

EXISTENCE OF A CYLINDER FOLIATED BY PERIODIC ORBITS IN THE GENERALIZED CHAZY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

JAUME LLIBRE¹, DOUGLAS D. NOVAES², AND CLAUDIA VALLS³

¹ *Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain*

² *Departamento de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica (IMECC), Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda, 651, Cidade Universitária Zeferino Vaz, 13083-859, Campinas, SP, Brazil*

³ *Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais 1049-001, Lisboa, Portugal*

ABSTRACT. The generalized Chazy differential equation corresponds to the following two-parameter family of differential equations

$$\ddot{x} + |x|^q \dot{x} + \frac{k|x|^q}{x} \dot{x}^2 = 0,$$

which has its regularity varying with q , a positive integer. Indeed, for $q = 1$ it is discontinuous on the straight line $x = 0$, whereas for q a positive even integer it is polynomial, and for $q > 1$ a positive odd integer it is continuous but not differentiable on the straight line $x = 0$. In 1999, the existence of periodic solutions in the generalized Chazy differential equation was numerically observed for $q = 2$ and $k = 3$. In this paper, we prove analytically the existence of such periodic solutions. Our strategy allows to establish sufficient conditions ensuring that the generalized Chazy differential equation, for $k = q + 1$ and any positive integer q , has actually an invariant topological cylinder foliated by periodic solutions in the (x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) -space. In order to set forth the bases of our approach, we start by considering $q = 1, 2, 3$, which are representatives of the different classes of regularity. For an arbitrary positive integer q , an algorithm is provided for checking the sufficient conditions for the existence of such an invariant cylinder, which we conjecture that always exists. The algorithm was successfully applied up to $q = 100$.

In 1999, Géronimi et al [5] introduced a two-parameter family of third order differential equations called generalized Chazy differential equation. An interesting feature of the generalized Chazy differential equation is that its regularity changes with a positive integer parameter q . Indeed, for $q = 1$ the generalized Chazy differential equation is discontinuous at $x = 0$, whereas for q a positive even integer it is polynomial, and for $q > 1$ a positive odd integer it is continuous but not differentiable at $x = 0$. They performed numerical computations and, under some constraints,

E-mail address: jllibre@mat.uab.cat, ddnovaes@unicamp.br, cvalls@math.tecnico.ulisboa.pt.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34C23, 34C25, 34C45, 34A36.

Key words and phrases. Generalized Chazy differential equation, discontinuous differential system, continuous differential system, analytic differential system, periodic orbit.

they observed (only numerically) the existence of periodic solutions. Usually, to prove analytically the existence of periodic solutions in a given differential equation is not an easy problem. The difficulty increases significantly when the dimension or the order is greater than two. In this paper, we develop a strategy to detect analytically the existence of periodic solutions in the generalized Chazy differential equation. Our strategy allows to establish sufficient conditions ensuring that the generalized Chazy differential equation, for any positive integer q , has actually an invariant topological cylinder foliated by periodic solutions in the (x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) -space. First, we will focus our analysis in the cases $q = 1, 2, 3$ (representatives of the above different classes of regularity), for which we will prove the existence of such an invariant cylinder. These initial cases will set forth the bases for an algorithmical approach when q is an arbitrary given positive integer, which will be successfully applied up to $q = 100$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In 1997, Feix et al. [3] introduced the following general third order ordinary differential equation

$$(1) \quad \ddot{x} + x^{3q+1}f(a, b) = 0, \quad \text{where } a = \frac{\dot{x}}{x^{q+1}} \text{ and } b = \frac{\ddot{x}}{x^{2q+1}},$$

which are invariant under time translation and rescaling symmetries. By taking $f(a, b) = ka^2 + b$, the differential equation (1) becomes

$$(2) \quad \ddot{x} + x^q\ddot{x} + kx^{q-1}\dot{x}^2 = 0,$$

which, for $q = 1$ and $k = -3/2$, corresponds to the well known Chazy differential equation, introduced by Chazy [1] in 1911.

Numerical computations on the actual Chazy differential equation did not detect any periodic solution, while periodic solutions were numerically observed for a large number of initial conditions in the differential equation (2) for $q = 2$ and $k = 3$. Those numerical observations were reported in 1999 by Géronimi et al. [5], which led them to introduce the so-called *generalized Chazy differential equation*

$$(3) \quad \ddot{x} + |x|^q\ddot{x} + \frac{k|x|^q}{x}\dot{x}^2 = 0.$$

Usually, to prove analytically the existence of periodic solutions in a given differential equation is not an easy problem. The difficult of the problem increases significantly when the dimension or the order is greater than two. The objective of this paper is double, first to provide an analytic proof of the existence of the periodic solutions detected only numerically in [5] in the generalized Chazy differential equation, and second to provide sufficient conditions ensuring that the generalized Chazy differential equation has, actually, an invariant cylinder foliated by periodic solutions in the (x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) -space.

The generalized Chazy differential equation can be written as the following first order differential system in \mathbb{R}^3

$$(4) \quad X : \begin{cases} \dot{x} = y, \\ \dot{y} = z, \\ \dot{z} = -|x|^q z + \frac{k|x|^q}{x} y^2. \end{cases}$$

When $k = q + 1$ system (4) has the first integral

$$(5) \quad H(x, y, z) = xz - \frac{y^2}{2} + |x|^q xy.$$

Notice that the regularity of the generalized Chazy differential system (4) changes with q . Indeed, for $q = 1$ the generalized Chazy differential system (4) is discontinuous on the straight line $x = 0$, whereas for q a positive even integer it is polynomial, and for $q > 1$ an odd positive integer it is continuous but not differentiable on the straight line $x = 0$.

1.1. Main results. In this paper, we will propose an algorithmical approach to detect analytically the existence of an invariant cylinder foliated by periodic orbits for any given positive integer q . But first, in order to set forth the bases of our approach, we shall focus our analysis in the cases $q = 1, 2, 3$, which are representatives of the above classes of regularity.

Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *For $q = 1, 2, 3$ and $k = q + 1$, the generalized Chazy differential system (4) has an invariant cylinder foliated by periodic orbits.*

Theorem 1 is proven in Section 3. Its proof is divided into three subsections, which cover the cases $q = 1$ (discontinuous), $q = 2$ (polynomial), and $q = 3$ (continuous), respectively. The proof follows by showing the existence of a fixed point of a suitable Poincaré return map in each negative energy level of the first integral H . Due to the nonlinear nature of the generalized Chazy differential system (4), it is not possible to integrate the flow for obtaining an explicit expression of the Poincaré return map, thus tools from the qualitative theory must be employed.

In what follows, we provide the idea of the proof. First, by applying a rescaling in the variables and in the time, we reduce the analysis to the energy level $H = -1$, which is a topological cylinder. Second, by taking advantage of a symmetry of the system in this level, we show that a Poincaré return map is well defined, for which we can ensure the existence of a fixed point via the construction of a convenient trapping region. This implies the existence of a periodic orbit in each negative energy level, which varies smoothly with the energy, producing then a topological cylinder foliated by periodic orbits.

The above strategy, developed for proving the existence of invariant cylinders foliated by periodic orbits for $q = 1, 2, 3$ can be extended for other positive integer values of q . Nevertheless, we have to make the following consideration upon this strategy. At some point in the proof of Theorem 1, it will be necessary to estimate the number of roots of some polynomials on specific intervals. While for $q = 1, 2, 3$, it can be done with a Sturm procedure (see, for instance, [6, Theorem 5.6.2]), for an undetermined q the Sturm procedure does not work well. Alternatively, in what follows, our second main result provides sufficient conditions ensuring the existence of an invariant cylinder foliated by periodic orbits of the generalized Chazy differential system (4) when q is an arbitrary given positive integer.

Theorem 2. *Let q be a positive integer and consider the following polynomials on the variable u :*

$$\begin{aligned}
P_0(u) &= 8q^2 - 4q^2(1+4q)u + 8q^3u^2 - 16(1+q)^2u^{2(q-1)} + 8(1+q)(3+2q)(1+4q)u^{2q-1} \\
&\quad - (1+2q)(9+2q(7+4q)^2)u^{2q} + 8q(1+4q)(4+q(5+2q))u^{2q+1} \\
&\quad - 4q^2(7+4q(2+q))u^{2(q+1)} + 8(1+q)(1+4q)u^{4q+1} - 16q(1+q)u^{2(1+2q)}, \\
P^+(u) &= 2^{\frac{5+4q}{2(1+q)}}q(1+q)^{\frac{1}{1+q}}(2+q) - 2^{\frac{1}{1+q}}(1+q)^{\frac{2}{1+q}}(3+2q)^2u - 8\sqrt{2}qu^q \\
(6) \quad &\quad + 2^{\frac{4+3q}{2(1+q)}}(1+q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}(9+2q)u^{1+q} - 2(9+10q)u^{1+2q} \\
&\quad + 2^{\frac{5+4q}{2(1+q)}}q(1+q)^{\frac{1}{1+q}}u^{2(1+q)} - 4\sqrt{2}qu^{2+3q}, \\
P^-(u) &= 2^{\frac{5+4q}{2(1+q)}}q(1+q)^{\frac{1}{1+q}}(2+q) - 2^{\frac{1}{1+q}}(1+q)^{\frac{2}{1+q}}(3+2q)^2u - 8(-1)^q\sqrt{2}qu^q \\
&\quad + (-1)^q2^{\frac{4+3q}{2(1+q)}}(1+q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}(9+2q)u^{1+q} - 2(9+10q)u^{1+2q} \\
&\quad + 2^{\frac{5+4q}{2(1+q)}}q(1+q)^{\frac{1}{1+q}}u^{2(1+q)} - 4(-1)^q\sqrt{2}qu^{2+3q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that the following conditions hold:

- C1.** *the polynomial P_0 does not vanish on $I_0 := (2, (1+4q)/(2q))$;*
- C2.** *the polynomial P^+ has at most one root (counting its multiplicity) in $I^+ := (0, u_{i,q}^D)$;*
- C3.** *the polynomial P^- has at most one root (counting its multiplicity) in $I^- := (-2, 0)$.*

Then, for $k = q + 1$, the generalized Chazy differential system (4) has an invariant cylinder foliated by periodic orbits.

Theorem 2 is proved in Section 4. Notice that it allows the development of an algorithmical approach based on the Sturm procedure to detect analytically the existence of an invariant cylinder foliated by periodic orbits of the generalized Chazy differential system (4) when q is an arbitrary given positive integer. A Mathematica algorithm is provided as the supplementary material. We have ran the algorithm for $q = 1, \dots, 100$, which provided the following result:

Corollary 1. *For $k = q + 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq 100$, the generalized Chazy differential system (4) has an invariant cylinder foliated by periodic orbits.*

The obtained results lead us to make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. *For $k = q + 1$ and q a positive integer, the generalized Chazy differential system (4) has an invariant cylinder foliated by periodic orbits.*

Of course, the proof of Conjecture 1 relies only on checking whether conditions **C1**, **C2**, and **C3** of Theorem 2 hold for any positive integer q .

1.2. Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we provide the common bases for the proofs of our main results (Theorems 1 and 2), by reducing the problem to study the transition maps of planar vector fields. In Section 3, we present the proof of Theorem 1. Some details of this proof, concerning the Sturm procedure, will be provided in the Appendix. In Section 4, we present the proof of Theorem 2. A Mathematica algorithm, based on a Sturm procedure, for checking the conditions of Theorem 2 is provided as supplementary material.

2. REDUCED PROBLEM

Consider the hyperbola $\tilde{\Sigma} = \{(u, v) : uv + 1 = 0\}$ and its positive and negative branches

$$\tilde{\Sigma}^+ = \{(u, v) : uv + 1 = 0, u > 0\} \text{ and } \tilde{\Sigma}^- = \{(u, v) : uv + 1 = 0, u < 0\},$$

respectively. In this section, we shall see that the problem of showing the existence of an invariant cylinder foliated by periodic orbits of the 3D Chazy differential system (4) is equivalent to show the existence of a point p in $\tilde{\Sigma}^+$ whose flow through a planar differential system (associated to the restriction of (4) to the energy level $H = -1$) intersects $\tilde{\Sigma}^-$ at $-p$.

Consider the first integral (5). By solving $H(x, y, z) = -\omega^2$, with $\omega > 0$, in the variable y , we obtain a family of invariant surfaces $S_{\omega, q} := S_{\omega, q}^- \cup S_{\omega, q}^+$, where

$$S_{\omega, q}^\pm = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y = f_{\omega, q}^\pm(x, z) \text{ and } xz + \omega^2 \geq 0\},$$

with

$$f_{\omega, q}^\pm(x, z) = x|x|^q \pm \sqrt{x^{2(1+q)} + 2xz + 2\omega^2}.$$

Notice that, for each ω , $S_{\omega, q}^-$ and $S_{\omega, q}^+$ intersect the plane $y = 0$ on the hyperbola

$$\Sigma_\omega = \{(x, y, z) : xz + \omega^2 = 0\}$$

and, therefore, $S_{\omega, q}$ is a topological cylinder. The basis of our approach consists in proving that the invariant surface $S_{\omega, q}$ contains a periodic orbit $\gamma_{\omega, q}$ for each ω .

In order to do that, we will define a Poincaré return map on the branches of the hyperbola Σ_ω^\pm , namely,

$$\Sigma_\omega^- = \{(x, y, z) : xz + \omega^2 = 0, x < 0\}, \quad \Sigma_\omega^+ = \{(x, y, z) : xz + \omega^2 = 0, x > 0\}.$$

Denote by $X_{\omega, q}^-$ and $X_{\omega, q}^+$ the reduced systems of (4) on $S_{\omega, q}^-$ and $S_{\omega, q}^+$, respectively, which are given by

$$X_{\omega, q}^\pm : \begin{cases} \dot{x} = x|x|^q \pm \sqrt{x^{2(1+q)} + 2xz + 2\omega^2}, \\ \dot{z} = \frac{|x|^q}{x}(-xz - (q+1)\left(x|x|^q \pm \sqrt{x^{2(1+q)} + 2xz + 2\omega^2}\right)^2), \end{cases}$$

for $xz + \omega^2 \geq 0$. Let $\phi_{\omega, q}^\pm(t, \cdot)$ denote the flow of the vector field $X_{\omega, q}^\pm$.

In order to conclude the existence of a periodic orbit contained in $S_{\omega, q}$, it is sufficient to show the existence of a point $p_{0, q} \in \Sigma_{\omega, q}^-$ and $t_{0, q}, t_{1, q} > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad p_{1, q} := \phi_{\omega, q}^-(t_{0, q}, p_{0, q}) \in \Sigma_\omega^+ \text{ and } \phi_{\omega, q}^+(t_{1, q}, p_{1, q}) = p_{0, q}.$$

In this case the periodic orbit is given by $\gamma_{\omega, q} = \gamma_{\omega, q}^+ \cup \gamma_{\omega, q}^-$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\omega, q}^- &= \{(x, y, z) : (x, z) = \phi_{\omega, q}^-(t, p_{0, q}), y = f_{\omega, q}^-(\phi_{\omega, q}^-(t, p_{0, q})), \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq t_{0, q}\} \text{ and} \\ \gamma_{\omega, q}^+ &= \{(x, y, z) : (x, z) = \phi_{\omega, q}^+(t, p_{1, q}), y = f_{\omega, q}^+(\phi_{\omega, q}^+(t, p_{1, q})), \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq t_{1, q}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to simplify the reduced systems $X_{\omega, q}^\pm$, we apply the following change of variables and time-rescaling

$$(x, z) = (\omega^{\frac{1}{1+q}} u, \omega^{\frac{1+2q}{1+q}} v) \text{ and } t = \omega^{-\frac{q}{1+q}} \tau.$$

Thus, the vector fields $X_{\omega,q}^{\pm}$ become

$$(8) \quad \tilde{X}_q^{\pm} : \begin{cases} u' = u|u|^q \pm \sqrt{u^{2(1+q)} + 2uv + 2}, \\ v' = \frac{|u|^q}{u} \left(-uv - (q+1) \left(u|u|^q \pm \sqrt{u^{2(1+q)} + 2uv + 2} \right)^2 \right), \end{cases}$$

for $uv + 1 \geq 0$, and the curves Σ_{ω}^+ and Σ_{ω}^- become $\tilde{\Sigma}^+$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}^-$, respectively.

Notice that, if $\phi_q^{\pm}(t, \cdot)$ denote the flow of the vector fields \tilde{X}_q^{\pm} , then

$$\phi_{q,\omega}^{\pm}(t, p) = \Omega \phi_q^{\pm}(\omega^{\frac{q}{1+q}} t, \Omega^{-1} p) \text{ where } \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{\frac{1}{1+q}} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{\frac{1+2q}{1+q}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The existence of a periodic orbit will follow by showing the existence of a point $p_q^* = (u_q^*, -1/u_q^*) \in \tilde{\Sigma}^+$ and a time $t_q^* > 0$ such that

$$(9) \quad \phi_q^-(t_q^*, p_q^*) = -p_q^* = (-u_q^*, 1/u_q^*) \in \tilde{\Sigma}^-.$$

Indeed the vector fields \tilde{X}_q^+ and \tilde{X}_q^- satisfy $\tilde{X}_q^+(u, v) = -\tilde{X}_q^-(-u, -v)$. This means that $\phi_q^+(t, p) = -\phi_q^-(t, -p)$ and, therefore, $\phi_q^+(t_q^*, -p_q^*) = -\phi_q^-(t_q^*, p_q^*) = p_q^*$. Hence, relationship (7) will hold by taking

$$p_{0,q} = \Omega p_q^* \text{ and } t_{0,q} = t_{1,q} = \omega^{\frac{1+q}{q}} t_q^*.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1, which is divided into three subsections, which cover the cases: $q = 1$ (discontinuous), in Subsection 3.1; $q = 2$ (polynomial), in Subsection 3.2, and $q = 3$ (continuous), in Subsection 3.3. Some details concerning the Sturm procedure (see, for instance, [6, Theorem 5.6.2]) will be provided in the Appendix.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1 for the discontinuous case $q = 1$. In this case, it follows from (8) that

$$\tilde{X}_1^{\pm} = \begin{cases} \tilde{X}_{1,L}^{\pm} & \text{if } u < 0, \\ \tilde{X}_{1,R}^{\pm} & \text{if } u > 0, \end{cases}$$

for $uv + 1 \geq 0$, where

$$\tilde{X}_{1,L}^{\pm} : \begin{cases} u' = -u^2 \pm \sqrt{u^4 + 2uv + 2}, \\ v' = uv + 2 \left(u|u| \pm \sqrt{u^4 + 2uv + 2} \right)^2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{X}_{1,R}^{\pm} : \begin{cases} u' = u^2 | \pm \sqrt{u^4 + 2uv + 2}, \\ v' = -uv - 2 \left(u|u| \pm \sqrt{u^4 + 2uv + 2} \right)^2. \end{cases}$$

See Figure 1 for an idea of the phase space of the vector fields \tilde{X}_1^- (in the left) and \tilde{X}_1^+ (in the right). Here, the Filippov's convention [4] is assumed for the trajectories of \tilde{X}_1^{\pm} .




FIGURE 1. Phase space of the vector fields (left) \tilde{X}_1^- and (right) \tilde{X}_1^+ defined for $uv \geq -1$. On the dashed line both vector fields (left) \tilde{X}_1^- and (right) \tilde{X}_1^+ are discontinuous.

Consider the sections Σ_D^1 and Σ_I^1 on the hyperbola $uv + 1 = 0$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_D^1 &= \{(u, v) : v = -1/u : u_{i,1}^D \leq u \leq u_{f,1}^D\} \quad \text{and} \\ \Sigma_I^1 &= \{(u, v) : v = -1/u : u_{i,1}^I \leq u \leq u_{f,1}^I\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u_{i,1}^D = 1/2^{3/4}, \quad u_{f,1}^D = 2, \quad u_{i,1}^I = -\frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2^{3/4}} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{f,1}^I = -1/2^{3/4}.$$

We are going to show that the flow of \tilde{X}_1^- induces a map

$$(10) \quad P_1 : \Sigma_D^1 \rightarrow \Sigma_I^1.$$

To do that, we will construct a compact connected trapping region K^1 such that $\Sigma_D^1 \cup \Sigma_I^1 \subset \partial K^1$ and \tilde{X}_1^- points inward everywhere on ∂K^1 except at Σ_I^1 (see Figure 2). Since \tilde{X}_1^- does not have singularities in K^1 and both vector fields $\tilde{X}_{1,L}^-$ and $\tilde{X}_{1,R}^-$ are transversal on $u = 0$ and point to the left, applying the Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem (see, for instance, [2, Theorem 1.25]) first in the region $K^1 \cap \{u \geq 0\}$ and then in the region $K^1 \cap \{u \leq 0\}$, we conclude that:

(C1) for each point $p \in \Sigma_D^1$, there exists $t(p) > 0$ such that $P_1(p) := \phi_1^-(t(p), p) \in \Sigma_I^1$.

Let K^1 (see Figure 2) be the compact region delimited by

$$\partial K^1 = \Sigma_D^1 \cup \Sigma_U^1 \cup R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \{(u, 0), 0 < u \leq 5/2\}, \\ R_2 &= \{(u, v) : v = 2u - 5/2 : u_{f,1}^D \leq u \leq 5/2\}, \\ R_3 &= \{(u, v) : v = 2\sqrt{2}|u| - 2^{7/4} : 0 \leq u \leq u_{i,1}^D\}, \\ R_4 &= \{(u, v) : v = 2\sqrt{2}|u| - 2^{7/4} : u_{i,1}^I \leq u < 0\}, \\ R_5 &= \{(u, v) : v = 2\sqrt{2}|u| : u_{f,1}^I \leq u \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 2. Phase space of the vector field \tilde{X}_1^- defined for $uv \geq -1$ and the trapping region K^1 .

In what follows, we are going to analyze the behavior of the vector field \tilde{X}_1^- on each component of the boundary of K^1 .

Behavior of \tilde{X}_1^- on Σ_D^1 and Σ_I^1 . The hyperbola $g(u, v) = uv + 1 = 0$ on Σ_D^1 satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_1^-(u, v) \rangle|_{(u,v) \in \Sigma_D^1} = u,$$

which does not vanish on Σ_D^1 . Note that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_1^- points inwards K^1 on Σ_D^1 , because for instance $\tilde{X}_1^-(1, -1) = (0, 1)$.

The curve $g(u, v) = uv + 1 = 0$ on Σ_I^1 satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_1^-(u, v) \rangle|_{(u,v) \in \Sigma_I^1} = u(1 + 8u^4),$$

which does not vanish on Σ_I^1 . Note that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_1^- points outwards K^1 on Σ_I^1 , because for instance $\tilde{X}_1^-(-1, 1) = (-2, 7)$.

Behavior of \tilde{X}_1^- on R_1 . The curve $g(u, v) = v = 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_1^-(u, v) \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = -4(1 + u^4 - u^2\sqrt{2 + u^4}).$$

We will show that this derivative does not vanish for $u > 0$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that $1 + u^4 - u^2\sqrt{2 + u^4} = 0$. Then,

$$\sqrt{2 + u^4} = \frac{1 + u^4}{u^2} \Leftrightarrow 2 + u^4 = \left(\frac{1 + u^4}{u^2}\right)^2 = \frac{1 + u^8 + 2u^4}{u^4} \Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{u^4} = 0,$$

which is not possible. This shows that the flow along R_1 points always inwards K^1 , because for instance $\tilde{X}_1^-(1, 0) = (1 + \sqrt{3}, -4(2 + \sqrt{3}))$.

Behavior of \tilde{X}_1^- on R_2 . The curve $g(u, v) = v - u + 5/2 = 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_1^-(u, v) \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = -4 + \frac{25}{2}u - 6u^2 - 4u^4 + (4u^2 + 1)\sqrt{2 - 5u + 2u^2 + u^4}.$$

We will show that this derivative does not vanish on R_2 that is, for $u_{f,1}^D \leq u \leq 5/2$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that it is zero at some u . Then, proceeding analogously to R_1 to get rid of the square root, we obtain

$$\left(-4 + \frac{25}{2}u - 6u^2 - 4u^4\right)^2 = (1 + 4u^2)^2(2 - 5u + 2u^2 + u^4),$$

or equivalently

$$p_0(u) := -14 + 95u - \frac{745}{4}u^2 + 110u^3 - 19u^4 + 20u^5 - 8u^6 = 0.$$

Using the Sturm procedure we conclude that the polynomial $p_0(u)$ does not vanish on $u_{f,1}^D < u < 5/2$ which is a contradiction (see Appendix). Hence, the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_1^- points inwards K^1 on R_2 .

Behavior of \tilde{X}_1^- on R_3 and R_4 . On the curve $g(u, v) = v - 2\sqrt{2}|u| - 2^{7/4} = 0$ we have: for $u > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_1^-(u, v) \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} &= p_1(u) := -4 + 10 \cdot 2^{3/4}u - 12\sqrt{2}u^2 - 4u^4 \\ &\quad + (4u^2 + 2\sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 - 4 \cdot 2^{3/4}u + 4\sqrt{2}u^2 + u^4}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $u < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_1^-(u, v) \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} &= p_2(u) := 4 - 10 \cdot 2^{3/4}u - 12\sqrt{2}u^2 + 4u^4 \\ &\quad + (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 - 4 \cdot 2^{3/4}u + 4\sqrt{2}u^2 + u^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Of course on $u = 0$ the above derivative is zero but since it is a quadratic tangency it is enough to show that $p_1(u)$ does not vanish on $0 < u \leq u_{i,1}^D$ and that the $p_2(u)$ does not vanish on $u_{i,1}^I \leq u < 0$.

We proceed by contradiction. Assume first that $p_1(u)$ has a zero. Then, proceeding as in R_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_1(u) = 0$ implies

$$p_3(u) = 12 \cdot 2^{3/4} - 58\sqrt{2}u + 88 \cdot 2^{1/4}u^2 - 38u^3 + 4 \cdot 2^{3/4}u^4 - 4\sqrt{2}u^5 = 0.$$

Using the Sturm procedure we have that the polynomial $p_3(u)$ has a unique simple real zero on $u > 0$ (see Appendix). Moreover, we have that

$$p_1(0) = 0, \quad p_1'(0) = 6 \cdot 2^{3/4}, \quad p_1(u_{i,1}^D) = 1.$$

These computations show that if $p_1(u)$ has a zero in $(0, u_{i,1}^D)$ then it has another (or a multiple) zero on that interval. This would produce another zero of $p_3(u)$ in $(0, \infty)$, which is a contradiction. Hence the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_1^- points inwards K^1 on R_3 .

On the other hand assume that $p_2(u)$ has a zero. Then, proceeding as in R_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_2(u) = 0$ implies

$$p_4(u) = 12 \cdot 2^{3/4} - 42\sqrt{2}u - 88 \cdot 2^{1/4}u^2 - 38u^3 + 4 \cdot 2^{3/4}u^4 + 4\sqrt{2}u^5 = 0.$$

Using the Sturm procedure we conclude that the polynomial $p_4(u)$ has a unique simple real zero on $u < 0$ (see Appendix). Moreover, we have that

$$p_2(0) = 0, \quad p_2'(0) = -6 \cdot 2^{3/4}, \quad p_2(u_{i,1}^I) = 38.5802\dots$$

These computations show that if $p_2(u)$ has a zero in $(u_{i,1}^I, 0)$, then either it is multiple or $p_2(u)$ has at least two negative zeros in contradiction with uniqueness of negative zeros provided by Sturm procedure. Hence the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_1^- points inwards K^1 on R_4 .

Behavior of \tilde{X}_1^- on R_5 . Note that on the curve $g(u, v) = v - 2\sqrt{2}|u| = 0$ we have

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_1^-(u, v) \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = p_5(u) := 4 - 12\sqrt{2}u^2 + 4u^4 + (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 - 4\sqrt{2}u^2 + u^4}.$$

Of course on $u = 0$ the above derivative is zero but since it is a quadratic tangency it is sufficient to show that this derivative does not vanish on $u_{f,1}^I < u < 0$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that it is zero. Then, proceeding as in the curve R_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_5(u) = 0$ implies

$$\frac{2u^2(4\sqrt{2} - 19u^2 + 2\sqrt{2}u^4)}{(\sqrt{2} - 2u^2)^2} = 0.$$

Note that the denominator does not vanish on $u_{f,1}^I < u < 0$, because the unique negative real solution of $\sqrt{2} - 2u^2 = 0$, which is $-1/2^{1/4}$, is outside the mentioned interval. On the other hand the unique two negative real solutions of the numerator are

$$u_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{19\sqrt{2} - 3\sqrt{66}}{2} \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad u_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{19\sqrt{2} + 3\sqrt{66}}{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

We observe that u_1 belongs to the interval $u_{f,1}^I < u < 0$ while u_2 does not. However, u_1 is not a solution of $p_5(u) = 0$ because

$$p_5(u_1) = -\frac{3}{8} \left(-78 + 14\sqrt{33} + 3\sqrt{22(19 - 3\sqrt{33})} - 5\sqrt{6(19 - 3\sqrt{33})} \right) \neq 0.$$

This contradiction shows that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_1^- points inwards K^1 on R_5 .

Existence of p_1^* . Consider the map $h_1: \Sigma_D^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h_1(p) = \pi(P_1(p) + p)$, where $\pi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection onto the first coordinate (see (C1)). Note that by the continuous dependence of the flow of \tilde{X}_1^- with respect to the initial conditions the map h_1 is continuous. Moreover, the image of the point $(u_{f,1}^D, -1/u_{f,1}^D)$ by P_1 (which is the point w_4 in Figure 3) is inside Σ_I^1 , and its symmetric is below its image because $u_{f,1}^D + u_{i,1}^I > 0$. Hence $h_1(u_{f,1}^D, -1/u_{f,1}^D) > 0$. On the other hand, the image of the point $(u_{i,1}^D, -1/u_{i,1}^D)$ by P_1 (which is the point w_3 in Figure 3) is inside Σ_I^1 , and its symmetric is above its image because $u_{i,1}^D + u_{f,1}^I < 0$. Therefore $h_1(u_{i,1}^D, -1/u_{i,1}^D) < 0$. Thus, by continuity, there exists $p_1^* \in \Sigma_D^1$ such that $h_1(p_1^*) = 0$, i.e, $P_1(p_1^*) = -p_1^*$ and so $\phi_1^-(t_1^*, p_1^*) = -p_1^*$ as we wanted to prove.




FIGURE 3. Idea of the obtention of the fixed point: $w_1 = P(u_{i,1}^D)$ and $w_2 = P(u_{f,1}^D)$.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1 for the polynomial case $q = 2$.** In this case, it follows from (8) that

$$\tilde{X}_2^\pm : \begin{cases} u' = u^3 \pm \sqrt{u^6 + 2uv + 2}, \\ v' = u \left(-uv - 3 \left(u^3 \pm \sqrt{u^6 + 2uv + 2} \right)^2 \right), \end{cases}$$

for $uv + 1 \geq 0$. See Figure 4 for the phase space of the vector field \tilde{X}_2^- (in the left) and \tilde{X}_2^+ (in the right).




FIGURE 4. Phase space of the vector fields (left) \tilde{X}_2^- and (right) \tilde{X}_2^+ defined for $uv \geq -1$.

Consider the arcs Σ_D^2 and Σ_I^2 on the hyperbola $uv + 1 = 0$, given by

$$\Sigma_I^2 = \{(u, v) : v = -1/u : u_{i,2}^I \leq u \leq u_{f,2}^I\},$$

where

$$u_{i,2}^D = 2^{-1/6}3^{-1/3}, \quad u_{f,2}^D = 2, \quad u_{i,2}^I = -2^{5/6}/3^{1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{f,2}^I = -2^{1/6}/3^{1/3}.$$

As for the discontinuous case $q = 1$ we are going to show that in this case the flow of \tilde{X}_2^- induces a map

$$(11) \quad P_2 : \Sigma_D^2 \rightarrow \Sigma_I^2,$$

by constructing a trapping region K^2 such that $\Sigma_D^2 \cup \Sigma_I^2 \subset \partial K^2$ and \tilde{X}_2^- will point inwards K^2 everywhere on ∂K^2 except at Σ_I^2 , see Figure 5. Since \tilde{X}_2^- does not have singularities in K^2 , from the Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem (see, for instance, [2, Theorem 1.25]), we conclude that:

(C2) for each point $p \in \Sigma_D^2$, there is $t(p) > 0$ such that $P_2(p) := \phi_2^-(t(p), p) \in \Sigma_I^2$.

Let K^2 be the compact region delimited by

$$\partial K^2 = \Sigma_D^2 \cup \Sigma_U^2 \cup S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{(u, 0), 0 < u \leq 9/4\}, \\ S_2 &= \{(u, v) : v = 2u - 9/2 : u_{f,2}^D \leq u \leq 9/4\}, \\ S_3 &= \{(u, v) : v = 3u^2/\sqrt{2} - 3^{4/3}/2^{5/6} : 0 \leq u \leq u_{i,2}^D\}, \\ S_4 &= \{(u, v) : v = 3u^2/\sqrt{2} - 3^{4/3}/2^{5/6} : u_{i,2}^I \leq u < 0\}, \\ S_5 &= \{(u, v) : v = 3u^2/\sqrt{2} : u_{f,2}^I \leq u \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 5. Phase space of the vector field \tilde{X}_2^- defined for $uv \geq -1$ and the trapping region K^2 .

In what follows, we are going to analyze the behavior of the vector field \tilde{X}_2^- on each component of the boundary of K^2 .

Behavior of \tilde{X}_2^- on Σ_D^2 and Σ_I^2 . The hyperbola $g(u, v) = uv + 1 = 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_2^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = u^2,$$

which does not vanish on $\Sigma_D^2 \cup \Sigma_I^2$. Note that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_2^- points inwards K^2 on $\Sigma_D^2 \cup \Sigma_I^2$, because, for instance, $\tilde{X}_2^-(1, -1) = (0, 1)$ and $\tilde{X}_2^-(-1, 1) = (-2, 11)$.

Behavior of \tilde{X}_2^- on S_1 . The curve $g(u, v) = v = 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_2^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = 6u(1 + u^6 - u^3\sqrt{2 + u^6}).$$

We will show that this derivative does not vanish on $u > 0$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that $6u(1 + u^6 - u^3\sqrt{2 + u^6}) = 0$. Then, since $u > 0$ we have $1 + u^6 - u^3\sqrt{2 + u^6} = 0$, and so

$$\sqrt{2 + u^6} = \frac{1 + u^6}{u^3} \Leftrightarrow 2 + u^6 = \left(\frac{1 + u^6}{u^3}\right)^2 = \frac{1 + u^{12} + 2u^6}{u^6} \Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{u^6} = 0,$$

which is not possible. This shows that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_2^- points inwards K^2 on S_1 , because for instance $\tilde{X}_2^-(1, 0) = (-1 - \sqrt{2}, -3(1 + \sqrt{3}))$.

Behavior of \tilde{X}_2^- on S_2 . The curve $g(u, v) = v - 2u + 9/2 = 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_2^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = -6u + \frac{63}{2}u^2 - 16u^3 - 6u^7 + (2 + 6u^4)\sqrt{u^6 + (u - 2)(4u - 1)}.$$

We will show that this derivative does not vanish on S_2 , i.e, for $u_{f,2}^D \leq u \leq 9/4$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that it is zero at some u . Then, proceeding as in S_1 to get rid of the square root, we obtain

$$(6u - \frac{63}{2}u^2 + 16u^3 + 6u^7)^2 = (2 + 6u^4)^2(u^6 + (-2 + u)(-1 + 4u)),$$

and so $u^2 p_6(u) = 0$, where

$$p_6(u) := 32 - 144u - 80u^2 + 1512u^3 - 4545u^4 + 3168u^5 - 624u^6 + 216u^9 - 96u^{10}.$$

Using the Sturm procedure we obtain that the polynomial $p_6(u)$ does not vanish on $u_{f,2}^D < u < 9/4$ which is a contradiction (see Appendix). Hence, the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_2^- points inwards K^2 on S_2 .

Behavior of \tilde{X}_2^- on S_3 and S_4 . Note that on $g(u, v) = v - 3u^2/\sqrt{2} - 3^{4/3}/2^{5/6} = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_2^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} &= -u \left(6 - \frac{21 \cdot 3^{1/3}u}{2^{5/6}} + \frac{27u^3}{\sqrt{2}} + 6u^6 + (3\sqrt{2} + 6u^3) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sqrt{2 - 3 \cdot 2^{1/6}3^{1/3}u + 3\sqrt{2}u^3 + u^6} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Of course on $u = 0$ the above derivative is zero but since it is a quadratic tangency it is enough to show that this derivative does not vanish neither on $0 < u \leq u_{i,2}^D$, nor on $u_{i,2}^I \leq u < 0$. We proceed

by contradiction. Assume that

$$p_7(u) := 6 - \frac{21 \cdot 3^{1/3}u}{2^{5/6}} + \frac{27u^3}{\sqrt{2}} + 6u^6 + (3\sqrt{2} + 6u^3)\sqrt{2 - 3 \cdot 2^{1/6}3^{1/3}u + 3\sqrt{2}u^3 + u^6}$$

has a zero. Then, proceeding as in S_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_7(u) = 0$ implies

$$p_8(u) := 32 \cdot 2^{1/6}3^{1/3} - 49 \cdot 2^{1/3}3^{2/3}u - 16\sqrt{2}u^2 + 78 \cdot 2^{2/3}3^{1/3}u^3 - 58u^5 + 8 \cdot 2^{1/6}3^{1/3}u^6 - 8\sqrt{2}u^8 = 0.$$

Using the Sturm procedure we show that the polynomial $p_8(u)$ has a unique simple real zero on $u > 0$, and a unique simple real zero on $u < 0$ (see Appendix). Moreover we have that

$$p_7(0) = 0, p_7'(0) = 0, p_7''(0) = 12 \cdot 2^{1/6}3^{1/3}, p_7(u_{i,2}^D) = 0.617715, p_7(u_{i,2}^I) = 31.7094.$$

These computations show that if $p_7(u)$ has a zero in $(0, u_{i,2}^D)$, then it has another (or a multiple) zero on that interval. This would produce another zero of $p_8(u)$ in $(0, \infty)$, which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if $p_7(u)$ has a zero in $(u_{i,2}^I, 0)$ then it has another (or a multiple) zero on that interval and this would produce another zero of $p_8(u)$ in $(-\infty, 0)$, which is again a contradiction. In short we have proved that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_2^- points inwards K^2 on S_3 and S_4 .

Behavior of \tilde{X}_2^- on S_5 . The curve $g(u, v) = v - 3u^2/\sqrt{2} = 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_2^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = p_9(u) := -\frac{3}{2}u \left(4 + 9\sqrt{2}u^3 + 4u^6 + (2\sqrt{2} + 4u^3)\sqrt{2 + 3\sqrt{2}u^3 + u^6} \right).$$

Of course on $u = 0$ the above derivative is zero but since it is a quadratic tangency it is sufficient to show that this derivative does not vanish on $u_{f,2}^I < u < 0$. Proceeding as in S_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_9 = 0$ implies

$$\sqrt{2 + 3\sqrt{2}u^3 + u^6} = \frac{4 + 9\sqrt{2}u^3 + 4u^6}{2\sqrt{2} + 4u^3} \Rightarrow 2 + 3\sqrt{2}u^3 + u^6 = \left(\frac{4 + 9\sqrt{2}u^3 + 4u^6}{2\sqrt{2} + 4u^3} \right)^2,$$

and so

$$-\frac{u^3(8\sqrt{2} + 29u^3 + 4\sqrt{2}u^6)}{2(\sqrt{2} + 2u^3)^2} = 0.$$

Note that the denominator does not vanish on $u_{f,2}^I < u < 0$, because the unique negative real solution of $\sqrt{2} + 2u^3 = 0$, which is $-1/2^{1/6}$ is outside the mentioned interval. On the other hand the unique two real solutions of the numerator are

$$u_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{29\sqrt{2} - 3\sqrt{130}}{2} \right)^{1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad u_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{29\sqrt{2} + 3\sqrt{130}}{2} \right)^{1/3}.$$

We observe that u_1 belongs to the interval $u_{f,2}^I < u < 0$ while u_2 does not. However, u_1 is not a solution of $p_9(u) = 0$ because

$$p_9(u_1) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{29\sqrt{2} - 3\sqrt{130}}{2} \right)^{1/3} \neq 0.$$

This contradiction shows that the flow \tilde{X}_2^- point inwards K^2 on S_5 .

Existence of p_2^* . Consider the map $h_2: \Sigma_D^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h_2(p) = \pi(P_2(p) + p)$, where $\pi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection onto the first coordinate (see (C2)). Note that by the continuous dependence of the flow of \tilde{X}_2^- with respect to the initial conditions the map h_2 is continuous. Moreover, the image of the point $(u_{f,2}^D, -1/u_{f,2}^D)$ by P_2 (which is the point w_4 in Figure 6) is inside Σ_I^2 , and its symmetric is below its image because $u_{f,2}^D + u_{i,2}^I > 0$. Hence $h_2(u_{f,2}^D, -1/u_{f,2}^D) > 0$. On the other hand, the image of the point $(u_{i,2}^D, -1/u_{i,2}^D)$ by P_2 (which is the point w_3 in Figure 6) is inside Σ_I^2 , and its symmetric is above its image because $u_{i,2}^D + u_{f,2}^I < 0$. Therefore $h_2(u_{i,2}^D, -1/u_{i,2}^D) < 0$. Thus, by continuity, there exists $p_2^* \in \Sigma_D^2$ such that $h_2(p_2^*) = 0$, i.e, $P_2(p_2^*) = -p_2^*$ and so $\phi_2^-(t_2^*, p_2^*) = -p_2^*$ as we wanted to prove.




FIGURE 6. Idea of the obtention of the fixed point: $w_3 = P_2(u_{i,2}^D)$ and $w_4 = P_2(u_{f,2}^D)$.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1 for the continuous case $q = 3$. In this case, it follows from (8) that

$$\tilde{X}_2^\pm : \begin{cases} u' = u|u|^3 \pm \sqrt{u^8 + 2uv + 2}, \\ v' = \frac{|u|^3}{u} \left(-uv - 4 \left(u|u|^3 \pm \sqrt{u^8 + 2uv + 2} \right)^2 \right). \end{cases}$$

for $uv + 1 \geq 0$. See Figure 7 for the phase spaces of the vector field \tilde{X}_3^- (in the left) and \tilde{X}_3^+ (in the right), defined for $uv \leq -1$.




FIGURE 7. Phase space of the vector fields (left) \tilde{X}_3^- and (right) \tilde{X}_3^+ defined for $uv \geq -1$. On the dashed line both vector fields (left) \tilde{X}_3^- and (right) \tilde{X}_3^+ are discontinuous.

Consider the sections Σ_D^3 and Σ_I^3 on the hyperbola $uv + 1 = 0$, given by

$$\Sigma_D^3 = \{(u, v) : v = -1/u : u_{i,3}^D \leq u \leq u_{f,3}^D\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Sigma_I^3 = \{(u, v) : v = -1/u : u_{i,3}^I \leq u \leq u_{f,3}^I\},$$

where

$$u_{i,3}^D = \frac{1}{2^{5/8}}, \quad u_{f,3}^D = 2, \quad u_{i,3}^I = \frac{N_{i,3}^I}{D_{i,3}^I} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{f,3}^I = -\frac{3^{1/4}}{2^{5/8}}$$

being

$$D_{i,3}^I = 2^{13/8}(1 + \sqrt{2})^{1/3} \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2} - (1 + \sqrt{2})^{1/3}},$$

$$N_{i,3}^I = -2 - \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}(1 + \sqrt{2})^{1/3} - \left(-6 - 4\sqrt{2} - 2(1 + \sqrt{2})^{2/3} + 4(1 + \sqrt{2})^{4/3} \right. \\ \left. + 4(2 + \sqrt{2}) \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2} - (1 + \sqrt{2})^{1/3}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

As for the discontinuous case $q = 1$ we are going to show that in this case the flow of \tilde{X}_3^- induces a map

$$(12) \quad P_3 : \Sigma_D^2 \rightarrow \Sigma_I^3,$$

by constructing a trapping region K^3 such that $\Sigma_D^3 \cup \Sigma_I^3 \subset \partial K^3$ and \tilde{X}_3^- will point inwards K^3 everywhere on ∂K^3 except at Σ_I^3 , see Figure 8. Since \tilde{X}_3^- does not have singularities in K^3 , from the Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem (see, for instance, [2, Theorem 1.25]),

(C3) for each point $p \in \Sigma_D^3$, there is $t(p) > 0$ such that $P_3(p) := \phi_3^-(t(p), p) \in \Sigma_I^3$.

Let K^3 be the compact region delimited by

$$\partial K^3 = \Sigma_D^3 \cup \Sigma_U^3 \cup T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \{(u, 0), 0 < u \leq 13/6\}, \\ T_2 &= \{(u, v) : v = 3u - 13/2 : u_{f,3}^D \leq u \leq 13/6\}, \\ T_3 &= \{(u, v) : v = 4\sqrt{2}|u|^3/3 - 2^{21/8}/3 : 0 \leq u \leq u_{i,3}^D\}, \\ T_4 &= \{(u, v) : v = 4\sqrt{2}|u|^3/3 - 2^{21/8}/3 : u_{i,3}^I \leq u \leq 0\}, \\ T_5 &= \{(u, v) : v = 4\sqrt{2}|u|^3/3 : u_{f,3}^I \leq u \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 8. Phase space of the vector field \tilde{X}_3^- defined for $uv \geq -1$ and the trapping region K^3 .

In what follows, we are going to analyze the behavior of the vector field \tilde{X}_3^- on each component of the boundary of K^3 .

Behavior of \tilde{X}_3^- on Σ_D^3 and Σ_I^3 . The hyperbola $g(u, v) = uv + 1 = 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_3^- \rangle|_{(u,v) \in \Sigma_D^3} = u^3,$$

which does not vanish on Σ_D^3 . Note that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_3^- points inwards K^3 on Σ_D^3 , because for instance $\tilde{X}_3^-(1, -1) = (0, 1)$.

The curve $g(u, v) = uv + 1 = 0$ on Σ_I^3 satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_3^- \rangle|_{(u,v) \in \Sigma_I^3} = u^3(1 + 16u^8),$$

which does not vanish on Σ_I^3 . Note that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_3^- points outwards K^3 on Σ_I^3 , because for instance $\tilde{X}_3^-(-1, 1) = (-2, 15)$.

Behavior of \tilde{X}_3^- on T_1 . The curve $g(u, v) = v = 0$ on $u > 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_3^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = -8u^2((1 + u^8) - u^4\sqrt{2 + u^8}).$$

We will show that this derivative does not vanish on $u > 0$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that $6u(1 + u^6 - u^3\sqrt{2 + u^6}) = 0$. Then, since $u > 0$ we have $(1 + u^8) - u^4\sqrt{2 + u^8} = 0$, and so

$$\sqrt{2 + u^8} = \frac{1 + u^8}{u^4} \Leftrightarrow 2 + u^8 = \left(\frac{1 + u^8}{u^4}\right)^2 = \frac{1 + u^{16} + 2u^8}{u^8} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1 + 4u^8 + 2u^{16}}{u^8} = 0,$$

which is not possible. This shows that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_3^- points inwards K^3 on T_1 , because for instance $\tilde{X}_2^-(1, 0) = (1 - \sqrt{3}, -16 + 8\sqrt{3})$.

Behavior of \tilde{X}_3^- on T_2 . The curve $g(u, v) = v - 3u + 13/6 = 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_3^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = -8u^2 + \frac{117}{2}u^3 - 30u^4 - 8u^{10} + (8u^6 + 3)\sqrt{2 + u(-13 + 6u + u^7)}.$$

We will show that this derivative does not vanish on T_2 , i.e. for $u_{f,3}^D \leq u \leq 13/6$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that it is zero at some u . Then, proceeding as in T_1 to get rid of the square root, we obtain

$$p_{10}(u) := 72 - 468u + 216u^2 - 256u^4 + 3744u^5 - 15225u^6 + 11544u^7 - 2412u^8 + 416u^{13} - 192u^{14}.$$

Using the Sturm procedure we prove that the polynomial $p_{10}(u)$ does not vanish on $u_{f,3}^D < u < 13/6$ which is a contradiction (see Appendix). Hence, the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_3^- points inwards K^3 on T_2 .

Behavior of \tilde{X}_3^- on T_3 and T_4 . On the curve $g(u, v) = v - 4\sqrt{2}|u|^3/3 - 2^{21/8}/3 = 0$ for $u > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_3^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} &= p_{11}(u) := -u^2 \left(8 - 3 \cdot 2^{21/8}u + 16\sqrt{2}u^4 + 8u^8 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4(\sqrt{2} + 2u^4) \sqrt{2 - \frac{2^{29/8}}{3}u + \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3}u^4 + u^8} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and for $u < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_3^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} &= p_{12}(u) := u^2 \left(8 - 3 \cdot 2^{21/8}u - 16\sqrt{2}u^4 + 8u^8 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4(\sqrt{2} - 2u^4) \sqrt{2 - \frac{2^{29/8}}{3}u - \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3}u^4 + u^8} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Of course on $u = 0$ the above derivative is zero but since it is a quadratic tangency it is enough to show that $p_{11}(u)$ does not vanish on $0 < u \leq u_{i,3}^D$, and that the $p_{12}(u)$ does not vanish on $u_{i,3}^I \leq u < 0$.

We proceed by contradiction. Assume first that $p_{11}(u)$ has a zero. Then, proceeding as in R_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_{11}(u) = 0$ implies

$$p_{13}(u) = 20 \cdot 2^{5/8} - 54 \cdot 2^{1/4}u - 8\sqrt{2}u^3 + 80 \cdot 2^{1/8}u^4 - 26u^7 + 4 \cdot 2^{5/8}u^8 - 4\sqrt{2}u^{11} = 0.$$

Using the Sturm procedure we obtain that the polynomial $p_{13}(u)$ has a unique simple real zero on $u > 0$ (see Appendix). Moreover, we have that

$$p_{11}(0) = 0, \quad p'_{11}(0) = 0, \quad p''_{11}(0) = 0, \quad p'''_{11}(0) = 40 \cdot 2^{5/8}, \quad p_1(u_{i,3}^D) = 0.420448\dots$$

These computations show that if $p_{11}(u)$ has a zero in $(0, u_{i,3}^D)$, then it has another (or a multiple) zero on that interval. This would produce another zero of $p_{13}(u)$ in $(0, \infty)$, which is a contradiction. Hence the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_3^- points inwards K^3 on T_3 .

On the other hand assume that $p_{12}(u)$ has a zero. Then, proceeding as in T_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_{12}(u) = 0$ implies

$$p_{14}(u) = 20 \cdot 2^{5/8} - 54 \cdot 2^{1/4}u + 8\sqrt{2}u^3 - 80 \cdot 2^{1/8}u^4 - 26u^7 + 4 \cdot 2^{5/8}u^8 + 4\sqrt{2}u^{11} = 0.$$

Using the Sturm procedure we have that the polynomial $p_{14}(u)$ has a unique simple real zero on $u < 0$ (see Appendix). Moreover, we have that

$$p_{12}(0) = 0, \quad p'_{12}(0) = 0, \quad p''_{12}(0) = 0, \quad p'''_{12}(0) = -40 \cdot 2^{5/8}, \quad p_2(u_{i,3}^I) = 40.3062\dots$$

These computations show that if $p_{12}(u)$ has a zero in $(u_{i,3}^I, 0)$, then either it is multiple or $p_{12}(u)$ has at least two negative zeros in contradiction with uniqueness of negative zeros provided by Sturm procedure. So the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_3^- points inwards K^3 on T_4 .

Behavior of \tilde{X}_3^- on T_5 . On the curve $g(u, v) = v - 4\sqrt{2}|u|^3/3 = 0$ we have

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_3^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = 4u^2 \left(2 \left(u^8 - 2\sqrt{2}u^4 + 1 \right) + \left(2u^4 - \sqrt{2} \right) \sqrt{u^8 - \frac{8\sqrt{2}u^4}{3} + 2} \right).$$

Of course on $u = 0$ the above derivative is zero but since it is a quadratic tangency it is to show that this derivative does not vanish on $u_{f,3}^I < u < 0$. Proceeding as in T_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_{15}(u) := 6 - 12\sqrt{2}u^4 + 6u^8(\sqrt{6} - 2\sqrt{3}u^4)\sqrt{6 - 8\sqrt{2}u^4 + 3u^8} = 0$ implies

$$\frac{2u^4(4\sqrt{2} - 13u^4 + 2\sqrt{2}u^8)}{3(\sqrt{2} - 2u^4)^2} = 0.$$

Note that the denominator does not vanish on $u_{f,3}^I < u < 0$, because the unique negative real solution of $\sqrt{2} - 2u^4 = 0$, which is $-1/2^{1/8}$ is outside the mentioned interval. On the other hand the unique two real solutions of the numerator are

$$u_1 = -\frac{1}{2^{3/4}}(13\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{210})^{1/4} \quad \text{and} \quad u_2 = -\frac{1}{2^{3/4}}(13\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{210})^{1/4}.$$

We observe that u_1 belongs to the interval $u_{f,3}^I < u < 0$ while u_2 does not. However, u_1 is not a solution of $p_{15}(u) = 0$ because

$$p_{15}(u_1) = -\frac{1}{2^{3/4}}(77\sqrt{5} - 37\sqrt{21}) \neq 0.$$

This contradiction shows that the flow \tilde{X}_3^- point inwards K^3 on T_5 .

Existence of p_3^* . Consider the map $h_3: \Sigma_D^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h_3(p) = \pi(P_3(p) + p)$, where $\pi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection onto the first coordinate (see (C3)). Note that by the continuous dependence of the flow of \tilde{X}_3^- with respect to the initial conditions the map h_3 is continuous. Moreover, the image of the point $(u_{f,3}^D, -1/u_{f,3}^D)$ by P_3 (which is the point w_6 in Figure 9) is inside Σ_I^3 , and its symmetric is below its image because $u_{f,3}^D + u_{i,3}^I > 0$. Hence $h_3(u_{f,3}^D, -1/u_{f,3}^D) > 0$. On the other hand, the image

of the point $(u_{i,3}^D, -1/u_{i,3}^D)$ by P_3 (which is the point w_5 in Figure 9) is inside Σ_I^3 , and its symmetric is above its image because $u_{i,3}^D + u_{f,3}^I < 0$. Therefore $h_2(u_{i,3}^D, -1/u_{i,3}^D) < 0$. Thus, by continuity, there exists $p_3^* \in \Sigma_D^2$ such that $h_3(p_3^*) = 0$, i.e., $P_3(p_3^*) = -p_3^*$ and so $\phi_3^-(t_3^*, p_3^*) = -p_3^*$ as we wanted to prove.




FIGURE 9. Idea of the obtention of the fixed point: $w_5 = P_3(u_{i,3}^D)$ and $w_6 = P_3(u_{f,3}^D)$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2. This proof will follow from propositions 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Consider the sections Σ_D^q and Σ_I^q on the hyperbola $uv + 1 = 0$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_D^q &= \{(u, v) : v = -1/u : u_{i,q}^D \leq u \leq u_{f,q}^D\} \quad \text{and} \\ \Sigma_I^q &= \{(u, v) : v = -1/u : u_{i,q}^I \leq u \leq u_{f,q}^I\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u_{i,q}^D = 2^{-\frac{1}{2(1+q)}} (1+q)^{-\frac{1}{1+q}}, \quad u_{f,q}^D = 2, \quad u_{f,q}^I = -\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}(1+q)}{q}\right)^{-1/(1+q)},$$

and $u_{i,q}^I = -x_{i,q}^I$, being $x_{i,q}^I$ the unique positive real root of the polynomial

$$P(x) = -q - 2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}} (1+q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}} x + \sqrt{2}(1+q)x^{1+q}.$$

Indeed, in the next result we show that $P(x)$ has a unique positive real zero $x_{i,q}^I$ and that $x_{i,q}^I < 2$.

Lemma 1. *The polynomial $P(x)$ has a unique positive real zero $x_{i,q}^I$. In addition, $x_{i,q}^I < u_{f,q}^D = 2$.*

Proof. First, from Descartes rule of signs, $P(x)$ has at most one positive real zero. Let us see that it has exactly one positive real zero. Indeed, since

$$P'(x) = -2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}} (1+q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}} + \sqrt{2}(1+q)^2 x^q$$

and, for $x > 2$, $x^q > 2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}}$ and $(1+q)^2 > (1+q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}$, it follows that $P(x)$ is increasing for $x > 2$. Since $P(0) = -q < 0$, then $P(x)$ has exactly one positive real zero $x_{i,q}^I$.

Now, let us check that $x_{i,q}^I < 2$ by showing that $P(2) > 0$. Note that

$$P(2) = -q + 2^{\frac{3+2q}{2}}(1+q) - 2^{\frac{3+2q}{2(1+q)}}(1+q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}.$$

First, for $q = 1$, $P(2) = -1 - 82^{1/6} + 8\sqrt{2} > 0$. Now, for $q \geq 2$, one can see that $P(2) > 0$ if, and only if,

$$(13) \quad \left(2^q - \frac{q}{2^{\frac{3}{2}}(q+1)}\right)^{q+1} > 2^{-q/2}(q+1).$$

We claim

$$2^q - \frac{q}{2^{\frac{3}{2}}(q+1)} > 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{q+1} > 2^{-q/2}(q+1).$$

The relation in (13) will then follow from the claim.

In order to get the claim, we compute the derivative in the variable q as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dq} \left(2^q - \frac{q}{2^{\frac{3}{2}}(q+1)} - 2\right) = \frac{q}{32(1+q)^2} - \frac{1}{32(1+q)} + 2^q \log 2 > \frac{q}{32(1+q)^2} > 0$$

for any $q \geq 2$. Since, for $q = 2$, we get

$$2^2 - \frac{2}{2^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot 3} - 2 = 1.97 > 0,$$

the first assertion in the claim follows. For the second one, taking again derivatives in q , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dq} (2^{q+1} - 2^{-q/2}(q+1)) = 2^{1+q} \log 2 - 2^{-\frac{2+q}{2}}(2 - (1+q) \log 2).$$

Note that, for $q > 1$, we have $2 - (1+q) \log 2 < \log 2$ and, since $2^{-\frac{2+q}{2}} < 2^{1+q}$, it follows that the derivative above is positive for $q > 1$. Finally, since for $q = 1$ we get $2^2 - 2^{1/2} > 0$, then the second assertion of the claim follows. Hence, the proof of the lemma is concluded. \square

From here, by following the strategy developed for the cases $q = 1, 2, 3$, the idea is to show that the flow of \tilde{X}_q^- induces a transition map

$$(14) \quad P_q : \Sigma_D^q \rightarrow \Sigma_I^q.$$

As before, this will be done by constructing a region K^q such that $\Sigma_D^q \cup \Sigma_I^q \subset \partial K^q$ and \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q everywhere on ∂K^q except at Σ_I^q (see Figure 10). Since \tilde{X}_q^- does not have singularities in K^q , from the Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem (see, for instance, [2, Theorem 1.25]), we conclude that for each point $p \in \Sigma_D^q$, there is $t(p) > 0$ such that $P_q(p) := \phi_q^-(t(p), p) \in \Sigma_I^q$.

By imitating the construction of the compact regions in cases $q = 1, 2, 3$, we consider K^q the compact region (see Figure 10) delimited by

$$\partial K^q = \Sigma_D^q \cup \Sigma_I^q \cup U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_5,$$

where

$$U_1 = \{(u, 0), 0 < u \leq (1 + 4q)/(2q)\},$$

$$U_2 = \{(u, v) : v = qu - (1 + 4q)/2 : u_{f,q}^D < u \leq (1 + 4q)/(2q)\},$$

$$U_3 = \{(u, v) : v = (1 + q)\sqrt{2}|u|^q/q - 2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}}(1 + q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}/q : 0 \leq u < u_{i,q}^D\},$$

$$U_4 = \{(u, v) : v = (1 + q)\sqrt{2}|u|^q/q - 2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}}(1 + q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}/q : u_{i,q}^I < u \leq 0\},$$

$$U_5 = \{(u, v) : v = (1 + q)\sqrt{2}|u|^q/q : u_{f,q}^I \leq u \leq 0\}.$$




FIGURE 10. Phase space of the vector field \tilde{X}_q^- defined for $uv \geq -1$ and the region K^q for $q = 7$.

In what follows, we are going to analyze the behavior of the vector field \tilde{X}_q^- on each component of the boundary of K^q . Summarizing, in Proposition 1, we will prove analytically that, for every positive integer q , the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on Σ_D^q , U_1 , and U_5 , and outwards K^q on Σ_I^q ; for the remaining curves, U_2 , U_3 , and U_4 , propositions 2, 3, and 4 will establish, respectively, that, for every positive integer q , \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on them provided that the conditions **C1**, **C2**, and **C3** of Theorem 2 hold.

Proposition 1. *The flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on Σ_D^q , U_1 , and U_5 , and outwards K^q on Σ_I^q .*

Proof. We first prove the proposition on Σ_D^q and Σ_I^q . Note that the hyperbola $g(u, v) = uv + 1 = 0$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_q^- \rangle|_{(u,v) \in \Sigma_D^q} = u^q,$$

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_q^- \rangle|_{(u,v) \in \Sigma_I^q} = (-1)^{q+1}(1 + 4(1 + q)u^{2(1+q)})u^q,$$

which does not vanish on Σ_D^q and Σ_I^q , respectively. Since, for instance, $\tilde{X}_q^-(1, -1) = (0, 1)$ and $\tilde{X}_q^-(-1, 1) = (-2, 3 + 4q)$, we conclude that the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on Σ_D^q and Σ_I^q .

Now, consider the curve U_1 described by $g(u, v) = v = 0, u > 0$. Note that

$$\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_q^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} = -2(1+q)u^{q-1}(1+u^{2(1+q)} - u^{1+q}\sqrt{2+u^{2(1+q)}}).$$

We will show that this derivative does not vanish on $u > 0$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that $1+u^{2(1+q)} - u^{1+q}\sqrt{2+u^{2(1+q)}} = 0$. Then,

$$\sqrt{2+u^{2(1+q)}} = \frac{1+u^{2(1+q)}}{u^{1+q}} \Leftrightarrow 2+u^{2(1+q)} = \left(\frac{1+u^{2(1+q)}}{u^{1+q}}\right)^2 \Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{u^{2(1+q)}} = 0,$$

which is not possible. Thus, the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on U_1 .

Finally, consider the curve U_5 described by $g(u, v) = v - (1+q)\sqrt{2}|u|^q/q = 0$ for $u_{f,q}^I \leq u \leq 0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_q^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{q}(1+q)(-1)^{q+1}u^{q-1} \left(\sqrt{2}q + 3(-1)^q(1+q)u^{1+q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{2}qu^{2(1+q)} - \sqrt{q}(1+\sqrt{2}(-1)^qu^{1+q})\sqrt{2q+2(-1)^q\sqrt{2}(1+q)u^{1+q}+qu^{2(1+q)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Of course on $u = 0$ the above derivative is zero but, since it is a quadratic tangency, it is enough to show that this derivative does not vanish on $u_{f,q}^I < u < 0$. Proceeding as in U_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that

$$p_0(u) := q(-1)^{q+1} \frac{\langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_q^- \rangle|_{g(u,v)=0}}{\sqrt{2}(q+1)u^{q-1}} = 0$$

implies

$$\frac{2u^{q+1}(-4(-1)^q\sqrt{2}q - (9+10q)u^{1+q} + 2(-1)^{1+q}\sqrt{2}qu^{2(1+q)})}{2q^2(1+\sqrt{2}(-1)^qu^{1+q})^2} = 0.$$

Note that the denominator does not vanish on $u_{f,3}^I < u < 0$, because the unique negative real solution of $1+\sqrt{2}(-1)^qu^{q+1} = 0$, namely $u = -2^{-1/(2(1+q))}$, is outside the mentioned interval. On the other hand, the unique two real solutions of the numerator are

$$u_{\pm} = \left(\frac{18+20q \pm 6\sqrt{9+20q+4q^2}}{8\sqrt{2}q} \right)^{1/(1+q)}.$$

We observe that u_- belongs to the interval $u_{f,q}^I < u < 0$ while u_+ does not. However, u_- is not a solution of $p_0(u) = 0$ because

$$p_0(u_-) = -32q(1+2q) \left(1 + 648q + 288q^2 + 32q^3 + (27+6q)\sqrt{2(1+2q)} \right) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, $p_0(u)$ does not vanish for $u_{f,q}^I < u < 0$, which implies that the flow \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on U_5 . It concludes the proof of this proposition. \square

Proposition 2. *The vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on U_2 provided that the polynomial P_0 , defined in (6), does not vanish on $I_0 = (u_{f,q}^D, (1+4q)/(2q))$.*

Proof. Consider U_2 described by $g(u, v) := v - qu + (1 + 4q)/2 = 0$, for $u \in I_0$. Denote $p_0(u) = \langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_q^- \rangle|_{g(u, v)=0}$, $u \in I_0$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(u) &= (q + 2(1 + q)u^{2q})\sqrt{2 - u - 4qu + 2qu^2 + u^{2(1+q)}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}u^{q-1}(4(1 + q) - (3 + 2q)(1 + 4q)u + 4q(2 + q)u^2 + 4(1 + q)u^{2(1+q)}). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $p_0(u)$ does not vanish for $u \in I_0$. Indeed, if $p_0(u^*) = 0$ at some $u^* \in I_0$, then, by proceeding as in U_1 to get rid of the square root, we obtain that $P_0(u^*) = 0$, which contradicts the hypothesis. Hence, the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on U_2 . \square

Proposition 3. *The vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on U_3 provided that the polynomial P^+ , defined in (6), has at most one root (counting its multiplicity) in $I^+ = (0, u_{i,q}^D)$.*

Proof. Consider U_3 described by $g(u, v) = v - (1 + q)\sqrt{2}|u|^q/q - 2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}}(1 + q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}/q = 0$ for $0 \leq u \leq u_{i,q}^D$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(u) &:= \langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_q^- \rangle|_{g(u, v)=0} \\ &= \frac{1 + q}{q}u^{q-1} \left(\sqrt{q}(\sqrt{2} + 2u^{1+q})\sqrt{R} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2q + 2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}}(1 + q)^{\frac{1}{1+q}}(3 + 2q)u - 3\sqrt{2}(1 + q)u^{1+q} - 2qu^{2(1+q)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $R = 2q - 2^{\frac{3+2q}{2(1+q)}}(1 + q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}u + 2\sqrt{2}(1 + q)u^{1+q} + qu^{2(1+q)}$.

Of course on $u = 0$ the above derivative is zero but since it is a quadratic tangency it is enough to show that $p_1(u)$ does not vanish on $0 < u \leq u_{i,q}^D$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that $p_1(u)$ has a zero. Then, proceeding as in R_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_1(u) = 0$ implies $P^+(u) = 0$. Notice that

$$p_1(0) = p_1'(0) = \dots = p_1^{(q-1)}(0) = 0, \quad p_1^{(q)}(0) = \frac{2 + q}{q}2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}}(1 + q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}} > 0,$$

and $p_1(u_{i,q}^D) = (u_{i,q}^D)^{q-1} > 0$. This implies that, if $p_1(u)$ has a zero in $(0, u_{i,q}^D)$, then it has another (or a multiple) zero on that interval. This would produce two zeros, counting their multiplicity, of $P^+(u)$ in $(0, u_{i,q}^D)$, which contradicts the hypothesis.

Hence the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on U_3 . \square

Proposition 4. *The vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on U_4 provided that the polynomial P^- , defined in (6), has at most one root (counting its multiplicity) in $I^- := (-2, 0)$*

Proof. Consider U_4 described by $g(u, v) = v - (1 + q)\sqrt{2}|u|^q/q - 2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}}(1 + q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}/q = 0$ for $u < 0$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(u) &:= \langle \nabla g(u, v), \tilde{X}_q^- \rangle |_{g(u,v)=0} \\ &= \frac{1+q}{q} u^{q-1} \left(\sqrt{q}((-1)^q \sqrt{2} + 2u^{1+q}) \sqrt{R} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2q(-1)^q + (-1)^q 2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}}(1+q)^{\frac{1}{1+q}}(3+2q)u - 3\sqrt{2}(1+q)u^{1+q} - 2(-1)^q q u^{2(1+q)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $R = 2q - 2^{\frac{3+2q}{2(1+q)}}(1+q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}}u + (-1)^q 2\sqrt{2}(1+q)u^{1+q} + qu^{2(1+q)}$.

Of course on $u = 0$ the above derivative is zero but since it is a quadratic tangency it is enough to show that $p_2(u)$ does not vanish on $u_{i,q}^I \leq u < 0$. Analogously to Proposition 3, we proceed by contradiction. Assume that $p_2(u)$ has a zero. Then, proceeding as in U_1 to get rid of the square root, we have that $p_2(u) = 0$ implies $P^-(u) = 0$. Notice that

$$p_2(0) = p_2'(0) = \dots = p_2^{(q-1)}(0) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad p_2^{(q)}(0) = -\frac{2+q}{q} 2^{\frac{1}{2(1+q)}}(1+q)^{\frac{2+q}{1+q}} < 0.$$

In addition, one can see that $p_2(-2) > 0$. This implies that if $p_2(u)$ has a zero in $[u_{i,q}^I, 0) \subset (-2, 0)$, then it has another (or a multiple) zero in the interval $(-2, 0)$. From here, we get a contradiction with the hypothesis.

Hence the flow of the vector field \tilde{X}_q^- points inwards K^q on U_4 . \square

Finally, consider the map $h_q: \Sigma_D^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h_q(p) = \pi(P_q(p) + p)$, where $\pi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection onto the first coordinate. Note that by the continuous dependence of the flow of \tilde{X}_q^- with respect to the initial conditions the map h_q is continuous. Moreover, the image of the point $(u_{f,q}^D, -1/u_{f,q}^D)$ by P_q is inside Σ_I^q , and its symmetric is below its image because $u_{f,q}^D + u_{i,q}^I > 0$. Hence $h_q(u_{f,q}^D, -1/u_{f,q}^D) > 0$. On the other hand, the image of the point $(u_{i,q}^D, -1/u_{i,q}^D)$ by P_q is inside Σ_I^q , and its symmetric is above its image because $u_{i,q}^D + u_{f,q}^I < 0$. Therefore $h_q(u_{i,q}^D, -1/u_{i,q}^D) < 0$. Thus, by continuity, there exists $p_q^* \in \Sigma_D^q$ such that $h_q(p_q^*) = 0$, i.e., $P_q(p_q^*) = -p_q^*$ and so $\phi_q^-(t_q^*, p_q^*) = -p_q^*$ as we wanted to prove (see Section 2).

APPENDIX: STURM PROCEDURE FOR $q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

Let $p(u)$ be a square-free polynomial of degree d , and consider the so-called *Sturm sequence* $q_i(u)$, for $i = 0, \dots, \ell$, given by: $q_0(u) = p(u)$, $q_1(u) = p'(u)$, and for $i = 2, \dots, \ell$, $-q_i(u)$ is the polynomial remainder of the division of q_{i-2} by q_{i-1} , where ℓ is the first index for which q_ℓ is constant. Sturm Theorem (see, for instance, [6, Theorem 5.6.2]) provides that the number of real roots of $p(x)$ in the half-open interval $(a, b]$ is $V(a) - V(b)$, where $V(x)$ is the number of sign variation of the sequence $q_0(x), q_1(x), \dots, q_\ell(x)$. Notice that when computing $V(x)$, x can take the value ∞ (resp. $-\infty$), in these cases $V(\infty)$ (resp. $V(-\infty)$) denotes the number of sign variation of the leading terms of the sequence $q_0(x), q_1(x), \dots, q_\ell(x)$ (resp. $q_0(-x), q_1(-x), \dots, q_\ell(-x)$).

4.1. Sturm Procedure for $q = 1$. First, note that the polynomial

$$p_0(u) = -14 + 95u - \frac{745}{4}u^2 + 110u^3 - 19u^4 + 20u^5 - 8u^6$$

and its derivative

$$p'_0(u) = -95 - \frac{745}{2}u + 330u^2 - 76u^3 + 100u^4 - 48u^5$$

have no common zeroes because its resultant with respect to u is $-1.52127.. \cdot 10^{18}$. In particular, $p(x)$ is a square-free polynomial and the Sturm procedure can be applied directly. By computing the Sturm sequence $q_i(u)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 6$, we see $V(2) = V(5/2) = 2$, which implies that $p_0(u)$ has no real zero in the interval $(2, 5/2)$.

Now, notice that the polynomial

$$p_3(u) = 12 \cdot 2^{3/4} - 58\sqrt{2}u + 88 \cdot 2^{1/4}u^2 - 38u^3 + 4 \cdot 2^{3/4}u^4 - 4\sqrt{2}u^5$$

has no common zeroes with its derivative because the resultant between $p_3(u)$ and $p'_3(u)$ with respect to the variable u is $-5637568724992\sqrt{2}$ which is not zero. In particular, $p_3(u)$ is a square-free polynomial and the Sturm procedure can be applied directly. By computing the Sturm sequence $q_i(u)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 5$, we see that $V(0) = 3$ and $V(\infty) = 2$. Therefore it follows from the Sturm process that $p_3(u)$ has a unique positive real zero.

Finally, the polynomial

$$p_4(u) = 12 \cdot 2^{3/4} - 42\sqrt{2}u - 88 \cdot 2^{1/4}u^2 - 38u^3 + 4 \cdot 2^{3/4}u^4 + 4\sqrt{2}u^5$$

has no common zeroes with its derivative because the resultant between $p_4(u)$ and $p'_4(u)$ with respect to the variable u is $-88414837800960\sqrt{2}$ which is not zero. In particular, $p_4(u)$ is a square-free polynomial and the Sturm procedure can be applied directly. By computing the Sturm sequence $q_i(u)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 5$, we see that $V(-\infty) = 4$ and $V(0) = 3$. Therefore, it follows from the Sturm process that $p_4(u)$ has a unique negative real zero.

4.2. Sturm Procedure for $q = 2$. First, note that the polynomial

$$p_6(u) = 32 - 144u - 80u^2 + 1512u^3 - 4545u^4 + 3168u^5 - 624u^6 + 216u^9 - 96u^{10}$$

has no common zeroes with its derivative because the resultant between $p_6(u)$ and $p'_6(u)$ with respect to u is $-4.41356.. \cdot 10^{57}$. In particular, $p_6(u)$ is a square-free polynomial and the Sturm procedure can be applied directly. By computing the Sturm sequence $q_i(u)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 10$, we see $V(2) = V(9/4) = 3$, which implies that $p_6(u)$ has no real zero in the interval $(2, 9/4)$.

Now, notice that the polynomial

$$p_8(u) = 32 \cdot 2^{1/6}3^{1/3} - 49 \cdot 2^{1/3}3^{2/3}u - 16\sqrt{2}u^2 + 78 \cdot 2^{2/3}3^{1/3}u^3 - 58u^5 + 8 \cdot 2^{1/6}3^{1/3}u^6 - 8\sqrt{2}u^8$$

has no common zeroes with its derivative because the resultant between $p_8(u)$ and $p'_8(u)$ with respect to the variable u is

$$9669300766922659513289932800 \cdot 2^{1/6}3^{1/3}$$

which is not zero. In particular, $p_8(u)$ is a square-free polynomial and the Sturm procedure can be applied directly. By computing the Sturm sequence $q_i(u)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 10$, we see that $V(-\infty) = 5$, $V(0) = 4$, and $V(\infty) = 3$. Therefore, it follows from the Sturm process that $p_8(u)$ has a unique positive real zero and a unique negative real zero.

4.3. **Sturm Procedure for $q = 3$.** First, note that the polynomial

$$p_{10}(u) := 72 - 468u + 216u^2 - 256u^4 + 3744u^5 - 15225u^6 + 11544u^7 - 2412u^8 + 416u^{13} - 192u^{14}.$$

has no common zeroes with its derivative because the resultant between $p_{10}(u)$ and $p'_{10}(u)$ with respect to u is $-2.74875.. \cdot 10^{97}$. In particular, $p_{10}(u)$ is a square-free polynomial and the Sturm procedure can be applied directly. By computing the Sturm sequence $q_i(u)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 10$, we see $V(2) = V(13/6) = 3$, which implies that $p_{10}(u)$ has no real zero in the interval $(2, 13/6)$.

Now, notice that the polynomial

$$p_{13}(u) = 20 \cdot 2^{5/8} - 54 \cdot 2^{1/4}u - 8\sqrt{2}u^3 + 80 \cdot 2^{1/8}u^4 - 26u^7 + 4 \cdot 2^{5/8}u^8 - 4\sqrt{2}u^{11}$$

has no common zeroes with its derivative because the resultant between $p_{13}(u)$ and $p'_{13}(u)$ with respect to the variable u is $-5.12026.. \cdot 10^{35}$ which is not zero. In particular, $p_{13}(u)$ is a square-free polynomial and the Sturm procedure can be applied directly. By computing the Sturm sequence $q_i(u)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 11$, we see that $V(0) = 5$ and $V(\infty) = 4$. Therefore it follows from the Sturm process that $p_{13}(u)$ has a unique positive real zero.

Finally, the polynomial

$$p_{14}(u) = 20 \cdot 2^{5/8} - 54 \cdot 2^{1/4}u + 8\sqrt{2}u^3 - 80 \cdot 2^{1/8}u^4 - 26u^7 + 4 \cdot 2^{5/8}u^8 + 4\sqrt{2}u^{11}$$

has no common zeroes with its derivative because the resultant between $p_{14}(u)$ and $p'_{14}(u)$ with respect to the variable u is $-2.29037.. \cdot 10^{37}$ which is not zero. In particular, $p_{14}(u)$ is a square-free polynomial and the Sturm procedure can be applied directly. By computing the Sturm sequence $q_i(u)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 11$, we see that $V(-\infty) = 7$ and $V(0) = 6$. Therefore, it follows from the Sturm process that $p_{14}(u)$ has a unique negative real zero.

5. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the supplementary material for a Mathematica algorithm (based on the Sturm procedure explained in the Appendix) that, for a given arbitrary positive integer q , checks whether conditions **C1**, **C2**, and **C3** of Theorem 2 hold or not by computing the number of roots of the polynomials P_0 , P^+ , and P^- in the intervals I_0 , I^+ , and I^- , respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J. Llibre is supported by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación grant PID2019-104658GB-I00, and the H2020 European Research Council grant MSCA-RISE-2017-777911. D.D. Novaes is partially supported by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) grants 2022/09633-5, 2021/10606-0, 2019/10269-3, and 2018/13481-0, and by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) grants 438975/2018-9 and 309110/2021-1. C. Valls is supported through CAMGSD, IST-ID, projects UIDB/04459/2020 and UIDP/04459/2020.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chazy. Sur les équations différentielles du troisième ordre et d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale a ses points critiques fixes. *Acta Math.*, 34(1):317–385, 1911.
- [2] F. Dumortier, J. Llibre, and J. C. Artés. *Qualitative theory of planar differential systems*. Universitext. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [3] M. R. Feix, C. Geronimi, L. Cairó, P. G. L. Leach, R. L. Lemmer, and S. Bouquet. On the singularity analysis of ordinary differential equations invariant under time translation and rescaling. *J. Phys. A*, 30(21):7437–7461, 1997.
- [4] A. F. Filippov. *Differential equations with discontinuous righthand sides*, volume 18 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1988. Translated from the Russian.
- [5] C. Geronimi, M. R. Feix, and P. G. L. Leach. Periodic solutions and associated limit cycle for the generalised Chazy equation. In *Dynamical systems, plasmas and gravitation (Orléans la Source, 1997)*, volume 518 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 327–335. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [6] J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch. *Introduction to numerical analysis*, volume 12 of *Texts in Applied Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2002. Translated from the German by R. Bartels, W. Gautschi and C. Witzgall.