

DICKSON INVARIANTS AND CHERN CLASSES OF THE CONJUGATION REPRESENTATIONS

XING GU

ABSTRACT. For an odd prime p , we consider the Chern classes γ_i of the conjugation representation of the projective unitary group $PU(p^l)$. We show that the restriction of γ_i on a maximal elementary abelian p subgroup are expressed as Dickson invariants, a collection of purely algebraically defined elements in the polynomial ring $F_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Furthermore, we show some relations in the cohomology algebra $H^*(BPU(p^2); \mathbb{F}_p)$ involving the classes γ_i .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number, $q = p^m$ and let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of q elements. Consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The general linear group $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ acts canonically on the vector space spanned by x_1, \dots, x_n . This action extends uniquely to a $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -action on $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ that preserves the products of polynomials.

Over a hundred years ago, Dickson [Dic11] completely determined the subring of invariants of this action, which we denote by $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_k]^{GL_k(\mathbb{F}_q)}$. More concretely, Dickson found a collection of algebraically independent generators of $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_k]^{GL_k(\mathbb{F}_q)}$, which we call the Dickson invariants.

It has been a long time since topologists noticed the connection between Dickson invariants and the cohomology of certain spaces, particularly BG , the classifying space of a topological group G . This connection is discussed in [AM13] and [Wil83], among other sources.

Consider the projective unitary group $PU(n)$, i.e., the unitary group $U(n)$ modulo the central subgroup of scalar matrices. The cohomology of $BPU(n)$ is studied in various works including [KY08], [KM75], [VV05], [Vez00], [Vis07], [Gu21a], [Gu21b] and [Gu20]. However, apart from several isolated cases considered in the aforementioned works, our knowledge on the cohomology of $BPU(n)$ is limited. For instance, even the cohomology of $BPU(p^2)$ for p an odd prime remains unknown as of the time of writing.

The cohomology of $BPU(n)$ plays a crucial role in the study of the topological period-index problem. For details see [AW14b], [AW14a] and [Gu19].

In this paper, we establish a connection between the Dickson invariants and the cohomology of $BPU(p^l)$, where p is an odd prime.

For $A \in U(n)$, let $[A]$ denote the element in $PU(n)$ represented by A . Then the conjugation action of $PU(n)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the complex vector space of $n \times n$ complex

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E47, 55R40.

Key words and phrases. projective unitary groups, Chern classes, conjugation representations, Dickson invariants.

The author is supported by the Young Scientists Fund, NSFC, No.12201503.

matrix, is defined by

$$[A] \circ M := AMA^{-1}, \quad [A] \in PU(n), \quad M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}.$$

Consider the Chern classes γ_i of the conjugation representation of $PU(p^l)$. In Section 3, we define an inclusion of a maximal elementary abelian p -subgroup of $PU(p^l)$, denoted by $\theta : V^{2l} \rightarrow PU(p^l)$. The group V^{2l} is the Cartesian product of $2l$ copies of \mathbb{Z}/p . Without risks of ambiguity, we also let θ denote the induced homotopy class of maps between the classifying spaces BV^{2l} and $BPU(p^l)$.

The subalgebra of even-dimensional elements in $H^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is the polynomial algebra over \mathbb{F}_p in one variable. By Künneth formula, this yields a subalgebra of $H^*(BV^{2l}; \mathbb{F}_p)$, which is the polynomial algebra over \mathbb{F}_p in $2l$ variables, which we denote by ξ_i, η_i for $1 \leq i \leq l$.

It turns out that the Chern classes $\theta^*(\gamma_i)$ are related to the Dickson invariants in an essential way.

In the following theorem, $C_{2l,k}$ denotes the Dickson invariants to be defined later.

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be an odd prime. Let γ_i be the i th Chern class of the conjugation representation of $PU(p^l)$. We have*

$$\theta^*(\gamma_i) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k C_{2l,k}(\eta_1, \xi_1, \eta_2, \xi_2, \dots, \eta_l, \xi_l), & i = p^{2l} - p^k \text{ for some } 0 \leq k \leq 2l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The next theorem is an application of Theorem 1.1 to the study of complex vector bundles with an additional algebraic structure. A (complex) topological Azumaya algebra of rank n is a fiber bundle over a topological space X of which the fibers are isomorphic to the \mathbb{C} -algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of $n \times n$ complex matrices, and the structure group $PGL_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by conjugation. Therefore, A topological Azumaya of rank n has an underlying vector bundle of dimension n^2 .

When X is a finite CW complex, we may replace $PGL_n(\mathbb{C})$ by its compact subgroup $PU(n)$ without changing the theory of characteristic classes. Topological Azumaya algebras plays a central role in the study of the topological period-index problem.

Theorem 1.2. (1) *Let $p^{2l} - p^l < i \leq p^{2l}$ and $i \neq p^{2l} - p^k$ for any positive integer k . Then γ_i is a nilpotent element of the ring $H^*(BPU(p^l); \mathbb{F}_p)$.*

(2) *Let i be as above. Let N_i be the nilpotent index of γ_i . Let X be a connected CW complex, and let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle over X of dimension p^{2l} , and let c_i be the i th Chern class of \mathcal{E} . If \mathcal{E} is the underlying vector bundle of an Azumaya algebra, then $c_i^{N_i} = 0$.*

In [Gu20], the author considers p -torsion integral cohomology classes $y_{p,k} \in H^{2(p^{k+1}+1)}(BPU(n); \mathbb{Z})$ which play an important role in the study of the p -primary component of the cohomology of $BPU(n)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . In this paper, we consider the mod p reductions of $-y_{p,i-1}$, denoted by α_i .

The next theorem concerns relations in the cohomology algebra of $BPU(p^2)$ involving the aforementioned classes α_i and the Chern classes γ_k . In the theorem, R_j denotes some graded polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4]$ for $0 \leq j \leq 4$, with $\deg Y_i = p^i + 1$, which are defined in Section 5.

Theorem 1.3. *Let p be an odd prime. Let \mathcal{N} denote the nilpotent ideal of $H^*(BPU(p^2); \mathbb{F}_p)$. Then we have*

$$R_j(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \equiv (-1)^j \gamma_{p^4 - p^j} R_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \pmod{\mathcal{N}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 4.$$

More concretely, the relations stated in Theorem 1.3 are

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_1^p \alpha_4 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2^{p^2} - \alpha_2 \alpha_3^p &\equiv -\gamma_{p^4-p^3}(\alpha_1^{p^2+1} - \alpha_2^{p+1} + \alpha_1^p \alpha_3) \pmod{\mathcal{N}}, \\ \alpha_1^{p^3+1} + \alpha_2^p \alpha_4 - \alpha_3^{p+1} &\equiv \gamma_{p^4-p^2}(\alpha_1^{p^2+1} - \alpha_2^{p+1} + \alpha_1^p \alpha_3) \pmod{\mathcal{N}}, \\ \alpha_1^{p^3} \alpha_2 - \alpha_2^{p^2} \alpha_3 + \alpha_1^{p^2} \alpha_4 &\equiv -\gamma_{p^4-p}(\alpha_1^{p^2+1} - \alpha_2^{p+1} + \alpha_1^p \alpha_3) \pmod{\mathcal{N}}, \\ \alpha_1^{p^3+p} - \alpha_2^{p^2+p} + \alpha_1^{p^2} \alpha_3 &\equiv \gamma_{p^4-1}(\alpha_1^{p^2+1} - \alpha_2^{p+1} + \alpha_1^p \alpha_3) \pmod{\mathcal{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.4. Proposition 5.2 states that α_i for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ are algebraically independent. Therefore, the relations in Theorem 1.3 are nontrivial.

Section 2 is a concise review of the theory of Dickson invariants. Section 3 is devoted to the study of a maximal elementary abelian p -subgroup V^{2l} of $PU(p^l)$, where p is an odd prime. In Section 4 we determine the Chern classes of the conjugation representation of V^{2l} and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 we consider the cohomology of BV^4 and $BPU(p^2)$ for an odd prime p , and prove Theorem 1.3.

2. THE DICKSON INVARIANTS

In this section, we review the definition and the fundamental theorem on Dickson invariants [Dic11]. The exposition of this section mostly follows [Wil83], with only minor changes in notations.

Let $q = p^m$ where p is a prime number, and let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of q elements. Let $R = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over \mathbb{F}_q in n variables. The group $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ acts canonically on the \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces spanned by x_1, \dots, x_n . This action extends uniquely to a $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -action over R preserving products of polynomials, which is the action to be considered for the rest of this section.

Remark 2.1. Only the case $q = p$ is relevant to the main results of this paper.

Let

$$\Delta_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, X) := \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n & X \\ x_1^q & \cdots & x_n^q & X^q \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^{q^n} & \cdots & x_n^{q^n} & X^{q^n} \end{pmatrix} \in R[X],$$

and let

$$f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, X) = \prod_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{F}_q} (X - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i) \in R[X].$$

We write $\Delta_n(X)$ and $f_n(X)$ instead of $\Delta_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, X)$ and $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, X)$, if no risk of ambiguity occurs.

We may easily verify $f_n(X) \mid \Delta_n(X)$, and therefore we have

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_n(X) = \Delta_{n-1}(x_n) f_n(X).$$

In particular, the coefficient of X^k in $f_n(X)$ is 0 unless k is a power of q , and we write

$$(2.2) \quad f_n(X) = X^{q^n} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+i} C_{n,i} X^{q^i}$$

where $C_{n,i} \in R$. We follow the convention $C_{n,n} = 1$.

The main result of [Dic11] is the following

Theorem 2.2 (Dickson, [Dic11]). (a) Let

$$\Delta_{n,i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ x_1^q & \cdots & x_n^q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widehat{x_i^{q^i}} & \cdots & \widehat{x_n^{q^i}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_1^{q^n} & \cdots & x_n^{q^n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the hats indicate that the row $(x_1^{q^i}, \dots, x_n^{q^i})$ is absent. When there is no risk of ambiguity, we write $\Delta_{n,i}$ for $\Delta_{n,i}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. In particular, we have

$$\Delta_{n,n} = \Delta_{n-1}(x_1 \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Then we have $\Delta_{n,n} \mid \Delta_{n,i}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $C_{n,i} = \Delta_{n,i}/\Delta_{n,n}$.

(b) The polynomials $C_{n,0}, C_{n,1}, \dots, C_{n,n-1}$ are algebraically independent in R , and we have

$$R^{GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} = \mathbb{F}_q[C_{n,0}, C_{n,1}, \dots, C_{n,n-1}].$$

3. A MAXIMAL ELEMENTARY ABELIAN p -SUBGROUP OF $PU(p^l)$

The nontoral elementary abelian p -subgroups of $PU(n)$ are studied in [Gri91] and [AGMV08].

For the rest of this section, we fix an odd prime p . We consider a maximal p -elementary subgroups of $PU(p^l)$. Much of the exposition follows [Gu20]. The special case $l = 1$ is studied in details in [Vis07] and [KY93].

Definition 3.1. The extraspecial p -group p_+^3 is defined in terms of generators and relations as

$$(3.1) \quad p_+^3 := \langle z, e, f \mid 1 \leq i \leq r \rangle / \mathcal{R}$$

where \mathcal{R} are the relations

$$(3.2) \quad e^p, f^p, z^p, [e, z], [f, z], z[f, e].$$

Here $[-, -]$ is the notation for commutators. Let $Z(G)$ denote the center of a group G . Then $Z(p_+^3)$ is the cyclic group of order p generated by z .

We recall the following

Definition 3.2. Let G_1, G_2 be groups such that there is an isomorphism $\phi : Z(G_1) \rightarrow Z(G_2)$. The *central product* of G_1 and G_2 with respect to ϕ is

$$G_1 *_\phi G_2 := (G_1 \times G_2) / \{(z, \phi(z)) \mid z \in Z(G_1)\}.$$

We omit the subscript ϕ when it is clear from the context. In particular, we write $G * G$ in the case that ϕ is the identity on $Z(G)$.

Remark 3.3. The central product is associative and we feel free to write $G_1 *_\phi G_2 *_\phi G_3 \cdots *_\phi G_r$, and in particular $G * G * \cdots * G$.

Definition 3.4. The extraspecial p -group p_+^{1+2l} is defined as

$$p_+^{1+2l} = \underbrace{p_+^3 * \cdots * p_+^3}_{l \text{ copies}}.$$

For the rest of this paper, we use V as an alternative notation for the cyclic group of order p and we denote by V^k the k -fold direct sum of V .

A straightforward computation shows the following.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $V^{2l} = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\times 2l}$ be the Cartesian product of cyclic groups of order p . There is a short exact sequence of groups*

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow p_+^{1+2l} \rightarrow V^{2l} \rightarrow 1,$$

where \mathbb{Z}/p maps onto $Z(p_+^{1+2l})$.

We identify p_+^{1+2l} as a closed subgroup of $U(p)$ via a monomorphism $\bar{\theta} : p_+^{1+2l} \hookrightarrow U_{p^l}$, which is defined as follows.

Let

$$(3.3) \quad \omega := e^{2\pi i/p}, \quad \tilde{\sigma} := \begin{pmatrix} \omega & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \omega^{p-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\tau} := \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ I_{p-1} & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $l = 1$, we define $\bar{\theta} : p_+^{1+2l} \rightarrow U(p)$ as follows:

$$\bar{\theta}(z) := \omega I_p, \quad \bar{\theta}(e) := \tilde{\sigma}, \quad \bar{\theta}(f) := \tilde{\tau}.$$

It is straightforward to check that the above indeed gives a monomorphism of Lie groups.

A minor change of view helps us define $\bar{\theta} : p_+^{1+2l} \hookrightarrow U_{p^l}$ for $l > 1$. Let $\Upsilon = \mathbb{C}^p$. We regard the case $l = 1$ as a unitary representation of p_+^3 on Υ , which induces a unitary representation of $(p_+^3)^{\times l}$ on $\Upsilon^{\otimes l}$ defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & (p_+^3)^{\times l} \times (\Upsilon)^{\otimes l} \rightarrow (\Upsilon)^{\otimes l}, \\ & ((g_1, \dots, g_l), v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_l) \rightarrow g_1 v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_l v_l. \end{aligned}$$

One observes that the actions of the elements of the form $(1, \dots, 1, z, 1, \dots, 1)$ are all identically the scalar multiplication with ω . Therefore, the representation (3.4) induces a representation of p_+^{1+2l} on $\Upsilon^{\otimes l}$, which is easily checked to be faithful and yields the desired monomorphism $\bar{\theta} : p_+^{1+2l} \hookrightarrow U_{p^l}$. It follows from Proposition 3.5 that $\bar{\theta}$ induces a monomorphism

$$(3.5) \quad \theta : V^{2l} \hookrightarrow PU(p^l).$$

Proposition 3.6. *The homomorphism $\theta : V^{2l} \hookrightarrow PU(p^l)$ is an inclusion of a maximal nontoral elementary abelian p -subgroup. Up to conjugacy, this is the unique maximal nontoral elementary abelian p -subgroup of $PU(p^l)$.*

Proof. The fact that θ is an inclusion of a maximal nontoral elementary abelian p -subgroup follows from (3.1) THEOREM of [Gri91]. The uniqueness statement follows from Theorem 8.5 of [AGMV08]. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\iota : A \rightarrow PU(p^l)$ be an inclusion of an elementary abelian p -subgroup, and let $\alpha \in H^*(BPU(p^l); \mathbb{F}_p)$ satisfying $\theta^*(\alpha) = 0$ and $\iota^*(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then ι is an inclusion of a toral subgroup.*

4. THE CHERN CLASSES OF THE CONJUGATION REPRESENTATIONS

Let $\mathcal{M} := \Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon^\vee$, where Υ^\vee denotes the dual of Υ . Tautologically, we regard \mathcal{M} as the complex vector space of $n \times n$ matrices.

Definition 4.1. Let $[A] \in PU(n)$ be represented by $A \in U(n)$. The *conjugation representation* of $PU(n)$, which we denote by Ψ , is defined by

$$\Psi : PU(n) \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, ([A], M) \mapsto A \circ M := AMA^{-1}.$$

The restriction of the conjugation representation to a subgroup of $PU(n)$ is also called a conjugation representation.

Let $n = p^l$ for an odd prime p and an integer $l > 0$. Recall the inclusion $\theta : V^{2l} \hookrightarrow PU(p^l)$ defined in (3.5). In this section we consider $\theta^*(\Psi)$, the restriction of Ψ on V^{2l} via θ .

We begin with the case $l = 1$, where we have the conjugation representation of V^2 on \mathcal{M} . Recall the notations ω , $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ in (3.3). Let σ and τ denote the classes in the quotient group V^2 represented respectively by $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$.

For $i, j = 0, \dots, p-1$, we define $A_{i,j}$ as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad A_{i,0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_i \\ I_{p-i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{0,j} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{(p-1)j} & & & & \\ & \omega^{(p-2)j} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \omega^j & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{i,j} = A_{i,0}A_{0,j}.$$

Notice that the formally different definitions for $A_{0,0}$ coincide with one another as they all yield $A_{0,0} = I_p$.

Lemma 4.2. *As the ambient space of the representation $\theta^*(\Psi)$, \mathcal{M} splits as follows:*

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i, j \leq p-1} \mathbb{C}A_{i,j}.$$

Each $\mathbb{C}A_{i,j}$ is an invariant subspace of $\theta^*(\Psi)$ satisfying

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma \circ A_{i,j} = \omega^i A_{i,j}, \quad \tau \circ A_{i,j} = \omega^j A_{i,j}.$$

Proof. In this proof, we regard the row and column indices of $p \times p$ matrices as elements of \mathbb{F}_p , which means we feel free to perform modular arithmetic on them. A straightforward computation yields (4.2). In particular, this shows that $\mathbb{C}A_{i,j}$ are invariant subspaces of $\theta^*(\Psi)$.

It remains to show that the $A_{i,j}$'s span \mathcal{M} . Let $E_{ij} \in \mathcal{M}$ be the matrix with 1 at the (i, j) -entry and 0 at other entries. Let \mathcal{M}_i be the subspace of \mathcal{M} spanned by

$$(4.3) \quad \{E_{i+j,j} : j \in \mathbb{F}_p\}.$$

Therefore, we have $A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}_i$ for all $j \in \mathbb{F}_p$. If we write the coordinates of $A_{i,0}, A_{i,1}, \dots, A_{i,p-1}$ with respect to the basis (4.3) of \mathcal{M}_i as column vectors, we

obtain a Vandermonde matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \cdots & \omega^{p-1} \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \cdots & \omega^{2(p-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{p-1} & \omega^{2(p-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{(p-1)^2} \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is invertible. Therefore, the matrices $A_{i,j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{F}_p$ form a basis for \mathcal{M}_i . We observe $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{M}_i$, and the proof is completed. \square

Lemma 4.2 completely describes the representation $\theta^*(\Psi)$ in the case $l = 1$. For the general case, we write

$$V^{2l} = \underbrace{V^2 \times V^2 \times \cdots \times V^2}_{l \text{ copies}}$$

as the Cartesian product of l copies of V^2 . Let

$$\sigma_k = (1, \dots, 1, \sigma, 1, \dots, 1) \text{ (with } \sigma \text{ at the } k\text{th entry)}$$

and

$$\tau_k = (1, \dots, 1, \tau, 1, \dots, 1) \text{ (with } \tau \text{ at the } k\text{th entry)}$$

Then $\sigma_k, \tau_k, 1 \leq k \leq l$ is a basis for V^{2l} . The representation $\theta^*(\Psi)$ in the general case is characterized by the following

Proposition 4.3. *Let*

$$\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{F}_p^{2l} = \{(i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l) \mid i_k, j_k \in \mathbb{F}_p\}.$$

For $(i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l) \in \mathbb{I}$, let

$$A_{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l} = A_{i_1, j_1} \otimes A_{i_2, j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{i_l, j_l} \in \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}.$$

Then we have

$$\sigma_k \circ A_{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l} = \omega^{i_k} A_{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l},$$

$$\tau_k \circ A_{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l} = \omega^{j_k} A_{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l}.$$

Furthermore, the vector space $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}$ splits as

$$\mathcal{M}^{\otimes l} = \bigoplus_{(i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l) \in \mathbb{I}} \mathbb{C} A_{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, it suffice to show that the representation $\theta^*(\Psi)$ is defined by the action of V^{2l} on $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}$

$$(4.4) \quad (g_1, \dots, g_l) \circ (m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_l) = (g_1 \circ m_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (g_l \circ m_l),$$

where

$$(g_1, \dots, g_l) \in \underbrace{V^2 \times V^2 \times \cdots \times V^2}_{l \text{ copies}} = V^{2l}.$$

The conjugation action of $PU(p)$ on $\mathcal{M} = \Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon^\vee$ is given by

$$(4.5) \quad [A] \circ (v \otimes \varphi) = Av \otimes \varphi A^{-1},$$

where $[A] \in PU(p)$ is represented by $A \in U(p)$, and we have $v \in \Upsilon$, $\varphi \in \Upsilon^\vee$. The notations Av and φA^{-1} denote the usual product of matrices, with v regarded as a column vector and φ a row vector.

Recall that in (3.4) we defined the representation of $(p_+^3)^{\times l}$ on $\Upsilon^{\otimes l}$, which is equivalent to a homomorphism $(p_+^3)^{\times l} \rightarrow U(p^l)$. By (4.5), the associated conjugation representation

$$(p_+^3)^{\times l} \times (\Upsilon^{\otimes l} \otimes (\Upsilon^{\otimes l})^\vee) \rightarrow \Upsilon^{\otimes l} \otimes (\Upsilon^{\otimes l})^\vee \cong (\Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon^\vee)^{\otimes l}$$

is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} & ((g_1, \dots, g_l), v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_r \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_l) \\ \mapsto & (g_1 v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_r v_l) \otimes (\varphi_1 g_1^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_l g_l^{-1}) \\ \mapsto & (g_1 v_1 \otimes \varphi_1 g_1^{-1}) \otimes (g_2 v_2 \otimes \varphi_2 g_2^{-1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (g_l v_l \otimes \varphi_l g_l^{-1}) \\ = & g_1 \circ (v_1 \otimes \varphi_1) \otimes g_2 \circ (v_2 \otimes \varphi_2) \otimes \dots \otimes g_l \circ (v_l \otimes \varphi_l). \end{aligned}$$

Since the representation $\theta^*(\Psi)$ factors through the representation given by (3.4) via the quotient homomorphism $(p_+^3)^{\times l} \rightarrow V^{2l}$, we have verified that $\theta^*(\Psi)$ is defined by (4.4). \square

Let $\gamma_i \in H^{2i}(BPU(p^l); \mathbb{F}_p)$ denote the i th Chern class of the conjugation representation of $PU(p^l)$. We consider the classes $\theta^*(\gamma_i) \in H^{2i}(BV^{2l}; \mathbb{F}_p)$, $i \geq 0$, the Chern classes of $\theta^*(\Psi)$ with coefficient ring \mathbb{F}_p .

Let δ be the connecting homomorphism from $H^k(-; \mathbb{F}_p)$ to $H^{k+1}(-; \mathbb{F}_p)$ associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we have

$$H^*(BV^2; \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[a, b, \xi, \eta]$$

with $\dim a = \dim b = 1$, $\dim \xi = \dim \eta = 2$, $\delta(a) = \xi$ and $\delta(b) = \eta$.

The i th projection

$$\text{pr}_i : V^{2l} = \underbrace{V^2 \times V^2 \times \dots \times V^2}_{l \text{ copies}} \rightarrow V^2$$

for $1 \leq i \leq l$ induces a homomorphism

$$\text{pr}_i^* : H^*(BV^2; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^*(BV^{2l}; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

and we define $\diamond_i := \text{pr}_i^*(\diamond)$ for $\diamond = a, b, \xi, \eta$.

We restate and prove Theorem 1.1:

Theorem (Theorem 1.1). *Let p be an odd prime. Let γ_i be the i th Chern class of the conjugation representation of $PU(p^l)$. We have*

$$\theta^*(\gamma_i) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k C_{2l,k}(\eta_1, \xi_1, \eta_2, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_l, \eta_l), & i = p^{2l} - p^k \text{ for some } 0 \leq k \leq 2l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, for each $(i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l) \in \mathbb{I}$, we have the 1-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}A_{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l}$ of V^{2l} , of which the total Chern class is

$$c(\mathbb{C}A_{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^l (i_k \xi_k + j_k \eta_k).$$

Therefore, the splitting in Proposition 4.3 yields

$$\prod_{(i_1, j_1, \dots, i_l, j_l) \in \mathbb{I}} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^l (i_k \xi_k + j_k \eta_k) \right] = \sum_{k=0}^l \theta^*(\gamma_k).$$

The desired expressions for γ_i then follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 4.4. *We have*

$$\theta^*(\gamma_{p^{2l}-1}) = \Delta_{2l,2l}(\eta_1, \xi_1, \dots, \eta_l, \xi_l)^{p-1}.$$

Proof. This follows from the relation

$$\Delta_{2l,0}(\eta_1, \xi_1, \dots, \eta_l, \xi_l) = \Delta_{2l,2l}(\eta_1, \xi_1, \dots, \eta_l, \xi_l)^p.$$

\square

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\iota : T \rightarrow PU(p^l)$ be an inclusion of a maximal torus. Let $\alpha \in H^*(BPU(p^l); \mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $\iota^*(\alpha) = 0$ and $\theta^*(\alpha) = 0$. Then α is nilpotent.*

Proof. We recall the work of Quillen [Qui71]. Let G be a compact Lie group, and \mathcal{A} the category of elementary abelian p -subgroups of G and inclusions, and define the functor

$$\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Vect } \mathbb{F}_p, \quad A \mapsto H^*(BA; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

where $\text{Vect } \mathbb{F}_p$ denotes the category of \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces. Then Quillen [Qui71] shows that the kernel of the tautological homomorphism

$$(4.6) \quad \tau : H^*(BG; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \text{invlim } \mathcal{H}$$

is contained in \mathcal{N} . It follows from Proposition 3.6 that, up to conjugacy, $\theta : V^{2l} \rightarrow PU(p^l)$ is an inclusion of a maximal elementary abelian p -group. The lemma then follows from (4.6). \square

We restate and prove Theorem 1.2:

Theorem (Theorem 1.2). *(1) Let $p^{2l} - p^l < i \leq p^{2l}$ and $i \neq p^{2l} - p^k$ for any positive integer k . Then γ_i is a nilpotent element of the ring $H^*(BPU(p^l); \mathbb{F}_p)$.
(2) Let i be as above. Let N_i be the nilpotent index of γ_i . Let X be a pointed connected CW complex, and let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle over X of dimension p^{2l} , and let c_i be the i th Chern class of \mathcal{E} . If \mathcal{E} is the underlying vector bundle of an Azumaya algebra, then $c_i^{N_i} = 0$.*

Proof. To prove (1), let $\iota : T \rightarrow PU(p^l)$ be a maximal torus of $PU(p^l)$. The conjugation representation of T splits as a direct sum of $p^{2l} - p^l$ line bundles. Therefore $\iota^*(\gamma_i) = 0$ for $p^{2l} - p^l < i \leq p^{2l}$ and $i \neq p^{2l} - p^k$. We further assume $i \neq p^{2l} - p^k$ for any positive integer k . By Theorem 1.1, we have $\theta^*(\gamma_i) = 0$. Then (1) follows from Lemma 4.5.

The statement (2) is an immediate corollary of (1). \square

5. SOME POLYNOMIAL RELATIONS INVOLVING THE CHERN CLASSES OF CONJUGATION REPRESENTATIONS

By definition, the group $PU(n)$ fits in a short exact sequence of Lie groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow U(n) \rightarrow PU(n) \rightarrow 1$$

which induces a homotopy fiber sequence

$$(5.1) \quad BU(n) \rightarrow BPU(n) \xrightarrow{\chi} K(\mathbb{Z}, 3).$$

We regard the homotopy class of $\chi : BPU(n) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ as a canonical generator of $H^3(BPU(n); \mathbb{Z})$ and denote it by x . Let overhead bars over integral cohomology

classes denote their mod p reductions. In [Gu20], the author considers the integral cohomology classes

$$y_{p,k} \in H^{2(p^{k+1}+1)} = \tilde{\delta} P^{p^k} P^{p^{k-1}} \dots P^1(\bar{x})$$

where $\tilde{\delta}$ denotes the connecting homomorphism $H^*(-; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(-; \mathbb{Z})$ and P^i denotes the i th Steenrod reduced power operation. Milnor [Mil58] defines the operations Q_i satisfying

$$Q_i = \begin{cases} \delta, & i = 0, \\ P^{p^{i-1}} Q_{i-1} - Q_{i-1} P^{p^{i-1}}, & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

For $i > 0$, let

$$\alpha_i = Q_i(\bar{x}) \in H^{2(p^i+1)}(BPU(n); \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Proposition 5.1. *For $i \geq 1$, we have $\alpha_i = -\bar{y}_{p,i-1}$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on i . For $i = 1$, this is a routine computation. For $i > 1$, by the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i(\bar{x}) &= (P^{p^{i-1}} Q_{i-1} - Q_{i-1} P^{p^{i-1}})(\bar{x}) = P^{p^{i-1}} Q_{i-1}(\bar{x}) \\ &= P^{p^{i-1}}(-\bar{y}_{p,i-2}) = -P^{p^{i-1}} \delta P^{p^{i-2}} P^{p^{i-3}} \dots P^1(\bar{x}), \end{aligned}$$

and the desired result follows from the Adem relation $P^{p^{i-1}} \delta P^{p^{i-2}} = \delta P^{p^{i-1}} P^{p^{i-2}}$. \square

Take $n = p^l$, and consider the monomorphism $\theta : BV^{2l} \rightarrow BPU(p^l)$. In [Gu20], it is shown that we have

$$(5.2) \quad \theta^*(\bar{x}) = \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^l a_i \eta_i - \xi_i b_i \right), \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times.$$

We define

$$r_i = Q_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^r a_j \eta_j - \xi_j b_j \right).$$

By Proposition 5.1 and (5.2), we deduce

$$(5.3) \quad r_i = \lambda \theta^*(\alpha_i).$$

An inductive computation shows

$$(5.4) \quad r_i = \sum_{j=1}^l \xi_j^{p^i} \eta_j - \xi_j \eta_j^{p^i}.$$

The following is a partial analog of Theorem 1.1 of [Gu20].

Proposition 5.2. *The elements α_i for $1 \leq i \leq 2l$ are algebraically independent in $H^*(BPU(p^l); \mathbb{F}_p)$.*

Proof. The argument is almost identical to the one in [Gu20]. By (5.3), it suffices to show that r_i for $1 \leq i \leq 2l$ are algebraically independent in $H^*(BV^{2l}; \mathbb{F}_p)$, which follows from (5.4), by applying the Jacobian criterion. \square

Vistoli [Vis07] considers the case $l = 1$ and shows that r_1 plays a critical role in understanding the cohomology of $BPU(p)$. In particular, Vistoli shows

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta^*(\gamma_{p^2-p}) &= -\xi^{p^2-p} - \eta^{p-1}(\xi^{p-1} - \eta^{p-1})^{p-1}, \\ \theta^*(\gamma_{p^2-1}) &= r_1^{p-1} = (\xi^p \eta - \xi \eta^p)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Following (5.5), we may verify, for instance, the relations

$$r_2 = -\theta^*(\gamma_{p^2-p})r_1, \quad r_1^p = \theta^*(\gamma_{p^2-1})r_1.$$

Analog relations in the cases $l > 1$ are expected to be significantly more complicated. For the rest of this section, we consider the case $l = 2$.

Definition 5.3. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_4\}$ be a set of 4 nonnegative integers. The $(2, 2)$ -partitions of I are binary sets of the form $\rho = \{\rho(1), \rho(2)\}$ where each $\rho(j)$ is a binary subset of I with $\rho(1) \cup \rho(2) = I$.

Definition 5.4. Given $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_4\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_4$, and $\rho \in P(I)$, if $\rho(j) = \{k_j, l_j\}, k_j < l_j$, for $j = 1, 2$, then by *the sign of ρ* , denoted by $\epsilon(\rho)$, we mean the sign of the permutation

$$\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & i_4 \\ k_1 & l_1 & k_2 & l_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

or equivalently of

$$\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & i_4 \\ k_2 & l_2 & k_1 & l_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 5.5. For I as above, and $\rho, \kappa \in P(I)$, $\rho \neq \kappa$, there is a unique bijection

$$f : \rho(1) = \{k_1, l_1\} \rightarrow \rho(2) = \{k_2, l_2\}$$

such that

$$\kappa = \{\{k_1, f(k_1)\}, \{l_1, f(l_1)\}\}.$$

In this case, we define

$$(\rho/\kappa) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f \text{ is increasing,} \\ -1, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 5.6. In Definition 5.5, we choose $\rho(1)$ to be the domain of f . Since f and f^{-1} are of the same monotonicity, the value of (ρ/κ) is independent of this choice. Therefore the symbol (ρ/κ) is well defined.

The permutation group S_4 acts tautologically on I and therefore also on the set of all $(2, 2)$ -partitions of I , which we denote by

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= \{\{i_1, i_2\}, \{i_3, i_4\}\}, \\ v &= \{\{i_1, i_3\}, \{i_2, i_4\}\}, \\ w &= \{\{i_1, i_4\}, \{i_2, i_3\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The group S_4 is the disjoint union of the cosets

$$\begin{aligned} S(u) &= \text{the stabilizer of } u, \\ S(v) &= \{s \in S_4 \mid s(u) = v\}, \\ S(w) &= \{s \in S_4 \mid s(u) = w\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.7. *For I as above and $\kappa \in P(I)$, we have*

$$\sum_{\rho \in P(I), \rho \neq \kappa} \epsilon(\rho)(\rho/\kappa) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_4\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_4$. Then all the $(2, 2)$ -partitions u, v, w of I as defined by (5.6). Then a straightforward computation shows

$$\epsilon(u) = 1, \quad \epsilon(v) = -1, \quad \epsilon(w) = 1,$$

and

$$(u/v) = (v/u) = (w/u) = 1, \quad (u/w) = (v/w) = (w/v) = -1,$$

and the desired equation follows. \square

Convention. *For the rest of this section, all cohomology classes in sight are of even dimensions. Therefore, we regard the subalgebra of $H^*(BV^4; \mathbb{F}_p)$ of even-dimensional elements as a graded algebra, where elements in $H^{2i}(BV^4; \mathbb{F}_p)$ are of degree i . We follow this convention for the rest of this section.*

Definition 5.8. Consider the graded polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_p[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4]$ with $\deg(Y_i) = p^i + 1$. For $0 \leq i < j \leq 4$, let

$$R_{i,j}(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4) := Y_{j-i}^{p^i}.$$

For $t = \{i, j\}$ with $0 \leq i < j \leq 4$, let

$$R_t := R_{i,j}, \quad p^t := p^i + p^j.$$

For $0 \leq j \leq 4$, let

$$I_j := \{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 4\} - \{j\},$$

and let

$$R_j = \sum_{\rho \in P(I_j)} \epsilon(\rho) R_{\rho(1)} R_{\rho(2)} \in \mathbb{F}_p[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4].$$

Lemma 5.9. *For $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have*

$$R_j(aY_1, aY_2, aY_3, aY_4) = a^2 R_j(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4).$$

Proof. This follows from Fermat's little theorem, which states that for $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have $a^p = a$. \square

Lemma 5.10. *For $0 \leq j \leq 4$, let $I_j = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$, and let $u \in P(I_j)$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{\rho(1)}(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) R_{\rho(2)}(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = \\ & \sum_{\sigma \in S(\rho)} \epsilon(\rho) (-1)^\sigma \eta_1^{p^{\sigma(i_1)}} \xi_1^{p^{\sigma(i_2)}} \eta_2^{p^{\sigma(i_3)}} \xi_2^{p^{\sigma(i_4)}} + \\ & \sum_{\kappa \in P(I_j), \kappa \neq \rho} (\rho/\kappa) (\eta_1^{p^{\kappa(1)}} \xi_1^{p^{\kappa(2)}} + \eta_1^{p^{\kappa(2)}} \xi_1^{p^{\kappa(1)}} + \eta_2^{p^{\kappa(1)}} \xi_2^{p^{\kappa(2)}} + \eta_2^{p^{\kappa(2)}} \xi_2^{p^{\kappa(1)}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a routine computation. \square

Recall that $\gamma_i \in H^*(BPU(p^2); \mathbb{F}_p)$ denotes the i th Chern class of the conjugation representation of $PU(p^2)$. Recall the notations in Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 5.11. *With the notations as above, we have*

$$R_j(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = \Delta_{4,j}(\eta_1, \xi_1, \eta_2, \xi_2), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 4.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.10. \square

Proposition 5.12. *In $H^*(BV^4, \mathbb{F}_p)$, we have the relations*

$$R_j(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = (-1)^j \theta^*(\gamma_{p^4-p^j}) R_4(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 4.$$

More concretely, these relations are

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} r_1^p r_4 + r_1 r_2^{p^2} - r_2 r_3^p &= -\theta^*(\gamma_{p^4-p^3})(r_1^{p^2+1} - r_2^{p+1} + r_1^p r_3), \\ r_1^{p^3+1} + r_2^p r_4 - r_3^{p+1} &= \theta^*(\gamma_{p^4-p^2})(r_1^{p^2+1} - r_2^{p+1} + r_1^p r_3), \\ r_1^{p^3} r_2 - r_2^{p^2} r_3 + r_1^{p^2} r_4 &= -\theta^*(\gamma_{p^4-p})(r_1^{p^2+1} - r_2^{p+1} + r_1^p r_3), \\ r_1^{p^3+p} - r_2^{p^2+p} + r_1^{p^2} r_3^p &= \theta^*(\gamma_{p^4-1})(r_1^{p^2+1} - r_2^{p+1} + r_1^p r_3). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.13. The last equation in (5.7) also follows from Corollary 4.4.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.11 and Theorem 1.1. \square

We restate and prove Theorem 1.3 as follows.

Theorem (Theorem 1.3). *Let \mathcal{N} denote the nilpotent ideal of $H^*(BPU(p^2); \mathbb{F}_p)$. Then we have*

$$R_j(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \equiv (-1)^j \gamma_{p^4-p^j} R_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \pmod{\mathcal{N}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 4.$$

Proof. By (5.2), we have $\theta^*(\alpha_i) = \lambda r_i$ for all $i > 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.9 and Proposition 5.12, we have

$$(5.8) \quad \theta^*(R_j(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)) = \theta^*((-1)^j \gamma_{p^4-p^j} R_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)).$$

For the next step, let

$$\mathfrak{R}_j := R_j(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) - (-1)^j \gamma_{p^4-p^j} R_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4).$$

Then (5.8) may be rewritten as

$$(5.9) \quad \theta^*(\mathfrak{R}_j) = 0.$$

Notice that the classes α_i are mod p reductions of torsion classes in the integral cohomology. Therefore, the restrictions of α_i to any toral subgroup are 0. In other words, for $\iota : A \rightarrow PU(p^l)$ an inclusion of a toral subgroup, we have $\iota^*(\alpha_i) = 0$, which implies

$$(5.10) \quad \iota^*(\mathfrak{R}_j) = 0.$$

By (5.9), (5.10) and Lemma 4.5, we conclude that $\mathfrak{R}_j \in \mathcal{N}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AGMV08] Kasper KS Andersen, Jesper Grodal, Jesper M Møller, and Antonio Viruel. The classification of p -compact groups for p odd. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 95–210, 2008.
- [AM13] Alejandro Adem and R James Milgram. *Cohomology of finite groups*, volume 309. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [AW14a] Benjamin Antieau and Ben Williams. The period-index problem for twisted topological K-theory. *Geometry & Topology*, 18(2):1115–1148, 2014.
- [AW14b] Benjamin Antieau and Ben Williams. The topological period-index problem over 6-complexes. *Journal of Topology*, 7(3):617–640, 2014.
- [Dic11] Leonard Eugene Dickson. A fundamental system of invariants of the general modular linear group with a solution of the form problem. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(1):75–98, 1911.

- [Gri91] Robert L Griess. Elementary abelian p -subgroups of algebraic groups. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 39(3):253–305, 1991.
- [Gu19] Xing Gu. The topological period–index problem over 8-complexes, I. *Journal of Topology*, 12(4):1368–1395, 2019.
- [Gu20] Xing Gu. A distinguished subring of the Chow ring and cohomology of $BPGL_n$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.00199*, 2020.
- [Gu21a] Xing Gu. On the cohomology of the classifying spaces of projective unitary groups. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, 13(02):535–573, 2021.
- [Gu21b] Xing Gu. Some torsion classes in the Chow ring and cohomology of $BPGL_n$. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 103(1):127–160, 2021.
- [KM75] Akira Kono and Mamoru Mimura. On the cohomology of the classifying spaces of $PSU(4n+2)$ and $PO(4n+2)$. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 10(3):691–720, 1975.
- [KY93] Akira Kono and Nobuaki Yagita. Brown-Peterson and ordinary cohomology theories of classifying spaces for compact Lie groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 339(2):781–798, 1993.
- [KY08] Masaki Kameko and Nobuaki Yagita. The Brown-Peterson cohomology of the classifying spaces of the projective unitary groups $PU(p)$ and exceptional Lie groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 360(5):2265–2284, 2008.
- [Mil58] John Milnor. The Steenrod algebra and its dual. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 150–171, 1958.
- [Qui71] Daniel Quillen. The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring: I. *Annals of Mathematics*, 94(3):549–572, 1971.
- [Vez00] Gabriele Vezzosi. On the Chow ring of the classifying stack of $PGL_{3,\mathbb{C}}$. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2000(523):1–54, 2000.
- [Vis07] Angelo Vistoli. On the cohomology and the Chow ring of the classifying space of PGL_p . *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2007(610):181–227, 2007.
- [VV05] Aleš Vavpetič and Antonio Viruel. On the mod p cohomology of $BPU(p)$. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 4517–4532, 2005.
- [Wil83] Clarence Wilkerson. A primer on the Dickson invariants. In *Proceedings of the North-western Homotopy Theory Conference*, volume 19, pages 421–434. Citeseer, 1983.

INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, WESTLAKE UNIVERSITY, 600 DUNYU ROAD, SANDUN TOWN, XIHU DISTRICT, HANGZHOU 310030, ZHEJIANG PROVINCE, CHINA.

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, WESTLAKE UNIVERSITY, 18 SHILONGSHAN ROAD, HANGZHOU 310024, ZHEJIANG PROVINCE, CHINA.

INSTITUTE OF NATURAL SCIENCES, WESTLAKE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 18 SHILONGSHAN ROAD, HANGZHOU 310024, ZHEJIANG PROVINCE, CHINA.

Email address: `guxing@westlake.edu.cn`