

“The problems are solved, not by giving new information, but by arranging what we have known since long.”

Ludwig Wittgenstein, Philosophical Investigations

Electromagnetohydrodynamics

Gennady S. Bisnovatyi-Kogan*

*Space Reseach Institute RAS, Profsoyuznaya st. 84/32, Moscow, Russia, 117997 and
National Reseach Nuclear University MEPhI, Kashirskoe sh., 31, Moscow, Russia, 115409*

Ilya A. Kondratyev[†] and Sergey G. Moiseenko[‡]

*Space Reseach Institute RAS, Profsoyuznaya st. 84/32, Moscow, Russia, 117997 and
National Research University Higher School of Economics, Dept. of Physics,
Staraya Basmannaya st. 21/4 b.5, Moscow, Russia, 105066*

(Dated: July 26, 2023)

Interaction of plasma flow with a magnetic obstacle is a frequent process in many laser-plasma experiments in the laboratory, and is an important event in many astrophysical objects such as X-ray pulsars, AGN, GRB etc.

As a result of plasma penetration through the magnetic wall we could expect a formation of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) shock waves, as well as of electromagnetic (EM) ones. To study these processes we need equations following from hydrodynamic and Maxwell equations, which in the limiting situations describe MHD and EM waves, and are valid for the general case, when both phenomena are present.

Here we derive a set of equations following from hydrodynamic and Maxwell equations, without neglecting a displacement current, needed for a formation of EM waves. We find a dispersion equation describing a propagation of a weak linear wave in a magnetized plasma along the x axis, perpendicular to the magnetic field $H_y(x)$, which contains MHD, hydrodynamic and EM waves in the limiting cases, and some new types of behaviour in a general situation. We consider a plasma with zero viscosity and heat conductivity, but with a finite electric conductivity with a scalar coefficient.

I. INTRODUCTION

Approximate description of behavior of magnetized media was suggested by H.Alfven in 1942, and called now as magnetohydrodynamics (MHD). It does not take into account displacement electrical current, and therefore it is good only for sufficiently slow processes [1, 2]. In practice almost all processes with conducting magnetized fluid on Earth satisfy these conditions. Presently, laboratory experiments occur in conditions, when displacement currents and generation of electromagnetic waves (EM) become important. Namely, it happens in the experiments on the collisions of rapid plasma clods with magnetic walls [3, 4].

In some astrophysical objects, such as X-ray pulsars, AGN, GRBs, where strongly magnetized objects moving with relativistic speeds are expected, it is necessary to describe this events by equations with account of displacement currents as well, for having a possibility to describe transformations between MHD and EM waves, which are present in space.

As a result of plasma penetration through the magnetic wall we could expect a formation of MHD shock waves, as well as of electromagnetic ones. To study these processes we need equations following from hydrodynamic and Maxwell equations, which in the limiting situations describe MHD and EM waves, and are valid for the general case, when both phenomena are present.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In the next, second, section we derive a set of equations following from hydrodynamic and Maxwell equation, without neglecting a displacement current, needed for a formation of EM waves, calling them as electromagnetohydrodynamic (EMHD) equations. We consider a plasma with zero viscosity and heat conductivity, but with a finite electric conductivity with a scalar coefficient. In the third section we derive the EMHD equations for the plane one-dimensional case. Further we find a dispersion equation describing a propagation of a weak linear wave in a magnetized plasma along the x axis, perpendicular to the magnetic field $H_y(x)$, which contains MHD waves as well as sound and EM waves in the limiting cases, and some new types of behavior in a general situation. In the last, fifth, section we discuss the results.

* gkogan@iki.rssi.ru

† mrkondratyev95@gmail.com

‡ moiseenko@iki.rssi.ru

II. EQUATIONS OF ELECTROMAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS

Penetration of a magnetized plasma flow with into a low density regions with a high magnetic field could be accompanied by the formation of EM waves. At small density the electrical current is not able to compensate the disturbance of the magnetic field, and a vortex electric field is generated, with an appearance of EM wave. To solve the general problem, let us find equations, where the displacement current is taken into account.

Consider a plasma flow with velocity $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$, which is moving in a region with variable magnetic field $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})$ and density $\rho(\mathbf{x})$. It is supposed, that plasma has a scalar conductivity σ . By neglecting the Hall effect and thermodiffusion [5, 6], the Maxwell equations and the Ohm's law can be written as [7]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} &= -\text{rot} \mathbf{E}, \quad \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j} = \text{rot} \mathbf{H}, \quad \text{div} \mathbf{H} = 0, \\ \rho_e &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{div} \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{j} = \sigma (\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}]), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{E} is an electric field, ρ_e is a charge density, and \mathbf{j} is an electric current density.

By expressing \mathbf{E} from the Ohm's law and plug it into the first equation of (1), and further deriving \mathbf{j} from the second equation in (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} &= \text{rot} [\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}] - \text{rot} \left(\frac{c \mathbf{j}}{\sigma} \right), \\ \mathbf{j} &= \frac{c}{4\pi} \text{rot} \mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Then, by excluding \mathbf{j} , we get from (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} &= \text{rot} [\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}] - \text{rot} (\nu_m \text{rot} \mathbf{H}) + \frac{c}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\text{rot} \frac{\mathbf{E}}{\sigma} \right), \\ \text{where } \text{rot} \frac{\mathbf{E}}{\sigma} &= \frac{\text{rot} \mathbf{E}}{\sigma} + \text{grad} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \times \mathbf{E}, \quad \nu_m = \frac{c^2}{4\pi\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

By using the first equation from (1), and assuming σ independent on time, we obtain the equation for the magnetic field in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} &= \text{rot} [\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}] - \text{rot} (\nu_m \text{rot} \mathbf{H}) - \frac{\nu_m}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}}{\partial t^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\text{grad} (\nu_m)}{c} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\text{rot} (\nu_m \text{rot} \mathbf{H}) = -\nu_m \Delta \mathbf{H} + \text{grad} (\nu_m) \times \text{rot} \mathbf{H}$

In a general case, this equation cannot be solved without any additional description of the behaviour of the electric field \mathbf{E} . In addition to the Maxwell equation for \mathbf{E} (first equation in (1)), we need to add the equations for the velocity \mathbf{u} , matter density ρ and pressure P , which in

the absence of viscosity and thermal conduction have the form [1]

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \text{div} (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P + \frac{1}{c\rho} [\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{H}], \quad (6)$$

$$\rho T \left[\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \nabla) s \right] = \frac{\mathbf{j}^2}{\sigma}. \quad (7)$$

By using the expression for \mathbf{j} from the second relation in (1), we obtain the equations for the fluid motion in the fields \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{E}

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \text{div} (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \nabla) \mathbf{u} &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \left(P + \frac{H^2}{8\pi} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{(\mathbf{H} \nabla) \mathbf{H}}{4\pi\rho} - \frac{1}{4\pi c\rho} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \times \mathbf{H} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho T \left[\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \nabla) s \right] &= \frac{\nu_m}{4\pi} (\text{rot} \mathbf{H})^2 \\ -\frac{2\nu_m}{4\pi c} \left(\text{rot} \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right) &+ \frac{\nu_m}{4\pi c^2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here s is a specific entropy, $T ds = d\varepsilon + P dV$, where V is a specific volume $V = 1/\rho$, ε is a specific internal energy and T is a matter temperature.

III. EQUATIONS FOR THE PLANE ONE-DIMENSIONAL CASE

Assuming electric conductivity coefficient σ to be constant also in space we obtain from (4) the equation for \mathbf{H} without any assumptions about \mathbf{E} as follows

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} = \text{rot} [\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}] + \nu_m \Delta \mathbf{H} - \frac{\nu_m}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}}{\partial t^2} \quad (11)$$

In the large conductivity limit ($\nu_m \ll 1$) we have the equation for the ideal MHD [2], while in the low conductivity limit ($\nu_m \gg 1$) it follows the equation for the electromagnetic wave. Equation (4) does not describe continuous transformation of MHD wave, formed in the region of high conductivity, into electromagnetic wave, during its propagation in the high field region ($H^2 \gg \rho c^2$), and low conductivity, related to the low density, because variable ν_m is required for this. This equation describes the situation, where MHD processes and electromagnetic waves are important at the same time.

Accurate results on the properties of the electromagnetic wave, formed in the collision of the gas flow with the magnetic wall, can be obtained using equation (4), which has the following form in 1D case ($\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial (u_x H_y)}{\partial x} + \nu_m \left(\frac{\partial^2 H_y}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 H_y}{\partial t^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial \nu_m}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The equations of plasma motion (8)-(10) in a plane one-dimensional case read

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \rho \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + u_x \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} + u_x \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{8\pi\rho} \frac{\partial H_y^2}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{4\pi c\rho} \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} \cdot H_y \right), \quad (14)$$

$$\rho T \left[\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + u_x \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} \right] = \frac{\nu_m}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} \right)^2. \quad (15)$$

In this problem, the null values are conserved for the following quantities

$$u_y = u_z = H_x = H_z = 0 \quad (16)$$

Necessity of accounting on an electric field complicates the solution of the problem on transformation of the MHD wave into the electromagnetic one during its propagation from the region of small ν_m into the region of large value of this parameter. Here we restrict ourselves to a qualitative assessments of this process.

IV. LINEAR WAVES IN THE MEDIUM WITH A CONSTANT ν_m

For constant ν_m , the equation (12) is written as

$$\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial(u_x H_y)}{\partial x} + \nu_m \left(\frac{\partial^2 H_y}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 H_y}{\partial t^2} \right) \quad (17)$$

Let us consider small amplitude waves in a uniform plasma at rest with parameters

$$u_{x0} = 0, \quad \rho = \rho_0, \quad P = P_0, \\ H_{y0} = H_0 = \text{const}, \quad H_x = H_z = E_{z0} = 0, \quad (18)$$

and assume a polytropic equation of state $P = K(s)\rho^\gamma$. For small perturbations, the entropy perturbation has a second order of smallness, according to (15), so, in linear approximation, the entropy stays constant with $K = \text{const}$. For linearization, we use the following definitions for perturbed quantities

$$u_x = u, \quad \rho(x) = \rho_0 + q, \quad P(x) = P_0 + p, \\ H_y(x) = H_0 + h, \quad E_z = E. \quad (19)$$

After linearization the equations (13),(14),(17) are written as

$$\dot{q} + \rho_0 u' = 0, \quad \dot{u} = -\frac{p'}{\rho} - \frac{H_0}{4\pi\rho_0} h' + \frac{H_0}{4\pi c\rho_0} \dot{E}, \\ \dot{h} = -H_{y0} u' + \nu_m (h'' - \frac{\ddot{h}}{c^2}). \quad (20)$$

Using the polytropic relation $p' = c_s^2 q'$, and definitions $c_s^2 = (\frac{dP_0}{d\rho_0})_s$ (squared sound speed), $u_A^2 = \frac{H_0^2}{4\pi\rho_0}$ (squared

Alfvén speed), as well as a usual linear wave dependence on space and time $\sim \exp(ikx - i\omega t)$, we obtain the linear system for the coefficients, together with the first equation from (1), the following expressions

$$-i\omega q + ik\rho_0 u = 0, \\ -i\omega u = -ikc_s^2 q/\rho_0 - ik u_A^2 h/H_0 - i\omega \frac{H_0 E}{4\pi c\rho_0}, \\ -i\omega h = -ikH_0 u + \nu_m (-k^2 h + \frac{\omega^2 h}{c^2}), \\ -i\omega h + ikcE = 0 \quad (21)$$

Using definitions $\delta_\rho = q/\rho_0$, $\delta_h = h/H_0$, $\delta_e = E/H_0$, we write the system (21) as

$$\omega \delta_\rho - k u = 0, \quad \omega u - kc_s^2 \delta_\rho - k u_A^2 \delta_h - \omega \frac{u_A^2 \delta_e}{c} = 0, \\ \omega \delta_h - k u + i\nu_m (k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}) \delta_h = 0, \quad \omega \delta_h + kc \delta_e = 0. \quad (22)$$

By equating to zero the determinant of this system, we get the dispersion equation for linear waves in the form

$$(k^2 c^2 - \omega^2)(k^2 c_s^2 - \omega^2) - \frac{i\omega c^2}{\nu_m} \left[k^2 c_s^2 + k^2 u_A^2 - \omega^2 \left(1 + \frac{u_A^2}{c^2} \right) \right] = 0. \quad (23)$$

Let us consider the limiting cases.

A. Almost ideal plasma ($\nu_m \ll 1$)

In absence of magnetic viscosity $\nu_m = 0$ we have two solutions

$$\omega_{10} = 0; \quad \omega_{20}^2 = c^2 \frac{k^2 c_s^2 + k^2 u_A^2}{c^2 + u_A^2}. \quad (24)$$

The first solution corresponds to the limit of slow MHD

wave in the case of its propagation across the magnetic field (static perturbation), while the second one corresponds to fast MHD wave in the same case [1, 2]. Its frequency differs from the usual MHD because of effects due to electric field. In vacuum case with $u_A \gg c$, this wave transforms into the electromagnetic one, while in the opposite case one can obtain a usual value for MHD, where the displacement current is neglected. We also note here, that although the relativistic values allowed for the magnetosonic speed, the sound speed should still be non-relativistic, $c_s \ll c$, as in traditional MHD equations. In presence of low dissipation, the static perturbation and fast MHD wave are damping. With a small addition to the second solution of (24) in the form

$$\omega_2 = c \sqrt{\frac{k^2 c_s^2 + k^2 u_A^2}{c^2 + u_A^2}} + i\gamma_2, \\ \omega_2^2 = c^2 \frac{k^2 c_s^2 + k^2 u_A^2}{c^2 + u_A^2} + 2i\omega_{20}\gamma_2, \quad (25)$$

we find a solution, inserting (25) into (23) as

$$\gamma_2 = -\nu_m \frac{k^2 u_A^2}{2(c_s^2 + u_A^2)} \frac{c^2 - c_s^2}{c^2 + u_A^2}. \quad (26)$$

It follows from the latter equation, that in limiting cases of very dense ($c_s \rightarrow c$), and very rarefied ($u_A \gg c$) medium, the wave damping does not occur even with finite ν_m . For weak damping of the static perturbation, one can formally obtain the increment

$$\gamma_1 = -\nu_m \frac{k^2 c_s^2}{c_s^2 + u_A^2}, \quad (27)$$

which is, apparently, is not applicable in the field-free case.

B. Plasma with strong damping ($\nu_m \gg 1$)

In this case, in zero approximation we obtain the solution in the form of electromagnetic and acoustic waves

$$\omega_{30}^2 = k^2 c^2; \quad \omega_{40}^2 = k^2 c_s^2. \quad (28)$$

With a small addition in (28)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_3 &= kc + i\gamma_3, & \omega_3^2 &= k^2 c^2 + 2ikc\gamma_3, \\ \omega_4 &= kc_s + i\gamma_4, & \omega_4^2 &= k^2 c_s^2 + 2ikc_s\gamma_4, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

we obtain, after inserting (29) into (23):

$$\gamma_3 = -\frac{c^2 + u_A^2}{2\nu_m c}, \quad \gamma_4 = -\frac{u_A^2}{2\nu_m c^2} (c^2 - c_s^2) \quad (30)$$

Solution ω_3 corresponds to short electromagnetic wave with damping. It damps weakly, i.e., $\omega_3 \gg \gamma_3$, when strong Ohmic dissipation is presented with $2k\nu_m \gg c$, $\sigma \ll \frac{c}{2\pi k}$. In absence of external magnetic field, the damping of electromagnetic wave occurs due to the actions in the wave itself. In absence of magnetic field ($u_A = 0$), ω_4 corresponds to the sound wave without damping. In a very dense medium with ($c_s \rightarrow c$), the sound wave ceased to damp, as in the case of low ν_m .

C. Dispersion curves for various plasma parameters

Let us introduce dimensionless parameters

$$x = \frac{\omega}{kc}, \quad \varkappa = \frac{k}{k_0}, \quad s = \frac{c_s}{c}, \quad a = \frac{u_A}{c}, \quad \nu = \frac{c}{k_0 \nu_m}. \quad (31)$$

Here k_0 is an arbitrary scale factor for the absolute value of the wave vector k . By using dimensionless parameters (31), the dispersion equation (23) reads

$$(1 - x^2)(s^2 - x^2) - ix \frac{\nu}{\varkappa} [(s^2 - x^2) + a^2(1 - x^2)] = 0. \quad (32)$$

Solving this equation for the function $x(\varkappa) = x_r(\varkappa) + ix_i(\varkappa)$, we obtain real and complex parts for it, $x_r(\varkappa)$ and $x_i(\varkappa)$, respectively. These functions define the dispersion relation curves for four different modes of linear waves in magnetized plasma for an arbitrary set of parameters $s = \frac{c_s}{c}$, $a = \frac{u_A}{c}$, $\nu = \frac{c}{k_0 \nu_m}$.

Expression (32), at varying the parameter $z = \nu/\varkappa$, can be considered as equation, which describes propagation of the wave at a fixed \varkappa through the medium with variable ν :

$$(1 - x^2)(s^2 - x^2) - ix[(s^2 - x^2) + a^2(1 - x^2)]z = 0. \quad (33)$$

The limits of ideal MHD (24) and plasma with strong damping (28) are clearly seen for high and low values of z in the plots below, correspondingly, while the transition region from magnetized ideal MHD plasma to plasma with EM waves requires more detailed analysis.

Depending on parameters a and s , different wave modes correspond to various waves, conversion of waves happens when the wave is propagating through the region with varying ν . We deal here with 4 types of waves:

1. Sound waves (SW).
2. Electromagnetic waves (EM).
3. Fast MHD waves (FMHD).

4. Slow MHD wave (SMHD), which in the case of a uniform magnetic field perpendicular to the direction of the wave propagation is reduced to a static perturbation [1, 2].

The waves here differ from classical EM waves in vacuum, or waves in the ideal MHD, which don't have imaginary parts. Here EM wave is damping in the medium without magnetic field (30), and the speed of the fast MHD wave (24) differs from its classical value [1, 2] due to account of the displacement current.

In the experiments and devices on the Earth the relation between non-dimensional parameters (31) usually is $a \ll 1$, $s \ll 1$, but their ratio may be arbitrary, from zero to infinity. In astrophysical objects, like pulsars, X-ray sources, AGN etc. relativistic effects may be important and we may expect $a, s \lesssim 1$. For better qualitative understanding of the behaviour of different modes from the dispersion equation (33) we start from the the solution with moderate input parameters $s = 0.03$, $a = 0.06$, and find for these parameters the numerical solutions $x_r(z)$, $x_i(z)$ for four different modes presented in Figs.1,2.

In this case, fast MHD waves are smoothly connected with the sound ones, while the slow MHD waves with $x_r = 0$ are connected with the electromagnetic waves. Additional calculations have shown, that the electromagnetic waves appear at $z = \nu/\kappa = 2$ for any $a, s \ll 1$.

Similar topology of wave modes takes place for $a < a_b \simeq 2.82s$ for low $s \ll 1$, and this ratio changes with the increase of s to $a_b \simeq 2.75s$ at $s = 0.1$, where the value of Alfvén velocity approaches relativistic value.

For relativistic values of a and s , the qualitative presentation, similar to Figs.1,2, takes place for Alfvén velocities below the threshold value a_{thr} , depending on s

(see Table I below). It is topologically similar to non-relativistic case, except the fact, that connections between EM and SMHD waves, occur at $z = \nu/\kappa \sim 1$ with a limiting case of $z = 1$ for a, s approaching unity, while the connections between FMHD and sound waves occur at $z < 1$.




FIG. 1. Real parts of solutions of Eq.(33) for parameters $s = 0.03, a = 0.06$ (See online version for colored plots). Blue and purple curves correspond to electromagnetic waves and static perturbations. Yellow and red ones correspond to sound and fast MHD waves. Considering waves with a fixed z , we describe qualitative behaviour of all types of waves, propagating in opposite directions.




FIG. 2. Imaginary parts of solutions of Eq.(33) for parameters $s = 0.03, a = 0.06$ (See online version for colored plots). Blue and purple curves correspond to electromagnetic waves and static perturbations. Yellow and red ones correspond to sound and fast MHD waves. Considering waves with a fixed z , we describe qualitative behaviour of all types of waves, propagating in opposite directions, see discussion in the text.

The second case differs from the first one due to oc-

currence of a region, where all four wave modes have zero real parts $x_r = 0$, i.e., it is the region, where all waves are damped. In the Figs.3,4 the real and imaginary part of the wave modes of the second case are plotted for $s = 0.03, a = 0.15$.

The existence of the region without waves takes place, if $a > a_b \simeq 2.82s$ at $a \ll 1$ for low s values, i.e. in highly magnetized plasma. With increasing of s , the value a_b decreases up to the value $\simeq 2.75$, as was mentioned previously. At small $z = \nu/\kappa$ we have sound and EM waves, like in the previous case, see also the asymptotic solutions in (28)-(30). In this case the structure of solutions of the dispersion equation (33) is so complicated, that we could not indicate connections of the asymptotic type solutions in the vicinity of the transition region at $z \sim 1$. The solutions in this vicinity at larger scale are given in Figs. 5,6 by points. with four solutions corresponding to each value of z .




FIG. 3. Real parts of solutions of Eq.(33) for parameters $s = 0.03, a = 0.15$ (See online version for colored plots). Blue and purple curves correspond to electromagnetic waves and static perturbations. Yellow and red ones correspond to the sound and fast MHD waves. At the input parameters used here, a region appears at some z interval, where all waves damp without propagation (zero real parts).

The third case is corresponding to relativistic regime with $a \lesssim 1$. With increasing of a up to very high values, the system deviates from regimes 1 and 2. The solutions of Eq.(33) starts to deviate from the first two cases at $a > 0.35$, where the electromagnetic wave is directly connected with the fast MHD wave at $a > s$. Such conditions may appear during accretion processes onto highly magnetized neutron star, at accretion of the magnetized matter into a black hole in galactic sources and AGN's [8].

The sound wave is connected with a static perturbation, as in Case 2, see Figs.7,8, where this regime takes place at $s = 0.1, a = 0.4$. Table I shows boundary values of Alfvén speed a_{thr} as a function of the sound speed s ,




FIG. 4. Imaginary parts of solutions of Eq.(33) for parameters $s = 0.03, a = 0.15$ (See online version for colored plots). Blue and purple curves correspond to electromagnetic waves and static perturbations. Yellow and red ones correspond to the sound and fast MHD waves. At the input parameters used here, a region appears at some z interval, where all waves damp without propagation (zero real parts).




FIG. 5. The vicinity of wave transitions region (Case 2, real parts) for parameters $s = 0.03, a = 0.15$. The region near the transition point of EM waves has fine grid to resolve large gradients in the solution. On the left side of the plot, the damping EM and sound waves are depicted, while on the right side - MHD waves and static perturbations are shown. Both regimes are connected with the region, where all waves are damped.

at which the Case 3 appears with increasing of a , while at lower values of a , Case 1 or 2 takes place. At this transitional regime the connection of electromagnetic waves with fast MHD wave occurs at $1 < z < 2$ with the limiting value of $z = 1$ at $a, s \lesssim 1$. The connection between sound waves and static perturbations happens at $z \sim 1$.




FIG. 6. The vicinity of wave transitions region (Case 2, imaginary parts) for parameters $s = 0.03, a = 0.15$. The region near the transition point of EM waves has fine grid to resolve large gradients in the real part of the solution. On the left side of the plot, the acoustic (upper) and EM (bottom) doubly degenerate decrements are depicted, while on the left side two non-degenerate decrements (upper and bottom) for static perturbations and doubly degenerate one for fast MHD waves (middle) are plotted. These two regimes are connected with the region without waves, where the degeneracy of the imaginary solutions is absent.

V. DISCUSSION

The EMHD equations are solved here for a relatively simple problem of propagation of linear waves in the magnetized plasma with finite scalar constant conductivity, perpendicular to the constant uniform magnetic field. For several sets of given input parameters: non-dimensional sound $\frac{c_s}{c}$, and Alfvén $\frac{u_A}{c}$ velocities, and

TABLE I. Threshold values of normalized Alfvén speed for given sound speeds. At lower value of u_A/c , electromagnetic waves are formed from static perturbations (Cases 1 and 2), while at larger value the electromagnetic wave forms directly from the fast MHD wave (Case 3). It can be seen, that for values of s lower than 0.1, a_{thr} is almost constant, while for larger values, the ratio a_{thr}/s decreases.

$s = c_s/c$	$a_{thr} = u_A/c$
0.01	0.35
0.10	0.36
0.20	0.45
0.30	0.54
0.40	0.62
0.50	0.70
0.60	0.77




FIG. 7. Real parts of solutions of Eq.(33) for parameters $s = 0.1, a = 0.4$ (See online version for colored plots). Blue and purple curves correspond to electromagnetic waves and static perturbations. Yellow and red ones correspond to sound and fast MHD waves.




FIG. 8. Imaginary parts of solutions of Eq.(33) for parameters $s = 0.1, a = 0.4$ (See online version for colored plots). Blue and purple curves correspond to electromagnetic waves and static perturbations. Yellow and red ones correspond to sound and fast MHD waves.

given coefficient of electrical conductivity, which in non-dimensional representation is written as $\nu = \frac{ck_0}{\nu_m}$, we find four dispersion curves for dependence of a non-dimensional phase velocity of the wave $\frac{\omega}{kc}$ on the non-dimensional wave vector $\varkappa = \frac{k}{k_0}$. It happens, that the parameters \varkappa and ν are present in the dispersion equation (32) in the form of the ratio $\frac{\nu}{\varkappa}$, so we may interpret the solutions of this equation as dependence of the wave speed on the wave vector at constant conductivity, or as

of the wave speed on the conductivity coefficient for a fixed wave vector.

All solutions have simple interpretation in the limiting cases. For very large \varkappa (short waves), or very small ν (low conductivity, dielectric) there are two EM and two sound waves moving in opposite direction. For very small \varkappa (long waves) or very large ν (high conductivity, metal) we come to MHD case with two fast and two slow MHD waves. Here, the slow MHD wave has zero real part, because of propagation perpendicular to the magnetic field direction [1, 2].

In the region of middle value of $z = \frac{\nu}{\varkappa} \simeq (1 - 10)$, the behavior of the dispersion curves is becoming more complicated, and it is not possible to make an unambiguous physical interpretation based only on the solutions of the dispersion equation, without a direct solution of EMHD equations. In some cases we may suggest some speculations.

For high sound and Alfvén speeds in Figs.7,8 we suppose a transformation of the fast MHD (FMHD) waves into EM ones in the propagation through the region with decreasing conductivity. In the same situation it is possible to imagine the transformation of the sound waves into slow MHD (SMHD, static, damping perturbation) during its propagation in the region of increasing conductivity. It is difficult to imagine the transformation if the EM into FMHD wave, or absurd "transformation of the static SMHD perturbation into the sound wave" in the wave propagation in opposite direction.

For average values of a and s parameters in Figs.1,2 we may suggest another transformations: FMHD into SW in propagation through the region with decreasing conductivity, and EM into static SMHD during its propagation in the region of increasing conductivity.

The most complicated (impossible) physical interpretation begins with when the ratio $\frac{a}{s}$ exceed the number ~ 3 , in Figs.3,4. The solution for all 4 solutions in the intermediate region of z without any attempt of interpretation, are presented in Figs.5,6, where all solutions are given by simple dots.

The EMHD equations describe both hydrodynamic and electromagnetic phenomena in the situation when the velocity of the matter remains non-relativistic. It may be applied to phenomena in the X-ray pulsars and in some AGN's. For description of the behavior in relativistic jets it is necessary to apply special relativistic equations of the fluid motion.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant no.23-12-00198. G.S.B.-K. is grateful to Yuri Lyubarsky for stimulating discussion.

-
- [1] A. Kulikovskii and G. Lyubimov, *Magnetohydrodynamics* (Addison-Wesley Educational Publishers Inc, 1965).
- [2] L. Landau, E. Lifshits, and L. Pitaevskii, *Electrodynamics of continuous medium* (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1984).
- [3] A. A. Soloviev, K. F. Burdonov, A. V. Kotov, S. E. Perevalov, R. S. Zemskov, V. N. Ginzburg, A. A. Kochetkov, A. A. Kuzmin, A. A. Shaikin, I. A. Shaikin, E. A. Khazanov, I. V. Yakovlev, A. G. Luchinin, M. V. Morozkin, M. D. Proyavin, M. Y. Glyavin, J. Fuchs, and M. V. Starodubtsev, *Radiophysics and Quantum Electronics* **63**, 876 (2021).
- [4] S. V. Korobkov, A. S. Nikolenko, M. E. Gushchin, A. V. Strikovskiy, I. Y. Zudin, N. A. Aidakina, I. F. Shaikhislamov, M. S. Rumenskikh, R. S. Zemskov, and M. V. Starodubtsev, *Astronomy Reports* **67**, 93 (2023).
- [5] S. Chapman and T. Cowling, *Mathematical Theory of Nonuniform Gases* (Cambridge, 1952).
- [6] S. Braginskii, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **6**, 358 (1957).
- [7] S. Syrovatskiy, *Magnetohydrodynamics*, *Uspekhi Fiz. Nauk* **62**, 247 (1957), (in Russian); *Magnetohydrodynamik. Fortschritte der Physik* (1958) **6**, 437 (in German).
- [8] G. Bisnovaty-Kogan, *Universe* **5**, 146 (2019).