

PARAMETERIZED DENSITY FUNCTIONAL MODELS OF BLOCK COPOLYMER MELTS

SULIN WANG, YUAN CHEN, ZENGQIANG TAN, AND KEITH PROMISLOW

ABSTRACT. The derivation of density functional energies from the random phase approximation of self-consistent mean field theory is generalized and applied to a binary blend of diblock copolymers and homopolymers. A nonlocal transformation is incorporated into the density functional model prior to the strong segregation extrapolation step employed by Uneyama and Doi. The transformation affords a systematic parameterization of the free energy that preserves key structural features such as scattering structure factor. A simple choice of transformation is shown to incorporate the Tuebner and Strey microemulsion structure factor and provide a reduction to the microemulsion free energy. Without adjustable parameters, the associated phase diagrams are compared to experimental and self consistent mean field based results. A gradient descent of the free energy recovers dependence of end-state morphology on initial configurations, and identifies coexisting microstructures and transitions to two-phase behavior. Small angle x-ray data is simulated and used in classification of microphase morphology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Blends of copolymers, polymers and solvents form a rich energy landscape with a complex phase diagram that affords remarkable potential for nano-scale control of self-assembling morphology [1]. Polymer filtration membranes are a particular target with important applications to water purification, biomedical separation processes such as protein purification and virus filtration, and pollution remediation [2, 3]. Conventionally, filtration membranes are designed through non-solvent induced phase separation [4, 5]. Recently self-assembled solutions of flexible polymer surfactants, sometimes called lyotropic liquid crystals (LLCs) [6], have been used as templates for isoporous membranes which target enhanced filtration selectivity [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Blends of water, monomer, and block copolymers form a template from which the monomer phase is polymerized, crosslinking the film to produce a polymerized filtration membrane. The LLC-based process has demonstrated significantly higher selectivity than conventional membranes of similar pore size [7, 13], with the ability to separate solutes with slight size differences and excellent anti-fouling properties due to the hydrophilicity of the pore lining [14].

Neat blends of AB diblock copolymers with weak AB segregation strength reside in a disordered state. Increasing the segregation strength pushes the system through the order disorder transition (ODT) to form lamellar, hexagonal, gyroidal, and micellar microphases. More complex blends can develop microemulsion phases and other bicontinuous morphologies that do not have obvious symmetries. Bicontinuous phases have elicited substantial interest as templates for bound electrolyte phases in batteries, [15] and selective ionic conductors [16]. However microemulsions can be particularly sensitive to auxiliary processing steps, being susceptible to shear-induced macrophase separation [17].

The map from initial composition of polymer blend to an end state, cross-linked polymer membrane is complex. The final structure depends sensitively on a variety of material and process parameters. The inclusion of multiple homopolymers and copolymers greatly increases the complexity of fabrication, as microphase and macrophase separation must be properly organized on both length and time scales to obtain a membrane with the desired structure, [18]. Microphase domains can co-exist, [19], polydispersity in diblock copolymers and homopolymers can lower the order-disorder transition (ODT) point, stabilize microemulsions [20, 21, 22], and introduce longer-range interactions that interrupt ordered patterns and reduce translational symmetry, [23]. The inclusion of charged groups [24] and dissolved salts

Date: July 4, 2023.

increases the experimental toolbox but further complicates the energy landscape. Indeed varying the salt concentration significantly impacts the effective segregation strength of polymers and can induce a full range of microphase bifurcations, [25].

Self consistent mean field models (SCMF), field theoretical models, and dissipative particle dynamics (DPD) approaches have amply demonstrated their ability to efficiently capture the equilibrium nature of polymer self-assemblies on tens of nanometers [26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. However simpler phase-field models can be helpful to scan large state spaces or facilitate the resolution of larger (hundreds of nanometers) length-scales associated to grain domains with distinct microphase separation. Moreover complex blends often require auxiliary processes such as thermal and shear annealing to eliminate defects, enlarge grain size, and coax the system into ordered states [31, 32, 33]. Auxiliary processes pose two challenges to computational approaches. First they are non-equilibrium and require coupling to external fields. Their simulation requires a model for forced-base dynamics and introduces issues of system frustration and dependence on initial data. Second, time scales of auxiliary processes and can be long compared to other system processes. Some of these issues have been addressed for SCMF computations by incorporating variable cell shapes [34] and screening from random initial data [35]. However SCMF does not trivially allow coupling to auxiliary fields and does not always scale well to larger domains [27]. Recent work shows that gradient flows of phase field models have the potential to couple phase separation to kinetic fluid flow effects, [36, 37].

Density functional (DF) or phase-field models derived under the nearly spatially-uniform density assumptions of the random phase approximation (RPA) date back to Leibler [38] and Noolandi [39]. The widely recognized Ohta-Kawasaki (OK) model [40] for neat diblock copolymers successfully predicts the ODT [41] and salient features of the phase diagram. Generalizations of the OK free energy extended it to multicomponent blends [42, 43]. However the RPA introduces several uncontrolled approximations, for more complex blends can only hope for qualitative predictions for the resulting DF models. The Flory-Huggins parameters shape key aspects of these energies, but they may not be sufficient to control all behaviors asked of them [44]. Measurements of Flory-Huggins parameters are known to be broadly sensitivity to system properties, reproducibility requires carefully selected experiments [30].

Given this context, it may be more realistic to treat RPA-based density functional models less as *ab initio* predictive tools and more as templates to be trained to data extracted from local conditions [45, 46]. It is particularly promising to drive the training from machine-learning based force-matching from particle or field based models, such as coarse-grained molecular dynamics and kinetic theory [47, 48, 49, 50]. Machine-learning based training for condensed matter systems can be remarkably successful when the training possesses is preconditioned with a relatively low-dimensional template that embed key features of the system [51].

This work presents a modification of the RPA derivation of density functional models that systematically builds in parameterization while preserving key structural components. As derived by Ohta and Kawasaki, the density functional models incorporate long-range interaction terms describing the entropic effects of chain folding and volume exclusion derived from the Feynman-Kac relations, but only to a linear level. The “strong-segregation extrapolation” (SSE) step incorporates essential higher-order terms into the model but does so in an ad-hoc manner. Specifically it incorporates singular structure into the entropic terms in a manner that preserves positivity of the density functionals in the strong segregation limit. Generically the form of the SSE step has been chosen so as to preserve the scattering structure near the order-disorder transition. The parameterization step incorporates nonlocal transformation of the density functions associated to the amphiphilic polymers prior to the SSE step. This provides a wide range of models which preserve the structure factor. For clarity, the parameterized density functional (pDF) model is presented for a particularly simple nonlocal transformation with a single free parameter. The resulting pDF increases bistability and makes a connection to the scalar microemulsion models of Gompper and Kraus [52] in a wet-brush regime. In this sense the parameterization approach provides a more systematic connection between the RPA approximations

of homopolymer/homopolymer/diblock copolymer blends and the microemulsion models developed for water/oil/surfactant systems, in agreement with the analogy of Bates and Lodge, [19].

2. PARAMETERIZED DENSITY FUNCTIONAL MODEL

The mean field and RPA traditionally address a blend of diblock copolymers, homopolymers, and solvent described by their M volume fractions $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^M$ on a physical domain $\Omega = [0, L]^3$ subject to periodic boundary conditions. The index i ranges over each distinct polymer or solvent species, including each block component of the diblock chains. The free energy is expressed in terms of external fields $U = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^M$ and the volume fractions. Within the mean field theory the intra-molecular interactions are described by the product of the volume fractions and the external fields, while the inter-molecular interactions are governed by the usual Flory-Huggins relations,

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(\phi) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j} \chi_{ij} \phi_i \phi_j - \rho_0 \sum_i U_i(x) \phi_i^0 \right) dx.$$

The volume fractions have been decomposed into their domain averages $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^M$ and the deviation $\{\phi_i^0\}_{i=1}^M$, which satisfy $\phi_i = m_i + \phi_i^0$. The system is subject to the incompressibility condition $\sum_i \phi_i = 1$.

As critical points of the SCMF energy the external fields and volume fractions are related through the forward and backward propagators which describe the conformations of the polymer chains under the influence of the other system components. Following the approach of Uneyama and Doi the first step of the RPA approximation, outlined in the supplementary material, derives a linear approximation to the propagator relation. This employs the long-chain approximation for the Fourier symbol for the propagator relations. These relations are diagonal over each *connected* polymer component. Substituting the linear relation of the external field on the volume fractions into the free energy yields a quadratic characterization of the weak segregation regime,

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{F}^{(2)}(\phi^0) = \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a_{ij}}{m_i} |\nabla \phi_i^0|^2 + \left(\frac{b_{ij}}{\sqrt{m_i m_j}} + \chi_{ij} \right) \phi_i^0 \phi_j^0 + \frac{c_{ij} (G \phi_i^0) (G \phi_j^0)}{\sqrt{m_i m_j}} dx.$$

The operator $G := (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the square-root of the negative inverse Laplacian subject to periodic boundary conditions. Length has been scaled by the Kuhn length ℓ and energy by ℓ^3 . The interaction matrices $a = (a_{ij})$, $b = (b_{ij})$, $c = (c_{ij})$ are block diagonal over connected polymer components and have entries that depend only upon the chain structure: specifically the chain lengths of homopolymers and then lengths of each copolymer block in a diblock chain. For homopolymers the c term is zero, and for solvent phases both a and c are zero. Explicit forms are given in the supplementary material.

2.1. Uneyama-Doi model from the Strong Segregation Extrapolation. The SSE is the least controlled step in the energy development is the extension to a nonlinear model. The approach taken by Uneyama and Doi introduces singularities the preserve positivity of the densities. This is crucial in the strong segregation limit, χN large, for which phase separation drives some volume fractions to zero. Significantly this extrapolation step is not unique. Uneyama and Doi replace the bulk densities m_i with the spatially varying densities ϕ_i ,

$$m_i \mapsto \phi_i = m_i + \phi_i^0$$

while imposing the condition that the extrapolated model \mathcal{F}_* preserves the structure factor found in the bilinear form of the energy. This is equivalent to imposing the condition

$$(2.3) \quad \left. \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{F}_*}{\delta \phi^2} \right|_{\phi=m} = \left. \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{F}^{(2)}}{\delta \phi^2} \right|_{\phi=m}.$$

This forces the extrapolated energy to agree with the bilinear form at the ODT. There are a host of extrapolated models that satisfy this relation. Uneyama and Doi make the choice [43, eqn.(46)] which

in the current notation takes the form

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{UD}}(\phi) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_i \left(\frac{a_{ii}}{\phi_i} |\nabla \phi_i|^2 + b_{ii} \phi_i \ln \phi_i \right) + \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{b_{ij}}{\sqrt{\phi_i \phi_j}} + \chi_{ij} \right) \phi_i \phi_j \\ + \sum_{i,j} \frac{c_{ij}}{\sqrt{\phi_i \phi_j}} \mathbf{G}(\phi_i - m_i) \mathbf{G}(\phi_j - m_j) dx.$$

This energy functional give quantitative agreement with the scattering functions for AB diblock polymers [43, Figure 2]. It reduces to the Flory-Huggins-de Gennes type free energy for homopolymer blends and to the Ohta-Kawasaki energy for a neat diblock copolymer melt. It inherits the sharp spinodal decomposition mechanism, [38], of the models of Flory and Huggins, [53, 54] that preclude the existence of microphase separation below the ODT line and recovers the strong quantitative agreement of the phase diagram for neat AB diblock copolymer melts.

2.2. Parametrized SSE. We consider an alternate SSE step which emphasizes the scattering structure for microemulsions introduced by Tuebner and Strey [55]. To simplify notation the presentation is focused on a binary blend of an AB copolymer diblock and an A homopolymer. Disorder near the diblock-homopolymer interface is systematically introduced via a nonlocal change of variables (spatial averaging) imposed on the amphiphilic diblock volume fraction prior to the SSE. The change of variables is motivated by the propensity for amphiphilic interfaces to spontaneously disorder, for example in the lamellar-to-disorder transition which may yield a microemulsion phase, [56]. Diblock copolymers residing at the interface phases are susceptible to longer range correlations over hundreds of nanometers. These effects can arise from copolymer polydispersity, which has the tendency to disrupt order, partial charge effects between amphiphilic moieties and solvent that have a propensity to induce longer range order.

For the binary blend with densities (ϕ_A, ϕ_B, ϕ_H) the parameterization is induced through a change of variables transformation imposed only on the AB diblock copolymer volume fractions (ϕ_A, ϕ_B) . These are spatially averaged through an operator \mathcal{G} , yielding the locally averaged volume fraction

$$(2.5) \quad \psi_i := m_i + \mathcal{G} \phi_i^0 = m_i + \mathcal{G}(\phi_i - m_i),$$

for $i = A, B$. In general \mathcal{G} can be any positive averaging operator that is an invertible map from zero-mass densities to zero-mass densities. This includes the Fourier operators (convolutions) with symbol $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ and multiplicative Fourier action given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G} \phi^0}(\zeta) = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\zeta) \widehat{\phi^0}(\zeta),$$

so long as $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\zeta) \geq 0$ and any singularity at $\zeta = 0$, satisfies $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\zeta)|\zeta|$ bounded as $|\zeta| \rightarrow 0$. The identity (2.11) allows the quadratic energy for a diblock copolymer (2.2) to be written in the *equivalent* local formulation,

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{AB}}^{(2)}(\psi) = \sum_{i,j \in \{A,B\}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a_{ij}}{m_i} |\Delta \mathcal{G}^{-1} \psi_i|^2 + \left(\frac{b_{ij}}{\sqrt{m_i m_j}} + \chi_{ij} \right) \mathcal{G}^{-1} \psi_i \mathcal{G}^{-1} \psi_j + \frac{c_{ij} (\mathcal{G}^{-1} \mathbf{G} \psi_i^0) (\mathcal{G}^{-1} \mathbf{G} \psi_j^0)}{\sqrt{m_i m_j}} dx.$$

Here \mathcal{G}^{-1} is understood to map onto zero-mass densities, and $\psi_i^0 = \psi_i - m_i$ has zero mass. To this point the parametric approach is merely a change of variables that yields a quadratic energy equivalent to the original. The divergence from the UD approach occurs at the SSE step. This involves the replacement of the bulk volume fractions of the diblock-copolymer/surfactant phases, m_i , $i = A, B$ by the averaged volume fraction ψ_i rather than by the local volume fraction ϕ_i ,

$$(2.7) \quad m_i \mapsto \psi_i \quad i = A, B.$$

Making this replacement in (2.6) is not equivalent to making a replacement in (2.2) followed by the change of variable. Moreover the replacement is made only in the second (b) and zero-th order (c) terms

as this increases their ability to induce bistability. The result is the parametric formulation for the diblock copolymer self-interaction,

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{pAB}}(\psi) = \sum_{i,j \in \{A,B\}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a_{ij}}{m_i} |\nabla \mathcal{G}^{-1} \psi_i|^2 + \left(\frac{b_{ij}}{\sqrt{\psi_i \psi_j}} + \chi_{ij} \right) \mathcal{G}^{-1} \psi_i \mathcal{G}^{-1} \psi_j + \frac{c_{ij} (\mathcal{G}^{-1} \mathbf{G} \psi_i^0) (\mathcal{G}^{-1} \mathbf{G} \psi_j^0)}{\sqrt{\psi_i \psi_j}} dx.$$

The parameterized density function (pDF) energy is formed by coupling the energy (2.2) for the homopolymer $\phi = \{\phi_H\}$ with parametric diblock model for $\psi = \{\psi_A, \psi_B\}$ through the Flory-Huggins interactions

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{pDF}}(\psi, \phi) = \mathcal{F}_{\text{pAB}}(\psi) + \varrho_A \int_{\Omega} 2\chi_{AB} \phi_H \mathcal{G}^{-1} \psi_B dx + \mathcal{F}_{\text{UD}}(\phi).$$

The structure factor condition (2.3) holds for \mathcal{F}_{pDF} for any of the choices of \mathcal{G} outlined above since $\mathcal{G}^{-1} m_i = 0$ and the second variational derivative must act on the $\mathcal{G}^{-1} \psi_i$ terms to have non-zero impact. The pDF model reduces to the Uneyama-Doi model for the choice $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{I}$. The incompressibility constraint is imposed on the mixed variables

$$(2.10) \quad \psi_A + \psi_B + \phi_H = 1,$$

as those are the quantities that the energy keeps positive.

The sequel of this work addresses the specific choice $\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{\ell_A} \mathbf{G}$ of averaging through a scaling of the nonlocal operator \mathbf{G} that arises in the long-chain approximation. The parameter ℓ_A modifies the length scale of the averaging operator and makes \mathcal{G} non-dimensional. For this choice the averaging operator has Fourier symbol

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\ell_A |\zeta|}.$$

This yields the simplest formulation that has a direct connection to the Tuebner-Strey energies for microemulsions. Moreover, while the inverse operator \mathbf{G}^{-1} is nonlocal its quadratic form has a local formulation

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{G}^{-1} \psi_i) (\mathbf{G}^{-1} \psi_j) dx = \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi_i) \psi_j dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi_i \cdot \nabla \psi_j dx.$$

Scaling space by ℓ , energy by ℓ^3 , and employing the identity (2.11) the diblock copolymer energy (2.8) takes the scaled, local \mathbf{G} -formulation,

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{GAB}}(\psi) = \varrho_A^2 \sum_{i,j \in \{A,B\}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a_{ij}}{m_i} |\nabla^2 \psi_i|^2 + \left(\frac{b_{ij}}{\sqrt{\psi_i \psi_j}} + \chi_{ij} \right) \nabla \psi_j \cdot \nabla \psi_j + \frac{c_{ij} (\psi_i - m_i) (\psi_j - m_j)}{\sqrt{\psi_i \psi_j}} dx.$$

The dimensionless parameter $\varrho_A = \ell_A / \ell$ is the ratio of averaging length to the Kuhn length. For this averaging the coupling to the homopolymer $\phi = \{\phi_H\}$ yields the \mathbf{G} formulation of the DF model

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{GDF}}(\psi, \phi) = \mathcal{F}_{\text{GAB}}(\psi) + \varrho_A \int_{\Omega} 2\chi_{AB} \phi_H \mathbf{G}^{-1} \psi_B dx + \mathcal{F}_{\text{UD}}(\phi).$$

An explicit formulation, (6.11), is given in the supplementary material. The structure factor condition (2.3) holds for \mathcal{F}_{GDF} for any of the choices of \mathcal{G} outlined above as the averaging operator has no impact on spatially uniform densities.

For blends with multiple types of diblock copolymers each co-polymer and homopolymer could have a distinct averaging parameterization. The \mathbf{G} -choice amounts to no averaging for the A -homopolymer and averaging by scaled \mathbf{G} for the diblock. This results in Flory-Huggins type energy for the homopolymer which encourages macrophase separation, while the gradient terms in the \mathcal{F}_{GAB} energy reward the generate of interface. The dimensionless parameter ϱ_A scales the competition between these two effects. The interaction between the diblock ψ and homopolymer ϕ components occurs solely through the ϱ_A scaled Flory-Huggins term $\chi = \chi_{AB}$.

3. COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

For binary blends of AB diblock and A homopolymer, the incompressibility constraint allows the energy to be written as a function of $\psi = (\psi_A, \psi_B)$. The domain $\Omega = [0, L]^3$ is periodic with length $L = 100\text{nm}$ set by a one-time scaling to set the $f_A = 0.5, m_H = 0$ lamellar width at 10nm , see Figure 10(b). To facilitate comparison to experimental data in [57] the diblock chain length is fixed at $N_{AB} = N_A + N_B = 86$ and homopolymer of chain length $N_H = 16$.

For models with bistability it is important to understand the basin of attraction of competing stable states. This requires construction of the map from initial configuration to final equilibrium. Within the Onsager formulation for an overdamped system, [58, 59] this requires to specify the dissipation mechanism of the system. The dissipation operators must be positive and annihilate the constants so that the energy decays and the system mass is preserved. The choice $\mathcal{D} = -\frac{\Delta}{1-\Delta}$ has positive Fourier symbol $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(\zeta) = |\zeta|^2/(1+|\zeta|^2) \geq 0$ with $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(0) = 0$, and hence annihilates constants. It induces a uniform dissipation rate for length intermediate to short length scales, (large $|\zeta|$). The associated gradient flow takes the form

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_t = -\mathcal{D} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\text{GDF}}}{\delta\psi} = \frac{\Delta}{1-\Delta} \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}_{\text{GDF}}}{\delta\psi},$$

subject to periodic boundary conditions.

Phase diagrams are a key benchmark for computational models. A traditional and efficient route to their computation is to obtain characterizations of candidate phases for energy minimizers and extend them through numerical continuation over their basin of stability. Where multiple candidate minimizers coexist, their energy levels are compared, with the lowest energy selected as the dominant morphology for the given system parameters. While computationally efficient this equilibrium based approach has a few drawbacks. One is that it does not predict candidate phases, but requires them as input, [30].

A second is that as system complexity increases achieving a desired equilibrium from experimentally accessible initial configurations may require auxiliary processing, and this is easier to incorporate into a non-equilibrium gradient flow simulation. Indeed the incorporation of random initial data to improve the sampling process has been considered, [35].

Another option is seeded initial data based upon a non-equilibrium initial configuration which combines certain structural elements. In the majority of the simulation results presented the initial data is seeded, based upon the fixed configuration presented in Figure 1. The initial data is modified only to have desired mass fractions by adding spatial constants to the initial data and then truncating volume fractions to lie within $[0, 1]$. This particular choice of seed, with both lamellar and void regions, stimulates competition between microphase domains on the computational lengths available. In some simulations initial data is derived from spatially uniform volume fractions perturbed by 10% zero-mass white noise, or are formed from computational




FIGURE 1. Two level sets of the seeded initial data of ψ_B at 50% (yellow) and 0.52% (blue) of its maximum value.

continuation of equilibrium as in the study of hexagonally packed cylinders.

A choice of dissipation operator implies a scaling of time. The onset of the spinodal decomposition of a polymer blend is a fundamental benchmark for time scales. Dynamic light study of the onset of the spinodal decomposition in a long chain $N \approx 10^3$ polystyrene/polyvinyl methyl ether blend shows time scales on the order of 30 minutes for the development of the initial spinodal decomposition [60]. For the gradient descent simulations, the ratio of final simulation time to onset of spinodal decomposition from perturbed uniform initial data is generally 1000:1. The homopolymer chains in the experimental study

are substantially longer than those simulated which suggests a slower spinodal decomposition, however the rough estimate of computational time is on the order of 200-500 hours of laboratory time. Doubling the time of simulations generically yielded visually undetectable changes to structure and small changes to system energy.

A key feature in binary (AB+A) and ternary (AB+A+B) diblock copolymer/homopolymer blends is the transition from a wet-brush regime, in which a homopolymer swells the like-monomer block of the diblock, and a two-phase regime in which they separate to form homopolymer-rich domains. This transition was investigated in foundational work by Hasimoto's group. Conducting small-angle X-ray (SAX) scattering on diblock-homopolymer binary and ternary blends they found that low-weight homopolymers ($\alpha < 0.25$) were completely solubilized by the associated diblock (wet brush) [61]. Increasing homopolymer chain length induced two-phase behavior and longer range order in the SAX measurements indicated by small q upticks in the scattering intensity [62]. For simulations it is convenient to characterize two-phase behavior through the $L^2(\Omega)$ projection of the homopolymer volume fraction onto the volume fraction of the A -phase of the diblock,

$$\pi_{AH} := \frac{\langle \psi_A, \phi_H \rangle}{\|\psi_A\| \|\phi_H\|}.$$

This quantity takes values in $[0, 1]$ and tracks the percentage of homopolymer participating in swelling the A block of the diblock copolymer. In particular π_{AH} approaches 1 in the wet brush regime when the A -diblock and A -homopolymer volume fractions interdigitate, with their densities becoming multiples of each other, $\phi_H = (m_H/m_A)\psi_A$. A two-phase blend is defined by the condition $\pi_{AH} < 0.95$, as this threshold generically coincides with the development of spatial domains of pure homopolymer.




FIGURE 2. Dominant microphase morphology in the AB-A binary blend from seeded initial data as function of diblock fraction f_A and segregation strength χN_{AB} for $\alpha_A = .186$, $m_H = 0.2$ and $\varrho_A = 1$. For fixed values of χN_{AB} the microphase morphology transitions from disordered (black star) to micelles (magenta circles), to hexagonally packed cylinders (red triangles) to gyroidal phase (green inverted triangles) to lamella (blue squares), and then back with increasing values of f_A . A circle around the symbol indicates a wet brush with coexisting microphase domains as determined algorithmically by condition (3.3). The dominant morphology within the two-phase structure is indicated. Lines separating regions of common dominant morphology are included to guide the eye.

3.1. Phase Diagrams. SCMF models are well known to give accurate predictions of the $f_A - \chi N$ phase diagram for conformationally symmetric diblock melts, [63]. While fine details of this phase diagram have been debated, [64], it presents the order-disorder transition and the selection of microphase morphology of minimal energy as a function of diblock fraction $f_A = N_A/N_{AB}$ and of the segregation strength χN_{AB} . The phase diagram is symmetric about the $f_A = 0.5$ line, and above the spinodal

line, one finds lamellar, double-gyroid, hexagonally packed cylinders, close packed spherical phases, and disordered mixtures emerging with increasing deviation of f_A from $1/2$. The DF model of Uneyama and Doi provides a quantitatively accurate reproduction of the SCMF phase diagram [43][Figure 4].

As a benchmark comparison, the $f_A - \chi N_{AB}$ phase diagram for a binary blend of diblock copolymer with 20% volume fraction of homopolymer of phase A ($m_H = 0.2$) was computed from the gradient flow (3.1) of the parameterized DF model with seeded initial data. The diagram is asymmetric, shifted to the left about the $f_A = 0.5$ mid-line reflecting the symmetry breaking influence of the 20% volume fraction of the homopolymer, see Figure 2. The ratio of homopolymer to diblock chain length $\alpha_A = N_H/N_{AB} = .186$ is below the threshold at which two-phase behavior is anticipated, [62, 61, 65]. The GDF gradient flow end states are all in a wet-brush regime with the A homopolymers swelling the A block of the diblock copolymer. Indeed the diblock-homopolymer projection satisfies $\pi_{AH} > 0.97$ over all simulations. The key deviations of the GDF phase diagram lie in the blurring of the ODT transition, in particular microphase separation persists below $\chi N_{AB} < 3$. For spatially uniform phases, $\psi_i = m_i$, the energy \mathcal{F}_{pAB} model is zero, independent of the Flory-Huggins parameters. In the GDF model the spinodal line merely indicates the onset of instability of the disordered phase, but the \mathcal{F}_{GDF} model does not preclude the existence of stable microphase separation below the line. While the ODT is a hallmark of binary homopolymer blends, the overlapping of stability domains is not uncommon in multi-component blends, [65, 57]. The gradient descent from seeded initial data actively encourages coexistence of competing microphase domains in the end state structure, as indicated the phase diagrams by a circle about the phase symbol. In Figure 3 the coexisting domains are included mixed lamellar and cylindrical structures as have been reported in experiments with Li-salted polystyrene-poly(ethylene oxide) (PS-PEO) ternary blends, [19][Figure 4].




FIGURE 3. Comparison of the $m_H - f_A$ phase diagram for a binary AB-A blend. The homopolymer to diblock chain length ratio $\alpha = 0.67$ and the segregation parameter $\chi N_{AB} = 11$ are fixed. (a) End states of a gradient descent from seeded initial data of the microemulsion energy with $\rho_A = 0.67$ (symbols as in figure 2). Contours of π_{AH} at 0.85 and 0.95 (thick black), two-phase region is marked. (b) Results of computational continuation from the self-consistent mean field model for similar binary polymer blend with L=lamellar, H=hexagonal, $Q_{la\bar{3}d}$ =gyroidal, and $Q_{lm\bar{3}m}$ =micellar phases. (reprinted from [66][figure 8]).

The $f_A - m_H$ phase diagram for a binary AB-A blend fixes the homopolymer to diblock chain ratio, α_A , and segregation strength χN_{AB} and varies the homopolymer volume fraction m_H and diblock chain fraction, f_A . The phase diagram has two central features: the regions of distinct microphase

morphology and the onset of two-phase behavior, in which homopolymer segregates from the diblock to form homopolymer rich domains. Taking $\alpha_A = 0.67$ and $\chi N_{AB} = 11$ the results of gradient flows of the GDF model from seeded initial data and $\rho_A = 0.67$ are presented in Figure 3 (a) and compared to computational continuation results from the self-consistent mean field model reprinted from [66] in Figure 3 (b). The SCMF computational continuation reveals a complex phase structure with the micelle-hexagonal-gyroidal-lamellar transitions sandwiched between a two-phase regime for f_A (labeled f in Figure 3(b)) below 0.4 and a disordered regime for f_A above 0.6. The onset of two-phase behavior is strongly influenced by the value of f_A . The GDF phase diagram yields qualitatively comparable results for smaller values of m_H (labeled ϕ in subfigure (b)), but with larger regions of lamellar and gyroidal structures as well as coexistence of microphase domains (indicated by circle around symbol) near the phase transition boundaries. In Figure 3(a) the boundary of the two-phase domain is indicated by the contour $\pi_{AH} = 0.95$. The contour $\pi_{AH} = 0.85$ is included to indicate direction and magnitude of the gradient. The two-phase region in the GDF phase diagram arises at larger values of m_H and smaller values of f_A . It occupies the lower-right hand corner except for a truncation in the upper right where it abuts the disordered phase, for which $\pi_{AH} = 1$. The disordered phase is much smaller in the GDF simulation, and is largely replaced with a micellar regime. The seeded initial data strongly enhances the probability that a gradient flow computation terminates on a microphase structure even when the disordered state is stable.

For the GDF model, the sensitivity of the two-phase region to m_H implies that the two-phase behavior is triggered by the displacement of the homopolymer chains from the microphase structure in an unbinding transition. The energy cost of homopolymer beyond the unbinding limit is dominant and the excess is ejected, forming homopolymer rich domains. It has been suggested that highly swollen structures may have marginal stability [66]. This may lead highly swollen states to be favored by computational continuation over gradient flow. The computational continuation approach for SCMF minimizers associates the two-phase regime to smaller values of f_A , for which the A -block chains are shorter. This suggests the onset of the two phase regime may be driven more by entropic competition between homopolymer and diblock chains rather than by energetic limits of microphase swelling leading to an unbinding transition.



FIGURE 4. Dominant morphology in the AB-A binary blend and contour lines of π_{AH} as function of homopolymer fraction m_H and χN_{AB} for seeded initial data with $f_A = 0.5$ and (a) $\rho_A = 1$ and (b) $\rho_A = 1.67$. The level sets of π_{AH} are superimposed in dark black, with two phase regions corresponding to $\pi_{AH} < 0.95$. The dominant phase is labeled using symbols as in Figure 2.

The dimensionless parameter ρ_A is the sole adjustable parameter considered in the GDF model considered herein. This parameter scales the diblock copolymer self-energy against the energy of its interaction with the homopolymer. In a force-field fitting approach its value will likely dependent upon many system parameters, including the polymer chain lengths. The impact of ρ_A on the $m_H - \chi N$ phase diagram is presented in Figure 4 for the GDF gradient descent from seeded initial data. For a symmetric diblock, $f_A = 0.5$, with $\alpha_A = 16/86 \approx 0.186$, Figure 4 (a) presents the phase diagram

for $\rho_A = 1.0$. The phases transition from lamellar to gyroidal to hexagonally packed cylinders and to micellar structures with increasing homopolymer content. These regions of each phase depend only modestly on values of $\chi N_{AB} > 20$. Each of the morphological transitions is gradual in m_H with spatially coexisting morphologies observed for a range $m_h \approx \pm 0.05$. As indicated in Table 1, these results have reasonable qualitative comparison to experimental observations. The study of PEO-PEP diblock with $f_A = 0.5$ in a PEP homopolymer with $\alpha_A < 0.25$, report a similar lamellar-gyroidal-hexagonal-micellar microstructure phase shifts at 100°C [67][Figure 6(b)]. The reported m_H ranges for each phase of microstructure are largely independent of temperature over a range corresponding to $\chi N_{AB} \in [25, 50]$. Lower temperature behavior can be extracted from the isothermal phase diagram at $T=80^\circ\text{C}$ from [67][Figure 10]. The left edge of the triangle corresponds to a binary PEO-PEP plus PEO homopolymer blend. The change in temperature, and increase in effective χ , induces shifts in the transition points and introduces a two-phase region at $m_H > 0.90$. Experiments of with a binary blend of a symmetric PEO-PS diblock copolymer with PS with a chain length $N_{PEO-PS} = 86$ and $N_{H,PS} = 18$ were conducted in a 6% LiFTSI salt doped solution at 70°C . The left edge of the isothermal phase diagram reported in [57][8a] shows similar qualitative phase transitions with a large region of disordered phase for $m_H > 0.70$. These experimental phase diagram results agree well with those from the GDF gradient flow with $\chi N_{AB} = 8.6$, as reported in Table 1 (a, b, c, d).

The impact of increasing ρ_A to $\rho_A = 1.67$ is presented in Figure 4 (b). The transitions in microstructure phase are shifted incrementally, with the lamellar region invading the gyroidal region at strong segregation strength. This coincides with a left-ward shift of the two-phase region that signals the expulsion of homopolymer from the lamellar microstructure. For large values of ρ_A the lamellar microstructure has less energetic cost to eject excess homopolymer beyond is unbinding limit as the shift to $\rho_A = 1.67$ decreases the energetic cost of forming homopolymer-rich domains. The increase in ρ_A has significant impact on two-phase transition, roughly halving the value of m_H at which $\pi_{AH} = 0.95$ for fixed segregation strength. For both $\rho_A = 1.0$ and 1.67 the two-phase region grows with increasing segregation strength. Within the GDF model the unbinding point is lowers with increasing strong segregation and microphase structure experiences increased cost to accommodate the intercalated homopolymer chains.

Table 1) Comparison of Phase Diagrams

	Lamellar	Lam-Hex	Gyroid	Hexagonal	Micelle	Two-phase
a) GDF with $\chi N_{AB} = 8.6$	[0, 0.25]	-	[0.25, 0.45]	[0.45, 0.65]	[0.65, 0.85]	[0.85, 1.00]
b) PEO-PEP+PEP/ 100°C	[0, 0.27]	-	[0.27, 0.37]	[0.37, 0.60]	[0.65, 1.00]	-
c) PEO-PEP+PEP/ 80°C	[0, 0.22]	-	[0.22, 0.40]	[0.40, 0.67]	[0.67, 0.88]	[0.88, 1]
d) PS-PEO + PS	[0, 0.25]	-	[0.25, 0.35]	[0.35, 0.75]	-	-
e) GDF with $\chi N_{AB} = 34.4$	[0, 0.20]	[0.2, 0.35]	[.35, .50]	-	-	[0.5, 1.00]
f) Ternary PS-PEO	[0, 0.50]	[0.50, 0.70]	-	-	-	[0.75, 1]

a) From GDF model with seeded initial data and $\chi N_{AB} = 8.6$. Other parameters as in Figure 4(a). b) From [67][Figure 6(b)], for PEO-PEP diblock with $f_A = 0.5$ and PEP homopolymer with $\alpha_A < 0.25$ at 100°C . Samples were thermally annealed. c) [67][Figure 10], same as line b) except at 80°C . d) PS-PEO diblock with $f_A = 0.5$ and $N_{PS-PEO} = 86$ and $N_{H,PS} = 16$ with 6% LiFTSI salt doping, [57][Figure 8a]. e) From GDF model with $\chi N_{AB} = 34.4$ other parameters as in Figure 4. f) Ternary blend of PS-PEO diblock and PEO/PS homopolymers with $f_{PEO} = 0.4$, $N_{AB} = 77$, $N_{PEO} = 6$, $N_{PS} = 10$, equal homopolymer volume fractions, and 6% LiFTSI salt doping, from [19][Figure 2]

The choice of initial data has nontrivial impact on the end-state equilibrium of the gradient flow. To quantify this the $m_H - \chi N_{AB}$ phase diagram was repeated replacing the seeded initial data of Figure 4 with spatially uniform initial data subject to 10% zero-mass white noise fluctuations in volume fraction. The results are reported in Figure 5 (a). The two-phase regions demarcated by the $\pi_{AH} = 0.95$ level set are largely unchanged. The impact on microphase regions is significant, with the micellar region expanding at the expense of the hexagonal region. The pure-lamellar phases are replaced with a

fluctuating lamellar phase with a relatively disordered interface. Most significantly the region of lamellar-hexagonal coexistence (circled blue squares in Figure 4(a)) are replaced by a microemulsion type phase in Figure 5. These phases are evocative of the experimental results of [19][Figure 2] for PEO-PS ternary blends with $N_{AB} = 70$, $N_{HA} = 10$, $N_{HB} = 6$, and $f_A = 0.5$ with lithium salt ions in a 6% molar ratio to ethylene oxide units. For equal volumes of homopolymer and total homopolymer mass fraction $m_H = m_{H,PEO} + m_{H,PS}$ in the range [0.50.7] they report a transition from coexisting lamellar plus hexagon phases to microemulsion and microemulsion plus lamellar with increasing temperature (equivalently decreasing χ). The ternary blends become two-phase at higher values of m_H . The GDF gradient flow end-states depicted in Figures 4(a) and 5(a) show similar transitions. Indeed for $\chi N_{AB} = 43$ the end state equilibrium from seeded initial data transition from lamellar to mixed lamellar-hexagonal at $m_H = 0.25$ to two-phase at $m_H = 0.35$ while for perturbation uniform initial data the mixed phases are replaced by a microemulsion phase and the transition to two-phase is delayed to $m_H = 0.45$, see Table 1 (e, f). While the chain lengths in the GDF simulations and experiments are similar, direct comparison between a binary and ternary blend is indirect. The second homopolymer phase can stabilize the lamellar structures while the salt dopant has significant impact on the effective Flory-Huggins parameters. Nevertheless, there is strong visual agreement between the microemulsion phases computed from the GDF gradient flow, see Figure 9 (b'), and experimentally observed microemulsions [56][Figure 4b, c] and [68][Figure 3b, c].

Spatial coexistence of microphase structures in the GDF gradient was only observed from seeded initial data. All gradient flow end states obtained from the perturbed uniform initial data were comprised of a single microphase morphology. The differences in energy of the end states resulting from gradient descent from seeded and unseeded initial data are compared in Figure 5 (b). Despite variations in microstructure the energy differences, measured in $k_B T / (100 \text{ nm})^3$, are small. The energies are roughly identical in the area below the diagonal of the Figure, and the energy of the perturbed uniform initial data exceeds that of the seeded data by at most $10 k_B T / (100 \text{ nm})^3$ for the highest value of segregation and lowest value of m_H . The corresponding gradient flow end-states are presented in Figure 9 (a-a'). It is possible that very slow transients beyond those resolved by the simulations could allow microemulsion type phases to rearrange into a more ordered lamellar or hexagonal pattern or in coexisting lamellar and microphase morphologies observed in [56][Figure 4d].

The SCMF continuation computations fail to predict bicontinuous microemulsion phases in ternary mixtures with α_A near 0.2 in which they are observed experimentally, [69]. Instead they find two-phase mixtures of pure homopolymer and lamella. Field theoretical models call into question the low temperature existence of microemulsions, instead suggesting a combination of two-phase mixtures of homopolymer with microemulsion transitioning to homopolymer plus lamella with reducing temperature (increasing segregation strength).




FIGURE 5. (a) Dominant morphology in the AB-A binary blend and contour lines of π_{AH} as function of homopolymer fraction m_H and χN_{AB} for perturbed uniform initial data with $f_A = 0.5$ and $\varrho_A = 1$. (b) Contour lines of differences in end-state energy, measured in $k_B T / (100 \text{ nm})^3$ domain, between seeded and perturbed uniform initial data.

3.2. Structure of the Two Phase domains. Transitions to two-phase structure have been tied to increases α_A the ratio of homopolymer to total diblock copolymer chain lengths. SCMF simulations suggest that the onset of two phase behavior is primarily dictated by the entropy of the chains, and that this drives larger chains to become immiscible and phase separate from the diblock copolymers. The energy of the two-phase separation is reported to be large compared to the differences in energies between microphases, hence the onset of two phase behavior is relatively independent of the form of the microstructure within the copolymer rich phase [66]. The onset of two-phase behavior can also be triggered by homopolymer volume fraction at the unbinding point of the microstructure, [65][Figure 1,2] and [70][Figure 1]. The distribution of homopolymer within the microphase separated regions and the size and distribution of pure homopolymer domains after onset of two-phase behavior are of considerable interest to LCC filtration applications. It is hypothesized that homopolymers will congregate in regions of high energy, in particular regions of high interfacial curvature. It has been argued that an uneven distribution of a swelling agent can facilitate the formation of the C14 and C15 quasiperiodic micelle-phases [71].




FIGURE 6. Microphase separation in a two-phase blend with $f_A = 0.5$, $m_H = 0.46$, $\chi N_{AB} = 43$, $\alpha = .21$, $\varrho_A = 1.67$. The AH projection satisfies $\pi_{AH} = 0.445$. (a) Level sets of volume fraction ψ_A at 50% and 52% of maximum value reveal lamellar microstructure intertwined with regions of pure ψ_H , depicted as void space. Cross section, at $X = 40$ nm of (b) ψ_A diblock phase and (c) ϕ_H homopolymer. The yellow/blue colors denote regions of high/low volume fraction respectively. (d) The trace of the values of ψ_A (thick blue), ψ_B (thin black), and ϕ_H (thick red) taken along the diagonal dotted line (b, c) show the detailed bilayer structure within the lamellar region.

The morphology of two-phase structures in the GDF energy is well represented by end-state corresponding to the gradient flow from seeded initial data with $\chi N_{AB} = 43$ and $m_H = 0.46$ in Figure 4 (b). This simulation has $f_A = 0.5$, $m_H = 0.46$, $\chi = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.21$, and $\varrho_A = 1.67$ with projection $\pi_{AH} = 0.45$, which is well inside the two-phase regime. The 3D image in Figure 6(a) shows the volume fraction of the A -block ψ_A at level sets equal to 50% and 52% of its maximum value. There is a well-defined lamellar structure that is interspersed with homopolymer-rich regions (void). Figures 6(c) and (d) show the A -block volume fraction, ψ_A , and homopolymer volume fraction ϕ_H respectively taken from the cross section of Figure 6(a) in the $X = 40\text{nm}$ plane. The lamellar pattern shows swelling of the A -block by homopolymer, however the inclusions of almost pure homopolymer (bright yellow in Figure 6(d)) containing the majority of the homopolymer volume. The GDF model with $\varrho_A = 1$ has a moderate energetic cost to form two-phase domains, which facilitates ejection of homopolymer once swelling encounters significant energetic cost. The regularity of the lamellar pattern and degree of swelling is quantified in the 1D trace, taken along the dotted lines of Figure 6(c) and (d) and shown in Figure 6 (b). The homopolymer and A -block have a 4-1 volume ratio within the lamellar region with a slight dip in homopolymer volume in the center of A -block domain.




FIGURE 7. (a) Level sets depicting coexisting lamella and hexagonally packed cylinders generated from seeded initial data with $m_H = 0.28$, $N_{AB}\chi = 34.4$, $f_A = 0.5$, and $\varrho_A = 1.0$. The projection $\pi_{AH} = 0.990$ corresponds to a wet brush regime. Cross sections, at $X = 40\text{nm}$ show (b) the ψ_A diblock density and (c) the homopolymer deviation δ_H (3.2). (d) The trace of ψ_A (thick blue), ψ_B (thin black), and ϕ_H (thick red) taken along the diagonal dotted line in (b) as function of Y . The lamellar structures ($Z \in [0, 30]$) and cylindrical pore $Z \in [50, 70]$. The density of ϕ_H is proportional to ψ_A in the lamella but overshoots at the edge of the cylindrical pore.




FIGURE 8. (a) The volume fraction of homopolymer, ϕ_H , for four cylindrical microphases excised from a larger $[0, 200] \times [0, 173] \times [0, 40]$ nanometer-scaled domain. Parameter values are $f_A = 0.5$, $\varrho_A = 1.0$, $\chi N_{AB} = 34.4$, and $m_H = 0.6, 0.7, 0.75, 0.8$. (b) The traces of ψ_A (blue), ψ_B (black-thin), and ϕ_H (red) taken over the range $Y \in [60, 120]$ nm of the dotted lines in the corresponding figure above.

The GDF gradient flow end-state from seeded initial data corresponding to the $m_H = .28$, $\chi N_{AB} = 34.4$, $f_A = 0.5$, and $\varrho_A = 1$ provides insight into the distribution of homopolymer within the microphase structure in the wet-brush regime. From the $m_H - \chi N$ phase diagram of Figure 4(a) the corresponding end-state has coexisting lamellar and hexagonal domains, as confirmed by the level sets of ψ_A presented in Figure 7(a). With $\pi_{AH} = 0.990$ the end-state is well within the wet-brush regime. The cross-section taken along the $X = 40$ nm plane reveals details of the coexisting hexagonal and lamellar structures (Figure 7(b)) with a spatial arrangement that is influenced by the structure of the initial seeding. The homopolymer density is roughly proportional to the A -block volume fraction, however it has significant deviations. The homopolymer deviation function quantifies this departure of the homopolymer volume fraction from proportionally,

$$(3.2) \quad \delta_H := 2 \frac{m_A \phi_H - m_H \psi_A}{\phi_H + \psi_A}.$$

This is shown in Figure 7(c), showing that the homopolymer volume fraction is in excess by approximately 15% around the high-curvature regions associated to the cylindrical phase and the end-caps of the lamella. These are regions where the A -block chains are splayed by the high curvature induced by the B -block core. The homopolymer lowers the energy by back-filling these areas. The traces of ψ_A , ψ_B , and ϕ_H along the dotted diagonal of the $X = 40$ nm plane of Figure 7(b) are shown in Figure 7(d). The variation in the ratio of ϕ_H/ψ_A is clearly dependent upon morphology. The local maxima of ψ_A are highest in the relatively narrow regions within the B -block lamellar structures, and are lower in the longer defect regions between the cylindrical cores and the lamellar endcaps.

The lattice of hexagonally packed cylinders is known to swell under changes in homopolymer density, and forms a benchmark in the study of binary and ternary blends. To examine this in the GDF energy an initial condition of defect-free hexagonally packed cylinders is formed with $f_A = 0.5$, $\varrho_A = 1.0$, $N_{AB}\chi = 34.4$ for $m_H = 0.6$, and continued numerically for $m_H \in [0.6, 0.8]$. These parameter choices cover the range of hexagonal cylinders in the $\chi N_{AB} = 34.4$ row of Figure 4(a). The hexagonally packed cylinders corresponding to the end state of $m_H = 0.6$ are used to populate an equilibrium on




FIGURE 9. Comparison of end-state of GDF gradient descent with seeded (unprimed) and perturbed uniform (primed) initial data. All simulations have $\rho_A = 1$, and are (a) lamellar and (a') fluctuated lamellar with $\chi N_{AB} = 43$, $m_H = 0.04$, (b) mixed lamellar-hexagonal and (b') microemulsion with $\chi N_{AB} = 34.4$, $m_H = 0.34$ and (c) hexagonal and (c') hexagonal with $\chi N_{AB} = 17.2$, $m_H = 0.46$.

a $[0, 200] \times [0, 173] \times [0, 40]$ nanometer-scaled domain whose $x - y$ plane supports an exact hexagonal lattice. The value of m_H in small increments to allow the system to reequilibrate. Figure 8 shows the structure of the homopolymer volume fraction for $m_H = 0.6, 0.7, 0.75, 0.8$. and traces of all three volume fractions along a subset of the $X = 55\text{nm}$ line. For $m_H < 0.75$ increasing the homopolymer volume fraction while maintaining $f_A = 0.5$ shrinks the core of the hydrophobic B -block, increasing the volume allocated to the blend of homopolymer and A -block blend, while diluting the density of the A -block. For m_H beyond 0.7 the volume of hydrophobic block becomes too small to support the number of cores determined by the hexagonal packing and the system rearranges into a two-phase blend with regions of almost pure ϕ_H and regions of hexagonally packed cylinders. Further increases in m_H towards 0.8 induces a reconfiguration into a cubic array of cylinders interspersed with pure homopolymer regimes. As can be seen from the traces taken along the symmetry line through the cylinder cores, see Figure 8(b), the maximum value of ϕ_H along the symmetry line increases to a critical value of approximately 0.8 for $m_H = 0.7$. Further increases in m_H do not increase this value, rather excess homopolymer is ejected into the pure homopolymer regions. The trace of A -block volume fraction initially decrease with increasing m_H but relax back towards the profile at $m_H = 0.6$ after the two-phase transition. The projection π_{AH} remains above 0.99 for the symmetric, defect-free microstructures, but transitions to $I_{AH} = 0.83$ and 0.75 after the two-phase onset at $m_H = 0.75$ and 0.8 respectively. These values of π_{AH} are comparable to those from both seeded and random initial data.

3.3. Numerical SAX data and Bistability. SAX data is fundamental to the investigation of in-situ morphology of microphase separated blends. For simulations, notwithstanding the presence of




FIGURE 10. Semilog plot of scaled scattering intensity corresponding to the three pairs of morphologies from seeded (solid line) and perturbed uniform (dotted line) initial data shown in Figure 9. Lamellar and hexagonal peak multiples are indicated with squares and triangles respectively.

visualizations, SAX can assist in the classification of non-symmetric phases as it extracts weight from structural features that are not immediately apparent upon visual inspection. The public domain code of Rohr [72], is used to simulate SAX data for the end-state microphase morphologies. In particular SAXS data provides an algorithmic technique to identify the physical coexistence of multiple microphase domains through the presence of a small q upturn in scattering amplitude. For a numerical scattering intensity I , the empirical condition

$$(3.3) \quad I(q_0) > \frac{1}{2} \max_{q>0} I(q),$$

is effective at detecting coexisting microphase domains in the wet-brush regime. Here q_0 is the smallest value of scattering parameter q , corresponding to a length scale of roughly half the domain size.

The study of seeded versus perturbed uniform initial data revealed the onset of a microemulsion type phase as indicated in Figure 5(a) that was not present in the gradient descent from seeded initial conditions. The differences in pairs of end-states for seeded versus perturbed uniform initial configurations are presented in Figure 9 for three of homopolymer volume fraction and segregation strength. The first pair (a-a'), with $m_H = 0.04$ and $\chi N_{AB} = 43$ shows lamellar versus fluctuated lamellar microphases, the second pair (b-b') with $m_H = 0.34$ and $\chi N_{AB} = 34.4$ depicts coexisting lamellar and cylindrical domains versus a microemulsion morphology, and the third pair (c-c') with $m_H = 0.46$ and $\chi N_{AB} = 17.2$ presents hexagonally packed cylinders versus a more disordered hexagonal packing. The energy difference between the end-states is largest for (a-a') pair and roughly vanishes for the (c-c') pair. The SAX scattering data presented in Figure 10 illuminates the differences between these GDF end state pairs. They are roughly equivalent for material parameters for which end-state pairs had comparable energies, such as (c-c'). For end-states with larger energy differences the SAX intensities diverge. Comparing the lamellar and fluctuated lamellar microphases, the scattering intensity for the lamellar phase displays the classical SAX structure with peaks at integral multiples $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ of the primary peak. The




FIGURE 11. Lamellar width versus homopolymer volume fraction for $\varrho_A = 1.0$ (solid) and $\varrho_A = 1.67$ (dotted) for $\chi N_{AB} = 34.4, 43, 51.6$ (red, blue, green).

fluctuating lamellar phase has a similar primary peak however its secondary peaks are suppressed, and there is no small q upturn, indicating an absence of longer range spatial correlation, see Figure 10(a-a'). For the (b-b') pair, the SAX data for the coexisting lamellar and hexagonal domains shows peaks at both the lamellar and the hexagonal multiples $\{1, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{4}, \sqrt{7}, \sqrt{9}, \sqrt{13}, \dots\}$ of the primary peak. There is a significant small- q upturn, associated to long-range correlations induced by the competing microphase domains. The end state from perturbed uniform initial data, Figure 9(b'), has no significant secondary peaks and no small- q upturn due to its spatial homogeneity on longer length scales, see Figure 10(b-b'). Small q upturns are frequently but not universally observable in experimental SAX, [19][Figure 8], [57][Figure 7] and may be impacted by auxiliary processing. For the third pair the end states from seeded and perturbed uniform initial data are both cylindrically dominated phases, Figure 9(c-c'). The SAX intensities are nearly identical with secondary peaks at the hexagonal multiples, see Figure 10(c-c'). The SAX curve agreement holds despite the lower symmetry of the end-state from the perturbed uniform initial data.

In lamellar phases the primary peak of the SAX intensity is associated to the lamellar spacing. In diblock copolymer-homopolymer blends the peak location can be used to characterize the rate of swelling of the lamellar phases with increased homopolymer volume fraction. The lamellar width data is presented in Figure 10(b) as extracted from the SAX peaks associated to the end states presented in Figure 4 with $\chi N_{AB} = 34.4, 43, 51.6$. The lamellar width increases by roughly 50% as the homopolymer fraction m_H is increased from 0.04 to 0.6. Larger segregation strengths lead to thinner lamellar spacing. Smaller values of ϱ_A generally lead to thinner lamellar spacing, in particular when the mixture has entered a two phase regime. These results are comparable to experimentally observed lamellar swelling of 45%-70% over a comparable range of m_H for a ternary blend [57][Figure 5].

4. REDUCTION TO SCALAR MICROEMULSION MODELS

A melt of a binary AB-A blend of diblock co-polymer and homopolymer in a wet-brush regime can be approximately characterized by a single volume fraction. For π_{AH} close to unity, the density of homopolymer ϕ_H is close to a fixed multiple of the A-block density, ψ_A . In this case minimization of the free energy can be considered over classes of volume fractions satisfying $\phi_H = \frac{m_H}{m_A} \psi_A$. Combining this with the incompressibility constraint (2.10), yields the relation

$$\psi_B = 1 - \gamma \psi_A.$$

where $\gamma = (m_A + m_H)/m_A \geq 1$ indicates the volumetric swelling of A-diblock phase by the A homopolymer. With this reduction the binary GDF model can be described in terms of a single variable $u := \gamma \psi_A$

for which $\psi_B = 1 - u$, $\psi_A = u/\gamma$, and $\phi_H = (\gamma - 1)/\gamma u$. One additional modification is required to recover the microemulsion free-energy models proposed by Teubner and Strey, Gompper and Schick, and Gompper and Kraus. The Flory-Huggins interaction between the ϕ_B and ϕ_H densities must be replaced with an interaction between ψ_B and ϕ_H . After the u -substitution above, this replacement is equivalent to exchanging the interaction energy

$$\varrho_A \int_{\Omega} u G^{-1} u = \varrho_A \int_{\Omega} |G^{-\frac{1}{2}} u|^2 \geq 0,$$

with the local interaction term

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 \geq 0.$$

Modulo this modification the GDF energy takes the microemulsion form

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu\text{EM}}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \bar{a} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + g(u) |\nabla u|^2 + f(u) dx$$

where the constant $\bar{a} = \varrho_A^2 (1/(m_H \gamma^2) + 1/m_B)/12$, and the functions f and g take the form

$$(4.2) \quad g(u) = \varrho_A^2 \left(\frac{b_{AA}}{\gamma u} - \frac{2b_{AB}}{\sqrt{\gamma u(1-u)}} + \frac{b_{BB}}{1-u} \right) - \frac{2\varrho_A^2 \chi}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma^2}{12m_H},$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad f(u) = \varrho_A^2 \left(\frac{c_{AB}}{\gamma u} - \frac{2c_{AB}}{\sqrt{\gamma u(1-u)}} + \frac{c_{BB}}{1-u} \right) (u - m_A - m_H)^2 + \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma} u^2 + \frac{\gamma}{N_H} u \ln u.$$

The coefficients b_{ij} and c_{ij} depend only upon the chain lengths and are given in the supplementary material. For χ sufficiently large the potential g is positive for u near 0 and 1, and negative for u near $\frac{1}{2}$, which meets the essential structural feature of the energy and associated scattering intensity found in [55][eqn 4], [73][eqn 1], and [52][eqn 1] for strong amphiphiles.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The parameterization of the SSE embeds a large class of averaging transformations into the the RPA derivation of density functional models while preserving key structural features. The simple GDF model presented has a single fit parameter and is not tuned to fit any particular data set. It supports phase diagrams that reproduce the full range of structures observed in complex polymer blends. It gradient flow shows sensitive dependence upon initial configurations, the coexistence of microphase domains, and bistability. It supports microemulsion phases. The simple transformation $\mathcal{G} = G/\ell_A$ connects the RPA to the scalar microemulsion scattering structure models of Teubner and Strey [55], Gompper and Schick, [73], and Gompper and Kraus [52] that sought to characterize microemulsion phases. By adjusting the form of the transformation the model can interpolate between these microemulsion models and the traditional RPA models of Ohta-Kawasaki and their extensions by Uneyama and Doi.

The GDF model has shortcomings, chief among them is a blurring of the ODT line and a two-phase regime with an unbinding transition at a lower homopolymer mass fraction than the SCMF models. While the full parametric family of pDF models share the same scattering structure at the ODT point, the singular Fourier symbol in the GDF model strengthens the tendency of the model to generate interface and weakens the sharp dichotomy present in the Ohta-Kawasaki spinodal decomposition, replacing it with a transition that accommodates bistability. Different choices of the averaging transformation, in particularly one that is closer to the identity, would restore the sharpness of the ODT. This suggests that DF models will have to be sensitively tuned to be accurate across the huge state space presented by a complex polymer blend.

The broad family of parameterized DF models is a strong candidate for machine-learning based force matching to finer scale DPD, SCMF, and field theoretical models. They are capable of reproducing the

full range of features observed in experiments and simulations of finer-grained models. The averaging transformations embedded in the parameterized SSE step are natural proxies for the mid- to long-range order induced by polydispersity, salt, ionic groups, and domain formation. Ideally the broad family of parameterized DF models can serve as accurate templates for finer scale models in patches of parameter space, and then be woven into a global surrogate model which can efficiently scan high dimensional parameter spaces. Such a surrogate model can be naturally coupled to non-equilibrium auxiliary processes that are essential for control of the microstructure that optimizes filtration and conduction properties of membranes.

6. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

6.1. Overview of RPA reduction of mean field energy. A critical point analysis of the free energy derived in the self-consistent mean field yields a system for the entropy of the diblock chains. These are governed by a Fokker-Planck equation for the forward and backward propagators q and q^* for the polymers. Associated to an AB diblock chain of length N_{AB} the backward propagator satisfies

$$q_s + (l^2/6)\Delta q - U_{AB}q = 0,$$

subject to $q(y, N_{AB}) = 1$ and $(y, s) \in \Omega \times (0, N_{AB})$. The force is constant in s on each fraction of the diblock

$$U_{AB}(x, s) = \begin{cases} U_A(x), & s \in [0, f_A N_{AB}], \\ U_B(x), & s \in [f_A N_{AB}, N_{AB}], \end{cases}$$

where f_A is the A diblock fraction. The forward propagator satisfies

$$q_s^* - (l^2/6)\Delta q^* + U_{AB}q^* = 0,$$

subject to $q^*(y, 0) = 1$. Here s denotes length along the polymer chain and l is the Kuhn length describing the stiffness of the polymer chain. The volume fraction is recovered from the relations

$$\phi_i(x) = \frac{n_i}{\int_{\Omega} q(y, 0) dy} \int_{\mathcal{I}_i} q(x, s) q^*(x, s) ds,$$

where n_i is the number of polymer chains of type $i = A, B$ and \mathcal{I}_i is the collection of all polymer chains composed of monomer type i .

A key step within the RPA is the long-chain approximation to invert the relation between the volume fractions and external force at a linear level. These relations are block diagonal over the molecular classes. For an AB diblock with components $i, j \in \{A, B\}$, this leads to the relation between the volumetric deviation ϕ_i^0 and the external forces

$$(6.1) \quad \widehat{\phi}_i^0(\zeta) = - \sum_{j=i, i'} \widehat{R}_{ij}(\zeta) \widehat{U}_j(\zeta),$$

in the frequency space. Here R is a 2×2 symmetric operator defined through

$$(6.2) \quad \widehat{R}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2m_i \frac{h(cf_i N_{AB})}{c^2}, & j = i, \\ m_j \frac{g(cf_i N_{AB}, cf_j N_{AB})}{c^2}, & j = i', \end{cases}$$

where m_i is the volume fraction of the diblock molecule of type i , and N_{AB} is the chain length of the AB diblock copolymer. This quantity is expressed in terms of the modified Debye functions

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} c(\zeta) &:= \ell^2 |\zeta|^2 / 6, \\ h(s) &:= e^{-s} + s - 1, \\ g(s_1, s_2) &:= (1 - e^{-s_1}) (1 - e^{-s_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Inverting R yields the volume fraction to external force relation

$$(6.4) \quad \widehat{U}_i(\zeta) = - \sum_{j=i,i'} \widehat{T}_{ij}(\zeta) \widehat{\phi}_j^0(\zeta).$$

These are approximated using the long and short wave-length expansions of h and g :

$$(6.5) \quad h(s) \sim s, \quad g(s_1, s_2) \sim 1, \quad \text{for } cf_A N_{AB} \gg 1, cf_B N_{AB} \gg 1,$$

$$(6.6) \quad h(s) \sim s^2/2 - s^3/6, \quad g(s_1, s_2) \sim (s_1 - s_1^2/2)(s_2 - s_2^2/2), \quad \text{for } cf_A N_{AB} \ll 1, cf_B N_{AB} \ll 1.$$

The approximations follow Ohta-Kawasaki, but include the cubic term in the small s expansion of h to preserve invertability. In the physical space, for each diblock copolymer of length N_{AB} , the operator $T = (T_{ij})$ admits an expansion in terms of powers of the Laplacian Δ ,

$$(6.7) \quad T_{ij} = -\frac{\ell^2}{m_j} \Delta T_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{N_{AB}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i f_i m_j f_j}} T_{ij}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{N_{AB}^2 \ell^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i f_i m_j f_j}} T_{ij}^{(-1)} (-\Delta)^{-1}.$$

The 2×2 matrices $T^{(n)}$ depend only upon the diblock fractions,

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad T^{(-1)} = 9 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{3}{4} \begin{pmatrix} f_A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & f_B^{-1} \end{pmatrix}; \\ T^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} 9 - 8f_A - 22f_A f_B & 17 - 22f_A f_B \\ 17 - 22f_A f_B & 9 - 8f_B - 22f_A f_B \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Within the binary energy the copolymer matrices $T^{(n)}$ are rewritten in terms of the interaction matrices,

$$(6.9) \quad a_{ij} = T_{ij}^{(1)}, \quad b_{ij} = \frac{T_{ij}^{(0)}}{N_{AB} \sqrt{f_i f_j}}, \quad c_{ij} = \frac{T_{ij}^{(-1)}}{N_{AB}^2 \sqrt{f_i f_j}}.$$

For a homopolymer of type i , length N_i , and total volume fraction m_i , the corresponding operator $T = T_i$ is scalar valued and takes the form

$$(6.10) \quad T_i = -\frac{\ell^2}{12m_i} \Delta + \frac{1}{m_i N_i}.$$

For a solvent of type i with chain length $N_i = 1$, and total volume m_i the operator $T = T_i = \frac{1}{m_i}$.

6.2. Binary Diblock/Homopolymer melt. The simplest GDF model describes a binary blend homopolymer of monomer A with an $A - B$ diblock. In terms of $\psi = (\psi_A, \psi_B, \phi_H)$ the energy has the explicit form

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\text{GDF}}(\psi) &= \int_{\Omega} \varrho_A^2 \left[\frac{|\nabla^2 \psi_A|^2}{12m_A} + \frac{|\nabla^2 \psi_B|^2}{12m_B} + \frac{b_{AA} |\nabla \psi_A|^2}{\psi_A} + \left(\frac{2b_{AB}}{\sqrt{\psi_A \psi_B}} + 2\chi \right) \nabla \psi_A \cdot \nabla \psi_B \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{b_{BB} |\nabla \psi_B|^2}{\psi_B} + \frac{c_{AA} (\psi_A - m_A)^2}{\psi_A} + 2 \frac{c_{AB} (\psi_A - m_A) (\psi_B - m_B)}{\sqrt{\psi_A \psi_B}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{c_{BB} (\psi_B - m_B)^2}{\psi_B} \right] + 2\varrho_A \chi \phi_H G^{-1} \psi_B + \frac{|\nabla \phi_H|^2}{12m_H} + \frac{\phi_H \ln \phi_H}{N_H} dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $i, j \in \{A, B\}$, the coefficients b_{ij} and c_{ij} from (6.9) depend solely upon the diblock chain structure,

$$(b_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{9 - 8f_A - 22f_A f_B}{16N_{AB}f_A} & \frac{17 - 22f_A f_B}{16N_{AB}\sqrt{f_A f_B}} \\ \frac{17 - 22f_A f_B}{16N_{AB}\sqrt{f_A f_B}} & \frac{9 - 8f_B - 22f_A f_B}{16N_{AB}f_B} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(c_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\frac{3}{4} + 9f_A}{N_{AB}^2 f_A^2} & \frac{-9}{N_{AB}^2 \sqrt{f_A f_B}} \\ \frac{-9}{N_{AB}^2 \sqrt{f_A f_B}} & \frac{\frac{3}{4} + 9f_B}{N_{AB}^2 f_B^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The point-wise incompressibility constraint

$$(6.12) \quad \phi_H = 1 - \psi_A - \psi_B,$$

reduces the free energy to a function of (ψ_A, ψ_B) only.

6.3. Ternary Diblock/Homopolymer melt. For a blend of an AB-diblock with A and B type homopolymers the ternary GDF free energy is written in terms of $\psi = (\psi_A, \psi_B, \phi_{HA}, \phi_{HB})$

(6.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\text{GDF}}(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\varrho_A^2 \left[\frac{|\nabla^2 \psi_A|^2}{12m_A} + \frac{|\nabla^2 \psi_B|^2}{12m_B} + \frac{b_{AA}|\nabla \psi_A|^2}{\psi_A} + \left(\frac{2b_{AB}}{\sqrt{\psi_A \psi_B}} + 2\chi \right) \nabla \psi_A \cdot \nabla \psi_B + \frac{b_{BB}|\nabla \psi_B|^2}{\psi_B} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{c_{AA}(\psi_A - m_A)^2}{\psi_A} + 2 \frac{c_{AB}(\psi_A - m_A)(\psi_B - m_B)}{\sqrt{\psi_A \psi_B}} + \frac{c_{BB}(\psi_B - m_B)^2}{\psi_B} \right] + \right. \\ \left. 2\varrho_A \chi \left[\phi_{HB} \mathbf{G}^{-1} \psi_A + \phi_{HA} \mathbf{G}^{-1} \psi_B \right] + \frac{|\nabla \phi_{HA}|^2}{12m_{HA}} + \frac{|\nabla \phi_{HB}|^2}{12m_{HB}} + \right. \\ \left. \frac{\phi_{HA} \ln \phi_{HA}}{N_{HA}} + \frac{\phi_{HB} \ln \phi_{HB}}{N_{HB}} + 2\chi \phi_{HA} \phi_{HB} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This is subject to the incompressibility condition $\psi_A + \psi_B + \phi_{HA} + \phi_{HB} = 1$.

6.4. Mollification of Singularity. To develop an efficient numerical scheme for the gradient computations the second order implicit-explicit (IMEX) approach proposed in [74] was employed. This requires mollification of the singularities present in the GDF energy. The singular terms are extended so that the energy is large but finite in the regions $\psi_i < 0$ and $\psi_i > 1$. This is accomplished by developing 4th order Taylor polynomial interpolants for key singular terms. In particular $\ln x$ is replaced with

$$f(x) = T(x)\chi_{[\infty, \delta]} + \ln(x) \chi_{[\delta, \infty]},$$

where χ is the characteristic function of the interval, and $T(x)$ is a fourth order Taylor polynomial of the natural logarithm at $x = \delta \ll 1$. The value of $\delta = 10^{-3}$ is taken so that incompressibility conditions $\psi_i \in [0, 1]$ are imposed to within 10^{-3} . Other singular functions, such as $1/x$ and $1/\sqrt{x}$ are approximated by f' and $\sqrt{f'}$ to preserve relations between derivatives of these functions within the structure of the energy and gradient flow.

REFERENCES

- [1] Qinyu Zhu and Douglas R. Tree. Simulations of morphology control of self-assembled amphiphilic surfactants. *J. Polym. Sci.*, pages 1–23, 2023.
- [2] W. Phillip, J. Rzayev, M. Hillmyer, and E. Cussler. Gas and water liquid transport through nanoporous block copolymer membranes. *Journal Membrane Science*, 286:144–152, 2006.
- [3] L. Pitet, M. Amendt, and M. Hillmyer. Pushing the limits of block copolymer membranes for CO_2 separation. *Journal Membrane Science*, 378:478–484, 2011.

- [4] H. Strathmann. *Introduction to membrane science and technology*. Wiley-VCH, 2011.
- [5] X. Tian S. Huang, R. Ras. Antifouling membranes for oily wastewater treatment: interplay between wetting and membrane fouling. *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 36:90–109, 2018.
- [6] Y. Saadat, O. Imran, C. Osuji, and R. Foudazi. Lyotropic liquid crystals as templates for advanced materials. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 9:21607–21658, 2021.
- [7] S. Qavi, A. Lindsay, M. Firestone, and R. Foudazi. Ultrafiltration membranes from polymerization of self-assembled pluronic block copolymer mesophases. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 580:125–133, 2019.
- [8] D. Gin, J. Bara, R. Noble, and B. Elliott. Polymerized lyotropic liquid crystal assemblies for membrane applications. *Macromolecular Rapid Communications*, 29(5):367–389, 2008.
- [9] M. Zhou, T. Kidd, R. Noble, and D. Gin. Supported lyotropic liquid-crystal polymer membranes: Promising materials for molecular-size-selective aqueous nanofiltration. *Advanced Materials*, 17(15):1850–1853, 2005.
- [10] Y. Zhang, R. Dong, U. Gabinet, R. Poling-Skutvik, N. Kim, C. Lee, O. Imran, X. Feng, and C. Osuji. Rapid fabrication by lyotropic self-assembly of thin nanofiltration membranes with uniform 1 nanometer pores. *ACS Nano*, 15(5):8192–8203, 2021.
- [11] J. Werber, C. Osuji, and M. Elimelech. Materials for next-generation desalination and water purification membranes. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 1(5):16018, 2016.
- [12] Y. Zhang, D. Kim, R. Dong, X. Feng, and C. Osuji. Tunable organic solvent nanofiltration in self-assembled membranes at the sub nm scale. *Science Advances*, 8(11):eabm5899, 2022.
- [13] Y. Saadat, K. Kim, and R. Foudazi. Two-step thermoresponsive ultrafiltration membranes from polymerization of lyotropic liquid crystals. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*, 4:8156–8165, 2022.
- [14] Y. Saadat, K. Kim, and R. Foudazi. Initiator-dependent kinetics of lyotropic liquid crystal-templated thermal polymerization. *Polymer Chemistry*, 12(15):2236–2252, 2021.
- [15] G. Tartaro, H. Mateos, D. Schirone, R. Agelico, and G. Palazzo. Microemulsion microstructure(s): A tutorial review. *Nanomaterials*, 10:1657–1697, 2020.
- [16] C. Walker, K. Bryson, R. Hayward, and G. Tew. Wide bicontinuous compositional windows for co-networks made with Telechelic macromolecules. *ACS Nano*, 8(12):12376–12385, 2014.
- [17] K. Krishnan, K. Almdal, W. Burghardt, T. Lodge, and F. Bates. Shear-induced nano-macro structural transitions in a polymeric bicontinuous microemulsion. *Physical Review Letters*, 87:098301, 2001.
- [18] Marcus Muller and Volker Abetz. Nonequilibrium processes in polymer membrane formation: Theory and experiment. *Chemical Reviews*, 121:14189–14231, 2021.
- [19] M. Irwin, R. Hickney, S. Xie, F. Bates, and T. Lodge. Lithium salt-induced microstructure and ordering in diblock copolymer/homopolymer blends. *Macromolecules*, 49:4839–4849, 2016.
- [20] J. Widin, A.K. Schmitt, K. Im, A.L. Schmitt, and M. Mananthappa. Polydispersity-induced stabilization of a disordered bicontinuous morphology in aba triblock copolymers. *Macromolecules*, 134:3834–3844, 2010.
- [21] C. Ellison, A. Meuler, J. Qin, C. Evans, L. Wolf, and F. Bates. Bicontinuous polymeric microemulsions from polydisperse diblock copolymers. *Journal Physical Chemistry B*, 113:3726–3737, 2009.
- [22] N. Lynd and M. Hillmyer. Effects of polydispersity on the order-disorder transition in block copolymer melts. *Macromolecules*, 40:8050–8055, 2007.
- [23] A. Schmitt and M. Mananthappa. Order and disorder in high ξ /low n , broad dispersity aba triblock polymers. *Macromolecules*, 50:6779–6787, 2017.
- [24] S.-F. Liand M. Muthukumar. Theory of microphase separation in concentrated solutions of sequence-specific charged heteropolymers. *Macromolecules*, 55(13):5535–5549, 2022.
- [25] A.A. Teran and N.P. Balsara. Thermodynamics of block copolymers with and without salt. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 118:4–17, 2014.
- [26] G. Fredrickson. *The equilibrium theory of inhomogeneous polymers*, volume 134. Oxford University Press on Demand, 2006.
- [27] A. Arora, J. Qin, D. Morse, K. Delaney, G. Fredrickson, F. Bates, and K. Dorfman. Broadly accessible self-consistent field theory for block polymer materials discovery. *Macromolecules*, 49:4675–4690, 2016.
- [28] Z. Wang, S. Sun, C. Li, S. Hu, and R. Faller. Controllable multicompartiment morphologies from cooperative self-assembly of copolymer–copolymer blends. *Soft Matter*, 13:5877–5887, 2017.
- [29] B. Peters, A. Ramírez-Hernández, D. Pike, M. Müller, and J. de Pablo. Nonequilibrium simulations of lamellae forming block copolymers under steady shear: a comparison of dissipative particle dynamics and brownian dynamics. *Macromolecules*, 45(19):8109–8116, 2012.
- [30] M.W. Matsen. Field theoretic approach for block polymer melts: Scft and fts. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 152:110901, 2020.
- [31] W.-S. Young and T. Epps. Ionic conductivities of block copolymer electrolytes with various conducting pathways: sample preparation and processing considerations. *Macromolecules*, 45:4689–4697, 2014.
- [32] X. Gu, I. Gunkel, A. Hexemer, W. Gu, and T. P. Russell. Nonequilibrium processes in polymer membrane formation: Theory and experiment. *Advanced Materials*, 26:273–281, 2014.

- [33] X. Gu and I. Gunkel, A. Hexemer, and T. Russell. Controlling domain spacing and grain size in cylindrical block copolymer thin films by means of thermal and solvent vapor annealing. *Macromolecules*, 49:3373–3381, 2016.
- [34] J.-L. Barrat, G. Fredrickson, and S. Sides. Introducing variable cell shape methods in field theory simulations of polymers. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 109:6694–6700, 2005.
- [35] F. Drolet and G. Fredrickson. Combinatorial screening of complex block copolymer assembly with self-consistent field theory. *Physical Review Letters*, 83:4317–4321, 1999.
- [36] R. Sengupta, M. Tikekar, J. Raj, K. Delaney, M. Villet, and G. Fredrickson. Phase-field simulations of morphology development in reactive polymer blending. *Journal of Rheology*, 67, 2023.
- [37] M. Tikekar, K. Delaney, M. Villet, D. Tree, and G. Fredrickson. A phase field model for dynamic simulations of reactive blending of polymers. *Soft Matter*, pages 877–893, 2022.
- [38] L. Leibler. Theory of microphase separation in block copolymers. *Macromolecules*, 13:1602–1617, 1980.
- [39] K. Hong and J. Noolandi. Theory of phase equilibria in systems containing block copolymers. *Macromolecules*, 16:1083–1093, 1983.
- [40] Takao Ohta and Kyozi Kawasaki. Equilibrium morphology of block copolymer melts. *Macromolecules*, 19(10):2621–2632, 1986.
- [41] J. Glaser, P. Medapuram, T. Beardsley, M. Matsen, and D. Morse. Universality of block copolymer melts. *Physical Review Letters*, 113:068302, 2014.
- [42] Rustum Choksi and Xiaofeng Ren. On the derivation of a density functional theory for microphase separation of diblock copolymers. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 113(1-2):151–176, 2003.
- [43] T. Uneyama and M. Doi. Density functional theory for block copolymer melts and blends. *Macromolecules*, 38(1):196–205, 2005.
- [44] W. Maurer, F. Bates, T. Lodge, K. Almdal, K. Mortensen, and G. Fredrickson. Can a single function for χ account for block copolymer and homopolymer blend phase behavior? *J. Chem. Physics*, 108:2989, 1998.
- [45] A.-Y. Chang. Pathways connecting two opposed bilayers with a fusion pore: a molecularly-informed phase field model. *Soft Matter*, 16:366–374, 2020.
- [46] J. Liu, C. Garcia-Cervera, K. Delaney, and G. Fredrickson. Optimized phase field model for diblock copolymer melts. *Macromolecules*, 52:2878–2888, 2019.
- [47] Lidong Fang, Pei Ge, Lei Zhang, Weinan E, and Huan Lei. DeePN²: A deep learning-based non-Newtonian hydrodynamic model. *Journal of Machine Learning*, 1:114–140, 2022.
- [48] Huan Lei, Lei Wu, and Weinan E. Machine learning based non-Newtonian fluid model with molecular fidelity. *Physical Review E*, 102:043309, 2020.
- [49] Pei Ge, Linfeng Zhang, and Huan Lei. Machine learning assisted coarse-grained molecular dynamics modeling of meso-scale interfacial fluids. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 158:064104, 2023.
- [50] Linfeng Zhang, Jiequn Han, Han Wang, Roberto Car, and Weinan E. Deepcg: Constructing coarse-grained models via deep neural networks. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 149(3):034101, 2018.
- [51] D. Sussman, S. Schoenholz, E. Cubuk, and A. Liu. Disconnecting structure and dynamics in glassy thin films. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(40):10601–10605, 2017.
- [52] G Gompfer and M. Kraus. Ginzburg-landau theory of ternary amphiphilic systems. i. gaussian interface fluctuations. *Physical Review E*, 47(6):4289–4312, 1993.
- [53] P. Flory. Thermodynamics of high polymer solutions. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 10:51–61, 1942.
- [54] M. Huggins. Theory of solutions of high polymers. *Journal of American Chemical Society*, 9:1712–1719, 1942.
- [55] M. Teubner and R. Strey. Origin of scattering peaks in microemulsions. *J. Chemical Physics*, 87:3195–3200, 1987.
- [56] B. Habersberger, T. Gillard, R. Hickey, T. Lodge, and F. Bates. Fluctuation effects in symmetric diblock copolymer-homopolymer ternary mixtures near the lamellar-disorder transition. *ACS MacroLetters*, 3:1041–1045, 2014.
- [57] S. Xie, B. Zhang, F. Bates, and T. Lodge. Phase behavior of salt-doped a/b/ab ternary polymer blends: The role of homopolymer distribution. *Macromolecules*, 54:6990–7002, 2021.
- [58] L. Onsager. Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes i. *Physical Review*, 37:405–426, 1931.
- [59] L. Onsager. Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes ii. *Physical Review*, 38:2265–2279, 1931.
- [60] T. Hashimoto, J. Kumaki, and J. Kawai. Time-resolved light scattering studies on kinetics of phase separation and phase dissolution of polymer blends. 1. kinetics of phase separation of a binary mixture of polystyrene and poly(vinyl methyl ether). *Macromolecules*, 16:641–648, 1983.
- [61] H. Tanaka, H. Hasegawa, and T. Hashimoto. Ordered structure in mixtures of a block copolymer and homopolymers. 2. Effects of molecular weights of homopolymers. *Macromolecules*, 23:4378–4386, 1990.
- [62] H. Tanaka, H. Hasegawa, and T. Hashimoto. Ordered structure in mixtures of a block copolymer and homopolymers. 1. solubilization of low molecular weight homopolymers. *Macromolecules*, 24:240–251, 1991.
- [63] M. Matsen and F. Bates. Unifying weak- and strong-segregation block copolymer theories. *Macromolecules*, 29(4):1091–1098, 1996.
- [64] E. Cochran, C. Garcia-Cervera, and G. Fredrickson. Stability of the gyroid phase in diblock copolymers at strong segregation. *Macromolecules*, 39(1):2449–2451, 2006.

- [65] P. Janert and M. Schick. Phase behavior of ternary homopolymer/diblock blends: Influence of relative chain lengths. *Macromolecules*, 30:137–144, 1997.
- [66] M. Matsen. Phase behavior of block copolymer/homopolymer blends. *Macromolecules*, 28:5765–5773, 1995.
- [67] N. Washburn, T. Lodge, and F. Bates. Ternary polymer blends as model surfactant systems. *J. Phys. Chem.*, 104(30):6987–6997, 2000.
- [68] F. Bates, W. Maurer, P. Lipic, M. Hillmyer, K. Almal, K. Mortensen, G. Fredrickson, and T. Lodge. Polymeric bicontinuous microemulsions. *Physical Review Letters*, 97(5):849–852, 1997.
- [69] R. Spencer and M. Matsen. Coexistence of polymeric microemulsion and homopolymer-rich phases. *Macromolecules*, 54:1329–1337, 2021.
- [70] P. Janert and M. Schick. Phase behavior of ternary homopolymer/diblock blends: Microphase unbinding in the symmetric system. *Macromolecules*, 30:3916–3920, 1997.
- [71] Jiayu Xie and An-Chang Shi. Formation of complex spherical packing phases in diblock copolymer/homopolymer blends. *Giant*, 5:100043, 2021.
- [72] K. Schmidt-Rohr. Simulation of small-angle scattering curves by numerical fourier transform. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 40:16–25, 2007.
- [73] G. Gompper and M. Schick. Correlation between structural and interfacial properties of amphiphilic systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 65:1116–1119, 1990.
- [74] A. Christlieb, K. Promislow, Z. Tan, S. Wang, B. Wetton, and S. Wise. Benchmark computation of morphological complexity in the functionalized cahn-hilliard gradient flow. *submitted; arXiv:2006.04784*, 2022.

SULIN WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND HUNAN PROVINCIAL KEY LABORATORY OF INTELLIGENT INFORMATION PROCESSING AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410082, THE P.R. OF CHINA, SULINW@HNU.EDU.CN

YUAN CHEN, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG (SHENZHEN), SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, THE P.R. OF CHINA, CHENYUAN@CUHK.EDU.CN

ZENGQIANG TAN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, THE P.R. OF CHINA, TZENGQIANG@163.COM

KEITH PROMISLOW, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824, USA, PROMISLO@MSU.EDU