

THE STRUCTURE OF THE Spin^h BORDISM SPECTRUM

KEITH MILLS

ABSTRACT. Spin^h manifolds are the quaternionic analogue to spin^c manifolds. We compute the spin^h bordism groups at the prime 2 by proving a structure theorem for the cohomology of the spin^h bordism spectrum MSpin^h as a module over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra. This provides a 2-local splitting of MSpin^h as a wedge sum of familiar spectra. We also compute the decomposition of $H^*(\text{MSpin}^h; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ explicitly in degrees up through 30 via a counting process.

1. INTRODUCTION

Spin^h manifolds are the quaternionic analogue to spin^c manifolds. $\text{Spin}^h(n)$ is a central extension of $SO(n) \times \text{Sp}(1)$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and a spin^h structure on an oriented n -manifold is a lifting of the principal frame bundle from $SO(n)$ to $\text{Spin}^h(n)$. We aim to compute the spin^h bordism groups $\Omega_*^{\text{spin}^h}$. As explained in (a section of) Jiahao Hu's thesis [Hu23], there is a spin^h bordism spectrum MSpin^h , so computing the bordism groups is equivalent to determining the homotopy groups of this spectrum.

Spin^h manifolds have been the subject of recent research of various flavors. In [AM21] it is shown that there is a notion of Spin^k for any integer $k \geq 1$, and spin^h is the case of this for $k = 3$. More geometrically, Hu shows in his thesis [Hu23] that spin^h manifolds carry Dirac operators with indices in symplectic K -theory and that there is a symplectic version of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. They also show up as a special case of the work of Freed and Hopkins on quantum field theories and Thom spectra in [FH21]. One can find a more detailed exposition on spin^h manifolds and consequences of their study in Lawson's article [Law23].

It turns out that with 2 inverted, the spin^h bordism groups are determined by the spin bordism groups. Precisely, one has $\Omega_*^{\text{spin}^h}[\frac{1}{2}] \cong \Omega_*^{\text{spin}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_*(\mathbb{H}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ ([Hu23], A.0.2), so it suffices to concern ourselves with computing the 2-primary part of the spin^h bordism groups. We also mention that Hu provides the spin^h bordism groups in degrees 0 through 6 as $\mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, 0, \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$; this is stated without proof but is a consequence of our main result.

The standard approach for computing the 2-primary part of $\pi_*(\text{MSpin}^h)$ is to use the mod 2 Adams spectral sequence, which takes as input $H^*(\text{MSpin}^h; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ as a module over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra A . Consequently, the computation of this A -module becomes the central focus of our work.

For the classical computations for spin and spin^c bordism one leverages the fact that the corresponding spectra are ring spectra. Unfortunately, unlike MSpin and MSpin^c , MSpin^h is not a ring spectrum since the product of two spin^h manifolds

Date: December 8, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55N22. Secondary 55T15, 55S10.

may not be spin^h . However, the product of a spin and spin^h manifold is spin^h , which does buy us some extra structure: MSpin^h is an MSpin -module spectrum. MSpin -module spectra are studied by Stolz in [Sto92] and [Sto94].

A key implication of Stolz’s work is that $H^*\text{MSpin}^h$ (coefficient groups \mathbb{Z}_2 are omitted) is an “extended” A -module, meaning that it is determined by the $A(1)$ -module structure of a certain submodule $\overline{H^*\text{MSpin}^h}$ of $H^*\text{MSpin}^h$, where $A(1)$ is the subalgebra of A generated by Sq^1 and Sq^2 . Explicitly, it is determined via the A -module isomorphism $H^*\text{MSpin}^h \cong A \otimes_{A(1)} \overline{H^*\text{MSpin}^h}$. This is analogous to the situation for spin bordism, where it is the case that $H^*\text{MSpin} \cong A \otimes_{A(1)} N$ for a certain $A(1)$ -module N , which is computed by Anderson, Brown, and Peterson in [ABP67].

It turns out that $\text{MSpin}^h \simeq \text{MSpin} \wedge \Sigma^{-3} \text{MSO}_3$ as MSpin -module spectra, which has the consequence that $\overline{H^*\text{MSpin}^h} \cong N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^*(\Sigma^{-3} \text{MSO}_3)$ as $A(1)$ -modules. As such, the determination of $\overline{H^*\text{MSpin}^h}$ relies on understanding the $A(1)$ -module structure of these two factors; the first, N , is very well-known, and it so happens that the second is easy to compute.

Our main result is a combination of Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6, which is a structure theorem for MSpin^h and states that 2-locally it splits up as a generalized wedge sum of familiar spectra with known homotopy groups. While we are unable to provide a closed-form expression for these homotopy groups in each degree (a consequence of the fact that there is not one for MSpin) we are able to provide a counting process that allows one to compute any particular homotopy group of interest.

To state the main result, fix the following notation. Let I be the augmentation ideal of $A(1)$, which corresponds¹ to a spectrum E that has the same homotopy groups as the connective cover of the real K -theory spectrum ko except for a degree shift. We let $\widetilde{\text{ko}}$ be $\Sigma^{-1}E$ (corresponding to $\Sigma^{-1}I$) so that its homotopy groups are the same as those for ko . Let K be the quotient of $A(1)$ by $(A(1)\text{Sq}^1 + A(1)\text{Sq}^{2,1,2})$, which corresponds to the spectrum $\text{ksp} = \Sigma^{-4}\text{ko}\langle 4 \rangle$, where $\text{ko}\langle 4 \rangle$ is the 3-connected cover of ko . Then our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *The $A(1)$ -module $\overline{H^*\text{MSpin}^h}$ is a direct sum of suspensions of $A(1)$, I , and K . Hence the Adams spectral sequence implies that MSpin^h has the 2-local homotopy type of a generalized wedge sum of copies of the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $H\mathbb{Z}_2$, ko , and ksp .*

We also compute this decomposition in degrees ≤ 30 , the first few terms of which are

$$\text{MSpin}^h \simeq_2 \text{ksp} \vee \Sigma^4 \widetilde{\text{ko}} \vee 2(\Sigma^8 \text{ksp}) \vee \Sigma^9 H\mathbb{Z}_2 \vee \Sigma^{10} H\mathbb{Z}_2 \vee 3(\Sigma^{12} \widetilde{\text{ko}}) \vee \Sigma^{14} H\mathbb{Z}_2 \vee \dots$$

(an integer n in front of a particular wedge summand means n copies of that summand).

¹When we say that a spectrum Y “corresponds” to an $A(1)$ -module M , we mean that $\overline{H^*Y} = M$; the definition of $\overline{H^*Y}$ in general will be given in section 2. For ksp and $H\mathbb{Z}_2$ this correspondence will be clear, and it is possible to show that $\widetilde{\Sigma\text{ko}}$ is the cofiber of the map $\text{ko} \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}_2$ given by the nontrivial element of $H^0\text{ko}$.

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we provide a short exposition on spin^h manifolds and describe the cohomology of the classifying space for principal Spin^h bundles, and then discuss the results of Stolz's work on MSpin -module spectra that are relevant for our computations.

In section 3 we prove that as an MSpin -module spectrum, MSpin^h is equivalent to $\text{MSpin} \wedge \Sigma^{-3} \text{MSO}_3$, and then describe the structure results for the $A(1)$ -modules $N = \overline{H^* \text{MSpin}}$ and $H^* \text{MSO}_3$; each of these has a decomposition into smaller well-studied $A(1)$ -modules, so following this we compute the pairwise tensor products necessary to understand $\overline{H^* \text{MSpin}^h} \cong N \otimes \Sigma^{-3} H^* \text{MSO}_3$. From here, the main structure theorem 1.1 follows.

In section 4 we describe the counting process that provides the explicit decomposition mentioned above, which is essentially the combination of locating each module in the decompositions of N and $H^* \text{MSO}_3$ and then computing the resulting tensor product, recording where each piece ends up. We end in section 5 with a short discussion of a map $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h : \text{MSpin}^h \rightarrow \text{ksp}$ which generalizes the spin orientation $\mathcal{A} : \text{MSpin} \rightarrow \text{ko}$, and from our decomposition it follows that this map is projection onto the bottom wedge summand of MSpin^h .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Jonathan Rosenberg for his assistance and encouragement at all stages in the preparation of this paper. I would also like to thank Jiahao Hu for several conversations on the topic of spin^h manifolds and for suggesting a sketch of Lemma 3.1, and the reviewer for improvements on an earlier version of the paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Spin^h Manifolds. In this subsection we review the requisite background and information on spin^h manifolds. A more detailed account can be found in [AM21]. For convenience, we assume all manifolds under discussion are smooth, compact, and oriented.

Recall that $\text{Spin}(n)$ is the universal (double) cover of $\text{SO}(n)$. Consider the "diagonal" \mathbb{Z}_2 subgroup of $\text{Spin}(n) \times \text{Sp}(1)$ generated by $(-1, -1)$. Taking the quotient by this subgroup gives the group $\text{Spin}^h(n) := \text{Spin}(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Sp}(1)$. One can verify that $\text{Spin}^h(n)$ sits in an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Spin}^h(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n) \times \text{SO}(3) \rightarrow 1.$$

The h is used to emphasize the role of the quaternions, just as the c in Spin^c is used to highlight the role of the complex numbers. The homomorphism $\text{Spin}^h(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n) \times \text{SO}(3)$ is a double covering, and composing with projections we have natural maps $\text{Spin}^h(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$ and $\text{Spin}^h(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$.

We are ready to state our definitions of interest.

Definition 2.1. A *spin^h structure* on a principal $\text{SO}(n)$ -bundle P is a principal $\text{SO}(3)$ -bundle E together with a principal $\text{Spin}^h(n)$ -bundle Q and a double covering $Q \rightarrow P \times E$ that is equivariant with respect to $\text{Spin}^h(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n) \times \text{SO}(3)$.

Definition 2.2. A manifold M is a *spin^h manifold* if its tangent bundle admits a *spin^h structure*. *Spin^h manifolds with boundary and bordism of spin^h manifolds* are defined in the usual way.

It is shown in [AM21] that the first obstruction to the existence of a spin^h structure on an oriented manifold M is the fifth integral Stiefel-Whitney class W_5 , but that this is not the only obstruction, in contrast with spin and spin^c structures on manifolds where w_2 and W_3 are the only obstructions (resp.). This is a consequence of the fact that the homotopy fiber of the map $B\text{Spin}^h(n) \rightarrow BSO(n)$ is not an Eilenberg-MacLane space, where $B\text{Spin}^h(n)$ denotes the classifying space for spin^h vector bundles of rank n .

Also in contrast to the situation for spin and spin^c manifolds is the fact that the product of two spin^h manifolds need not be spin^h : for example, the product $W \times W$ of the Wu manifold $W = SU(3)/SO(3)$ is not spin^h since $W_5(W \times W) \neq 0$. We do, however, have the partial result ([AM21], 3.6) that if M is spin , then $M \times N$ is spin^h if and only if N is spin^h ; also, if a product $M \times N$ is spin^h then both M and N are spin^h .

We now record the mod 2 cohomology of the stable classifying space $B\text{Spin}^h$, computed by Hu in section 2 of [Hu23]. Recall that the mod 2 cohomology of BSO is generated by the universal Stiefel-Whitney classes w_i for $i \geq 2$, and denote by ν_k the universal Wu class in degree k .

Theorem 2.3. *$H^*(B\text{Spin}^h; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is generated additively by the products of the universal Stiefel-Whitney classes w_i for $i \geq 2$ and $i \neq 2^{r+1} + 1$ for $r \geq 1$. As an algebra, $H^*(B\text{Spin}^h; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is naturally isomorphic to the quotient $H^*(BSO; \mathbb{Z}_2)/(\text{Sq}^1 \nu_{2^{r+1}})_{r \geq 1}$.*

Note that the classes $w_{2^{r+1}+1}$ are not necessarily zero in $H^*(B\text{Spin}^h; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, but rather are decomposable; for example, one may compute that $w_9 = w_2 w_7 + w_3 w_6$.

2.2. The spectrum $M\text{Spin}^h$. As mentioned in the introduction, while $M\text{Spin}^h$ is not a ring spectrum it is an $M\text{Spin}$ -module spectrum. $M\text{Spin}$ -module spectra are studied by Stolz in [Sto92] and [Sto94]. Here we record some of his discussion and results on such spectra that will be relevant for our purposes. In what follows, suppress homology and cohomology coefficient groups by assuming they are \mathbb{Z}_2 , and assume any tensor products without subscripts are over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Denote by A the mod 2 Steenrod algebra and by $A(1)$ the subalgebra of A generated by Sq^1 and Sq^2 . Let A_* and $A(1)_*$ be their respective duals. Recall that A_* is a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}_2[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]$ on the ‘‘Milnor generators’’ ξ_i of degree $2^i - 1$. Typically one works with a different set of generators given by $\zeta_i = c(\xi_i)$, where c is the conjugation involution on A_* . In these generators one has $A(1)_* = \mathbb{Z}_2[\zeta_1, \zeta_2]/(\zeta_1^4, \zeta_2^2)$.

Let Y be an $M\text{Spin}$ -module spectrum. Then H_*Y is a comodule over the dual Steenrod algebra A_* , and information about the homotopy groups of Y at the prime 2 can be obtained from the mod 2 Adams spectral sequence, which takes as input H_*Y as an A_* -comodule. Stolz shows the following:

First, H_*Y is a module over $R = H_*\text{ko} = A_* \square_{A(1)_*} \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2[\zeta_1^4, \zeta_2^2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4, \dots]$. Here, ko denotes the connective real K -theory spectrum, and the cotensor product $A_* \square_{A(1)_*} M$ is defined for any (left) $A(1)_*$ -comodule M by the exact sequence below, where ψ denotes the $A(1)_*$ -comodule structure maps for both A_* and M :

$$0 \rightarrow A_* \square_{A(1)_*} M \rightarrow A_* \otimes M \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \psi} A_* \otimes A(1)_* \otimes M.$$

Second, if H_*Y is bounded below and locally finite, then there is a natural isomorphism $\Phi_Y : H_*Y \rightarrow A_* \square_{A(1)_*} \overline{H_*Y}$ compatible with the A_* -comodule and R -module structures, where $\overline{H_*Y}$ is the ‘‘ R -indecomposable quotient’’ of H_*Y given

by $\overline{H_*Y} := \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes_R H_*Y$ ([Sto92], 5.5). One refers to this by saying that the A_* -comodule H_*Y is an “extended” $A(1)_*$ -comodule, extended from $\overline{H_*Y}$. The map Φ_Y fits into the following commutative diagram (where it is a result of Stolz that the map $1 \otimes \pi$ factors through $A_* \square_{A(1)_*} \overline{H_*Y}$):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*Y & \xrightarrow{\text{coaction}} & A_* \otimes H_*Y & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \pi} & A_* \otimes \overline{H_*Y} \\ & \searrow \Phi_Y & \downarrow & \swarrow \text{incl.} & \\ & & A_* \square_{A(1)_*} \overline{H_*Y} & & \end{array}$$

Now, since the homology of $Y = \text{MSpin}^h$ is bounded below and locally finite, the above result applies. This means that instead of directly computing the A_* -comodule structure on $H_*\text{MSpin}^h$, we can instead compute the $A(1)_*$ -comodule $\overline{H_*\text{MSpin}^h}$ and then form the required cotensor product.

Let us also explicitly describe the dual situation. There is a natural map $\Phi^Y : A \otimes_{A(1)} \overline{H^*Y} \rightarrow H^*Y$ that is an A -module and H^* ko-comodule isomorphism as well as a $H^*\text{MSpin}$ -module map. Here, $\overline{H^*Y} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \square_{H^*\text{ko}} H^*Y$, and Φ^Y fits into the following commutative diagram, where ev refers to the A -module structure on H^*Y :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \otimes \overline{H^*Y} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{incl.}} & A \otimes H^*Y & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & H^*Y \\ & \searrow \text{quotient} & \uparrow & \swarrow \Phi^Y & \\ & & A \otimes_{A(1)} \overline{H^*Y} & & \end{array}$$

3. STRUCTURE RESULTS ON MSpin^h

We wish to describe the structure of MSpin^h . As a starting point one has the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a 2-local homotopy equivalence of spectra $\text{MSpin} \wedge \Sigma^{-3} \text{MSO}_3 \rightarrow \text{MSpin}^h$.*

Proof. First, note that Definition 2.1 is equivalent to saying that a $\text{Spin}^h(n)$ vector bundle is a pair (E_1, E_2) consisting of a principal $SO(n)$ -bundle E_1 and $SO(3)$ -bundle E_2 such that $w_2(E_1) = w_2(E_2)$. Then given a principal $\text{Spin}(n)$ -bundle E and $SO(3)$ -bundle F , the pair $(E \oplus F, F)$ is a $\text{Spin}^h(n+3)$ -bundle since $w_2(E \oplus F) = w_2(E) + w_1(E)w_1(F) + w_2(F) = w_2(F)$, where the first two terms vanish since E is spin.

This construction gives a map $f : B\text{Spin}(n) \times B\text{SO}_3 \rightarrow B\text{Spin}^h(n+3)$. We claim that this map induces an isomorphism on mod 2 cohomology as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the Thom isomorphism theorem, the claim implies that the corresponding map on the Thom space level $\text{MSpin}(n) \wedge \text{MSO}_3 \rightarrow \text{MSpin}^h(n+3)$ is an isomorphism on mod 2 cohomology as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus f provides a map $\text{MSpin} \wedge \text{MSO}_3 \rightarrow \Sigma^3 \text{MSpin}^h$ that induces an A -module isomorphism on cohomology, hence by the Adams spectral sequence a 2-local homotopy equivalence (strictly speaking, the Adams spectral sequence gives a 2-completed homotopy equivalence, but we can conclude that f gives a 2-local equivalence since we know the structure of these spectra with 2 inverted).

Now let us prove the claim. In the way of notation, denote elements of H^*BSO_{n+3} by w_i s, H^*BSO_n by \tilde{w}_i s, and H^*BSO_3 by w'_i s. Now note that there is a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BSpin(n) \times BSO_3 & \xrightarrow{f} & BSpin^h(n+3) \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ BSO_n \times BSO_3 & \xrightarrow{g} & BSO_{n+3} \times BSO_3 \end{array}$$

where α is obtained by taking the fiber of $BSO_n \times BSO_3 \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 2)$ corresponding to $\tilde{w}_2 \in H^2(BSO_n \times BSO_3)$ (or, put more simply, α is the map $Bp \times \text{id}$, where p is the double covering of SO_n by $Spin(n)$) and β is obtained by taking the fiber of $BSO_{n+3} \times BSO_3 \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 2)$ corresponding to $w_2 + w'_2 \in H^2(BSO_{n+3} \times BSO_3)$, and g is the map induced by sending a pair of bundles (E, F) to $(E \oplus F, F)$. This diagram is a pullback diagram because the corresponding map on groups is a pullback diagram, and the classifying space functor preserves small limits.

Further, a straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} g^*(w_2) &= \tilde{w}_2 + w'_2 & g^*(w'_2) &= w'_2 \\ g^*(w_3) &= \tilde{w}_3 + w'_3 & g^*(w'_3) &= w'_3 \\ g^*(w_i) &= \tilde{w}_i + \tilde{w}_{i-2}w'_2 + \tilde{w}_{i-3}w'_3, i > 3 \end{aligned}$$

so it is apparent that g^* is surjective with kernel concentrated in degrees $\geq n+1$. Consequently, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that g^* is an isomorphism and hence the same is true for f^* . \square


This gives the stronger result

Corollary 3.2. $M\text{Spin}^h \simeq M\text{Spin} \wedge \Sigma^{-3}MSO_3$ as $M\text{Spin}$ -module spectra.

Proof. In the construction $(E, F) \mapsto (E \oplus F, F)$, summing a spin bundle onto either side only involves summing with the first component, hence f is a map of $M\text{Spin}$ -module spectra. One also concludes that f is an equivalence with 2 inverted, since with 2 inverted $Spin^h(n) \simeq Spin(n) \times Sp(1) = Spin(n) \times SO(3)$ and f is certainly a rational isomorphism. Since all torsion is 2-torsion, f gives an isomorphism on integral cohomology, and by the Whitehead theorem is a homotopy equivalence. \square

By work discussed in section 2 (explicitly, this is a consequence of Corollary 5.5 discussed in section 6 of [Sto92]), one has that $\overline{H^*M\text{Spin}^h} \cong \overline{H^*M\text{Spin}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^*MSO_3$ so to compute the E_2 -term of the Adams spectral sequence for $M\text{Spin}^h$ we need only compute the $A(1)$ -module structure of $\overline{H^*M\text{Spin}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^*MSO_3$, where the action of $A(1)$ on this tensor product is given by the Cartan formula.

The $A(1)$ -module structure of each factor above is well-understood and is given by the following lemma. Let I be the augmentation ideal of $A(1)$, J the ‘‘joker’’ $A(1)/(A(1)\text{Sq}^{1,2})$, and $K = A(1)/(A(1)\text{Sq}^1 + A(1)\text{Sq}^{2,1,2})$ (note that $K = \overline{H^*\text{ksp}} = \Sigma^{-4}\overline{H^*\text{ko}\langle 4 \rangle}$). Images of these $A(1)$ -modules are depicted below, where a straight line from a basis element x to a basis element y depicts that $\text{Sq}^1(x) = y$, and respectively for curved lines and Sq^2 . We remark that our conventions have the lowest nonzero element in I , J , or K in degree 1, 0 or 0 (resp.).



Proposition 3.3. $\overline{H^*M\text{Spin}^h}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of suspensions of $A(1)$, $A(1)/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, and J . H^*MSO_3 is isomorphic to a direct sum of suspensions of $A(1)$, I , and K .

The first statement is the content of [ABP67]. The second is easily computed by hand, and its computation is carried out in detail by Freed and Hopkins in Appendix D of [FH21] (see D.4, D.5).

Proposition 3.3 implies that as an $A(1)$ -module, $\overline{H^*M\text{Spin}^h}$ is a direct sum of suspensions of each of the pairwise tensor products above. These tensor products (over \mathbb{Z}_2) are easily computable as follows.

- Lemma 3.4.**
- (1) For any connected $A(1)$ -module M , $A(1) \otimes M$ is a free $A(1)$ -module of rank $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} M$.
 - (2) $J \otimes K \cong \Sigma I \oplus A(1)$.
 - (3) $J \otimes \Sigma^{-1}I \cong \Sigma^2 K \oplus A(1) \oplus \Sigma A(1) \oplus \Sigma^2 A(1) \oplus \Sigma^3 A(1)$.

Proof. For (1), recall that the Künneth formula for Margolis homology gives $H^*(L \otimes M; z) \cong H^*(L; z) \otimes H^*(M; z)$ where z is $Q_0 = \text{Sq}^1$ or $Q_1 = \text{Sq}^{1,2} + \text{Sq}^{2,1}$. Together with the fact that a connected $A(1)$ -module is free if and only if its Margolis homologies vanish ([AM71]), setting $L = A(1)$ we see that $H^*(A(1) \otimes M; z) = 0 \otimes H^*(M; z) = 0$, from which the result follows.

As noted in [Sto92], (2) and (3) follow (stably) from a classification result of Adams and Priddy in [AP76], and our isomorphism is the result of a direct computation. We will describe bases for the terms on both sides of (2) and (3). Also for these calculations, note that we have adopted the convention that J and K start in degree zero and I starts in degree 1.

Denote a (\mathbb{Z}_2) basis for K by elements k_l in degree l , so K has basis $\{k_0, k_2, k_3\}$, and similarly denote the basis of J by $\{j_0, j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4\}$. For I we adopt the same convention, labeling elements by i_l s, but there are two basis elements in degree 3; let i_3 be the element such that $i_3 = \text{Sq}^2 i_1$ and i'_3 the element with $i'_3 = \text{Sq}^1 i_2$. For tensors omit the tensor product symbol, so $j_l \otimes k_m \in J \otimes K$ will be written $j_l k_m$.

For the isomorphism (2), $j_2 k_0$ generates a copy of I under the action by $A(1)$ and $j_0 k_0$ generates the $A(1)$. For (3), the copies of $A(1)$ are generated by $j_0 i_1, j_0 i_2, j_1 i_2$, and $j_2 i_2$, and the copy of K is generated by $j_0 i'_3 + j_1 i_2 + j_2 i_1$. The full computation of this fact is given in Figure 1. \square




FIGURE 1. Explicit calculation for the proof of Lemma 3.4, parts (2) and (3). Modules are aligned according to degree.

We have shown

Theorem 3.5. *As an $A(1)$ -module, $\overline{H^*M\text{Spin}^h}$ is a direct sum of suspensions of $A(1)$, I , and K . Each of these summands can be located by locating the appropriate summands of $A(1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 = A(1)/I$, and J in $\overline{H^*M\text{Spin}}$ and $A(1)$, I , and K in $H^*M\text{SO}_3$ and then applying 3.4.*

To locate the summands for $H^*M\text{Spin}$ one uses the Poincaré series found in [ABP66]. For $H^*M\text{SO}_3$ the summands can also be located via Poincaré series, given in Appendix D of [FH21]. Hence the homotopy groups of $M\text{Spin}^h$ are easily determined from this information; via [Sto94], for an $M\text{Spin}$ -module spectrum Y summands of $\overline{H^*Y}$ correspond to wedge summands of Y by the proof of Corollary 3.6 below: K corresponds to the spectrum $\text{ksp} = \Sigma^{-4}\text{ko}\langle 4 \rangle$, $A(1)$ the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $H\mathbb{Z}_2$, and I the cofiber C of the map $\text{ko} \rightarrow \overline{H\mathbb{Z}_2}$ representing the nontrivial class in $H^0\text{ko}$. Let $\widetilde{\text{ko}} = \Sigma^{-1}C$; it is easy to see that $\widetilde{\text{ko}}$ has homotopy groups identical to those of ko .

Corollary 3.6. $M\text{Spin}^h$ is 2-locally a generalized wedge sum of copies of $H\mathbb{Z}_2$, ksp , and $\widetilde{\text{ko}}$.

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.2 of [Sto94] several times to obtain this assertion as follows. First, there are finite spectra X, X_I , and X_K whose cohomologies are isomorphic as $A(1)$ -modules to $A(1), \Sigma^{-1}I$, and K (resp.) (cf. Propositions 8.5 of [Sto94] and 2.1 of [DM81]). Then apply Corollary 4.2 of [Sto94] first to $M\text{Spin}^h$ to obtain a generalized wedge sum of $\text{ko} \wedge (X, X_I, \text{or } X_K)$, and then once each to $H\mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq_2 \text{ko} \wedge X$, $\widetilde{\text{ko}} \simeq_2 \text{ko} \wedge X_I$, and $\text{ksp} \simeq_2 \text{ko} \wedge K$; it is easy to see that the $A(1)$ -modules present satisfy the assumptions for Stolz’s splitting results since copies of $\Sigma^{-1}I$ and K appear in degrees $0 \pmod 4$, and $A(1)$ is free. \square

4. A COUNTING PROCEDURE

In this section we carry out the “instructions” in Theorem 3.5 for locating summands to describe a precise decomposition of $M\text{Spin}^h$ in degrees ≤ 30 .

To begin let us start with the easier component $E = \Sigma^{-3}MSO_3$. Additively we have $H^*E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[w_2U, w_3U]$ for the Thom class U . In [FH21], Freed and Hopkins show that the $A(1)$ -module structure of H^*E is $H^*E \cong (K \oplus \Sigma^3I) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2[w_4^2] \oplus \text{free}$. Denote the Poincaré series for a graded \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space by $p_M(t)$, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_K(t) &= 1 + t^2 + t^3, \\ p_{\Sigma^{-1}I}(t) &= 1 + t + 2t^2 + t^3 + t^4 + t^5, \\ p_{\mathbb{Z}_2[w_4^2]}(t) &= \frac{1}{1 - t^8}, \\ p_E(t) &= \frac{1}{(1 - t^2)(1 - t^3)}, \\ p_{A(1)}(t) &= (1 + t)(1 + t^2)(1 + t^3). \end{aligned}$$

Then one can locate the free modules by computing

$$\frac{1}{p_{A(1)}(t)} \left(p_E(t) - p_{\mathbb{Z}_2[w_4^2]}(t)(p_K(t) + p_{\Sigma^3I}(t)) \right),$$

which gives $t^9 + t^{15} + t^{17} + t^{21} + t^{23} + t^{25} + t^{27} + t^{29} + O(t^{31})$, telling us that H^*E has a copy of $A(1)$ in degrees 9, 15, 17, etc. We also know that H^*E has a copy of K in all degrees $0 \pmod 8$ and $I' = \Sigma^{-1}I$ in degrees $4 \pmod 8$. Thus in degrees ≤ 30 , we have the following decomposition of H^*E :

degree	0	4	8	9	12	15	16	17
summand	K	I'	K	$A(1)$	I'	$A(1)$	K	$A(1)$
degree	20	21	23	24	25	27	28	29
summand	I'	$A(1)$	$A(1)$	K	$A(1)$	$A(1)$	I'	$A(1)$

In the above table, a summand M in a particular degree denotes that the lowest-degree element of M lives in the designated degree (so we have omitted suspensions), so explicitly our table means $H^*E = K \oplus \Sigma^4I' \oplus \Sigma^8K \oplus \Sigma^9A(1) \oplus \dots$.

Remark 4.1. The use of I' is justified here since while I corresponds to $\widetilde{\Sigma\text{ko}}$, the homotopy groups of $\widetilde{\Sigma\text{ko}}$ begin in degree 1, so using I' we can more easily read off homotopy groups without exposing ourselves to off-by-one errors.

For the MSpin component, a counting procedure outlined in [ABP66] tells us the summands in $\overline{H^*\text{MSpin}}$. We record the computation for degrees ≤ 30 here.

Let X be the graded vector space with generators x_J in dimension $4n$ for each partition² J of n with n even. Similarly, let Y be the graded vector space with generators y_J in dimension $4n - 2$ for each partition J of n with n odd. Let $L_1 = A/A(\text{Sq}^1, \text{Sq}^2)$ and $L_2 = A/A(\text{Sq}^3)$. Then Theorem 1.3 of [ABP66] says that there is a graded vector space Z such that

$$H^*\text{MSpin} \cong (L_1 \otimes X) \oplus (L_2 \otimes Y) \oplus (A \otimes Z).$$

We can locate the free A -summands of $H^*\text{MSpin}$, and hence the free $A(1)$ -summands of $\overline{H^*\text{MSpin}}$, by computing the Poincaré polynomial

$$p_Z(t) = \frac{1}{p_A(t)} (p_{H^*\text{MSpin}}(t) - p_{L_1}(t)p_X(t) - p_{L_2}(t)p_Y(t)).$$

These Poincaré polynomials, truncated sufficiently for our purposes of computing $p_Z(t)$ up through degree 30, are

$$\begin{aligned} p_{H^*\text{MSpin}}(t) &= \prod_{\substack{n>3 \\ n \neq 2^r+1}} (1-t^n)^{-1} = \prod_{\substack{4 \leq n \leq 40 \\ n \neq 5, 9, 17, 33}} (1-t^n)^{-1}, \\ p_{L_1}(t) &= \prod_{\substack{n=2^r-1 \\ r \geq 3}} (1-t^n)^{-1} (1-t^4)^{-1} (1-t^6)^{-1} = \prod_{n=4, 6, 7, 15, 31} (1-t^n)^{-1}, \\ p_{L_2}(t) &= p_{L_1}(t) (1+t+t^2+t^3+t^4), \\ p_A(t) &= \prod_{\substack{n=2^r-1 \\ r \geq 1}} (1-t^n)^{-1} = \prod_{n=1, 3, 7, 15, 31} (1-t^n)^{-1}, \\ p_X(t) &= 1 + t^8 + 2t^{16} + 4t^{24} + 7t^{32}, \\ p_Y(t) &= t^{10} + 2t^{18} + 4t^{26} + 8t^{34}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $p_Z(t) = t^{20} + t^{22} + 2t^{28} + t^{29} + 3t^{30} + O(t^{32})$. From this, we can create a similar table to the above for $\overline{H^*\text{MSpin}}$, which is

degree	0	8	10	16	18	20
summand	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	J	\mathbb{Z}_2^2	J^2	$A(1)$
degree	22	24	26	28	29	30
summand	$A(1)$	\mathbb{Z}_2^4	J^4	$A(1)^2$	$A(1)$	$A(1)^3$

Given this information we can compute tensor products and use Lemma 3.4 to decompose $H^*\text{MSpin}^h$. Being careful to keep track of suspensions we obtain

0	4	8	9	10	12
K	I'	K^2	$A(1)$	$A(1)$	$(I')^3$
14	15	16	17	18	19
$A(1)$	$A(1)^2$	$K^5 \oplus A(1)$	$A(1)^3$	$A(1)^3$	$A(1)$
20	21	22	23	24	25
$(I')^7 \oplus A(1)^2$	$A(1)^2$	$A(1)^6$	$A(1)^7$	$K^{11} \oplus A(1)^5$	$A(1)^{10}$
26	27	28	29	30	≥ 31
$A(1)^{11}$	$A(1)^7$	$(I')^{15} \oplus A(1)^{10}$	$A(1)^{10}$	$A(1)^{19}$	\dots

²These partitions cannot include 1, and we also allow $n = 0$.

Hence we have the 2-local splitting

$$\text{MSpin}^h \simeq \text{ksp} \vee \Sigma^4 \widetilde{\text{ko}} \vee \Sigma^8 \text{ksp} \vee \Sigma^8 \text{ksp} \vee \Sigma^9 H\mathbb{Z}_2 \vee \cdots$$

5. FURTHER RESULTS

Here we discuss the map $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h : \text{MSpin}^h \rightarrow \text{ksp}$. A more complete exposition can be found in [Hu23], but for our purposes it suffices to note that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h$ is a MSpin -module spectrum map over the spin orientation $\hat{\mathcal{A}} : \text{MSpin} \rightarrow \text{ko}$. Hu proves that on homotopy, the induced map (which we will also call $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h$) is epic. We can strengthen this result:

Lemma 5.1. $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h : \text{MSpin}^h \rightarrow \text{ksp}$ induces a split surjection on homotopy groups.

Proof. Let $F \rightarrow \text{MSpin}^h \xrightarrow{\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h} \text{ksp}$ be the corresponding fiber sequence. Since $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h$ is surjective on homotopy, there are short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_k F \rightarrow \pi_k \text{MSpin}^h \rightarrow \pi_k \text{ksp} \rightarrow 0$$

for all k .

When $k \neq 5, 6 \pmod{8}$, $\pi_k \text{ksp}$ is either 0 or \mathbb{Z} , hence is projective, so the sequences split in this case. When k is 5 or 6 mod 8, we have $\pi_k = \mathbb{Z}_2$. We will construct a right splitting $r : \pi_k \text{ksp} \rightarrow \pi_k \text{MSpin}^h$ in these cases.

Let $M_5 = \mathbb{H}P_+^1 \times S_\eta^1$, where $\mathbb{H}P_+^1$ is $\mathbb{H}P^1 \cong S^4$ with the spin^h structure described in 2.29 of [Hu23] and S_η^1 is S^1 with its non-bounding spin structure. Then M_5 is a spin^h 5-manifold. Now, as computed by Hu, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h$ sends $\mathbb{H}P_+^1$ to 1 on π_4 , so $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h$ must send M_5 to the generator η of $\pi_5 \text{ksp}$, otherwise we contradict the fact that multiplication by η is a surjection $\mathbb{Z} = \pi_4 \text{ksp} \rightarrow \pi_5 \text{ksp} = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Similarly, setting $M_6 = M_5 \times S_\eta^1$ we see that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h(M_6) \neq 0$; that is, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h(M_6)$ generates $\pi_6 \text{ksp}$.

For $k = 5, 6$ define $r(u) = [M_k]$ where u denotes a generator of $\pi_k \text{ksp}$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h \circ r(u) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}^h[M_k] = u$, so $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h \circ r = 1_{\pi_k \text{ksp}}$ and r is indeed a right splitting.

The above forms a base case for an induction argument for the general case, which proceeds as follows.

Let $k \equiv 5, 6 \pmod{8}$ and let M_k be a k -dimensional spin^h manifold with $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h[M_k] \neq 0$. Then take B , a Bott manifold (a simply connected spin 8-manifold with $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -genus equal to 1). Since $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h$ is an MSpin -module spectrum map over $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, we have that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h[B \times M_k] = \hat{\mathcal{A}}([B])\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h([M_k]) = \beta \cdot u \neq 0$, where β is the Bott element in $\pi_8 \text{ko}$ and u generates $\pi_k \text{ksp}$.

Then, defining $r : \pi_{k+8} \text{ksp} \rightarrow \pi_{k+8} \text{MSpin}^h$ via $\beta \cdot u \mapsto [B \times M_k]$ gives a right splitting of the short exact sequence in degree $k+8$. Thus the sequence splits for all $k \equiv 5, 6 \pmod{8}$. \square

With the decomposition provided in section 4 one also sees that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^h$ is the projection onto the bottom summand of MSpin^h .

REFERENCES

- [ABP66] D. W. Anderson, E. H. Brown, Jr., and F. P. Peterson. Spin cobordism. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72:256–260, 1966.
- [ABP67] D. W. Anderson, E. H. Brown, Jr., and F. P. Peterson. The structure of the Spin cobordism ring. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 86:271–298, 1967.
- [AM71] J. F. Adams and H. R. Margolis. Modules over the Steenrod algebra. *Topology*, 10:271–282, 1971.

- [AM21] Michael Albanese and Aleksandar Milivojević. Spin^h and further generalisations of spin. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 164:Paper No. 104174, 13, 2021.
- [AP76] J. F. Adams and S. B. Priddy. Uniqueness of BSO . *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 80(3):475–509, 1976.
- [DM81] Donald M. Davis and Mark Mahowald. v_1 - and v_2 -periodicity in stable homotopy theory. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103(4):615–659, 1981.
- [FH21] Daniel S. Freed and Michael J. Hopkins. Reflection positivity and invertible topological phases. *Geom. Topol.*, 25(3):1165–1330, 2021.
- [Hu23] Jiahao Hu. Invariants of real vector bundles. arXiv: 2310.05061, 2023.
- [Law23] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. Spin^h manifolds. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 19:Paper No. 012, 7, 2023.
- [Sto92] Stephan Stolz. Simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 136(3):511–540, 1992.
- [Sto94] Stephan Stolz. Splitting certain M Spin-module spectra. *Topology*, 33(1):159–180, 1994.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK
Email address: kmills96@umd.edu