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#### Abstract

We consider coupling of acoustic phonon to pseudospins consisting of electronic spins locked to orbital angular momentum states, arising from projection onto the time-dependent lowest energy manifold. The acoustic phonon then couples to the magnetic field through the pseudospin. We examine consequences on the phonon modes.


## I. INTRODUCTION

How phonons couple to magnetic field has received a lot of attention recently, with particular boost due to the interest in thermal Hall effects and the question of possible phonon contributions [1]9]. In this paper, we investigate a mechanism of phonon-magnetic field coupling thereby an acoustic phonon can acquire a Berry curvature, and the otherwise degenerate phonon modes (in the absence of this coupling) would be mixed, producing chiral modes with finite frequency splitting. The general mechanism of generating a coupling between the phonon to the magnetic field is by now well-appreciated. While in the case of optical phonons in strongly ionic solids, the coupling can be directly comprehended as due to motion of the charged ions [10], in general it has to be understood as a Berry phase effect [11-15]. Phonons are associated with the motion of the atoms or ions in the solid. The electrons, on the other hand, not only provide an effective scalar potential between the ions given in the traditional Born-Oppenheimer approximation, but also carries a Berry phase factor depending on the ionic coordinates. This phase factor, after the electron degrees of freedom have been eliminated, gives rise to an effective vector potential 11-16] and hence Lorentz force for the motions of the ions or nuclei. The generation of gauge field on one subsystem via projecting out the other has also been discussed in other branches of physics (e.g. 1719]).

We shall here consider phonons coupling to the magnetic field via spins. We shall primarily consider localized spins in the paramagnetic regime, where the spins are not ordered or even non-interacting, with finite polarization only due to the external applied magnetic field. The coupling mechanism we consider is different from those investigated in the literature, such as magnetic anisotropy energy [15] in magnetically ordered systems, or modifications of spin-spin interaction energies due to bond-length or angle changes in the presence of phonons. The specific systems we shall examine are those where the "spins" are actually pseudospins, with electronic spins entangled with orbital angular momentum states. In the presence of the acoustic phonon, the local environment becomes time dependent. If the psuedopin is not excited, then this psuedospin must remain within the ground state manifold though defined according to this instantaneous envi-
ronment. This time dependence then generates an effective gauge field for the ionic motion. Since the pseudospin Zeeman couples to the magnetic field, direct phononmagnetic field coupling would result, providing the mechanism we desire in the first paragraph. Explicitly we shall be examining d-electron systems in cubic environment. However, the mechanism seems to be quite general when both crystal field splitting and strong spin-orbit coupling are present when the phonon frequencies lie within suitable frequency ranges.

The mechanism to be discussed bears a close relationship but is distinct from the one that has been discussed also for spin-orbit entangled spins coupling to optical phonons (e.g. [20-23]). There, the coupling, usually termed magneto-elastic interaction, arises from the modification of crystal fields acting on the pseudospin due to the presence of optical phonons. These phononpseudospin couplings are parameterized by coupling constants which describe thus the extent that the crystal fields are modified due to the displacements of the ions surrounding the pseudospin under discussion. In this mechanism, the splitting of degenerate phonon modes by the magnetic field is generated by virtual transitions between different energy manifolds [20, 21]. In contrast, our mechanism arises from phase factors generated from projection onto the time-dependent pseudospin ground state manifold. As we shall see, the "coupling constant" depends on the information entirely of the ground state manifold, and in fact a factor related to the geometric information on the structure of the pseudospin.

The structure of the rest of this paper is as follows. In Sec II we introduce our specific model, and then derive the phonon-pseudospin coupling. The effect of this coupling on the sound modes frequencies is evaluated in Sec III, In Sec IV] we evaluate the Berry curvatures. We end with some order of magnitude and discussions in $\operatorname{Sec} \mathrm{V}$.

## II. MODEL

To be specific, consider $\mathrm{Ir}^{+4}$ ions $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{IrO}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Ru}^{+3}$ ions in $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}$, both with five $d$ electrons. (see, e.g. 24 27]) In both cases, the ions are situated within in an approximately cubic environment of the $\mathrm{O}^{-2}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-1}$, respectively. The $d$-electrons energy levels are crystalfield split into a $t_{2 g}$ and an $e_{2 g}$ manifold. Only the $t_{2 g}$
manifold consisting of the orbitals usually labelled as $x y$, $y z$, and $z x$ are relevent, and together with the electronic $\operatorname{spin} \uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ degree of freedom, form six levels. The spin-orbit interaction further splits these six levels into one quartet, usually labelled as $j_{\text {eff }}=3 / 2$, which are occupied, and another Kramer's doublet, usually labelled as $j_{\text {eff }}=1 / 2$, which is singly occupied. We shall write the wavefunctions for the two levels in this doublet as [28]

$$
\begin{align*}
|\Uparrow\rangle & =\frac{-i}{\sqrt{3}} \quad[|x y \uparrow\rangle+|y z \downarrow\rangle+i|x z \downarrow\rangle] \\
|\Downarrow\rangle & =\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}[|x y \downarrow\rangle-|y z \uparrow\rangle+i|x z \uparrow\rangle] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

forming a time-reversal pair (we use the convention, under time-reversal, $|\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow|\downarrow\rangle,|\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow-|\uparrow\rangle$, and similarly, $|\Uparrow\rangle \rightarrow|\Downarrow\rangle,|\Downarrow\rangle \rightarrow-|\Uparrow\rangle)$. In the absence of phonons, the orbital parts of the wavefunctions $(x y, y z, z x)$ as well as the spin parts $(\uparrow, \downarrow)$ are defined according to fixed axes with respect to the crystal in equilibrium.

Before we consider phonons, let's first note a few relations which we shall use. Denoting the electronic spin operator by $\vec{s}=\frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}$ where $\vec{\sigma}$ are Pauli matrices operating on the $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ space, and $\vec{L}$ the orbital angular momentum operator, their projections onto the subspace of (1) are [29]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{s}=-\frac{1}{6} \vec{\tau}, \quad \vec{L}=-\frac{2}{3} \vec{\tau} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{\tau}$ are Pauli matrices within the within the $\Uparrow, \Downarrow$ space. The energy change under a magnetic field $\vec{B}$, $\mu_{B}(\vec{L}+2 \vec{s}) \cdot \vec{B}$ (with $\mu_{B}$ the Bohr magneton) with the operators projected again onto this subspace (i.e., ignoring thus other contributions), would then be

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{Z}=\mu_{B}\left(-\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{3}\right) \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{B} \equiv-g \mu_{B} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot \vec{B} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an effective $g$ fector of 2 [25, 26]. Eq (2) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{L}+\vec{s}=-\frac{5}{6} \tau \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

a result which we shall use later.

## A. phonon-pseudospin coupling

Consider a long wavelength acoustic phonon, with a spatially dependent displacement vector $\vec{\xi}(\vec{x})$. For simplicity, we shall consider a cubic crystal, and remark on modifications for other symmetries later. As is wellknown, we can decompose this into three components: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\xi}, \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\xi}$ and the tensor $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \xi_{l}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \delta_{j l} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\xi}$, corresponding to an isotropic expansion (compression), rotation, and anisotropic deformation respectively [30]. Under a low energy excitations of the crystal 31], the electronic state $|\Psi\rangle$ of our ion under consideration should still be within the manifold described by eq (11) though in a frame specified by the local environment. Hence at an instantaneous time $t$, we should have (up to small terms describing the excitations to higher energy levels)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi(t)\rangle=\alpha_{\Uparrow}^{\prime}(t)\left|\Uparrow^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle+\alpha_{\Downarrow}^{\prime}(t)\left|\Downarrow^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\Uparrow^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle\left(\left|\Downarrow^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle\right)$ are states given by eq (1) except with $x, y, z,|\uparrow\rangle,|\downarrow\rangle$ replaced by $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, \mid \uparrow^{\prime}$ $\rangle,\left|\downarrow^{\prime}\right\rangle$ rotated from the former by $\vec{\Theta}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\xi}(t)$. (The isotropic compression and anisotropic deformation would not affect what we would be discussing below and shall be ignored from now on). Suppose that our ion is under an external field $\vec{B}$, and let $\vec{B}^{\prime}$ be the value of this field in the above mentioned rotating frame. The Schrödinger equation of motion for $|\Psi\rangle$, employing eq (5) and noting the time dependence of the basis function $\left|\Uparrow^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle,\left|\Downarrow^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle$, implies

$$
i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{\alpha_{\Uparrow}^{\prime}}{\alpha_{\Downarrow}^{\prime}}=-g \mu_{B} \vec{B}^{\prime}(t) \cdot \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}\binom{\alpha_{\Uparrow}^{\prime}}{\alpha_{\Downarrow}^{\prime}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i\left\langle\Uparrow^{\prime}\right| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|\Uparrow^{\prime}\right\rangle & -i\left\langle\Uparrow^{\prime}\right| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|\Downarrow^{\prime}\right\rangle  \tag{6}\\
-i\left\langle\Downarrow^{\prime}\right| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|\Uparrow^{\prime}\right\rangle & -i\left\langle\Downarrow^{\prime}\right| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|\Downarrow^{\prime}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)\binom{\alpha_{\Uparrow}^{\prime}}{\alpha_{\Downarrow}^{\prime}}
$$

Here $\vec{\tau}$, which rigorously should have been denoted as $\vec{\tau}^{\prime}$, are Pauli matrices in the $\Uparrow^{\prime}, \Downarrow^{\prime}$ subspace, but we shall not make this distinction in notations for simplicity. Since $\left|\Uparrow^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle=e^{-i \vec{\Theta} \cdot(\vec{L}+\vec{s})}|\Uparrow\rangle \approx(1-i \vec{\Theta} \cdot(\vec{L}+\vec{s}))|\Uparrow\rangle$, the time derivatives can be evaluated as, e.g., $-i\left\langle\uparrow^{\prime}\right| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|\Uparrow^{\prime}\right\rangle$ $=-\left(\frac{\partial \vec{\Theta}}{\partial t}\right) \cdot\left[\left\langle\Uparrow^{\prime}\right|(\vec{L}+\vec{s})\left|\Uparrow^{\prime}\right\rangle\right]$. Using eq (4) (and ignoring a terms $\propto \vec{\Theta} \times \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t}$ which arises due to the difference between the primed and unprimed $\Uparrow$ and $\Downarrow$ space), we
obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{\alpha_{\Uparrow}^{\prime}}{\alpha_{\Downarrow}^{\prime}}=\left[-g \mu_{B} \vec{B}^{\prime}(t) \cdot \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}+\frac{5}{6} \frac{\partial \vec{\Theta}}{\partial t} \cdot \vec{\tau}\right]\binom{\alpha_{\Uparrow}^{\prime}}{\alpha_{\Downarrow}^{\prime}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It would be more convenient to have an equation of motion involving directly $\vec{B}$ instead. We observe that $\vec{B}^{\prime}=\vec{B}-\vec{\Theta} \times \vec{B}$ and hence $\vec{B}^{\prime} \cdot \vec{\tau}=e^{i \frac{\vec{\epsilon}}{2} \cdot \tau} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{\tau} e^{-i \frac{\vec{\epsilon}}{2} \cdot \tau}$.

Introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\tilde{\alpha}_{\Uparrow}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{\Downarrow}}=e^{-i \frac{\vec{\theta}}{2} \cdot \tau}\binom{\alpha_{\Uparrow}^{\prime}}{\alpha_{\Downarrow}^{\prime}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{\tilde{\alpha}_{\Uparrow}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{\Downarrow}}=\left[-\frac{g \mu_{B}}{2} \vec{B}+\frac{4}{3} \frac{\partial \vec{\Theta}}{\partial t}\right] \cdot \vec{\tau}\binom{\tilde{\alpha}_{\Uparrow}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{\Downarrow}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have again dropped a term involving second powers in $\Theta$. $\frac{4}{3}$ arises from $\frac{1}{2}-\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)$ thus is due to the difference between the rotational matrix for ordinary spin- $1 / 2$ and our pseudospin (eq (4)). The direction of the pseudospin, defined as the expectation value of $\vec{\tau}$ with the "spin" wavefunction $\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{\Uparrow}, \tilde{\alpha}_{\Downarrow}\right)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\tau}=\hat{\tau} \times\left[\vec{\omega}_{0}+r \frac{\partial \vec{\Theta}}{\partial t}\right]=\hat{\tau} \times\left[\vec{\omega}_{0}+\frac{r}{2}\left(\nabla \times \frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t}\right)\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\vec{\omega}_{0}=g \mu_{B} \vec{B}$ and $r=-\frac{8}{3}$. The former is the standard precession due to the external field and the second extra term is due to the rotational properties of our basis functions derived above.

## B. Lagrangian

We construct now the Lagrangian for the coupled phonon and pseudospin system. To simplify the writing, when no confusion arises, we shall often just write "spin" for the pseudospin.

First, the acoustic phonon alone can be describe by the Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0, p h}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{M}\left(\frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-U_{\text {elastic }} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{\text {elastic }}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right)+\lambda_{2} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{l}}{\partial x_{l}}\right]$ is the elastic energy density. Here $\rho_{M}$ is the mass density (dimension mass time inverse volume) and sum over repeated indices are implicit. We have also ignored a term $\lambda_{3}\left(\frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)$ which is allowed in cubic symmetry for simplicity. Its effects will be discussed later. Under this simplification, for a system without coupling to spin, then sound velocities are independent of direction of propagation $\hat{q}$, with longitudinal and transverse sound velocities given by $v_{L}=\left[\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) / \rho_{M}\right]^{1 / 2}$ and $v_{T}=\left[\lambda_{1} / \rho_{M}\right]^{1 / 2}$ respectively.

For the spin, first we recall that, for a spin $S$ under a magnetic field along $\hat{z}$, the Lagrangian can be written
as [32] $L_{s}=g \mu_{B} S B \cos \theta+S \cos \theta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$ where $\theta$ and $\phi$ are the angles for the spin direction in spherical coordinates, the first term being from the Zeeman energy and the second a Berry phase term. To produce the equation of motion (10), we need only to replace $g \mu_{B} S B \cos \theta$ by $\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot\left[g \mu_{B} \vec{B}+\frac{r}{2}\left(\nabla \times \frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t}\right)\right]$ (now specializing to pseudospin $1 / 2$ ). The last term allows us to identify the pseudospin - phonon coupling.

The Lagrangian $L=L_{p h}+L_{s}+L_{p h-s}$ is a sum of the phonon term (11), the spin term and the phonon-spin coupling term. We then have, for a net effective spin density $\rho_{s}$ per unit volume,

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{s}=\rho_{s} \frac{1}{2}\left[g \mu_{B} \vec{B} \cdot \hat{\tau}+\cos \theta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathrm{t}}\right]  \tag{12}\\
L_{p h-s}=\frac{r \rho_{s}}{4}\left[\hat{\tau} \cdot\left(\nabla \times \frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t}\right)\right] \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\hat{\tau}$ the net (pseudo-)spin direction. The phononpseudospin coupling is dictated by the factor $r$ derived in the last subsection.

## C. effective equation of motion

The equation of motion for $\hat{\tau}$ was already obtained in (10), which reads, after Fourier transform and linearizing about the equilibrium where $\hat{\tau}=\hat{z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i \omega \hat{\tau}(\omega, \vec{q})=\omega_{0}(\hat{\tau} \times \hat{z})+\frac{r \omega}{2}[\hat{z} \times(\vec{q} \times \vec{\xi})] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of motion for the displacement is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{M} \omega^{2} \xi_{j}-\frac{r \omega}{4} \rho_{s}(\vec{q} \times \hat{\tau})_{j}=\lambda_{1} q^{2} \xi_{j}+\lambda_{2} q_{l}\left(q_{j} \xi_{l}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now study the consequences of eq (14) and (15). Equation (14) implies that $\hat{\tau}_{z}$ is just a constant. The components orthogonal to the field direction $(j=x, y)$ obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{j}=\frac{r \omega / 2}{\omega_{0}^{2}-\omega^{2}}\left[\omega_{0}(\vec{q} \times \vec{\xi})_{j}-i \omega(\hat{z} \times(\vec{q} \times \vec{\xi}))_{j}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Puting this into eq (15) gives us the equation of motion entirely expressed in terms of $\xi_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\rho_{M} \omega^{2} \xi_{j}-\left[\lambda_{1} q^{2} \xi_{j}+\lambda_{2} q_{l}\left(q_{j} \xi_{l}\right)\right]-\frac{r^{2} \rho_{s}}{8} \frac{\omega_{0} \omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}-\omega^{2}}\left[-q_{z}^{2} \xi_{j}+q_{z} q_{j} \xi_{z}+\left(q_{z}\left(q_{l} \xi_{l}\right)-q^{2} \xi_{z}\right) \delta_{j z}\right]-i \frac{r^{2} \rho_{s}}{8} \frac{\omega^{3}}{\omega_{0}^{2}-\omega^{2}} q_{z}(\vec{q} \times \vec{\xi})_{j} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Coupling of the pseudospin to the phonon results in the last two new terms. Here the factor $\delta_{j z}=1$ if $j=z$ and vanishes otherwise. We note the factor $q_{z}$ in the last term, which is generated from the last term in eq (16). This factor reflects the fact that the time dependent parts of $\tau$ only have $x$ and $y$ components.

We now analyze eq (17) in two different limits.

## III. SOUND MODES

## A. small magnetic field: anti-adiabatic regime

For small fields, $\omega_{0}$ is much smaller than the phonon frequencies, eqn (17) approximately reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\rho_{M} \omega^{2} \xi_{j}-\left[\lambda_{1} q^{2} \xi_{j}+\lambda_{2} q_{l}\left(q_{j} \xi_{l}\right)\right]+i \frac{r^{2} \rho_{s}}{8} \omega q_{z}(\vec{q} \times \vec{\xi})_{j} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Longitudinal sound, with $\xi$ parrallel to $\vec{q}$, is not affected. Physically, there is no rotation of the environment surrounding the pseudospin in this case. The two polarizations of the transverse sound are coupled via the spins, turning them into circular polarized ones. Writing

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{\xi}=\xi_{\theta} \hat{\theta}+\xi_{\phi} \hat{\phi}, \text { we get } \\
& \qquad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega^{2}-q^{2} v_{T}^{2} & -i \frac{\rho_{s} r^{2}}{8 \rho_{M}} \omega q^{2} \cos \theta_{q} \\
+i \frac{\rho_{s} r^{2}}{8 \rho_{M}} \omega q^{2} \cos \theta_{q} & \omega^{2}-q^{2} v_{T}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\xi_{\theta}}{\xi_{\phi}}=0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\theta_{q}$ is the angle between $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{z}$. To lowest order in the phonon-pseudospin coupling, the frequencies are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{ \pm}=q v_{T}\left[1 \pm Z \cos \theta_{\mathrm{q}}\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the modes with right $\left(\left(\xi_{\theta}, \xi_{\phi}\right) \propto(1, i)\right)$ and left $\left(\left(\xi_{\theta}, \xi_{\phi}\right) \propto(1,-i)\right)$ circular polarization, with $Z$ a $q$ dependent dimensionless parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \equiv \frac{\rho_{s} r^{2} q}{16 \rho_{M} v_{T}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the fractional splitting increases with $q$, reflecting that a shorter wavelength implies a larger rotation motion of the lattice $\vec{q} \times \vec{\xi}$ and hence a stronger coupling to our pseudospin. From eq (20), we see that for $q_{z}>0$, the lower (higher) frequency mode is left (right)-circularly polarized. The reverse is the case if $q_{z}<0$.

## B. low frequency: adiabatic regime

For very small $q$, the phonon frequency $\sim q v_{T}$ is much smaller than $\omega_{0}$. In this case, the effective equation of motion for the phonon cooridinate can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\rho_{M} \omega^{2} \vec{\xi}_{j}-\left[\lambda_{1} q^{2} \xi_{j}+\lambda_{2} q_{l}\left(q_{j} \xi_{l}\right)\right]-\frac{r^{2} \rho_{s} \omega^{2}}{8 \omega_{0}}\left[-q_{z}^{2} \xi_{j}+q_{z} q_{j} \xi_{z}+\left(q_{z}\left(q_{l} \xi_{l}\right)-q^{2} \xi_{z}\right) \delta_{j z}\right]-i \frac{r^{2} \rho_{s}}{8} \frac{\omega^{3}}{\omega_{0}^{2}} q_{z}(\vec{q} \times \vec{\xi})_{j} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the sign differences between the last terms of eqs (18) and (22) in two different frequency regimes, similar to the case of, e.g., driven harmonic oscillator for above versus below resonance. Formally the last term is one higher order in $\omega_{0}^{-1}$ than the second last, but we shall ex-
plain shortly why we keep this term. Longitudinal sound is again unaffected. The eigenvector has $\vec{\xi}$ parallel to $\vec{q}$, as can be checked by multiplying eq (22) by $q_{j}$ and the sum over $j$ (there is no contribution from either the last or second last terms). The transverse sounds obey

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega^{2}-q^{2} v_{T}^{2}+\frac{\rho_{s} r^{2}}{8 \rho_{M} \omega_{0}} q^{2} \omega^{2} & i \frac{\rho_{s} r^{2}}{8 \rho_{M} \omega_{0}^{2}} \omega^{3} q^{2} \cos \theta_{q}  \tag{23}\\
-i \frac{\rho_{s} r^{2}}{8 \rho_{M} \omega_{0}^{2}} \omega^{3} q^{2} \cos \theta_{q} & \omega^{2}-q^{2} v_{T}^{2}+\frac{\rho_{s} r^{2}}{8 \rho_{M} \omega_{0}} q^{2} \omega^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\xi_{\theta}}{\xi_{\phi}}=0
$$

For $\theta_{q}$ not too close to 0 or $\pi$, we can ignore the offdiagonal terms in this matrix equation as they are second order in $\omega_{0}^{-1}$. We obtain two non-degenerate modes with frequencies $\omega=q v_{T}(1+X)^{-1 / 2}$ (for $\vec{\xi}$ along $\hat{\theta}$ ) and $\omega=q v_{T} /\left(1+X \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ (for $\vec{\xi}$ along $\hat{\phi}$ ). Here
$X \equiv \frac{\rho_{s} r^{2} q^{2}}{8 \rho_{M} \omega_{0}}$ is a q-dependent dimensionless parameter. Thus the mode with $\vec{\xi}$ along $\hat{\theta}$ has a lower frequency than the one with $\hat{\phi}$ due to the coupling to the pseudospin. For $\theta_{q}=0$ or $\pi$, these two modes are degenerate up to $\omega_{0}^{-1}$. The off-diagonal term then turns these transverse
modes to circularly polarized. For $\theta_{q}=0$, the modes with $\left(\xi_{\theta}, \xi_{\phi}\right) \propto(1, \pm i)$ have frequencies roughly given by $\omega \approx q v_{T}(1+X)^{-1 / 2}\left[1 \mp X_{2}\right]$, with the dimensionless parameter $X_{2} \equiv \frac{\rho_{s} r^{2} q^{2}}{16 \rho_{M} \omega_{0}} \frac{q v_{T}}{\omega_{0}}$. Similar to the case in subsection III A the sign in front of $X_{2}$ in this expression for $\omega$ needs to be reversed for $\theta_{q}=\pi$. Note that $X_{2} \ll X$ since we are now considering $q v_{T} \ll \omega_{0}$ and also that the circular polarization for the higher frequency mode is opposite to the anti-adiabatic case for a given $\hat{q}$.

## IV. BERRY CURVATURE

We here discuss the Berry curvature for the phonon modes. Our presentation here closely follows Supplemental Materials of [33] and [34]. In the Appendix we collect some of the relevant formulas.

## A. Anti-abiabatic

The Lagrangian density that reproduces the equation of motion (18) can easily found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L_{0, p h}+\frac{r^{2} \rho_{s}}{16} \epsilon_{j k l}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \xi_{j}}{\partial z \partial x_{k}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \xi_{l}}{\partial t}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term, in the form of an effective Lorentz force, might have been expected from phenomenological grounds. An initial guess might be a term proportional to $\hat{z} \cdot\left(\vec{\xi} \times \frac{\vec{\partial} \xi}{\partial t}\right)$ : this term does arise in the case of optical phonons [22, 23, 35], but here this is not acceptable since the appearance of $\vec{\xi}$ violates translational invariance. Instead, in eq. (24), a second order spatial derivative appears instead, similar to what has been discussed in 12, 33], though in our case the precise form, as derived in Sec III, is different here.

The conjugate momentum $\Pi_{j}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{j} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\xi}_{j}}=\rho_{M}\left(\frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial t}\right)-\frac{r^{2} \rho_{s}}{16} \epsilon_{j k l}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \xi_{l}}{\partial z \partial x_{k}}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the equation of motion (18) just the same as $\frac{\partial \Pi_{j}}{\partial t}=$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_{j}}$. After Fourier transforming the spatial coordinates, these two equations can be written in matrix form

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\rho_{M} \hat{1} & 0  \tag{26}\\
\rho_{M} \Omega & \hat{1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\xi}{\Pi}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\rho_{M} \Omega & \hat{1} \\
-\mathcal{Q} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\xi}{\Pi}
$$

where $\Omega, \mathcal{Q}, \hat{1}$ are $3 \times 3$ matrices: $\Omega \equiv Z\left(q v_{T}\right) \cos \theta_{q} \hat{\Omega}$ with $Z$ defined in eq (느) , $\hat{\Omega}_{j k} \equiv-\epsilon_{j k l} \hat{q}_{l}, \mathcal{Q}_{j k} \equiv \lambda_{1} q^{2} \delta_{j k}+$ $\lambda_{2} q_{j} q_{k}$, and $\hat{1}_{j k}=\delta_{j k}$.

Eq (26) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{\xi}{\Pi}=-i \mathcal{S}\binom{\xi}{\Pi} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\xi, \Pi$ column matrices consisting of elements $\xi_{x, y, z}$ and $\Pi_{x, y, z}$, and $\mathcal{S}$ a $6 \times 6$ matrix given by

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \Omega & i / \rho_{M}  \tag{28}\\
-i \mathcal{Q} & -i \Omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

where, rigorously speaking, the lower left element should have been $-i \mathcal{Q}+i \rho_{M} \Omega^{2}$, and we have taken the simpler form since $\Omega^{2}$ is second order in $1 / \omega_{0}$ and hence higher order than the other terms we kept.

Following [33], we search for the row vectors $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$ which satisfy, for positive frequencies $\omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\vec{u}, \vec{v})=(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) \mathcal{S} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$ 's are found, the Berry curvatures $\vec{\Omega}_{B}$ can then be evaluated via the formulas collected in Appendix B For the longitudinal mode, $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})=\left(u_{q} \hat{q}, v_{q} \hat{q}\right)$. The transverse modes can be more easily written in terms of $u_{\theta, \phi}$ and $v_{\theta, \phi}$ defined via $\vec{u}=u_{\theta} \hat{\theta}+u_{\phi} \hat{\phi}$ and similarly for $\vec{v}$. They obey (observe that $\hat{\theta} \hat{\Omega}=-\hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{\phi} \hat{\Omega}=\hat{\theta}$ )

$$
\omega\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{\theta}  \tag{30}\\
u_{\phi} \\
v_{\theta} \\
v_{\phi}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 
& -i Z q v_{T} \cos \theta_{q} & -i \lambda_{1} q^{2} & \\
+i Z q v_{T} \cos \theta_{q} & & -i \lambda_{1} q^{2} \\
i \rho_{M} & & & -i Z q v_{T} \cos \theta_{q} \\
& i \rho_{M} & i Z q v_{T} \cos \theta_{q} &
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{\theta} \\
u_{\phi} \\
v_{\theta} \\
v_{\phi}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The right (left) circular polarized mode has eigenvector (normalized according to eq (A8))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}}{2}, \pm \frac{i\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}}{2}, \frac{i}{2\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \mp \frac{1}{2\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

frequencies $\omega=q v_{T}\left(1 \pm Z \cos \theta_{q}\right)$ (c.f. eq ( $\quad\left[\begin{array}{l}20)\end{array}\right)$ and curvature $\vec{\Omega}_{B}= \pm \hat{q} / q^{2}$.

## B. adiabatic

In this regime, eq (22) indicates that the equation for the frequency is cubic. This creates complications
if we want to treat the problem in the same way as in the last subsection. However, since we are treating the pseudospin-phonon coupling as small, we can simplify the problem by noting the fact that since the last term in eq (22) is thus already small, we can replace $\omega^{2}$ there by
the " unperturbed" transverse sound frequency $\left(q v_{T}\right)^{2}$ (transverse since the last term affects only the transverse modes). Thus we now consider the effective equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\rho_{M} \omega^{2} \vec{\xi}_{j}-\left[\lambda_{1} q^{2} \xi_{j}+\lambda_{2} q_{l}\left(q_{j} \xi_{l}\right)\right]-\frac{r^{2} \rho_{s} \omega^{2}}{8 \omega_{0}}\left[-q_{z}^{2} \xi_{j}+q_{z} q_{j} \xi_{z}+\left(q_{z}\left(q_{l} \xi_{l}\right)-q^{2} \xi_{z}\right) \delta_{j z}\right]-i \frac{r^{2} \rho_{s}}{8} \frac{\omega\left(q v_{T}\right)^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}} q_{z}(\vec{q} \times \vec{\xi})_{j} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation reproduces the sound velocites discussed near the end of Sec IIIB,
The Lagrangian density that reproduces this equation of motion can easily found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L_{0, p h}+\frac{r^{2} \rho_{s}}{8 \omega_{0}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \xi_{l}}{\partial z \partial t}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \xi_{z}}{\partial z \partial t}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \xi_{l}}{\partial x_{l} \partial t}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \xi_{z}}{\partial x_{l} \partial t}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{r^{2} \rho_{s} v_{T}^{2}}{16 \omega_{0}^{2}} \nabla^{2} \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \times\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \vec{\xi}}{\partial z \partial t}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carrying out the same procedure as in the last subsection, we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\rho_{M}(1+X \hat{\Lambda}) & 0  \tag{34}\\
-\rho_{M} \tilde{\Omega} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\xi}{\Pi}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\rho_{M} \tilde{\Omega} & 1 \\
-\mathcal{Q} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\xi}{\Pi}
$$

where $\tilde{\Omega} \equiv X_{2} q v_{T} \cos \theta_{q} \hat{\Omega}$ (dimension frequency) with $X, X_{2}$ defined in $\Pi$ BIB ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j k} \mathcal{Q}_{j k}$ already defined in subsection IV A.

$$
\hat{\Lambda} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{q}_{z}^{2} & 0 & -\hat{q}_{x} \hat{q}_{z}  \tag{35}\\
0 & \hat{q}_{z}^{2} & -\hat{q}_{y} \hat{q}_{z} \\
-\hat{q}_{z} \hat{q}_{x} & -\hat{q}_{z} \hat{q}_{y} & q_{x}^{2}+q_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have again the equation (27) with now

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i[1+X \hat{\Lambda}]^{-1} \tilde{\Omega} & i / \rho_{M}[1+X \hat{\Lambda}]^{-1}  \tag{36}\\
-i \mathcal{Q}+i \rho_{M} \tilde{\Omega}[1+X \hat{\Lambda}]^{-1} \tilde{\Omega} & i \tilde{\Omega}[1+X \hat{\Lambda}]^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which, in accordance with our approximations, the second term in the lower left element can be dropped.

We can solve for the eigenvectors $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$ as before. It
is useful to note the vector relations $\hat{q} \hat{\Lambda}=0, \hat{\theta} \hat{\Lambda}=\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\phi} \hat{\Lambda}=\cos ^{2} \theta_{q} \hat{\phi}$. Once more, for longitudinal modes, $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})=\left(u_{q} \hat{q}, v_{q} \hat{q}\right)$ is unaffected by the pseudospin. If $\theta_{q}$ is not too close to 0 or $\pi$, in the first approximation we can ignore the effects of $\tilde{\Omega}$. The modes are thus linearly polarized with either $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$ entirely along $\hat{\theta}$ or $\hat{\phi}$ with frequencies already given in subsection IIIB. The normalized eigenvectors are, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{\theta}, v_{\theta}\right)_{0}=\left(\frac{\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}(1+X)^{1 / 4}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}(1+X)^{1 / 4}}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{\phi}, v_{\phi}\right)_{0}=\left(\frac{\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1+X \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right)^{1 / 4}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1+X \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right)^{1 / 4}}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the lower and higher frequency mode. Here the subscript 0 reminds us that we have ignored $\tilde{\Omega}$. The effect of finite $\tilde{\Omega}$ can be included by perturbation theory, using eq (37) and eq (38) as the "unperturbed" solutions. For the lower frequency mode, the wavevector can be written as $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})=\left(u_{\theta, 0} \hat{\theta}, v_{\theta, 0} \hat{\theta}\right)+\beta\left(u_{\phi, 0} \hat{\phi}, v_{\phi, 0} \hat{\phi}\right)$ where $\beta$ is a small coefficient. We find that $\beta$ is imaginary with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \beta=\frac{X_{2}}{2 X} \frac{\cos \theta_{q}}{\sin ^{2} \theta_{q}}(1+X)^{1 / 4}\left(1+X \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right)^{1 / 4}\left[\left(1+X \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(1+X \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $\operatorname{Im} \beta$ has the same $\operatorname{sign}$ as $\cos \theta_{q}$. For $q_{z}>0$, the lower frequency mode is right elliptically polarized (vice versa for $q_{z}<0$ ). Similarly, the higher frequency mode (the $\phi$ mode before perturbation) becomes left elliptically polarized, with the degree of ellipticity characterized by the same coefficient $\operatorname{Im} \beta$.

For $\theta_{q}=0$, the modes are circularly polarized, with normalized eigenvectors
$\left(u_{\theta}, u_{\phi}, v_{\theta}, v_{\phi}\right)=\left(\frac{\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}(1+X)^{1 / 4}}{2}, \mp \frac{i\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}(1+X)^{1 / 4}}{2}, \frac{i}{2\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}(1+X)^{1 / 4}}, \frac{ \pm 1}{2\left(\rho_{M} q v_{T}\right)^{1 / 2}(1+X)^{1 / 4}}\right)$
for the higher (left-circularly polarized) and lower (right-circularly polarized) frequency modes, respectively. The opposite signs are to be taken if $\theta_{q}=\pi$.

Eq (39) together with (37) and (38) allow us to obtain the Berry curvature. $\vec{\Omega}_{B}$ has no $\phi$ component. For $\theta_{q}$ not too close to 0 or $\pi$, for the lower frequency mode,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{\Omega}_{B} \cdot \hat{\theta}=\frac{2 v_{T}}{q \omega_{0}} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{q}}{\sin ^{3} \theta_{q}}  \tag{41}\\
& \vec{\Omega}_{B} \cdot \hat{q}=\frac{4 v_{T}}{q \omega_{0}} \frac{\cos \theta_{q}}{\sin ^{4} \theta_{q}} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have only kept the lowest order finite terms and have used $\frac{1}{q^{2}} \frac{X_{2}}{X}=\frac{v_{T}}{2 q \omega_{0}}$. For the higher frequency mode, there is an extra negative sign for these formulas.

For $\theta_{q}=0$, we obtain $\vec{\Omega}_{B}=\mp 1 / q^{2}$ for the two modes in (40) (36].

## v. DISCUSSIONS

We begin with a rough estimate for the factor $Z$ in eq (21), which gives the fractional splitting in section IIIA Consider the case of one ion per unit cell, and let $\rho_{0}$ (dimension inverse volume) be the number of ions per unit volume, and $M$ is the mass per unit cell. Then $Z \approx \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{s}}}{\rho_{0}} \frac{\hbar q}{M v_{T}}$. (From here on we restore the Boltzmann constant $k_{B}$ and Planck constant $\hbar$.) Suppose that $v_{T} \approx 1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}, M \sim 100$ proton mass, and if the spins are polarized ( $\rho_{s}=\rho_{0}$ ), we get $Z \sim 10^{-3}$ for a 1 meV phonon, a very large value compared with those predicted in the literature [10, 15] for other systems. For a paramagnet with small fields, $\rho_{s} / \rho_{0} \sim \mu_{B} B / k_{B} T$, this number will be reduced, but still not necessarily small for not too small fields and not too high temperatures.

For the parameter $X$ in sec IIIB, ( note that $X \sim$ $\left.\frac{q v_{T}}{\omega_{0}} Z\right)$ we obtain $X \approx 10^{-2} \frac{\rho_{s}}{\rho_{0}} \frac{\left(\hbar q v_{T} / \mathrm{meV}\right)^{2}}{(B / \text { Testla })}$. For a 100 Testla field and 1 meV phonon we have a $10^{-4}$ splitting if we take $\rho_{s}=\rho_{0}$.

Phonons with finite Berry curvature will have an intrinsic contribution to the thermal Hall effect. Though this contribution is seemingly small and unlikely to be at least the sole mechanism for the observed thermal Hall effect for any systems, with thus extrinsic effects also called for (e.g. [33, 37]), we here provide an estimate since it is often also evaluated in the theoretical literature. Considering small external magnetic field and the simplified situation in Sec. IIIA where we have two opposite circularly polarized modes, from the formulas in
[12, [34] we estimate [38] $\kappa_{x y} / T \sim \frac{\delta \omega}{v_{T}} \frac{k_{B}^{2}}{\hbar}$, where $\delta \omega$ is the typical splitting between the two oppositely polarized phonons at a given temperature, i.e., $\delta \omega \sim Z\left(q v_{T}\right)$ with $\hbar q v_{T} \sim k_{B} T$, thus

$$
\frac{\kappa_{x y}}{T} \sim \frac{\rho_{s}}{\rho_{0}} \frac{\left(k_{B} T\right)^{2}}{\hbar M v_{T}^{3}} \frac{k_{B}^{2}}{\hbar} .
$$

We obtain that $\kappa_{x y}>0$ (see remark below eq (21) and footnote [38]), independent of sign of $r$. Inserting the numbers, and taking again $\rho_{s} / \rho_{0} \sim \mu_{B} B / k_{B} T$, we get

$$
\kappa_{x y} \sim 10^{-8}(T / \mathrm{K})^{2}(B / \text { Testla }) \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{Km} .
$$

$\kappa_{x y}$ is proportional to $T^{2}$ instead of $T^{3}$ in [12, [33] due to the temperature dependence of $\rho_{s}$ just mentioned above. For $B \sim 10$ Testla and $T \sim 100 \mathrm{~K}$, we get $\sim \mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{K} \mathrm{m}$, a value comparable to those in, e.g., [33]. Our number here however is likely to be an overestimate. The Berry curvature in our model relies on mixing between transverse modes. If we take into account that rotational symmetries in crystals are discrete rather than continuous, transverse phonon modes are already split for most propagating directions. For these directions the sound modes are only ellipticallly polarized rather than circular, and the Berry curvature will be reduced. A calculation would be similar to what we had in Sec. IV B Since the mixing term between the two transverse modes is $\sim Z q v_{T}$, if the transverse mode velocites differ by $\Delta v_{T}$, the curvature would be reduced by a factor $\sim Z /\left(\Delta v_{T} / v_{T}\right)$.

The mechanism discussed in this paper should be quite general, applicable to other systems so long as the pseudospin has spin and orbital degrees of freedom entangled [39], with the lowest multiplets well separated from the higher energy ones, when the phonon frequencies lie within the suitable interval between these "gaps". Details will differ according to the precises symmetry, and simple vector relation eq(4) between the rotational matrix and the pseudopin Pauli matrices may not hold for lower symmetries, the proportionality factor $r$ will differ from our value given above etc., but otherwise the induced phase factors, mixing between phonon branches, and effective Lorentz forces will remain.

Our mechanism would also be relevant for magnetically ordered systems. In this case, the coupling between the psuedospins that have been ignored so far will have to be taken into account, and our phonon-pseudospin coupling would appear as a phonon-magnon coupling. However, it is of a qualitatively different form from the ones that have been assumed commonly in the literature which represent
only the modification of the spin-spin interactions due to phonons (e.g. 40, 41]). To what extent this present mechanism will be important remains to be investigated.
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## APPENDIX

Here we summarize some of the equations from 34] (hereafter MSM) and the Supplemental Materials of 33] (CKS-SM) that we have used in text. To simplify our notations, we shall drop labels corresponding to the components, different eigenvalues, etc.

## Appendix A: Eigenvectors

After Fourier transform into wavevector $\vec{q}$ space, $\xi_{\vec{q}}$ and $\Pi_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger}=\Pi_{-\vec{q}}$ satisfies the communtation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\xi_{\vec{q}}, \Pi_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger}\right]=i \hbar \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{\vec{q}} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\xi_{\vec{q}}+i \Pi_{\vec{q}}\right) \\
\beta_{-\vec{q}}^{\dagger} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\xi_{\vec{q}}-i \Pi_{\vec{q}}\right) \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

defines a set of annilhilation and creation operators. Let $\gamma_{\vec{q}}, \gamma_{-\vec{q}}^{\dagger}$ be instead the operators that actually diagonalize the bosonic Hamiltonian, and define the transformation matrix between $\gamma_{\vec{q}}$ and $\beta_{\vec{q}}$ be $\mathcal{T}^{-1}$, (c.f. MSM (6))), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\gamma_{\vec{q}}}{\gamma_{-\vec{q}}^{\dagger}}=\mathcal{T}^{-1}\binom{\beta_{\vec{q}}}{\beta_{-\vec{q}}^{\dagger}} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be re-written as (c.f. CKS-SM (11))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\gamma_{\vec{q}}}{\gamma_{-\vec{q}}^{\dagger}}=\mathcal{M}\binom{\xi_{\vec{q}}}{\Pi_{\vec{q}}} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with thus

$$
\mathcal{T}^{-1}=\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{A5}\\
-i & i
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\mathcal{T}$ satisfies (MSM (10))

$$
\mathcal{T}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{A6}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{T}^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and hence also the same equation with $\mathcal{T}$ replaced by $\mathcal{T}^{-1}$. Eq (A5) then shows that

$$
i \mathcal{M}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{A7}\\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

thus equivalently CKS-SM (7).
Since we write the equation of motion for the operators $\xi_{\vec{q}}, \Pi_{\vec{q}}$ in the form eq (27) and we have defined $(u, v)$ via (29), comparison with CKS-SM (4) and (5) shows that $(u, v)$ are just the rows of the matrix $\mathcal{M}$. The normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}^{*}-\vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}^{*}\right)=1 \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows from (A7).

## Appendix B: Berry Curvature

The Berry curvature for a given band $n$ is given in MSM (34):

$$
\Omega_{B, j}=i \epsilon_{j k l}\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{B1}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}^{\dagger}}{\partial q_{k}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}}{\partial q_{l}}\right]_{n n}
$$

Eq. (A7) implies that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{B2}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{T}^{\dagger}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
-i & i
\end{array}\right)
$$

Substituting this into (B1) we get

$$
\Omega_{B, j}=\epsilon_{j k l}\left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial q_{k}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1  \tag{B3}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}}{\partial q_{l}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right]_{n n}
$$

Using that the rows of $\mathcal{M}$ are $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$, we obtain the Berry curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{B, j}=-\epsilon_{j k l}\left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial q_{k}} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}^{*}}{\partial q_{l}}-\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial q_{k}} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}^{*}}{\partial q_{l}}\right) \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the right hand side of this equation is real [42].
The Berry curvature can be easily evaluated using eq (B4). We display some formulas for the transverse modes, where $\vec{u}=u_{\theta} \hat{\theta}+u_{\phi} \hat{\phi}, \vec{v}=v_{\theta} \hat{\theta}+v_{\phi} \hat{\phi}$, with $u_{\theta}, .$. $v_{\phi}$ depending only on $q, \theta$ but not $\phi$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vec{\Omega}_{B} \cdot \hat{q}=-\frac{2}{q^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\left(u_{\theta} v_{\phi}^{*}-u_{\phi} v_{\theta}^{*}\right)+\frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(u_{\theta} v_{\phi}^{*}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(u_{\phi} v_{\theta}^{*}\right)\right)\right]  \tag{B5}\\
\vec{\Omega}_{B} \cdot \hat{\theta}=-\frac{2 \cos \theta}{q \sin \theta} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left(u_{\theta} v_{\phi}^{*}-u_{\phi} v_{\theta}^{*}\right)\right] \tag{B6}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\Omega}_{B} \cdot \hat{\phi}=-\frac{2}{q} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial q} \frac{\partial v_{\theta}^{*}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial u_{\phi}}{\partial q} \frac{\partial v_{\phi}^{*}}{\partial \theta}-\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial v_{\theta}^{*}}{\partial q}+\frac{\partial u_{\phi}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial v_{\phi}^{*}}{\partial q}\right] \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In eq (B5] we have dropped the subscripts $q$ of $\theta_{q}$ to simplify the notation.
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