

PROOF OF AN EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR A SERIES FROM RAMANUJAN'S NOTEBOOKS VIA TREE FUNCTIONS

MING-JIAN DING AND JIANG ZENG

ABSTRACT. We prove a recent conjecture, due to Vigren and Dieckmann, about an explicit triple sum formula for a series from Ramanujan's Notebooks. We shall give two proofs: the first one is by evaluation and based on the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+k)^{m+k}}{k!} e^{-u(x+k)} u^k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m+j}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+j-i \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} x^i u^j,$$

where $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}$ is a Stirling number of the second kind, and the second one is combinatorial in nature and by induction.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *labelled tree* of size n is a rooted tree comprising n nodes that are labelled by distinct integers of the set $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$. A classical result due to A. Cayley in 1899 states that the number of labelled non-plane trees with n nodes is n^{n-1} . This result can be derived using the combinatorial theory of formal power series as in the following. If $T(z)$ is the exponential generating function of such trees, then it is the power series in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ satisfying $T(z) = ze^{T(z)}$, by *inversion* [7], the so-called *tree-function* $T(z)$ is given by

$$T(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $u = T(z)$, then $z = ue^{-u}$. The following are two allied identities [16, 7]:

$$\frac{e^{xu}}{1-u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x+n)^n \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\frac{e^{xu} - 1}{x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x+n)^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (1.3)$$

For an arbitrary integer m , it is known that the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} (x+n)^{n+m} \frac{z^n}{n!}$ can be expressed in terms of u . Actually, in Entry 16 of Chapter 3 of his second notebook [1] Ramanujan

Date: July 4, 2023.

Key words and phrases. Tree function, Ramanujan's Notebook, Stirling numbers of the second kind.

studied the numbers $\psi_k(m, x)$ defined by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+k)^{m+k}}{k!} e^{-u(x+k)} u^k = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{\psi_k(m, x)}{(1-u)^{m+k}}. \quad (1.4)$$

Set $Q_{m,k}(x) = \psi_{k+1}(m-1, x+m)$, which satisfies the recurrence relation [16]

$$Q_{m,k}(x) = (x-k+1)Q_{m-1,k}(x+1) + (m+k-2)Q_{m-1,k-1}(x+1), \quad (1.5)$$

where $Q_{1,0}(x) = 1$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Shor [10] and Dumont and Ramamonjisoa [5] found combinatorial interpretations for $Q_{m,k}(x)$ when $x = 1$ and when $x \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, respectively, Zeng [16] considered the case of general x and provided the combinatorial setting in certain sets of rooted labelled trees, see [3, 8, 9, 6, 4, 13] for further related works.

In a survey of the one-variable Lagrange inversion formula, Gessel [7, Theorem 3.2.5] proved that there exists a polynomial $R_m(u, x)$, with integer coefficients, of degree m in u and x , respectively, such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+k)^{m+k}}{k!} e^{-u(x+k)} u^k = \frac{R_m(u, x)}{(1-u)^{2m+1}}. \quad (1.6)$$

An alternative proof of Gessel's result goes as follows. From (1.4) and (1.6) we derive

$$R_m(u, x) = \sum_{k=0}^m Q_{m+1,k}(x-m-1)(1-u)^{m-k}. \quad (1.7)$$

By (1.5) the polynomial $Q_{m,k}(x)$ is of degree $m-k-1$ in x . Thus, the highest degree of x and u must appear in $Q_{m+1,0}(x-m-1)(1-u)^m$. The first three polynomials $R_m(u, x)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} R_0(u, x) &= 1, \\ R_1(u, x) &= x + (1-x)u, \\ R_2(u, x) &= x^2 + (1+3x-2x^2)u + (2-3x+x^2)u^2. \end{aligned}$$

However, no explicit finite formula for the polynomial $R_m(u, x)$ seems to be known for general m . The aim of this note is equivalent to prove an explicit finite triple sum formula for $R_m(u, x)$, see Theorem 1.1, which was recently conjectured by Vigren and Dieckmann [15].

Let us first introduce some definitions. The 2-associated Stirling subset number $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\geq 2}$ is the number of partitions of an n -elements set into k blocks, each of which has at least two elements, see [6] and [11, A008299], it follows that $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} = 0$ if $2k > n$. By convention $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} = \delta_{0k}$. It is easy to see that

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} = k \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} + (n-1) \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-2 \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

The following explicit formula is also known [11, A008299]:

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n-j \\ k-j \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad (1.8)$$

where the curly brackets are used to denote the Stirling numbers of the second kind.

Theorem 1.1 (Conjecture of Vigren and Dieckmann [15]).

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+k)^{m+k}}{k!} e^{-u(x+k)} u^k = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^{m-k} \binom{m+k}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+k-i \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} \frac{x^i u^k}{(1-u)^{m+k+1}}. \quad (1.9)$$

Invoking (1.6), this is equivalent to

$$R_m(u, x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^{m-k} \binom{m+k}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+k-i \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} x^i u^k (1-u)^{m-k}. \quad (1.10)$$

When $u = 0$ and $u = 1$, the above formula reduces to

$$R_m(0, x) = x^m \quad \text{and} \quad R_m(1, x) = (2m-1)(2m-3) \cdots 3 \cdot 1.$$

Recall that the *second-order Eulerian numbers* $\langle\langle m \rangle\rangle_k$ [11, A008517] are defined by

$$\langle\langle m \rangle\rangle_k = (k+1) \langle\langle m-1 \rangle\rangle_k + (2m-k-1) \langle\langle m-1 \rangle\rangle_{k-1}$$

with $\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle = 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq m$. When $x = 0$, Equation (1.10) reduces to

$$R_m(u, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^m \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+k \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} u^k (1-u)^{m-k} = \sum_{k=0}^m \langle\langle m \rangle\rangle_k u^{k+1}, \quad (1.11)$$

where the last equality is equivalent to [12, Corollary 3] by substituting $u = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}$. When $x = 1$, Carlitz [2, 12] proved that

$$R_m(u, 1) = \sum_{k=0}^m \langle\langle m \rangle\rangle_k u^k. \quad (1.12)$$

From (1.11) and (1.12) we obtain

$$R_m(u, 0) = u \cdot R_m(u, 1) \quad (m \geq 1). \quad (1.13)$$

Remark 1. A geometric interpretation for the second equality in (1.11) can be given as in the following. The number $\left\{ \begin{matrix} m+k \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 2}$ counts the k -dimensional faces in the tropical Grassmannian of lines $G(2, m+1)$ and the corresponding h -vector is the second-order Eulerian numbers $\langle\langle m \rangle\rangle_k$, see [14, Section 4] or [11, A134991].

We shall present two proofs of Theorem 1.1 in the next two sections. The first proof uses the explicit formula of the Stirling numbers of the second kind, and the second proof works by means of a recurrence relation of generating function from a combinatorial model.

2. FIRST PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+k)^{m+k}}{k!} e^{-u(x+k)} u^k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m+j}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+j-i \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} x^i u^j.$$

Proof. Recall the well-known formula of the Stirling numbers of the second kind

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^n. \quad (2.1)$$

Substituting $e^{-u(x+k)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\ell} (x+k)^{\ell} u^{\ell} / \ell!$ and replacing $k+\ell$ by j we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+k)^{m+k}}{k!} e^{-u(x+k)} u^k &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^j}{j!} \sum_{\ell=0}^j (-1)^{j-\ell} \binom{j}{\ell} (\ell+x)^{m+j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m+j}{i} \frac{u^j}{j!} \sum_{\ell=0}^j (-1)^{j-\ell} \binom{j}{\ell} \ell^{m+j-i} x^i \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m+j}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+j-i \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} x^i u^j, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (2.1). □

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ introduce the short-hand notation

$$g_m(u, x) := \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^{m-k} \binom{m+k}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+k-i \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} \frac{x^i u^k}{(1-u)^{m+k+1}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$g_m(u, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m+j}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+j-i \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} x^i u^j.$$

Proof. Writing $1 = (1-u)^{m+j+1} \cdot (1-u)^{-(m+j+1)}$ and

$$(1-u)^{m+j+1} = \left(1 + \frac{u}{1-u}\right)^{-(m+j+1)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{m+j+l}{\ell} \left(\frac{u}{1-u}\right)^{\ell},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m+j}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+j-i \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} x^i u^j \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{m+j+l}{\ell} \left(\frac{u}{1-u}\right)^{\ell} \binom{m+j}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+j-i \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{x^i u^j}{(1-u)^{m+j+1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{m+k}{i} \binom{m+k-i}{k-j} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+j-i \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{x^i u^k}{(1-u)^{m+k+1}}.$$

where the last equality follows from the substitution $\ell = k - j$ and the identity

$$\binom{m+k}{i} \binom{m+k-i}{k-j} = \binom{m+j}{i} \binom{m+k}{k-j}.$$

Substituting j by $k - j$ in the last summation and invoking (1.8) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m+k}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+k-i \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 2} \frac{x^i u^k}{(1-u)^{m+k+1}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that $\left\{ \begin{matrix} m+k-i \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 2}$ is zero if $k > m$ or $i > m - k$. So, the ranges of k and i in (2.3) are bounded by m and $m - k$, respectively. \square

Combining Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. SECOND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let

$$g(m, u, x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+k)^{m+k}}{k!} e^{-u(x+k)} u^k.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$g(m, u, x+1) - g(m, u, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g(m-1, u, x). \quad (3.1)$$

Let

$$p(m, k, i) = \binom{m+k}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+k-i \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then the polynomial

$$p_m(u, x) := \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^{m-k} p(m, k, i) u^k x^i \quad (3.3)$$

is related to $g_m(u, x)$ (cf. (2.2)) by

$$g_m(u, x) = \frac{1}{(1-u)^{m+1}} p_m \left(\frac{u}{1-u}, x \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, to prove Theorem 1.1, i.e., $g(m, u, x) = g_m(u, x)$, it is sufficient to show that $g_m(u, x)$ satisfies (3.1), which means, in terms of $p_m(u, x)$,

$$p_m(u, x+1) - p_m(u, x) = \left[m + (1+u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right] p_{m-1}(u, x). \quad (3.5)$$

By (3.3), Equation (3.5) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^{m-k} p(m, k, i) u^k ((x+1)^i - x^i) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-k-1} (mp(m-1, k, i) + (k+1)p(m-1, k+1, i) + kp(m-1, k, i)) u^k x^i. \end{aligned}$$

Extracting the coefficients of $u^k x^i$ on both sides, we get

$$\sum_{j=i+1}^{m-k} \binom{j}{i} p(m, k, j) = (k+1)p(m-1, k+1, i) + (m+k)p(m-1, k, i). \quad (3.6)$$

It remains to prove (3.6). To do this, we show that both sides of (3.6) are the cardinality of certain set by double counting. Let $\Pi(m, k, i)$ denote the set of partitions of $\{1, \dots, m+k\}$ into i singletons and k blocks with size at least 2. It is clear from (3.2) that $p(m, k, i)$ is the cardinality of $\Pi(m, k, i)$. Let $\Pi_0(m, k+1, i)$ denote the set of partitions of $\{0, 1, \dots, m+k\}$ into i singletons and $k+1$ blocks of size at least 2, of which one contains 0, called *0-block*.

Proposition 3.1. *For nonnegative integers n , k and i , the two sides of (3.6) are the cardinality of $\Pi_0(m, k+1, i)$.*

Proof. We construct the partitions in $\Pi_0(m, k+1, i)$ as follows:

- the 0-block is a doubleton; clearly we can choose any element in $\{1, \dots, m+k\}$ to form the doubleton with 0, and using the remaining elements to make a partition in $\Pi(m-1, k, i)$, there are $(m+k)p(m-1, k, i)$ ways;
- the 0-block has at least three elements; from any partition in $\Pi(m-1, k+1, i)$, pick up a non-singleton block, in $k+1$ ways, to make it a 0-block, and the remaining blocks form a partition in $\Pi(m-1, k+1, i)$. Clearly the number of such partitions is $(k+1)p(m-1, k+1, i)$.

For the left-hand side of (3.6), we set up a bijection $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Pi_0(m, k+1, i)$, where $\Omega := \cup_{j>i} \Omega_j$ with

$$\Omega_j = \{(\pi, T) : \pi \in \Pi(m, k, j), T \subseteq \text{Single}(\pi) \text{ with } |T| = j - i\},$$

where $\text{Single}(\pi)$ is the set of elements in singletons of π , as in the following: join 0 to T to make a 0-block T_0 . Together with the remaining blocks of π we get a partition π_0 in $\Pi_0(m, k+1, i)$. It is clear that the cardinality of Ω is the left-hand side of (3.6). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author was supported by the *China Scholarship Council*. This work was done during his visit at Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1 in 2022-2023.

REFERENCES

- [1] B.C. Berndt, R.J. Evans, B.M. Wilson, Chapter 3 of Ramanujan's second notebook, *Adv. Math.* 49 (1983) 123-169. 1
- [2] L. Carlitz, The coefficients in an asymptotic expansion, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (1965) 248-252. 3
- [3] W.Y.C. Chen, V.J.W. Guo, Bijections behind the Ramanujan polynomials, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 27 (2001) 336-356. 2
- [4] W.Y.C. Chen, H.R.L. Yang, A context-free grammar for the Ramanujan-Shor polynomials, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 126 (2021) Paper 101908. 2
- [5] D. Dumont, A. Ramamonjisoa, Grammaire de Ramanujan et arbres de Cayley, *Electron. J. Combin.* 3 (2) (1996) R17. 2
- [6] A. Elvey Price, A.D. Sokal, Phylogenetic trees, augmented perfect matchings, and a Thron-type continued fraction (T-fraction) for the Ward polynomials, *Electron. J. Combin.* 27 (4) (2020) Paper 4.6. 2
- [7] I.M. Gessel, Lagrange inversion, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 144 (2016) 212-249. 1, 2
- [8] V.J.W. Guo, J. Zeng, A generalization of the Ramanujan polynomials and plane trees, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 39 (2007) 96-115. 2
- [9] Z.C. Lin, J. Zeng, Positivity properties of Jacobi-Stirling numbers and generalized Ramanujan polynomials, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 53 (2014) 12-27 2
- [10] P.W. Shor, A new proof of Cayley's formula for counting labeled trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 71 (1) (1995) 154-158. 2
- [11] N.J.A. Sloane, The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences, <http://oeis.org>. 2, 3
- [12] L.M. Smiley, Completion of a rational function sequence of Carlitz, [arXiv:math/0006106v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0006106v1). 3
- [13] A.D. Sokal, Total positivity of some polynomial matrices that enumerate labeled trees and forests I: forests of rooted labeled trees, *Monatsh. Math.* 200 (2) (2023) 389-452. 2
- [14] D. Speyer, B. Sturmfels, The tropical Grassmannian, *Adv. Geom.* 4 (2004) 389-411. 3
- [15] E. Vigren, A. Dieckmann, A new result in form of finite triple sums for a series from Ramanujan's notebooks, *Symmetry* 14 (6) (2022) 1090. 2, 3
- [16] J. Zeng, A Ramanujan sequence that refines the Cayley formula for trees, *Ramanujan J.* 3 (1999) 45-54. 1, 2

(Ming-Jian Ding) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATIC SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
DALIAN 116024, P. R. CHINA

Email address: ding-mj@hotmail.com

(Jiang Zeng) UNIV LYON, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, CNRS UMR 5208, INSTITUT
CAMILLE JORDAN, 43 BLVD. DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, F-69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr