

# AI AND NON AI ASSESSMENTS FOR DEMENTIA

Technical Report

Mahboobeh Parsapoor (Mah Parsa) and Hamed Ghodrati {mah.parsa, hamed.ghodrati}@crim.ca

Vincenzo Dentamaro {vincenzo.dentamaro}@uniba.it

Christopher R. Madan {christopher.madan}@nottingham.ac.uk

Ioulietta Lazarou, Spiros Nikolopoulos and Ioannis Kompatsiaris  
{iouliettalaz, nikolopo, ikom}@iti.gr

## ABSTRACT

Current progress in the artificial intelligence domain has led to the development of various types of AI-powered dementia assessments, which can be employed to identify patients at the early stage of dementia. It can revolutionize the dementia care settings. It is essential that the medical community be aware of various AI assessments and choose them considering their degrees of validity, efficiency, practicality, reliability, and accuracy concerning the early identification of patients with dementia (PwD). On the other hand, AI developers should be informed about various non-AI assessments as well as recently developed AI assessments. Thus, this paper, which can be readable by both clinicians and AI engineers, fills the gap in the literature in explaining the existing solutions for the recognition of dementia to clinicians, as well as the techniques used and the most widespread dementia datasets to AI engineers. It follows a review of papers on AI and non-AI assessments for dementia to provide valuable information about various dementia assessments for both the AI and medical communities. The discussion and conclusion highlight the most prominent research directions and the maturity of existing solutions.

### Keywords

AI, Acoustic Features, Behavioral Assessments, Cognitive Assessments, Deep Learning Algorithms, Eye Tracking, Environmental Sensors, Functional Assessments, Gait Patterns, Linguistic Features, Psychological and Neuropsychological Assessments, Machine Learning Algorithms, Natural Language Processing Techniques, Speech Processing Techniques, Smart Home

# 1 Introduction

Dementia refers to a gradual decline in cognitive ability that leads to various impairments [1, 2], including memory and language deficits [3] in people, mainly older adults [4, 5]. The World Health Organization (WHO)'s reports stated that dementia could be a global mental health problem (see Figure 1), and by 2040, around 81 million people across the world will be affected by dementia. Thus, identifying older adults with dementia is essential for helping them be prepared for the future [6]. Furthermore, they can start using medical and non-medical treatments to slow down the progress of cognitive decline. However, since there is no single clinical assessment to accurately and quickly identify older adults who might be at the risk of dementia or at the early stage of dementia [7, 8], clinicians might use a set of various clinical assessment methods (CAMs) (including cognitive assessments and blood tests) for dementia. So, they face challenges in selecting appropriate assessments with the capability to detect cognitive impairments, behavioral disturbances, and functional impairments associated with stages of dementia or sub-types of dementia. In the era of AI and considering the recent breakthroughs in AI applications for healthcare, particularly dementia care, AI-based assessments can be considered as clinical assistants in identifying patients with dementia (PwD) [9, 10].




Figure 1: Death from dementia across the world.

Several articles focused on reviewing AI-powered systems for dementia. For example, the authors of [11] reviewed neuroimaging techniques of dementia. Another review about neuroimaging techniques for dementia has been done in [12]. As another example, the authors of [13] reviewed machine learning models applied to predict the progress of MCI to AD using neuroimaging data. As another instance, the authors of [14] provided a systematic review of using eye tracking technologies for detecting various types of cognitive disorders including dementia. The authors of [15] conducted a literature review on IoT wearable sensors and devices that have been used in elderly care settings. The authors of [16] conducted a systematic review of ML-based approaches for dementia prediction. In contrast to the above mentioned survey articles, this paper aims at providing helpful information about different types of AI and non-AI assessments for dementia. It seeks to answer the following questions: 1) What are the strengths and weaknesses of various clinical assessment methods for dementia?; 2) How can the AI community develop AI-based assessments for dementia?; 3) What are the challenges in developing AI-based assessments for dementia?; 4) What are the challenges in integrating AI-based assessments in dementia detection procedures?; 5) What are the challenges in integrating AI-based assessments in dementia detection procedures?; 6) What is the future of AI-based assessments for dementia?

## 2 Non-AI Assessments for Dementia

Clinicians and mental health professionals employ various types of clinical assessments to detect early signs of dementia or its subtypes in older adults. We use the term non-AI assessments to refer to them and provide an overview of diverse non-AI assessments mainly used to identify individuals with dementia in the primary care setting.

### 2.1 Dementia and its Different Subtypes

Dementia<sup>1</sup> is a progressive cognitive decline, which is caused by pathological changes in brain areas (e.g., the cerebral cortex). Different types of pathological changes can be associated with varying subtypes of dementia<sup>2</sup> (see Table 1). Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common type of dementia<sup>3</sup> and caused by cell damage [19], which can affect the brain regions including the hippocampus [20]. Vascular dementia (VaD)<sup>4</sup> is the second most common type of dementia. It can cause the impairment of memory and cognitive functioning resulting from cerebrovascular disease (CVD) [21]. Dementia with Lewy Bodies (DLB) is the third most common type of dementia. It is the result of the expansion of aggregated  $\alpha$ -synuclein protein in Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites (abnormal neurites in diseased neurons), which can cause severe damage to brain cells [22, 23] of the olfactory bulb, the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagal nerve, the peripheral autonomic nervous system, which encompasses the enteric nervous system, and the brainstem [24]. Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) or frontotemporal degenerations [25] has been defined as a combination of diseases caused by increasing nerve cell loss in the brain's frontal lobes and its temporal lobes [26]. Parkinson's disease dementia (PDD) is a type of dementia that can be experienced by patients living with Parkinson's [27]. PDD causes thinking and reasoning decline in patients. The main reason for PDD is the brain changes caused by Parkinson's disease, which mainly damages the motor cortex area of the brain.

**Table 1: Subtypes of Dementia**

<b>Dementia Subtypes</b>	<b>Clinical Symptoms</b>	<b>Pathological changes in brain area</b>	<b>Ref.</b>
AD	Depression; Memory loss	Hippocampus	[28]
VaD	Executive dysfunction	Subcortical frontal	[21]
DLB	Gait and sleep problems	Cortical and subcortical; Olfactory bulb; Dorsal motor nucleus of the vagal nerve	[24, 29]
FTD	Behavioral, personality, movement and speech and language disorders	Frontal and temporal lobes	[26, 30, 31]
MD	Various symptoms	Various regions	[32]
PDD	Thinking and reasoning decline	Motor cortex	[27]

<sup>1</sup> The Latin root of the word "Dementia" is "demens", "which means being out of one's mind" [17] or "a departure from previous mental functioning" [5].

<sup>2</sup> For example, problems including agnosia, spatial disorientation, language problems, apraxia, amnesia because of damage to the cerebral cortex, which is referred to as cortical dementia [18].

<sup>3</sup> Interested readers can refer to Glossary of Alzheimer's Disease Terms for getting more information about terminologies related to AD.

<sup>4</sup> Provokes troubles in the supply of blood to the brain[21].

## 2.2 Behavioral, Cognitive, Functional, Psychological and Neuropsychological Assessments of Dementia

There is no single tool to assess dementia [33, 34], thus, clinicians employ various types of tests and assessments including blood, urine tests, behavioral, cognitive [35, 36, 37], functional, psychological and neuropsychological assessments [35, 36, 37] to identify individuals with dementia [38]. In general, an ideal assessment should be valid (i.e., should show both face validity and construct validity), reliable (i.e., should show both inter-rater reliability and test-retest reliability), practical and objective (i.e., should show what exactly is normal and abnormal) [1].

One categorization of such assessments can be done on the basis of the types of abnormalities that they can detect. For example, behavioral assessment methods (BAMs) measure variations in behaviors and psychological tendencies in individuals. These changes are referred to as behavioral and psychological symptoms in dementia (BPSD) [1] and encompasses agitation, anxiety, elation, irritability, depression, delusions, hallucinations, psychosis, aggression, disinhibition, sleep deprivation and appetite disturbances [39, 40]. Another example is functional assessment methods (FAMs). FAMs measure the functional capacity of individuals by asking questionnaires from patients, patient's relatives, or caregivers [41] about the functional status of patients with dementia. Clinicians employ FAMs to diagnose the progressive loss in the ability to perform activities of daily living or functional disability [42]. Another category of assessments is neuropsychological assessment methods (NAMs), which are useful for: 1) Objectively assessing cognitive dysfunction; 2) Understanding which cognitive function is impaired; And 3) how seriously cognitive function has been impaired [43] at the early stage of dementia. Using NAMs, clinicians can successfully distinguish the severity of a patient's cognitive and behavioral impairments.

The main category of non-AI assessments is cognitive assessments methods (CoAMs), which can assess: 1) learning and memory, 2) language, 3) visuospatial, 4) executive and 5) psychomotor [44]. There are two main categories for CoAMs: comprehensive and non-comprehensive methods. The former examine all aspects of cognitive functioning, including orientation (e.g., patients are asked about the time and place), memory (e.g., patients are asked about recalling address), attention (patients are asked about months of the year and asked to spell a word forwards and backwards), executive functioning (e.g., patients are asked to do letter and category fluency tasks), language (e.g., patients are asked to name object), visuospatial and perceptual processing [18]. The latter evaluates only one cognitive status (e.g., memory or language).

Various CoAMs, which are mostly usable at primary care settings [45] have been listed in Table 2. Some examples of CoAMs are provided in the following: 1) Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive Subscale (ADAS-Cog) [46, 47] includes 11 items to evaluate attention, orientation, memory, language, visual perception, and visuospatial skills. In [48], an online version of the ADAS-Cog test has been referred to as computerized ADAS-Cog (cADAS-Cog) includes additional following tasks: delayed recall, number cancellation, and maze tasks.

2) Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) is an 11-item questionnaire that tests five cognitive functions including: orientation, attention, memory, language and visual-spatial [1, 33, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54]. A standardized version of MMSE that is referred to as (SMMSE) has been developed to measure older people's cognitive function. Furthermore, the results of the SMMSE test along with the results of history and physical assessments can assist clinicians to differentiate between different subtypes of dementia [55]. Another version of MMSE is telephone-mini mental state examination (tMMSE), [56]. The MMSE tests have shown acceptable sensitivity to detect dementia with good inter-rater reliability. Running the MMSE test is quick and easy. The original MMSE test has been translated into different languages (i.e., there are more than 15 language versions of the MMSE [57]) [58]. The main disadvantage of MMSE is that clinicians cannot rely on that in detecting MCI and track the progress of dementia [58].

3) Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) consists of a 30-points scale and takes around 10 to 12 minutes [59, 60, 61] to be completed. It is a useful CoAM for different types of dementia such as AD, FTD and DLB, PDD but also for mental illnesses such as sleep behavior disorder. The online version of MoCA has been proposed as electronic Montreal Cognitive Assessment (eMoCA) [62], which could be useful to assess cognitive impairments in older adults that have not access to local clinical services. MOCA is a valid multi-language test [63] and several non-English versions of MoCA including French, Japanese, Chinese, Dutch, Portuguese, Turkish, Croatian, Sinhala, Arabic, Malay, Italian, Korean, Thai and so on have been developed. The MOCA can be used to distinguish patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and mild dementia (MD) [63]. Other versions of MOCA are MoCA-V that has been developed for patients with vision impairment and MoCA-H that is useful for people with acquired hearing impairment [64].

**Table 2: Non AI Assessments**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Characteristics</b>	<b>Ref.</b>
Functional Independence Measure (FIM)	FAMs	Disability test; Not a test for diagnose	[65]
Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB)	FAMs	For detecting FTD; A memory and language test	[66]
Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)	FAMs	Multi-disease (can differentiate AD from MCI); Better than Barthel Index; High sensitivity	[67, 68]
ABC Dementia Scale (ABC-DS)	BAMs	Multi-stage detection; C-ABC <sup>11</sup>	[69, 70, 71, 72]
Behavioral Dyscontrol Scale (BDS)	BAMs	High accuracy; Multi-disease (can differentiate AD from MCI)	[73]
Dementia Mood Assessment Scale (DMAS)	BAMs	Detect depression and dementia; Reasonable validity; Reasonable inter rater reliability and intraclass correlation	[74, 75]
Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLT)	BAMs, CoAMs	Multicultural; Quick (10 minutes); Effective test for both clinical and research settings	[76, 77, 78, 79]
Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI)	BAMs	Quick; Severity of delusions, agitation, depression, and behavioral irritability; Multi-disease detection (can differentiate AD from MCI)	[80, 81]
Relevant Outcome Scale for Alzheimer's Disease (ROSA)	BAMs	Multi-stage detection of AD	[82]
California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT)	NAMs	Memory and language test; CVLT-II and CVLT-III	[83]
Sentence Repetition Tasks (SRTs)	NAMs	Acceptable sensitivity; Not Sensitive to the educational level; ASRT	[84]
Teadinail Making Test (TMT)	NAMs	Most commonly-used	[36]
Token Test (TT)	NAMs	Comprehensive; Quick; Low cost	[85]
Semantic Verbal Fluency (SVF) test	NAMs	Language; A quick and easy-to-apply test; High sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of dementia; Detecting cognitive decline	[86]
Arizona Battery for Communication Disorders of Dementia (ABCD)	CoAMs	Comprehensive; Multi-age; Multi disease detection; Culturally sensitive	[87, 88]

Addenbrooke's Cognitive Assessment (ACE)	CoAMs	Multi-disease (differentiate AD from FTD); Higher accuracy than MMSE; Higher accuracy than MMSE; ACE-III has High sensitivity to early cognitive dysfunction, other version (ACE-R and ACE-III)	[89, 90]
Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale–Cognitive Subscale (ADAS-Cog)	CoAMs	Comprehensive; Multi-disease (an differentiate AD from FTD); cADAS Cog <sup>5</sup>	[46, 47, 48]
Eight-item Informant Interview to Differentiate Aging and Dementia (AD8)	CoAMs	Comprehensive; Quick ( 3 minutes); Easy; Culturally sensitive	[91]
Abbreviated mental test score (AMTS)	CoAMs	Quick; Easy; High specificity; Low sensitivity; not a “rule-out” test	[92, 93, 94]
Cambridge Assessment of Memory and Cognition (CAMCOG)	CoAMs	Comprehensive; Better than MMSE; Excellent sensitivity and specificity	[92, 95]
Cambridge Mental Disorders of the Elderly Examination (CAMDEX)	CoAMs	Comprehensive; High inter-rater reliable; High sensitivity	[96]
Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR)	CoAMs	Comprehensive; Online CDR; Modified CDR Test (Alzheimer's / Dementia Online Test – Questions )	[97, 98, 99]
Clock-drawing Test (CDT)	CoAMs	Easy to run	[92, 100]
Codex (Cognitive Disorders Examination)	CoAMs	ML based assessment method (Based on decision tree); High sensitivity and specificity; Detection of dementia and MCI	[101]
General Practitioner Assessment of Cognition (GPCOG)	CoAMs	Reliable; Valid; Efficient; Quick ( 4 to 5 minutes); Easy; High sensitivity; High specificity	[102, 103]
Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE)	CoAMs	Quick	[104, 105, 106, 107, 108]
Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)	CoAMs	Comprehensive; Easy; Acceptable sensitivity and specificity; Not a stand alone single administration method; Not multi level educational; sMMSE/ tMMSE	[33, 49, 1, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 55]

<sup>5</sup>It includes following tasks: Delayed Recall, Number Cancellation, and Maze tasks

Months Backwards Test (MBT) or Months of the Year Backwards Test (MYBT)	CoAMs	Comprehensive test; Quick; Easy; Multi-stage of Dementia	[109, 110, 111]
Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)	CoAMs	Comprehensive test; Better than MMSE to detect early stage of dementia and MCI; Multi disease detection; eMoCA	[59, 60, 62]
Mini-Cog test	CoAMs	Comprehensive; Quick; Easy; Reason able sensitivity and specificity	[112]
Saint Louis University Mental Status (SLUMS)	CoAMs	Comprehensive; High sensitivity; High specificity; Better at detecting mild neurocognitive disorder, which cannot be detected by the MMSE; SLUMS-C	[113, 114, 115]
Six Item Cognitive Impairment Test (6-CIT) or the Six Item Orientation Memory Concentration Test	CoAMs	Good sensitivity and specificity; Easy to apply; Reliable; Valid; Comparable performance with MMSE	[92, 116, 51, 117]

In addition to the above methods, some studies suggested using online assessment methods (see Table 3) to evaluate cognitive impairment in older adults. The main advantage of online assessment methods is that they can be used remotely to evaluate cognitive impairments in older adults who have not access to local clinical services [120, 121, 122].

### 2.2.1 Non AI Assessments for Distinguishing Different Types and Stages of Dementia

For individuals suspected of dementia, clinicians can start using assessments such as Mini-Cog or GPCOG [132] to distinguish the types of dementia. Based on the results of the above tests, they can do further evaluation using more in-depth assessments such as the MOCA, MMSE, and SLUMS along with laboratory tests and neuroimaging-based assessments. Among different assessments mentioned above, the MOCA test has been mentioned as a sensitive test to detect different subtypes of dementia at their earliest stages [133]. To identify patients with AD, clinicians can use an assessment from one of CoAMs, BAMs or NAMs [134] (BDS, IADL and SFT) or a combination of two assessments to evaluate memory and language impairment (i.e., the first symptoms of AD [135]) in individuals. These assessments can help them detect if an AD patient is at its earliest stage of the disease. Furthermore, ROSA can be used to detect patients with AD and find out the severity of the disease. To identify patients with FTD, clinicians can use ADAS-Cog and ACE. To diagnose a patient with VAD, clinicians need to consider neuroimaging-based assessments, and pathological tests based on three criteria: 1) Proof of dementia or cognitive impairment; 2) Proof of CVD; And 3) Proof of a causal relationship between cognitive impairment and CVD. For identifying a patient with DLB, Clinicians use MMSE and MOCA, CDR, CVLT-II. Also, tests that measure the processing speed and alternating attention such as "Stroop Tasks", "trail Making tasks", "phonemic fluency," and "computerized tasks of reaction time" can be used to diagnose patients with DLB. To detect different stages of dementia, MOCA, ABC-DS and MBT could be useful.

### 2.2.2 Challenges, Strengths and Weaknesses of using non-AI Assessments

Most assessments have failed to diagnose people with dementia with high sensitivity and specificity [1] and wrong diagnoses of the types of dementia or its severity could significantly affect the type of required treatments, the health insurance and social rights of individuals [136]. Thus, choosing appropriate assessments is challenging for clinicians. Another challenge is that most assessments mentioned above have been developed for assessing cognitive impairments or behavioral changes in native English speakers. Thus, they would need to be modified to be used to evaluate cognitive impairment in non-English speakers. Another problem is that most of the mentioned assessments are not usable to examine cognitive impairment in older adults with intellectual disabilities [137] or individuals with dual sense loss [138]. Furthermore, the majority of non-AI assessments need to be administered by specialists or trained clinicians; thus family members or caregivers cannot easily run them to identify older adults with dementia.

There is no doubt that each non-AI assessment has its strength and weakness, and many experimental works would be needed to discover those. However, in general, a robust assessments of dementia should: 1) Have high sensitivity and specificity; 2) Be quick; 3) Be easy to be administered (also a method that can be considered as a self-administrated method such as SAGE); 4) Be usable in primary care settings; 5) Be useful for detecting different stages of dementia and different types of dementia; 6) Be applicable for individuals who are within different ages, coming from various cultures and having diverse educational backgrounds.

**Table 3: List of Online Assessments**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Characteristics</b>	<b>Ref.</b>
Tablet-based assessment tools	NAMs	Early detection of AD; Differentiating MCI and AD; Speech datasets collected from asking question about Daily life;	[123]
Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status (TICS)	CoAMs	Usable for both research and clinical practice; Comparable results with MMSE	[124, 125]
Rowland Universal Dementia Assessment Scale (RUDAS)	CoAMs	Multicultural, Multi-language	[124]
Computerized ADAS-Cog (cADAS Cog)	CoAMs	Higher test-retest reliability <sup>13</sup> than the paper based method	[48]
Brain Health Assessment (BHA) <sup>14</sup>	CoAMs	A 10-minute test; Consists of 4-task; Assesses executive functions, processing speed, and visuospatial skills	[126]
Computer Assessment of of Mild Cognitive Impairment (CAMCI)	CoAMs	A 20-minute test; Consists of 8 task; Assesses memory impairment, including working and episodic memory, executive functions and visuospatial skills	[127]
CogState Brief Battery (CBB)	CoAMs	A 15-minute test; Consists of 4 tasks; Assesses both episodic and working memories, attention, and speed	[128]
NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery (NIHTB-CB)	CoAMs	A 31-minute test; Consists of 7 tasks; Assesses episodic memory, language, executive functions, and processing speed	[129]
Motor and Cognitive Dual-Tasks (MCDT)	CoAMs	A brain-stress test; Assesses the functioning of the motor-cognitive interface; Distinguishes between several neuro-cognitive disorders Assesses cognitive impairments in individuals with DSL	[130, 131]
Word and Sentence Repetition (WSR)	CoAMs	Working memory test for AD; Discover grammatical and extra grammatical errors	[118, 119]



### 3 AI Assessments for Dementia

Growing user-friendly ML and DL libraries and powerful computational resources graphics processing unit (GPU) and tensor processing Unit (TPU) have motivated AI developers to design, develop and deploy AI assessments for dementia. This section reviews various types of AI assessments (i.e., listed in the alphabetical order), which have been developed to evaluate cognitive and functional impairments or behavioral disorders associated with dementia and its subtypes.

#### 3.1 Facial Expression to Develop AI Assessments for Dementia

Dementia, in particular AD, might affect brain's regions such as the amygdala, temporal pole (TP), superior temporal sulcus (STS), and anterior cingulate (AC) and cause difficulties in: 1) Understanding emotions [139]; 2) Decoding facial emotional expressions [140, 141]; 3) Controlling facial muscles [142]; And 4) showing emotional reactions [143, 144, 145]. Thus, PwD might have abnormal emotional reactions or problems in understanding emotional reactions. Hence, it has been suggested [143, 144, 141] that developing AI assessments, which evaluate patients' facial expressions or facial emotional recognition could be useful to identify patients with dementia and subtypes of dementia. This section reviews some recent articles related to the above mentioned topics (i.e., Interested readers can refer to the research work done in [145] for getting information about prior articles on facial expression recognition in AD in 2010s and before).

The authors in [143] attempted to develop an AI system to distinguish patients with dementia from cognitively normal individuals by using images of their faces. From 121 patients and 117 cognitively sound participants they collected 484 face images to train five different convolution neural network (CNN) architectures among which Xception [146] model outperformed others. Developing AI systems to assess facial expression recognition (FER) has captured attention. The idea is to assess FER by showing participants some emotional stimuli and then analyze their emotions via the captured facial images/videos. In [141] three intervention sound sources (music, stream, and birdsong as stimuli) were played for 35 older participants with mild dementia while an FER system called FaceReader monitored the evolution of their emotions. FaceReader software scored the intensity of six facial expressions (happiness, surprise, fear, sadness, anger, and disgust) for each frame of the recorded video of the participant listening to each of the stimulus sounds. Furthermore, FaceReader computed the emotional valence (i.e., describes the pleasantness level of emotional stimuli, pleasant = 1 and unpleasant = 0) and emotional arousal (i.e., the level of emotional excitement, high = 1 and low = 0) of facial expressions. They also compared the results from FaceReader and a subjective emotional evaluation. Throughout their experiments, they found out that fear, sadness, and disgust can significantly vary depending on different stimulus sound source while happiness, surprise, and anger give similar results no matter what sound source is used. Since some stimuli gave different results depending on the intervention date, they recommended using multiple sound sources while using FaceReader.

In [144] video stimuli were played for 61 participants (25 healthy and 36 cognitively impaired) while recording their facial expressions. Their proposed FER system based on MobileNet [147] converts the facial expressions of each participant into a data matrix. The predicted intensity of six emotions (sad, surprise, happy, neutral, angry, and other) for each frame of the recorded video builds such a data matrix. An SVM classifier trained on 46 of these converted data matrices showed a detection accuracy of 73.3% on the remaining 15 participants. Both [141, 144] used a classic object detector called Viola-Jones [148] to detect the faces. Chieti Affective Action Videos (CAAV) database including 360 video stimuli was used in [149] to experimentally control the emotional states of 302 participants. Although their collected data does not include the emotional facial expressions of the participants but rather the scores for both valence and arousal of all the 360 video stimuli. One may use this huge database of video stimuli to record the videos of facial expressions of the participants.

The authors of [150] developed an assessment by adopting multi-modal approaches. The assessment can assess impairments in facial expression associated with MCI. They combined facial expressions with the trajectory motion data obtained from tracking the hand movements and elbow joint distribution in order to monitor early dementia symptoms among the aging deaf signers of British Sign Language (BSL). Recent clinical observations suggest that the signers with dementia may sign differently than cognitively fine signers. These clinical observations indicate that cognitively impaired signers may use a limited number of signs and facial expressions compared to the cognitively healthy signers. In order to distinguish aged signers with dementia from the rest of the signers they proposed a multi-featured deep learning approach which focused on hand movements, body language and facial expressions. They used pose estimation for analyzing the hand, elbow, and body movements. A real-time face analysis model is deployed to identify active and non-active facial expressions. Finally a CNN model is fed with facial and trajectory

motion features to identify signers in the early stage of dementia. Having monitored the features, they noticed that trajectory motion ones among signers with MCI create a resemble line rather than the spikes, a trajectory characteristic of healthy signers that tend to make less pauses and static poses while signing. The greater distance between features extracted from different regions of the face is also an indicator that cognitively healthy signers produce more active facial expressions and movements. In their experiments, they segmented videos of 21 healthy and 19 MCI participants into 163 short clips split into the training (80%) and validation (20%) sets. To test the model, they used videos of the five additional healthy and one MCI participants segmented into 24 video clips. They ran their experiments on two CNN models: VGG16<sup>6</sup> and ResNet-50 [152]. Surprisingly the former outperformed the latter by a large margin.

Authors in [153] proposed a multi-modal emotion recognition approach to assess the autobiographical memory deficits associated with AD. To do so, they collected a spontaneous emotion multi-modal database, which encompasses facial expressions and EEG signals of individuals aged between 30 and 60 in order to address the autobiographical memory deficits of patients with AD. They developed an ML-based approach to identify spontaneous reactions from distant and recent memories. They employed an SVM-based classifier and a Gentleboost classifier, which trained using EEG features and facial landmarks as the basis of their proposed approach. For a complete review on multi-modal behavioral approaches for early dementia diagnosis, interested readers can refer to the following article [154].

### 3.2 Eye Movements to Develop AI Assessments for Dementia

Patients with MCI and neurodegenerative disease including dementia have shown impairments in eye movements [155, 156, 14, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162]. Furthermore, it has been stated that PwD might show such symptoms before showing other types of cognitive impairment. Thus, it has been suggested that eye movement patterns should be considered as biological markers to diagnose dementia [159] at its earliest stage or identify patients with neurodegenerative disease including dementia [160, 161, 162]. And clinicians can use Eye tracking technologies [157] (e.g. EyeLink 1000 Plus or Tobii Pro) [158] to collect eye movement patterns (e.g., patterns of oculomotor including fixations, smooth pursuit, saccades, and pupil responses [158]). These patterns can be utilized to train AI systems to detect dementia or AD in older people at its earliest stage. The main advantage of such assessments is that they are non-invasive, inexpensive methods. Thus, clinicians can widely use them to detect older adults at risk for dementia [157]. A review [14] of various ET technologies that have been used to develop AI assessments for dementia suggested that ET technologies can be combined with other non-AI assessments to detect cognitive impairment that is associated with dementia and AD. A good example of such a suggestion has been provided in [159]; the authors have developed cognitive assessments using ET technology to detect patients with dementia. Such assessment can be considered as affordable, quick, easy to be administered and accurate [158] cognitive assessments with high sensitivity to detect cognitive impairment.

### 3.3 Neuroimaging-based AI Assessments for Dementia

Neuroimaging techniques such as positron emission tomography (PET) [163, 164, 135, 165, 166], magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [167], structural MRI (sMRI) and functional MRI (fMRI) [168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174], electroencephalogram (EEG) [175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180], magnetoencephalography (MEG) [176, 177, 181, 182, 183, 184], diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) [185, 186] help clinicians do in vivo studies of brain anatomy in individuals to identify patients with dementia [187, 188, 12, 189] or determine patients with specific dementia types such as AD, FDT, VaD [190] with high accuracy [186, 191].

Neuroimaging-based AI assessments have been developed on the basis of ML or DL algorithms [12, 192, 193, 194, 195] using various types of neuroimaging datasets [187, 188] (see Table 4 for the list of neuroimaging datasets). In more detail, DL algorithms such as 2D CNN and 3D CNN have shown high accuracy in differentiating patients with AD from healthy controls [12, 192, 193, 194]. In addition to CNN, other DL algorithms such as Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) [195], Stacked Auto-encoder (SAE) [194, 196, 197], Restricted Boltzmann Machine (RBM) and Deep Boltzmann Machine (DBM) have shown reasonable accuracy in classifying patients with AD from healthy controls. Several studies have focused on developing approaches combining DL algorithms (as feature selection methods) with traditional models [12]. Some studies have shown that DL can directly be trained as an end-to-end

---

<sup>6</sup> It is also called VGGNet, a convolution neural network (CNN) model with 16 layers [151]

learning method using neuroimaging data and be employed to diagnose AD [12].

**Table 4: Lists of Well-known Neuroimaging Datasets**

Name	Type	Ref.
ADNI database (Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI))	MRI and PET images	[198]
AIBL (Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle Study of Aging) dataset	PET	[199]
BioFIND dataset (The datasets has been formatted according to international BIDS standards and analyzed freely on the DPUK platform)	MEG data and T1 MRI data from individuals with MCI	[200, 182]
MIRIAD (Minimal Interval Resonance Imaging in Alzheimer’s Disease) databases	Volumetric MRI brain-scans of 46 patients with AD	[201]
Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS) datasets	MRI and PET images	[202]
ANMerge dataset	MRI	[203]
National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center (NACC)	MRI and PET Images	[204]

Given the rise of AI applications in neuroimaging and dementia research, ethical considerations related to data privacy and informed consent have become increasingly pertinent. One significant area of concern is the potential for misuse of patient data, particularly given that neuroimaging techniques like PET scans can lead to unexpected privacy issues. For instance, a recent study demonstrated that PET data can be exploited to reconstruct individuals’ faces, which clearly presents significant privacy concerns [205]. The sharing and secondary use of neuroimaging data, while invaluable for advancing research, also raises ethical questions. The Belmont Report provides a foundational ethical framework, including respect for persons, beneficence, and justice. As Brakewood and Poldrack discuss in their analysis of the ethics of secondary data usage, these principles can also be applied to the sharing of neuroimaging data [206]. Respect for persons is based on the recognition of the autonomy of individuals and the need to protect those with diminished autonomy. In the context of AI and neuroimaging, this implies obtaining informed consent for data collection and subsequent sharing, see [207, 208]. Patients must be informed about how their data will be used, the potential for face reconstruction from their PET scans, and other potential privacy risks. Ensuring that participants comprehend these risks is also critical, especially considering that dementia patients may have impaired cognitive abilities [209]. The principle of beneficence involves ensuring the well-being of individuals by maximizing possible benefits and minimizing potential harms. The sensitive nature of neuroimaging data necessitates the implementation of stringent measures to safeguard patient privacy and prevent data misuse. Data anonymisation and advanced cybersecurity practices are some of the ways to minimize potential harm. Justice, the third principle, refers to the fair distribution of benefits and burdens. Here, it would imply that the benefits of neuroimaging research (like advancements in diagnosis and treatment of dementia) should be accessible to all, and not just a subset of the population. It also means recognizing and addressing any potential biases in the data that could disadvantage certain groups. Moving forward, ethical guidelines and policies need to be regularly updated and enforced to keep up with advancements in AI and neuroimaging techniques. Involvement of ethicists, legal experts, data scientists, and the patient community in this process is vital for developing comprehensive and practical guidelines. Furthermore, creating a culture of ethics within the scientific community, where researchers are committed not just to advancing knowledge but also to respecting patient rights and welfare, is of paramount importance.

The main benefit of using AI-based neuroimaging techniques for dementia is that they can help the medical community to: 1) Provide an accurate diagnosis, particularly when they suspect that an individual might suffer from MCI or AD; 2) Use detailed images of brain structure or functional activities for better investigation of dementia and AD; 3) Provide supportive evidence that allows them to accurately detect dementia; 4) Diagnose dementia types such as AD at the most initial point (i.e., so that patients can take advantage of both pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical treatments, which could help them to slow down the progression of the disease [177]); 5) Use it as non-invasive methods in a sequential manner to provide an accurate diagnosis of dementia. For example, MRI is the first-line method capable of detecting cognitive impairment at its earliest stage. It has been stated that MRI techniques can diagnose some types of dementia with high sensitivity and specificity [210]. While fMRI is useful as a second- or third-line investigation to provide a more accurate diagnosis of dementia when there is a doubt and distinguish different subtypes of dementia, particularly FTD from AD. The main drawback of using AI-based neuroimaging techniques is that they are not cost-effective. Furthermore, current insights are generally based on the specific datasets that are publicly available, leading to issues of selection bias/dataset decay that may limit the generalisability and external validity of findings made from these datasets [191, 211].

### **3.4 Sensor-based AI Assessments for Dementia**

One approach to develop AI assessments for dementia is using wearable sensors (e.g., accelerometers) [212], non-wearable sensors, assistive sensors, and physiological measurement devices (which can measure individuals' blood pressure), blood oxygen saturation, temperature), smart home and environmental sensors (e.g., infrared motion detectors and magnetic door sensors) [15, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 162]. This section reviews studies, which have focused on developing sensor-based AI assessments.

#### **3.4.1 Home and Environmental Sensors to Develop AI Assessments for Dementia**

Smart home and environmental sensors are the basis of the development of interactive smart home systems with the great potential of delivering assistive technology to PwD and the older adults at the risk of dementia [220, 221, 222]. The main benefits of assistive technology for PwD is that they can live independently at home while at the same time avoiding institutionalizing [223, 224, 225, 226]. Other benefits of developing assistive technologies for older adults and PwD are: 1) to increase their independence, memory function, quality of life (QoL), safety and security [227, 228, 229, 230], 2) improve treatment routines by using medication dispensers or smartphone applications, while providing reminders and notifications [231, 232], 3) address dementia-related problems, such as incontinence, by developing wetness-sensors and urine detection devices [233, 234], or recognize activities of daily living (ADL)[235, 236], 4) offer support to elders regarding time and orientation [237, 238] (especially using a combined approach that use wearable sensors such as accelerometers and wireless heart rate monitors or audio and/or audio-video prompts), 5) assist people with memory impairment through prompts on a daily basis [239, 240, 241].

Furthermore, more complex solutions, the so-called “smart homes”, include several sensors to monitor users' ability to cope with ADL or prevent significant incidents [242] and observe and evaluate PwD activities [243]. Additionally, more severe levels of dementia have been explored using sensors to recognize and assess not only physical activity but also vital signs by providing alarms in case of emergencies [244]. Other systems help people with cognitive deficits to remember ADLs (e.g., instructions on how to prepare a hot meal etc.) [224]. Moreover, sensors have been attached to electrical appliances (a cooker, refrigerator, TV, etc.) to detect events caused by disease or possible accidents and identify behavioral trends [225]. A recent study evaluated the possibility of using unobtrusively collected activity-aware smart home behavior data to detect the multimodal symptoms of AD from older adults for over two years, containing behavioral features, mobility, cognitive and mood evaluation [214]. Another one developed the night-time wandering detection and diversion system to assist caregivers and PwD that are at risk of wandering at night, using sensors, smart bulbs, pressure mats, speakers and prerecorded audio prompts [245].

Recently a design and deployment of a smart home in a box (SHIB) approach to monitoring PwD wellbeing within a care home was tested, where sensors were installed to detect ADLs [246]. More recently, researchers developed an in-home assessment of ADLs over many months to years in order to predict cognitive decline in community-dwelling older adults wearing fitness trackers assessing daily sleep and physical activity patterns, a sensor-instrumented pillbox on a daily basis [247]. On the other hand, the CART platform [248] comprising ambient technology, wearables, and other sensors, was deployed in participants' homes to provide continuous, long-term and ecologically valid data to 232 elders. Multiple measurements of wellness such as cognition, physical mobility, sleep and level of social engagement, showing that CART initiative resulted in an unobtrusive digital health-enabled system that allows data capture over extended periods and monitors health remotely. A recent study presented a conceptual

computational framework for the modeling of ADLs of PwD and their progression through different stages demonstrating that it is feasible to effectively estimate and predict common errors and behaviors in the execution of ADLs under specific assessment tests [249].

Another holistic approach investigated the long-term effects of assistive technology combined with tailored non pharmacological interventions for PwD and received the system installed at home for 4 to 12 months. The participants, who received the sensor-based system, have shown improvement in domains such as sleep quality and daily activity, as measured by the multi-sensor system compared to control groups who did not receive anything. Detection of sleep patterns, physical activity, and ADLs, based on the collected sensor data and analytics, was available at all times through comprehensive data visualization solutions [243, 250]. The above mentioned studies have proved the usefulness of smart home systems in supporting clinicians to reliably drive and evaluate clinical interventions toward quality of life improvement of people with cognitive impairments across AD.

### **3.4.2 Wearable and Optical Sensor to Assess Gait Patterns**

Studies [251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 255, 252, 258, 253, 254, 259] suggested that individual's gait pattern, which is evaluated on the basis of walking speed, cadence (i.e., number of steps per unit of time), walking base width (i.e., measured from midpoint to midpoint of both heels), step length (i.e., measured from the point of foot contact to the point of contralateral foot contact) and stride length (i.e., linear distance covered by one gait cycle), might be impaired because of cognitive impairment [251] associated with dementia. Furthermore, these studies have reported that there is a correlation between gait patterns (e.g., gait behavior, gait cycle, gait speed, and walking speed) [255], and cognitive impairment stages [256, 259] associated with dementia. Thus, clinicians might collect gait patterns including decreasing in stride length and walking speed and increasing in support phase [257] from PwD [257, 252, 258, 253, 254] using wearable sensors and optical sensors. Gait patterns can be used to develop AI assessments that can aid clinicians to detect gait disorders, which are more common symptoms of dementia. Since, gait disorders could be related to the severity of cognitive decline [257], thus gait pattern-based AI assessments can be also used to differentiate patients with different types of dementia including [255], AD and DLB [252, 253, 254].

Optical sensors such as video cameras enable real-time gait analysis [260]. The goal is to find the most important patterns for determining the presence of neurodegenerative disease including dementia from the earliest signs. To do this, the machine must be able to recognize fine grained movements typical of the disease, such as tremor and freezing gait in Parkinson's. In this particular direction the work in [261] suggests performing pose estimation on video recordings of people with dementia and control subjects. The body joints coordinates in time extracted by the pose estimation algorithm are then smoothed by using a Kalman filter and, sequentially, the steps are recognized and segmented. For each step several features have been extracted such as velocity, displacement, acceleration as well as sigma-lognormal features from the Kinematic Theory of Rapid Human Movements (KTRHMs) [262]. The accuracy reached in binary classification is as high as 0.95. The evolution of this work is presented in [263] where a deep neural network (DNN) composed of two parallel branches, one slow and one fast, looks at the data at two different speeds. Similarly to a slide viewed by a microscope at two different resolutions, the Multi-Speed Transformer (MST) looks fast paced patterns, such as the presence of a false start, also known as freezing gait, as well as fine grained movements, such as tremors. The first branch of the architecture takes as input the raw time-series of body joints coordinates, performs a 1D convolution with strides of 1 and dilation rate of 2 followed by a multiheaded attention with positional encoding. While the second, fast branch, performs a 1D convolution on the input with strides of 3 and dilation rate of 2 followed by multi headed attention with positional encoding. The embeddings are concatenated and a standard feed forward neural network completes the classification or regression. The accuracy, on the exact same conditions is as high as 0.97.

Clinicians can evaluate gait patterns to detect patients that might develop dementia, different dementia subtypes. Using gait patterns, monitoring severity of dementia would be as well possible [264]. Interested readers can refer to a systematic review of gait-based assessment methods, which have been done in [265] as well as in [260].

### **3.4.3 Wearable Sensors to Assess Sleep Disorders Associated with Dementia**

Sleep disorders including insomnia, changes in wake-sleep rhythm are common disorders among patients with dementia in particular patients with AD and amnesic mild cognitive impairments [266]. Patients with AD and dementia experience sleep disturbances including changes in daytime sleep, slow wave sleep and rapid eye movement sleep [267]. Thus, it has been suggested that wearable sensors (e.g., WHOOP) can collect sleep cycles and monitor sleep routines in older adults.

### 3.4.4 Digital Pen to Develop AI Assessments for Dementia

Prominent symptoms of people affected by dementia are neuro-muscular diseases. The peripheral nervous system is affected by neuro-muscular diseases, because of the presence of sick or dead neurons. Thus, when messages sent by the nerve cells try to control these muscles and perform movement, the signal is corrupted resulting in an uncontrolled movement or the total lack of movement.

Handwriting movements are the result of a complex network mainly composed of cognitive, kinesthetic, and perceptual motor abilities [268, 269]. Handwriting patterns have been found to be prominent features for developing AI for dementia assessment as well as lots of other neurodegenerative diseases. This is because the subject's brain performing handwriting undergoes synergic cooperation of various areas of the brain, such as basal ganglia, cerebral cortex and cerebellum [270, 271]. Only recently, it has been investigated the use of handwriting performed with a digital pen and a tablet in order to capture handwriting signals both online (time-series of x,y coordinates usually integrated with pen status, if pen touches the surface or it is on air and azimuth) or offline (color or b/w image of a handwriting signal).

Often, people affected by dementia undergo a clinical investigation only after the disease has had a visible onset. For this reason, it is important to focus on non-invasive biomarkers capable of finding the early sign of the disease. Thus, identifying accurate biomarkers allowing differential diagnosis, prompting clinicians to investigate and start therapy, as well as analyzing the response to the therapy, are the primary goals of the research on dementia [272]. In this regard, handwriting can fill a prominent role; in fact, handwriting is a complex activity enabling the synergistic interaction of various parts of the brain whose decline is reflected in clear handwriting patterns that could be captured in early stages allowing, thus, a prompt activation of the medical diagnosis and thus treatment. In this regard, it has been observed that the abnormal reduction of the size in handwriting, also known as Micrographia, can be easily found in patients with Parkinson's disease, while Dysgraphia, which is the progressive degeneracy as well as confusion in handwriting, can be found in patients with Alzheimer disease as well as dementia [269, 268]. Over the years various computer-aided diagnosis systems have been developed with the aim of supporting the early diagnosis of dementia and in general neurodegenerative disease as well as a tool for analyzing the progression of the disease. The majority of works focus on creating a computerized predictive model capable of finding subtle changes in handwriting. These models are then used to perform inference and thus find the presence of the disease with a certain level of accuracy. The acquisition tool is, usually, a tablet with an electronic pen. The electronic pen is capable of capturing temporal and spatial signals. These signals are then converted into features or are processed as-is. In facts literature can be divided into handcrafted features, which also introduces the use of physical models developed to reconstruct wrist and hand movements, and into deep learning models which use deep neural networks that work on raw time-series or convert the signals into images and then use standard convolutional neural networks to perform classification on images. Despite the results achieved by the community, there is also the additional problem that the existing datasets are not homogeneous. This results in datasets with different handwriting tasks, not harmonized and not interchangeable, which in most cases, also provided different results. The only way to overcome this problem is to use a shared acquisition protocol. Authors in [273] and in [274] have used a digitized version of standard handwriting tests accepted and tested in the neurological and psychological community, which can be evaluated with standard scales such as Milan Overall Dementia Assessment (MODA) [275] or MoCA, and thus can be used as ground truth for evaluation.

Starting from x,y coordinates as well as button status (the in air movement), pressure, azimuth and altitude, usually the majority of related works extracted the displacement over the two coordinates, the velocity, the acceleration, the Jerk, the number of changes in velocity and in acceleration as features to be fed into a classifier model [276]. In addition to these features, authors also used different velocity-based features dictated by neurodegenerative disease's motor deficits, such as bradykinesia (slowness of movements), micrographia (reduction in size of writing), akinesia (impairment of voluntary movements), as well as muscular rigidity and tremors. These additional models are the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, which is used to extract parameters that model the velocity profile and use them as features for the classification model, and the Sigma-lognormal model from the Kinematic Theory of Rapid Human Movements [277, 276, 278]. This theory is an instrument to analyze handwriting movements as a statistical process that leverages on neuromuscular parameters of the human body and brain [262]. The model is capable of reconstructing the final result of movements, in terms of acceleration and velocity profiles. This theory is defined by using two main components: the agonist neuromuscular system, acting in the same direction of the movement, and the antagonist neuromuscular system acting in the opposite direction. This theory is based on the intuition that movements are the combination of primitives, also named strokes, having a lognormal acceleration and velocity profile. Thus, a handwriting trace is made of several strokes connecting a sequence of points. The parameters of this sigma-lognormal model are used as features. Depending on the task and dataset, accuracies of dementia assessment

through handcrafted features go well above the 90%, but also it is not uncommon that different features have different importance depending on the task.

In this section, we refer to deep learning algorithms by differentiating algorithms that make use of images as input from algorithms that make use of raw coordinate time series. The most used DL algorithms that take in input images are CNNs. In this setting, handwriting and drawings are converted into images, usually, on-air movements and on-surface movements have different colors. For example authors in [279] used blue for on-surface movements and red for in-air movements. This time series of coordinates are plotted and the resulting image is used to train or validate the CNNs. Several CNNs architectures have been used, the most famous are ResNet, Inception V3, NASNet, Inception ResNet v2 and VGG19 [279, 280]. Another way of using Deep Learning for dementia recognition through handwriting is by using recurrent neural networks RNNs in particular Long-Short Term Memory Networks LSTM [281] and transformers [282].

**Datasets for Developing Handwriting-based AI Assessments** There are different datasets (PaHaw [283] , NewHandPD [284], ParkinsonHW [285], ISUNIBA [286], EMOTHAW [287] and Darwin [288]) for dementia and neurodegenerative disease assessment through handwriting. The PaHaW Database [283], which includes numerous handwriting samples, was compiled using samples from 37 Parkinson's disease patients (19 males/18 females) and 38 controls of comparable gender and age (20 males/18 females). This database was created in partnership with the Movement Disorders Center at Masaryk University's First Department of Neurology and St. Anne's University Hospital in Brno, Czech Republic.

The NewHandPD dataset [284] uses signals from spirals and meanders and is composed of 35 individuals, with 14 patients (10 males and 4 females) and 21 healthy controls (11 males and 10 females). Each participant was instructed to use a smart pen and begin filling out the form from the inside and working outwards.

The ParkinsonHW dataset [285] consists of 62 individuals with Parkinson's disease and 15 healthy individuals. The dataset includes three types of handwriting recordings for all participants: the Static Spiral Test (SST), Dynamic Spiral Test (DST), and Stability Test on Some Points (STCP). The ISUNIBA [286] was created by collecting data from 29 individuals who likely have Alzheimer's disease and 12 healthy control participants. These individuals were instructed to write the Italian word for "mother" over several writing sessions. This word was chosen because it is one of the earliest words learned in life and often one of the last words spoken before passing away.

The EMOTHAW [287] (Emotion Recognition from Handwriting and Drawing) dataset was developed as a tool for recognizing emotional states. This dataset utilizes 129 participants' handwritten texts in Italian language and drawings to detect emotional disorders and negative emotions, such as anxiety, depression, and stress.

The DARWIN [288] (Diagnosis Alzheimer With haNdwriting) dataset comprises handwriting samples collected from 174 participants, including both patients and healthy controls. Of these, 89 participants were classified as Patients (P) and 85 as Healthy (H).

Almost all the reviewed datasets contain raw signals, such as time-series of pen coordinates, images or already synthesized features like position, button status, pressure, azimuth, altitude, displacement, velocity and acceleration. Unfortunately, usually, these datasets do not share the same tasks and it is thus difficult to perform cross-datasets testing.

### **3.5 Voice-based AI Assessments for Dementia**

Voice-based AI assessments can automatically detect speech and language disorders associated with dementia [289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317]. Thus, these systems can help clinicians identify older adults with dementia from their voices and languages, which are obtained by engaging participants to do an ordinary conversational task or complete a series of language tasks [318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 123, 323]. Table 5 lists different types of voice-based AI assessments that have been developed to detect patients with dementia (in particular AD) or MCI from their speeches [324] or their spontaneous speeches [325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 86, 330, 331].

Generally, to develop such systems using traditional ML algorithms the following stages could be followed: 1) Collecting vocal and language datasets or getting access to available speech and language datasets; 2) Pre-processing data; 3) Using feature engineering methods including feature extraction and feature selection to select informative features and feature fusion techniques; 4) Choosing an ML algorithm, training it, evaluating its performance and validating its results. The rest of this section has focused on: 1) Describing speech and language disorders associated with dementia; 2) Reviewing vocal and verbal tasks; 3) Listing benchmark speech and language datasets [325, 332, 323, 333, 334, 335, 315]; 4) Highlighting speech and language features; 5) Referring to developed ML and DL algorithms [299, 325, 330, 336], which have been the basis of voice-based AI systems [337, 338, 87, 339,

### 3.5.1 Collecting Patients' Voices

One essential step to develop voice-based AI assessments is to collect vocal data or get access to collected vocal datasets. Several vocal and verbal language tasks have been proposed to collect patients' voices. In the following, we have described some language tasks:

1. Alpha-span Task evaluates verbal–numerical working memory impairment in patients with dementia [344]. During this task, examiners present a series of words to subjects and ask them to recall words in alphabetical order.

**Table 5: Voice-based AI Assessments**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Ref.</b>
Detect patients with AD	Patients' spontaneous speech	[293]
Identify patients with MCI, pre-dementia and early dementia	Patients' spontaneous speech	[327, 328, 329, 86, 330, 331]
Detect speech rhythm alterations associated with AD	Patients' speech in Spanish	[342]
Distinguish patients with MCI from healthy controls	Patients' speech	[329]
Distinguish patients with pre-dementia from those with AD	Patients' speech	[86]
Identify AD	Speech	[330, 331]
Develop AD risk assessment	Speech	[292]
Detect MCI	Spontaneous speech	[296]
Detect AD	Spontaneous speech	[293]
Distinguish mild AD and MCI	Patients' speech	[309]
Distinguish patients with AD from healthy controls	Patients' speech	[308]
Detect AD	Patients' speech and transcriptions	[301, 297]
Assess AD	Patients' speech and transcriptions	[123]

2. Auditory Sentence-to-picture Matching evaluates sentence comprehension [343] and encompasses nine different types of sentences with varying levels of syntactic complexity [345].

3. Digit Span Task (DST) evaluates verbal attention and working memory in participants [346]. During the task, a subject is asked to recall the forward order (or Digit Span Forwards (DSF)) or backward order (Digit Span Backwards (DSB)) of a string of digits that has been presented by an examiner [155]. The DST in particular the DSB can be used to identify patients with major cognitive impairment [133].

4. Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLT) examines the functionality of the verbal memory, which is one of the preliminary signs found in amnesic Mild Cognitive Impairment (aMCI) and other types of dementia [76, 77, 78]. The HVLT encompasses a 12-item word list composed of four groups of words corresponding to three semantic



categories. The subject is asked to listen carefully as the examiner reads the word list and attempts to memorize the words. The main advantage of HVLТ is that it is a quick test. Another benefit of HVLТ is its usefulness in evaluating cognitive impairments at frequent intervals [347].

5. Picture Description Task (PDT) evaluates the semantic knowledge in subjects [291] and assesses their structural language skills [348]. During the task, clinicians can use a picture mostly "the Cookie Theft", from the Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Exam, or other pictures such as "a man changing a lightbulb" and "a kitten in a tree" and ask participants to describe what they see in the picture [349]. It could be used to provide monologue speech from participants [349].

6. Sentence Repetition Task (SRT) is a test for verbal short-term memory and can be used to detect language difficulties. Since, patients with dementia have a short-term memory problem that causes them to repeat words (i.e., word repetition in people with dementia ). The SRT test is useful to detect an abnormality in repeating words associated with dementia.

7. Verbal Fluency Test (VFT) measures 1) phonemic fluency (Letter Fluency) or 2) semantic fluency, semantic verbal fluency (SVF) or category fluency. For evaluating phonemic fluency, clinicians use the Letter Fluency Task (LFT) and ask participants to create as many words as possible starting with a specified letter (e.g., M) [350, 351] to test verbal functioning of participants. COWAT-FAS is a similar task to Letter Fluency Task [352]. For measuring semantic fluency, participants are asked to complete *Category Fluency Task (CFT)* or *Semantic Fluency Task* or *Semantic Verbal Fluency (SVF) Task* by creating as many words as possible in a specified category (e.g., food) during 1 minute[353], [354] It has been shown that VFT as a short cognitive test to assess verbal memory impairment is helpful to discriminate between healthy aging and very mild dementia of the Alzheimer type<sup>7</sup> [351].

8. Semantic Association Test (SAT) evaluates disorders in verbal and visual semantic processing in older adults [355].

9. Object Picture Naming (OBN)/ Picture Naming Test/the Boston Naming Test (BNT) has been widely used in determining impaired picture naming and cognitive decline [356] in PwAD or MCI [357]. Impaired picture naming is a result of semantic network degradation. It assesses language performance in participants with dementia and AD. It can detect compromised lexical retrieval abilities and aphasia via visual alteration naming [358]. It can be used as part of a cognitive assessment method called Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD), the performance of BNT can be affected by educational level, sex, race age, and living environment of the elderly [359, 360]. Note that this test is also a part of MoCA [343].

10. California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT) assesses memory (verbal memory) and language capacities including immediate recall, free and cued recall over short and long delays, and Yes/No Recognition [361, 362, 369]. Different variations of CVLT, including CVLT-II and CVLT-III have been proposed [83].

11. Story Recall Test (SRT) or delayed story recall evaluates episodic, semantic and verbal memory [363]. The SRT (i.e., during the story recall test, participants are shown a short passage with one of the following options 1) My Grandfather, 2) Rainbow or 3) Limpy, which are three well-known passages to assess memory capacity of participants) can assess impairment in episodic and semantic memory, and also global cognition [363, 364]. It would be a suitable test for subjects with a high level of education rather than a low level of schooling [365] and to predict progression to dementia in patients with MCI [366], the Automatic Story Recall Task (ASRT) has been developed as a fully automated SRT task that can be remotely run [84].

12. Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale- WORD RECALL Test (WRT) measures the language ability of individuals by giving them three trials to learn 10 words that can be considered as high-frequency, high-imagery nouns and have been printed in block letters on white cards. The subject's score can be calculated as the mean number of words not recalled on three trials [367].

13. Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale-word recognition task measures memory impairment. During this task subjects are given a list of 12 words to learn and then examiners show them a series of words and ask subjects if she/he can remember them [367].

14. Detection Test for Language impairments in Adults and the Aged (DTLA) is a quick language task that has been designed to assess language problems associated with neurodegenerative disorders [343].

15. Arizona Battery for Communication Disorders of Dementia (ABCD) encompasses 14 subtests to evaluate linguistic expression, linguistic expression and comprehension, verbal episodic memory, visuospatial construction, and mental status [87, 88].

16. Word and Sentence Repetition (WSR) measures working memory impairment in Alzheimer's patients. Clinicians

---

<sup>7</sup> The Verbal Fluency Test for Dementia Screening

use this task to discover some dementia's language markers such as grammatical and extra grammatical errors [118, 119].

17. Spontaneous Written Sentence Production (SWSP) is a realistic assessment of various hierarchical levels of language organization, including morpho-syntactic and lexico-semantic levels [304]. Some of the vocal and verbal tests mentioned above are a part of comprehensive cognitive assessments, while some can be considered stand-alone neuropsychological assessments[352]. They can also be used to collect speech and language samples from patients and healthy controls [363, 363, 370].

Tasks such as MBT, VFT, SVFT, PDT, SRT and countdown tasks are commonly used to obtain speech data from individuals [316] that can be used by clinicians to assess speech and language disorders [343] associated with dementia.

### 3.5.2 Getting Access to Collected Individuals' Voices

Since, a comprehensive report about speech and language datasets has been provided in [371] this part mainly has focused on describing two popular and publicly available vocal datasets. Some other vocal datasets have been listed in Table 6. DementiaBank(DB) is a dataset[372, 301, 308, 373] collected as a part of a project named TalkBank, which has been done as a part of the Alzheimer Research Program at the University of Pittsburgh. The DB contains eight corpora in English, one in German, two corpora in Mandarin, one in Spanish, and one in Taiwanese. The main corpus in the DB is the Pitt corpus [333, 334, 335, 374, 314], which has been collected longitudinally, between 1983 and 1988, every year from around 200 patients with AD and 100 healthy controls. The Pitt corpus contains several sub corpora, generated according to neuropsychological tasks performed by the participants: 1) the Cookie Theft of the PDT (note that the participants' speech related to this task have been transcribed), 2) the word 3) LFT, 4) the SRT, and 5) the sentence construction task. Note some tasks performed either by healthy and dementia participants or only by dementia participants. Several studies [333, 375, 376, 377, 378] have used Pitt corpus to develop systems to detect dementia [333], to identify dementia [375], to diagnose AD [376], to detect cognitive impairment [377].

Alzheimer's Dementia Recognition through Spontaneous Speech or ADReSS Challenge Dataset has [325, 332, 323, 320, 293] been developed by modifying the Pitt corpus. It includes audio and text files for each subject and has a balanced distribution in terms of classes, age, and gender. In more detail, the ADReSS Challenge dataset includes data from 82 AD and 82 non-AD participants, of which 54 AD and 54 non-AD participants are included in the train set<sup>8</sup>.

### 3.5.3 Challenges in Collecting Vocal Datasets

Collecting high quality (i.e., accurate, valid, reliable and consistent, complete, comprehensive and granular and unique) vocal samples is a complex procedure due to stringent regulations that should be followed by data collectors [385] and lack of a standardized procedure. Collecting vocal data from patients with dementia or MCI is challenging due to lack of principles for 1) having effective communication with patients [386], 2) training people to correctly collect the data, 3) assuring the quality of recording media and designed experiment behind data collection process that might be led to collect biased, incomplete, invalid datasets [385]. Another issue is rising from the absence of multicultural vocal and verbal tasks that are the basis of experimental designs. Moreover, those tasks are not suitable to assess individuals with intellectual disabilities or persons with hearing loss. And there is no standard approach or agreed-upon assessment methods to collect data from these types of individuals [137]. Furthermore, verbal and vocal tasks such as picture description tasks are highly sensitive to restrictions in sensory input. It has been stated that a person with a slight visual problem has lower performance in completing these tasks [138].

### 3.5.4 Speech and Language Features

Different studies have suggested various types of features to develop voice-based AI assessment for dementia.

*Speech Features* can be provided from vocal samples, collected using vocal tasks [316] including PDT. Articles use various terminologies such as vocal features, acoustic features or audio features in order to refer to speech features. Reviewing various articles, we have categorized speech features into following groups:

1. Voice Activity-related Features (VAF) could be used to measure the temporal characteristics of speech [387] and include silence-related features (SiF), hesitation-related features (HF). Note that tasks such as VFTs can be used to provide vocal samples that are suitable for extracting VAFs in particular silence rate (SR), response length (RL) and

---

<sup>8</sup> To get more information about the ADReSS Challenge dataset, readers can refer to Alzheimer's Dementia Recognition through Spontaneous Speech The ADReSS Challenge.

the ratio of silence to spoken duration. The VAFs can be used to detect the presence and severity of cognitive impairment caused by dementia as well as to differentiate between patients with AD and MCI [388]. The main motivation behind extracting VAFs is that the temporal characteristics of spontaneous speech including speech tempo, number of pauses in speech, and their length could be considered as vocal markers of the early stage of AD [387].

The VAFs include features such as duration of speech, speech or speaking rate (SR) or articulation rate (AR) [329, 389], pause rate (PR)[306], number of pauses (No.P) or pause frequency, total length of pauses (TLP), average length of pauses (ALP), duration of pause (per utterance)[316, 306, 389], response time (RT), response length (RL), silence rate (SR), silence-to-utterance ratio, long silence ratio (LSR), average silence count (ASC), continuous speech rate (CSR), average continuous word count (ACWC), hesitation ratio (HR) [390, 321], rhythm (i.e., rate of syllable production during speech) [342, 390, 306].

2. DL-based Features (DF) could be used to develop end-to-end speech assessments. The DF include I-vectors and X-vectors [308], VGGish-based features, YAMNet-based features [391], BERT-based features [391], CRNN-based features [324].

**Table 6: Lists of Vocal Datasets**

Dataset Name	Characteristics	Ref.
Audio Datasets of Framingham Heart Study	Longitudinal audio files from 1264 subjects, 483 were of participants with normal cognition (NC), 451 recordings were of participants with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and 330 were of participants with dementia (DE); English	[379]
Carolina Conversations Collections	Conversations between adults older than 60 years and young interviewers; 125 speech older speakers without any impairments and 125 speech from speakers with dementia; English	[380]
Audio Datasets of the Dem@Care Project	Three different audio datasets that are referred to as 1) Ds3 (i.e., The audio files provided from microphone); 2) Ds5 (i.e., The audio files from from microphone from Dem@Care short @Lab protocol); 3) Ds7 (ie., the audio files from microphone from Dem@Care long @Lab protocol); Greece	[381]
Japanese Elder’s Language Index Corpus (JELiCo)	Corpus was collected from 22 individuals aged 74 to 86 years JELiCo; Japanese; Multi-educational test	[382]
Dementia Blog Corpus (DBC)	Corpus that has been built of several thousand blog posts	[383]
Wisconsin Longitudinal Study (WLS)	Longitudinal dataset (six times between 1957 and 2011); 10,317 graduates, sibling and spouses of graduates; the “Cookie Theft” task; English	[384]

3. openSMILE’s Features include three subsets of features: *emobase*, *eGeMAPS*, and *ComParE* features [392, 393, 394, 395, 308, 396, 397]. The *emobase* set includes features such as the mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) and fundamental frequency (F0) [397]. The *eGeMAPS* feature set encompasses affective prosodic features [398] such as the F0 semitone, loudness, spectral flux, MFCC, jitter, shimmer [329, 311], F1, F2, F3, alpha ratio, Hammarberg index, and slope V0 features, as well as their most common statistical functional [397, 399, 323]. *ComParE* features [395, 308, 396, 397, 392, 393] include emotional features from individuals’ speech [394].

4. PRAAT’s Features include a set of vocal features, i.g., prosodic features such as intonation, stress, and rhythm [400]. The PRAAT’s features can be extracted using the open software tool PRAAT or Praat vocal toolkit [318, 336]. Some studies have shown that the PRATT tool is useful to extract *F0-based features* (e.g., mean F0) [400], rhythm [400], and *voice quality (VQ) features* (i.e., which can be considered as markers for MCI [329]) include jitter-based

features, shimmer-based features and harmonicity-based features [401, 400].

5. Prosodic Features (PF) include fundamental frequency and formants, and intensity features and mel-frequency cepstral coefficients [309], stress, intonation, and emotion [321]. The PF can be used to identify the effect and articulation in speech [402]. Studies suggest that patients with AD have problems in production, repetition, and comprehension of emotional prosody [403], other studies have shown that patients with AD and other dementias have prosodic impairment [404]. Thus, it has been stated that AI assessments, which are developed by training ML classifiers (such as SVM) using PF [402] can identify patients at the late-stage of Alzheimer's.

6. Librosa Features (LF) include features, which can be extracted using the Librosa Python Package [405, 298]. The LF can encompass Librosa spectral features [406] including mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs) and Log-Mel spectrograms, their delta, and delta-delta.

7. Temporal Disfluency Features (TDF) includes features such as duration of speech, pauses, prolongation, filler words (e.g., um, uh, hmm [349]), interposed words, question words.

*Language Features* Language features or linguistic features, which include lexical [294, 407, 408, 409], syntactic [407, 410], semantic, and pragmatic [411], psycholinguistic features, can be extracted from patients' transcripts or computer-based or hand written tasks. Studies showed that different linguistic features are associated with different types of language deficits in PwD [412]). These linguistic features include incoherent speech, tangentiality [289] and grammatical error [305, 387], lexical retrieval difficulties, auditory comprehension difficulties, grammatical and spelling failures [413, 305, 387, 290], which have been collected from patients' speech transcript [414], can be markers of language impairment in older adults [414]. Studies have shown that Python libraries including Natural Language Toolkit (NLTK) [415], Gensim [416] and spaCy [417] can be employed to extract linguistic features, which can be used to train ML and DL algorithms to develop language-based assessments [321, 316, 290].

Language features can be classified into different groups such as 1. Lexical Features (LF)[407, 408, 368, 409]; 2. Syntactic Features (SF) [331]; 3. Pre-trained Language Model Features; 4. Semantic Features; 5. Pragmatic Features; 6. Sentiment Features. In the following, each category of features has been described in detail.

1. Lexical Features (LF) [407, 408, 368, 409], encompasses various types of features such as Lexical Repetition (LRe) [407], which can be calculated globally and locally, including ratio of repetitions (RR) and ratio of self-corrections (RC) or changes, the frequencies of repetitions [337, 339, 418, 419]; Lexical Specificity (LS) [407], which include the number of utterances per individuals (No.U)<sup>9</sup> [341], the number of verbs per utterance (No.V/U) [420], the number of word per utterance (No.W/U) (it can be considered as verbosity [337]), and utterance rate (UR), mean length of utterances (MLU) [321, 330, 341, 421], GoAhead Utterances (GAU) [422], the number of function words (No.fW), the number of unique words (No.uW), the number of word (No.W), character length (CL), the number of sentences (No.S), repetitions, revisions, morphemes, trailing off indicator, the number of incomplete words per utterance (No. iW/U), the number of filler words per utterance (No. FiW/U) [341]; Hesitation and Puzzlement Features [321] includes question ratio (QR), filler ratio (FR), incomplete sentence ratio (ISR); Lexical Richness or Vocabulary Richness<sup>10</sup> [292, 337] includes features such as type token ratio (TTR), root type-token ratio (RTTR), moving average TTR (MATTR) [321, 330, 294, 323], Brunet's Index (W) [294, 420, 408], Honore's statistic (R) [382, 408, 294, 420, 408, 422]. In addition to the above mentioned features, studies have suggested that other types of features including ratio of various parts of speech or Part-of-speech tags (POS) or POS tagging or grammatical tagging which includes a list of words with their particular part of speech (e.g., nouns, pronoun, adjective, verb, conjunction, preposition, and interjection) [418, 419, 306, 423, 382, 408], number of fluency features (No.FF) [339, 418, 419], potential vocabulary size (PVS)<sup>11</sup>, which is a modified version of the TTR, is the estimated vocabulary size [382], and vocabulary level (VL) [382].

2. Syntactic Features (SF) [331] include noun rate (NR), pronoun rate (PR), verb rate (VR), adjective rate (AR), clause-like semantic unit rate, coordinated sentences rate (CSR), subordinated sentences rate (SSR), reduced sentences rate (RSR), number of predicates, average number of predicates, dependency distance, number of dependencies, average dependencies per sentence, production rules [294, 341, 422]. Another important category of the SF includes Syntactic Complexity (SC) or Grammatical Syntactic Complexity(GSC) [424, 292, 407]. It encompasses features Dependency Distance (DepD) [382] and Mean Dependency Distance (MDD) [420] and Yngve

---

<sup>9</sup> The total number of utterances per individual can be considered as a feature to detect language impairment. Each utterance is identified to start from the beginning of verbal communication to the next verbal pause length, such as punctuation [341]

<sup>10</sup> It can be measured by the number of unique words [337] and can be used as markers of probable DAT [294]

<sup>11</sup> The PVS score can be calculated using the extrapolation of the number of types in their narrative samples, more information about calculating the PVS score can be found in [382]

Score [382]; Grammatical Constituents [378, 292]; Psycho-linguistics [292, 337]; Information Content [292]; N-Gram Features [373, 376].

3. Verbal Disfluency Features encompass features such as *Intelligibility Features (IF)* [425], *Lexico-syntactic Diversity (LSD)* and *Word and Utterance Rate (WUR)*. It includes features such as total Utterances errors (TUE), total word errors (TWE), unintelligible word (UW), unintelligible utterances (UU), words/TWE, utterances/TUE.

4. Semantic Features can be used to measure semantic decline in PwD. It has been reported that PwD cannot easily retrieve semantic knowledge, reflecting a semantic decline in their language [316]. Furthermore, the loss of the semantic cohesion is another language sign in PwD and PwAD [426]. Different articles proposed that extracting semantic features from the textual files of patients with dementia are useful to detect semantic decline, incoherent speech, tangentiality [289]

Various ML and DL (see Table 7) that have been the basis of AI systems with exceptional achievements in detecting patients with dementia using their speech and language [299, 325, 12, 314]. Among ML algorithms, the SVM-based classifier is a popular algorithm to develop these AI systems; while among DL algorithms, the CNN-based classifier is a trendy DL algorithm.

**Table 7: List of ML and DL algorithms have been used to develop Voice-based AI assessments**

Name of Algorithm	Python Libraries of Algorithm	Ref.
k-Nearest Neighbor (kNN)	Scikit-learn	[422, 420, 378, 333]
Support Vector Machine (SVM)	Scikit-learn	[321, 316, 290, 299, 341, 427, 86, 422, 333, 306, 123, 428, 326, 429, 430, 378]
Decision Trees (DTs)	Scikit-learn	[422, 431, 290]
Random Forest (RF)	Scikit-learn	[432, 330, 420, 336, 306, 326, 378, 293]
Logistic Regression (LR)	Scikit-learn	[433, 336, 309, 429, 337]
Naive Bayes (NB)	Scikit-learn	[306, 337]
Gradient boosting (XGB)	Scikit-learn	[330, 336]
Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)	Tensor Flow	[434, 333, 376, 326]
Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)	Tensor Flow	[322, 319, 419, 326, 429, 342]
Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)	Tensor Flow	[290, 390, 374, 314]
Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)	Tensor Flow	[342, 326]

## 4 Discussion

Many studies have proposed that AI assessments for dementia are practical, noninvasive, user-friendly, and easy to be employed [327] and have potential to be integrated into dementia care settings. So, clinicians can leverage AI assessments to diagnose PwD or patients with subtypes of dementia [435, 373, 436]. However, due to complexity of AI assessments, encouraging the medical community to employ them is challenging. Thus, AI developers should consider developing explainable AI (XAI) assessments, which can describe their mission, rationale, and decision-making process underlying their results for clinicians. Thus, clinicians can gain insight into AI-made decisions [437]. Another significant barrier for physicians in adopting AI is the difficulties for convincing patients

and their family members to accept AI-obtained clinical decisions. To overcome the barrier, clinicians and AI developers should cooperate in designing trustworthy AI assessments focusing on establishing ethical AI assessments that do not violate principles of autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice [437, 438].

## **4.1 Challenges in Collecting and Sharing patients' data**

Collecting patients' data is a multi-stage procedure that starts with a study design, recruiting participants following diversity and inclusion principles. Other stages are processing informed consent and evaluating the capacity of participants, in particular patients, to attend studies. Each step has its difficulties and concerns. For example, the sample size should be calculated for recruiting participants to show clinically significant results correctly [439]. If clinicians recruit fewer participants (patients and healthy controls), the data collection would be affordable and require much time. Furthermore, the delivery of services and the ability of PwD to operate the system provide a significant obstacle in collecting sensor data. We acknowledge that some PwD experience difficulties, notably in access and digital literacy, despite the potential of digital technologies. For instance, in research that examined the experiences of people who had received dementia care after being diagnosed, respondents thought that online forms of support were inappropriate and unavailable to those without internet or electronic device access [228]. Also, recent research exploratory studies indicate that individuals with cognitive impairment may encounter social difficulties online, such as stereotyping language and unfavorable remarks [440]. The pertinent design challenges, such as visual design, feedback, screen size, customization, and user-friendly controls, could provide another difficulty for them [441].

Sharing patients' data with other communities is essential because developing new AI assessments for dementia requires international multidisciplinary collaboration between multiple research centers and healthcare services. Furthermore, sharing patients' data is also necessary to improve research rigor, transparency, and replicability in dementia and AD [442]; Undoubtedly, patients' data are sensitive to be shared with unauthorized parties without considering privacy and confidentiality protocols. Thus, Sharing patients' data should be done following privacy principles.

## **4.2 Challenges in Developing, Deploying and Integrating AI Assessments for Dementia**

Although there are many advantages of deploying AI assessments for dementia and integrating them into dementia care settings, there are certain challenges with deploying various AI assessments including voice-based assessments and sensor-based assessments. For example, sensor-based AI assessments may also present important ethical difficulties [443, 444], since they are being created for frail, elderly persons who frequently lack the mental capacity to provide their consent to their use. Moreover, information retrieval and data analysis may contain sensitive data including personally identifiable information like medical information or video recordings of an individual's behavior. As significant ethical considerations in the application of AI in PwD, informed permission, autonomy, privacy, data security, and affordability have also been noted in two prior assessments [445, 446]. Additional ethical issues covered in the literature include stigma, social exclusion, a lack of user involvement in technology development, access to assistive technologies, cost, use and the moral conundrum of whether such technologies should or should not take the place of human caregivers [228, 447, 448].

The main challenge for integrating AI into health care settings is the reluctance of the medical community to employ AI assessments to identify people with dementia. To foster clinicians' confidence in employing AI, AI developers should consider developing explainable AI (XAI) systems, which can describe their mission, rationale, and decision-making process underlying their results for clinicians; thus, clinicians can gain insight into the AI systems' decision-making processes [437].

Another significant barrier for physicians in integrating AI is convincing patients and their family members to accept results obtained by AI. To overcome the obstacle and encourage caregivers to get results obtained by AI systems, clinicians and AI developers should cooperate in designing trustworthy AI systems, focusing on establishing ethical AI systems that do not violate principles of autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice [437]. Hence, it is thought to be a beneficial technique to predictably examine the practical, technological, clinical, and ethical difficulties connected with AI systems to look into the perspectives and demands of both patients and relevant stakeholders of technology development and healthcare [447]. A recent exploratory study investigated the perspectives of German dementia caregivers and found that there is a "knowledge gap" between developers and end

users, which is likely to hinder adequate end user adoption [449]. In another study [447], the author interviewed healthcare workers in three European nations using a similar methodology, demonstrating the perceived importance of making sure user-centeredness is maintained when creating assistive technologies and AI systems. A second UK study examined the opinions and experiences of dementia patients, their caregivers, and general practitioners regarding the use of technologies in dementia care. Moreover, the expenses of assistive technologies are very high, and it is unclear when to utilize them to improve health outcomes [228]. In addition to protecting patient privacy, maintaining patient data confidentiality is essential for creating dependable relationships between clients and service providers, whereas the handling, management, and dissemination of patient data must be expressly shared by solution providers. Nevertheless, despite the barriers and obstacles in AI technologies for PwD, a recent study that evaluated technologies for behavioral monitoring [450] found that, despite noting significant privacy concerns, caregivers typically view the use of AI for the home surveillance of people with dementia to be ethically acceptable.

Various AI assessments can be developed by training ML or DL algorithms with multi-modal data such as speech and language data, sensor data, and GPS-based data [451]. Such AI assessments can identify older adults with associated behavioral, functional and language disorders associated with dementia [452]. Furthermore, AI developers can combine augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) techniques with behavioral, functional, language and speech data to design multi-modal AR/ VR AI assessments to identify patients with dementia. In addition to the approaches mentioned above, music-based assessment methods, which can evaluate musical recognition capability [453] or art based assessment methods [454] can be combined with AI systems not to identify individuals at risk of dementia but also to track the progress of the diseases.

## **Author Contributions**

- MP: Developed the structure of the manuscript. Prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. Authored the sections titled "Introduction," "Non-AI Assessments for Dementia," "Voice-based AI Assessments for Dementia," "Neuroimaging-based AI Assessments for Dementia," and "Discussion." Revised and refined the manuscript. Finalized the draft. Conducted revisions on the manuscript. Provided the final version of the draft.
- HG: Contributed to the section titled "Facial Expression to Develop AI Assessments for Dementia."
- VD: Contributed to two subsections titled "Wearable and Optical Sensor to Assess Gait Patterns" and "Digital Pen to Develop AI Assessments for Dementia."
- CM: Worked on developing and editing the section on "Neuroimaging-based AI Assessments for Dementia."
- IL, SN, and YK: Collaborated on the sections titled "Home and Environmental Sensors to Develop AI Assessments for Dementia," "Challenges in Collecting and Sharing Patients' Data," and "Challenges in Developing, Deploying, and Integrating AI Assessments for Dementia."

## References

- [1] Bart Sheehan. Assessment scales in dementia. *Therapeutic advances in neurological disorders*, 5(6):349–358, 2012.
- [2] Julie Hugo and Mary Ganguli. Dementia and cognitive impairment. *Clinics in Geriatric Medicine*, 30(3):421–442, August 2014.
- [3] Daniel Kempler and Mira Goral. Language and dementia: Neuropsychological aspects. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 28:73–90, 2008.
- [4] D. LoGiudice and R. Watson. Dementia in older people: an update. *Internal Medicine Journal*, 44(11):1066–1073, November 2014.
- [5] E. L. Cunningham, B. McGuinness, B. Herron, and A. P. Passmore. Dementia. *Ulster Med J*, 84(2):79–87, May 2015.
- [6] Jill Rasmussen and Haya Langerman. Alzheimer’s Disease – Why We Need Early Diagnosis. *Degenerative Neurological and Neuromuscular Disease*, Volume 9:123–130, December 2019.
- [7] World Health Organization. *Dementia: a public health priority*. World Health Organization, 2012.
- [8] Emma Nichols, Cassandra EI Szoeki, Stein Emil Vollset, Nooshin Abbasi, Foad Abd-Allah, Jemal Abdela, Miloud Taki Eddine Aichour, Rufus O Akinyemi, Fares Alahdab, Solomon W Asgedom, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of alzheimer’s disease and other dementias, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2016. *The Lancet Neurology*, 18(1):88–106, 2019.
- [9] Shaker El-Sappagh, Jose M. Alonso, S. M. Riazul Islam, Ahmad M. Sultan, and Kyung Sup Kwak. A multilayer multimodal detection and prediction model based on explainable artificial intelligence for alzheimer’s disease. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), January 2021.
- [10] Renjie Li, Xinyi Wang, Katherine Lawler, Saurabh Garg, Quan Bai, and Jane Alty. Applications of artificial intelligence to aid early detection of dementia: A scoping review on current capabilities and future directions. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 127:104030, March 2022.
- [11] Dipanjan Banerjee, Abilash Muralidharan, Abdul Rub Hakim Mohammed, and Bilal Haider Malik. Neuroimaging in dementia: A brief review. *Cureus*, June 2020.
- [12] Taeho Jo, Kwangsik Nho, and Andrew J. Saykin. Deep learning in alzheimer's disease: Diagnostic classification and prognostic prediction using neuroimaging data. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 11, August 2019.
- [13] Sergio Grueso and Raquel Viejo-Sobera. Machine learning methods for predicting progression from mild



- cognitive impairment to alzheimer's disease dementia: a systematic review. *Alzheimer's Research and Therapy*, 13(1), September 2021.
- [14] Zicai Liu, Zhen Yang, Yueming Gu, Huiyu Liu, and Pu Wang. The effectiveness of eye tracking in the diagnosis of cognitive disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE*, 16(7):e0254059, July 2021.
- [15] Thanos G. Stavropoulos, Asterios Papastergiou, Lampros Mpaltadoros, Spiros Nikolopoulos, and Ioannis Kompatsiaris. IoT wearable sensors and devices in elderly care: A literature review. *Sensors*, 20(10):2826, May 2020.
- [16] Ashir Javeed, Ana Luiza Dallora, Johan Sanmartin Berglund, Arif Ali, Liaqata Ali, and Peter Anderberg. Machine learning for dementia prediction: A systematic review and future research directions. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 47(1), February 2023.
- [17] Frédéric Assal. History of dementia. In *Frontiers of Neurology and Neuroscience*, pages 118–126. S. Karger AG, 2019.
- [18] S Cooper. The clinical assessment of the patient with early dementia. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 76(suppl\_5):v15–v24, December 2005.
- [19] Mark W. Bondi, Emily C. Edmonds, and David P. Salmon. Alzheimer's disease: Past, present, and future. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, 23(9-10):818–831, October 2017.
- [20] Pierrick Coupé, José Vicente Manjón, Enrique Lanuza, and Gwenaëlle Catheline. Lifespan changes of the human brain in alzheimer's disease. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), March 2019.
- [21] Ae Young Lee. Vascular dementia. *Chonnam Medical Journal*, 47(2):66, 2011.
- [22] Tiago Fleming Outeiro, David J. Koss, Daniel Erskine, Lauren Walker, Marzena Kurzawa-Akanbi, David Burn, Paul Donaghy, Christopher Morris, John-Paul Taylor, Alan Thomas, Johannes Attems, and Ian McKeith. Dementia with lewy bodies: an update and outlook. *Molecular Neurodegeneration*, 14(1), January 2019.
- [23] Ian G. McKeith, Bradley F. Boeve, Dennis W. Dickson, Glenda Halliday, John-Paul Taylor, Daniel Weintraub, Dag Aarsland, James Galvin, Johannes Attems, Clive G. Ballard, Ashley Bayston, Thomas G. Beach, Frédéric Blanc, Nicolaas Bohnen, Laura Bonanni, Jose Bras, Patrik Brundin, David Burn, Alice Chen-Plotkin, John E. Duda, Omar El-Agnaf, Howard Feldman, Tanis J. Ferman, Dominic ffytche, Hiroshige Fujishiro, Douglas Galasko, Jennifer G. Goldman, Stephen N. Gomperts, Neill R. Graff-Radford, Lawrence S. Honig, Alex Iranzo, Kejal Kantarci, Daniel Kaufer, Walter Kukull, Virginia M.Y. Lee, James B. Leverenz, Simon Lewis, Carol Lippa, Angela Lunde, Mario Masellis, Eliezer Masliah, Pamela McLean, Brit Mollenhauer, Thomas J. Montine, Emilio Moreno, Etsuro Mori, Melissa Murray, John T. O'Brien, Sotoshi Orimo, Ronald B. Postuma, Shankar Ramaswamy, Owen A. Ross, David P. Salmon, Andrew Singleton, Angela Taylor, Alan Thomas, Pietro Tiraboschi, Jon B. Toledo, John Q. Trojanowski, Debby Tsuang, Zuzana Walker, Masahito Yamada, and Kenji Kosaka. Diagnosis and management of dementia with lewy bodies. *Neurology*, 89(1):88–100, June 2017.
- [24] Paul C Donaghy and Ian G McKeith. The clinical characteristics of dementia with lewy bodies and a consideration of prodromal diagnosis. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy*, 6(4):46, 2014.
- [25] Nicholas T. Olney, Salvatore Spina, and Bruce L. Miller. Frontotemporal dementia. *Neurologic Clinics*, 35(2):339–374, May 2017.
- [26] N. T. Olney, S. Spina, and B. L. Miller. Frontotemporal Dementia. *Neurol Clin*, 35(2):339–374, May 2017.
- [27] W. Poewe, S. Gauthier, D. Aarsland, J. B. Leverenz, P. Barone, D. Weintraub, E. Tolosa, and B. Dubois. Diagnosis and management of parkinson's disease dementia. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 62(10):1581–1587, September 2008.
- [28] Fidelia Bature, Barbara ann Guinn, Dong Pang, and Yannis Pappas. Signs and symptoms preceding the diagnosis of alzheimer's disease: a systematic scoping review of literature from 1937 to 2016. *BMJ Open*, 7(8):e015746, August 2017.
- [29] Lina Patterson, Michael J Firbank, Sean J Colloby, Johannes Attems, Alan J Thomas, and Christopher M Morris. Neuropathological changes in dementia with lewy bodies and the cingulate island sign. *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology*, 78(8):717–724, May 2019.
- [30] L. L. Smits, A. C. van Harten, Y. A. L. Pijnenburg, E. L. G. E. Koedam, F. H. Bouwman, N. Sijm, I. E.

- W. Reuling, N. D. Prins, A. W. Lemstra, P. Scheltens, and et al. Trajectories of cognitive decline in different types of dementia. *Psychological Medicine*, 45(5):1051–1059, 2015.
- [31] Andreas Johnen and Maxime Bertoux. Psychological and cognitive markers of behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia—a clinical neuropsychologist's view on diagnostic criteria and beyond. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 10, June 2019.
- [32] Nilton Custodio, Rosa Montesinos, David Lira, Eder Herrera-Pérez, Yadira Bardales, and Lucía Valeriano Lorenzo. Mixed dementia: A review of the evidence. *Dementia & Neuropsychologia*, 11(4):364–370, December 2017.
- [33] Kelvin K. F. Tsoi, Joyce Y. C. Chan, Hoyee W. Hirai, Samuel Y. S. Wong, and Timothy C. Y. Kwok. Cognitive Tests to Detect Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 175(9):1450–1458, 09 2015.
- [34] Peter K Panegyres, Renee Berry, and Jennifer Burchell. Early dementia screening. *Diagnostics*, 6(1):6, 2016.
- [35] Maria Sheila Guimarães Rocha, Elida Maria Bassetti, Maira Okada Oliveira, Nathercia Marinho Estevam, and Sonia Maria Dozzi Brucki. Addenbrooke's cognitive examination-revised is accurate for detecting dementia in parkinson's disease patients with low educational level. *Dementia and Neuropsychologia*, 8(1):20–25, March 2014.
- [36] L ASHENDORF, A JEFFERSON, M OCONNOR, C CHAISSON, R GREEN, and R STERN. Trail making test errors in normal aging, mild cognitive impairment, and dementia. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, February 2008.
- [37] Dallas P Seitz, Calvin CH Chan, Hailey T Newton, Sudeep S Gill, Nathan Herrmann, Nadja Smailagic, Vasilis Nikolaou, and Bruce A Fage. Mini-cog for the diagnosis of alzheimer's disease dementia and other dementias within a primary care setting. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, February 2018.
- [38] Benjamin K. Dawson, Seyed-Mohammad Fereshtehnejad, Julius B. M. Anang, Takashi Nomura, Silvia Rios Romenets, Kenji Nakashima, Jean-François Gagnon, and Ronald B. Postuma. Office-based screening for dementia in parkinson disease. *JAMA Neurology*, 75(6):704, June 2018.
- [39] Franz Müller-Spahn. Behavioral disturbances in dementia. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 5(1):49–59, March 2003.
- [40] J. Cerejeira, L. Lagarto, and E. B. Mukaetova-Ladinska. Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 3, 2012.
- [41] Nana Asomaning and Carla Loftus. Identification of seniors at risk (ISAR) screening tool in the emergency department: Implementation using the plan-do-study-act model and validation results. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 40(4):357–364.e1, July 2014.
- [42] Márcia LF Chaves, Claudia C Godinho, Claudia S Porto, Leticia Mansur, Maria Teresa Carthery-Goulart, Mônica S Yassuda, and Rogério Beato. Cognitive, functional and behavioral assessment: Alzheimer's disease. *Dementia & neuropsychologia*, 2011.
- [43] Yoshinari Kawai, Rina Miura, Masashi Tsujimoto, Takashi Sakurai, Akiko Yamaoka, Akinori Takeda, Yutaka Arahata, Yukihiko Washimi, Teruhiko Kachi, and Kenji Toba. Neuropsychological differentiation between alzheimer's disease and dementia with lewy bodies in a memory clinic. *Psychogeriatrics*, 13(3):157–163, September 2013.
- [44] David S. Knopman and Ronald C. Petersen. Mild cognitive impairment and mild dementia: A clinical perspective. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 89(10):1452–1459, October 2014.
- [45] Soo Borson, James Scanlan, Jeffrey Hummel, Kathy Gibbs, Mary Lessig, and Elizabeth Zuhr. Implementing routine cognitive screening of older adults in primary care: Process and impact on physician behavior. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 22(6):811–817, April 2007.
- [46] Wilma G Rosen, Richard C Mohs, and Kenneth L Davis. A new rating scale for alzheimer's disease. *The American journal of psychiatry*, 1984.
- [47] Jacqueline K Kueper, Mark Speechley, and Manuel Montero-Odasso. The alzheimer's disease assessment scale—cognitive subscale (adas-cog): modifications and responsiveness in pre-dementia populations. a narrative review. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 63(2):423–444, 2018.

- [48] J. P. O'Halloran, A. S. Kemp, D. P. Salmon, P. N. Tariot, and L. S. Schneider. Psychometric comparison of standard and computerized administration of the alzheimers disease assessment scale – cognitive subscale (ADASCog). *Current Alzheimer Research*, 8(3):323–328, May 2011.
- [49] Cognitive assessment tools. <https://www.alz.org/professionals/health-systems-clinicians/clinical-resources/cognitive-assessment-tools>, 2 2021.
- [50] Alex J. Mitchell and Srinivasa Malladi. Screening and case finding tools for the detection of dementia. part i: Evidence-based meta-analysis of multidomain tests. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 18(9):759–782, September 2010.
- [51] Ingrid Arevalo-Rodriguez, Nadja Smailagic, Marta Roqué i Figuls, Agustín Ciapponi, Erick Sanchez-Perez, Antri Giannakou, Olga L Pedraza, Xavier Bonfill Cosp, and Sarah Cullum. Mini-mental state examination (MMSE) for the detection of alzheimer's disease and other dementias in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, March 2015.
- [52] Shangran Qiu, Gary H. Chang, Marcello Panagia, Deepa M. Gopal, Rhoda Au, and Vijaya B. Kolachalama. Fusion of deep learning models of MRI scans, mini-mental state examination, and logical memory test enhances diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, 10(1):737–749, January 2018.
- [53] Sid E. O'Bryant, Joy D. Humphreys, Glenn E. Smith, Robert J. Ivnik, Neill R. Graff-Radford, Ronald C. Petersen, and John A. Lucas. Detecting dementia with the mini-mental state examination in highly educated individuals. *Archives of Neurology*, 65(7), July 2008.
- [54] Daniel J. Burdick, Brenna Cholerton, G.S. Watson, Andrew Siderowf, John Q. Trojanowski, Daniel Weintraub, Beate Ritz, Shannon L. Rhodes, Renecca Rausch, Stewart A. Factor, Cathy Wood-Siverio, Joseph F. Quinn, Kathryn A. Chung, Sindhu Srivatsal, Karen L. Edwards, Thomas J. Montine, Cyrus P. Zabetian, and James B. Leverenz. People with parkinson's disease and normal MMSE score have a broad range of cognitive performance. *Movement Disorders*, 29(10):1258–1264, July 2014.
- [55] A Vertesi, J A Lever, D W Molloy, B Sanderson, I Tuttle, L Pokoradi, and E Principi. Standardized Mini-Mental state examination. use and interpretation. *Can. Fam. Physician*, 47:2018–2023, October 2001.
- [56] Lori A. Newkirk, Janise M. Kim, Jean M. Thompson, Jared R. Tinklenberg, Jerome A. Yesavage, and Joy L. Taylor. Validation of a 26-point telephone version of the mini-mental state examination. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 17(2):81–87, June 2004.
- [57] Melinda R. Steis and Robert W. Schrauf. A review of translations and adaptations of the mini-mental state examination in languages other than english and spanish. *Research in gerontological nursing*, 2(3):214–224, July 2009.
- [58] Karin Myrberg, Lars-Christer Hydén, and Christina Samuelsson. The mini-mental state examination (mmse) from a language perspective: an analysis of test interaction. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 34(7):652–670, 2020. PMID: 31739693.
- [59] Ziad S Nasreddine, Natalie A Phillips, Valérie Bédirian, Simon Charbonneau, Victor Whitehead, Isabelle Collin, Jeffrey L Cummings, and Howard Chertkow. The montreal cognitive assessment, moca: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 53(4):695–699, 2005.
- [60] Virginia B Kalish and Brian Lerner. Mini-mental state examination for the detection of dementia in older patients. *American family physician*, 94(11):880–881, 2016.
- [61] Parunyou Julayanont, Natalie Phillips, Howard Chertkow, and Ziad S. Nasreddine. Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA): Concept and clinical review. In *Cognitive Screening Instruments*, pages 111–151. Springer London, July 2012.
- [62] Anne Snowdon, Abdulkadir Hussein, Robert Kent, Lou Pino, and Vladimir Hachinski. Comparison of an electronic and paper-based montreal cognitive assessment tool. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, 29(4):325–329, October 2015.
- [63] Géraud Dautzenberg, Jeroen Lijmer, and Aartjan Beekman. Diagnostic accuracy of the montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) for cognitive screening in old age psychiatry: Determining cutoff scores in clinical

- practice. avoiding spectrum bias caused by healthy controls. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 35(3):261–269, December 2019.
- [64] Piers Dawes, Annie Pye, David Reeves, Wai Kent Yeung, Saima Sheikh, Chyrssoula Thodi, Anna Pavlina Charalambous, Kathleen Gallant, Ziad Nasreddine, and Iracema Leroi. Protocol for the development of versions of the montreal cognitive assessment (moca) for people with hearing or vision impairment. *BMJ Open*, 9(3), 2019.
- [65] Ellen M Cotter, Louis D Burgio, Alan B Stevens, David L Roth, and Laura N Gitlin. Correspondence of the functional independence measure (FIM) self-care subscale with real-time observations of dementia patients' ADL performance in the home. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 16(1):36–45, February 2002.
- [66] Andrea Slachevsky, Juan Manuel Villalpando, Marie Sarazin, Valerie Hahn-Barma, Bernard Pillon, and Bruno Dubois. Frontal assessment battery and differential diagnosis of frontotemporal dementia and alzheimer disease. *Archives of Neurology*, 61(7), July 2004.
- [67] G. A. Marshall, R. E. Amariglio, R. A. Sperling, and D. M. Rentz. Activities of daily living: where do they fit in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease? *Neurodegener Dis Manag*, 2(5):483–491, Oct 2012.
- [68] P. S. Mathuranath, Annamma George, P. Joseph Cherian, Robert Mathew, and P. Sankara Sarma. Instrumental activities of daily living scale for dementia screening in elderly people. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 17(3):461–474, August 2005.
- [69] Takahiro Mori, Takashi Kikuchi, Yumi Umeda-Kameyama, Kenji Wada-Isoe, Shinsuke Kojima, Tatsuo Kagimura, Chiaki Kudoh, Hirotake Uchikado, Akinori Ueki, Masato Yamashita, et al. Abc dementia scale: a quick assessment tool for determining alzheimer's disease severity. *Dementia and geriatric cognitive disorders extra*, 8(1):85–97, 2018.
- [70] Takashi Kikuchi, Takahiro Mori, Kenji Wada Isoe, Yumi Umeda Kameyama, Tatsuo Kagimura, Shinsuke Kojima, Masahiro Akishita, and Yu Nakamura. A novel dementia scale for alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Parkinsonism*, 08(02), 2018.
- [71] Kenji Wada-Isoe, Takashi Kikuchi, Yumi Umeda-Kameyama, Takahiro Mori, Masahiro Akishita, Yu Nakamura, and on behalf of the ABC Dementia Scale Research Group. Abc dementia scale classifies alzheimer's disease patients into subgroups characterized by activities of daily living, behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia, and cognitive function. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 73(1):383–392, January 2020.
- [72] Moeko Noguchi-Shinohara, Chiaki Domoto, Taketoshi Yoshida, Kozue Niwa, Sohshi Yuki-Nozaki, Miharu Samuraki-Yokohama, Kenji Sakai, Tsuyoshi Hamaguchi, Kenjiro Ono, Kazuo Iwasa, Ichiro Matsunari, Kiyonobu Komai, Hiroyuki Nakamura, and Masahito Yamada. A new computerized assessment battery for cognition (c-ABC) to detect mild cognitive impairment and dementia around 5 min. *PLOS ONE*, 15(12):e0243469, December 2020.
- [73] Matthew L Kraybill and Yana Suchy. Executive functioning, motor programming, and functional independence: Accounting for variance, people, and time. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 25(2):210–223, 2011.
- [74] Lisa L. Onega and Ivo L. Abraham. Factor structure of the dementia mood assessment scale in a cohort of community-dwelling elderly. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 9(4):449–457, December 1997.
- [75] David Conn and Lilian Thorpe. Assessment of behavioural and psychological symptoms associated with dementia. *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences*, 34(S1):S67–S71, March 2007.
- [76] G Kuslansky. Detecting dementia with the hopkins verbal learning test and the mini-mental state examination. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19(1):89–104, January 2004.
- [77] Eva Hogervorst, Marc Combrinck, Pablo Lapuerta, Judith Rue, Kate Swales, and Marc Budge. The hopkins verbal learning test and screening for dementia. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 13(1):13–20, December 2001.
- [78] Xu Xin Tri Budi Rahardjo. The hopkins verbal learning test and detection of MCI and mild dementia: A literature review. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Parkinsonism*, 04(06), 2014.
- [79] Stacy Belkonen. Neuropsychiatric inventory, 2011.

- [80] Jeffrey L Cummings, Michael Mega, Katherine Gray, Susan Rosenberg-Thompson, Daniela Anne Carusi, and Jeffrey Gornbein. The neuropsychiatric inventory: comprehensive assessment of psychopathology in dementia. *Neurology*, 44(12):2308–2308, 1994.
- [81] Claudia KY Lai. The merits and problems of neuropsychiatric inventory as an assessment tool in people with dementia and other neurological disorders. *Clinical interventions in aging*, 9:1051, 2014.
- [82] Vjera A Holthoff, Steven Ferris, Ralf Ihl, Philippe Robert, Bengt Winblad, Serge Gauthier, Kati Sternberg, and Frank Tennigkeit. Validation of the relevant outcome scale for alzheimer's disease: a novel multidomain assessment for daily medical practice. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy*, 3(5):27, 2011.
- [83] S. P. Woods, D. C. Delis, J. C. Scott, J. H. Kramer, and J. A. Holdnack. The California Verbal Learning Test—second edition: test-retest reliability, practice effects, and reliable change indices for the standard and alternate forms. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*, 21(5):413–420, Aug 2006.
- [84] Caroline Skirrow, Marton Meszaros, Udeepa Meepegama, Raphael Lenain, Kathryn V. Papp, Jack Weston, and Emil Fristed. Validation of a remote and fully automated story recall task to assess for early cognitive impairment in older adults: a longitudinal case-control observational study. *JMIR Aging*, October 2021.
- [85] Andrew A. Swihart, Michel Panisset, James T. Becker, Julia R. Beyer, and François Boiler. The token test: Validity and diagnostic power in alzheimer's disease. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 5(1):69–78, January 1989.
- [86] Marcos Lopes, Sonia Maria Dozzi Brucki, Viviana Giampaoli, and Leticia Lessa Mansur. Semantic verbal fluency test in dementia: Preliminary retrospective analysis. *Dementia & Neuropsychologia*, 3(4):315–320, December 2009.
- [87] Kathryn A. Bayles. *Abcd : Arizona battery for communication disorders of dementia*, 1993 - 1993.
- [88] Henri Dukes Sandifer, Elaine M. Frank, Al Montgomery, Michele Nichols, and William P. Cooper. Arizona battery for communication disorders of dementia test performance: Can this test be used with caucasian and african american adolescents with traumatic brain injury? *Journal of Medical Speech-language Pathology*, 13:1, 2005.
- [89] Eneida Mioshi, Kate Dawson, Joanna Mitchell, Robert Arnold, and John R. Hodges. The addenbrooke's cognitive examination revised (ACE-r): a brief cognitive test battery for dementia screening. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 21(11):1078–1085, 2006.
- [90] Andrew J. Larner and Alex J. Mitchell. A meta-analysis of the accuracy of the addenbrooke's cognitive examination (ACE) and the addenbrooke's cognitive examination-revised (ACE-r) in the detection of dementia. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 26(4):555–563, December 2013.
- [91] Annsophie Svensson, Eva Granvik, and Katarina Sjögren Forss. Performance of the eight-item informant interview to differentiate aging and dementia within a context similar to the swedish primary healthcare sector: a systematic review of diagnostic test accuracy studies. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, 38(4):454–463, October 2020.
- [92] B. Sheehan. Assessment scales in dementia. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*, 5(6):349–358, Nov 2012.
- [93] Abbreviated mental test score (amts), 12 October 2021.
- [94] Alexander Emery, James Wells, Stephen P. Klaus, Melissa Mather, Ana Pessoa, and Sarah T. Pendlebury. Underestimation of cognitive impairment in older inpatients by the abbreviated mental test score versus the montreal cognitive assessment: Cross-sectional observational study. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders Extra*, 10(3):205–215, December 2020.
- [95] B. SCHMAND, G. WALSTRA, J. LINDEBOOM, S. TEUNISSE, and C. JONKER. Early detection of alzheimer's disease using the cambridge cognitive examination (CAMCOG). *Psychological Medicine*, 30(3):619–627, May 2000.
- [96] M. Roth, E. Tym, C. Q. Mountjoy, F. A. Huppert, H. Hendrie, S. Verma, and R. Goddard. CAMDEX: A standardised instrument for the diagnosis of mental disorder in the elderly with special reference to the early detection of dementia. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 149(6):698–709, December 1986.
- [97] John C. Morris. Clinical dementia rating: A reliable and valid diagnostic and staging measure for dementia of

- the alzheimer type. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 9(S1):173–176, December 1997.
- [98] Gerda G. Fillenbaum, Gerald Belle, John C. Morris, Richard C. Mohs, Suzanne S. Mirra, Patricia C. Davis, Pierre N. Tariot, Jeremy M. Silverman, Christopher M. Clark, Kathleen A. Welsh-Bohmer, and Albert Heyman. Consortium to establish a registry for alzheimer's disease (CERAD): The first twenty years. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 4(2):96–109, March 2008.
- [99] J. C. Moms, A. Heyman, R. C. Mohs, J. P. Hughes, G. van Belle, G. Fillenbaum, E. D. Mellits, and C. Clark. The consortium to establish a registry for alzheimer's disease (CERAD). part i. clinical and neuropsychological assesment of alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*, 39(9):1159–1159, September 1989.
- [100] BERIT AGRELL and OVE DEHLIN. The clock-drawing test. *Age and Ageing*, 27(3):399–403, 05 1998.
- [101] Besa Ziso and Andrew J Larner. Codex (cognitive disorders examination) decision tree modified for the detection of dementia and mci. *Diagnostics*, 9(2):58, 2019.
- [102] Henry Brodaty, Dimity Pond, Nicola M. Kemp, Georgina Luscombe, Louise Harding, Karen Berman, and Felicia A. Huppert. The GPCOG: A new screening test for dementia designed for general practice. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50(3):530–534, March 2002.
- [103] Screening for cognitive impairment, 21 September 2021.
- [104] Jennifer K Harrison, David J Stott, Rupert McShane, Anna H Noel-Storr, Rhiannon S Swann-Price, and Terry J Quinn. Informant questionnaire on cognitive decline in the elderly (IQCODE) for the early diagnosis of dementia across a variety of healthcare settings. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, November 2016.
- [105] A. F. Jorm. A short form of the informant questionnaire on cognitive decline in the elderly (IQCODE): development and cross-validation. *Psychological Medicine*, 24(1):145–153, February 1994.
- [106] J. L. Fuh, E. L. Teng, K. N. Lin, E. B. Larson, S. J. Wang, C. Y. Liu, P. Chou, B. I. T. Kuo, and H. C. Liu. The informant questionnaire on cognitive decline in the elderly (IQCODE) as a screening tool for dementia for a predominantly illiterate chinese population. *Neurology*, 45(1):92–96, January 1995.
- [107] Dallas P Seitz, Calvin CH Chan, Hailey T Newton, Sudeep S Gill, Nathan Herrmann, Nadja Smailagic, Vasilis Nikolaou, and Bruce A Fage. Mini-cog for the detection of dementia within a primary care setting. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2021(7), July 2021.
- [108] Sietske A.M. Sikkas, Mark T. van den Berg, Dirk L. Knol, Elly S.M. de Lange-de Klerk, Philip Scheltens, Bernard M.J. Uitdehaag, Martin Klein, and Yolande A.L. Pijnenburg. How useful is the IQCODE for discriminating between alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment and subjective memory complaints. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 30(5):411–416, 2010.
- [109] J. Meagher, M. Leonard, L. Donoghue, N. O'Regan, S. Timmons, C. Exton, W. Cullen, C. Dunne, D. Adamis, A. J. Maclullich, and D. Meagher. Months backward test: A review of its use in clinical studies. *World J Psychiatry*, 5(3):305–314, Sep 2015.
- [110] James Meagher, Maeve Leonard, Laura Donoghue, Niamh O'Regan, Suzanne Timmons, Chris Exton, Walter Cullen, Colum Dunne, Dimitrios Adamis, Alasdair J Maclullich, and David Meagher. Months backward test: A review of its use in clinical studies. *World Journal of Psychiatry*, 5(3):305, 2015.
- [111] Marissa Tardif, Martin Roy, Bouchard Remi, Robert Laforce, Louis Verret, Marie-Pierre Fortin, Michele Houde, and Stephane Poulin. P4–103: Months backward test as a reliable predictor of cognitive decline in mild alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's and Dementia*, 9(4S\_Part\_18), July 2013.
- [112] Kelvin K. F. Tsoi, Joyce Y. C. Chan, Hoyee W. Hirai, Samuel Y. S. Wong, and Timothy C. Y. Kwok. Cognitive tests to detect dementia. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 175(9):1450, September 2015.
- [113] Syed H Tariq, Nina Tumosa, John T Chibnall, Mitchell H Perry III, and John E Morley. Comparison of the saint louis university mental status examination and the mini-mental state examination for detecting dementia and mild neurocognitive disorder—a pilot study. *The American journal of geriatric psychiatry*, 14(11):900–910, 2006.
- [114] S. H. Tariq, N. Tumosa, J. T. Chibnall, M. H. Perry, and J. E. Morley. Comparison of the Saint Louis University mental status examination and the mini-mental state examination for detecting dementia and mild neurocognitive disorder—a pilot study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*, 14(11):900–910, Nov 2006.
- [115] Ya-Ping Yang, Ying-Che Huang, Cheng-Sheng Chen, Yi-Ching Yang, and Jing-Jy Wang. Sensitivity and

specificity of the saint louis university mental status examination to detect mild cognitive impairment and dementia in chinese population. *Gerontology*, 67(2):152–159, 2021.

- [116] Six item cognitive impairment test (6cit). <http://www.wales.nhs.uk/sitesplus/documents/862/foi-286g-13.pdf>, 2 2021.
- [117] Ajaya K. Upadhyaya, Magesh Rajagopal, and Tim M. Gale. The six item cognitive impairment test (6-CIT) as a screening test for dementia: Comparison with mini-mental state examination (MMSE). *Current Aging Science*, 3(2):138–142, July 2010.
- [118] Jeff A. Small, Susan Kemper, and Kelly Lyons. Sentence repetition and processing resources in alzheimer's disease. *Brain and Language*, 75(2):232–258, November 2000.
- [119] Kaoru Shinkawa and Yasunori Yamada. Word repetition in separate conversations for detecting dementia:a preliminary evaluation on data of regular monitoring service. *AMIA Summits Transl. Sci. Proc.*, 2017:206–215, May 2018.
- [120] Fredrik Öhman, Jason Hassenstab, David Berron, Michael Schöll, and Kathryn V. Papp. Current advances in digital cognitive assessment for preclinical alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, 13(1), January 2021.
- [121] Katherine Wild, Diane Howieson, Frank Webbe, Adriana Seelye, and Jeffrey Kaye. Status of computerized cognitive testing in aging: A systematic review. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 4(6):428–437, November 2008.
- [122] V. Aharonson and A. D. Korczyn. Computerized methods for cognitive assessment of the elderly: Options and limitations. *Minerva Psichiatrica e Psicologica*, 51(1):43–52, March 2010.
- [123] Yasunori Yamada, Kaoru Shinkawa, Masatomo Kobayashi, Masafumi Nishimura, Miyuki Nemoto, Eriko Tsukada, Miho Ota, Kiyotaka Nemoto, and Tetsuaki Arai. Tablet-based automatic assessment for early detection of alzheimer's disease using speech responses to daily life questions. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 3, March 2021.
- [124] Maiya R. Geddes, Megan E. O'Connell, John D. Fisk, Serge Gauthier, Richard Camicioli, and Zahinoor Ismail and. Remote cognitive and behavioral assessment: Report of the alzheimer society of canada task force on dementia care best practices for COVID-19. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, 12(1), January 2020.
- [125] Tamara G. Fong, Michael A. Fearing, Richard N. Jones, Peilin Shi, Edward R. Marcantonio, James L. Rudolph, Frances M. Yang, K. Dan Kiely, and Sharon K. Inouye. Telephone interview for cognitive status: Creating a crosswalk with the mini-mental state examination. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 5(6):492–497, August 2009.
- [126] Katherine L. Possin, Tacie Moskowitz, Sabrina J. Erloff, Kirsten M. Rogers, Erica T. Johnson, Natasha Z. R. Steele, Joseph J. Higgins, Jordan Stiver, Andrea G. Alioto, Sarah T. Farias, Bruce L. Miller, and Katherine P. Rankin. The brain health assessment for detecting and diagnosing neurocognitive disorders. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 66(1):150–156, January 2018.
- [127] E. Tsoy, S. Zygouris, and K.L. Possin. Current state of self-administered brief computerized cognitive assessments for detection of cognitive disorders in older adults: A systematic review. *The Journal of Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease*, pages 1–10, 2021.
- [128] Joshua P. White, Adrian Schembri, Chris J. Edgar, Yen Ying Lim, Colin L. Masters, and Paul Maruff. A paradox in digital memory assessment: Increased sensitivity with reduced difficulty. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 3, November 2021.
- [129] Julie M Robillard, Judy Illes, Marcel Arcand, B Lynn Beattie, Sherri Hayden, Peter Lawrence, Joanna McGrenere, Peter B Reiner, Dana Wittenberg, and Claudia Jacova. Scientific and ethical features of english-language online tests for alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, 1(3):281–288, 2015.
- [130] G. Mancioffi, L. Fiorini, E. Rovini, R. Zeghari, A. Gros, V. Manera, P. Robert, and F. Cavallo. How dominant hand and foot dexterity may reveal dementia onset: A motor and cognitive dual-task study. In *2020 42nd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine Biology Society (EMBC)*, pages 5619–5622, 2020.
- [131] Gianmaria Mancioffi, Laura Fiorini, Erika Rovini, Radia Zeghari, Auriane Gros, Valeria Manera, Philippe Robert, and Filippo Cavallo. Innovative motor and cognitive dual-task approaches combining upper and lower limbs may improve dementia early detection. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), April 2021.

- [132] Nathan Falk, Ariel Cole, and T Jason Meredith. Evaluation of suspected dementia. *Am. Fam. Physician*, 97(6):398–405, March 2018.
- [133] David Myland Kaufman and Mark J. Milstein. Chapter 7 - dementia. In David Myland Kaufman and Mark J. Milstein, editors, *Kaufman's Clinical Neurology for Psychiatrists (Seventh Edition)*, pages 109–154. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, seventh edition edition, 2013.
- [134] Jonathan Vöglein, Irena Kostova, Thomas Arzberger, Sigrun Roeber, Peer Schmitz, Mikael Simons, Viktoria Ruf, Otto Windl, Jochen Herms, Marianne Dieterich, Adrian Danek, Günter U. Höglinger, Armin Giese, and Johannes Levin. First symptom guides diagnosis and prognosis in neurodegenerative diseases—a retrospective study of autopsy proven cases. *European Journal of Neurology*, 28(6):1801–1811, March 2021.
- [135] Gary W Small. Positron emission tomography imaging of dementia. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 2(2):168–169, June 2000.
- [136] Larry W Chambers, Saskia Sivananthan, and Carol Brayne. Is dementia screening of apparently healthy individuals justified? *Advances in preventive medicine*, 2017, 2017.
- [137] Elisabeth L. Zeilinger, Katharina A.M. Stiehl, and Germain Weber. A systematic review on assessment instruments for dementia in persons with intellectual disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 34(11):3962–3977, November 2013.
- [138] Peter Bruhn and Jesper Dammeyer. Assessment of dementia in individuals with dual sensory loss: Application of a tactile test battery. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders Extra*, 8(1):12–22, January 2018.
- [139] Jana Amlerova, Jan Laczó, Zuzana Nedelska, Martina Laczó, Martin Vyhnálek, Bing Zhang, Kateřina Sheardova, Francesco Angelucci, Ross Andel, and Jakub Hort. Emotional prosody recognition is impaired in alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Research Therapy*, 14(1), April 2022.
- [140] A. Guaita, M. Malnati, R. Vaccaro, R. Pezzati, J. Marcionetti, S.F. Vitali, and M. Colombo. IMPAIRED FACIAL EMOTION RECOGNITION AND PRESERVED REACTIVITY TO FACIAL EXPRESSIONS IN PEOPLE WITH SEVERE DEMENTIA. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 49:135–146, January 2009.
- [141] Ying Liu, Zixuan Wang, and Ge Yu. The effectiveness of facial expression recognition in detecting emotional responses to sound interventions in older adults with dementia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, August 2021.
- [142] J.D. Henry, P.G. Rendell, A. Scicluna, M. Jackson, and L.H. Phillips. Emotion experience, expression, and regulation in alzheimer's disease. *Psychology and Aging*, 24(1):252–257, 2009.
- [143] Yumi Umeda-Kameyama, Masashi Kameyama, Tomoki Tanaka, Bo-Kyung Son, Taro Kojima, Makoto Fukasawa, Tomomichi Iizuka, Sumito Ogawa, Katsuya Iijima, and Masahiro Akishita. Screening of alzheimer's disease by facial complexion using artificial intelligence. *Aging*, 13(2):1765–1772, January 2021.
- [144] Zixiang Fei, Erfu Yang, Leijian Yu, Xia Li, Huiyu Zhou, and Wenju Zhou. A novel deep neural network-based emotion analysis system for automatic detection of mild cognitive impairment in the elderly. *Neurocomputing*, 468:306–316, January 2022.
- [145] Bianca Torres Mendonça De Melo Fádel, Raquel Luiza Santos De Carvalho, Tatiana Teresa Belfort Almeida Dos Santos, and Marcia Cristina Nascimento Dourado. Facial expression recognition in alzheimer's disease: A systematic review. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 41(2):192–203, August 2018.
- [146] F. Chollet. Deep learning with depthwise separable convolutions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), July 2017.
- [147] M. Sandler; A. Howard; M. Zhu; A. Zhmoginov; L.-C. Chen. Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), June 2018.
- [148] M. Jones P. Viola. Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of simple features. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), December 2001.
- [149] Pasquale La Malva, Irene Ceccato, Adolfo Di Crosta, Anna Marin, Mirco Fasolo, Riccardo Palumbo, Nicola Mammarella, Rocco Palumbo, and Alberto Di Domenico. Updating the chieti affective action videos database with older adults. *Scientific Data*, 8(272), October 2021.
- [150] X. Liang; A. Angelopoulou; E. Kapetanios; B. Woll; R. Al Batat and T. Woolfe. A multi-modal machine learning approach and toolkit to automate recognition of early stages of dementia among british sign language



- users. In *Computer Vision – ECCV 2020 Workshops. ECCV 2020. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, Cham, August 2020.
- [151] A. Zisserman K. Simonyan. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*. Computational and Biological Learning Society, April 2015.
- [152] K. He; X. Zhang; S. Ren; J. Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2016.
- [153] V. Argyriou J.M.F Montenegro, A. Gkelias. Emotion understanding using multimodal information based on autobiographical memories for alzheimer’s patients. In *Computer Vision – ACCV 2016 Workshops. ACCV 2016. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, Cham, March 2017.
- [154] Chathurika Palliya Guruge, Sharon Oviatt, Pari Delir Haghighi, and Elizabeth K Pritchard. Advances in multimodal behavioral analytics for early dementia diagnosis: A review. In *ICMI '21: Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*. ACM, October 2021.
- [155] Thomas D.W. Wilcockson, Diako Mardanbegi, Baiqiang Xia, Simon Taylor, Pete Sawyer, Hans W. Gellersen, Ira Leroi, Rebecca Killick, and Trevor J. Crawford. Abnormalities of saccadic eye movements in dementia due to alzheimer’s disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Aging*, 11(15):5389–5398, August 2019.
- [156] Robert J. Molitor, Philip C. Ko, and Brandon A. Ally. Eye movements in alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 44(1):1–12, January 2015.
- [157] Nicholas Bott, Erica N Madero, Jordan Glenn, Alexander Lange, John Anderson, Doug Newton, Adam Brennan, Elizabeth A Buffalo, Dorene Rentz, and Stuart Zola. Device-embedded cameras for eye tracking–based cognitive assessment: Validation with paper-pencil and computerized cognitive composites. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 20(7):e11143, July 2018.
- [158] Julius Opwonya, Dieu Ni Thi Doan, Seul Gee Kim, Joong Il Kim, Boncho Ku, Soochan Kim, Sunju Park, and Jaeuk U. Kim. Saccadic eye movement in mild cognitive impairment and alzheimer’s disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuropsychology Review*, May 2021.
- [159] Akane Oyama, Shuko Takeda, Yuki Ito, Tsuneo Nakajima, Yoichi Takami, Yasushi Takeya, Koichi Yamamoto, Ken Sugimoto, Hideo Shimizu, Munehisa Shimamura, Taiichi Katayama, Hiromi Rakugi, and Ryuichi Morishita. Novel method for rapid assessment of cognitive impairment using high-performance eye-tracking technology. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), September 2019.
- [160] Jing Nie, Qi Qiu, Michael Phillips, Lin Sun, Feng Yan, Xiang Lin, Shifu Xiao, and Xia Li. Early diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment based on eye movement parameters in an aging chinese population. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 12, July 2020.
- [161] Trevor J. Crawford, Alex Devereaux, Steve Higham, and Claire Kelly. The disengagement of visual attention in alzheimer's disease: a longitudinal eye-tracking study. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 7, June 2015.
- [162] Lampros C. Kourtis, Oliver B. Regele, Justin M. Wright, and Graham B. Jones. Digital biomarkers for alzheimer’s disease: the mobile/wearable devices opportunity. *npj Digital Medicine*, 2(1), February 2019.
- [163] Xin Y Zhang, Zhen L Yang, Guang M Lu, Gui F Yang, and Long J Zhang. Pet/mr imaging: new frontier in alzheimer’s disease and other dementias. *Frontiers in molecular neuroscience*, 10:343, 2017.
- [164] Rachel Mistur, Lisa Mosconi, Susan De Santi, Marla Guzman, Yi Li, Wai Tsui, and Mony J de Leon. Current challenges for the early detection of alzheimer’s disease: brain imaging and csf studies. *Journal of clinical neurology*, 5(4):153–166, 2009.
- [165] Wolf-Dieter Heiss and Sibilla Zimmermann-Meinzingen. PET imaging in the differential diagnosis of vascular dementia. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, 322(1-2):268–273, November 2012.
- [166] Leonardo Iaccarino, Arianna Sala, Silvia Paola Caminiti, and Daniela Perani. The emerging role of PET imaging in dementia. *F1000 Research*, 6:1830, October 2017.
- [167] P. Pantano, F. Caramia, and A. Pierallini. The role of MRI in dementia. *The Italian Journal of Neurological Sciences*, 20(8):S250–S253, December 1999.
- [168] Gemma Lombardi, Giada Crescioli, Enrica Cavedo, Ersilia Lucenteforte, Giovanni Casazza, Alessandro-Giacco Bellatorre, Chiara Lista, Giorgio Costantino, Giovanni Frisoni, Gianni Virgili, et al.

- Structural magnetic resonance imaging for the early diagnosis of dementia due to alzheimer's disease in people with mild cognitive impairment. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 3(3), 2020.
- [169] José María Mateos-Pérez, Mahsa Dadar, María Lacalle-Aurioles, Yasser Iturria-Medina, Yashar Zeighami, and Alan C Evans. Structural neuroimaging as clinical predictor: A review of machine learning applications. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 20:506–522, 2018.
- [170] S. R. Felber. Magnetic resonance in the differential diagnosis of dementia. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, 109(7-8):1045–1051, July 2002.
- [171] Christian Ledig, Andreas Schuh, Ricardo Guerrero, Rolf A Heckemann, and Daniel Rueckert. Structural brain imaging in alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment: biomarker analysis and shared morphometry database. *Scientific reports*, 8(1):1–16, 2018.
- [172] James C Vickers, Stan Mitew, Adele Woodhouse, Carmen M Fernandez-Martos, Mathew T Kirkcaldie, Alison J Canty, Graeme H McCormack, and Anna E King. Defining the earliest pathological changes of alzheimer's disease. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 13(3):281–287, 2016.
- [173] Reisa Sperling. The potential of functional mri as a biomarker in early alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of aging*, 32:S37–S43, 2011.
- [174] Philip Scheltens, Nick Fox, Frederik Barkhof, and Charles De Carli. Structural magnetic resonance imaging in the practical assessment of dementia: beyond exclusion. *Lancet Neurology*, 1(1):13–21, May 2002.
- [175] J. Dauwels, F. Vialatte, and A. Cichocki. Diagnosis of alzheimers disease from EEG signals: Where are we standing? *Current Alzheimer Research*, 7(6):487–505, September 2010.
- [176] Dhiya Al-Jumeily, Shamaila Iram, Abir Jaffar Hussain, Vialatte Francois-Benois, and Paul Fergus. Early detection method of alzheimer's disease using eeg signals. In *International Conference on Intelligent Computing*, pages 25–33. Springer, 2014.
- [177] Sarah Hulbert and Hojjat Adeli. Eeg/meg-and imaging-based diagnosis of alzheimer's disease. *Reviews in the neurosciences*, 24(6):563–576, 2013.
- [178] Noor Kamal Al-Qazzaz, Sawal Hamid Bin MD. Ali, Siti Anom Ahmad, Kalaivani Chellappan, Md. Shabiul Islam, and Javier Escudero. Role of EEG as biomarker in the early detection and classification of dementia. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014:1–16, 2014.
- [179] L. Bonanni, A. Thomas, P. Tiraboschi, B. Perfetti, S. Varanese, and M. Onofri. EEG comparisons in early alzheimer's disease, dementia with lewy bodies and parkinson's disease with dementia patients with a 2-year follow-up. *Brain*, 131(3):690–705, February 2008.
- [180] Francesco Carlo Morabito, Maurizio Campolo, Nadia Mammone, Mario Versaci, Silvana Franceschetti, Fabrizio Tagliavini, Vito Sofia, Daniela Fatuzzo, Antonio Gambardella, Angelo Labate, Laura Mumoli, Giovanbat tista Gaspare Tripodi, Sara Gasparini, Vittoria Cianci, Chiara Sueri, Edoardo Ferlazzo, and Umberto Aguglia. Deep learning representation from electroencephalography of early-stage creutzfeldt-jakob disease and features for differentiation from rapidly progressive dementia. *International Journal of Neural Systems*, 27(02):1650039, December 2016.
- [181] David López-Sanz, Noelia Serrano, and Fernando Maestú. The role of magnetoencephalography in the early stages of alzheimer's disease. *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 12:572, 2018.
- [182] Laura E. Hughes, Richard N. Henson, Ernesto Pereda, Ricardo Bruña, David López-Sanz, Andrew J. Quinn, Mark W. Woolrich, Anna C. Nobre, James B. Rowe, and Fernando Maestú and. Biomagnetic biomarkers for dementia: A pilot multicentre study with a recommended methodological framework for magnetoencephalography. *Alzheimer's and Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment and Disease Monitoring*, 11(1):450–462, June 2019.
- [183] Pravat K. Mandal, Anwesha Banerjee, Manjari Tripathi, and Ankita Sharma. A comprehensive review of magnetoencephalography (MEG) studies for brain functionality in healthy aging and alzheimer's disease (AD). *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, 12, August 2018.
- [184] Yoshihisa Ikeda, Mitsuru Kikuchi, Moeko Noguchi-Shinohara, Kazuo Iwasa, Masafumi Kameya, Tetsu Hirohara, Mitsuhiro Yoshita, Kenjiro Ono, Miharu Samuraki-Yokohama, and Masahito Yamada. Spontaneous MEG activity of the cerebral cortex during eyes closed and open discriminates alzheimer's disease from cognitively normal older adults. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), June 2020.

- [185] Luciano de Gois Vasconcelos, Sonia Maria Dozzi Brucki, Andrea Parolin Jackowski, and Orlando Francisco Amodeo Bueno. Diffusion tensor imaging for alzheimer's disease: a review of concepts and potential clinical applicability. *Dementia & neuropsychologia*, 3(4):268, 2009.
- [186] Adam Staffaroni, Fanny Elahi, Dana McDermott, Kacey Marton, Elissaios Karageorgiou, Simone Sacco, Matteo Paoletti, Eduardo Caverzasi, Christopher Hess, Howard Rosen, and Michael Geschwind. Neuroimaging in dementia. *Seminars in Neurology*, 37(05):510–537, October 2017.
- [187] The nia alzheimer's disease research centers program national alzheimer's coordinating center. <https://naccdata.org/nacc-collaborations/about-nacc>, 19 September 2019.
- [188] Lilah Besser, Walter Kukull, David S. Knopman, Helena Chui, Douglas Galasko, Sandra Weintraub, Gregory Jicha, Cynthia Carlsson, Jeffrey Burns, Joseph Quinn, Robert A. Sweet, Katya Rascovsky, Merilee Teylan, Duane Beekly, George Thomas, Mark Bollenbeck, Sarah Monsell, Charles Mock, Xiao Hua Zhou, Nicole Thomas, Elizabeth Robichaud, Margaret Dean, Janene Hubbard, Mary Jacka, Kristen Schwabe-Fry, Joylee Wu, Creighton Phelps, and John C. Morris. Version 3 of the national alzheimer's coordinating center's uniform data set. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, 32(4):351–358, October 2018.
- [189] João Maroco, Dina Silva, Ana Rodrigues, Manuela Guerreiro, Isabel Santana, and Alexandre de Mendonça. Data mining methods in the prediction of dementia: A real-data comparison of the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of linear discriminant analysis, logistic regression, neural networks, support vector machines, classification trees and random forests. *BMC Research Notes*, 4(1), August 2011.
- [190] Pai-Yi Chiu, Haipeng Tang, Cheng-Yu Wei, Chaoyang Zhang, Guang-Uei Hung, and Weihua Zhou. Nmd-12: A new machine-learning derived screening instrument to detect mild cognitive impairment and dementia. *PLoS one*, 14(3), 2019.
- [191] R Borchert, T Azevedo, A Badhwar, J Bernal, M Betts, R Bruffaerts, MC Burkhart, I Dewachter, HM Gellersen, A Low, L Machado, CR Madan, M Malpetti, J Mejia, S Michopoulou, C Muñoz-Neira, M Peres, V Phillips, S Ramanan, S Tamburin, H Tantiangco, L Thakur, A Tomassini, A Vipin, E Tang, D Newby, J Ranson, D.J. Llewellyn, M Veldsman, and T Rittman. Artificial intelligence for diagnosis and prognosis in neuroimaging for dementia: a systematic review, December 2021.
- [192] Hongyoon Choi and Kyong Hwan Jin. Predicting cognitive decline with deep learning of brain metabolism and amyloid imaging. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 344:103–109, May 2018.
- [193] Danni Cheng and Manhua Liu. Cnns based multi-modality classification for ad diagnosis. In *2017 10th International Congress on Image and Signal Processing, BioMedical Engineering and Informatics (CISP-BMEI)*, pages 1–5, 2017.
- [194] Siqi Liu, Sidong Liu, Weidong Cai, Sonia Pujol, Ron Kikinis, and Dagan Feng. Early diagnosis of alzheimer's disease with deep learning. In *2014 IEEE 11th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI)*, pages 1015–1018, 2014.
- [195] Manhua Liu, Danni Cheng, and Weiwu Yan and. Classification of alzheimer's disease by combination of convolutional and recurrent neural networks using FDG-PET images. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 12, June 2018.
- [196] Heung-Il Suk, , Seong-Whan Lee, and Dinggang Shen. Latent feature representation with stacked auto-encoder for AD/MCI diagnosis. *Brain Structure and Function*, 220(2):841–859, December 2013.
- [197] Siqi Liu, Sidong Liu, Weidong Cai, Hangyu Che, Sonia Pujol, Ron Kikinis, Dagan Feng, Michael J. Fulham, and ADNI. Multimodal neuroimaging feature learning for multiclass diagnosis of alzheimer's disease. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 62(4):1132–1140, April 2015.
- [198] Sayantan Kumar, Inez Oh, Suzanne Schindler, Albert M Lai, Philip R O Payne, and Aditi Gupta. Machine learning for modeling the progression of alzheimer disease dementia using clinical data: a systematic literature review. *JAMIA Open*, 4(3), July 2021.
- [199] Kathryn A Ellis, Ashley I Bush, David Darby, Daniela De Fazio, Jonathan Foster, Peter Hudson, Nicola T. Lautenschlager, Nat Lenzo, Ralph N. Martins, Paul Maruff, Colin Masters, Andrew Milner, Kerryn Pike, Christopher Rowe, Greg Savage, Cassandra Szoeki, Kevin Taddei, Victor Villemagne, Michael Woodward, and David Ames and. The australian imaging, biomarkers and lifestyle (AIBL) study of aging: methodology and baseline characteristics of 1112 individuals recruited for a longitudinal study of alzheimer's disease. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 21(4):672–687, August 2009.

- [200] Delshad Vaghari, Ricardo Bruna, Laura E. Hughes, David Nesbitt, Roni Tibon, James B. Rowe, Fernando Maestu, and Richard N. Henson. A multi-site, multi-participant magnetoencephalography resting-state dataset to study dementia: The biofind dataset. *NeuroImage*, 258:119344, 2022.
- [201] Ian B. Malone, David Cash, Gerard R. Ridgway, David G. MacManus, Sebastien Ourselin, Nick C. Fox, and Jonathan M. Schott. Miriad—public release of a multiple time point alzheimer’s mr imaging dataset. *NeuroImage*, 70:33–36, 2013.
- [202] Daniel S. Marcus, Tracy H. Wang, Jamie Parker, John G. Csernansky, John C. Morris, and Randy L. Buckner. Open access series of imaging studies (OASIS): Cross-sectional MRI data in young, middle aged, nondemented, and demented older adults. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 19(9):1498–1507, September 2007.
- [203] Colin Birkenbihl, Sarah Westwood, Liu Shi, Alejo Nevado-Holgado, Eric Westman, Simon Lovestone, on behalf of the AddNeuroMed Consortium, and Martin Hofmann-Apitius. Anmerge: A comprehensive and accessible alzheimer’s disease patient-level dataset. *Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease*, 79(1):423–431, January 2021.
- [204] Duane L. Beekly, Erin M. Ramos, Gerald van Belle, Woodrow Deitrich, Amber D. Clark, Mary E. Jacka, Walter A. Kukull, and NIA-Alzheimer’s Disease Centers. The National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center (NACC) Database: an Alzheimer disease database. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*, 18(4):270–277, 2004.
- [205] Christopher G. Schwarz, Walter K. Kremers, Val J. Lowe, Marios Savvides, Jeffrey L. Gunter, Matthew L. Senjem, Prashanthi Vemuri, Kejal Kantarci, David S. Knopman, Ronald C. Petersen, and Clifford R. Jack. Face recognition from research brain PET: An unexpected PET problem. *NeuroImage*, 258:119357, September 2022.
- [206] Beth Brakewood and Russell A. Poldrack. The ethics of secondary data analysis: Considering the application of belmont principles to the sharing of neuroimaging data. *NeuroImage*, 82:671–676, November 2013.
- [207] Thomas Ploug and Søren Holm. Meta consent—a flexible solution to the problem of secondary use of health data. *Bioethics*, 30(9):721–732, September 2016.
- [208] Elise Bannier, Gareth Barker, Valentina Borghesani, Nils Broeckx, Patricia Clement, Kyrre E. Emblem, Satrajit Ghosh, Enrico Glerean, Krzysztof J. Gorgolewski, Marko Havu, Yaroslav O. Halchenko, Peer Herholz, Anne Hes pel, Stephan Heunis, Yue Hu, Chuan-Peng Hu, Dorien Huijser, María Iglesia Vayá, Radim Jancalek, Vasileios K. Katsaros, Marie-Luise Kieseler, Camille Maumet, Clara A. Moreau, Henk-Jan Mutsaerts, Robert Oostenveld, Esin Ozturk-Isik, Nicolas Pascual Leone Espinosa, John Pellman, Cyril R Pernet, Francesca Benedetta Pizzini, Amira Šerifovic Trbali ´ c, Paule-Joanne Toussaint, Matteo Visconti di Oleggio Castello, Fengjuan Wang, Cheng ´ Wang, and Hua Zhu. The open brain consent: Informing research participants and obtaining consent to share brain imaging data. *Human Brain Mapping*, 42(7):1945–1951, February 2021.
- [209] Carl F. Craver and R. Shayna Rosenbaum. Consent without memory. In *New Directions in the Philosophy of Memory*, pages 259–275. Routledge, April 2018.
- [210] Graeme Mackenzie and Robert Will. Creutzfeldt-jakob disease: recent developments. *F1000Research*, 6:2053, November 2017.
- [211] Christopher R. Madan. Scan once, analyse many: Using large open-access neuroimaging datasets to understand the brain. *Neuroinformatics*, 20(1):109–137, May 2021.
- [212] Prafulla N. Dawadi, Diane J. Cook, Maureen Schmitter-Edgecombe, and Carolyn Parsey. Automated assessment of cognitive health using smart home technologies. *Technology and Health Care*, 21(4):323–343, August 2013.
- [213] Bettina S. Husebo, Hannah L. Heintz, Line I. Berge, Praise Owoyemi, Aniq T. Rahman, and Ipsit V. Vahia. Sensing technology to monitor behavioral and psychological symptoms and to assess treatment response in people with dementia. a systematic review. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 10, February 2020.
- [214] Ane Alberdi, Alyssa Weakley, Maureen Schmitter-Edgecombe, Diane J. Cook, Asier Aztiria, Adrian Basarab, and Maitane Barrenechea. Smart home-based prediction of multidomain symptoms related to alzheimer’s disease. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 22(6):1720–1731, November 2018.
- [215] Wendy Moyle, Jenny Murfield, and Katarzyna Lion. The effectiveness of smart home technologies to support the health outcomes of community-dwelling older adults living with dementia: A scoping review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 153:104513, September 2021.

- [216] Majid Zamiri, Joao Sarraipa, Fernando Luis-Ferreira, Gary Mc Manus, Philip O'Brien, Luis M. Camarinha Matos, and Ricardo Jardim-Goncalves. Review of technology-supported multimodal solutions for people with dementia. *sensors*, 21(14):4806, July 2021.
- [217] Nazia Gillani and Tughrul Arslan. Intelligent sensing technologies for the diagnosis, monitoring and therapy of alzheimer's disease: A systematic review. *Sensors*, 21(12):4249, June 2021.
- [218] Pankaj Deep Kaur and Pallavi Sharma. IC-SMART: IoTCloud enabled seamless monitoring for alzheimer diagnosis and rehabilitation SysTem. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 11(8):3387–3403, October 2019.
- [219] Federico Alvarez, Mirela Popa, Vassilios Solachidis, Gustavo Hernández-Peñaloza, Alberto Belmonte-Hernández, Stylianos Asteriadis, Nicholas Vretos, Marcos Quintana, Thomas Theodoridis, Dario Dotti, and Petros Daras. Behavior analysis through multimodal sensing for care of parkinson's and alzheimer's patients. *IEEE MultiMedia*, 25(1):14–25, 2018.
- [220] Marie Chan, Eric Campo, Daniel Estève, and Jean-Yves Fourniols. Smart homes — current features and future perspectives. *Maturitas*, 64(2):90–97, 2009.
- [221] Lili Liu, Eleni Stroulia, Ioanis Nikolaidis, Antonio Miguel-Cruz, and Adriana Rios Rincon. Smart homes and home health monitoring technologies for older adults: A systematic review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 91:44–59, July 2016.
- [222] Matsuoka. Aware home understanding life activities. towards a human- friendly assistive environment. in 2004. In *Proceedings of the international conference on smart homes and health telematics*, pages 186–193, 2004.
- [223] Pouria Khosravi and Amir Hossein Ghapanchi. Investigating the effectiveness of technologies applied to assist seniors: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 85(1):17–26, 2016.
- [224] Marina B. Wasilewski, Jennifer N. Stinson, and Jill I. Cameron. Web-based health interventions for family caregivers of elderly individuals: A scoping review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 103:109–138, July 2017.
- [225] Anne Margriet Pot, Bernadette M. Willemse, and Sarah Horjus. A pilot study on the use of tracking technology: Feasibility, acceptability, and benefits for people in early stages of dementia and their informal caregivers. *Aging Mental Health*, 16(1):127–134, July 2011.
- [226] Franka Meiland, Anthea Innes, Gail Mountain, Louise Robinson, Henriëtte van der Roest, J Antonio García Casal, Dianne Gove, Jochen René Thyrian, Shirley Evans, Rose-Marie Dröes, Fiona Kelly, Alexander Kurz, Dymrna Casey, Dorota Szczesniak, Tom Denning, Michael P Craven, Marijke Span, Heike Felzmann, Magda ' Tsolaki, and Manuel Franco-Martin. Technologies to support community-dwelling persons with dementia: A position paper on issues regarding development, usability, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, deployment, and ethics. *JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies*, 4(1):e1, January 2017.
- [227] Chantal Kerssens, Renu Kumar, Anne E. Adams, Camilla C. Knott, Laura Matalenas, Jon A. Sanford, and Wendy A. Rogers. Personalized technology to support older adults with and without cognitive impairment living at home. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementiasr*, 30(1):85–97, January 2015.
- [228] Grant Gibson, Claire Dickinson, Katie Brittain, and Louise Robinson. The everyday use of assistive technology by people with dementia and their family carers: a qualitative study. *BMC Geriatrics*, 15(1), July 2015.
- [229] J. Carter and M. Rosen. Unobtrusive sensing of activities of daily living: a preliminary report. In *Proceedings of the First Joint BMES/EMBS Conference. 1999 IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology 21st Annual Conference and the 1999 Annual Fall Meeting of the Biomedical Engineering Society (Cat. N*, volume 2, pages 678 vol.2–, 1999.
- [230] Iracema Leroi, John Woolham, Rebecca Gathercole, Robert Howard, Barbara Dunk, Chris Fox, John O'Brien, Andrew Bateman, Fiona Poland, Peter Bentham, Alistair Burns, Anna Davies, Kirsty Forsyth, Richard Gray, Martin Knapp, Stanton Newman, Rupert McShane, and Craig Ritchie. Does telecare prolong community living in dementia? a study protocol for a pragmatic, randomised controlled trial. *Trials*, 14(1):349, 2013.
- [231] Maqbool Hussain, Taqdir Ali, Wajahat Ali Khan, Muhammad Afzal, Sungyoung Lee, and Khalid Latif. Recommendations service for chronic disease patient in multimodel sensors home environment. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 21(3):185–199, March 2015.

- [232] Jennifer H. Lingler, Susan M. Sereika, Carolyn M. Amspaugh, Janet A. Arida, Mary E. Happ, Martin P. Houze, Robert R. Kaufman, Melissa L. Knox, Lisa K. Tamres, Fengyan Tang, and Judith A. Erlen. An intervention to maximize medication management by caregivers of persons with memory loss: Intervention overview and two-month outcomes. *Geriatric Nursing*, 37(3):186–191, May 2016.
- [233] Aung Aung Phyo Wai, Victor Foo Siang Fook, Maniyeri Jayachandran, Jit Biswas, Chris D. Nugent, Maurice D. Mulvenna, Jer-En Lee, and Philp Yap Lian Kiat. Smart wireless continence management system for persons with dementia. *Telemedicine journal and e-health : the official journal of the American Telemedicine Association*, 14 8:825–32, 2008.
- [234] Huadong Wu and Mel Siegel. Odor-based incontinence sensor. In *Proceedings of 17th IEEE Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference (IMTC '00)*, volume 1, pages 63 – 68, May 2000.
- [235] Meredith A. Rowe, Stephen Lane, and Chad A. Phipps. Carewatch: A home monitoring system for use in homes of persons with cognitive impairment. *Topics in Geriatric Rehabilitation*, 23:3–8, 2007.
- [236] Adam C. Powell, Jasmine K. Ludhar, and Yuri Ostrovsky. Electronic health record use in an affluent region in india: Findings from a survey of chandigarh hospitals. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 103:78–82, July 2017.
- [237] Sofia Starkhammar and Louise Nygård. Using a timer device for the stove: Experiences of older adults with memory impairment or dementia and their families. *Technology and Disability*, 20(3):179–191, October 2008.
- [238] F J M Meiland, A Reinersmann, B Bergvall-Kareborn, D Craig, F Moelaert, M D Mulvenna, C Nugent, T Scully, J E Bengtsson, and R M Dröes. COGKNOW development and evaluation of an ICT-device for people with mild dementia. *Stud. Health Technol. Inform.*, 127:166–177, 2007.
- [239] R. C. Petersen, J.C. Stevens, M. Ganguli, E. G. Tangalos, J.L. Cummings, and S. T. DeKosky. Practice parameter: Early detection of dementia: Mild cognitive impairment (an evidence-based review) [RETIRED]. *Neurology*, 56(9):1133–1142, May 2001.
- [240] Françoise Lekeu, Vinciane Wojtasik, Martial Van der Linden, and Eric Salmon. Training early alzheimer patients to use a mobile phone. *Acta Neurol. Belg.*, 102(3):114–121, September 2002.
- [241] Megan Witte Bewernitz, William C. Mann, Patricia Dasler, and Patricia Belchior. Feasibility of machine-based prompting to assist persons with dementia. *Assistive Technology*, 21(4):196–207, November 2009.
- [242] Cathrine Arntzen, Torhild Holthe, and Rita Jentoft. Tracing the successful incorporation of assistive technology into everyday life for younger people with dementia and family carers. *Dementia*, 15(4):646–662, April 2014.
- [243] Ioulietta Lazarou, Anastasios Karakostas, Thanos G. Stavropoulos, Theodoros Tsompanidis, Georgios Meditskos, Ioannis Kompatsiaris, and Magda Tsolaki. A novel and intelligent home monitoring system for care support of elders with cognitive impairment. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 54(4):1561–1591, October 2016.
- [244] Pouria Khosravi and Amir Hossein Ghapanchi. Investigating the effectiveness of technologies applied to assist seniors: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 85(1):17–26, January 2016.
- [245] Laura Ault, Rafik Goubran, Bruce Wallace, Hailey Lowden, and Frank Knoefel. Smart home technology solution for night-time wandering in persons with dementia. *Journal of Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies Engineering*, 7:205566832093859, January 2020.
- [246] Matias Garcia-Constantino, Claire Orr, Jonathan Synnott, Colin Shewell, Andrew Ennis, Ian Cleland, Chris Nugent, Joseph Rafferty, Gareth Morrison, Leona Larkham, Sharon McIlroy, and Andrea Selby. Design and implementation of a smart home in a box to monitor the wellbeing of residents with dementia in care homes. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 3, December 2021.
- [247] John P.K. Bernstein, Katherine Dorociak, Nora Mattek, Mira Leese, Chelsea Trapp, Zachary Beattie, Jeffrey Kaye, and Adriana Hughes. Unobtrusive, in-home assessment of older adults' everyday activities and health events: associations with cognitive performance over a brief observation period. *Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition*, 29(5):781–798, April 2021.
- [248] Zachary Beattie, Lyndsey M. Miller, Carlos Almirola, Wan-Tai M. Au-Yeung, Hannah Bernard, Kevin E. Cosgrove, Hiroko H. Dodge, Charlene J. Gamboa, Ona Golonka, Sarah Gothard, Sam Harbison, Stephanie Irish, Judith Kornfeld, Jonathan Lee, Jennifer Marcoe, Nora C. Mattek, Charlie Quinn, Christina Reynolds,

- Thomas Riley, Nathaniel Rodrigues, Nicole Sharma, Mary Alice Siqueland, Neil W. Thomas, Timothy Truty, Rachel Wall, Katherine Wild, Chao-Yi Wu, Jason Karlawish, Nina B. Silverberg, Lisa L. Barnes, Sara Czaja, Lisa C. Silbert, and Jeffrey Kaye. The collaborative aging research using technology initiative: An open, sharable, technology-agnostic platform for the research community. *Digital Biomarkers*, 4(Suppl. 1):100–118, November 2020.
- [249] Nikolaos Liappas, José Gabriel Teriús-Padrón, Rebeca Isabel García-Betances, and María Fernanda Cabrera Umpiérrez. Advancing smart home awareness—a conceptual computational modelling framework for the execution of daily activities of people with alzheimer’s disease. *Sensors*, 22(1):166, December 2021.
- [250] Ioulietta Lazarou, Thanos G. Stavropoulos, Georgios Meditskos, Stelios Andreadis, Ioannis (Yiannis) Kompatsiaris, and Magda Tsolaki. Long-term impact of intelligent monitoring technology on people with cognitive impairment: An observational study. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 70(3):757–792, August 2019.
- [251] Walter Pirker and Regina Katzenschlager. Gait disorders in adults and the elderly. *Wiener klinische Wochenschrift*, 129(3-4):81–95, October 2016.
- [252] Riona Mc Ardle, Silvia Del Din, Paul C. Donaghy, Brook Galna, Alan J. Thomas, and Lynn Rochester. The impact of environment on gait assessment: Considerations from real-world gait analysis in dementia subtypes. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 21, 2021.
- [253] Riona Mc Ardle, Rosie Morris, Joanna Wilson, Brook Galna, Alan J. Thomas, and Lynn Rochester. What can quantitative gait analysis tell us about dementia and its subtypes? a structured review. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 60(4):1295–1312, November 2017.
- [254] Riona Mc Ardle, Brook Galna, Paul Donaghy, Alan Thomas, and Lynn Rochester. Do alzheimer's and lewy body disease have discrete pathological signatures of gait? *Alzheimer's and Dementia*, 15(10):1367–1377, September 2019.
- [255] Alanna C. Cote, Riley J. Phelps, Nina Shaafi Kabiri, Jaspreet S. Bhangu, and Kevin “Kip” Thomas. Evaluation of wearable technology in dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 7, January 2021.
- [256] Anne-Marie De Cock, Erik Fransen, Stany Perikisas, Veronique Verhoeven, Olivier Beauchet, Maurits Vande woude, and Roy Remmen. Comprehensive quantitative spatiotemporal gait analysis identifies gait characteristics for early dementia subtyping in community dwelling older adults. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 10, April 2019.
- [257] Olivier Beauchet, Gilles Allali, Gilles Berrut, Caroline Hommet, Véronique Dubost, and Frédéric Assal. Gait analysis in demented subjects: Interests and perspectives. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.*, 4(1):155–160, February 2008.
- [258] Riona Mc Ardle, Silvia Del Din, Brook Galna, Alan Thomas, and Lynn Rochester. Differentiating dementia disease subtypes with gait analysis: feasibility of wearable sensors? *Gait & Posture*, 76:372–376, February 2020.
- [259] Haiqun Xie, Yukai Wang, Shuai Tao, Shuyun Huang, Chengguo Zhang, and Zeping Lv. Wearable sensor-based daily life walking assessment of gait for distinguishing individuals with amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 11, October 2019.
- [260] Grazia Cicirelli, Donato Impedovo, Vincenzo Dentamaro, Roberto Marani, Giuseppe Pirlo, and Tiziana R D’Orazio. Human gait analysis in neurodegenerative diseases: a review. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 26(1):229–242, 2021.
- [261] Vincenzo Dentamaro, Donato Impedovo, and Giuseppe Pirlo. Gait analysis for early neurodegenerative diseases classification through the kinematic theory of rapid human movements. *IEEE Access*, 8:193966–193980, 2020.
- [262] Réjean Plamondon. A kinematic theory of rapid human movements: Part i. movement representation and generation. *Biological cybernetics*, 72(4):295–307, 1995.
- [263] Mohamed Cheriet, Vincenzo Dentamaro, Mohammed Hamdan, Donato Impedovo, and Giuseppe Pirlo. Multi speed transformer network for neurodegenerative disease assessment and activity recognition. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, page 107344, 2023.
- [264] Marianna Amboni, Paolo Barone, and Jeffrey M. Hausdorff. Cognitive contributions to gait and falls: Evidence and implications. *Movement Disorders*, 28(11):1520–1533, September 2013.

- [265] Sina Mehdizadeh, Karen Van Ooteghem, Heidi Gulka, Hoda Nabavi, Mohammadreza Faieghi, Babak Taati, and Andrea Iaboni. A systematic review of center of pressure measures to quantify gait changes in older adults. *Experimental Gerontology*, 143:111170, January 2021.
- [266] Fen-Fang Hong, Shu-Long Yang, Huang Kuang, Yu-Ge Zhu, Zhi-Feng Zhou, and Mei-Wen Yang. Sleep disorders in alzheimer’s disease: the predictive roles and potential mechanisms. *Neural Regeneration Research*, 16(10):1965, 2021.
- [267] Karen M. Rose and Rebecca Lorenz. Sleep disturbances in dementia: What they are and what to do. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 36(5):9–14, May 2010.
- [268] Donato Impedovo, Giuseppe Pirlo, Gennaro Vessio, and Maria Teresa Angelillo. A handwriting-based protocol for assessing neurodegenerative dementia. *Cognitive computation*, 11(4):576–586, 2019.
- [269] Donato Impedovo and Giuseppe Pirlo. Dynamic handwriting analysis for the assessment of neurodegenerative diseases: a pattern recognition perspective. *IEEE reviews in biomedical engineering*, 12:209–220, 2018.
- [270] Perla Werner, Sara Rosenblum, Gady Bar-On, Jeremia Heinik, and Amos Korczyn. Handwriting process variables discriminating mild alzheimer’s disease and mild cognitive impairment. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 61(4):P228–P236, 2006.
- [271] Eric R Kandel, James H Schwartz, Thomas M Jessell, Steven Siegelbaum, A James Hudspeth, Sarah Mack, et al. *Principles of neural science*, volume 4. McGraw-hill New York, 2000.
- [272] Claudio De Stefano, Francesco Fontanella, Donato Impedovo, Giuseppe Pirlo, and Alessandra Scotto di Freca. Handwriting analysis to support neurodegenerative diseases diagnosis: A review. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 121:37–45, 2019.
- [273] Maria Teresa Angelillo, Donato Impedovo, Giuseppe Pirlo, Lucia Sarcinella, and Gennaro Vessio. Handwriting dynamics as an indicator of cognitive reserve: An exploratory study. In *2019 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics (SMC)*, pages 835–840. IEEE, 2019.
- [274] Nicole Dalia Cilia, Claudio De Stefano, Francesco Fontanella, Mario Molinara, and Alessandra Scotto Di Freca. Handwriting analysis to support alzheimer’s disease diagnosis: a preliminary study. In *International Conference on Computer Analysis of Images and Patterns*, pages 143–151. Springer, 2019.
- [275] M Brazzelli, E Capitani, S Della Sala, H Spinnler, and M Zuffi. A neuropsychological instrument adding to the description of patients with suspected cortical dementia: the milan overall dementia assessment. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 57(12):1510–1517, 1994.
- [276] Vincenzo Dentamaro, Donato Impedovo, and Giuseppe Pirlo. An analysis of tasks and features for neurodegenerative disease assessment by handwriting. In *International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, pages 536–545. Springer, 2021.
- [277] Donato Impedovo, Giuseppe Pirlo, Fabrizio Balducci, Vincenzo Dentamaro, Lucia Sarcinella, and Gennaro Vessio. Investigating the sigma-lognormal model for disease classification by handwriting. In *THE LOGNORMALITY PRINCIPLE AND ITS APPLICATIONS IN E-SECURITY, E-LEARNING AND E-HEALTH*, pages 195–209. World Scientific, 2021.
- [278] Giuseppe Pirlo, Moises Diaz, Miguel Angel Ferrer, Donato Impedovo, Fabrizio Occhionero, and Urbano Zurlo. Early diagnosis of neurodegenerative diseases by handwritten signature analysis. In *International Conference on Image Analysis and Processing*, pages 290–297. Springer, 2015.
- [279] Vincenzo Dentamaro, Paolo Giglio, Donato Impedovo, and Giuseppe Pirlo. Benchmarking of shallow learning and deep learning techniques with transfer learning for neurodegenerative disease assessment through handwriting. In *International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition*, pages 7–20. Springer, 2021.
- [280] Nicole D Cilia, Tiziana D’Alessandro, Claudio De Stefano, Francesco Fontanella, and Mario Molinara. From online handwriting to synthetic images for alzheimer’s disease detection using a deep transfer learning approach. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 25(12):4243–4254, 2021.
- [281] Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8):1735–1780, 1997.
- [282] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.



- [283] Peter Drotár, Jiří Mekyska, Irena Rektorová, Lucia Masarová, Zdenek Smékal, and Marcos Faundez-Zanuy. Evaluation of handwriting kinematics and pressure for differential diagnosis of parkinson's disease. *Artificial intelligence in Medicine*, 67:39–46, 2016.
- [284] Clayton R Pereira, Silke AT Weber, Christian Hook, Gustavo H Rosa, and Joao P Papa. Deep learning-aided parkinson's disease diagnosis from handwritten dynamics. In *2016 29th SIBGRAPI Conference on Graphics, Patterns and Images (SIBGRAPI)*, pages 340–346. Ieee, 2016.
- [285] Muhammed Isenkul, Betul Sakar, Olcay Kursun, et al. Improved spiral test using digitized graphics tablet for monitoring parkinson's disease. In *Proc. of the Int'l Conf. on e-Health and Telemedicine*, pages 171–5, 2014.
- [286] Donato Impedovo, Giuseppe Pirlo, Francesco Maurizio Mangini, Donato Barbuzzi, Andrea Rollo, Alessandro Balestrucci, Sebastiano Impedovo, L Sarcinella, Christian O'Reilly, and Rejean Plamondon. Writing generation model for health care neuromuscular system investigation. In *International Meeting on Computational Intelligence Methods for Bioinformatics and Biostatistics*, pages 137–148. Springer, 2013.
- [287] Laurence Likforman-Sulem, Anna Esposito, Marcos Faundez-Zanuy, Stéphan Cléménçon, and Gennaro Cordasco. Emothaw: A novel database for emotional state recognition from handwriting and drawing. *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, 47(2):273–284, 2017.
- [288] Nicole D Cilia, Giuseppe De Gregorio, Claudio De Stefano, Francesco Fontanella, Angelo Marcelli, and Antonio Parziale. Diagnosing alzheimer's disease from on-line handwriting: a novel dataset and performance benchmarking. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 111:104822, 2022.
- [289] Mahboobeh Parsapoor, Muhammad Raisul Alam, and Alex Mihailidis. Performance of machine learning algorithms for dementia assessment: impacts of language tasks, recording media, and modalities. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 23(1), March 2023.
- [290] Ulla Petti, Simon Baker, and Anna Korhonen. A systematic literature review of automatic alzheimer's disease detection from speech and language. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 27(11):1784–1797, September 2020.
- [291] Slegers, Antoine, Filiou, Renee-Pier, Montembeault, Maxime, Brambati, and Simona Maria. Connected speech features from picture description in alzheimer's disease: A systematic review. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 65(2):1–26, 2018.
- [292] Alireza Roshanzamir, Hamid Aghajan, and Mahdieh Soleymani Baghshah. Transformer-based deep neural network language models for alzheimer's disease risk assessment from targeted speech. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 21(1), March 2021.
- [293] Erik Edwards, Charles Dognin, Bajibabu Bollepalli, and Maneesh Singh. Multiscale system for alzheimer's dementia recognition through spontaneous speech. In *Interspeech 2020*. ISCA, October 2020.
- [294] Romola S Bucks, Sameer Singh, Joanne M Cuerden, and Gordon K Wilcock. Analysis of spontaneous, conversational speech in dementia of alzheimer type: Evaluation of an objective technique for analysing lexical performance. *Aphasiology*, 14(1):71–91, 2000.
- [295] Brian Roark, Margaret Mitchell, John-Paul Hosom, Kristy Hollingshead, and Jeffrey Kaye. Spoken language derived measures for detecting mild cognitive impairment. *IEEE transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, 19(7):2081–2090, 2011.
- [296] László Tóth, Gábor Gosztolya, Veronika Vincze, Ildikó Hoffmann, Gréta Szatlóczi, Edit Biró, Fruzsina Zsura, Magdolna Pákáski, and János Kálmán. Automatic detection of mild cognitive impairment from spontaneous speech using asr. In *INTERSPEECH*, 2015.
- [297] Amish Mittal, Sourav Sahoo, Arnhav Datar, Juned Kadiwala, Hrithwik Shalu, and Jimson Mathew. Multi-modal detection of alzheimer's disease from speech and text. *ArXiv*, abs/2012.00096, 2020.
- [298] Loukas Ilias, Dimitris Askounis, and John Psarras. Detecting dementia from speech and transcripts using transformers, 2021.
- [299] Juan Ignacio Godino-Llorente, Pedro Gómez-Vilda, Nicolás Sáenz-Lechón, Manuel Blanco-Velasco, Fernando Cruz-Roldán, and Miguel Angel Ferrer-Ballester. Support vector machines applied to the detection of voice disorders. In *International Conference on Nonlinear Analyses and Algorithms for Speech Processing*, pages

- [300] Samrah Ahmed, Anne-Marie F Haigh, Celeste A de Jager, and Peter Garrard. Connected speech as a marker of disease progression in autopsy-proven alzheimer’s disease. *Brain*, 136(12):3727–3737, 2013.
- [301] Yilin Pan, Bahman Mirheidari, Markus Reuber, Annalena Venneri, Daniel Blackburn, and Heidi Christensen. Automatic hierarchical attention neural network for detecting ad. *Proc. Interspeech 2019*, pages 4105–4109, 2019.
- [302] Yann Lecun and Yoshua Bengio. *Convolutional networks for images, speech, and time-series*, chapter The handbook of brain theory and neural networks. MIT Press, 1995.
- [303] Jacqueline K. Kueper, Mark Speechley, and Manuel Montero-Odasso. The alzheimer’s disease assessment scale–cognitive subscale (adas-cog): Modifications and responsiveness in pre-dementia populations. a narrative review. *Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease*, 63(2):423–444, April 2018.
- [304] Еда Джан and Курюоглу Гульмира. Spontaneous speech in dementia. *PSYCHOLINGUISTICS*, 26(2):175–189, November 2019.
- [305] Samantha Green, Satu Reivonen, Lisa-Marie Rutter, Eva Nouzova, Nikki Duncan, Caoimhe Clarke, Alasdair MJ MacLulich, and Zoe Tiegies. Investigating speech and language impairments in delirium: a preliminary case control study. *PloS one*, 13(11), 2018.
- [306] László Tóth, Ildikó Hoffmann, Gábor Gosztolya, Veronika Vincze, Gréta Sztalóczki, Zoltán Bánréti, Magdolna Pákáski, and János Kálmán. A speech recognition-based solution for the automatic detection of mild cognitive impairment from spontaneous speech. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 15(2):130–138, 2018.
- [307] Emil Fristed, Caroline Skirrow, Marton Meszaros, Raphael Lenain, Udeepa Meepegama, Stefano Cappa, Dag Aarsland, and Jack Weston. A remote speech-based ai system to screen for early alzheimer’s disease via smartphones. *Alzheimer’s & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, 14(1):e12366, 2022.
- [308] R'mani Haulcy and James Glass. Classifying alzheimer's disease using audio and text-based representations of speech. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, January 2021.
- [309] Shohei Kato, Akira Homma, and Takuto Sakuma. Easy screening for mild alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment from elderly speech. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 15(2):104–110, January 2018.
- [310] Aharon Satt, Ron Hoory, Alexandra König, Pauline Aalten, and Philippe Robert. Speech-based automatic and robust detection of very early dementia. In *INTERSPEECH 2014*, 05 2014.
- [311] Juan José G Meilán, Francisco Martínez-Sánchez, Juan Carro, Dolores E López, Lymarie Millian-Morell, and José M Arana. Speech in alzheimer’s disease: Can temporal and acoustic parameters discriminate dementia? *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 37(5-6):327–334, 2014.
- [312] Karmele Lopez-de Ipina, Jesus Bernardino Alonso, Carlos M Travieso, Harkaitz Egiraun, Miriam Ecay, Aitzol Ezeiza, Nora Barroso, and Pablo Martinez-Lage. Automatic analysis of emotional response based on non-linear speech modeling oriented to alzheimer disease diagnosis. In *2013 IEEE 17th International Conference on Intelligent Engineering Systems (INES)*, pages 61–64. IEEE, 2013.
- [313] Mahboobeh Parsapoor, Muhammad Raisul Alam, and Alex Mihailidis. Ai-powered language assessment tools for dementia, 2022.
- [314] Flavio Di Palo and Natalie Parde. Enriching neural models with targeted features for dementia detection. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop*, pages 302–308, Florence, Italy, July 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [315] Nicole L. Bjorklund, Howard Fillit, Kristina Malzbender, Shobha Purushothama, and Lampros Kourtis. The need for a harmonized speech dataset for alzheimer’s disease biomarker development. *Exploration of Medicine*, 1(6), November 2020.
- [316] Alexandra König, Aharon Satt, Alexander Sorin, Ron Hoory, Orith Toledo-Ronen, Alexandre Derreumaux, Valeria Manera, Frans Verhey, Pauline Aalten, Phillipe H. Robert, and Renaud David. Automatic speech analysis for the assessment of patients with predementia and alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, 1(1):112–124, March 2015.
- [317] Max A Little, Patrick E McSharry, Stephen J Roberts, Declan AE Costello, and Irene M Moroz. Exploiting

- nonlinear recurrence and fractal scaling properties for voice disorder detection. *BioMedical Engineering OnLine*, 6(1):23, 2007.
- [318] Bahman Mirheidari, Daniel Blackburn, Traci Walker, Markus Reuber, and Heidi Christensen. Dementia detection using automatic analysis of conversations. *Computer Speech & Language*, 53:65–79, 2019.
- [319] Karmele Lopez de Ipina, Unai Martinez de Lizarduy, Pilar M. Calvo, Jiri Mekyska, Blanca Beitia, Nora Barroso, Ainara Estanga, Milkel Tainta, and Mirian Ecay-Torres. Advances on automatic speech analysis for early detection of alzheimer disease: A non-linear multi-task approach. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 15(2):139–148, January 2018.
- [320] Amit Meghanani, C. S. Anoop, and Angarai Ganesan Ramakrishnan. Recognition of alzheimer’s dementia from the transcriptions of spontaneous speech using fastText and CNN models. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 3, March 2021.
- [321] Ali Khodabakhsh, Fatih Yesil, Ekrem Guner, and Cenk Demiroglu. Evaluation of linguistic and prosodic features for detection of alzheimer’s disease in turkish conversational speech. *EURASIP Journal on Audio, Speech, and Music Processing*, 2015(1), March 2015.
- [322] Karol Chlasta and Krzysztof Wołk. Towards computer-based automated screening of dementia through spontaneous speech. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, February 2021.
- [323] Saturnino Luz, Fasih Haider, Sofía de la Fuente, Davida Fromm, and Brian MacWhinney. Detecting cognitive decline using speech only: The ADReSSO challenge, March 2021.
- [324] Yi-Wei Chien, Sheng-Yi Hong, Wen-Ting Cheah, Li-Hung Yao, Yu-Ling Chang, and Li-Chen Fu. An automatic assessment system for alzheimer’s disease based on speech using feature sequence generator and recurrent neural network. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), December 2019.
- [325] Matej Martinc, Fasih Haider, Senja Pollak, and Saturnino Luz. Temporal integration of text transcripts and acoustic features for alzheimer’s diagnosis based on spontaneous speech. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 13, June 2021.
- [326] Roozbeh Sadeghian, J. David Schaffer, and Stephen A. Zahorian. Towards an automatic speech-based diagnostic test for alzheimer’s disease. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 3, April 2021.
- [327] Charalambos Themistocleous, Marie Eckerström, and Dimitrios Kokkinakis. Identification of mild cognitive impairment from speech in swedish using deep sequential neural networks. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 9, November 2018.
- [328] Flavio Bertini, Davide Allevi, Gianluca Lutero, Danilo Montesi, and Laura Calzà. Automatic speech classifier for mild cognitive impairment and early dementia. *ACM Transactions on Computing for Healthcare*, 3(1):1–11, January 2022.
- [329] Charalambos Themistocleous, Marie Eckerström, and Dimitrios Kokkinakis. Voice quality and speech fluency distinguish individuals with mild cognitive impairment from healthy controls. *PLOS ONE*, 15(7):e0236009, July 2020.
- [330] Zehra Shah, Jeffrey Sawalha, Mashrura Tasnim, Shi ang Qi, Eleni Stroulia, and Russell Greiner. Learning language and acoustic models for identifying alzheimer’s dementia from speech. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 3, February 2021.
- [331] Hali Lindsay, Johannes Tröger, and Alexandra König. Language impairment in alzheimer’s disease—robust and explainable evidence for AD-related deterioration of spontaneous speech through multilingual machine learning. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 13, May 2021.
- [332] Yue Guo, Changye Li, Carol Roan, Serguei Pakhomov, and Trevor Cohen. Crossing the “cookie theft” corpus chasm: Applying what BERT learns from outside data to the ADReSS challenge dementia detection task. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 3, April 2021.
- [333] Yamanki Santander-Cruz, Sebastián Salazar-Colores, Wilfrido Jacobo Paredes-García, Humberto Guendulain Arenas, and Saúl Tovar-Arriaga. Semantic feature extraction using SBERT for dementia detection. *Brain Sciences*, 12(2):270, February 2022.
- [334] Sylvester Olubolu Orimaye, Jojo Sze-Meng Wong, and Chee Piau Wong. Deep language space neural network for classifying mild cognitive impairment and alzheimer-type dementia. *PLOS ONE*, 13(11):e0205636, November 2018.

- [335] Austin Cheng-Yun Tsai, Sheng-Yi Hong, Li-Hung Yao, Wei-Der Chang, Li-Chen Fu, and Yu-Ling Chang. An efficient context-aware screening system for alzheimer's disease based on neuropsychology test. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), September 2021.
- [336] Akihiro Shimoda, Yue Li, Hana Hayashi, and Naoki Kondo. Dementia risks identified by vocal features via telephone conversations: A novel machine learning prediction model. *PLOS ONE*, 16(7):e0253988, July 2021.
- [337] Elif Eyigoz, Sachin Mathur, Mar Santamaria, Guillermo Cecchi, and Melissa Naylor. Linguistic markers predict onset of alzheimer's disease. *EClinicalMedicine*, 28:100583, November 2020.
- [338] Zhiqiang Guo, Zhaoqi Liu, Zhenhua Ling, Shijin Wang, Lingjing Jin, and Yunxia Li. Text classification by contrastive learning and cross-lingual data augmentation for Alzheimer's disease detection. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 6161–6171, Barcelona, Spain (Online), December 2020. International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- [339] Sweta Karlekar, Tong Niu, and Mohit Bansal. Detecting linguistic characteristics of Alzheimer's dementia by interpreting neural models. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 2 (Short Papers)*, pages 701–707, New Orleans, Louisiana, June 2018. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [340] Davy Weissenbacher, Travis A Johnson, Laura Wojtulewicz, Amylou Dueck, Dona Locke, Richard Caselli, and Graciela Gonzalez. Automatic prediction of linguistic decline in writings of subjects with degenerative dementia. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 1198–1207, 2016.
- [341] Sylvester O Orimaye, Jojo SM Wong, Karen J Golden, Chee P Wong, and Ireneous N Soyiri. Predicting probable alzheimer's disease using linguistic deficits and biomarkers. *BMC bioinformatics*, 18(1):34, 2017.
- [342] Francisco Martínez-Sánchez, Juan J. G. Meilán, Juan Antonio Vera-Ferrandiz, Juan Carro, Isabel M. Pujante Valverde, Olga Ivanova, and Nuria Carcavilla. Speech rhythm alterations in spanish-speaking individuals with alzheimer's disease. *Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition*, 24(4):418–434, August 2016.
- [343] Joël Macoir, Marion Fossard, Laurent Lefebvre, Laura Monetta, Antoine Renard, Thi Mai Tran, and Maximiliano A. Wilson. Detection test for language impairments in adults and the aged—a new screening test for language impairment associated with neurodegenerative diseases: Validation and normative data. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*, 32(7):382–392, June 2017.
- [344] Fergus I. M. Craik, Ellen Bialystok, Susan Gillingham, and Donald T. Stuss. Alpha span: A measure of working memory. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology/Revue canadienne de psychologie expérimentale*, 72(3):141–152, September 2018.
- [345] E. Rochon, G.S. Waters, and D. Caplan. Sentence comprehension in patients with alzheimer's disease. *Brain and Language*, 46(2):329–349, February 1994.
- [346] Meysam Asgari, Robert Gale, Katherine Wild, Hiroko Dodge, and Jeffrey Kaye. Automatic assessment of cognitive tests for differentiating mild cognitive impairment: A proof of concept study of the digit span task. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 17(7):658–666, November 2020.
- [347] The hopkins verbal learning test.
- [348] Cummings and Louise. Describing the cookie theft picture: Sources of breakdown in alzheimer's dementia. *Pragmatics and Society*, 10(2):153–176, 2019.
- [349] Rachel Ostrand and John Gunstad. Using automatic assessment of speech production to predict current and future cognitive function in older adults. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 34(5):357–369, July 2020.
- [350] Zeshu Shao, Esther Janse, Karina Visser, and Antje S. Meyer. What do verbal fluency tasks measure? predictors of verbal fluency performance in older adults. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, July 2014.
- [351] R GOMEZ and D WHITE. Using verbal fluency to detect very mild dementia of the alzheimer type. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 21(8):771–775, December 2006.
- [352] Michael Malek-Ahmadi, Brent J. Small, and Ashok Raj. The diagnostic value of controlled oral word association test-FAS and category fluency in single-domain amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 32(4):235–240, 2011.

- [353] Julie D Henry, John R Crawford, and Louise H Phillips. Verbal fluency performance in dementia of the alzheimer's type: a meta-analysis. *Neuropsychologia*, 42(9):1212–1222, January 2004.
- [354] Marcos Lopes, Sonia Maria Dozzi Brucki, Viviana Giampaoli, and Letícia Lessa Mansur. Semantic verbal fluency test in dementia: Preliminary retrospective analysis. *Dementia & Neuropsychologia*, 3(4):315–320, December 2009.
- [355] Greta Szatloczki, Ildiko Hoffmann, Veronika Vincze, Janos Kalman, and Magdolna Pakaski. Speaking in alzheimer's disease, is that an early sign? importance of changes in language abilities in alzheimer's disease. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 7, October 2015.
- [356] Fernando Cuetos, María Gonzalez-Nosti, and Carmen Martínez. The picture-naming task in the analysis of cognitive deterioration in alzheimer's disease. *Aphasiology*, 19(6):545–557, June 2005.
- [357] Alena Stasenکو, Diane M. Jacobs, David P. Salmon, and Tamar H. Gollan. The multilingual naming test (MINT) as a measure of picture naming ability in alzheimer's disease. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, 25(08):821–833, June 2019.
- [358] M Dolores Calero, M Luisa Arnedo, Elena Navarro, Mónica Ruiz-Pedrosa, and Cristobal Carnero. Usefulness of a 15-item version of the boston naming test in neuropsychological assessment of low-educational elders with dementia. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 57(2):P187–P191, 2002.
- [359] Angela L. Jefferson, Sarah Wong, Talia S. Gracer, Al Ozonoff, Robert C. Green, and Robert A. Stern. Geriatric performance on an abbreviated version of the boston naming test. *Applied Neuropsychology*, 14(3):215–223, August 2007.
- [360] M. D. Calero, M. L. Arnedo, E. Navarro, M. Ruiz-Pedrosa, and C. Carnero. Usefulness of a 15-item version of the boston naming test in neuropsychological assessment of low-educational elders with dementia. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 57(2):P187–P191, March 2002.
- [361] L. V. Graves, H. M. Holden, E. J. Van Etten, L. Delano-Wood, M. W. Bondi, D. P. Salmon, J. Corey-Bloom, D. C. Delis, and P. E. Gilbert. New Yes/No Recognition Memory Analysis on the California Verbal Learning Test-3: Clinical Utility in Alzheimer's and Huntington's Disease. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*, 24(8):833–841, 09 2018.
- [362] Dina Silva, Manuela Guerreiro, João Maroco, Isabel Santana, Ana Rodrigues, José Bravo Marques, and Alexandre de Mendonça. Comparison of four verbal memory tests for the diagnosis and predictive value of mild cognitive impairment. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders Extra*, 2(1):120–131, 2012.
- [363] Gabriel Coutinho, Cláudia Drummond, Ricardo de Oliveira-Souza, Jorge Moll, Fernanda Tovar-Moll, and Paulo Mattos. Immediate story recall in elderly individuals with memory complaints: how much does it contribute to memory assessment? *International psychogeriatrics*, 27(10):1679–1686, 2015.
- [364] Jing Shi, Mingqing Wei, Jinzhou Tian, Julie Snowden, Xuekai Zhang, Jingnian Ni, Ting Li, Wenjia Jian, Congcong Ma, Yanping Tong, Jianping Liu, Tonghua Liu, Pengwen Wang, and Yongyan Wang. The chinese version of story recall: a useful screening tool for mild cognitive impairment and alzheimer's disease in the elderly. *BMC Psychiatry*, 14(1), March 2014.
- [365] Min J. Baek, Hyun J. Kim, Hui J. Ryu, Seoung H. Lee, Seol H. Han, Hae R. Na, YoungHee Chang, Jean Y. Chey, and SangYun Kim. The usefulness of the story recall test in patients with mild cognitive impairment and alzheimer's disease. *Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition*, 18(2):214–229, January 2011.
- [366] Mina Park and Won-Jin Moon. Structural MR imaging in the diagnosis of alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative dementia: Current imaging approach and future perspectives. *Korean Journal of Radiology*, 17(6):827, 2016.
- [367] Richard C Mohs. The alzheimer's disease assessment scale. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 8(2):195–203, 1996.
- [368] Seija Pekkala, Debra Wiener, Jayandra J. Himali, Alexa S. Beiser, Loraine K. Obler, Yulin Liu, Ann McKee, Sanford Auerbach, Sudha Seshadri, Philip A. Wolf, and Rhoda Au. Lexical retrieval in discourse: An early indicator of alzheimer's dementia. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 27(12):905–921, 2013. PMID: 23985011.
- [369] Françoise Lekeu, Delphine Magis, Patricia Marique, Xavier Delbeuck, Sophie Bechet, Bénédicte Guillaume,

- Stéphane Adam, Jean Petermans, Gustave Moonen, and Eric Salmon. The california verbal learning test and other standard clinical neuropsychological tests to predict conversion from mild memory impairment to dementia. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 32(2):164–173, May 2009.
- [370] Inês Vigo, Luis Coelho, and Sara Reis. Speech- and language-based classification of alzheimer’s disease: A systematic review. *Bioengineering*, 9(1):27, January 2022.
- [371] Members of SAAM project. "d 9.1. review of existing longitudinal population datasets", 2018. [accessed: 01.10.2021].
- [372] James T Becker, François Boiler, Oscar L Lopez, Judith Saxton, and Karen L McGonigle. The natural history of alzheimer’s disease: description of study cohort and accuracy of diagnosis. *Archives of Neurology*, 51(6):585–594, 1994.
- [373] Sebastian Wankerl, Elmar Nöth, and Stefan Evert. An n-gram based approach to the automatic diagnosis of alzheimer’s disease from spoken language. In *INTERSPEECH*, 2017.
- [374] Philipp Klumpp, Julian Fritsch, and Elmar Noeth. Ann-based alzheimer’s disease classification from bag of words. In *Speech Communication; 13th ITG-Symposium*, pages 1–4, 2018.
- [375] Rupayan Chakraborty, Meghna Pandharipande, Chitralekha Bhat, and Sunil Kumar Kopparapu. Identification of dementia using audio biomarkers, 2020.
- [376] Julian Fritsch, Sebastian Wankerl, and Elmar Noth. Automatic diagnosis of alzheimer’s disease using neural network language models. In *ICASSP 2019 - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, May 2019.
- [377] Pranav S. Ambadi, Kristin Basche, Rebecca L. Kosciak, Visar Berisha, Julie M. Liss, and Kimberly D. Mueller. Spatio-semantic graphs from picture description: Applications to detection of cognitive impairment. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 12, December 2021.
- [378] Juan S. Guerrero-Cristancho, Juan C. Vásquez-Correa, and Juan R. Orozco-Arroyave. Word-embeddings and grammar features to detect language disorders in alzheimer’s disease patients. *TecnoLógicas*, 23(47):63–75, January 2020.
- [379] Chonghua Xue, Cody Karjadi, Ioannis Ch. Paschalidis, Rhoda Au, and Vijaya B. Kolachalama. Detection of dementia on voice recordings using deep learning: a framingham heart study. *Alzheimer’s Research and Therapy*, 13(1), August 2021.
- [380] Charlene Pope and Boyd H Davis. Finding a balance: The carolinas conversation collection. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory*, 7(1):143–161, 2011.
- [381] Anastasios Karakostas, Alexia Briassouli, Konstantinos Avgerinakis, Ioannis Kompatsiaris, and Magda Tsolaki. The dem@care experiments and datasets: a technical report. *CoRR*, abs/1701.01142, 2017.
- [382] Eiji Aramaki, Shuko Shikata, Mai Miyabe, and Ayae Kinoshita. Vocabulary size in speech may be an early indicator of cognitive impairment. *PLOS ONE*, 11(5):e0155195, May 2016.
- [383] Vaden Masrani, Gabriel Murray, Thalia Field, and Giuseppe Carenini. Detecting dementia through retrospective analysis of routine blog posts by bloggers with dementia. In *BioNLP 2017*, pages 232–237, Vancouver, Canada,, August 2017. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [384] P. Herd, D. Carr, and C. Roan. Cohort profile: Wisconsin longitudinal study (WLS). *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 43(1):34–41, February 2014.
- [385] Vivek A. Rudrapatna and Atul J. Butte. Opportunities and challenges in using real-world data for health care. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 130(2):565–574, February 2020.
- [386] Devon Perfect, Alys W Griffiths, Miguel Vasconcelos Da Silva, Natashe Lemos Dekker, Joanne McDermid, and Claire A Surr. Collecting self-report research data with people with dementia within care home clinical trials: Benefits, challenges and best practice. *Dementia*, 20(1):148–160, August 2019.
- [387] Greta Sztatloczki, Ildiko Hoffmann, Veronika Vincze, Janos Kalman, and Magdolna Pakaski. Speaking in alzheimer’s disease, is that an early sign? importance of changes in language abilities in alzheimer’s disease. *Frontiers in aging neuroscience*, 7:195, 2015.
- [388] Aidan O Hall, Kaoru Shinkawa, Akihiro Kosugi, Toshiro Takase, Masatomo Kobayashi, Masafumi Nishimura,

- Miyuki Nemoto, Ryohei Watanabe, Eriko Tsukada, Miho Ota, Shinji Higashi, Kiyotaka Nemoto, Tetsuaki Arai, and Yasunori Yamada. Using tablet-based assessment to characterize speech for individuals with dementia and mild cognitive impairment: Preliminary results. *AMIA Summits Transl. Sci. Proc.*, 2019:34–43, May 2019.
- [389] F Martínez-Sánchez, J J G Meilán, J García-Sevilla, J Carro, and J M Arana. Análisis de la fluencia lectora en pacientes con la enfermedad de alzheimer y controles asintomáticos. *Neurologia*, 28(6):325–331, July 2013.
- [390] Dan Pan, An Zeng, Longfei Jia, Yin Huang, Tory Frizzell, and Xiaowei Song. Early detection of alzheimer’s disease using magnetic resonance imaging: A novel approach combining convolutional neural networks and ensemble learning. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 14, May 2020.
- [391] Youxiang Zhu, Xiaohui Liang, John A. Batsis, and Robert M. Roth. Exploring deep transfer learning techniques for alzheimer’s dementia detection. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 3, May 2021.
- [392] Florian Eyben, Martin Wöllmer, and Björn Schuller. Opensmile: the munich versatile and fast open-source audio feature extractor. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM international conference on Multimedia*, pages 1459–1462, 2010.
- [393] Florian Eyben, Felix Weninger, Florian Gross, and Björn Schuller. Recent developments in openSMILE, the munich open-source multimedia feature extractor. In *Proceedings of the 21st ACM international conference on Multimedia - MM '13*. ACM Press, 2013.
- [394] Fasih Haider, Sofia de la Fuente, and Saturnino Luz. An assessment of paralinguistic acoustic features for detection of alzheimer’s dementia in spontaneous speech. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 14(2):272–281, 2020.
- [395] Honghuang Lin, Cody Karjadi, Ting F. A. Ang, Joshi Prajakta, Chelsea McManus, Tuka W. Alhanai, James Glass, and Rhoda Au. Identification of digital voice biomarkers for cognitive health. *Exploration of Medicine*, 1(6):406–417, December 2020.
- [396] Larry Zhang, Xiaotong Chen, Abbad Vakil, Ali Byott, and Reza Hosseini Ghomi. Digivoice: Voice biomarker featurization and analysis pipeline, 2019.
- [397] Pranav Mahajan and Veeky Baths. Acoustic and language based deep learning approaches for alzheimer’s dementia detection from spontaneous speech. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 13, February 2021.
- [398] Fasih Haider, Sofia De La Fuente Garcia, Pierre Albert, and Saturnino Luz. Affective speech for alzheimer’s dementia recognition. In Dimitrios Kokkinakis, Kristina Lundholm Fors, Charalambos Themistocleous, Malin Antonsson, and Marie Eckerström, editors, *LREC: Resources and Processing of linguistic, para-linguistic and extra-linguistic Data from people with various forms of cognitive/psychiatric/developmental impairments (RaPID)*, pages 67–73. European Language Resources Association (ELRA), May 2020.
- [399] Florian Eyben, Klaus R. Scherer, Björn W. Schuller, Johan Sundberg, Elisabeth André, Carlos Busso, Laurence Y. Devillers, Julien Epps, Petri Laukka, Shrikanth S. Narayanan, and Khiet P. Truong. The geneva minimalistic acoustic parameter set (gemaps) for voice research and affective computing. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 7(2):190–202, 2016.
- [400] Mireia Farr’us and Joan Codina-Filbà. Combining prosodic, voice quality and lexical features to automatically detect alzheimer’s disease. *ArXiv*, abs/2011.09272, 2020.
- [401] Sabah Al-Hameed, Mohammed Benaissa, Heidi Christensen, Bahman Mirheidari, Daniel Blackburn, and Markus Reuber. A new diagnostic approach for the identification of patients with neurodegenerative cognitive complaints. *PLOS ONE*, 14(5):e0217388, May 2019.
- [402] Ali Khodabakhsh, Serhan Kuscuoglu, and Cenk Demiroglu. Detection of alzheimer’s disease using prosodic cues in conversational speech. In *2014 22nd Signal Processing and Communications Applications Conference (SIU)*. IEEE, April 2014.
- [403] Giuseppe Tosto, M. Gasparini, G.L. Lenzi, and G. Bruno. Prosodic impairment in alzheimer’s disease: Assessment and clinical relevance. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 23(2):E21–E23, January 2011.
- [404] Sylwia Misiewicz, Adam M. Brickman, and Giuseppe Tosto. Prosodic impairment in dementia: Review of the literature. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 15(2):157–163, January 2018.
- [405] Kazu Nishikawa, Kuwahara Akihiro, Rin Hirakawa, Hideaki Kawano, and Yoshihisa Nakatoh. Machine

- learning model for discrimination of mild dementia patients using acoustic features. *Cognitive Robotics*, 2:21–29, 2022.
- [406] Brian McFee, Colin Raffel, Dawen Liang, Daniel P. W. Ellis, Matt McVicar, Eric Battenberg, and Oriol Nieto. *librosa: Audio and music signal analysis in python*. In *SciPy*, 2015.
- [407] Xuan Le, Ian Lancashire, Graeme Hirst, and Regina Jokel. Longitudinal detection of dementia through lexical and syntactic changes in writing: a case study of three British novelists. *Literary and Linguistic Computing*, 26(4):435–461, 05 2011.
- [408] C. Thomas, V. Keselj, N. Cercone, K. Rockwood, and E. Asp. Automatic detection and rating of dementia of alzheimer type through lexical analysis of spontaneous speech. In *IEEE International Conference Mechatronics and Automation, 2005*, volume 3, pages 1569–1574 Vol. 3, 2005.
- [409] Ning Liu and Zhenming Yuan. Spontaneous language analysis in alzheimer’s disease: Evaluation of natural language processing technique for analyzing lexical performance. *Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University (Science)*, November 2021.
- [410] Jonathan E Peelle, Ayanna Cooke, Peachie Moore, Luisa Vesely, and Murray Grossman. Syntactic and thematic components of sentence processing in progressive nonfluent aphasia and nonaphasic frontotemporal dementia. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, 20(6):482–494, 2007.
- [411] Vassiliki Rentoumi, George Paliouras, Eva Danasi, Dimitra Arfani, Katerina Fragkopoulou, Spyridoula Varlokosta, and Spyros Papadatos. Automatic detection of linguistic indicators as a means of early detection of alzheimer’s disease and of related dementias: A computational linguistics analysis. In *2017 8th IEEE International Conference on Cognitive Infocommunications (CogInfoCom)*, pages 000033–000038, 2017.
- [412] Aline Menezes Guedes Dias de Araújo, Daviany Oliveira Lima, Islan da Penha Nascimento, Anna Alice Figueirêdo de Almeida, and Marine Raquel Diniz da Rosa. Linguagem em idosos com doença de alzheimer: uma revisão sistemática. *Revista CEFAC*, 17(5):1657–1663, 2015.
- [413] Luisa Krein, Yun-Hee Jeon, and Amanda Miller Amberber. Development of a new tool for the early identification of communication-support needs in people living with dementia: An australian face-validation study. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 28(2):544–554, 2020.
- [414] Luiz Kobuti Ferreira and Geraldo F Busatto. Neuroimaging in alzheimer’s disease: current role in clinical practice and potential future applications. *Clinics*, 66:19–24, 2011.
- [415] Edward Loper and Steven Bird. Nltk: The natural language toolkit. In *In Proceedings of the ACL Workshop on Effective Tools and Methodologies for Teaching Natural Language Processing and Computational Linguistics. Philadelphia: Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2002.
- [416] Radim Rehůrek and Petr Sojka. Software Framework for Topic Modelling with Large Corpora. In *Proceedings of the LREC 2010 Workshop on New Challenges for NLP Frameworks*, pages 45–50, Valletta, Malta, May 2010. ELRA.
- [417] Matthew Honnibal and Ines Montani. spaCy 2: Natural language understanding with Bloom embeddings, convolutional neural networks and incremental parsing. To appear, 2017.
- [418] K. C. Fraser, J. A. Meltzer, and F. Rudzicz. Linguistic Features Identify Alzheimer’s Disease in Narrative Speech. *J Alzheimers Dis*, 49(2):407–422, 2016.
- [419] S. Sangchocanonta, S. Vongsurakrai, K. Sroykhumpa, V. Ellermann, A. Munthuli, T. Anansiripinyo, C. Onsuwan, S. Hemrungronj, K. Kosawat, and C. Tantibundhit. Development of thai picture description task for alzheimer’s screening using part-of-speech tagging. In *2021 43rd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC)*. IEEE, November 2021.
- [420] Anastasia Ntracha, Dimitrios Iakovakis, Stelios Hadjidimitriou, Vasileios S. Charisis, Magda Tsolaki, and Leontios J. Hadjileontiadis. Detection of mild cognitive impairment through natural language and touchscreen typing processing. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 2, October 2020.
- [421] Kimberly Diggle Mueller, Rebecca L. Koscik, Lyn S. Turkstra, Sarah K. Riedeman, Asenath LaRue, Lindsay R. Clark, Bruce Hermann, Mark A. Sager, and Sterling C. Johnson. Connected language in late middle-aged adults at risk for alzheimer’s disease. *Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease*, 54(4):1539–1550, October 2016.
- [422] Curry I Guinn and Anthony Habash. Language analysis of speakers with dementia of the alzheimer’s type. In *2012 AAAI Fall Symposium Series*, 2012.



- [423] Sweta Karlekar, Tong Niu, and Mohit Bansal. Detecting linguistic characteristics of alzheimer’s dementia by interpreting neural models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.06440*, 2018.
- [424] Brian Roark, Margaret Mitchell, and Kristy Hollingshead. Syntactic complexity measures for detecting mild cognitive impairment. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on BioNLP 2007: Biological, Translational, and Clinical Language Processing*, BioNLP ’07, page 1–8, USA, 2007. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [425] Randa Ben Ammar and Yassine Ben Ayed. Language-related features for early detection of alzheimer disease. *Procedia Computer Science*, 176:763–770, 2020.
- [426] Javier Gutiérrez-Rexach and Sara Schatz. Cognitive impairment and pragmatics. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), February 2016.
- [427] Zeinab Noorian, Chloé Pou-Prom, and Frank Rudzicz. On the importance of normative data in speech-based assessment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.00069*, 2017.
- [428] Thomas Searle, Zina Ibrahim, and Richard Dobson. Comparing natural language processing techniques for alzheimer’s dementia prediction in spontaneous speech. In *Interspeech 2020*. ISCA, October 2020.
- [429] Hali Lindsay, Johannes Tröger, Jan Alexandersson, and Alexandra König. What difference does it make? early dementia detection using the semantic and phonemic verbal fluency task. In *Language Resources and Evaluation Conference. Resources and Processing of linguistic, para-linguistic and extra-linguistic Data from people with various forms of cognitive/psychiatric/developmental impairments (RaPID-3)*, Marseille, France, 2020. Due to Covid-19 the conference date 11-16 may was canceled.
- [430] Laura Hernandez-Dominguez, Edgar Garcia-Cano, Sylvie Ratté, and Gerardo Sierra Martínez. Detection of alzheimer’s disease based on automatic analysis of common objects descriptions. In *Proceedings of the 7th Workshop on Cognitive Aspects of Computational Language Learning*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016.
- [431] Sylvester Olubolu Orimaye, Jojo Sze-Meng Wong, and Karen Jennifer Golden. Learning predictive linguistic features for Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias using verbal utterances. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality*, pages 78–87, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, June 2014. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [432] Maria Yancheva and Frank Rudzicz. Vector-space topic models for detecting Alzheimer’s disease. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2337–2346, Berlin, Germany, August 2016. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [433] Thalia S. Field, Sally May Newton-Mason, Sheetal Shajan, Oswald Barral, Hyeju Jang, Thomas Soroski, Zoe O’Neill, Cristina Conati, and Giuseppe Carenini. Machine learning analysis of speech and eye tracking data to distinguish alzheimer’s clinic patients from healthy controls. *Alzheimer’s and Dementia*, 16(S5), December 2020.
- [434] Weirui Kong, Hyeju Jang, Giuseppe Carenini, and Thalia S. Field. Exploring neural models for predicting dementia from language. *Computer Speech & Language*, 68:101181, July 2021.
- [435] Regina Jokel, Bruna Seixas Lima, Alita Fernandez, and Kelly J. Murphy. Language in amnesic mild cognitive impairment and dementia of alzheimer’s type: Quantitatively or qualitatively different? *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders Extra*, 9(1):136–151, March 2019.
- [436] Luisa Krein, Yun-Hee Jeon, Amanda Miller Amberber, and Judith Fethney. The assessment of language and communication in dementia: A synthesis of evidence. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 27(4):363–377, 2019.
- [437] Frank Ursin, Cristian Timmermann, and Florian Steger. Ethical implications of alzheimer’s disease prediction in asymptomatic individuals through artificial intelligence. *Diagnostics*, 11(3):440, March 2021.
- [438] Mahboobeh Parsapoor. Towards fair ML-based language assessment methods for detecting alzheimer’s disease. *Alzheimer and Dementia*, 18(S2), December 2022.
- [439] Maxine X. Patel, Victor Doku, and Lakshika Tennakoon. Challenges in recruitment of research participants. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*, 9(3):229–238, May 2003.
- [440] Nels Oscar, Pamela A Fox, Racheal Croucher, Riana Wernick, Jessica Keune, and Karen Hooker. Machine learning, sentiment analysis, and tweets: An examination of alzheimer’s disease stigma on twitter. *The*

- [441] Phil Jodrell and Arlene J Astell. Studies involving people with dementia and touchscreen technology: A literature review. *JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies*, 3(2):e10, November 2016.
- [442] Blanche Wies, Constantin Landers, and Marcello Ienca. Digital mental health for young people: A scoping review of ethical promises and challenges. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 3, September 2021.
- [443] J Perry, S Beyer, and S Holm. Assistive technology, telecare and people with intellectual disabilities: ethical considerations. *J. Med. Ethics*, 35(2):81–86, feb 2009.
- [444] H.-U Dettling. How the challenge of regulating AI in healthcare is escalating — ey.com. [https://www.ey.com/en\\_gl/law/how-the-challenge-of-regulating-ai-in-healthcare-is-escalating](https://www.ey.com/en_gl/law/how-the-challenge-of-regulating-ai-in-healthcare-is-escalating). [Accessed 25- Apr-2023].
- [445] Sandra A. Zwijsen, Alistair R. Niemeijer, and Cees M.P.M. Hertogh. Ethics of using assistive technology in the care for community-dwelling elderly people: An overview of the literature. *Aging Ment Health*, 15(4):419–427, April 2011.
- [446] Peter Novitzky, Alan F. Smeaton, Cynthia Chen, Kate Irving, Tim Jacquemard, Fiachra O’Brocháin, Dónal O’Mathúna, and Bert Gordijn. A review of contemporary work on the ethics of ambient assisted living technologies for people with dementia. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 21(3):707–765, June 2014.
- [447] M. Ienca, M. Lipps, T. Wangmo, F. Jotterand, B. Elger, and R.W. Kressig. Health professionals’ and researchers’ views on intelligent assistive technology for psychogeriatric care. *Gerontechnology*, 17(3):139–150, September 2018.
- [448] Felzmann, Heike ; Murphy, Kathy ; Casey, Dympna ; Beyan, Oya. Robot-assisted care for elderly with dementia: is there a potential for genuine end-user empowerment?, 2015.
- [449] Birgit Kramer. Dementia caregivers in germany and their acceptance of new technologies for care: The information gap: Figure 1. *Public Policy and Aging Report*, 24(1):32–34, December 2013.
- [450] Maurice Mulvenna, Anton Hutton, Vivien Coates, Suzanne Martin, Stephen Todd, Raymond Bond, and Anne Moorhead. Views of caregivers on the ethics of assistive technology used for home surveillance of people living with dementia. *Neuroethics*, 10(2):255–266, January 2017.
- [451] Sayeh Bayat, Gary Naglie, Mark J. Rapoport, Elaine Stasiulis, Michael J. Widener, and Alex Mihailidis. A GPS-based framework for understanding outdoor mobility patterns of older adults with dementia: An exploratory study. *Gerontology*, pages 1–15, April 2021.
- [452] Sayeh Bayat, Ganesh M. Babulal, Suzanne E. Schindler, Anne M. Fagan, John C. Morris, Alex Mihailidis, and Catherine M. Roe. GPS driving: a digital biomarker for preclinical alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer’s Research Therapy*, 13(1), June 2021.
- [453] Lola L. Cuddy and Jacalyn Duffin. Music, memory, and alzheimer’s disease: is music recognition spared in dementia, and how can it be assessed? *Medical Hypotheses*, 64(2):229–235, January 2005.
- [454] Alex Forsythe, Tamsin Williams, and Ronan G. Reilly. What paint can tell us: A fractal analysis of neurological changes in seven artists. *Neuropsychology*, 31(1):1–10, 2017.