

# Visualization of latent and depleted fingerprints on CDs and DVDs using columnar thin films

Muhammad Faryad<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Akhlesh Lakhtakia<sup>a</sup>,  
Ricardo A. Fiallo<sup>a</sup>, and Partha P. Banerjee<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>The Pennsylvania State University,  
Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics,  
University Park, PA 16802-6812, USA.

<sup>b</sup>Lahore University of Management Sciences,  
Department of Physics, Lahore 54792, Pakistan.

<sup>c</sup>University of Dayton, Department of Electro-Optics and Photonics,  
Dayton, OH 45469, USA.

\*muhammad.faryad@lums.edu.pk

July 4, 2023

## Abstract

CDs and DVDs are forensically important substrates for latent fingerprints. Upright columnar thin films (CTFs) grown conformally over these substrates were shown to significantly enhance the visual quality of fingerprints. Enhancement to maximum possible grade for visual quality occurred, even for the samples that were developed three days after the fingerprints were placed on them. The CTFs were deposited by directing a collimated vapor flux of an evaporant material towards the substrate placed at an angle and made to rotate rapidly.

## 1 Introduction

By the twenty-fifth birthday of compact discs (CDs) in 2007, more than 200 billion CDs and digital video discs (DVDs) had been sold in the world [1]. Even though the sales of CDs and DVDs have declined with the rise of streaming services since then [2], they continue to have a ubiquitous presence in homes and workplaces, which makes them forensically important substrates for latent fingerprints. Latent fingerprints are difficult to visualize with the naked eye. Several techniques have been devised over the last century to enhance latent fingerprints for visualization by fingerprint examiners. The most common fingerprint-development techniques include dusting with a powder [3], chemical

reactions with appropriate reagents [4], immersion in a small particle reagent (SPR) [5], vacuum metal deposition [6], and cyanoacrylate fuming [7]. Imaging with infrared [8] or X-rays [9] exploits a specific chemical species in the fingerprint residue.

Powder dusting, cyanoacrylate fuming, and the SPR technique have been used by Jasuja *et al* [10] to develop latent fingerprints on CDs. However, their focus was on preservation of electronic data after fingerprint development. If the goal is to preserve the fingerprint for a long time and not the electronic data on CDs, then the columnar-thin-film (CTF) technique appears desirable for visualization of latent fingerprints [11, 12]. In this technique, an upright CTF of an appropriate material is deposited on top of a rapidly rotating substrate with the fingerprint, this development process taking place in a vacuum chamber [13], the apparatus being the same as used for vacuum metal deposition [14].

CDs and DVDs are novel substrates for the CTF technique. This fingerprint-visualization technique has been tried with varying degrees of success on sheets of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene, Nylon 66 (which represents polyamides), and soft polymers used to make bags and tapes [15]. But CDs and DVDs are made of layers of clear polycarbonates, which have different chemical composition and physical characteristics.

Many types of CDs and DVDs have at least one internal interface which has spiral tracks of nanoscale bumps and is coated with a metallic thin film (to reflect a laser beam) [17]. These features endow the CD/DVD with the optical reflection characteristics of a metallic grating [16], which makes it difficult for a forensic examiner to clearly see fingerprints on the exterior surface. Writable and rewritable CDs and DVDs also contain a dye (usually, an azo dye, a cyanine dye, or phthalocyanine) which reflects light only in the blue-green portion of the visible spectrum [17], and this characteristic can also make it difficult to clearly see fingerprints. Parenthetically, CDs and DVDs are two different types of substrates because DVDs have denser arrays of smaller bumps.

Therefore, we set out to investigate the suitability of the CTF technique for the development of latent and depleted fingerprints on commonly used writable CDs and DVDs (CD-R, DVD-R) and DVD-ROMs, which are usually used for the distribution of movies and software. A latent fingerprint is left on a substrate, such as the exterior polycarbonate surface of a CD or DVD, after the finger has been rubbed on one's face and/or waiting long enough for the finger to become coated with sebum. A depleted fingerprint is defined to be the one that is left immediately right after the latent fingerprint, without waiting or rubbing the finger anywhere again. The fingerprint-acquisition method, sample aging, photography, grading scheme, CTF deposition, and imaging with a scanning electron microscope (SEM) are explained in Sec. 2. The results are presented and discussed in Sec. 3.

## 2 Materials and Methods

We used a singly writable CD (CD-R, Memorex<sup>®</sup>, 700 MB, 52X Multispeed), a singly writable DVD (DVD-R, Verbatim<sup>™</sup>, 4.7 GM, 16X Speed), and a read-only DVD (DVD-ROM of the movie FREE BIRDS, 2013) for all the results presented here. A set of 24 fingermarks was obtained from a specific finger of a single donor. Both CDs and DVDs were cut into smaller pieces using a shear machine and were cleaned with an alcohol wipe before acquiring the fingermarks. Every latent fingermark was acquired after the donor rubbed the chosen finger on their face and behind the ears for 10 s. A depleted fingermark was obtained right after the latent fingermark, without waiting or rubbing the finger anywhere. Both the latent and the depleted fingermarks were aged for either one day or three days in the typical office atmosphere (temperature  $\sim 21$  °C, relative humidity  $\sim 20$ -40%) before CTF deposition.

CTFs of either (i) chalcogenide glass of nominal composition  $\text{Ge}_{28}\text{Sb}_{12}\text{Se}_{60}$  or (ii) nickel were deposited in a vacuum chamber with a pressure of  $85 \pm 15$   $\mu\text{Torr}$ . Chalcogenide glass was ground to a fine powder and nickel was used in the form of a wire. The deposition parameters given in Table 1 were guided by previous research [19]. Either chalcogenide glass or nickel was resistively heated by passing an electric current through an appropriate boat containing that material and the collimated vapor flux thereby generated was directed towards the substrate that was tilted at an angle of 20 deg with respect to the arriving vapor flux. The substrate was rotated at a rate of 180 rpm around an axis passing through the center of the substrate holder, while the CTF deposition rate was maintained in a narrow range. The sample was left to cool in the chamber for about an hour after the deposition before venting the chamber.

The substrate tilt angle of 20 deg and the rotation rate of 180 rpm were chosen based on earlier optimization studies on 23 different forensically relevant substrates [15]. CTFs of several different thicknesses ranging from 50 nm to 1500 nm were deposited. The optimal value of the CTF thickness for visualization was found to be 1000 nm for chalcogenide glass and 100 nm for nickel.

Table 1: Materials, boats, and deposition parameters.

Evaporant Material	Boat	Current (A)	Rate (nm/s)	CTF Thickness (nm)
Chalcogenide glass	S22-.005W	65	0.5-1.5	1,000
Nickel	S4-.005W	75	0.05-0.1	100

Immediately before and immediately after CTF deposition, every sample was imaged by a Nikon D90 camera with a Nikon 105 mm lens. The mode dial was set to close-up pictures. Most of the pictures were taken with autofocus except when the sample was too shiny for the auto-focus mode to work. The sample was illuminated with a Sylvania 100 W incandescent bulb at an angle that gave the best possible image of the fingermark for each sample. The sample-to-camera distance was kept the same for all samples.

The photographs of latent and depleted fingerprints before CTF deposition invariably appear to be slightly out of focus due to the unavoidable diffraction of light from the interior metallic grating. In Sec. 3, we present the best images of fingerprints before CTF deposition for comparison with the post-deposition images, which were easy to obtain since the effect of grating diffraction was either (i) eliminated by reflection at the air/CTF interface for nickel CTFs or (ii) de-emphasized by reflection by the air/CTF and CTF/residue interfaces for chalcogenide-glass CTFs.

Every one of the 24 fingerprints was graded by one of us (M.F.) for visual quality before and after CTF deposition with a grading scheme used extensively by the UK Home Office [20]. According to this scheme, the fingerprint is given an integer grade from 0 to 4. A grade of 0 indicates no evidence of a fingerprint whereas a grade of 4 indicates complete ridge detail of the whole fingerprint. A grade of 1 indicates evidence of contact of the substrate with the finger but no visual evidence of the ridges. A grade of 2 indicates that ridges are visible in about a third of the fingerprint, whereas a grade of 3 indicates the ridge visibility for about two thirds of the fingerprint. Only a fingerprint with a grade of 3 or 4 can be used to reliably identify the person.

The cross-sectional images of the deposited CTFs were obtained with a field-emission SEM (Verios G4 UC, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Millersburg, PA). For this purpose, the sample was flash frozen using liquid nitrogen before being cut with a handheld shear cutter. The freezing process allows the samples to fracture while maintaining the integrity of the CTF structure. The sample was then mounted on a standard 90-deg stub with double-sided carbon tape in such a way that the cleaved edge would lie perpendicular to the lens for imaging. The samples were then sputter coated using an iridium target (Emitech K575X, Fedelco, Madrid, Spain) for 35 s at 40-mA current. Images were taken in immersion mode using a through-the-lens detector, with 3-kV voltage, 0.10-nA current/spot size, and 2.5-mm working distance, which allowed for high-magnification imaging of the CTFs.

### 3 Results and Discussion

Photographs of six samples aged for one day before CTF deposition and developed with a 1000-nm-thick CTF of chalcogenide glass are presented in Fig. 1. None of the six fingerprints received a quality grade exceeding 2 before CTF deposition. Furthermore, the depleted fingerprint on any specific substrate was of either the same or lower visual quality than the latent fingerprint on the same substrate, per expectation [18]. Thus, none of the six fingerprints could be used to reliably identify the person. However, all fingerprints had a quality grade of 4 after development by CTF deposition, this being excellent for the purpose of identification.

Figure 2 presents photographs of six fingerprints aged for one day before CTF deposition and developed with a 100-nm-thick CTF of nickel. Again, the depleted fingerprint on any specific substrate was of either the same or lower




Figure 1: Photographs of latent and depleted fingerprints on CD-Rs, DVD-Rs, and DVD-ROMs before and after the deposition of a 1000-nm thick CTF of chalcogenide glass. The fingerprints were aged for 24 h in the office environment before CTF deposition. The UK Home Office quality grade is provided below each photograph.




Figure 2: Same as Fig. 1 except that a nickel CTF of 100 nm thickness was deposited on each sample.




Figure 3: Same as Fig. 1 except that the fingermarks were aged for 72 h before CTF deposition.




Figure 4: (a,b) Cross-sectional and (c) tilted top-surface scanning-electron micrographs of CTFs of (a) chalcogenide glass and (b,c) nickel deposited on fingermarks on DVD-Rs.



quality than the latent fingerprint on the same substrate. Also, all fingerprints received a quality grade of 4 after CTF deposition.

Photographs of six samples aged for three days and developed with chalcogenide glass are presented in Fig. 3. The trends identified for the previous 12 fingerprints are confirmed, as also for the six fingerprints aged for three days and developed with a 100-nm-thick nickel CTF (photographs not shown). All 12 fingerprints had a grade of 4 after CTF deposition.

SEM images of the deposited CTFs showed the same morphology regardless of the type of the electronic media. Figure 4(a) presents a cross-sectional image of a chalcogenide-glass CTF deposited on a fingerprint on a DVD-R. The morphology is typical of a CTF deposited on a fast-rotating and tilted substrate [13]. Shadowing of the collimated vapor flux by columns is responsible for columnar morphology, whereas rapid substrate rotation during deposition makes the columns grow upright. This upright growth of columns helps replicate the topography of the substrate making the faithful development of the fingerprints possible. Figure 4(b) shows a cross-section of a nickel CTF deposited on a fingerprint on a DVD-R. Again, the figure shows similar qualitative features as that of the CTF made by evaporating chalcogenide glass. Therefore, different types of evaporant materials (metal or glass) deliver dense, upright, columnar morphology on fingerprints.

Figure 4(c) shows a tilted top-surface image of a nickel CTF grown over a fingerprint on a DVD-R. Clearly, the underlying ridge-and-valley topography of the fingerprint has been reproduced by the CTF. As the local reflectance of a thin film depends on the optical thickness of the fingerprint residue, and the ridges are several optical wavelengths in width, a visual contrast is created between the CTF deposited upright on a ridge and the CTF deposited upright in an adjacent valley. This contrast is responsible for enhancement of visual quality for identification.

The difference in optimal thickness of nickel and chalcogenide-glass CTFs by an order of magnitude is due to the different electromagnetic mechanisms responsible for the enhancement of quality grade for visualization. Nickel is a metal and the 100-nm thickness of the nickel CTF exceeds the skin depth of this metal [21]. Therefore, the contrast between ridges and valleys of the fingerprints is due to reflection happening at different path-lengths of light propagating in air. In contrast, red and orange light are significantly transmitted through the chalcogenide-glass CTF [22] as well as through the fingerprint residue (see the first and third columns in Figs. 1–3). Therefore, the contrast between ridges and valleys of the fingerprints is also due to the different path-lengths of light propagating in the fingerprint residue.

We conclude from the results presented here that the CTFs deposited on CDs and DVDs can enhance the visual quality of fingerprints on them very significantly. Both the latent and depleted fingerprints can be developed very well for visualization, regardless of aging for a day or three days. Consistent enhancement of quality to the maximum possible, whether the CTF is made of a glass or a metal, indicates the versatility of the CTF technique for development of fingerprints on CDs and DVDs. Let us also note that these conclusions have

emerged from a *pilot study* according to the International Fingerprint Research Group [23], since only a few samples from just one fingermark donor (with the approval of the Penn State Institution Review Board) were examined. A more systematic study with at least three donors followed by statistical analyses of multiple samples from each donor still has to be performed by a legally authorized forensic crime laboratory.

## Disclosures

The authors declare no conflict of interest. No data or code were used for the research reported in this article.

## Acknowledgments

MF thanks LUMS for one year of sabbatical leave and the Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics (Penn State) for hosting him during this period. RAF and AL thank the Charles Godfrey Binder Endowment at Penn State for partial financial support. The Penn State Materials Characterization Lab is acknowledged for use of the Verios G4 UC scanning electron microscope. This research was substantially funded by the US Department of Homeland Security under Grant Award No. 17STCIN00001-05-00.

## References

- [1] BBC News, *Compact Disc Hits 25th Birthday* (2007), <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/technology/6950845.stm>
- [2] F. Richter, *The Rise and Fall of the Compact Disc*, Statista, New York (2022), <https://www.statista.com/chart/12950/cd-sales-in-the-us/>
- [3] H. C. Lee and R. E. Gaensslen, “Methods of latent fingerprint development,” Chapter 3, in: H. C. Lee and R. E. Gaensslen, editors, *Advances in Fingerprint Technology*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida (2001).
- [4] C. Lennard, “The detection and enhancement of latent fingerprints,” *Proc. 13th INTERPOL Forensic Sci. Symp.*, Lyon, France, Oct. 16-19 (2001).
- [5] F. Haque *et al.*, “A small particle (iron oxide) suspension for detection of latent fingerprints on smooth surfaces,” *Forensic Sci. Int.* **41**, 73-82 (1989).
- [6] G. W. Batey *et al.*, “Metal deposition for latent print development,” *J. Forensic Ident.* **48**, 165–175 (1998).
- [7] J. E. Watkin *et al.*, “Cyanoacrylate fuming of latent prints: vacuum versus heat/humidity,” *J. Forensic Ident.* **44**, 545–556 (1994).
- [8] N. J. Crane *et al.*, “Infrared spectroscopic imaging for noninvasive detection of latent fingerprints,” *J. Forensic Sci.* **52**, 48–53 (2007).

- [9] C. G. Worley *et al.*, “Detection of visible and latent fingerprints using micro-X-ray fluorescence elemental imaging,” *J. Forensic Sci.* **51**, 57–63 (2006).
- [10] O. P. Jasuja *et al.*, “Development of latent fingerprints on compact disc and its effect on subsequent data recovery,” *Forensic Sci. Int.* **156**, 237–241 (2006).
- [11] R. C. Shaler *et al.*, “Columnar-thin-film acquisition of fingerprint topology,” *J. Nanophoton.* **5**, 051509 (2011).
- [12] A. Lakhtakia *et al.*, “Solid-state acquisition of fingermark topology using dense columnar thin films: fingermark topology with columnar thin films,” *J. Forensic Sci.* **56**, 612–616 (2011).
- [13] S. E. Swiontek *et al.*, “Quality of development of latent sebaceous fingerprints coated with thin films of different morphologies,” *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* **32**, 020605 (2014).
- [14] S. E. Swiontek and A. Lakhtakia, “Vacuum-metal-deposition and columnar-thin-film techniques implemented in the same apparatus,” *Mater. Lett.* **142**, 291–293 (2015).
- [15] S. A. Muhlberger *et al.*, “Optimized development of sebaceous fingermarks on nonporous substrates with conformal columnar thin films,” *J. Forensic Sci.* **59**, 94–102 (2014).
- [16] E. G. Loewen and E. Popov, *Diffraction Gratings and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1997).
- [17] J. Iraci, *Longevity of Recordable CDs, DVDs and Blu-rays — Canadian Conservation Institute (CCI) Notes 19/1* (2019), <https://www.canada.ca/en/conservation-institute/services/conservation-preservation-publications/canadian-conservation-institute-notes/longevity-recordable-cds-dvds.html>
- [18] S. F. Williams *et al.*, “Columnar-thin-film-assisted visualization of depleted sebaceous fingermarks on nonporous metals and hard plastics,” *J. Forensic Sci.* **60** 179–185 (2015).
- [19] N. Nagachar *et al.*, “Development of environmentally insulted fingermarks on nonporous forensically relevant substrates with conformal columnar thin films,” *Canadian Soc. Forensic Sci. J.* **53**, 149–172 (2020).
- [20] V. G. Sears *et al.*, “A methodology for finger mark research,” *Sci. Justice* **2**, 145–160 (2012).
- [21] A. Cuadrado *et al.*, “Material dependence of the distributed bolometric effect in resonant metallic nanostructures,” *Proc. SPIE* **8457**, 845724 (2012).

- [22] R. J. Martín-Palma, J. V. Ryan, and C. G. Pantano, “Spectral behavior of the optical constants in the visible/near infrared of GeSbSe chalcogenide thin films grown at glancing angle,” *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **25**, 587–591 (2007).
- [23] International Fingerprint Research Group, “Guidelines for the assessment of fingermark detection techniques,” *J. Forensic Ident.* **64**, 174–200 (2014).