{B}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{proét}}^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{B}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X \times Y, \tilde{\Omega}_{X \times Y} \otimes B). \end{array}$$

Recall from Proposition 2.9 that the top line arises from the Leray sequence of the morphism $Y_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow Y_{\text{ét}}$ for the sheaf $\pi_{Y,*} \mathcal{B}^\times$. As the fourth term in this sequence is $H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \pi_{Y,*} \mathcal{B}^\times)$, we see that the top right map is surjective after sheafification in Y . Upon sheafification, it follows that on cokernels, we obtain a left-exact sequence of sheaves on $\text{Rig}_{K,\text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B},\text{proét}} \rightarrow H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B) \otimes_K \mathbb{G}_a$$

as described in Theorem 2.4.

To get the desired short exact sequence, we are thus left to prove the right-exactness, which is more difficult. As a preparation, we first discuss the partial splitting. For this we use:

2.4. The Higgs–Tate torsor of Abbes–Gros. We now define the analogue of the Higgs–Tate torsor of Abbes–Gros [3, II.10.3] in the analytic setting of the pro-étale site.

Definition 2.12. Let X be a smooth rigid space over K and let \mathbb{X} be a flat lift of X to $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2$. Via the homeomorphism $|\mathbb{X}| = |X|$, we may regard $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}$ as a sheaf on X_{an} . We define a pro-étale sheaf $L_{\mathbb{X}}$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$ as the subsheaf of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2)$ defined as follows:

$$L_{\mathbb{X}} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{homomorphisms } \tilde{\varphi} \text{ of sheaves} \\ \text{of } \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2\text{-algebras on } X_{\text{proét}} \\ \text{making the following diagram} \\ \text{of sheaves commutative:} \end{array} \quad \left. \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{proét}}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We note that such a flat lift \mathbb{X} of X to $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2$ always exists when X is proper, see [15, Proposition 7.4.4].

Lemma 2.13. $L_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a pro-étale torsor under $\nu^* \tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$. The associated class in $H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \nu^* \tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee)$, considered as an extension of \mathcal{O} by $\nu^* \tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee$, is dual to the Faltings extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow E \rightarrow \nu^* \tilde{\Omega}_X^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

This is closely related to the discussion in [42, §2.2].

Proof. The first statement is local on X , so we may assume that $X = \text{Spa}(R)$ is affinoid with a lift $\mathbb{X} = \text{Spa}(\tilde{R})$. Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be any affinoid perfectoid object of $X_{\text{proét}}$, and write $S = \mathcal{O}(Y)$. Then $L_{\mathbb{X}}(Y)$ describes the dotted morphisms making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R} & \overset{\tilde{\varphi}}{\dashrightarrow} & A_{\text{inf}}(S)/\xi^2[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

As \tilde{R} is formally smooth over B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 and the rightmost vertical map is a square-zero thickening, such a lift $\tilde{\varphi}$ always exists. The kernel of $B_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is given by the Tate twist $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Hence, by deformation theory, for any two such lifts, the difference is a derivation $\tilde{R} \rightarrow S(1)$, or equivalently, an R -linear morphism $\tilde{\Omega}_R^1 \rightarrow S$. Thus $L_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a torsor under $\nu^*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee$.

We postpone the discussion of the relation to the Faltings extension to later.

Definition 2.14. Let X be a smooth rigid space, let \mathbb{X} be a flat B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift of X and let B be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. For any $\theta \in H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega})$, or equivalently any morphism $\theta : \tilde{\Omega}^\vee \rightarrow B$, we obtain an associated pro-étale torsor under $\mathcal{B} := \nu^*B$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$ as the pushout along $\nu^*\theta$,

$$L_{\mathbb{X}, B, \theta} := L_{\mathbb{X}} \times^{\nu^*\tilde{\Omega}^\vee} \mathcal{B}.$$

In particular, for any $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \tilde{\Omega}^\vee$ -algebra B on $X_{\text{ét}}$ that is coherent over \mathcal{O}_X , we obtain such a torsor associated to the composition $\tilde{\Omega}^\vee \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \tilde{\Omega}^\vee \rightarrow B$. We simply denote it by $L_{\mathbb{X}, B}$.

Proposition 2.15. *Let X be a smooth rigid space, let \mathbb{X} be a flat B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift of X and let B be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. Sending any $\theta \in H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega})$ to the class of $L_{\mathbb{X}, B, \theta}$ defines a natural section*

$$s_{\mathbb{X}, B} : H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B})$$

of the Hodge–Tate map $\text{HT}_B : H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega})$, and $s_{\mathbb{X}, B}$ is functorial in B .

Proof. It is clear from the construction that $(B, \theta) \mapsto L_{\mathbb{X}, B, \theta}$ is functorial in B . To see that $\text{HT}_B(s_{\mathbb{X}, B}(\theta)) = \theta$, we may thus reduce to the universal case $B = \tilde{\Omega}^\vee$, $\theta = \text{id} \in H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes \tilde{\Omega}^\vee)$.

The construction is moreover functorial in X . In particular the statement is local, so we may reduce to the case that $X = \text{Spa}(R)$ is toric. We can then make $L_{\mathbb{X}}$ explicit, as follows:

Fix a toric chart $X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ and denote by T_1, \dots, T_d the induced coordinates on X . We then have the standard basis $\frac{dT_1}{T_1}, \dots, \frac{dT_d}{T_d}$ of Ω_R^1 . Let $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d \in \Omega_R^{1\vee}$ be its dual basis. Let $X_\infty = \text{Spa}(R_\infty) \rightarrow X$ be the toric cover. For any lift $\mathbb{X} = \text{Spa}(\tilde{R})$ of X , there exists by formal smoothness a lift of the map $K\langle T_1^\pm, \dots, T_d^\pm \rangle \rightarrow R$ induced by the chart to an étale morphism

$$B_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2\langle T_1^\pm, \dots, T_d^\pm \rangle \rightarrow \tilde{R}$$

of B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -algebras. Any choice of such a lift induces a section of $L_{\mathbb{X}}(X_\infty)$, as follows: The morphism $B_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2\langle T_1^\pm, \dots, T_d^\pm \rangle \rightarrow B_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2(X_\infty)$, $T_i \mapsto [T_i^{1/p^\infty}]$ extends by formal étaleness to a unique morphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{R} \rightarrow B_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2(X_\infty)$$

lifting the map $R \rightarrow R_\infty$. Let Δ be the Galois group of $X_\infty \rightarrow X$. We write $c_i : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1) = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{p^n}(K)$ for the map determined by saying that for any $\gamma \in \Delta$, we have

$$\gamma \cdot [T_i^{1/p^\infty}] = [c_i(\gamma)] \cdot [T_i^{1/p^\infty}].$$

Lemma 2.16. *Under the identification $L_{\mathbb{X}}(X_\infty) = \Omega_R^\vee \otimes_R R_\infty(1)$ induced by the section $\tilde{\varphi}$, the Δ -action on the right is given by the continuous 1-cocycle*

$$\Delta \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_R^\vee = \Omega_R^{1\vee}(1), \quad \gamma \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^d c_i(\gamma) \cdot \partial_i.$$

Proof. Let $R_\infty\{1\} := \ker(B_{\text{dR}}^+/\xi^2(R_\infty) \rightarrow R_\infty)$. For any $\sum a_i \partial_i \in \text{Hom}(\Omega_R, R_\infty\{1\})$, the corresponding element of $L_{\mathbb{X}}(R_\infty)$ is uniquely characterised (by formal étaleness) by saying that it sends $T_i \mapsto [T_i^{1/p^\infty}] + a_i$. In order to describe the γ -action, we thus need to compute

$$\gamma([T_i^{1/p^\infty}] + a_i) - [T_i^{1/p^\infty}] = (c_i(\gamma) - 1)[T_i^{1/p^\infty}] + \gamma a_i$$

where $c_i(\gamma) - 1 \in R_\infty\{1\}$. Indeed, recall that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have $\varepsilon^a - 1 \equiv a(\varepsilon - 1) \pmod{\xi^2}$, which induces a canonical isomorphism $R(1) \rightarrow R\{1\}$, $r\varepsilon \mapsto r(\varepsilon - 1)$. Under this identification, we see that the cocycle has the desired description. \square

It now suffices to consider for any $\frac{dT_i}{T_i} \in H^0(X, \Omega^1)$ with associated map $\tilde{\Omega}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$ the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -torsor $L_{\mathbb{X}} \times^{\nu^* \tilde{\Omega}^\vee} \mathcal{O}(1)$. We need to see that its image under $\text{HT}(1)$ is $\frac{dT_i}{T_i}$. By Lemma 2.16, the associated cocycle $\Delta \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_R^\vee \rightarrow R(1)$ is of the form $\gamma \mapsto c_i(\gamma)$. The statement now follows from Lemma 2.16 by the characterisation of the map $\text{HT}(1) : H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega)$ given in [37, Lemma 3.24]: Indeed, sending $T_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}^\times$ around the bottom left corner of the diagram described in the cited lemma defines $\text{HT}(1)^{-1}(\frac{dT_i}{T_i}) \in H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Going around the top right yields the class defined by the 1-cocycle $\Delta \rightarrow R(1)$, $\gamma \mapsto c_i(\gamma)$. \square

The proof of [37, Lemma 3.24] also shows the relation to the Faltings extension. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.13. \square

2.5. The partial splitting. We can now also construct the partial splitting.

Proof of Theorem 2.4.2. We define \mathcal{B}^+ like after Lemma 2.7 and consider the composition

$$H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, p\mathcal{B}^+) \rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B).$$

The image of the first map is an \mathcal{O}_K -submodule H^+ of the finite dimensional K -vector space $H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B})$ such that $H^+[\frac{1}{p}] = H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B})$. Hence it contains an open \mathcal{O}_K -sublattice H_0 . We may choose a lift $H_0 \rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, p\mathcal{B}^+)$ to regard H_0 as a submodule of $H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, p\mathcal{B}^+)$.

Let now $s : H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B) \rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B})$ be any splitting, e.g. by Proposition 2.15 this could be induced by the Higgs–Tate torsor $L_{\mathbb{X}}$ for any choice of a B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift \mathbb{X} of X . Let $\Lambda \subseteq H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B)$ be the preimage of H_0 under s and set $\Gamma := s(\Lambda) \subseteq H_0 \subseteq H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, p\mathcal{B}^+)$. Summarising the construction, Λ and Γ are finite free \mathcal{O}_K -modules and we have a diagram

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{G}_a^+ & \rightarrow & H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, p\mathcal{B}^+) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^+ & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{\text{proét}*}(p\mathcal{B}^+) & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} & R^1\pi_{\text{proét}*}\mathcal{B}^\times \\ \uparrow s & & \downarrow \text{HT} & & \downarrow \text{HT} & & \downarrow \text{HTlog} \\ \Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{G}_a^+ & \hookrightarrow & H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes B) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a & = & H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes B) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a & = & H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes B) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a. \end{array}$$

The composition of s with the top row defines a splitting of HTlog over $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^+$. \square

2.6. Right-exactness via the exponential map. In order to prove Theorem 2.4.1, it remains to see that the following natural morphism is surjective:

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} \rightarrow H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a.$$

For this, we will give a geometric argument, using the following version of Proper Base Change:

Proposition 2.17. *Let $g : Z \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ be any proper rigid space over K . Then for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have an isomorphism of sheaves on $\text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$*

$$R^m g_{\text{ét}*}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = \underline{H_{\text{ét}}^m(Z, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})}.$$

Proof. Let $h : Y \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ be any object in $\text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$ and let $g' : Y \times Z \rightarrow Y$ be the projection. Then we need to see that on $Y_{\text{ét}}$, we have $R^m g'_{\text{ét}*}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = h^* R^m g_*(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. This is an instance of the rigid analytic Proper Base Change from [5, Thm. 3.15]. \square

In the following, let us write $\pi' : X' \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ for the structure map of X' . For simplicity, we also denote by π' the induced morphism of sites $\text{Rig}_{X', \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$.

Corollary 2.18. *If $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'} = R^1\pi'_* \mathcal{O}^\times$ is representable, then $[p] : \mathbf{Pic}_{X'}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{X'}^0$ is finite étale. More precisely, it is an étale torsor under $\underline{H_{\text{ét}}^1(X', \mu_p)}$.*

Proof. The Kummer sequence induces an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow R^1\pi'_{\text{ét}*}\mu_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{X'} \xrightarrow{[p]} \mathbf{Pic}_{X'} \rightarrow R^2\pi'_{\text{ét}*}\mu_p.$$

By Proposition 2.17, the last map goes from a rigid group to a constant group, so it sends $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}^0$ to 0. Hence $[p]$ is surjective on $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}^0$. It is also étale by [12, Lemme 1] as it induces multiplication by p on tangent spaces. By [12, Lemme 5], it remains to see that $\ker[p]$ is finite. This follows from the fact that $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X', \mu_p)$ is finite by [37, Thm 3.17]. \square

Recall that the left-exact sequence of Theorem 2.4 arises from the Leray sequence for the projection $X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$. It can therefore be continued to a 4-term exact sequence on $\text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*} B^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} \xrightarrow{\text{HTlog}} H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{\partial} R^2 \pi_{\text{ét}*} B^\times,$$

where again we write $\pi : \text{Rig}_{X, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$ for the morphism of sites induced by $X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$. We will show that the boundary map ∂ vanishes. For this we use the following description:

Lemma 2.19. *Let $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism of rigid spaces over K . Then for $n > 1$,*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y, f_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^\times) = H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y', \mathcal{O}^\times)$$

Proof. The functor $f_{\text{ét}*}$ is exact by [27, Prop. 2.6.3], hence $Rf_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^\times = f_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^\times$. \square

After sheafifying in the rigid space Y , we can thus identify ∂ with a map

$$\partial : A := H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}) \otimes_K \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow R^2 \pi'_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^\times.$$

By the splitting of HTlog over an open subgroup of A from §2.5, we know that any $x \in A(Y)$ is in the kernel of ∂ after multiplying by p^n for some n . Hence ∂ factors through a map

$$\partial' : A = H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow R^2 \pi'_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^\times [p^\infty].$$

We claim that any such homomorphism vanishes. To see this, we consider the Kummer sequence on $X'_{\text{ét}}$. Using Proposition 2.17, we see that this induces a long exact sequence

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{X'} \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{ét}}^2(X', \mu_{p^n}) \rightarrow R^2 \pi'_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^\times \xrightarrow{p^n} R^2 \pi'_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^\times.$$

Taking the colimit over n , it follows that we have an étale surjection

$$\underline{H}_{\text{ét}}^2(X', \mu_{p^\infty}) \rightarrow R^2 \pi'_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^\times [p^\infty].$$

Lemma 2.20. *Let Q be a sheaf on $\text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$ that admits a surjection $\underline{H} \rightarrow Q$ from a locally constant sheaf. Then any map $h : Z \rightarrow Q$ from a connected rigid space Z is constant.*

Proof. That h is an étale surjection means that there is an étale cover $Z' \rightarrow Z$ by a rigid space and a map h' fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow h' & & \downarrow h \\ \underline{H} & \longrightarrow & Q. \end{array}$$

Then h' is locally constant by the assumption on \underline{H} . Since the étale map $Z' \rightarrow Z$ is open [27, Prop. 1.7.8], it follows that h is constant locally on Z , hence constant as Z is connected. \square

Applying this to the homomorphism ∂' from the connected rigid group variety A , we deduce that $\partial' = 0$, hence $\partial = 0$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.4.1 \square

Remark 2.21. Evaluating at K -points, we deduce that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X') \rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, B^\times) \rightarrow H^0(X, B \times \tilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note, however, that our proof crucially uses that this sequence can be upgraded to sheaves as it relies on a geometric argument to see the vanishing of the boundary map on the right.

2.7. Representability of $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$. We can now complete the proof of Theorem 2.4:

Proof of Theorem 2.4, parts 3,4,5. Assume that $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'} = R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}B^\times$ is representable by a rigid group. Then the same argument as for [17, Cor. 2.9] shows that also $R^1\pi_{\text{proét}*}B^\times$ is representable: Let Λ be as in §2.5 and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $U_n := \text{HTlog}^{-1}(p^{1-n}\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^+) \subseteq \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$, then

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n.$$

Since the short exact sequence is split over Λ , the sheaf $U_1 \cong \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^+ \times \mathbf{Pic}_{X'}$ is representable.

The general case follows inductively: We have a morphism of short exact sequences

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Pic}_{X', \text{ét}} & \longrightarrow & U_n & \longrightarrow & p^{1-n}\Lambda \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow [p] & & \downarrow [p] & & \wr \downarrow p \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Pic}_{X', \text{ét}} & \longrightarrow & U_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & p^{1-(n-1)}\Lambda \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The morphism on the left is locally on the target a finite étale $H^1(X', \mu_{p^n})$ -torsor by Corollary 2.18, hence the same is true for $U_n \rightarrow U_{n-1}$. Any such torsor is representable: For example, we can argue in v -sheaves and use that $U_{n-1, \text{ét}} = U_{n-1, \text{ét}}^\diamond$ by [38, Lemma 15.6] to see that any finite étale torsor is representable in $U_{n-1, \text{ét}}$, hence also on $\text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$.

If X is algebraisable, then so is the coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module B by rigid GAGA, and it follows that X' is algebraisable, i.e. there is a proper scheme $X'_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ such that $X' = X'_0{}^{\text{an}}$. As explained in §2.2, it follows that $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}$ is representable in this case.

Part (5) follows from the above diagram (7) and Corollary 2.18.

Finally, for part (4), we first note the following well-known fact:

Lemma 2.22. *If $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}$ is representable, then $\text{Lie } \mathbf{Pic}_{X'} = H_{\text{an}}^1(X', \mathcal{O}) = H_{\text{an}}^1(X, B)$.*

Proof. This is standard if the Picard functor is defined on all rigid spaces by testing on $K[X]/X^2$. But the statement is still true if we only know representability on $\text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$: We first note that for any commutative rigid group G , [12, Cor. 4] implies that we have

$$\text{Lie } G = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_a^+, G)[\frac{1}{p}].$$

Second, there is a natural map $H^1(X', \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_a^+, \mathbf{Pic}_{X'})[\frac{1}{p}]$ induced by inverting p on the map $\exp : R^1\pi'_{\text{ét}*} 2p\mathcal{O}^+ \rightarrow R^1\pi'_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^\times$. Conversely, any homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_a^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{X'}$ defines an element in $H^1(X', 1 + \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}^+)$ by [14, Satz 1 and 2], whose image under $\log : (1 + \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ defines an element in $H^1(X', \mathcal{O})$. Thus $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_a^+, \mathbf{Pic}_{X'})[\frac{1}{p}] = H^1(X', \mathcal{O})$. \square

Part (4) about tangent spaces now follows from the local splitting constructed in the diagram (6), and the relation to the Hodge–Tate sequence explained by Proposition 2.15. \square

3. INVERTIBLE \mathcal{B} -MODULES VIA THE EXPONENTIAL

We continue with the setup of Theorem 2.4, that is, X is a smooth proper rigid space, B is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra on $X_{\text{ét}}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \nu^*B$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$. Later, we will assume $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow B$ is injective. The theme of this section is to relate invertible \mathcal{B} -modules to torsors under the additive group \mathcal{B} via the exponential. The relation is furnished by the exponential map

$$\exp : p\mathcal{B}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\times$$

(or $\exp : 4\mathcal{B}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\times$ for $p = 2$) from Lemma 2.8.(3) which by applying $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ on the level of sheaves of groups yields a natural map

$$\exp : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}] := \varinjlim_{x \rightarrow xp} \mathcal{B}^\times.$$

In this section, we first explain how this map can be used to construct reductions of structure groups of $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$ which are always representable. For this, we explain how one can functorially exponentiate the Higgs–Tate torsor to obtain invertible \mathcal{B} -modules, splitting HTlog on K -points. Second, we use this to define a notion of rigidifications of invertible \mathcal{B} -modules.

3.1. Reduction of structure groups. Motivated by the map $\exp : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$, we now pass from invertible \mathcal{B} -modules to $\mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ -torsors. Let us clarify what we mean by this:

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible \mathcal{B} -module on $X_{\text{proét}}$. We denote by \mathcal{L}^\times the associated \mathcal{B}^\times -torsor given by the invertible sections of \mathcal{B} . We then write

$$\mathcal{L}^\times[\frac{1}{p}] := \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}, x \mapsto x^p} (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p^n})^\times$$

for the torsor obtained by pushout along the homomorphism $\mathcal{B}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Our starting point is the functor $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Even if $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$ is representable, this is typically no longer represented by a rigid group. But using that X is quasi-compact, we can still regard it as a moduli functor of isomorphism classes of pro-étale $\mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ -torsors. Since any rigid vector group is uniquely divisible, we still have a morphism

$$\text{HTlog} := \text{HTlog}[\frac{1}{p}] : \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B := H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a.$$

We now explain the relation to torsors under the additive group \mathcal{B} : For any pro-étale \mathcal{B} -torsor M , let us write

$$M^{\text{exp}} := M \times^{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$$

for the pushout along the exponential. We apply this to the following \mathcal{B} -torsor: Recall from Definition 2.12 that the datum of a B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift \mathbb{X} induces a $\nu^* \tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee$ -torsor $L_{\mathbb{X}}$ on X . By pushout along the tautological map $\nu^* \tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ over $\mathcal{A}_B = H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a$, we obtain from Definition 2.14 a pro-étale \mathcal{B} -torsor $L_{\mathbb{X}, B}$ over $X \times \mathcal{A}_B$. Sending the pro-étale \mathcal{B} -torsor $L_{\mathbb{X}, B}$ to $L_{\mathbb{X}, B}^{\text{exp}}$ on $X \times \mathcal{A}_B$ now defines a map

$$e_{\mathbb{X}} : \mathcal{A}_B \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[\frac{1}{p}]$$

which is a natural splitting of $\text{HTlog}[\frac{1}{p}]$ by Proposition 2.15. All in all, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[\frac{1}{p}] & \xleftarrow{e_{\mathbb{X}}} & \\ \text{can} \uparrow & \searrow \text{HTlog}[\frac{1}{p}] & \\ \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} & \xrightarrow{\text{HTlog}} & \mathcal{A}_B. \end{array}$$

where $\text{can} : \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is the canonical map.

In particular, this shows that the $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}$ -torsor $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$ of Theorem 2.4 is split after inverting p , or in other words that the associated element in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{A}_B, \mathbf{Pic}_{X'}[\frac{1}{p}])$ is trivial. It follows formally, using long exact sequences, that $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$ admits a reduction of structure group to $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}[p^\infty]$. In fact, a more canonical construction is possible using the lift \mathbb{X} :

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a smooth proper rigid space over K . Let B be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra and set $\mathcal{B} := \nu^* B$. Let \mathbb{X} be a B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift of X . Consider the abelian sheaf on $\text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}, B} := \text{eq} \left(\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \\ \xrightarrow{e_{\mathbb{X}} \circ \text{HTlog}} \end{array} \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[\frac{1}{p}] \right).$$

Then the natural maps define a morphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Pic}_{X'}[p^\infty] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}} & \xrightarrow{\text{HTlog}} & \mathcal{A}_B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Pic}_{X'} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} & \xrightarrow{\text{HTlog}} & \mathcal{A}_B \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The first sequence is always representable by a short exact sequence of rigid groups. In particular, we have $\text{Lie } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}} = \mathcal{A}_B$. The connected component of the identity $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0$ is p -divisible and the morphism $\text{HTlog} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$ is still surjective.

Proof. We first prove left-exactness: Let $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}(Y)$ be any sections over $Y \in \text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$. Since $e_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a section of $\text{HTlog}[\frac{1}{p}]$, it is in particular injective. We thus have equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HTlog}(x) = \text{HTlog}(y) &\Leftrightarrow e_{\mathbb{X}} \circ \text{HTlog}(x) = e_{\mathbb{X}} \circ \text{HTlog}(y) \Leftrightarrow \text{can}(x) = \text{can}(y) \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \cdot y^{-1} \in \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[p^{\infty}] = \ker(\text{can}). \end{aligned}$$

Considering multiplication by p on the sequence of Theorem 2.4, we see that

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[p^{\infty}] = \mathbf{Pic}_{X'}[p^{\infty}].$$

This gives the desired left-exact sequence.

To see the right-exactness, we use that by considering the cokernel of $[p]$ on the sequence of Theorem 2.4, and using Proposition 2.17, there is a short exact sequence

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow \underline{H_{\text{ét}}^2(X', \mu_{p^{\infty}})}.$$

Regarding $e_{\mathbb{X}}$ as an element of $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}[\frac{1}{p}](\mathcal{A}_B)$, we see that its image in the third term is a homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_B \rightarrow \underline{H_{\text{ét}}^2(X', \mu_{p^{\infty}})}$ which has to be trivial since \mathcal{A}_B is connected. It follows that there is an étale cover $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$ over which $e_{\mathbb{X}}$ lifts to a map $s : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$. Then by construction, and using that $\text{HTlog} = \text{HTlog}[\frac{1}{p}] \circ \text{can}$, we see that

$$e_{\mathbb{X}} \circ \text{HTlog}(s) = e_{\mathbb{X}} \circ \text{HTlog}[\frac{1}{p}] \circ \text{can}(s) = e_{\mathbb{X}} \circ \text{HTlog}[\frac{1}{p}] \circ e_{\mathbb{X}} = e_{\mathbb{X}} = \text{can}(s).$$

Hence $s \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathcal{A}')$, and we see that $\text{HTlog} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$ is surjective.

To see the representability, we first note that by the Kummer sequence and Proposition 2.17, we see that

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}[p^{\infty}] = R^1 \pi'_* \mu_{p^{\infty}} = \underline{H_{\text{ét}}^1(X', \mu_{p^{\infty}})}$$

is representable by a locally constant rigid group. It follows that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$ is étale in \mathcal{A}_B^{\diamond} , and we deduce that it is representable by a rigid space. The statement about Lie algebras is immediate from $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}[p^{\infty}]) = 0$.

Finally, to see the claim regarding $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0$, we consider multiplication by p^n on the short exact sequence of the first part. Using Proposition 2.17, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \underline{H_{\text{ét}}^2(X', \mu_p)}.$$

Let $N := \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}/\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^{\circ}$ be the group of connected components, then $Q := \text{coker}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0 \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0)$ sits in an exact sequence

$$\underline{N[p]} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \underline{H_{\text{ét}}^2(X', \mu_p)}.$$

Using Lemma 2.20, we deduce that the map $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0 \rightarrow Q$ is trivial, hence $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0$ is p -divisible.

Finally, since $\text{HTlog} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$ is still locally split over an open subgroup of \mathcal{A}_B , it follows from the p -divisibility of \mathcal{P}^0 that the map $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$ is still surjective. \square

We thus get a smaller moduli space of invertible \mathcal{B} -modules which is always representable, at the expense of using the choice of \mathbb{X} . This will allow us to circumvent the question whether $\mathbf{Pic}_{X'}$ is representable while still obtaining a rigid moduli space of invertible \mathcal{B} -modules.

3.2. Rigidifying pro-étale invertible \mathcal{B} -modules. While the rigid group G representing the functor $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$ is unique up to unique isomorphism if it exists, we have stopped short of giving a canonical universal \mathcal{B} -module $\mathcal{L}_{\text{univ}}$ on $(X \times G)_{\text{proét}}$. One issue is the sheaffication in the definition of $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$, but even if we ignore this, the best we could hope for is a universal class in $H_{\text{proét}}^1(X \times G, \mathcal{B}^{\times})$ yielding an *isomorphism class* of such objects. For Picard functors, when X is connected, the usual way to rectify these issues is to add a rigidification at a base point $x \in X(K)$ to the moduli problem. In our case, this would be an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\text{univ}})|_{x \times G} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_x \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_G$$

of B -linear \mathcal{O}_G -modules on $G_{\text{proét}}$. This gives a good notion of rigidifications in the case of $B = \mathcal{O}_X$, for the same reason that this works for the classical Picard functor: We then have

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{univ}}) = (\mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}(G))^\times = (\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \otimes_K \mathcal{O}(G))^\times = \text{Aut}((\mathcal{L}_{\text{univ}})|_{x \times G}),$$

hence the automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{univ}}$ correspond one-to-one to the automorphisms of the rigidification at x . However, this is no longer true for general B , as the map $B(X) \rightarrow B_x$ is in general neither injective nor surjective. The failure to be injective means that a rigidification does not detect all automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{univ}}$. The failure to be surjective means that between any two choices of universal invertible \mathcal{B} -modules with rigidifications there may not necessarily exist an isomorphism comparing rigidifications. Either issue is problematic.

The goal of this section is to solve this issue by defining a more elaborate notion of rigidifications for invertible \mathcal{B} -modules in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}$. Rather than defining a rigidified moduli problem, which is more complicated, it suffices for our purposes to define rigidifications for K -points of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}(K)$, i.e. for certain invertible \mathcal{B} -modules on X . This will be enough to prove Theorem 1.1.

The basic idea for this notion of rigidified invertible \mathcal{B} -modules on $X_{\text{proét}}$ is as follows: We wish to compare the natural \mathcal{B} -torsor $L_{\mathbb{X}}$ from Definition 2.12 to invertible \mathcal{B} -modules. To make this precise, we pass from invertible \mathcal{B} -modules L to $\mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ -torsors $L^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ as in Definition 3.1. While the step from L to L^\times is harmless, we loose information when passing from L^\times to $L^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$. The idea is therefore to combine $L^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ with the datum of a trivialisation of the fibre L_x .

In order to make this precise, we first take care of connected components: Let

$$X_B := \text{Spa}_{\mathcal{O}_X} B \rightarrow X.$$

If X_B is disconnected, we can decompose $B = \prod B_i$ into coherent factors such that each X_{B_i} is connected, and then $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}} = \prod \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}_i, \text{proét}}$. Hence we can assume for the purpose of rigidifications that B is connected. Then $B(X) := H^0(X, B)$ is a K -algebra whose reduced quotient is $= K$.

Fix a base-point $x \in X(K)$. We now assume that $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow B$ is injective. Then $X_B \rightarrow X$ surjects onto X , so the fibre B_x is non-zero. It thus makes sense to trivialise L_x in terms of B_x . However, such a trivialisation will in general be too much data as $\text{Spa}(B_x)$ is usually disconnected. We therefore make the following auxiliary choice (well-defined due to $B_x \neq 0$).

Definition 3.3. We choose a component $z \in \pi_0(\text{Spec}(B_x))$ and denote by $B_x \rightarrow B_z$ the corresponding quotient. For $Y \in \text{Rig}_{K, \text{ét}}^{\text{sm}}$ and any invertible \mathcal{B} -module M on $X \times Y$, set

$$M_z := M_x \otimes_{B_x} B_z$$

on $\{x\} \times Y = Y$. We make the analogous definition for $\mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ -torsors.

To rigidify $\mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ -torsors, we use the sheaf $L_{\mathbb{X}, B}$ from Definition 2.14. As in §3.1, we set $\mathcal{A}_B := H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a$, then $L_{\mathbb{X}, B}$ is a \mathcal{B} -torsor on $X \times \mathcal{A}_B$. While it is clear that the fibre $L_{\mathbb{X}, B, x}$ of $L_{\mathbb{X}, B}$ over $\{x\} \times \mathcal{A}_B$ is a trivial B_x -torsor on \mathcal{A}_B , there is a priori no canonical trivialisation of this torsor. We could fix such a trivialisation by choosing a lift of x to a B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -point of \mathbb{X} . However, we may avoid this choice by instead working with the \mathcal{B} -torsor

$$\mathfrak{L} := \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{X}, B} := L_{\mathbb{X}, B} \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{A}_B}^*(L_{\mathbb{X}, B, x})^{-1}$$

on $X \times \mathcal{A}_B$, where we have written $\pi_{\mathcal{A}_B} : X \times \mathcal{A}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$ for the structure map, of which x is a splitting. With this definition, there is over $x \times \mathcal{A}_B$ a tautological rigidification

$$r : (B_z \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{L}_z.$$

Definition 3.4. A rigidified invertible \mathcal{B} -module on $X_{\text{proét}}$ is a triple (L, α, β) consisting of

- (1) an invertible \mathcal{B} -module L on $X_{\text{proét}}$, for which we set $\tau := \text{HTlog}(L) \in \mathcal{A}_B(K)$,
- (2) an isomorphism of B_z -modules $\alpha : B_z \xrightarrow{\sim} L_z$,
- (3) an isomorphism $\beta : \mathfrak{L}_\tau^{\text{exp}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ of $\mathcal{B}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ -torsors over $X_{\text{proét}}$,

such that the following diagram of abelian groups (=fibres of sheaves over z) commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_z^\times[\frac{1}{p}] & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\times[\frac{1}{p}]} & L_z^\times[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \beta_z \\ B_z^{\text{exp}} & \xrightarrow{(r^{\text{exp}})_\tau} & \mathfrak{L}_{z,\tau}^{\text{exp}} \end{array}$$

We also call this a z -rigidified invertible \mathcal{B} -module to indicate the dependence on z . A morphism of rigidified invertible \mathcal{B} -modules $(L, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (L', \alpha', \beta')$ is a morphism of invertible \mathcal{B} -modules $L \rightarrow L'$ that makes the obvious diagrams comparing α to α' and β to β' commute.

When (L, α, β) is a rigidified invertible \mathcal{B} -module, then the existence of β witnesses that $e_{\mathbb{X}} \circ \text{HTlog}(L) = \text{can}(L)$, so the isomorphism class of L is necessarily in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}(K)$. Conversely:

Proposition 3.5. *Let L be an invertible \mathcal{B} -module on $X_{\text{proét}}$ whose isomorphism class lies in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}(K) \subseteq H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}^\times)$. Then:*

- (1) *There exists a rigidification (L, α, β) on L .*
- (2) *If (L', α', β') is another rigidified invertible \mathcal{B} -module on $X_{\text{proét}}$ and $L' \cong L$, then there is a unique isomorphism $(L, \alpha, \beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L', \alpha', \beta')$ of rigidified invertible \mathcal{B} -modules.*

The proof hinges on the following relation:

Lemma 3.6. *The following diagram of abelian groups is both a pullback and a pushout square:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(X)^\times & \longrightarrow & B(X)^\times[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_z^\times & \longrightarrow & B_z^\times[\frac{1}{p}]. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let R be any finitely generated K algebra such that $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a single point. Then we have $R = R^{\text{red}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ where \mathfrak{n} is the nilradical of R and $R^{\text{red}} := R/\mathfrak{n}$ is the reduced quotient. Indeed, the map $R \rightarrow R^{\text{red}}$ admits a K -algebra splitting since the assumption on R implies $R^{\text{red}} = K$ (as K is algebraically closed). Passing to units, this induces a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} R^\times \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, the kernel is $= 1 + \mathfrak{n}$ which identifies with \mathfrak{n} via exp and log . We can thus decompose

$$(9) \quad R^\times = \mathfrak{n} \times K^\times.$$

where the first factor is already uniquely p -divisible. Hence we have a short-exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_{p^n} \rightarrow R^\times \xrightarrow{[p^n]} R^\times \rightarrow 1.$$

Applying this first to $R = B(X)$ and then to $R = B_z$, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mu_{p^n} & \longrightarrow & B(X)^\times & \xrightarrow{[p^n]} & B(X)^\times & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mu_{p^n} & \longrightarrow & B_z^\times & \xrightarrow{[p^n]} & B_z^\times & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

in which the second square is consequently Cartesian. Since \varinjlim_n commutes with finite limits, in particular with forming pullback squares, this shows the pullback property.

For the pushout property, we need to show that the following map is surjective:

$$B_z^\times \oplus B(X)^\times[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow B_z^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$$

This follows from the decomposition in (9): We have $B_z^\times[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathfrak{n} \times K^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$, so the first factor comes from the first summand $B_z^\times = \mathfrak{n} \times K^\times$ on the left, while the second factor $K^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ comes from $K^\times[\frac{1}{p}] \subseteq B(X)^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$. Hence the two summands are jointly surjective onto $B_z^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.5. (1) The assumptions guarantee that we can find some isomorphisms

$$\alpha : B_z \xrightarrow{\sim} L_z, \quad \beta : \mathfrak{L}_z^{\text{exp}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right],$$

which do not need to satisfy any compatibility yet. More precisely, the failure of the square in Definition 3.4 to commute is measured by an element $\delta := \beta \circ r \circ \alpha^{-1}$ of

$$\text{Aut}(L_z^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]) = B_z^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right].$$

By the pushout property in Lemma 3.6, we can find an element α_0 of $\text{Aut}(L_z) = B_z^\times$ and an element β_0 of $\text{Aut}(L^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]) = B(X)^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ such that $\delta = \beta_0^{-1} \alpha_0$. Then replacing α by $\alpha_0 \circ \alpha$ and β by $\beta_0 \circ \beta$, we obtain α and β making the diagram commute.

(2) We first treat the case that $(L', \alpha', \beta') = (L, \alpha, \beta)$, i.e. we show

$$\text{Aut}(L, \alpha, \beta) = 1.$$

We have $\text{Aut}(L) = B(X)^\times$. Any automorphism b of L changes α by the image of b in B_z^\times and β by the image of b in $B(X)^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$. That b is an automorphism of (L, α, β) means that these images are trivial. Then b is trivial by Lemma 3.6.

We now return to the general case: Choose any isomorphism $\phi : L \xrightarrow{\sim} L'$, then we may pull back α', β' to L and assume without loss of generality that $L = L'$. By the first part, it suffices to prove the existence of an isomorphism. Let thus (L, α', β') be any other rigidification on L , then $\delta_\alpha := \alpha' \circ \alpha^{-1} \in B_z^\times$ and $\delta_\beta := \beta' \circ \beta^{-1} \in B(X)^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ are such that in $B_z^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$, we have

$$\beta \circ r \circ \alpha^{-1} = \text{id} = \beta' \circ r \circ \alpha'^{-1} = \delta_\beta \circ \beta \circ r \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ \delta_\alpha^{-1} \Rightarrow \delta_\alpha = \delta_\beta \text{ in } B_z^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right].$$

It follows from Lemma 3.6 that δ_α and δ_β come from a unique element $\delta \in B(X)^\times = \text{Aut}(L)$. Hence we may replace ϕ by $\delta \circ \phi$ to arrange that $\alpha' = \alpha$ and $\beta' = \beta$. \square

We note that our notion of rigidifications is functorial in B and compatible with tensors:

Lemma 3.7. *Let $f : B \rightarrow B'$ be a morphism of coherent torsionfree \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that X_B and $X_{B'}$ are connected. Let $z' \in \pi_0(X_{B',x})$ and $z \in \pi_0(X_{B,x})$ be such that $f(z') = z$. Then for any z -rigidified invertible $\mathcal{B} := \nu^* B$ -module $\mathcal{L} = (L, \alpha, \beta)$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$,*

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}' := (L \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}', \alpha \otimes_{B_z} B'_{z'}, \beta \times^{\mathcal{B}^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]} \mathcal{B}'^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right])$$

is a z' -rigidified invertible $\mathcal{B}' := \nu^ B'$ -module on $X_{\text{proét}}$.*

Proof. Using that $f(z') = z$, we obtain a natural map $B_z \rightarrow B'_{z'}$. It therefore makes sense to define

$$\alpha \otimes_{B_z} B'_{z'} : B'_z \rightarrow L_z \otimes_{B_z} B'_{z'} = (L \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}')_{z'}.$$

Let $\tau := \text{HTlog}(L)$ and $\tau' := \text{HTlog}(L \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}')$, then $f(\tau) = \tau'$ by functoriality of HTlog in B in Theorem 2.4. It follows from the construction of $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{X}, B}$ that we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{X}, B, \tau}^{\text{exp}} \times^{\mathcal{B}^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]} \mathcal{B}'^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{X}, B', \tau'}^{\text{exp}}.$$

Hence we obtain a natural map

$$\beta \times^{\mathcal{B}^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]} \mathcal{B}'^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] : \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{X}, B', \tau'}^{\text{exp}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \times^{\mathcal{B}^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]} \mathcal{B}'^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] = (L \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}')^\times \left[\frac{1}{p} \right].$$

The compatibility between α and β is then clearly preserved by forming pushouts \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let B be a coherent torsionfree \mathcal{O}_X -algebra such that X_B is connected, let $\mathcal{B} := \nu^* B$ and fix $z \in \pi_0(X_{B,x})$. Then for any z -rigidified invertible \mathcal{B} -modules $\mathcal{L}_1 = (L_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$, $\mathcal{L}_2 = (L_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$, the coproduct of \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 in the category of z -rigidified invertible \mathcal{B} -modules exists and is given by $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_2 = (L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L_2, \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2, \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}(K)$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}(K)$, we have $L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}(K)$. Similarly, let $\tau_i := \text{HTlog}(L_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $\tau := \text{HTlog}(L_1 \otimes L_2) = \tau_1 + \tau_2$. Therefore, $L_{\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B}, \tau} = L_{\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B}, \tau_1} + L_{\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B}, \tau_2}$. It follows that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{L}_{\tau}^{\text{exp}} = \mathfrak{L}_{\tau_1}^{\text{exp}} \otimes \mathfrak{L}_{\tau_2}^{\text{exp}}$ for which the product of the tautological rigidifications is the tautological rigidification r_z . From here we can verify directly that $\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2, \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$ satisfy the compatibility condition of Definition 3.4. \square

3.3. Rigidification in inverse systems. Let $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ be a cofiltered inverse system of torsionfree coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebras. For any arrow $j \rightarrow i$ in I , the map $B_j \rightarrow B_i$ induces a map $X_{B_j} \rightarrow X_{B_i}$. Note that we no longer assume that the X_{B_i} are connected. We then have a compatible system of natural surjective maps

$$h_i : \pi_0(X_{B_{i,x}}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_i})$$

for each $i \in I$. By treating connected components separately and using Definition 3.4, any section z_i of h_i defines a notion of a z_i -rigidified invertible $\mathcal{B}_i := \nu^* B_i$ -module on $X_{\text{proét}}$.

Definition 3.9. For any $k \rightarrow i$ in I , we say that z_k and z_i are compatible if the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0(X_{B_k}) & \xrightarrow{z_k} & \pi_0(X_{B_{k,x}}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \pi_0(X_{B_i}) & \xrightarrow{z_i} & \pi_0(X_{B_{i,x}}) \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.10. For $k \rightarrow i$ in I , assume that z_k and z_i are compatible. Let $\mathcal{L}_i = (L_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$ be a z_i -rigidified invertible \mathcal{B}_i -module and $\mathcal{L}_k = (L_k, \alpha_k, \beta_k)$ a z_k -rigidified invertible \mathcal{B}_k -module such that there is an isomorphism $L_k \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_k} \mathcal{B}_i \cong L_i$. Then there is a unique isomorphism of z_i -rigidified \mathcal{B}_i -modules

$$\varphi_{ik} : \mathcal{L}_k \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_k} \mathcal{B}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_i.$$

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 3.7 and Proposition 3.5.(2). \square

We would like to have a notion of rigidifications that is compatible in the inverse system I . The problem in finding this is that it is in general not clear whether one can find compatible sections z_i . Instead, let us therefore make the weaker assumption that there is a section z of the natural map

$$h : \varinjlim_{i \in I} \pi_0(X_{B_{i,x}}) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{i \in I} \pi_0(X_{B_i})$$

such that for each $i \in I$, there is a section $z_i : \pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_{i,x}})$ that is compatible with z in the obvious way. The point is that we do not require compatibility of z_i and z_j for any $j \rightarrow i$ in I , only compatibility with z .

This assumption is useful because in practice, it is always satisfied after changing I :

Lemma 3.11. Assume that for each $i \in I$, the projection to any direct factor of B_i is contained in $(B_i)_{i \in I}$. Let $J \subseteq I$ be the full subcategory of those $i \in I$ for which there is a section $z_i : \pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_{i,x}})$ that is compatible with z . Then $J \subseteq I$ is cofinal.

Proof. Fix $i \in I$. Since I is cofiltered, we can always find $k \rightarrow i$ such that

$$z|_{\pi_0(X_{B_i})} : \pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{j \in I} \pi_0(X_{B_{j,x}})$$

admits a factorisation through some map $z_{ik} : \pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_{k,x}})$. After increasing k if necessary, we can moreover assume that both of the following hold:

- (1) $h_k \circ z_{ik}$ is the natural map $\pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_k})$.
- (2) The composition $\text{im}(z_{ik}) \subseteq \pi_0(X_{B_{k,x}}) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{j \in I} \pi_0(X_{B_{j,x}})$ is injective.

In order to construct $j \in J$ with an arrow $j \rightarrow i$ in I , we now consider the image of the natural map $\pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_k})$. Considering only those connected components of X_{B_k} which are in the image, we obtain an open and closed subspace $X' \subseteq X_{B_k}$ through which

the map $X_{B_i} \rightarrow X_{B_k}$ factors. This intermediate space corresponds to a coherent algebra $B_k \rightarrow B_j \rightarrow B_i$ such that $X' = X_{B_j}$. By construction, the associated map

$$X_{B_i} \rightarrow X_{B_j} \rightarrow X_{B_k}$$

is such that the induced map $\pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_j})$ is surjective and $\pi_0(X_{B_j}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_k})$ is injective. Second, we can identify $\pi_0(X_{B_j,x})$ with the subset of $\pi_0(X_{B_k,x})$ given by

$$\pi_0(X_{B_j,x}) = h_k^{-1}(\pi_0(X_{B_k,x})).$$

By condition (1) on $h_k \circ z_{ik}$ above, it follows that we also have $z_{ik}(\pi_0(X_{B_i})) \subseteq \pi_0(X_{B_j,x})$. Second, any two elements that have the same image under $\pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_j})$ also have the same image under z , hence condition (2) guarantees that they have the same image under z_{ik} . Thus z_{ik} induces a map

$$z_j : \pi_0(X_{B_j}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_j,x}).$$

Condition (1) guarantees that this is a section of h_j . Moreover, z_j is compatible with z because z_{ik} is. This shows that $j \in J$. \square

We continue with the setup introduced after Lemma 3.10.

Lemma 3.12. *Assume that we are given z_i -rigidified invertible \mathcal{B}_i -modules $\mathcal{L}_i = (L_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$ for each $i \in I$ such that for any $j \rightarrow i$, we have $L_j \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_j} \mathcal{B}_i \cong L_i$. Then for any $j \rightarrow i$, there is a unique isomorphism*

$$\psi_{ij} : \mathcal{L}_j \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_j} \mathcal{B}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_i$$

satisfying the following: There is $k \rightarrow j$ in I and a section $z_k : \pi_0(X_{B_k}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_k,x})$, compatible with both z_i and z_j , such that ψ_{ij} is the composition of isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{L}_j \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_j} \mathcal{B}_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_{jk}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_i} \mathcal{L}_k \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_k} \mathcal{B}_j \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_j} \mathcal{B}_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_{ik}} \mathcal{L}_i$$

where φ_{jk} and φ_{ik} are from Lemma 3.10. The ψ_{ij} satisfy the cocycle condition. The isomorphism of invertible \mathcal{B}_i -modules underlying ψ_{ij} only depends on z , not on $(z_i)_{i \in I}$.

Proof. That z_i and z_j are both compatible with z implies that one can find $k \rightarrow j$ and a section z_k that is compatible with both z_i and z_j . The isomorphisms then exist by Lemma 3.10. To see the uniqueness, it suffices to consider $k' \rightarrow k$ and a section $z_{k'}$ that is compatible with z_k . The uniqueness then follows from uniqueness in Lemma 3.10.

For the last sentence, let $z'_i : \pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_i,x})$ be any other section of h_i that is compatible with z . Then there is $k \rightarrow i$ and a section z_k that is compatible with both z_i and z'_i . The first part of the lemma with z_j replaced by z'_i then shows the independence. \square

Definition 3.13. (1) Let $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ be a cofiltered inverse system of coherent torsionfree \mathcal{O}_X -algebras. Then an invertible $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ -module L on $X_{\text{proét}}$ is the datum of invertible $\mathcal{B}_i := \nu^* B_i$ modules L_i for each $i \in I$ and for any $j \rightarrow i$ in I an isomorphism $\psi_{ij} : L_j \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_j} \mathcal{B}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} L_i$ satisfying the cocycle condition.

(2) Suppose we are given $x \in X(K)$ and a splitting z of $\lim_{\rightarrow i \in I} \pi_0(X_{B_i,x}) \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow i \in I} \pi_0(X_{B_i})$ such that for each $i \in I$, there is a splitting $z_i : \pi_0(B_{i,x}) \rightarrow \pi_0(B_i)$ that is compatible with z . Then a z -rigidified invertible $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ is an invertible $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ -module L together with a z_i -rigidification of each L_i such that the ψ_{ij} are given by the isomorphisms from Lemma 3.12.

3.4. The p -adic exponential for rigid groups. As we have already used earlier, recall that the p -adic exponential is as usually defined as the continuous group isomorphism

$$\exp : p^\alpha \mathfrak{m}_K \rightarrow 1 + p^\alpha \mathfrak{m}_K, \quad x \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{p-1}$ for $p \geq 3$, and $\alpha = 2$ for $p = 2$. Second, we have the p -adic logarithm

$$\log : 1 + \mathfrak{m}_K \rightarrow K, \quad x \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n}$$

whose radius of convergence is larger and that is surjective because K is algebraically closed.

Definition 3.14. An exponential for K is a group homomorphism $\text{Exp}: K \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{m}_K$ s.t.

- (1) Exp splits the logarithm, meaning that $\log \circ \text{Exp} = \text{id}$, and
- (2) Exp restricts to exp on $p^\alpha \mathfrak{m}_K$.

It is clear from (2) that Exp is automatically continuous. An exponential always exists.

For any commutative rigid group G over K , let $\widehat{G} = \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, G) \subseteq G$ be the topological p -torsion sub- v -sheaf from [21, §2]. By [21, Prop. 2.14], \widehat{G} is an open rigid subgroup. If $[p]: G \rightarrow G$ is surjective, \widehat{G} is an analytic p -divisible group in the sense of Fargues by [24, Prop. 6.10]. By [12, §2], we then have a logarithm map that fits into an exact sequence of rigid groups

$$0 \rightarrow G[p^\infty] \rightarrow \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\log_G} \text{Lie}(G) \otimes_K \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0$$

where $\text{Lie}(G)$ is the Lie algebra of G , i.e. the tangent space at the identity. We now use:

Theorem 3.15 ([24, Thm. 6.12]). *Let G be a commutative rigid group such that $[p]: G \rightarrow G$ is surjective on K -points. Then any exponential $\text{Exp}: K \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{m}$ induces a continuous splitting*

$$\text{Exp}_G: \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow \widehat{G}(K) \subseteq G(K)$$

of the logarithm, i.e. $\log_G \circ \text{Exp}_G = \text{id}$. For fixed Exp , the map Exp_G is functorial in G .

3.5. Invertible \mathcal{B} -modules via the exponential. Finally, we now consider the case that B is a $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \widetilde{\Omega}_X^\vee$ -algebra on $X_{\text{ét}}$ that is coherent over \mathcal{O}_X . Then \mathcal{A}_B has a canonical section:

Definition 3.16. Let X be any smooth rigid space. Set $T_X := \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \widetilde{\Omega}_X^\vee$ and let B be any T_X -algebra. As $\widetilde{\Omega}_X^\vee$ is projective, the induced \mathcal{O}_X -linear map $\widetilde{\Omega}_X^\vee \rightarrow B$ dualises to a morphism $\tau_B: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B$. This defines a tautological section $\tau_B \in H^0(X, \widetilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B)$.

We can now finally combine all results that we have discussed up until this point to obtain the following, which summarises the key technical construction of this article:

Theorem 3.17. *Let X be a smooth proper rigid space over K . Let $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ be the cofiltered inverse system of T_X -algebras on $X_{\text{ét}}$ for which each B_i is \mathcal{O}_X -coherent and \mathcal{O}_X -torsionfree. Set $\mathcal{B}_i := \nu^* B_i$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$ where $\nu: X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$ is the natural map. Assume we are given:*

- (1) a B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift \mathbb{X} of X ,
- (2) an exponential $\text{Exp}: K \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{m}$.

Then one can associate to this data an invertible $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ -module $(L_{B_i})_{i \in I}$ (see Definition 3.13) with $\text{HTlog}(L_{B_i}) = \tau_{B_i}$, in a way that is unique up to isomorphism.

More precisely, choose $x \in X(K)$ and a section z of $\varinjlim_{i \in I} \pi_0(X_{B_i, x}) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{i \in I} \pi_0(X_{B_i})$. Then there is a cofinal inverse system $J \subseteq I$ for which there is a z -rigidified invertible $(B_j)_{j \in J}$ -module $(\mathcal{L}_{B_j})_{j \in J}$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$ with $[\mathcal{L}_{B_j}] \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}, B_j}^0(K)$, unique up to unique isomorphism. We obtain $(L_{B_i})_{i \in I}$ by choosing for $i \in I \setminus J$ some $j \in J$ with $j \rightarrow i$ and defining $L_{B_i} := \mathcal{L}_{B_j} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_j} \mathcal{B}_i$.

Proof. Let B be one of the B_i . To explain the argument, let us first assume that \mathbf{Pic}_{X_B} is representable. Then by Theorem 2.4.2, there exists a rigid group G representing $\mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$. Consider the topological p -torsion subgroup \widehat{G} of G , on which we have the logarithm map $\log: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G)$, see §3.4. By functoriality of \log , this fits into a commutative diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{G}(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{HTlog}} & H^0(X, \widetilde{\Omega} \otimes B) \\ \downarrow \log & & \parallel \log \\ \text{Lie}(G) & \xlongequal{\quad} H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} & H^0(X, \widetilde{\Omega} \otimes B) \end{array}$$

where the identification of the bottom row is given by Theorem 2.4.4.

By Theorem 2.4.5, the identity component G^0 is such that $[p] : G^0 \rightarrow G^0$ is surjective. It follows from Theorem 3.15 that the choice of Exp induces a splitting Exp_G of the logarithm map, natural in G . Second, by Proposition 2.15, the lift \mathbb{X} induces a splitting of the map HT ,

$$s_{\mathbb{X}} : H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B) \rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}).$$

From (10), we see that these two splittings define a splitting of HTlog . We thus get an element

$$x_B := \text{Exp}_G(s_{\mathbb{X}}(\tau_B)) \in \widehat{G}(K) \subseteq G(K) = \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}(K) = H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathcal{B}^\times)$$

where τ_B is the canonical element of $H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X)$ defined in Definition 3.16.

More generally, without any assumptions on representability, we can use the rigid group

$$(11) \quad G := \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$$

from Theorem 3.2. This is already topologically p -torsion and p -divisible. Since $\text{Lie } G = H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes B)$, we can define x_B to be the image of $\text{Exp}_G(\tau_B) \in G(K)$ under the natural map $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{\mathcal{B}, \text{proét}}$. We note that this still depends on \mathbb{X} since $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}$ does.

Consider now the inverse system $(B_i)_{i \in I}$. This system is cofiltered: For any $i, j \in I$, the algebras B_i and B_j are dominated by the product $B_i \times B_j$. Let $J \subseteq I$ be the system of those $j \in I$ for which $z : \varinjlim \pi_0(X_{B_i}) \rightarrow \varinjlim \pi_0(X_{B_i, x})$ factors through some map $z_j : \pi_0(X_{B_j}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_{B_j, x})$. Then $J \subseteq I$ is cofinal by Lemma 3.11. We fix a choice of z_j for each $j \in J$.

Let $i \in J$. Treating each connected component of X_{B_i} separately, z_i induces a component of $B_{i, x}$ like in Definition 3.3. We can therefore choose an invertible \mathcal{B}_i -module \mathcal{L}_{B_i} representing x_{B_i} and apply Proposition 3.5.1 to endow it with the structure of a z_i -rigidified invertible \mathcal{B}_i -module $\mathcal{L}_{B_i} := (L_{B_i}, \alpha_{B_i}, \beta_{B_i})$ that is unique up to unique isomorphism. We can now invoke Lemma 3.12 to find transition morphisms $\psi_{ij} : \mathcal{L}_j \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_j} \mathcal{B}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_i$ for any $j \geq i$, that satisfy the cocycle condition. This makes $(\mathcal{L}_{B_i})_{i \in J}$ into a z -rigidified invertible $(B_i)_{i \in J}$ -module. \square

The construction of Theorem 3.17 is natural in (X, \mathbb{X}, x) , in the following sense:

Proposition 3.18. *In the setting of Theorem 3.17, let $K \hookrightarrow K'$ be any morphism into a complete algebraically closed field K' over \mathbb{Q}_p and let Exp' be an exponential for K' that restricts to Exp on K . Let Y be a smooth proper rigid space over K' with a lift \mathbb{Y} to $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+(K')/\xi^2$ and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be any morphism of adic spaces over K that admits a lift $\mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+(K)/\xi^2$. Let $y \in Y(K')$ be such that $f(y) = x$. For each $i \in I$, let A_i be the \mathcal{O}_Y -torsionfree quotient of f^*B_i . Let $M_i := f^*L_{B_i} \otimes_{\nu^*f^*B_i} \nu^*A_i$ and let $(N_i)_{i \in I}$ be the natural invertible $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ -module induced by applying Theorem 3.17 to (Y, \mathbb{Y}, y) . Then there is an isomorphism of invertible $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ -modules*

$$(N_i)_{i \in I} \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_i)_{i \in I}.$$

But in general, there is no canonical such choice.

Proof. It is clear that $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ is an invertible $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ -module on $Y_{\text{proét}}$. Via the natural map $T_Y \rightarrow f^*T_X$, we can regard $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ as a cofiltered inverse system of T_Y -modules on $Y_{\text{ét}}$ which are \mathcal{O}_Y -coherent and \mathcal{O}_Y -torsionfree. By functoriality of $s_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}^0$, we always have $N_i \cong M_i$ as ν^*A_i -modules on $Y_{\text{proét}}$ for all $i \in I$.

We claim that there is an isomorphism $(N_i)_{i \in I} \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_i)_{i \in I}$ of invertible $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ -modules: While it is in general difficult to compare the α -parts of the rigidifications, the β -part gives canonical compatible isomorphisms $\phi_i : N_i^\times[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M_i^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $\mathcal{I}_i \subseteq \text{Isom}_{A_i}(N_i, M_i)$ be the subset of isomorphisms such that $\phi_i^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ is the canonical map, then \mathcal{I}_i is a principal homogeneous space under $H^0(X, A_i)^\times[p^\infty]$. For $j_1 \rightarrow j_2$, applying $-\otimes_{A_{j_1}} A_{j_2}$ induces a map $\mathcal{I}_{j_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{j_2}$, equivariant with respect to $H^0(X, A_{j_1})^\times[p^\infty] \rightarrow H^0(X, A_{j_2})^\times[p^\infty]$. In particular, if $\text{Spa}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(A_{j_k})$ is connected for $k = 1, 2$, then $\mathcal{I}_{j_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{j_2}$ is an isomorphism. By treating connected components separately, we deduce from this that $\varprojlim_{j \in J} \mathcal{I}_j \neq \emptyset$. \square

4. LOCAL CONSIDERATIONS ON THE p -ADIC SIMPSON CORRESPONDENCE VIA TWISTING

The final ingredient for our construction of the non-abelian Hodge correspondence are local considerations of how to pass between Higgs bundles and pro-étale vector bundles via twisting with invertible \mathcal{B} -modules. This is based on the following general construction:

Definition 4.1. Let (E, θ_E) be a Higgs bundle on X . The Higgs field $\theta_E : E \rightarrow E \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X$ dualises to a morphism $\tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(E)$ sending $\partial \mapsto (E \xrightarrow{\theta} E \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \partial} E)$. Due to the Higgs field condition $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$, this extends to an \mathcal{O}_X -algebra morphism on $X_{\text{ét}}$

$$T_X := \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(E).$$

Let B_θ be the image of this morphism, this is a commutative subalgebra of $\underline{\text{End}}(E)$. Since $\underline{\text{End}}(E)$ is coherent as an \mathcal{O}_X -module, so is its submodule B_θ . There is a canonical section

$$\tau_\theta \in H^0(X, B_\theta \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X)$$

defined as the image of $\text{id} \in \tilde{\Omega}^\vee \otimes \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow B_\theta \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X$, uniquely determined by the property that

$$(12) \quad H^0(X, B_\theta \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \underline{\text{End}}(E) \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X) \quad \text{sends} \quad \tau_\theta \mapsto \theta_E.$$

We shall also denote B_θ just by B and τ_θ by τ_B when θ is clear from context.

Invoking the construction of Theorem 3.17 after making the necessary auxiliary choices of base points, the idea of the construction of the p -adic Simpson functor for proper X

$$\{\text{Higgs bundles on } X\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{pro-étale vector bundles on } X\}$$

will now be to send any Higgs bundle (E, θ) on X to the pro-étale vector bundle $\nu^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_\theta} L_{B_\theta}$ where L_{B_θ} is the invertible $\mathcal{B}_\theta := \nu^* B_\theta$ -module from Theorem 3.17 with $\text{HTlog}(L_{B_\theta}) = \tau_\theta$.

Remark 4.2. Note that for this definition, we are free to enlarge $B = B_\tau$, as follows: If $T_X \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B$ is any \mathcal{O}_X -coherent sub-quotient of T_X , then B' acts on E via $B' \rightarrow B$, and

$$(13) \quad \nu^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L_B = \nu^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}'} L_{B'} = \nu^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}'} L_{B'}.$$

In order to be able to go into the other direction, we need some preparations on pro-étale vector bundles: For this we begin by recalling the local correspondence. As we will explain, one can reinterpret this in terms of twisting with pro-étale invertible \mathcal{B} -modules.

In contrast to Faltings' construction, we do not actually rely on the local correspondence for the *construction* of $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$, but we will use it to see that $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ is an equivalence.

4.1. The Local correspondence.

Definition 4.3. We call an affinoid rigid space U toric if there is an étale map $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ to the torus over K which is a composition of rational localisations and finite étale maps. We call f a toric chart. Given a chart f , consider the affinoid perfectoid torus $\mathbb{T}_\infty^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, a pro-étale Galois torsor under the group $\Delta := \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^d$. We denote by $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ the pullback along f . The chart f induces parameters $T_1, \dots, T_d \in \mathcal{O}(U)^\times$ on U which define a basis $\frac{dT_1}{T_1}, \dots, \frac{dT_d}{T_d}$ of Ω_U . We denote by $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d$ the dual basis of Ω_U^\vee . Then f induces an isomorphism

$$\rho_f : H^0(U, \tilde{\Omega}_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Delta, \mathcal{O}(U)).$$

which can be characterised as follows: Its dual $\mathbb{Z}_p^d(1) \rightarrow \Omega_U^\vee(1)(U)$ is the (1)-twist of the map that sends the standard basis vector γ_i of \mathbb{Z}_p^d to ∂_i . For any coherent \mathcal{O}_U -module B , we will denote by $\rho_{f, B}$ or just by ρ_f the induced isomorphism obtained by tensoring with $B(U)$.

There is for any smooth rigid space U an intrinsic notion of “smallness” for both pro-étale vector bundles and Higgs bundles. As we will not need the technical details, we just refer to [20, §6] for the definition. What will be important for us is only the following:

- Any pro-étale vector bundle or Higgs bundle on U becomes small on an étale cover.
- For toric U , we have the following equivalence, the “Local correspondence”:

Theorem 4.4 ([11, §3][20, Thm. 6.5]). *Let U be a toric smooth rigid space and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ be a toric chart. Then f induces an exact equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{LS}_f : \{\text{small pro-étale vector bundles on } U\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{small Higgs bundles on } U\}.$$

It sends (E, θ) to the unique pro-étale vector bundle V for which $V(\tilde{U})$ is the Δ -module

$$V(\tilde{U}) := \mathcal{O}(\tilde{U}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} E(U), \quad \gamma \cdot (a \otimes x) = \gamma(a) \otimes \exp(\rho_f(\theta)(\gamma))x$$

where $\rho_f := \rho_{f, \mathrm{End}(E)} : H^0(U, \tilde{\Omega}_U \otimes \underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta, \mathrm{End}(E))$ is the map from Definition 4.3. In particular, we have a natural isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})$ -modules $E(\tilde{U}) = V(\tilde{U})$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let X be any smooth rigid space and let V be a pro-étale vector bundle on X . Then the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -linear endomorphisms $\nu_* \underline{\mathrm{End}}(V)$ is a coherent module on $X_{\mathrm{ét}}$.*

Proof. The statement is local, so we may assume that X is toric and V is small. Then by Theorem 4.4, $\underline{\mathrm{End}}(V) \cong \underline{\mathrm{End}}(E, \theta)$ for some Higgs bundle (E, θ) , and this is coherent. \square

Let now B be any coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra and $\mathcal{B} := \nu^* B$. We choose $B^+ \subseteq B$ as in Lemma 2.7. Then we also have the following variant which is essentially a weak version of a local correspondence for invertible \mathcal{B} -modules that will be enough for our purposes:

Lemma 4.6. *Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible \mathcal{B} -module on $X_{\mathrm{proét}}$. Set $\tau := \mathrm{HTlog}(\mathcal{L}) \in H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes B)$. Then there is an étale cover of X by toric rigid spaces $U \rightarrow X$ with charts $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ satisfying the following: Let $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ be the toric Δ -torsor induced by f . The restriction $\rho_f(\tau|_U) : \Delta \rightarrow B(U)$ has image in $pB^+(U)$ and \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to the invertible \mathcal{B} -module $\mathcal{L}_{\tau|_U, f}$ on $U_{\mathrm{proét}}$ defined via descent along $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ of $\mathcal{B}|_{\tilde{U}}$ endowed with the following Δ -action:*

$$\mathcal{B}(\tilde{U}) = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{U}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} B(U), \quad \gamma \cdot (a \otimes x) = \gamma(a) \otimes \exp(\rho_{f, B}(\tau|_U)(\gamma)).$$

Proof. Let $U \rightarrow X$ be any étale map from a toric rigid space. By Corollary 2.11, we have a left-exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(U, B^\times) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{proét}}^1(U, \mathcal{B}^\times) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HTlog}_U} H^0(U, \tilde{\Omega}_U \otimes B)$$

It follows that any invertible \mathcal{B} -module \mathcal{L}' on $U_{\mathrm{proét}}$ with $\mathrm{HTlog}_U(\mathcal{L}') = \mathrm{HTlog}_U(\mathcal{L}) = \tau$ becomes isomorphic to \mathcal{L} after passing to an étale cover. After passing to an open subgroup of Δ by replacing U by a finite étale cover, we can ensure that $\rho_{f, B}(\tau)$ has image in $pB^+(U)$ on which \exp is defined. Then the Δ -module $\mathcal{L}_{\tau|_U, f}$ defined in the lemma satisfies $\mathrm{HTlog}(\mathcal{L}_{\tau|_U, f}) = \tau$ by construction, hence $\mathcal{L}_{\tau|_U, f} \cong \mathcal{L}$ after passing to a further étale cover. \square

We can use this to reinterpret the local correspondence in terms of twisting:

Proposition 4.7. *In the setting of Theorem 4.4, let (E, θ) be any Higgs bundle. Denote by $B \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)$ the coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module of Definition 4.1 with section $\tau_\theta \in H^0(X, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes B)$. Then over an étale cover of U , we have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{LS}_f^{-1}(E, \theta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \nu^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_\theta, f}$.*

Proof. Comparing the descriptions in Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.6, it suffices to see that for any $\gamma \in \Delta$, the element $\rho_{f, B}(\tau_\theta)(\gamma) \in B(U)$ acts on $E(U)$ as $\rho_{f, \mathrm{End}(E)}(\theta_E)(\gamma) \in \mathrm{End}(E(U))$. But by naturality of $\rho_{f, -}$ applied to the map $B \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(U, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes B) & \xrightarrow{\rho_f} & \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta, B(U)) & & \tau_\theta & \longmapsto & \rho_f(\tau_\theta) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(U, \tilde{\Omega} \otimes \underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)) & \xrightarrow{\rho_f} & \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta, \mathrm{End}(E|_U)) & & \theta_E & \longmapsto & \rho_f(\theta_E) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps send τ_θ to θ_E by the defining property of τ_θ in (12). \square

4.2. Rodríguez Camargo's Higgs field. Motivated by earlier results of Pan [33, §3.1], it was first observed by Rodríguez Camargo in [34, Thm. 1.0.3], that one can use the Local correspondence to endow any pro-étale vector bundle with a *canonical* Higgs field in a natural way. Since his construction is written for a different technical setup, we now give a slight reinterpretation, which simplifies the proof somewhat in our special case of interest.

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a smooth rigid space over K . To simplify notation, let us still denote by $\tilde{\Omega}_X$ the pullback $\nu^*\tilde{\Omega}_X$ of the sheaf of Definition 2.1 along $\nu : X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$. Then there is a unique way to endow any pro-étale vector bundle V on X with a Higgs field*

$$\theta_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \tilde{\Omega}_X$$

on $X_{\text{proét}}$ in such a way that the following conditions hold:

- (1) *The association $V \mapsto \theta_V$ is functorial in V and X .*
- (2) *If X is toric and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ is a toric chart, then θ_V corresponds in terms of the associated Higgs bundle $(E, \theta_E) := \text{LS}_f(V)$ to the tautological morphism of Higgs bundles $\theta_E : (E, \theta_E) \rightarrow (E, \theta_E) \otimes (\tilde{\Omega}, 0)$, where $(\tilde{\Omega}, 0)$ is $\tilde{\Omega}$ with the trivial Higgs field.*
- (3) *We have $\theta_V = 0$ if and only if V is étale-locally trivial on X .*

Remark 4.9. For any local basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d$ of $\tilde{\Omega}_X$, the tensor product of Higgs bundles $(E, \theta_E) \otimes (\tilde{\Omega}, 0)$ in (2) is $E \otimes \tilde{\Omega}$ with the Higgs field given in terms of $\theta = \sum_i \theta_i \omega_i$ by

$$\theta_E : E \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X \rightarrow E \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X, \quad \sum_i e_i \otimes \omega_i \mapsto \sum_i \sum_j \theta_j(e) \otimes \omega_i \otimes \omega_j.$$

More explicitly, (2) means that θ_V corresponds on the toric cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ to the natural map

$$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(X)} E(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(X)} E(X) \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X, \quad a \otimes e \mapsto a \otimes \theta(e)$$

which commutes with the Δ -action as $\exp(\theta_i)$ commutes with each θ_j .

Proof of Theorem 4.8. It is clear that (2) defines a Higgs field $\theta_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes \tilde{\Omega}$ for any small pro-étale vector bundle V if X is toric. This is functorial in V since any morphism of Higgs bundles $\phi : (E, \theta) \rightarrow (E', \theta')$ induces a morphism $\phi \otimes \text{id} : (E, \theta) \otimes (\tilde{\Omega}, 0) \rightarrow (E', \theta') \otimes (\tilde{\Omega}, 0)$.

It therefore suffices to prove that θ_V is independent of the choice of toric chart: Let f' be a second toric chart and let $\theta'_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes \tilde{\Omega}$ be the Higgs field induced via $\text{LS}_{f'}$. We need to show that $\theta_V = \theta'_V$. To see this, we may replace U by any étale cover. Let $(E', \theta') = \text{LS}_{f'}(V)$, then there exists a non-canonical isomorphism between (E, θ) and (E', θ') after étale localisation on U , e.g. by [20, Thm 1.2]. Indeed, we can see this via twisting: Let B be the coherent quotient of $(\theta, \theta', 0) : T_X \rightarrow \text{End}((E, \theta) \oplus (E', \theta') \oplus (\tilde{\Omega}, 0))$ from Definition 4.1 and let $\mathcal{B} = \nu^*B$. Set $L := \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f}$ and $L' := \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f'}$. Then by Proposition 4.7, and Remark 4.2, we see that we can use L and L' to compute LS_f and $\text{LS}_{f'}$, namely we can find isomorphisms

$$\lambda : V = \text{LS}_f^{-1}(E, \theta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \nu^*E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda' : V = \text{LS}_{f'}^{-1}(E', \theta') \xrightarrow{\sim} \nu^*E' \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L'.$$

Since $\text{HTlog}(L) = \tau_B = \text{HTlog}(L')$, there is by Lemma 4.6 after a further localisation a \mathcal{B} -linear isomorphism $\psi : L \xrightarrow{\sim} L'$. We can combine this to an isomorphism

$$\text{LS}_f^{-1}(E, \theta) = V \xrightarrow{\lambda'} \nu^*E' \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L' \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \psi^{-1}} \nu^*E' \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{LS}_f^{-1}(E, \theta).$$

Since LS_f^{-1} is fully faithful, this comes from an isomorphism $\phi : (E, \theta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (E', \theta')$ of Higgs bundles. Summarising the discussion, this shows that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \theta_V : V & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \nu^*E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L & \xrightarrow{\theta_E \otimes \text{id}} & \nu^*(E \otimes \tilde{\Omega}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L & \xrightarrow{\lambda^{-1}} & V \otimes \tilde{\Omega} \\ \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \phi \otimes \psi & & \downarrow (\phi \otimes \text{id}) \otimes \psi & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \\ \theta'_V : V & \xrightarrow{\lambda'} & \nu^*E' \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L' & \xrightarrow{\theta_{E'} \otimes \text{id}} & \nu^*(E' \otimes \tilde{\Omega}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L' & \xrightarrow{\lambda'^{-1}} & V \otimes \tilde{\Omega} \end{array}$$

This shows that $\theta_V = \theta_{V'}$. Functoriality in X can be seen by the same argument. \square

Alternatively, even without checking that the left square at the end of the proof commutes, we could simply define $\Psi : V \rightarrow V$ as the unique isomorphism making the square commute. Then the diagram says that we have an isomorphism $\Psi : (V, \theta_V) \rightarrow (V, \theta'_V)$. But since θ_V commutes with any endomorphism of V by functoriality, this implies that $\theta_V = \theta'_V$.

Corollary 4.10. *If U is a smooth rigid space with toric chart $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$, then the isomorphism $E(\tilde{U}) = V(\tilde{U})$ of Theorem 4.4 identifies the pullback of θ_E and θ_V to \tilde{U} .*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 4.8.2 and functoriality in the last part of Theorem 4.4. \square

Corollary 4.11. *Let X be a smooth rigid space and let (E, θ_E) be a Higgs bundle on X . Let $B \subseteq \underline{\text{End}}(E)$ and τ_B be associated to θ_E as in Definition 4.1 and set $\mathcal{B} := \nu^* B$. Let \mathcal{L} be any invertible \mathcal{B} -module on X such that $\text{HTlog}(\mathcal{L}) = \tau_B$. Then $V := \nu^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}$ is a pro-étale vector bundle on X whose canonical Higgs field $\theta_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X$ of Theorem 4.8 is given by*

$$\nu^* \theta_E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L} : V \rightarrow V \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X$$

defined more explicitly as the composition $\text{Sym}^\bullet \tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee \xrightarrow{\theta} \underline{\text{End}}_B(E) \xrightarrow{\nu^(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}} \nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V)$.*

Proof. The conclusion is étale-local on X , so we may assume that X is toric with a toric chart $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$. By Lemma 4.6, we can after a further étale localisation assume that there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f}$, so it suffices to prove the statement for $V = \nu^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f}$. But then we have $\text{LS}_f(V) = (E, \theta)$ by Proposition 4.7. The claim then follows from Theorem 4.8.2 and Proposition 4.7, which say that $\theta_V = \text{LS}_f(\theta_E) = \nu^* \theta_E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f}$. \square

We now explain how to use the canonical Higgs field θ_V to pass from pro-étale vector bundles to Higgs bundles by twisting with invertible \mathcal{B} -modules. This is based on the following:

Definition 4.12. As in Definition 4.1, for any pro-étale vector bundle V on X , we can equivalently regard the canonical Higgs field θ_V as a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee \rightarrow \nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V), \quad \partial \mapsto (V \xrightarrow{\theta_V} V \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \partial} V)$$

on $X_{\text{ét}}$ that extends to an \mathcal{O}_X -algebra homomorphism $\theta_V : T_X \rightarrow \nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V)$. Let $B = B_V$ be the image of this map. By Corollary 4.5, this is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra. Set $\mathcal{B} := \nu^* B_V$, then V is a \mathcal{B} -module in a canonical way. Like in Definition 4.1, the image of $\text{id} \in \underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Omega}) = \tilde{\Omega}^\vee \otimes \tilde{\Omega}$ under the map $\tilde{\Omega}^\vee \rightarrow B$ then defines a canonical section $\tau_B := \tau_{\theta_V} \in H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega})$.

Proposition 4.13. *Let X be a smooth rigid space. Let V be a pro-étale vector bundle on X . Let $B = B_V$ and $\mathcal{B} := \nu^* B_V$ be as in Definition 4.12. Let L be any pro-étale invertible \mathcal{B} -module on $X_{\text{proét}}$ with $\text{HTlog}(L) = \tau_B \in H^0(X, B \otimes \tilde{\Omega})$. Then $E := V \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L^{-1}$ is an analytic-locally trivial vector bundle on X , which inherits a natural Higgs field $\theta_E := \theta_V \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L^{-1}$.*

Proof. The statement is étale-local, so we may assume that X is toric and fix a toric chart $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ so that we are in the setup of Theorem 4.4: More precisely, by Proposition 4.7, we may assume that there is a Higgs bundle (E', θ') on X such that $V = \nu^* E' \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f}$. By Theorem 4.8.2, the canonical Higgs field θ_V is then $\nu^* E' \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f} \rightarrow \nu^* (E' \otimes \tilde{\Omega}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f}$. More precisely, we a priori need to be more careful and use $B = B_{\theta'}$, but the natural map

$$\theta_V : \tilde{\Omega}_X^\vee \rightarrow \nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V)$$

factors through $-\otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{\theta'}} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_{\theta'}, f} : \underline{\text{End}}(E', \theta') \rightarrow \nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V)$, which shows that $B_{\theta'} = B_V$. Hence

$$E = V \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L^{-1} = \nu^* E' \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L^{-1}.$$

To prove that E is étale-locally trivial, it thus suffices to prove that $\mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L^{-1}$ is an étale-locally trivial invertible \mathcal{B} -module. By Corollary 2.11, this follows from the fact that

$$\text{HTlog}(\mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L^{-1}) = \text{HTlog}_B(\mathcal{L}_{\tau_B, f}) - \text{HTlog}_B(L^{-1}) = \tau_B - \tau_B = 0.$$

The Higgs field comes from the fact that $-\otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L^{-1}$ defines a natural map $\nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V) \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(E)$. We can compose it with $\theta_V : T_X \rightarrow \nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V)$ to get the desired Higgs field $T_X \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(E)$. \square

5. THE p -ADIC SIMPSON CORRESPONDENCE

5.1. **Proof of Main Theorem.** We can now prove our main result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let K be a complete algebraically closed extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let X be a smooth proper rigid space over K . Let $\nu : X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$ be the natural map. Let \mathbb{X} be a B_{dR}^+/ξ^2 -lift of X and let Exp be an exponential for K . Such choices always exist. By Theorem 3.17, they induce a compatible family of invertible ν^*B -modules \mathcal{L}_B for any \mathcal{O}_X -coherent \mathcal{O}_X -torsionfree quotient $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\bullet} \tilde{\Omega}^{\vee} \rightarrow B$, unique up to isomorphism.*

(1) *The following functors define an exact tensor equivalence of categories*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}} : \{ \text{pro-étale vector bundles on } X \} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \text{Higgs bundles on } X \} \\ V &\mapsto \nu_*((V, \theta_V) \otimes_{\nu^*B_V} \mathcal{L}_{B_V}^{-1}) \\ \nu^*E \otimes_{\nu^*B_{\theta}} \mathcal{L}_{B_{\theta}} &\longleftarrow (E, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

where B_{θ} and B_V are as defined in Definitions 4.1 and 4.12.

(2) *The equivalence $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ is natural in $(X, \mathbb{X}, \text{Exp})$: Let the setup be as in Proposition 3.18, so Y is a smooth proper rigid space with a lift \mathbb{Y} and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a liftable morphism over K . Then there exists a natural isomorphism of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \text{pro-étale vector bundles on } X \} & \xrightarrow{S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}} & \{ \text{Higgs bundles on } X \} \\ \downarrow f^* & \Longrightarrow & \downarrow f^* \\ \{ \text{pro-étale vector bundles on } Y \} & \xrightarrow{S_{\mathbb{Y}, \text{Exp}'}} & \{ \text{Higgs bundles on } Y \}. \end{array}$$

Remark 5.2. We emphasize that (2) only states the *existence* of a natural isomorphism of functors, and there is in general no canonical choice. In fact, given a diagram of liftable morphisms, it is in general not true that one can find natural isomorphisms that are compatible.

Remark 5.3. More precisely, there are two layers of choices that go into the definition of $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$: First, there is the choice of \mathbb{X} and Exp . In general, changing either of these changes the effect of $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ on isomorphism classes of objects. Second, there is the choice of base-points x and z in Theorem 3.17 (which is suppressed in Theorem 5.1). For any different choice of base-points, there is by Proposition 3.18 an isomorphism between the resulting equivalences, but there is no canonical such isomorphism. This is the reason for the non-canonicity described in Remark 5.2: In general, one cannot compare the choices of z for X and Y , respectively.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let V be a pro-étale vector bundle on X . Let B_V be as in Definition 4.12. Note that this is \mathcal{O}_X -torsionfree because $\underline{\text{End}}(V)$ is. Thus we are given an invertible $\mathcal{B}_V := \nu^*B_V$ -module \mathcal{L}_{B_V} . Then by Proposition 4.13, the \mathcal{O}_X -module $(V, \theta_V) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_V} \mathcal{L}_{B_V}^{-1}$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$ is an analytic-locally trivial vector bundle endowed with a Higgs field. Hence its restriction to $X_{\text{ét}}$ is a Higgs bundle. In the other direction, since E is a vector bundle and $\mathcal{L}_{B_{\theta}}$ is pro-étale locally on X isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_{\theta} := \nu^*B_{\theta}$, it is clear that $\nu^*E \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{\theta}} \mathcal{L}_{B_{\theta}}$ is a pro-étale vector bundle. So the two mappings are well-defined on objects.

To see that they are functorial, let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be any morphism of pro-étale vector bundles. If φ is an isomorphism, then we have a canonical isomorphism $B_V = B_W$ which induces an isomorphism $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}(V) \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}(W)$. In general, we can write φ as a composition

$$V \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, 0)} V \oplus W \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varphi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} V \oplus W \xrightarrow{(0, \text{id})} W$$

to reduce to showing that the construction is compatible with direct sums.

It is clear from Theorem 4.8 and compatibility of the local correspondence with \oplus that $\theta_{V \oplus W} = \theta_V \oplus \theta_W$ on $V \oplus W$. Consequently, the restriction of the T_X -action on $V \oplus W$ to either subspace defines natural maps $B_{V \oplus W} \rightarrow B_V$ and $B_{V \oplus W} \rightarrow B_W$. It thus suffices

to observe that for any morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -coherent T_X -algebras $\psi : B' \rightarrow B$ with canonical sections τ_B and $\tau_{B'}$ as in Definition 4.12, we have by Theorem 3.17 a canonical identification

$$(-) \otimes_{B'} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_{B'}}^{-1} = (-) \otimes_B \mathcal{B} \otimes_{B'} \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B}^{-1} = (-) \otimes_B \mathcal{L}_{\tau_B}^{-1},$$

where as usual we write $B = \nu^* B$ and $B' = \nu^* B'$. Applying this transformation to the inclusion $\psi = V \rightarrow V \oplus W$ and the projection $\psi = V \oplus W \rightarrow W$, we obtain the desired compatibility with \oplus . The exactness can be seen by the same argument.

The other direction works in exactly the same way. Thus both functors are well-defined.

Let us write $T_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ for the functor from right to left. We claim that $S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ and $T_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}$ are mutual quasi-inverses to each other: Let (E, θ_E) be a Higgs bundle on X and $V = T_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}(E, \theta_E)$. Then by Corollary 4.11, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \underline{\text{End}}(E, \theta_E) \\ & \nearrow^{\theta_E} & \downarrow -\otimes_{\mathcal{B}_\theta} \mathcal{L}_\theta \\ T_X & & \nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V) \\ & \searrow_{\theta_V} & \end{array}$$

where $\theta = \theta_E$ in the subscript. Since the vertical map is clearly injective, we thus have a canonical identification of the respective images $B_\theta = B_V$ of θ_E and θ_V , which identifies τ_θ with τ_V and hence also \mathcal{L}_V with \mathcal{L}_θ . It follows that we have

$$S_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}} \circ T_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}(E, \theta) = \nu_*((E, \theta_E) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_\theta} \mathcal{L}_\theta \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_V} \mathcal{L}_V^{-1}) = (E, \theta_E).$$

The other direction can be seen in exactly the same way, using instead that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \underline{\text{End}}(E) \\ & \nearrow^{\theta_E} & \uparrow -\otimes_{\mathcal{B}_V} \mathcal{L}_V^{-1} \\ T_X & & \nu_* \underline{\text{End}}(V) \\ & \searrow_{\theta_V} & \end{array}$$

commutes by definition of θ_E as in Proposition 4.13.

It remains to show that the equivalence is compatible with \otimes . Let (E_1, θ_1) and (E_2, θ_2) be two Higgs bundles on X . For $i = 1, 2$, let B_i be the coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra associated to (E_i, θ_i) . Let B_3 be the \mathcal{O}_X -torsionfree quotient of $B_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} B_2$, this acts on $E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E_2$. Treating connected components separately, i.e. replacing B_3 by a direct factor and $E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E_2$ with the corresponding direct factor, we may assume that B_3 is connected. Let $\mathcal{B}_i := \nu^* B_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then by Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, we have a rigidified \mathcal{B}_3 -module

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}_3} \mathcal{L}_2 := (\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{B}_3) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_3} (\mathcal{L}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2} \mathcal{B}_3).$$

Since the function $\text{Exp}_G \circ s_{\mathbb{X}}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.17 is linear and natural in B , the underlying invertible module of $\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}_3} \mathcal{L}_2$ is isomorphic to that of \mathcal{L}_3 . By Proposition 3.5 there is therefore a natural isomorphism of rigidified \mathcal{B}_3 -modules

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}_3} \mathcal{L}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_3.$$

It follows from functoriality in Theorem 3.17 that we can identify

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^*(E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_3} \mathcal{L}_3 &= \nu^*(E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_3} (\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{B}_3) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_3} (\mathcal{L}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2} \mathcal{B}_3) \\ &= (\nu^* E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{L}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\nu^* E_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2} \mathcal{L}_2) \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to see.

The naturality follows from the naturality of the \mathcal{L}_B described in Proposition 3.18. \square

5.2. The cohomological comparison.

Definition 5.4. Let X be a smooth rigid space and let (E, θ) be a Higgs bundle on X . Recall that we write $\tilde{\Omega}_X^k = \wedge_{\mathcal{O}_X}^k \tilde{\Omega}_X = \Omega_X^k(-k)$. The Higgs complex of (E, θ) is then defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{Higgs}}^*(E, \theta) := [E \xrightarrow{\theta} E \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X^1 \xrightarrow{\theta_1} E \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X^2 \xrightarrow{\theta_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\theta_{n-1}} E \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X^n]$$

where $\theta_k(e \otimes w) := \theta(e) \wedge w$. We then define the Dolbeault cohomology of (E, θ) as

$$R\Gamma_{\text{Higgs}}(X, (E, \theta)) := R\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{C}_{\text{Higgs}}^*(E, \theta)).$$

A more conceptual definition of Dolbeault cohomology is given by the observation that

$$R\Gamma_{\text{Higgs}}(X, (E, \theta)) = \text{Ext}_{T_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, E)$$

where E is equipped with the $T_X := \text{Sym}^\bullet \tilde{\Omega}^\vee$ -module structure defined by θ . Indeed, to compute the right hand side, we can use the resolution of \mathcal{O}_X as a T_X -module

$$K_\bullet := [T_X \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X^{d_V} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T_X \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X^{2_V} \rightarrow T_X \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_X^{\vee} \rightarrow T_X] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

that locally on X in terms of any choice of basis $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d \in \tilde{\Omega}^\vee$ is the Koszul complex $\text{Kos}_\bullet(T_X; \partial_1, \dots, \partial_d)$. One easily sees that $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{T_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, E) = R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{T_X}(K_\bullet, E) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{Higgs}}^*(E, \theta)$.

We can now prove the last remaining result mentioned in the introduction:

Theorem 5.5. *In the setting of Theorem 5.1, let V be a pro-étale vector bundle on X and let $(E, \theta) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{X}, \text{Exp}}(V)$ be the associated Higgs bundle. Then there is a natural isomorphism $R\nu_* V = \mathcal{C}_{\text{Higgs}}^*(E, \theta)$ in $D(X_{\text{ét}})$. Its cohomology over X defines a natural isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma(X_{\text{proét}}, V) = R\Gamma_{\text{Higgs}}(X, (E, \theta)).$$

Remark 5.6. Following [4], a natural way to prove this would be to show that Theorem 5.1 extends to perfect complexes. We believe that, in principle, this should be possible by our approach if one has a canonical Higgs structure on v-perfect complexes on X .

Proof. We begin by defining a natural morphism in $D(X_{\text{ét}})$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{Higgs}}^*(E, \theta) = \underline{\text{Ext}}_{T_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, E) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ext}}_{X_{\text{proét}}}(\mathcal{O}_X, V) = R\nu_* V.$$

For this, we combine ideas of [4] and [20]: Let $J := \ker(T_X \xrightarrow{\theta} \underline{\text{End}}(E))$ and consider $\hat{T}_J := \varprojlim_n T_X/J^n$. Since the natural map $T_X \rightarrow \hat{T}_J$ is flat, we have

$$\underline{\text{Ext}}_{T_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, E) = \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\hat{T}_J}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{T_X} \hat{T}_J, E).$$

Since each T_X/J^n is \mathcal{O}_X -coherent, we obtain an invertible $\nu^* \hat{T}_J$ -module \hat{L} lifting the invertible \mathcal{B} -module $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{B_\theta}$ of Theorem 5.1, unique up to isomorphism. Note that as we are working in $D(X_{\text{ét}})$ and only consider complexes up to isomorphism, we do not need to worry about rigidifications. So the datum of \hat{L} up to isomorphism suffices. To simplify the notation, let us drop the ν^* from notation in the following. We can now define a natural morphism in $D(X_{\text{ét}})$

$$\psi : \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\hat{T}_J}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{T_X} \hat{T}_J, E) = R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\hat{T}_J}(K_\bullet \otimes_{T_X} \hat{T}_J, E) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\hat{T}_J} \hat{L}} R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{X_{\text{proét}}}(K_\bullet \otimes_{T_X} \hat{L}, E \otimes_{\hat{T}_J} \hat{L}).$$

This is functorial in E and $B = T_X/J$. In particular, we may now without loss of generality make B bigger, i.e. J smaller, to assume that J is contained in the kernel of the projection $T_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

Since the \hat{T}_J -action on E factors through B , we have $E \otimes_{\hat{T}_J} \hat{L} = E \otimes_B L = V$. Moreover, since $-\otimes_{\hat{T}_J} \hat{L}$ is exact, we have $K_\bullet \otimes_{\hat{T}_J} \hat{L} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\hat{T}_J} \hat{L} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_B L = \mathcal{O}_X$. Hence the right hand side of ψ is naturally identified with the desired target $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{X_{\text{proét}}}(\mathcal{O}_X, V)$.

It remains to see that ψ is a quasi-isomorphism. In other words, we need to check that

$$H^n \psi : \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\hat{T}_J}^n(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{T_X} \hat{T}_J, E) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ext}}_{X_{\text{proét}}}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, V)$$

is an isomorphism on $X_{\text{ét}}$. As this can be checked locally on $X_{\text{ét}}$, we can now replace X by a toric object $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ of $X_{\text{ét}}$ with a fixed toric chart and assume that E and V are small.

In this case, we first note that the chart f defines an integral subsheaf $\tilde{\Omega}_U^+ \subseteq \tilde{\Omega}_U$ as the preimage of $\text{Hom}(\Delta, \mathcal{O}_U^+) \subseteq \text{Hom}(\Delta, \mathcal{O}_U)$ under the isomorphism ρ_f of Definition 4.3. This is a finite locally free \mathcal{O}_X^+ -submodule of $\tilde{\Omega}_U$. Its dual $\tilde{\Omega}_U^{+, \vee} := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U^+}(\tilde{\Omega}_U^+, \mathcal{O}_U^+) = \Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_U^+$ inherits from Δ a basis $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d$. We can use this to compare \hat{T}_J to a much smaller submodule: Over U , we first obtain an integral submodule $T_U^+ := \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_U^+}^{\bullet} p^{-\alpha} \tilde{\Omega}_U^{+, \vee} \subseteq T_U$, where α is as in §3.4. Write $J^+ := J|_U \cap T_U^+$. We can use this to define the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_U = \mathcal{O}_U[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d] & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_n T_U^+ / J^{+n}[\frac{1}{p}] & \longrightarrow & \hat{T}_J \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{T}_U := \mathcal{O}_U\langle p^{-\alpha} \partial_1, \dots, p^{-\alpha} \partial_d \rangle & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_U^+[[p^{-\alpha} \partial_1, \dots, p^{-\alpha} \partial_d]][\frac{1}{p}] & & \end{array}$$

of flat T_U -modules, where the vertical maps send $\partial_i \rightarrow \partial_i$, and the second vertical map is well-defined as our assumptions on J ensure $J^+ \subseteq (p^{-\alpha} \partial_1, \dots, p^{-\alpha} \partial_d) T_U^+$. By flatness, we can use either of these algebras A to compute $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{T_X}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, E)|_U$ as the sheaf $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(K_{\bullet} \otimes_{T_U} A, E|_U[n])$.

We shall use the algebra \mathcal{T}_U . To simplify notation, we will in the following also just write this as $\mathcal{O}_U\langle p^{-\alpha} \partial \rangle := \mathcal{O}_U\langle p^{-\alpha} \partial_1, \dots, p^{-\alpha} \partial_d \rangle$, and similarly for the other algebras.

Note that due to the assumption that E is small, the T_U -action on E_U extends to a \mathcal{T}_U -action on E_U . In particular, we still have a natural map $\mathcal{T}_U \rightarrow B$. The relevance of the convergence condition is now that after a further étale localisation, we can also lift L to an invertible \mathcal{T}_U -module \mathcal{L} : Namely, according to Lemma 4.6, we can simply take \mathcal{L} to be the \mathcal{T}_U -module whose Δ -action on $\mathcal{T}_U(\tilde{U})$ is defined by the continuous 1-cocycle

$$c : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_U^{\times}(U), \quad \gamma_i \mapsto \exp(\partial_i).$$

This allows us to compute $\psi|_U$ more explicitly: It is given by sending a homomorphism

$$K_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{T}_U} \mathcal{T}_U \rightarrow E|_U[n]$$

to the map in $D(U_{\text{proét}})$ associated to the Δ -linear morphism of complexes of $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})$ -modules

$$(14) \quad (K_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{T}_U} \mathcal{T}_U)(\tilde{U}) \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{T}_U} \mathcal{T}_U[n](\tilde{U})$$

where the action on K_{\bullet} is trivial and the action on the second factors is via c . On the left hand side, we note that we can describe $(K_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{T}_U} \mathcal{T}_U)(U)$ as the Koszul complex over $R := \mathcal{O}(U)$,

$$(K_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{T}_U} \mathcal{T}_U)(U) = \text{Kos}_{\bullet}(R\langle p^{-\alpha} \partial \rangle; \partial_1, \dots, \partial_d).$$

On the right hand side of (14), we recover $V(\tilde{U})[n]$ with its natural action via Theorem 4.4. At this point, it suffices to show that every class in $\text{Ext}_{X_{\text{proét}}}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, V)$ is of the form (14).

To see this, we use that by [20, Lemma 6.7], the Cartan–Leray sequence of $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ induces an isomorphism $H_{\text{cts}}^n(\Delta, M) = \text{Ext}_{U_{\text{proét}}}^n(\mathcal{O}_U, V)$ for $M := E(U)$ with Δ -action via c . Unravelling the definitions of continuous cohomology H_{cts}^* and the Cartan–Leray sequence, this means the following: Any element of $\text{Ext}_{U_{\text{proét}}}^n(\mathcal{O}_U, V)$ can be represented by the morphism of complexes of pro-étale sheaves described over \tilde{U} by the Δ -equivariant $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})$ -linear map of complexes obtained by tensoring the R -linear Δ -equivariant morphism

$$\text{Kos}_{\bullet}(R[[T_1, \dots, T_d]]; T_1, \dots, T_d) \rightarrow M[n]$$

with $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})$. Here the action of $\gamma_i \in \Delta$ on the left is given by multiplication with $T_i + 1$ (see for example the proof of [36, Lemma 5.5]). Exactly as on the Higgs side, it follows from the fact that V is small that we can instead compute this using the R -linear Δ -equivariant maps

$$\text{Kos}_{\bullet}(R\langle p^{-\alpha} T \rangle; T_1, \dots, T_d) \rightarrow M[n].$$

It thus suffices to see that there is a natural R -linear Δ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Kos}_\bullet(R\langle p^{-\alpha}\partial\rangle; \partial_1, \dots, \partial_d) \rightarrow \mathrm{Kos}_\bullet(R\langle p^{-\alpha}T\rangle; T_1, \dots, T_d).$$

To construct this, we first note that the natural map

$$\phi : R\langle p^{-\alpha}\partial\rangle \rightarrow R\langle p^{-\alpha}T\rangle, \quad \partial \mapsto \log(T+1)$$

is well-defined due to the convergence condition, and is Δ -equivariant because

$$\phi(f(\partial) \cdot \gamma_i) = \phi(f(\partial) \exp(\partial_i)) = f(\log(T+1))(T_i+1) = \phi(f(\partial)) \cdot \gamma_i.$$

It is an isomorphism because $T \mapsto \exp(\partial) - 1$ is an inverse. We thus obtain an isomorphism

$$\phi : \mathrm{Kos}_\bullet(R\langle p^{-\alpha}\partial\rangle; \partial_1, \dots, \partial_d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Kos}_\bullet(R\langle p^{-\alpha}T\rangle; \log(T_1+1), \dots, \log(T_d+1)).$$

Finally, the fact that $\log(T+1)/T$ is a unit in $R\langle p^{-\alpha}T\rangle^\times$ means that the right hand side is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Kos}_\bullet(R\langle p^{-\alpha}T\rangle; T_1, \dots, T_d)$ by [7, 0625].

All in all, this shows that $H^n\psi|_U$ is indeed an isomorphism, as we wanted to see. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abbes. *Éléments de géométrie rigide. Volume I*, volume 286 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010. Construction et étude géométrique des espaces rigides. With a preface by Michel Raynaud.
- [2] A. Abbes and M. Gros. Correspondance de Simpson p -adique II: fonctorialité par image directe propre et systèmes locaux de Hodge-Tate, 2022.
- [3] A. Abbes, M. Gros, and T. Tsuji. *The p -adic Simpson correspondence*, volume 193 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 2016.
- [4] J. Anschütz, B. Heuer, and A.-C. Le Bras. Hodge-Tate stacks and non-abelian p -adic Hodge theory of v -perfect complexes on smooth rigid spaces. *Preprint, arXiv:2302.12747*, 2023.
- [5] B. Bhatt and D. Hansen. The six functors for Zariski-constructible sheaves in rigid geometry. *Compos. Math.*, 158(2):437–482, 2022.
- [6] S. Bosch and W. Lütkebohmert. Formal and rigid geometry. II. Flattening techniques. *Math. Ann.*, 296(3):403–429, 1993.
- [7] A. J. de Jong et al. The stacks project. 2023.
- [8] C. Deninger and A. Werner. Vector bundles on p -adic curves and parallel transport. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 38(4):553–597, 2005.
- [9] C. Deninger and A. Werner. Parallel transport for vector bundles on p -adic varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 29(1):1–52, 2020.
- [10] G. Faltings. p -adic Hodge theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(1):255–299, 1988.
- [11] G. Faltings. A p -adic Simpson correspondence. *Adv. Math.*, 198(2):847–862, 2005.
- [12] L. Fargues. Groupes analytiques rigides p -divisibles. *Math. Ann.*, 374(1-2):723–791, 2019.
- [13] J. Fresnel and M. van der Put. *Rigid analytic geometry and its applications*, volume 218 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [14] L. Gerritzen. Zerlegungen der Picard-Gruppe nichtarchimedischer holomorpher Räume. *Compositio Math.*, 35(1):23–38, 1977.
- [15] H. Guo. Hodge-Tate decomposition for non-smooth spaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 25(4):1553–1625, 2023.
- [16] U. Hartl and W. Lütkebohmert. On rigid-analytic Picard varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 528:101–148, 2000.
- [17] B. Heuer. Diamantine Picard functors of rigid spaces. *Preprint, arXiv:2103.16557*, 2021.
- [18] B. Heuer. G -torsors on perfectoid spaces. *Preprint, arXiv:2207.07623*, 2022.
- [19] B. Heuer. Line bundles on rigid spaces in the v -topology. *Forum of Math. Sigma*, 10:e82, 2022.
- [20] B. Heuer. Moduli spaces in p -adic non-abelian Hodge theory. *Preprint, arXiv:2207.13819*, 2022.
- [21] B. Heuer. A geometric p -adic Simpson correspondence in rank one. *Compositio Mathematica*, 160(7):1433–1466, 2024.
- [22] B. Heuer. The relative Hodge-Tate spectral sequence for rigid analytic spaces. *Preprint, arXiv:2402.00842*, 2024.
- [23] B. Heuer, L. Mann, and A. Werner. The p -adic Corlette-Simpson correspondence for abeloids. *Math. Ann.*, 385:1639–1676, 2023.
- [24] B. Heuer, A. Werner, and M. Zhang. p -adic Simpson correspondences for principal bundles in abelian settings. 2023. *Preprint, arXiv:2308.13456*.
- [25] B. Heuer and D. Xu. p -adic non-abelian Hodge theory for curves via moduli stacks. *Preprint, arXiv.org:2402.01365*, 2024.

- [26] N. J. Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(1):59–126, 1987.
- [27] R. Huber. *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*. Aspects of Mathematics, E30. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996.
- [28] U. Köpf. Über eigentliche Familien algebraischer Varietäten über affinoiden Räumen. *Schr. Math. Inst. Univ. Münster (2)*, (Heft 7):iv+72, 1974.
- [29] R. Liu and X. Zhu. Rigidity and a Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for p -adic local systems. *Invent. Math.*, 207(1):291–343, 2017.
- [30] W. Lütkebohmert. *Rigid geometry of curves and their Jacobians*, volume 61 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [31] Y. Min and Y. Wang. Hodge–Tate crystals on the logarithmic prismatic sites of semi-stable formal schemes. *Preprint, arXiv:2205.08895*, 2022.
- [32] Y. Min and Y. Wang. p -adic Simpson correspondence via prismatic crystals. *Preprint, arXiv:2201.08030*, 2022.
- [33] L. Pan. On locally analytic vectors of the completed cohomology of modular curves. *Forum Math. Pi*, 10:Paper No. e7, 82, 2022.
- [34] J. E. Rodríguez Camargo. Geometric Sen theory over rigid analytic spaces. *Preprint, arXiv:2205.02016*, 2022.
- [35] P. Scholze. Perfectoid spaces. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 116:245–313, 2012.
- [36] P. Scholze. p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties. *Forum Math. Pi*, 1:e1, 77, 2013.
- [37] P. Scholze. Perfectoid spaces: A survey. In *Current developments in mathematics 2012*, pages 193–227. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [38] P. Scholze. Étale cohomology of diamonds. *Preprint, arXiv:1709.07343*, 2022.
- [39] C. Simpson. Higgs bundles and local systems. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (75):5–95, 1992.
- [40] J. T. Tate. p -divisible groups. In *Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966)*, pages 158–183. Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [41] T. Tsuji. Notes on the local p -adic Simpson correspondence. *Math. Ann.*, 371(1-2):795–881, 2018.
- [42] Y. Wang. A p -adic Simpson correspondence for rigid analytic varieties. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 17(8):1453–1499, 2023.
- [43] E. Warner. *Adic moduli spaces*. PhD thesis, Stanford, <https://searchworks.stanford.edu/view/12135003>, 2017.
- [44] M. Würthen. Vector Bundles with Numerically Flat Reduction on Rigid Analytic Varieties and p -Adic Local Systems. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2023(5):4004–4045, 2023.
- [45] D. Xu. Parallel transport for Higgs bundles over p -adic curves. *Preprint, arXiv:2201.06697*, 2022. With an Appendix by Daxin Xu and Tongmu He.