

LANGEVIN DYNAMICS FOR THE PROBABILITY OF MARKOV JUMPING PROCESSES

WUCHEN LI

ABSTRACT. We study gradient drift-diffusion processes on a probability simplex set with finite state Wasserstein metrics, namely the *Wasserstein common noise*. A fact is that the Kolmogorov transition equation of finite reversible Markov jump processes forms the gradient flow of entropy in finite state Wasserstein space. This paper proposes to perturb finite state Markov jump processes with Wasserstein common noises and formulate stochastic reversible Markov jumping processes. We also define a Wasserstein Q-matrix for this stochastic Markov jumping process. We then derive the functional Fokker-Planck equation in probability simplex, whose stationary distribution is a Gibbs distribution of entropy functional in a simplex set. Finally, we present several examples of Wasserstein drift-diffusion processes on a two-point state space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Drift diffusions in probability density spaces play essential roles in macroscopic fluctuation theory, non-equilibrium statistical physics (e.g., glass dynamics), and stochastic evolutionary games [6, 9, 16]. They describe stochastic behaviors of particles/agents/players perturbed by Brownian motions (common noises) on population states. A famous example is the Dean–Kawasaki equation (super Brownian motion) [6, 16]. Nowadays, the Dean–Kawasaki equation has been shown as a gradient drift-diffusion in Wasserstein-2 space [17, 18, 24]. In literature, gradient flows in Wasserstein-2 space form a class of density evolutionary equations [1, 26]. Typical examples are heat equations, which are Wasserstein gradient flows of negative Boltzmann-Shannon entropy. While the Dean–Kawasaki equation adds “Wasserstein common noises” into these density evolutionary dynamics. They introduce a class of stochastic heat equations.

Classical studies of Wasserstein drift diffusion processes are defined on a continuous domain, e.g., a d -dimensional torus. Not much has been studied on a finite state, such as finite weighted graphs or equivalently reversible Markov chain. It has been shown that the gradient operator in finite Wasserstein-2 spaces [4, 21, 23] forms the generator of the reversible Markov jumping process [21, 23]. The Wasserstein gradient flow belongs to the general Onsager principle [13, 25]. Many physical, chemical [22], and social models, including stochastic evolutionary game theory [9, 14], are often studied on a finite state space. Natural questions arise:

Key words and phrases. Optimal transport; Markov jumping process; Wasserstein common noises.

W. Li’s work is supported by AFOSR MURI FP 9550-18-1-502, AFOSR YIP award No. FA9550-23-1-0087, NSF DMS-2245097, and NSF RTG: 2038080.

What are drift diffusion processes in finite state Wasserstein spaces? In particular, what are canonical Wasserstein common noises perturbed reversible Markov jump processes?

This note presents Wasserstein type drift diffusion processes in a finite state simplex set. Following [20], we study the canonical diffusion process in finite state Wasserstein space. We then formulate an over-damped Langevin dynamics in finite state Wasserstein spaces. We also present an example of the gradient drift-diffusion process. When the potential function is the ϕ -divergence, and the activation function is the ϕ -divergence induced mean function, the proposed SDE adds *geometric diffusions* in the transition equations of finite reversible Markov jumping processes. In particular, we derive a *Wasserstein Q -matrix* function for modeling common and individual noises towards finite reversible Markov jumping processes. Finally, numerical examples of a two-point space are introduced to illustrate the proposed Langevin dynamics in the probability simplex.

In literature, gradient drift-diffusion processes in Wasserstein-2 space on continuous domain have been studied in [3, 7, 18, 24]. In particular, a general Wasserstein gradient drift-diffusion process has been studied in [17], which forms the Dean–Kawasaki equation [6, 16]. In fact, the Wasserstein common noise differs from the Larys–Lions common noise [2], while the later one is widely used in mean-field control and mean-field games [19]. Meanwhile, [5] demonstrates that the generator of Larys–Lion’s common noise is only a partial Wasserstein Laplacian operator. In contrast to their works, we formulate Wasserstein common noises on finite state spaces, which is constructed from the Laplacian–Beltrami operator on finite state Wasserstein-2 space. We remark that the modeling and computation of Wasserstein common noises are essential research directions in transport information geometry [20]. Moreover, Wasserstein common noises have vast applications in modeling dynamics from chemical reaction diffusion in Onsager principle [13, 25, 22], finite state evolutionary games [9], mean field games [12], and data sciences sampling problems [8]. Mathematically, Wasserstein common noises on finite states also bring a class of challenging degenerate stochastic processes, whenever the process stays on the boundary of probability simplex set. We leave theoretical studies and numerical simulations of Wasserstein drift diffusions on discrete states in the future work.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we briefly review the finite state Wasserstein-2 metric with gradient, divergence, and Laplacian operators. We next write the gradient-drift diffusion process on a probability simplex set. We also formulate the Fokker-Planck equation in finite state Wasserstein space. In section 3, we present the modeling motivation of this paper. First, we review that the generator (Q -matrix) of the reversible Markov jumping process is the gradient descent of divergence functions. We then add a stochastic perturbation into the finite reversible Markov jumping process and develop a Wasserstein Q -matrix for reversible Markov jump processes. Finally, several examples and numerical simulations of Wasserstein drift diffusions on a two-point space are presented in section 4.

2. WASSERSTEIN COMMON NOISES IN PROBABILITY SIMPLEX

In this section, we formulate the canonical diffusion process in a discrete probability simplex set embedded with Wasserstein-2 metrics. We then formulate the gradient drift diffusion in probability simplex, which is a over-damped Wasserstein Langevin dynamics.

2.1. Finite state Wasserstein-2 space. We review the Wasserstein-2 type metric on finite state sample space [4, 21, 23]; see also geometric computations in [20].

Consider a weighted undirected finite graph $G = (I, E, \omega)$, which contains the vertex set $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, the edge set E , and the weights set ω . Here $\omega = (\omega_{ij})_{i,j \in I} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric matrix, such that

$$\omega_{ij} = \begin{cases} \omega_{ji} > 0 & \text{if } (i, j) \in E; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The set of neighbors or adjacent vertices of i is denoted by $N(i) = \{j \in I : (i, j) \in E\}$. Define the volume vector on weighted graph as $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i=1}^n$, such that

$$\pi_i := \frac{\sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij}}{\sum_{(i,j) \in E} \omega_{ij}}. \quad (1)$$

We review gradient, divergence, and Laplacian operators on graphs. Given a function $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, denote $\Phi = (\Phi_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Define a weighted gradient as a function $\nabla_\omega \Phi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(i, j) \mapsto (\nabla_\omega \Phi)_{i,j} := \sqrt{\omega_{ij}} (\Phi_j - \Phi_i).$$

We call it a potential vector field on E . A general vector field is a function on E such that $v = (v_{ij})_{(i,j) \in E}$, which is anti-symmetric:

$$v_{ij} = -v_{ji}, \quad (i, j) \in E.$$

The divergence of a vector field v is defined as a function $\text{div}_\omega(v): E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$i \mapsto \text{div}_\omega(v)_i := \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sqrt{\omega_{ij}} v_{ij}.$$

For a function Φ on V , the weighted graph Laplacian $\Delta_\omega \Phi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\Delta_\omega \Phi := \text{div}_\omega (\nabla_\omega \Phi), \quad \text{i.e., } i \mapsto \Delta_\omega \Phi_i = \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} (\Phi_j - \Phi_i).$$

We use the convention that $\Delta_\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denotes a negative semi-definite matrix.

We next introduce the Wasserstein-2 type metric on a finite state. Denote the simplex set as

$$\mathcal{P}(I) = \left\{ p = (p_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i \in I} p_i = 1, \quad p_i \geq 0 \right\},$$

where p is a probability vector and p_i represents the discrete probability function on a node $i \in I$. For simplicity of illustration, we only consider the interior of probability simplex

set. Denote the tangent space of $p \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ as

$$T_p \mathcal{P}(I) = \left\{ (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i = 0 \right\}.$$

Define an activation function $\theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, such that

(i)

$$\theta(x, y) = \theta(y, x);$$

(ii)

$$\theta(x, y) > 0, \text{ if } xy \neq 0;$$

(iii)

$$\theta(x, y) \in C^2;$$

(iv)

$$\theta(x, y) = 0, \text{ when } xy = 0.$$

There are many choices of activation functions; see [21, 25].

Example 1 (Geometric mean).

$$\theta(x, y) = \sqrt{xy}.$$

Example 2 (Harmonic mean).

$$\theta(x, y) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y}}.$$

Example 3 (Logarithm mean).

$$\theta(x, y) = \frac{x - y}{\log x - \log y}.$$

Example 4 (ϕ' mean).

$$\theta(x, y) = \frac{x - y}{\phi'(x) - \phi'(y)},$$

where $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ is a convex function with $\phi(1) = 0$. If $\phi(x) = x \log x - x$, then $\phi'(x) = \log x$ and the ϕ' mean recovers the logarithm mean.

Definition 1 (Probability weighted Laplacian matrix). Denote $L(p) = (L(p)_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$, such that

$$L(p)_{ij} := \begin{cases} -\omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p) & \text{if } j \neq i; \\ \sum_{k \in N(i)} \omega_{ki} \theta_{ki}(p) & \text{if } j = i, \end{cases}$$

where θ_{ij} is an average function defined as

$$\theta_{ij}(p) := \theta\left(\frac{p_i}{\pi_i}, \frac{p_j}{\pi_j}\right), \text{ for any } i, j \in I.$$

We also denote

$$L(p) := -\operatorname{div}_\omega(\theta(p)\nabla_\omega) = -\operatorname{div}_\omega(\theta\nabla_\omega).$$

From now on, we call $L(p)$ the probability weighted Laplacian matrix.

When $\theta_{ij}(p) > 0$, $L(p)$ is a symmetric matrix, whose diagonalization satisfies

$$L(p) = U(p) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \lambda_1(p) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_{n-1}(p) \end{pmatrix} U(p)^\top,$$

where $0 < \lambda_1(p) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n-1}(p)$ are eigenvalues of $L(p)$ in the ascending order, and $U(p) = (u_0(p), u_1(p), \dots, u_{n-1}(p)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors, with $u_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, \dots, 1)^\top$. We also denote the pseudo-inverse of $L(p)$ as $L(p)^\dagger$. In other words,

$$L(p)^\dagger = U(p) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \frac{1}{\lambda_1(p)} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\lambda_{n-1}(p)} \end{pmatrix} U(p)^\top.$$

The finite state Wasserstein-2 metric is defined as follows.

Definition 2 (Finite state Wasserstein-2 metric). *The inner product $g^W : \mathcal{P}(I) \times T_p \mathcal{P}(I) \times T_p \mathcal{P}(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given as*

$$\begin{aligned} g^W(p)(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) &:= \sigma_1^\top L(p)^\dagger \sigma_2 = \Phi_1^\top L(p) \Phi_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \Phi_1)_{ij} (\nabla_\omega \Phi_2)_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_k = L(p) \Phi_k = -\operatorname{div}_\omega(\theta \nabla_\omega \Phi_k) \in T_p \mathcal{P}(I), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

The inner product g^W defines a Wasserstein-2 metric on the simplex set $\mathcal{P}(I)$. From now on, we name $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$ the probability manifold (PM).

We last present gradient, divergence, and Laplace-Beltrami operators in probability manifold $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$. The volume form in $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$ satisfies

$$d\operatorname{vol}_W := \mathbb{I}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dp, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{I}(p) := \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i(p),$$

where $\lambda_i(p)$ are positive eigenvalues of the matrix function $L(p)$ and dp is the Euclidean volume form in \mathbb{R}^n . Denote $\nabla_p, \nabla_p^\cdot, \int \cdot dp$ as gradient, divergence, integration operators in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , respectively.

Definition 3. Denote $\mathbb{F} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R})$, and denote a vector function $\mathbb{H} = (\mathbb{H}_i)_{i=1}^n \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R}^n)$.

(i) The gradient operator $\operatorname{grad}_W : C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{grad}_W \mathbb{F}(p) &:= L(p) \nabla_p \mathbb{F}(p) \\ &= \left(-\operatorname{div}_\omega(\theta \nabla_\omega \nabla_p \mathbb{F}(p))_i \right)_{i=1}^n \\ &= \left(-\sum_{j \in N(i)} \sqrt{\omega_{ij}} \theta_{ij}(p) (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \mathbb{F}(p) \right)_{i=1}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \mathbb{F}(p) := \sqrt{\omega_{ij}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) \mathbb{F}(p).$$

(ii) The divergence operator $\text{div}_W : C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\text{div}_W \mathbb{H}(p) := \mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{H}(p) \right).$$

(iii) The Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_W : C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_W \mathbb{F}(p) &:= \text{div}_W(\text{grad}_W \mathbb{F}(p)) \\ &= \mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L(p) \nabla_p \mathbb{F}(p) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \mathbb{F}(p) (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \log \mathbb{\Pi}(p) \theta_{ij}(p) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \mathbb{F}(p) \theta_{ij}(p) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \mathbb{F}(p) (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \theta_{ij}(p), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \mathbb{F}(p) &:= \left(\sqrt{\omega_{ij}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) \right)^2 \mathbb{F}(p) \\ &= \omega_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_j^2} \right) \mathbb{F}(p), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \theta_{ij}(p) := \sqrt{\omega_{ij}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) \theta_{ij}(p).$$

2.2. Finite state canonical Wasserstein common noises. We are ready to introduce a canonical diffusion process on a manifold $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$.

Definition 4 (Wasserstein common noises on graphs). *Consider an Ito stochastic differential equation*

$$dp_t = \text{div}_\omega(\theta(p_t) \nabla_\omega \nabla_p \log \frac{\mathbb{\Pi}(p_t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta(p_t)}) dt + \sqrt{2} \text{div}_\omega(\sqrt{\theta(p_t)} dB_t^E), \quad (2)$$

where $p_t = p(t)$ is the solution of SDE (2), $B_t^E := (B_{ij}^E(t))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ with $B_{ij}^E(t) = B_{ij}^E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B_{ij} - B_{ji})$, and B_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, are standard independent Brownian motions in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with mean zero and unity rate variance. In details, for any $i \in I$, equation (2) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} dp_i(t) &= \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sqrt{\omega_{ij}} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \log \frac{\mathbb{\Pi}(p(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta_{ij}(p(t))} \theta_{ij}(p(t)) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sqrt{\omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p(t))} (dB_{ij}(t) - dB_{ji}(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \log \frac{\mathbb{P}(p)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta(p)} := \sqrt{\omega_{ij}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) \left(\log \frac{\mathbb{P}(p)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta_{ij}(p)} \right).$$

We call the solution of (2) the $\sqrt{2}$ -Wasserstein common noise on finite states.

We next present Kolmogorov forward and backward operators for SDE (2).

Proposition 1 (Kolmogorov operators in probability manifold). *Denote the probability density function and the test function as*

$$\mathbb{P}(p) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R}), \quad \Phi(p) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R}).$$

Then the Kolmogorov forward operator of SDE (2) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_W^* \mathbb{P}(p) &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathbb{P}(p) L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{P}(p) \right) + \nabla_p \cdot \left(L(p) \nabla_p \mathbb{P}(p) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_p \mathbb{P}(p), L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{P}(p) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(p) \nabla_p \cdot \left(L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{P}(p) \right) + \nabla_p \cdot \left(L(p) \nabla_p \mathbb{P}(p) \right). \end{aligned}$$

And the Kolmogorov backward operator of SDE (2) satisfies

$$\mathbf{L}_W \Phi(p) = - \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_p \Phi(p), L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{P}(p) \right) + \nabla_p \cdot \left(L(p) \nabla_p \Phi(p) \right).$$

In details,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_W^* \mathbb{P}(p) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \mathbb{P}(p) (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \log \mathbb{P}(p) \theta_{ij}(p) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{P}(p) \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \log \mathbb{P}(p) (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \theta_{ij}(p) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{P}(p) \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \log \mathbb{P}(p) \theta_{ij}(p) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \mathbb{P}(p) \theta_{ij}(p) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \mathbb{P}(p) (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \theta_{ij}(p), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_W \Phi(p) &= - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \Phi(p) (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \log \mathbb{P}(p) \theta_{ij}(p) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \Phi(p) \theta_{ij}(p) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \Phi(p) (\nabla_\omega \nabla_p)_{i,j} \theta_{ij}(p). \end{aligned}$$

The derivations of \mathbf{L}_W^* and \mathbf{L}_W are provided in appendix.

2.3. Langevin dynamics in finite state Wasserstein space. We next derive the overdamped Langevin dynamics in finite state Wasserstein space. It forms a gradient drift diffusion processes in probability simplex set.

Proposition 2 (Gradient drift diffusion processes in probability simplex). *Given $\mathbb{V} \in C^\infty(P(I); \mathbb{R})$, consider the gradient drift diffusion process*

$$dp_t = \operatorname{div}_\omega(\theta(p_t)\nabla_\omega\nabla_p[\mathbb{V}(p_t) + \beta \log \frac{\Pi(p_t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta(p_t)}])dt + \sqrt{2\beta}\operatorname{div}_\omega(\sqrt{\theta(p_t)}dB_t^E), \quad (3)$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a scalar. In details, for any $i \in I$, equation (3) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} dp_i(t) = & \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sqrt{\omega_{ij}}(\nabla_\omega\nabla_p)_{i,j} \left(\mathbb{V}(p(t)) + \beta \log \frac{\Pi(p(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta_{ij}(p(t))} \right) \theta_{ij}(p(t))dt \\ & + \sqrt{\beta} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sqrt{\omega_{ij}\theta_{ij}(p(t))} (dB_{ij}(t) - dB_{ji}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

The Fokker-Planck equation of SDE (3) forms

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{P}(t, p) = \nabla_p \cdot (\mathbb{P}(t, p)L(p)\nabla_p\mathbb{V}(p)) + \beta \mathbf{L}_W^* \mathbb{P}(t, p), \quad (4)$$

where the solution $\mathbb{P}(t, p)$ represents the probability density function of SDE (3). Assume that $Z := \int_{\mathcal{P}(I)} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}\mathbb{V}(p)} \Pi(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dp < +\infty$. Then the stationary solution of equation (4) satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}^*(p) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}\mathbb{V}(p)} \Pi(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. The Kolmogorov forward equation of SDE (3) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbb{P}(t, p)}{\partial t} = & \operatorname{div}_W(\mathbb{P}(t, p)\operatorname{grad}_W\mathbb{V}(p)) + \beta \Delta_W \mathbb{P}(t, p) \\ = & \Pi(p)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_p \cdot \left(L(p) [\mathbb{P}(t, p)\nabla_p\mathbb{V}(p) + \beta \nabla_p \mathbb{P}(t, p)] \Pi(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Again, denote $\mathbb{P}(t, p) = \mathbb{P}(t, p)\Pi(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbb{P}(t, p)}{\partial t} = & \nabla_p \cdot (\mathbb{P}(t, p)L(p)\nabla_p\mathbb{V}(p)) + \beta \mathbf{L}_W^* \mathbb{P}(t, p) \\ = & \beta \nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathbb{P}(t, p)L(p)\nabla_p \log \frac{\mathbb{P}(t, p)}{e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}\mathbb{V}(p)} \Pi(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 1. We note that the dynamical behaviors of SDEs (2) or (3) are often complicated when p_i, p_j are close to zero. They are degenerate SDEs on the boundary point of simplex set. In modeling of finite state population games, we need to construct some reflecting boundary conditions to ensure the wellposedness of SDE (3). We leave their studies in future works.

3. STOCHASTIC REVERSIBLE MARKOV JUMP PROCESSES

In this section, we present an important example of gradient drift diffusion process (3). This is the main result of this paper. We first review the fact that the gradient flow in $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$ forms Kolmogorov forward equations for finite state reversible Markov jump processes. In other words, there exists a Q -matrix, the generator of finite reversible Markov jumping process, which is a gradient descent direction of entropy in $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$. We next demonstrate that the proposed SDE adds *geometric diffusions* in the transition equations of finite reversible Markov jumping processes. In particular, we derive a Wasserstein Q -matrix function for modeling common noises and individual noises towards finite reversible Markov jumping processes.

In this section, we always consider an activation function:

$$\theta(x, y) = \frac{x - y}{\phi'(x) - \phi'(y)},$$

where $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ is a convex function with $\phi(1) = 0$. Let the functional \mathbb{V} in equation (3) be the ϕ -divergence:

$$\mathbb{V}(p) = D_\phi(p \parallel \pi) := \sum_{i=1}^n \phi\left(\frac{p_i}{\pi_i}\right) \pi_i,$$

where $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined in (1). One example of ϕ -divergence is the Kullback–Leibler (KL) divergence. E.g., $\phi(x) = x \log x - x$, then $D_\phi(p \parallel \pi) = D_{\text{KL}}(p \parallel \pi) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log \frac{p_i}{\pi_i}$.

3.1. Reversible Markov jumping process. We first review that gradient flows of ϕ -divergences in $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$ form reversible Markov jump processes; shown in [21, 23] and strong Onsager gradient flows [25]. In other words, let $\beta = 0$. In this case, SDE (3) forms an ordinary differential equation, which is the gradient flow of ϕ -divergence in $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp_i(t)}{dt} &= \text{div}_\omega(\theta(p(t)) \nabla_\omega \nabla_p D_\phi(p(t) \parallel \pi))_i \\ &= \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p(t)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) D_\phi(p(t) \parallel \pi) \\ &= \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \frac{\frac{p_j(t)}{\pi_j} - \frac{p_i(t)}{\pi_i}}{\phi'\left(\frac{p_j(t)}{\pi_j}\right) - \phi'\left(\frac{p_i(t)}{\pi_i}\right)} \left(\phi'\left(\frac{p_j(t)}{\pi_j}\right) - \phi'\left(\frac{p_i(t)}{\pi_i}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \left(\frac{p_j(t)}{\pi_j} - \frac{p_i(t)}{\pi_i} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where we use the fact that $\theta_{ij}(p) = \theta\left(\frac{p_i}{\pi_i}, \frac{p_j}{\pi_j}\right) = \frac{\frac{p_j}{\pi_j} - \frac{p_i}{\pi_i}}{\phi'\left(\frac{p_j}{\pi_j}\right) - \phi'\left(\frac{p_i}{\pi_i}\right)}$ and $\theta_{ij}(p) \left(\phi'\left(\frac{p_j}{\pi_j}\right) - \phi'\left(\frac{p_i}{\pi_i}\right) \right) = \frac{p_j}{\pi_j} - \frac{p_i}{\pi_i}$.

In fact, gradient flow equation (5) is a Kolmogorov forward equation for a time-continuous reversible Markov chain. We need to exchange notations in reversible Markov chains and

finite weighted graphs $G = (I, E, \omega)$. In other words, denote

$$Q_{ij} := \begin{cases} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} & \text{if } j \neq i; \\ -\sum_{k \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ik}}{\pi_i} & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Thus equation (5) satisfies

$$\frac{dp_i(t)}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^n [Q_{ji}p_j(t) - Q_{ij}p_i(t)].$$

The Q -matrix is the generator of a reversible Markov chain in I . It satisfies the row sum zero condition:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij} = 0, \quad Q_{ij} \geq 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq i.$$

And $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ defined in (1) is an invariant measure for ODE (5) with the detailed balance relation

$$Q_{ij}\pi_i = Q_{ji}\pi_j.$$

3.2. Stochastic reversible Markov jumping process. We next demonstrate that the gradient drift diffusion process in $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$ forms a stochastic reversible Markov jumping process on finite states.

Let $\beta > 0$ be a positive scalar. Consider SDE (3) as the gradient drift-diffusion flow of ϕ -divergence in $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$:

$$\begin{aligned} dp_i(t) = & \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p(t)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) D_\phi(p(t) \| \pi) dt \\ & + \beta \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p(t)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) \log \frac{\mathbb{P}(p(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta_{ij}(p(t))} dt \\ & + \sqrt{\beta} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sqrt{\omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p(t))} (dB_{ij}(t) - dB_{ji}(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

From equation (5) and the definition of Q -matrix in (6), we rewrite SDE (7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} dp_i(t) = & \sum_{j=1}^n [Q_{ji}p_j(t) - Q_{ij}p_i(t)] dt \\ & + \beta \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p(t)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) \log \frac{\mathbb{P}(p(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta_{ij}(p(t))} dt \\ & + \sqrt{\beta} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sqrt{\omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p(t))} (dB_{ij}(t) - dB_{ji}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

We next study several properties of SDE (7). We define a Wasserstein diffusion perturbed Q -matrix, namely the *Wasserstein Q -matrix*.

Definition 5 (Wasserstein Q -matrix). *Assume that $p_i > 0$ for all $i \in I$. Define a matrix function $Q^W = (Q_{ij}^W)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, where $Q_{ij}^W: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that*

$$Q_{ij}^W(p, \beta, \dot{B}) := \begin{cases} Q_{ij} + a_{ij}(p) & \text{if } j \neq i; \\ -\sum_{k \in N(i)} (Q_{ik} + a_{ik}(p)) & \text{if } j = i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$a_{ij}(p) := \frac{1}{p_i} \max\{0, A_{ji}(p)\},$$

and

$$A_{ij}(p) := \beta \omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) \log \frac{\mathbb{P}(p)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta_{ij}(p)} + \sqrt{\beta \omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p)} (\dot{B}_{ij}(t) - \dot{B}_{ji}(t)).$$

Using the matrix function Q^W , we rewrite SDE (7) as follows.

Proposition 3. *SDE (7) satisfies*

$$\dot{p}_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n [Q_{ji}^W(p(t), \beta, \dot{B}) p_j - Q_{ij}^W(p(t), \beta, \dot{B}) p_i].$$

In addition, Q^W satisfies the row sum zero condition:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}^W(p, \beta, \dot{B}) = 0, \quad Q_{ij}^W(p, \beta, \dot{B}) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq i.$$

If $\beta = 0$, then the Wasserstein Q -matrix forms the Q -matrix. I.e.,

$$Q^W(p, 0, \dot{B}) = Q.$$

Proof. We check that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ji}^W(p) p_j - Q_{ij}^W(p) p_i &= Q_{ji} p_j - Q_{ij} p_i + \frac{1}{p_j} \max\{0, A_{ij}(p)\} p_j - \frac{1}{p_i} \max\{0, A_{ji}(p)\} p_i \\ &= Q_{ji} p_j - Q_{ij} p_i + \max\{0, A_{ij}(p)\} - \max\{0, A_{ji}(p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that $A_{ij}(p) = -A_{ji}(p)$, we have

$$A_{ij}(p) = \max\{0, A_{ij}(p)\} - \max\{0, -A_{ij}(p)\} = \max\{0, A_{ij}(p)\} - \max\{0, A_{ji}(p)\}.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

We last demonstrate the Fokker-Planck equations for SDE (7). We also present an invariant distribution of SDE (7).

Proposition 4 (Functional Fokker-Planck equations in finite state Wasserstein space). *Denote $\mathbb{P}(t, p)$ as the solution of the probability density function of SDE (7). Then*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{P}(t, p) + \nabla_p \cdot (\mathbb{P}(t, p) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [Q_{ji} p_j - Q_{ij} p_i] \right)_{i=1}^n) = \beta \mathbf{L}_W^* \mathbb{P}(t, p). \quad (8)$$

Assume that $Z = \int_{\mathcal{P}(I)} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta} D_\phi(p|\pi)} \mathbb{P}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dp < +\infty$, then the stationary solution of equation (8) satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}^*(p) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta} D_\phi(p|\pi)} \mathbb{P}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. The proof directly follows from Proposition 2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbb{P}(t, p)}{\partial t} &= -\nabla_p \cdot (\mathbb{P}(t, p) (\sum_{j=1}^n [Q_{ji} p_j - Q_{ij} p_i]_{i=1}^n)) + \beta L_W^* \mathbb{P}(t, p) \\ &= \nabla_p \cdot (\mathbb{P}(t, p) L(p) \nabla_p D_\phi(p|\pi)) + \beta L_W^* \mathbb{P}(t, p) \\ &= \nabla_p \cdot (\mathbb{P}(t, p) L(p) \nabla_p D_\phi(p|\pi)) + \beta \nabla_p \cdot (\mathbb{P}(t, p) L(p) \nabla_p \log \frac{\mathbb{P}(t, p)}{\mathbb{P}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}) \\ &= \beta \nabla_p \cdot (\mathbb{P}(t, p) L(p) \nabla_p \log \frac{\mathbb{P}(t, p)}{e^{-\frac{1}{\beta} D_\phi(p|\pi)} \mathbb{P}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the stationary density of equation (8) satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}^*(p) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta} D_\phi(p|\pi)} \mathbb{P}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $Z < +\infty$ is a normalization constant. \square

4. EXAMPLES ON A TWO POINT SPACE

In this section, we present several examples of Wasserstein gradient drift diffusion processes (7) on a two-point state.

Consider a two-point graph $I = \{1, 2\}$, with $\omega_{12} = \omega_{21} > 0$, $\omega_{11} = \omega_{22} = 0$, and $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Denote $p = (p_1, p_2)^\top \in \mathcal{P}(I) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ as the probability function. In this case,

$$L(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{12}(p)\omega_{12} & -\theta_{12}(p)\omega_{12} \\ -\theta_{12}(p)\omega_{12} & \theta_{12}(p)\omega_{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalue of $L(p)$ can be computed explicitly. In other words,

$$\mathbb{P}(p) = \lambda_1(p) = 2\omega_{12}\theta_{12}(p).$$

The Wasserstein gradient drift-diffusion (3) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} dp_1(t) = \omega_{12}\theta_{12}(p(t)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \right) [\mathbb{V}(p) - \frac{\beta}{2} \log \theta_{12}(p(t))] dt \\ \quad + \sqrt{\beta\omega_{12}\theta_{12}(p(t))} (dB_{12}(t) - dB_{21}(t)), \\ dp_2(t) = \omega_{12}\theta_{12}(p(t)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \right) [\mathbb{V}(p) - \frac{\beta}{2} \log \theta_{12}(p(t))] dt \\ \quad + \sqrt{\beta\omega_{12}\theta_{12}(p(t))} (dB_{21}(t) - dB_{12}(t)), \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $(B_{12}, B_{21}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are standard independent Brownian motions.

The two dimensional SDE (3) can be further simplified into a one dimensional equation. Denote $x(t) := p_1(t) \in [0, 1]$, $p_2(t) = 1 - x(t)$, $h = \sqrt{\omega_{12}} > 0$, $V(x) := \mathbb{V}(p) = \mathbb{V}(x, 1 - x)$,

and $\theta(x) := \theta_{12}(p)$. Note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}\theta_{12}(p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2}\theta_{12}(p) = \frac{d}{dx}\theta(x) = \theta'(x)$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}\mathbb{V}(p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2}\mathbb{V}(p) = \frac{d}{dx}V(x) = V'(x)$. Write $B(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B_{12}(t) - B_{21}(t))$. Then SDE (9) satisfies

$$dx_t = -h^2[\theta(x)V'(x_t) - \frac{\beta}{2}\theta'(x_t)]dt + h\sqrt{2\beta\theta(x_t)}dB_t, \quad (10)$$

where $x_t \in [0, 1]$ is the solution. Thus the Fokker-Planck equation of SDE (10) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho(t, x) &= h^2 \partial_x (\rho(t, x) [\theta(x)V'(x) - \frac{\beta}{2}\theta'(x)]) + \beta h^2 \partial_{xx} (\rho(t, x)\theta(x)) \\ &= \beta h^2 \partial_x \left(\rho(t, x)\theta(x) \partial_x \log \frac{\rho(t, x)}{e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}V(x)}\theta(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

And the stationary density of SDE (10) satisfies

$$\rho^*(x) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\frac{V(x)}{\beta}} \theta(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where we assume that $Z = \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{V(y)}{\beta}} \theta(y)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy < +\infty$.

Example 5 (Wasserstein common noises on a two point space). *Let $\beta = 1$ and $\mathbb{V}(p) = 0$. The SDE (10) forms the canonical Wasserstein common noise:*

$$dx_t = \frac{h^2}{2} \theta'(x_t) dt + h\sqrt{2\theta(x_t)} dB_t.$$

In this case, assume that $Z = \int_0^1 \theta(y)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy < +\infty$, the stationary density in simplex set satisfies

$$\rho^*(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \theta(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In particular, let θ be a geometric mean, i.e., $\theta(x) = 2\sqrt{x(1-x)}$. Then SDE (10) forms

$$dx_t = h^2 \frac{1 - 2x_t}{x_t^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-x_t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt + 2hx_t^{\frac{1}{4}}(1-x_t)^{\frac{1}{4}} dB_t.$$

We simulate the above SDE numerically in the time interval $[0, 1]$ by the Euler–Maruyama scheme, for parameters $h = 0.1$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $x_0 = 0.5$.

Example 6 (Individual and Wasserstein common noises on a two point space). *Let $\beta = 1$ and $\mathbb{V}(p) = p_1 \log \frac{p_1}{\pi_1} + p_2 \log \frac{p_2}{\pi_2}$, i.e., $V(x) = x \log x + (1-x) \log(1-x) + \log 2$. Then SDE (10) satisfies*

$$dx_t = h^2 [-\theta(x_t)(\log x_t - \log(1-x_t)) + \frac{1}{2}\theta'(x_t)] dt + h\sqrt{2\theta(x_t)} dB_t.$$

And the stationary density in simplex set satisfies

$$\rho^*(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^x \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)^{1-x} \theta(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Z = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^y \left(\frac{1}{1-y}\right)^{1-y} \theta(y)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy < +\infty.$$




FIGURE 1. Simulations of Wasserstein common noises with the geometric mean function in Example 5.

In particular, let θ be a logarithm mean, i.e., $\theta(x) = \frac{2(2x-1)}{\log x - \log(1-x)}$. Then SDE (10) forms

$$dx_t = h^2 \left[2(1 - 2x_t) + \frac{(1 - 2x_t)}{(x_t - x_t^2)(\log x_t - \log(1 - x_t))^2} + \frac{2}{(\log x_t - \log(1 - x_t))} \right] dt + 2h \sqrt{\frac{2x_t - 1}{\log x_t - \log(1 - x_t)}} dB_t.$$

Again, we simulate the above SDE numerically in the time interval $[0, 1]$ by the Euler-Maruyama scheme, for parameters $h = 0.1$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $x_0 = 0.5$.

5. DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we present Wasserstein common noises in probability simplex set, which is built from the Laplace-Beltrami operator in finite state Wasserstein space. We also derive a drift-diffusion process in probability simplex. Extending the equivalent relationship between gradient flows and reversible Markov jumping processes, we introduce a class of stochastic reversible Markov jumping processes. The stochastic perturbation is added from the canonical Wasserstein common noise on finite states.

We remark that equation (5) is known as the strong Onsager gradient flow [12, 25]:

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -L(p) \nabla_p D_\phi(p \parallel \pi) = \operatorname{div}_\omega(\theta(p) \nabla_\omega \nabla_p D_\phi(p \parallel \pi)),$$




FIGURE 2. Simulations of individual and Wasserstein common noises with the logarithm mean function in Example 6.

where $\nabla_p D_\phi(p|\pi)$ is the generalized force and $L(p)$ is the Onsager response matrix. In this sense, the proposed SDE (7) satisfies *Onsager gradient drift diffusions*:

$$dp_t = \text{div}_\omega(\theta(p_t)\nabla_\omega\nabla_p D_\phi(p_t|\pi))dt + \beta\text{div}_\omega(\theta(p_t)\nabla_\omega\nabla_p \log \frac{\mathbb{I}(p_t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\theta(p_t)})dt + \sqrt{2\beta}\text{div}_\omega(\sqrt{\theta(p_t)}dB_t^E),$$

Individual noises
Wasserstein common noises

where $\beta > 0$ is a scalar for the canonical Wasserstein diffusion and $\mathbb{I}(p_t)$ is the product of positive eigenvalues of the Onsager response matrix $L(p_t)$.

In future work, we shall investigate properties of Wasserstein drift-diffusion processes on discrete states. In particular, the boundary set and corners of the probability simplex bring difficulties for the existence of strong solutions of SDEs (3). One has to assume that the square root of activation function $\sqrt{\theta}$ is Lipschitz, which is often not satisfied for many divergence induced activation functions. The other interesting question is about the entropy dissipation analysis for probability density function supported on a simplex set; see [20]. In applications, we remark that finite states Wasserstein drift-diffusion processes are essential in modeling and computations of population games in social dynamics. Typical examples include stochastic evolutionary dynamics [9], mean field games [2, 12], and estimation problems in data sciences [8]. More importantly, we shall develop fast and accurate algorithms to compute and model Wasserstein drift diffusion processes arised in social sciences, biology, evolutionary game theory, and Bayesian and AI sampling problems.

Acknowledgement: On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient flows: in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [2] P. Cardaliaguet, F. Delarue, J. Lasry, and P. Lions. The master equation and the convergence problem in mean field games. *Princeton University Press*, 2019.
- [3] O. Chodosh. A lack of Ricci bounds for the entropic measure on Wasserstein space over the interval. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 262(10):4570–4581, 2012.
- [4] S. N. Chow, W. Huang, Y. Li, and H. Zhou. Fokker–Planck equations for a free energy functional or Markov jumping process on a graph. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 203(3):969–1008, 2012.
- [5] Y.T. Chow, and W. Gangbo. A partial Laplacian as an infinitesimal generator on the Wasserstein space. *Journal of Differential Equations*, v.267, 2019
- [6] D. Dean. Langevin equation for the density of a system of interacting Langevin processes. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, Volume 29, Number 24, 1996.
- [7] M. Döring, and W. Stannat. The logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the Wasserstein diffusion. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 145(1-2):189–209, 2009.
- [8] Y. Dukler, W. Li, A. Lin, and G. Montufar. Wasserstein of Wasserstein Loss for Learning Generative Models. *ICML*, 2019.
- [9] D. Foster, and P. Young, Stochastic evolutionary game dynamics. *Theoretical Population Biology*, Volume 38, Issue 2, 219–232, 1990.
- [10] P. Fuchs, A. Jungel, and M. von Renesse. On the Lagrangian structure of quantum fluid model. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems series A*, 34(4): 1375-1396, 2014.
- [11] W. Gangbo, W. Li, and C. Mou. Geodesic of minimal length in the set of probability measures on graphs. *ESAIM: COCV*, Volume 25, 2019.
- [12] Y. Gao, W. Li, and J.G. Liu. Master equations for finite state mean field games with nonlinear activations *arXiv:2212.05675*, 2022.
- [13] P. Hanggi, H. Grabert, P. Talkner, and H. Thomas. Bistable systems: Master equation versus Fokker–Planck modeling. *Physical Review A*, 29(1), 371, 1984.
- [14] J. Hofbauer, and K. Sigmund. *The theory of evolution and dynamical systems: mathematical aspects of selection*. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 1988.
- [15] E. Hopf. Statistical hydromechanics and functional calculus. *J. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 1(1):87D123, 1952.
- [16] K. Kawasaki. Stochastic model of slow dynamics in supercooled liquids and dense colloidal suspensions. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, Volume 208, Issue 1, Pages 35-64, 1994.
- [17] V. Konarovskiy, T. Lehmann, and M. von Renesse. On Dean–Kawasaki Dynamics with Smooth Drift Potential. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 2020.
- [18] V. Konarovskiy, and M. von Renesse. Modified Massive Arratia flow and Wasserstein diffusion. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 2018.
- [19] J. Lasry, and P. Lions. Mean field games. *Jpn. J. Math*, 2, 229D-260, 2007.
- [20] W. Li. Transport information geometry: Riemannian calculus on probability simplex. *Information Geometry*, 5, 161D207, 2022.
- [21] J. Maas. Gradient Flows of the Entropy for Finite Markov Chains. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 261(8):2250–2292, 2011.
- [22] J. Maas, and A. Mielke. Modeling of Chemical Reaction Systems with Detailed Balance Using Gradient Structures. *J Stat Phys*, 181, 2257D2303, 2020.
- [23] A. Mielke. A Gradient Structure for Reaction–diffusion Systems and for Energy-Drift-Diffusion Systems. *Nonlinearity*, 24(4):1329, 2011.
- [24] M.K. von Renesse, and K.-T. Sturm. Entropic measure and Wasserstein diffusion. *The Annals of Probability*, 37(3):1114–1191, 2009.
- [25] L. Onsager. Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes, *I+II*. *Physical Review*, 37, 405–426, 1931.
- [26] C. Villani. *Optimal Transport: Old and New*. Number 338 in Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, Berlin, 2009.

APPENDIX: DERIVATIONS OF L_W^* AND L_W

The derivation of Fokker-Planck equation for SDE (3) is standard. We omit it here. We only show the derivation from the Wasserstein Laplacian-Beltrami operator on simplex set to the Kolmogorov forward and backward operators in a simplex set.

Proof. The Laplace–Beltrami operator in $(\mathcal{P}(I), g^W)$ satisfies

$$\Delta_W \mathfrak{p}(p) = \mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L(p) \nabla_p \mathfrak{p}(p) \right), \quad (11)$$

where $\mathfrak{p} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P}(I); \mathbb{R})$ is a probability density function on simplex set w.r.t. vol_W . Here

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}(I)} \mathfrak{p}(p) d\text{vol}_W(p) = 1.$$

Denote a probability density function of simplex set w.r.t. Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n as

$$\mathbb{P}(p) = \mathfrak{p}(p) \mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then operator (11) forms

$$\begin{aligned} L_W^* \mathbb{P}(p) &= \nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L(p) \nabla_p \mathbb{P}(p) \right) \\ &= \nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathfrak{p}(p) \mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathfrak{p}(p) \right) \\ &= \nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathbb{P}(p) L(p) \nabla_p \log \frac{\mathbb{P}(p)}{\mathbb{\Pi}(p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathbb{P}(p) L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{\Pi}(p) \right) + \nabla_p \cdot \left(L(p) \nabla_p \mathbb{P}(p) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact

$$\nabla_p \mathfrak{p}(p) = \mathfrak{p}(p) \nabla_p \log \mathfrak{p}(p), \quad \nabla_p \mathbb{P}(p) = \mathbb{P}(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{P}(p).$$

In details, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_p \cdot \left(L(p) \nabla_p \mathbb{P}(p) \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \left(L(p)_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \mathbb{P}(p) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} L(p)_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \mathbb{P}(p) + L(p)_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} \mathbb{P}(p) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \right) \theta_{ij}(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \mathbb{P}(p) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} \mathbb{P}(p) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in N(i)} \omega_{ik} \theta_{ik}(p) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_i} \mathbb{P}(p) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} \omega_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \right) \theta_{ij}(p) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \right) \mathbb{P}(p) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} \omega_{ij} \theta_{ij}(p) \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_j \partial p_j} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_i} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} \right) \mathbb{P}(p).
\end{aligned}$$

In above derivation, we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} L(p)_{ij} &= \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} L(p)_{ij} + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} L(p)_{ii} \\
&= - \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \theta_{ij}(p) + \sum_{k \in N(i)} \omega_{ki} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \theta_{ki}(p) \\
&= \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \right) \theta_{ij}(p).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can derive

$$\nabla_p \cdot \left(\mathbb{P}(p) L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{P}(p) \right) = (\nabla_p \mathbb{P}(p), L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{P}(p)) + \mathbb{P}(p) \nabla_p \cdot \left(L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{P}(p) \right).$$

This finishes the proof.

We next derive the Kolmogorov backward operator for SDE (2).

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}(I)} \Phi(p) \mathbf{L}_W^* \mathbb{P}(p) dp = \int_{\mathcal{P}(I)} \mathbb{P}(p) \mathbf{L}_W \Phi(p) dp.$$

Clearly, we have

$$\mathbf{L}_W \Phi(p) = - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_p \Phi(p), L(p) \nabla_p \log \mathbb{P}(p)) + \nabla_p \cdot (L(p) \nabla_p \Phi(p)).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Email address: wuchen@mailbox.sc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 29208.