

Higher Hölder regularity for nonlocal parabolic equations with irregular kernels

SUN-SIG BYUN, HYOJIN KIM AND KYEONGBAE KIM

ABSTRACT. We study a nonlocal parabolic equation with an irregular kernel coefficient to establish higher Hölder regularity under an appropriate higher integrability on the nonhomogeneous terms and a minimal regularity assumption on the kernel coefficient.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Overview and Main results. In this paper, we study the following parabolic nonlocal equations

$$\partial_t u + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u = f \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u(x, t) := \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)) \frac{A(x, y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_T,$$

for some $s \in (0, 1)$. Here, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) is a bounded domain, $A : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a kernel coefficient satisfying

$$\begin{cases} A(x, y, t) = A(y, x, t) \text{ a.e. } (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \\ \lambda^{-1} \leq A(x, y, t) \leq \lambda \text{ for some constant } \lambda \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function with $\Phi(0) = 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (\Phi(\xi) - \Phi(\xi'))(\xi - \xi') \geq \lambda^{-1} |\xi - \xi'|^2 \\ |\Phi(\xi) - \Phi(\xi')| \leq \lambda |\xi - \xi'| \text{ for any } \xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where λ is the same number in (1.2). In particular, if $A = 1$ and $\Phi(t) = t$, then (1.1) reduces to a nonhomogeneous fractional heat equation $u_t + (-\Delta)^s u = f$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_0(\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda; \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R})$ to mean a class of measurable kernel coefficients satisfying (1.2). Throughout this paper, we always assume that A satisfies (1.2) and Φ satisfies (1.3).

Recently there has been a huge amount of research on nonlocal equations. For the elliptic case, Kassmann [24] proved Hölder continuity of a weak solution to a fractional Laplace equation with zero boundary condition. Di Castro, Kuusi and Palatucci [14] proved Hölder continuity for a fractional p-Laplace equation. Moreover, they first introduced a tail space to consider nonzero boundary data. We refer to [6, 7, 12, 24, 39] for Hölder regularity, to [2, 3, 19, 28, 32, 34–38] for higher Sobolev regularity, and to [27] for a potential estimate. In the parabolic case, Caffarelli, Chan and Vasseur [8] proved Hölder regularity for a fractional parabolic equation with linear growth. We refer to [1, 17, 20, 25, 30, 31, 40, 41, 44] for various regularity results.

Now we turn to higher Hölder regularity. Brasco, Lindgren and Schikorra [4] proved higher Hölder regularity for a fractional p-Laplace equation with the nonhomogeneous term in the super-quadratic case. Brasco, Lindgren and Strömquist [5] extended the argument used to a parabolic fractional p-Laplace equation. It is notable that Nowak [33] considered a fractional equation with linear growth and an irregular kernel coefficient to obtain similar results in [4]. We would like to mention the recent and interesting work [42] in which a similar result as in the present paper is obtained when A is constant and $\Phi(\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}\xi$ for $p \geq 2$. For a further higher Hölder regularity, we refer to [9, 10, 18, 21] and references therein.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A01, 35B65, 35D30, 35R05, 47G20.

Key words and phrases. Nonlocal; Hölder Regularity ; Nonlinear.

S. Byun was supported by NRF-2021R1A4A1027378. H. Kim was supported by NRF-2020R1C1C1A01009760. K. Kim was supported by NRF-2022R1A2C1009312.

The aim of this paper is two-fold. One is to establish a local boundedness of a weak solution to (1.1) when the nonhomogeneous term f satisfies (1.4). The other is to obtain the higher Hölder regularity of a weak solution to (1.1) under a suitable regularity assumption on the kernel coefficient A . As usual, a solution to (1.1) is defined in the weak sense as below. See the next section for a precise description of the related function spaces.

Definition. (Local weak solution) Let $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q,r}(\Omega_T) = L_{\text{loc}}^r(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^q(\Omega))$, where q and r are any positive numbers such that $q, r \geq 1$ and $\frac{n}{2qs} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1 + \frac{n}{4s}$. We say that

$$u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, T; W_{\text{loc}}^{s,2}(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, T; L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C_{\text{loc}}(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega))$$

is a local weak subsolution(supersolution) to (1.1) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} -u \partial_t \phi \, dx \, dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)) (\phi(x, t) - \phi(y, t)) \frac{A(x, y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \, dt \\ & \leq (\geq) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} f \phi \, dx \, dt - \int_{\Omega} u \phi \, dx \Big|_{t=t_1}^{t=t_2} \end{aligned}$$

for all nonnegative functions $\phi \in L^2(I; W^{s,2}(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(I; L^2(\Omega))$ with spatial support compactly embedded in Ω and $I := [t_1, t_2] \Subset (0, T)$. In particular, we say that u is a local weak solution to (1.1) if u is both a local subsolution and a local supersolution to (1.1).

Note that $L^2([t_1, t_2]; W^{s,2}(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}([t_1, t_2]; L^2(\Omega))$ is embedded in $L^{\hat{r}}([t_1, t_2]; L^{\hat{q}}(\Omega))$ for some positive numbers \hat{q} and \hat{r} such that $\hat{q}, \hat{r} \geq 1$ and $\frac{n}{2\hat{q}s} + \frac{1}{\hat{r}} = \frac{n}{4s}$, see Lemma 2.5, to find

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} |f \phi| < \infty.$$

Existence and uniqueness of a weak solution (1.1) with appropriate initial and boundary conditions will be discussed in Appendix A.

Now we introduce our main results. The first one is the local boundedness for a local weak subsolution to (1.1) with the following assumption of f ,

$$f \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q,r}(\Omega_T) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{n}{2qs} + \frac{1}{r} < 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 1.1. (Local boundedness) Suppose that u is a local weak subsolution to (1.1) with (1.4). Then there is a constant $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in Q_{\rho_0/2}(z_0)} u(z) & \leq c \left[\left(\iint_{Q_{\rho_0}(z_0)} u^2(x, t) \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u, z_0, \rho_0/2, \rho_0^{2s}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \rho_0^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right], \end{aligned}$$

whenever $Q_{\rho_0}(z_0) \equiv B_{\rho_0}(x_0) \times (t_0 - \rho_0^{2s}, t_0] \Subset \Omega_T$.

Remark 1. We first notice that (1.4) is an appropriate condition to get the local boundedness (see [30]). In addition, we observe that in the limiting case of $s \rightarrow 1$, (1.4) is a suitable condition to get the local boundedness for the local case when $s = 1$ (see [29, Chapter 3]).

The second one is the higher Hölder regularity. Here, we introduce a new kernel class for the desired result. We say that $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda; \Omega \times \Omega \times (0, T))$ if $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda; \Omega \times \Omega \times (0, T))$ and there is a measurable function $a : \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\tilde{A}(x, y, t) = a(x - y, t), \quad (x, y, t) \in \Omega \times \Omega \times (0, T).$$

Theorem 1.2. Let u be a local weak solution to (1.1) with (1.4) and let α be a positive number such that

$$\alpha < \min \left\{ 2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r} \right), 1 \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

Then there is a constant $\delta = \delta(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha) > 0$ such that if for any $\tilde{z} \in \Omega_T$, there exist sufficiently small $\rho_{\tilde{z}} > 0$ and $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{z}} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda; B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s}, \tilde{t}])$ with

$$\|\tilde{A}_{\tilde{z}} - A\|_{L^\infty(B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s}, \tilde{t}])} \leq \delta, \quad (1.6)$$

then we have $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(\Omega_T)$. In particular, for any $Q_{\rho_0}(z_0) \Subset \Omega_T$, we have

$$[u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_{\rho_0/2}(z_0))} \leq c \left(\rho_0^{-\frac{n+2s}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u, z_0, \rho_0/2, \rho_0^{2s}) + \rho_0^{2s - (\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r})} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right),$$

for some constant $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha, \{\rho_z\}_{z \in Q_{\rho_0/2}(z_0)})$.

Remark 2. The condition (1.5) is natural to obtain Hölder continuity. When $s = 1$, (1.5) is exactly the same as the condition to get the Hölder continuity of weak solutions to local parabolic problems. See [16, Chapter 2] for more details. In addition, if f is autonomous, then (1.5) is sharp. In this case, (1.5) becomes $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\Omega)$ with $q > \frac{n}{2s}$ and a particular class of solutions is given by stationary solutions to the corresponding elliptic problem of (1.1). From [27, Corollary 1.2], we deduce that if $q \leq \frac{n}{2s}$, then it does not satisfy the continuity criterion. Hence, it verifies that (1.5) is an optimal condition to obtain the Hölder regularity of a weak solution to (1.1) when the right-hand side is given by an autonomous function.

Remark 3. We give some comments of the assumption (1.6) on the kernel coefficient A .

- (1) We clearly point out that any continuous kernel coefficient A satisfies (1.6). To be specific, we consider

$$A_1(x, y, t) = K_{1,1}(x, y, t) + \chi_{|x-y| \geq \epsilon} K_{1,2}(x, y, t) \quad (1.7)$$

for $\epsilon > 0$, where $K_{1,1} \in \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda/2)$ is continuous, $K_{1,2} \in \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda/2)$ is merely measurable for some $\lambda \geq 2$. Let us fix $\delta > 0$. Then for any $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \in \Omega_T$, there is a sufficiently small $\rho_{\tilde{z}} \in (0, \epsilon)$ such that

$$\|A_1(x, y, t) - A_1(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t})\|_{L^\infty(B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s}, \tilde{t}])} \leq \delta.$$

Taking

$$\tilde{A}_{1, \tilde{z}}(x, y, t) = A(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \quad \text{for } (x, y, t) \in B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s}, \tilde{t}],$$

we see that $\tilde{A}_{1, \tilde{z}} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda; B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s}, \tilde{t}])$ and A satisfies (1.6). Furthermore, we observe that any continuous function satisfies the assumption by taking $K_{1,2} = 0$ in (1.7). Generally, if the kernel coefficient A_1 is continuous only near the diagonal, then the assumption holds. Moreover, if A_1 is Hölder continuous, then we can remove the dependence on $\{\rho_z\}_{z \in Q_{\rho_0/2}(z_0)}$ of a universal constant c determined in Theorem 1.2. See Corollary 5.3.

- (2) We note that the kernel coefficient A need not be continuous near the diagonal. Let us consider a function $A_2(x, y, t) = K_{2,1}(x, y, t)K_{2,2}(x, y, t)$, where $K_{2,1}(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{L}_0(\sqrt{\lambda})$ is continuous near the diagonal and $K_{2,2}(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\sqrt{\lambda}; \Omega \times \Omega \times (0, T)) \cap \mathcal{L}_0(\sqrt{\lambda})$. Let us fix $\delta > 0$. Then for any $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \in \Omega_T$, there is a sufficiently small $\rho_{\tilde{z}} \in (0, \epsilon)$ such that

$$\|A_2(x, y, t) - A_2(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t})\|_{L^\infty(B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s}, \tilde{t}])} \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

Similarly, we take

$$\tilde{A}_{2, \tilde{z}}(x, y, t) = K_{2,1}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t})K_{2,2}(x, y, t) \quad \text{for } (x, y, t) \in B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s}, \tilde{t}]$$

to find that $\tilde{A}_{2, \tilde{z}} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda; B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s}, \tilde{t}])$ and A_2 satisfies (1.6).

- (3) The assumption (1.6) naturally appears in the literature when a perturbation argument is used, as follows from [33].

Remark 4. We here compare a nonlocal case with a local case. For the local parabolic problem

$$\partial_t v - \operatorname{div}(B(x, t)Dv) = f \text{ in } Q_1$$

when $B(x, t)$ is a continuous coefficient and $f \in L^{q,r}(Q_1)$ with $\frac{n}{2q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$, we note that $v \in C_{\text{loc}}^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}$ for every $\beta \in \left(0, \min \left\{2 - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2}{r}\right), 1\right\}\right)$. See [43] for the case $B \equiv 1$. For a general case $B \neq 1$, a freezing argument as in [23, Theorem 3.8] leads to the desired result. Based on this observation for the local case, it might be expected for the nonlocal case that a weak solution to (1.1) has at most $C_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}$ regularity for every $\alpha \in \left(0, \min \left\{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2}{r}\right), s\right\}\right)$. However, we observe that the regularity of u is better than this expectation. Indeed, Theorem 1.2 asserts that it exceeds $C_{\text{loc}}^{s, \frac{s}{2}}$ regularity when $2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2}{r}\right) > s$. This phenomenon is first observed in [4] for the elliptic case.

1.2. Plan of the paper. Our approach to proving the local boundedness is based on [29, Chapter 2] alongside a suitable modification for the fractional Sobolev space and the nonzero tail term. For the higher Hölder regularity, we use a notion of discrete fractional derivatives in [4, 5, 33] to prove the almost Lipschitz regularity of a weak solution to a homogeneous equation (4.2) below, and an approximation technique as in [9, 33] to transfer its regularity to a weak solution of (1.1) with (1.4) and (1.6).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the notations, embeddings between function spaces, technical lemma, and Hölder regularity for the homogeneous problem. In Section 3, we give Caccioppoli-type inequality of 3.2 to prove the local boundedness and Hölder regularity of (1.1) with (1.4). In Section 4, we improve Hölder regularity for the homogeneous problem with the kernel coefficient which is invariant under the translation in only spatial direction. Finally, in Section 5, we obtain the higher Hölder regularity for (1.1) with (1.6). In Appendix A, we give the existence for the initial and boundary value problem with the inhomogeneous term $f \in L^{q,r}$, where $\frac{n}{2sq} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1 + \frac{n}{4s}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

Throughout the paper, we write c by a general positive constant, possibly changing from line to line. In particular, we give the relevant dependencies on parameters using parentheses, i.e., $c \equiv c(n, s, \alpha)$. We now introduce some geometric and function notations.

- (1) The standard Lebesgue measures in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R} are denoted by dx and dt . A usual point in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is $z = (x, t)$.
- (2) We denote the open ball in \mathbb{R}^n with center x_0 and radius $\rho > 0$ by $B_\rho(x_0)$. In particular if the center is obvious, we omit the center.
- (3) We shall use $Q_{\rho, \tau}(z_0) \equiv B_\rho(x_0) \times (t_0 - \tau, t_0]$ for $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau > 0$. Also we write $Q_\rho(z_0) \equiv Q_{\rho, \rho^{2s}}(z_0)$.
- (4) For any $Q_{\rho, \tau}(z_0)$, we define a parabolic boundary of $Q_{\rho, \tau}(z_0)$ by

$$\partial_P Q_{\rho, \tau}(z_0) = B_\rho(x_0) \times \{t = t_0 - \tau\} \cup \partial B_\rho(x_0) \times [t_0 - \tau, t_0].$$

- (5) Given a measurable set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $|K|$ means the volume of K with respect to the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n .
- (6) Given a measurable set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and a measurable function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\bar{f}_K = \frac{1}{|K|} \iint_K f \, dx \, dt = \iint_K f \, dx \, dt$$

is the average of f over K . In particular, we denote

$$\bar{f}_B(t) = \int_B f(x, t) \, dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

(7) Given a measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$f_h(x, t) = f(x + h, t), \quad \delta_h f(x, t) = f_h(x, t) - f(x, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_h^2 f = \delta_h(\delta_h f).$$

(8) p' is denoted by the Hölder conjugate of $p \in [1, \infty]$.

We now describe relevant function spaces. Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. For $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$, we define a seminorm

$$[u]_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and the fractional Sobolev space

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^p(\Omega); [u]_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} < \infty\}.$$

In particular, we say that u is in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ if u is in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ a.e.

Next we introduce a nonlocal tail space. We write $L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n); \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(y)|}{(1 + |y|)^{n+2s}} dy < \infty \right\}.$$

Then we give a suitable nonlocal tail for a parabolic case. Given $u \in L^\infty(I; L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$, we define

$$\text{Tail}_\infty(u, z_0, \rho, \tau) = \text{ess sup}_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0]} \rho^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|y - x_0|^{n+2s}} dy,$$

for any $B_\rho(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $[t_0 - \tau, t_0] \subset I$ where I is a time interval in \mathbb{R} . In particular, we write

$$\text{Tail}_\infty(u; z_0, \rho) := \text{ess sup}_{t \in [t_0 - \rho^{2s}, t_0]} \rho^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|y - x_0|^{n+2s}} dy.$$

Note that a simple calculation gives that $u \in L^\infty(I; L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ if and only if

$$\text{Tail}_\infty(u, x_0, \rho, I) < \infty$$

for any $B_\rho(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We next introduce Besov-type spaces to get higher regularity as follows.

Definition. (Besov-type spaces) Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Define two seminorms by

$$[u]_{\mathcal{N}_\infty^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{|h|>0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h u}{|h|^\beta} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{for } \beta \in (0, 1]$$

and

$$[u]_{\mathcal{B}_\infty^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{|h|>0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u}{|h|^\beta} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{for } \beta \in (0, 2).$$

Then we define two Besov-type spaces.

$$\mathcal{N}_\infty^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n); [u]_{\mathcal{N}_\infty^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\} \quad \text{for } \beta \in (0, 1]$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_\infty^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n); [u]_{\mathcal{B}_\infty^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\} \quad \text{for } \beta \in (0, 2).$$

Now we present several lemmas that will be used in the remainder of the paper. The first five results are related to the embeddings. We start with Campanato's embedding for the fractional version for $s \in (0, 1)$.

We refer to [11, 13, 22] for a local case.

Lemma 2.1 (Campanato's embedding). *Let $p > 1$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Let $u \in L^p(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))$. Suppose that there is a $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $z \in Q_{R_0}(z_0)$ and $\rho > 0$ with $Q_\rho(z) \subset Q_{2R_0}(z_0)$,*

$$\iint_{Q_\rho(z)} |u - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(z)}|^p dx dt \leq M^p \rho^{p\alpha},$$

for some constant $M > 0$. Then we have $u \in C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_{R_0}(z_0))$. In particular, there is a constant $c \equiv c(n, p, s, \alpha, R_0)$ such that

$$[u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_{R_0}(z_0))} \leq c \left(M + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{R_0}(z_0))} \right),$$

where

$$[u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q)} := \sup_{\substack{(x,t), (x',t') \in Q \\ (x,t) \neq (x',t')}} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(x',t')|}{|x - x'|^\alpha + |t - t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2s}}} \quad \text{for any } Q \subset Q_{2R_0}(z_0).$$

Proof. Take $z_1 \in Q_{R_0}(z_0)$ and $0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 \leq \min \left\{ (2^{2s} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2s}} R_0, R_0 \right\}$ so that $Q_{\rho_2}(z_1) \subset Q_{2R_0}(z_0)$. Then we observe that

$$|\bar{u}_{Q_{\rho_1}(z_1)} - \bar{u}_{Q_{\rho_2}(z_1)}|^p \leq cM^p \left(\rho_1^{p\alpha} + \rho_2^{p\alpha} \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right)^{n+2s} \right).$$

For any $0 < R \leq \min \left\{ (2^{2s} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2s}} R_0, R_0 \right\}$ with $\rho_1 = 2^{-i-1}R$ and $\rho_2 = 2^{-i}R$, we see that

$$|\bar{u}_{Q_{2^{-i-1}R}(z_1)} - \bar{u}_{Q_{2^{-i}R}(z_1)}| \leq c2^{-\alpha(i+1)}MR^\alpha.$$

With the standard argument as in [23, Theorem 3.1], we have $\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{R_0}(z_0))} < \infty$ and

$$|u(z_1) - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(z_1)}| \leq cM\rho^\alpha \quad \text{for any } \rho \leq \min \left\{ (2^{2s} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2s}} R_0, R_0 \right\}.$$

Fix $z_1, z_2 \in Q_{R_0}(z_0)$ with $R = \max \left\{ |x_1 - x_2|, |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2s}} \right\} < \min \left\{ (2^{2s} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2s}} \frac{R_0}{2}, \frac{R_0}{2} \right\}$, then we get

$$Q_{2R}(z_i) \subset Q_{2R_0}(z_0) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

As in [23, Theorem 3.1], we have the following

$$|u(z_1) - u(z_2)| \leq cMR^\alpha \leq cM \left(|x_1 - x_2|^\alpha + |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2s}} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

On the other hand, we deduce

$$|u(z_1) - u(z_2)| \leq c\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{R_0}(z_0))} \left(|x_1 - x_2|^\alpha + |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2s}} \right), \quad (2.2)$$

whenever $z_1, z_2 \in Q_{R_0}(z_0)$ with $\max \left\{ |x_1 - x_2|, |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2s}} \right\} > \min \left\{ (2^{2s} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2s}} \frac{R_0}{2}, \frac{R_0}{2} \right\}$. We combine (2.1) and (2.2) to complete the proof. \square

We write $V_s^2(B_R \times I) \equiv L^2(I; W^{s,2}(B_R)) \cap L^\infty(I; L^2(B_R))$ and its norm is given by

$$\|u\|_{V_s^2(B_R \times I)} = \left(\int_{t_0 - \tau}^{t_0} [u(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_R)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in I} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(B_R)}.$$

Then we prove the following embedding which is an essential tool to deal with a nonhomogeneous term in (1.1). We refer to [16, Chapter 1, Proposition 3.3] for the local case when $s = 1$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f \in V_s^2(B_R \times I)$. Suppose that*

$$\begin{cases} \hat{q} \in \left[2, \frac{2n}{n-2s} \right] & \text{and } \hat{r} \in [2, \infty] & \text{if } 2s < n, \\ \hat{q} \in [2, \infty) & \text{and } \hat{r} \in (4s, \infty] & \text{if } 2s = n, \\ \hat{q} \in [2, \infty] & \text{and } \hat{r} \in [4s, \infty] & \text{if } n < 2s. \end{cases}$$

satisfy

$$\frac{n}{2\hat{q}s} + \frac{1}{\hat{r}} = \frac{n}{4s}.$$

Then there is a constant $c \equiv c(n, s, \hat{q}, \hat{r})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{\hat{q}, \hat{r}}(B_R \times I)} \leq cR^{-s} \|f\|_{L^2(I; L^2(B_R))} + c\|f\|_{V_s^2(B_R \times I)}.$$

Moreover if $f \in L^2(I; W_0^{s,2}(B_R)) \cap L^\infty(I; L^2(B_R))$, we also have

$$\|f\|_{L^{\hat{q},\hat{r}}(B_R \times I)} \leq c \left(\left(\int_{t_0-\tau}^{t_0} [f(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in I} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(B_R)} \right) \quad (2.3)$$

for some constant $c \equiv c(n, s, \hat{q}, \hat{r})$.

Proof. If $(\hat{q}, \hat{r}) = (2, \infty)$ and $(\hat{q}, \hat{r}) = \left(\frac{2n}{n-2s}, 2\right)$, then we check the above results directly. Therefore we may assume that $2 < \hat{r} < \infty$. We observe that for $\alpha = \frac{2}{\hat{r}} \in (0, 1)$,

$$\alpha \frac{n-2s}{2n} + (1-\alpha) \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{\hat{q}}.$$

Applying scaled version of [17, Lemma 2.1] with $p = p_2 = 2$, $p_1 = \hat{q}$ and $\theta = \alpha$, we have

$$\|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\hat{q}}(B_R)} \leq c \left([f(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_R)} + R^{-s} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(B_R)} \right)^\alpha \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(B_R)}^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I, \quad (2.4)$$

where $c = c(n, s, \hat{q})$. Using (2.4) and young's inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_I \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\hat{q}}(B_R)}^{\hat{r}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\hat{r}}} &\leq c \left(\left(\int_I [f(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_R)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R^{-s} \|f\|_{L^2(I; L^2(B_R))} \right)^{\frac{2}{\hat{r}}} \\ &\quad \times \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in I} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(B_R)}^{1-\frac{2}{\hat{r}}} \\ &\leq c R^{-s} \|f\|_{L^2(I; L^2(B_R))} + c \|f\|_{V_s^2(B_R \times I)} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c = c(n, s, \hat{q}, \hat{r})$. In particular, (2.3) is a direct consequence from [15, Theorem 6.5]. \square

Next, we see three Besov-type embeddings without proof.

Lemma 2.3. [4, Lemma 2.6.] Let $0 < \beta < 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let u be in $L^p(B_{2R_0})$ for some $R_0 > 0$. Suppose for some $0 < h_0 < \frac{R_0}{4}$, we have

$$\sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u}{|h|^\beta} \right\|_{L^p(B_{R_0+h_0})} < \infty.$$

Then we obtain

$$\sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h u}{|h|^\beta} \right\|_{L^p(B_{R_0})} \leq c \left(\sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u}{|h|^\beta} \right\|_{L^p(B_{R_0+h_0})} + \|u\|_{L^p(B_{R_0+h_0})}^p \right)$$

for some $c \equiv c(n, p, \beta, h_0)$.

Lemma 2.4. [4, Lemma 2.8.] Let $0 < \beta < 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ with $p\beta > n$. If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $0 < \alpha < \beta - \frac{n}{p}$ with

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq c \left([u]_{\mathcal{N}_\infty^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{\frac{p\alpha+n}{p\beta}} (\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{1-\frac{p\alpha+n}{p\beta}} \quad \text{for any } x \neq y \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $c \equiv c(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$. In particular, if $u \in L^p(B_{R+2h_0})$ with $R > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ then for any $\alpha \in (0, \beta - \frac{n}{p})$, there is a constant $c \equiv c(n, p, \alpha, \beta, M, N, R, h_0)$ such that

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq c, \quad \text{for any } x \neq y \in B_{\frac{R}{2}},$$

provided that

$$\sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h u}{|h|^\beta} \right\|_{L^p(B_{R+h_0})} \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{L^p(B_{R+h_0})} \leq N, \quad \text{for } M \text{ and } N > 0.$$

Remark 5. Using a cutoff function with the first statement, we can prove the second statement. See in [4, Theorem 4.2.].

Lemma 2.5. [3, Proposition 2.6.] Let $s \in (0, 1)$. We have two embeddings.

(1) Suppose $u \in W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we get

$$\sup_{|h|>0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h u}{|h|^s} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq c(n, s) [u]_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

(2) Suppose $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{s,2}(\Omega)$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open set and $B_R \Subset \Omega$ with $h_0 < \frac{\text{dist}(B_R, \partial\Omega)}{2}$. Then we deduce

$$\sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h u}{|h|^s} \right\|_{L^2(B_R)}^2 \leq c(s, n, R, h_0) \left([u]_{W^{s,2}(B_{R+h_0})}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(B_{R+h_0})}^2 \right)$$

For a local boundedness of a weak solution (1.1) with (1.4), we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.6. [16, Chapter 1, Lemma 4.2] Let $M, b > 1$ and $\kappa, \delta > 0$ be given. For each $h \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{h+1} &\leq Mb^h (Y_h^{1+\delta} + Z_h^{1+\kappa} Y_h^\delta) \\ Z_{h+1} &\leq Mb^h (Y_h + Z_h^{1+\kappa}). \end{aligned}$$

If $Y_0 + Z_0^{1+\kappa} \leq (2M)^{-\frac{1+\kappa}{\sigma}} b^{-\frac{1+\kappa}{\sigma^2}}$ where $\sigma = \min\{\kappa, \delta\}$, then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} Y_h = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} Z_h = 0.$$

Before ending this section, we give the Hölder regularity of a homogeneous equation to transfer the regularity to a weak solution to (1.1) with (1.4). In [1, 17, 31], the Hölder continuity is proved when $\Phi(t) = t$. In a similar way, we can prove the Hölder continuity when Φ satisfies (1.3) with some minor modifications. Therefore we get the following Hölder estimate.

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that

$$u \in L^2((-1, 0]; W^{s,2}(B_1)) \cap L^\infty((-1, 0]; L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C((-1, 0]; L^2(B_1))$$

is a local weak solution to

$$\partial_t u + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u = 0 \text{ in } Q_1.$$

Then we have that u is in $C_{\text{loc}}^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2s}}(Q_1)$ for some $\beta = \beta(n, s, \lambda)$. In particular, we obtain for any $0 < \rho \leq \frac{R}{2}$ with $Q_R(z_0) \Subset Q_1$

$$\text{osc}_{Q_\rho(z_0)} u \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^\beta \left[\left(\iint_{Q_R} |u|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \text{Tail}_\infty \left(u; x_0, \frac{R}{2}, t_0 - R^{2s}, t_0 \right) \right]$$

and

$$\iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |u - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(z_0)}|^2 dx dt \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{2\beta} \left[\left(\iint_{Q_R} |u|^2 dx dt \right) + \text{Tail}_\infty^2 \left(u; x_0, \frac{R}{2}, t_0 - R^{2s}, t_0 \right) \right],$$

where $c \equiv c(n, s, \lambda)$.

3. LOCAL BOUNDEDNESS AND HÖLDER REGULARITY FOR INHOMOGENEOUS EQUATION

Let $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth even function with $\text{supp } \zeta \subset (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta = 1$. For any locally integrable function $u : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$u^\epsilon(x, t) := \int_{t-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{t+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \zeta \left(\frac{t-\sigma}{\epsilon} \right) u(x, \sigma) d\sigma = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta(\sigma) u(x, t - \epsilon\sigma) d\sigma, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_T$$

for $0 < \epsilon < \min\{t, T-t\}$. We check the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 3.1. (Property of mollification) Let X be a separable Banach space and $1 \leq p < \infty$.

(1) Suppose $u \in C([0, T]; X)$. Then for each $t \in (0, T)$,

$$u^\epsilon(\cdot, t) \rightarrow u(\cdot, t) \text{ in } X$$

as ϵ tends to 0.

(2) Suppose $u \in L^p(0, T; X)$. Then

$$u^\epsilon \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^p_{loc}(0, T; X)$$

as ϵ tends to 0.

First, we give a Caccioppoli-type estimate, Lemma 3.2, for (1.1) with (1.4). Let us consider a parameter $\kappa \in (0, \frac{2s}{n})$ so that

$$0 < \frac{n}{2qs} + \frac{1}{r} = 1 - \frac{n}{2s}\kappa < 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Let us also consider two parameters $\hat{q} := 2(1 + \kappa)q'$ and $\hat{r} := 2(1 + \kappa)r'$ to see that

$$\frac{n}{2\hat{q}s} + \frac{1}{\hat{r}} = \frac{n}{4s}, \quad \hat{q} \in \left[2, \frac{2n}{n-2s}\right] \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{r} \in [2, \infty]. \quad (3.2)$$

If a function u belongs to $L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\Omega))$, then for $x_0 \in \Omega$, $\rho > 0$, $k \geq 0$, and $t \in (0, T)$, we define

$$E(t; x_0, \rho, k) = \{x \in B_\rho(x_0) ; u(x, t) > k\} \quad \text{and} \quad w_+ = (u - k)_+, \quad (3.3)$$

where $B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Omega$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that u is a local weak subsolution to (1.1) with (1.4). Then for any $Q_{R, T_2}(z_0) \Subset \Omega_T$ with $0 < \rho < R$ and $0 < T_1 < T_2 < R^{2s}$, there exists a constant $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0-T_1}^{t_0} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|w_+(x, t) - w_+(y, t)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [t_0-T_1, t_0]} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} w_+^2(x, t) dx \\ & \leq c \left(\frac{R^{2(1-s)}}{(R-\rho)^2} + \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \right) \int_{t_0-T_2}^{t_0} \int_{B_R(x_0)} w_+^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + c \left(\frac{R^{n+2s}}{(R-\rho)^{n+2s}} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [t_0-T_2, t_0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{w_+(y, t)}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dy \right) \times \|w_+\|_{L^1(Q_{R, T_2}(z_0))} \\ & \quad + ck^2 R^{-n\kappa} \left(\int_{t_0-T_2}^{t_0} |E(t; x_0, R, k)|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{\hat{q}}} dt \right)^{\frac{2(1+\kappa)}{\hat{r}}}, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $k \geq \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{R, T_2}(z_0))} R^{n\kappa}$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a sufficiently small number so that $J := [t_0 - T_2 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon] \Subset (0, T)$ and $t_0 - T_2 + \epsilon < t_0 - \frac{T_1+T_2}{2} - \epsilon$. We take a nonnegative function $\eta = \eta(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\eta(t) \equiv 1 \quad \text{in } t \geq t_0 - T_1, \quad \eta(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } t \leq t_0 - \left(\frac{T_1 + T_2}{2}\right), \quad \|\eta'(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{4}{T_2 - T_1}, \quad (3.4)$$

and choose a cutoff function $\psi = \psi(x) \in C_c^\infty(B_{(R+\rho)/2}(x_0))$ such that

$$\psi \equiv 1 \quad \text{in } B_\rho(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{4}{R - \rho}. \quad (3.5)$$

Define

$$\phi_\epsilon(x, t) = (w_+^\epsilon \psi^2 \eta^2)^\epsilon(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in B_R(x_0) \times J,$$

where w_+^ϵ is the convolution of w_+ with respect to time variable. Note that

$$\phi_\epsilon \rightarrow \phi := w_+ \psi^2 \eta^2 \text{ in } L^2(J; W^{s,2}(B_R(x_0))) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.6)$$

by Lemma 3.1. Take ϕ_ϵ as a test function to find

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^\epsilon + I_2^\epsilon & := \int_{t_0-T_2}^{t_0} \int_{B_R(x_0)} -u \partial_t \phi_\epsilon dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{t_0-T_2}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)) (\phi_\epsilon(x, t) - \phi_\epsilon(y, t)) \frac{A(x, y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} \int_{B_R(x_0)} f \phi_{\epsilon} dx dt - \int_{B_R(x_0)} u \phi_{\epsilon} dx \Big|_{t=t_0-T_2}^{t=\tau} =: I_3^{\epsilon} + I_4^{\epsilon},$$

for any $\tau \in [t_0 - (\frac{T_1+T_2}{2}), t_0]$. Now we find the limits of I_1^{ϵ} , I_2^{ϵ} , I_3^{ϵ} , and I_4^{ϵ} .

Estimate of I_1^{ϵ} . Using Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^{\epsilon} &= - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{t_0-T_2-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{t_0-T_2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \int_{t_0-T_2}^{\sigma+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} u(x, t) \zeta' \left(\frac{t-\sigma}{\epsilon} \right) (w_+^{\epsilon} \psi^2 \eta^2)(x, \sigma) dt d\sigma dx \\ &\quad - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{\tau-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\tau+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \int_{\sigma-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\tau} \frac{1}{\epsilon} u(x, t) \zeta' \left(\frac{t-\sigma}{\epsilon} \right) (w_+^{\epsilon} \psi^2 \eta^2)(x, \sigma) dt d\sigma dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{t_0-T_2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\tau-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \partial_t u^{\epsilon}(x, t) (w_+^{\epsilon} \psi^2 \eta^2)(x, t) dt dx =: -I_{1,1}^{\epsilon} - I_{1,2}^{\epsilon} + I_{1,3}^{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

By using a suitable change of variables, we write $I_{1,1}^{\epsilon}$ the following

$$I_{1,1}^{\epsilon} = \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} u(x, \epsilon t + t_0 - T_2) \zeta'(t - \sigma) (w_+^{\epsilon} \psi^2 \eta^2)(x, \epsilon \sigma + t_0 - T_2) dt d\sigma dx.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{1,1}^{\epsilon} &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} u(x, t_0 - T_2) \phi(x, t_0 - T_2) \zeta'(t - \sigma) dt d\sigma dx \\ &= - \int_{B_R(x_0)} u(x, t_0 - T_2) \phi(x, t_0 - T_2) dx \end{aligned}$$

by the fact that $u, w_+ \in C(J; L^2(\Omega))$ with Lemma 3.1. Similarly, we get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{1,2}^{\epsilon} = \int_{B_R(x_0)} u(x, \tau) \phi(x, \tau) dx.$$

For $I_{1,3}^{\epsilon}$, we use an integration by parts, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,3}^{\epsilon} &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{t_0-T_2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\tau-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \partial_t w_+^{\epsilon} (w_+^{\epsilon} \psi^2 \eta^2) dt dx \\ &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{t_0-T_2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\tau-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \partial_t \left(\frac{w_+^{\epsilon}(x, t)^2}{2} \right) \psi^2(x) \eta^2(t) dt dx \\ &= - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{t_0-T_2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\tau-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \frac{w_+^{\epsilon}(x, t)^2}{2} \psi^2(x) (\eta^2(t))' dt dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{w_+^{\epsilon}(x, t)^2}{2} \psi^2(x) \eta^2(t) dx \Big|_{t=t_0-T_2-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{t=\tau+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

As in $I_{1,1}^{\epsilon}$, we observe that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{1,3}^{\epsilon} = - \int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} \int_{B_R(x_0)} w_+^2(x, t) \psi^2(x) \eta(t) \eta'(t) dt dx + \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{w_+^2(x, t)}{2} \psi^2(x) \eta^2(t) dx \Big|_{t=t_0-T_2}^{t=\tau}.$$

Combining all the limits of $I_{1,1}^{\epsilon}$, $I_{1,2}^{\epsilon}$, and $I_{1,3}^{\epsilon}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_1^{\epsilon} &= - \int_{t_0-T_2}^{t_1} \int_{B_R(x_0)} w_+^2(x, t) \psi^2(x) \eta(t) \eta'(t) dt dx + \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{w_+^2(x, t)}{2} \psi^2(x) \eta^2(t) dx \Big|_{t=t_0-T_2}^{t=\tau} \\ &\quad - \int_{B_R(x_0)} u(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx \Big|_{t=t_0-T_2}^{t=\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I_2^ϵ . We write I_2^ϵ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^\epsilon &= \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \Phi(u(x,t) - u(y,t))(\phi_\epsilon(x,t) - \phi_\epsilon(y,t)) \frac{A(x,y,t)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \Phi(u(x,t) - u(y,t))\phi_\epsilon(x,t) \frac{A(x,y,t)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ &\quad - \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \Phi(u(x,t) - u(y,t))\phi_\epsilon(y,t) \frac{A(x,y,t)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ &=: I_{2,1}^\epsilon + I_{2,2}^\epsilon - I_{2,3}^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| I_{2,1}^\epsilon - \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \Phi(u(x,t) - u(y,t))(\phi(x,t) - \phi(y,t)) \frac{A(x,y,t)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \right| \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \|u\|_{L^2(J; W^{s,2}(B_R(x_0)))} \|\phi_\epsilon - \phi\|_{L^2(J; W^{s,2}(B_R(x_0)))}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

We next focus on the estimate of $I_{2,2}^\epsilon$. Due to the fact that

$$|y-x| \geq |y-x_0| - |x-x_0| \geq \frac{(R-\rho)}{2R}|y-x_0|, \quad x \in B_{(R+\rho)/2}(x_0) \subset \text{supp } \psi, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0) \quad (3.8)$$

and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| I_{2,2}^\epsilon - \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \Phi(u(x,t) - u(y,t))\phi(x,t) \frac{A(x,y,t)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \right| \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|u(x,t)| + |u(y,t)|}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} (\phi_\epsilon(x,t) - \phi(x,t)) dx dy dt \\ &\leq c \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|u(x,t)|}{|x_0-y|^{n+2s}} (\phi_\epsilon(x,t) - \phi(x,t)) dx dy dt \\ &\quad + c \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|u(y,t)|}{|x_0-y|^{n+2s}} (\phi_\epsilon(x,t) - \phi(x,t)) dx dy dt \\ &\leq c(\rho, R) \|u\|_{L^2(J; L^2(B_R)(x_0))} \|\phi_\epsilon - \phi\|_{L^2(J; L^2(B_R)(x_0))} \\ &\quad + c(\rho, R) \text{Tail}_\infty(u; x_0, R, J) \|\phi_\epsilon - \phi\|_{L^2(J; L^2(B_R)(x_0))}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Similarly, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| I_{2,3}^\epsilon - \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \Phi(u(x,t) - u(y,t))\phi(y,t) \frac{A(x,y,t)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \right| \\ &\leq c(\rho, R) \|u\|_{L^2(J; L^2(B_R)(x_0))} \|\phi_\epsilon - \phi\|_{L^2(J; L^2(B_R)(x_0))} \\ &\quad + c(\rho, R) \text{Tail}_\infty(u; x_0, R, J) \|\phi_\epsilon - \phi\|_{L^2(J; L^2(B_R)(x_0))}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Combining the above estimates (3.7), (3.9), (3.10) and using the fact (3.6), we discover

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_2^\epsilon = \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u(x,t) - u(y,t))(\phi(x,t) - \phi(y,t)) \frac{A(x,y,t)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt.$$

Estimate of I_3^ϵ . From Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| I_3^\epsilon - \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} f(x,t)\phi(x,t) dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^r(J; L^q(B_R(x_0)))} \|\phi_\epsilon - \phi\|_{L^{r'}(J; L^{q'}(B_R(x_0)))} \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{L^r(J; L^q(B_R(x_0)))} \|\phi_\epsilon - \phi\|_{V_2^s((B_R(x_0)) \times J)} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ c(R) \|f\|_{L^r(J; L^q(B_R(x_0)))} \|\phi_\epsilon - \phi\|_{L^2(J; L^2(B_R(x_0)))},$$

and this estimate with (3.6) yields

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_3^\epsilon = \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R} f(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx dt.$$

Estimate of I_4^ϵ . Since u and $\phi \in C(J; L^2(B_R))$, we deduce

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_4^\epsilon = - \int_{B_R(x_0)} u(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx \Big|_{t=t_0-T_2}^{t=\tau}.$$

We combine all the estimates of $I_1^\epsilon, I_2^\epsilon, I_3^\epsilon$, and I_4^ϵ to see the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{w_+^2(x, t)}{2} \psi^2(x) \eta^2(t) dx \Big|_{t=t_0-T_2}^{t=\tau} \\ & + \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)) (\phi(x, t) - \phi(y, t)) \frac{A(x, y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ & \leq \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} f(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx dt + \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} w_+^2(x, t) \psi^2(x) \eta(t) \eta'(t) dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the Caccioppoli-type estimate as in [17, Lemma 3.3], we further investigate the second term in the left hand-side so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 & := \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|w_+(x, t)\psi(x) - w_+(y, t)\psi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \eta^2(t) dx dy dt \\ & + \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{(w_+(x, t)\psi(x)\eta(t))^2}{2} dx \Big|_{t=t_0-T_2}^{t=\tau} \\ & \leq c \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{\max\{w_+(x, t), w_+(y, t)\}^2 |\psi(x) - \psi(y)|^2 \eta^2(t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ & + c \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{w_+(y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \phi(x, t) dx dy dt \\ & + c \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} f(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx dt \\ & + c \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} w_+^2(x, t) \psi^2(x) \eta(t) \eta'(t) dx dt = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate J_i for each $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and 4. Note that J_0, J_1, J_2 and J_4 can be estimated with the help of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.8) as follows:

Estimate of J_0 .

$$J_0 \geq \int_{t_0-T_1}^\tau \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|w_+(x, t) - w_+(y, t)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [t_0-T_1, \tau]} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{w_+^2(x, t)}{2} dx$$

Estimate of J_1 .

$$J_1 \leq c \frac{R^{2(1-s)}}{(R - \rho)^2} \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} w_+^2(x, t) dx dt.$$

Estimate of J_2 .

$$J_2 \leq \frac{R^{n+2s}}{(R - \rho)^{n+2s}} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [t_0-T_2, t_0]} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{w_+(y, t)}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dy \right) \|w_+\|_{L^1(Q_{R, T_2}(z_0))}.$$

Estimate of J_4 .

$$J_4 \leq \frac{2}{T_2 - \tau} \int_{t_0-T_2}^\tau \int_{B_R(x_0)} w_+^2(x, t) dx dt.$$

In the case of J_3 , applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq \int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |f(x, t)| w_+(x, t) \psi(x) \eta(t) \, dx \, dt \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(B_R(x_0) \times (t_0-T_2, \tau))} \left\| \chi_{\{u \geq k\}} \right\|_{L^{\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}}(B_R(x_0) \times (t_0-T_2, \tau))} \|w_+ \psi \eta\|_{L^{\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}}(B_R(x_0) \times (t_0-T_2, \tau))} \\ &\leq k R^{-n\kappa} \left\| \chi_{\{u \geq k\}} \right\|_{L^{\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}}(B_R(x_0) \times (t_0-T_2, \tau))} \|w_+ \psi \eta\|_{L^{\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}}(B_R(x_0) \times (t_0-T_2, \tau))}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{q} = \frac{\hat{q}}{2\kappa+1}$ and $\tilde{r} = \frac{\hat{r}}{2\kappa+1}$. From (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \chi_{\{u \geq k\}} \right\|_{L^{\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}}(B_R(x_0) \times (t_0-T_2, \tau))} &= \left(\int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} |E(t; x_0, R, k)|^{\frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{q}}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{r}}} \\ &= \left(\int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} |E(t; x_0, R, k)|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{\hat{q}}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{2\kappa+1}{\tilde{r}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\left(\int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} |E(t; x_0, R, k)|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{\hat{q}}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{2\kappa}{\tilde{r}}} \leq c \left(\int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} R^{\frac{n\hat{r}}{\hat{q}}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{2\kappa}{\tilde{r}}} \leq c R^{(2s + \frac{n\hat{r}}{\hat{q}}) \frac{2\kappa}{\tilde{r}}} = c R^{n\kappa}. \quad (3.12)$$

Then we use Cauchy's inequality, (3.11), (3.12), and Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq \frac{k^2}{4\epsilon} R^{-2n\kappa} \left\| \chi_{\{u \geq k\}} \right\|_{L^{\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}}(B_R(x_0) \times (t_0-T_2, \tau))}^2 + \epsilon \|w_+ \psi \eta\|_{L^{\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}}(B_R(x_0) \times (t_0-T_2, \tau))}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{k^2}{4\epsilon} R^{-n\kappa} \left(\int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} |E(t; x_0, R, k)|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{\hat{q}}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{2(1+\kappa)}{\tilde{r}}} + c\epsilon \left(J_0 + R^{-2s} \int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} \int_{B_R(x_0)} w_+^2(x, t) \, dx \, dt \right) \\ &\leq ck^2 R^{-n\kappa} \left(\int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} |E(t; x_0, R, k)|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{\hat{q}}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{2(1+\kappa)}{\tilde{r}}} + \frac{1}{4} \left(J_0 + R^{-2s} \int_{t_0-T_2}^{\tau} \int_{B_R(x_0)} w_+^2(x, t) \, dx \, dt \right), \end{aligned}$$

by taking $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4c}$. Since $\tau \in [t_0 - (\frac{T_1+T_2}{2}), t_0]$ is arbitrary, we can combine estimates J_0, J_1, J_2, J_3 and J_4 to complete the proof. \square

Now using Lemma 3.2, we prove the local boundedness for a local weak subsolution to (1.1) with (1.4).

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step 1: Normalization. Define

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = u(\rho_0 x + x_0, \rho_0^{2s} t + t_0), \quad (x, t) \in Q_1,$$

$$\tilde{A}(x, y, t) = A(\rho_0 x + x_0, \rho_0 y + x_0, \rho_0^{2s} t + t_0), \quad (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(x, t) = \rho_0^{2s} f(\rho_0 x + x_0, \rho_0^{2s} t + t_0), \quad (x, t) \in Q_1.$$

Then

$$\tilde{u} \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(-1, 0; W_{\text{loc}}^{s,2}(B_1)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(-1, 0; L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C_{\text{loc}}(-1, 0; L_{\text{loc}}^2(B_1))$$

is a local weak subsolution to

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi \tilde{u} = \tilde{f} \text{ in } Q_1.$$

Now take $k > 0$ such that

$$k > \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_1)} + \text{ess sup}_{t \in [-1, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|\tilde{u}(y, t)|}{|y|^{n+2s}} \, dy.$$

From the Lemma 3.2, for any $\frac{1}{2} < \rho < R < 1$ and $\frac{1}{2^{2s}} < T_1 < T_2 \leq R^{2s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\tilde{u} - k)_+\|_{V_s^2(Q_{\rho, T_1})}^2 \\
& \leq c \left(\frac{1}{(R - \rho)^2} + \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \right) \int_{-T_2}^0 \int_{B_R} (\tilde{u} - k)_+^2 dx dt \\
& \quad + c \left(\frac{1}{(R - \rho)^{n+2s}} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-T_2, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho} \frac{(\tilde{u} - k)_+(y, t)}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy \right) \times \|(\tilde{u} - k)_+\|_{L^1(Q_{R, T_2})} \\
& \quad + ck^2 R^{-n\kappa} \left(\int_{-T_2}^0 |\tilde{E}(t; 0, R, k)|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{q}} dt \right)^{\frac{2(1+\kappa)}{\hat{r}}}, \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{E} is the level set of \tilde{u} as in (3.3). We write the second term on the right hand-side as I for simplicity. Then, using Cauchy's inequality and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I & \leq \frac{c}{(R - \rho)^{n+2s}} \iint_{Q_{R, T_2}} k(\tilde{u} - k)_+ dx dt \\
& \leq \frac{c}{(R - \rho)^{n+2s}} \left(\iint_{Q_{R, T_2}} k^2 \chi_{(\tilde{u}(x, t) > k)} dx dt + \iint_{Q_{R, T_2}} (\tilde{u} - k)_+^2 dx dt \right) \\
& \leq \frac{c}{(R - \rho)^{n+2s}} k^2 \left(\int_{-T_2}^0 |\tilde{E}(t; 0, R, k)|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{q}} dt \right)^{\frac{2(1+\kappa)}{\hat{r}}} + \frac{c}{(R - \rho)^{n+2s}} \iint_{Q_{R, T_2}} (\tilde{u} - k)_+^2 dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

With (3.13) and the estimate of I , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\tilde{u} - k)_+\|_{V_s^2(Q_{\rho, T_1})}^2 & \leq c \left(\left(\frac{1}{(R - \rho)^{n+2s}} + \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \right) \|(\tilde{u} - k)_+\|_{L^2(Q_{R, T_2})}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{c}{(R - \rho)^{n+2s}} k^2 \left(\int_{-T_2}^0 |\tilde{E}(t; 0, R, k)|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{q}} dt \right)^{\frac{2(1+\kappa)}{\hat{r}}} \right). \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Iteration. For any nonnegative integer h , we write

$$\rho_h = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{h+2}}, \quad \tau_h = \rho_h^{2s}, \quad \bar{\rho}_h = \frac{\rho_h + \rho_{h+1}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_h = Q_{\rho_h, \tau_h}$$

Moreover, take

$$\begin{aligned}
k_h & = N + N \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^h} \right), \\
\zeta_h & \in C_c^\infty(B_{\bar{\rho}_h}), \quad 0 \leq \zeta_h \leq 1, \quad \zeta_h \equiv 1 \text{ on}, \quad \|D\zeta_h\|_\infty \leq 2^{h+5}, \\
y_h & = N^{-2} \int_{-\tau_h}^0 \int_{B_{\rho_h}} (\tilde{u} - k_h)_+^2 dx dt, \quad z_h = \left(\int_{-\tau_h}^0 |\tilde{E}(t; \rho_h, k_h)|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{q}} dt \right)^{\frac{2}{\hat{r}}}, \\
\Lambda_h & = \int_{-\tau_{h+1}}^0 \tilde{E}(t; 0, \bar{\rho}_h, k_{h+1}) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $N > \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{q, r}(Q_1)} + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-1, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|\tilde{u}(y, t)|}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy$ will be determined later in (3.18). Note that for any nonnegative integer h ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_h - \tau_{h+1} & = 2s \int_{\rho_{h+1}}^{\rho_h} t^{2s-1} dt \geq s(\rho_h - \rho_{h+1}), \\
\Lambda_h & \leq (k_{h+1} - k_h)^{-2} N^2 y_h \leq 2^{2(h+4)} y_h. \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we obtain an iterative inequality of y_h and z_h . Using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{h+1} &\leq N^{-2} \int_{-\tau_{h+1}}^0 \int_{B_{\bar{\rho}_h}} (\tilde{u} - k_{h+1})_+^2 \zeta_h^2 dx dt \\
&\leq N^{-2} \|(\tilde{u} - k_{h+1})_+ \zeta_h\|_{L^2(Q_{\bar{\rho}_h, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 \\
&\leq N^{-2} \Lambda_h^{\frac{2s}{n+2s}} \|(\tilde{u} - k_{h+1})_+ \zeta_h\|_{L^{2(1+\frac{2s}{n})}(Q_{\bar{\rho}_h, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 \\
&\leq cN^{-2} \Lambda_h^{\frac{2s}{n+2s}} \left(\|(\tilde{u} - k_{h+1})_+ \zeta_h\|_{V_s^2(Q_{\bar{\rho}_h, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 + \bar{\rho}_h^{-2s} \|(\tilde{u} - k_{h+1})_+ \zeta_h\|_{L^2(Q_{\bar{\rho}_h, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 \right) \\
&\leq cN^{-2} \Lambda_h^\delta \left(\|(\tilde{u} - k_{h+1})_+\|_{V_s^2(Q_{\bar{\rho}_h, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 + N^2 y_h \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \frac{2s}{n+2s}$. By applying $\rho = \bar{\rho}_h$, $R = \rho_h$, $T_1 = \tau_{h+1}$, $T_2 = \tau_h$ and $k = k_{h+1}$ to (3.14) and using (3.15) and Lemma 2.2, we observe that the followings

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{h+1} &\leq cN^{-2} \left(2^{2(h+4)} y_h \right)^\delta \left(\left(2^{h(2s+n)} + 2^h \right) \|(\tilde{u} - k_{h+1})_+\|_{L^2(Q_{\rho_h, \tau_h})}^2 + 2^{h(n+2s)} k_{h+1}^2 z_h^{1+\kappa} \right) \\
&\quad + c2^{2(h+4)} y_h^{1+\delta} \\
&\leq cN^{-2} \left(2^{2(h+4)} y_h \right)^\delta \left(\left(2^{h(n+2s)} + 2^h \right) y_h N^2 + 2^{h(n+2s)} k_{h+1}^2 z_h^{1+\kappa} \right) + c2^{2(h+4)} y_h^{1+\delta} \\
&\leq c \left(\left(2^{h(n+4)} + 2^{h+2h} \right) y_h^{1+\delta} + 2^{h(n+4)} z_h^{1+\kappa} y_h^\delta \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(k_{h+1} - k_h) z_{h+1} &= (k_{h+1} - k_h)^2 \left(\int_{-\tau_{h+1}}^0 |\tilde{E}(t; 0, \rho_{h+1}, k_{h+1})|^{\frac{\hat{r}}{\hat{q}}} dt \right)^{\frac{2}{\hat{r}}} \\
&\leq c \|(\tilde{u} - k_h)_+\|_{L^{\hat{q}, \hat{r}}(Q_{\rho_{h+1}, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 \\
&\leq c \|(\tilde{u} - k_h)_+ \zeta_h\|_{L^{\hat{q}, \hat{r}}(Q_{\bar{\rho}_h, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 \\
&\leq c \left(\|(\tilde{u} - k_h)_+ \zeta_h\|_{V_s^2(Q_{\bar{\rho}_h, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 + \|(\tilde{u} - k_h)_+ \zeta_h\|_{L^2(Q_{\bar{\rho}_h, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 \right) \\
&\leq c \left(\left(2^{h(n+2)} + 2^h \right) \|(\tilde{u} - k_h)_+\|_{L^2(Q_{\bar{\rho}_h, \tau_{h+1}})}^2 + 2^{h(n+2s)} k_h^2 z_h^{1+\kappa} \right) \\
&\leq c2^{h(n+2)} N^2 y_h + c2^{h(n+2)} N^2 z_h^{1+\kappa}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.16) and (3.17), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{h+1} &\leq c \left(2^{n+4} \right)^h (y_h^{1+\delta} + z_h^{1+\kappa} y_h^\delta), \\
z_{h+1} &\leq c \left(2^{n+4} \right)^h (y_h + z_h^{1+\kappa}).
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, in light of (3.16) and (3.17) with $k_0 = N$, $k_{-1} = \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_1)} + \text{ess sup}_{t \in [-1,0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|\tilde{u}(y,t)|}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy$, $\rho_{-1} = 1$ and $\tau_{-1} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
y_0 &\leq \frac{1}{N^2} \iint_{Q_1} (\tilde{u} - N)_+^2 \leq \frac{1}{N^2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q_1)}^2, \\
z_0 &\leq \frac{c}{(N - k_{-1})^2} \left(\|(\tilde{u} - k_{-1})_+\|_{L^2(Q_1)}^2 + k_{-1}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Choose a sufficiently large N such that

$$N \geq c^{\frac{1+\kappa}{\sigma}} \left(\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q_1)} + \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_1)} + \text{ess sup}_{t \in [-1,0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|\tilde{u}(y,t)|}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy \right), \quad \sigma = \min\{\delta, \kappa\}, \tag{3.18}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &\leq (2c)^{-\frac{1+\kappa}{\sigma}} \times (2^{n+4})^{-\frac{1+\kappa}{\sigma^2}} \\ z_0 &\leq (2c)^{-\frac{1+\kappa}{\sigma}} \times (2^{n+4})^{-\frac{1+\kappa}{\sigma^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\sup_{Q_{1/2}} |\tilde{u}(x, t)| \leq c \left(\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q_1)} + \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_1)} + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-1, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|\tilde{u}(y, t)|}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy \right),$$

By scaling back, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in Q_{\rho_0/2}(z_0)} |u(z)| &\leq c \left(\left(\iint_{Q_{\rho_0}(z_0)} u^2(x, t) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \operatorname{Tail}_{\infty}(u, z_0, \rho_0/2, \rho_0^{2s}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_0^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

We next want to assert the Hölder regularity of a local weak solution to (1.1) with (1.4) by comparing the solution to the homogeneous equation (3.20) below. More precisely, we assert that there is a constant $\tilde{\beta} \equiv \tilde{\beta}(n, s, q, r, \beta)$ such that $u \in C^{\tilde{\beta}, \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2s}}(Q_{R_0}(z_0))$ for every $Q_{2R_0}(z_0) \Subset \Omega_T$. Now, we fix any $\rho > 0$ and $R > 0$ so that

$$0 < \rho < R < \min \left\{ 1, (2^{2s} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2s}} \frac{R_0}{4}, \frac{R_0}{4} \right\} \quad (3.19)$$

and choose a point $\tilde{z} \in Q_{R_0}(z_0)$. Note that $Q_{4R}(\tilde{z}) \subset Q_{2R_0}(z_0) \Subset \Omega_T$. Throughout this section, we set

$$\gamma = 2n\kappa,$$

which is the positive number from (1.4).

Lemma 3.3. *Let u be a local weak solution to (1.1) with (1.4) and (3.19). Suppose that*

$$\begin{aligned} v \in L^2(\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}; W^{s,2}(B_{3R}(\tilde{x}))) \cap L^\infty(\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \\ \cap C([\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]; L^2(B_{3R}(\tilde{x}))) \end{aligned}$$

is the local weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi v = 0 & \text{in } Q_{3R}(\tilde{z}) \\ v = u & \text{on } \partial_P Q_{3R}(\tilde{z}) \cup ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{3R}(\tilde{x})) \times [\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]). \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

Then we have the estimates

$$\int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{\tilde{t}} [(u - v)(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dt + \sup_{t \in [\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]} \int_{B_{3R}(\tilde{x})} (u - v)^2(x, t) dx \leq cR^{\gamma+n} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{3R}(\tilde{z}))}^2$$

and

$$\iint_{Q_{3R}(\tilde{z})} (u - v)^2 dx dt \leq cR^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{3R}(\tilde{z}))}^2,$$

for some constant $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$.

Remark 6. The existence of v in (3.20) follows from the Appendix A.

Proof. Let $w = u - v$ to find that

$$w \in L^2(\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}; W_0^{s,2}(B_{3R}(\tilde{x}))) \cap C([\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]; L^2(B_{3R}(\tilde{x})))$$

and

$$\partial_t w + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u - \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi v = f \text{ in } Q_{3R}(\tilde{z}).$$

By an approximation argument with the mollification in time, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{3R}(\tilde{x})} \frac{w^2(x, t_1)}{2} dx + \int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(x, y, t) \frac{\Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (w(x, t) - w(y, t)) dx dy dt \\ & - \int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(x, y, t) \frac{\Phi(v(x, t) - v(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (w(x, t) - w(y, t)) dx dy dt \\ & = \int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{t_1} \int_{B_{3R}(\tilde{x})} fw dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_1 \in [\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]$. we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(x, y, t) \frac{\Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)) - \Phi(v(x, t) - v(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (w(x, t) - w(y, t)) dx dy dt \\ & \geq \lambda^{-2} \int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w(x, t) - w(y, t)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]} \int_{B_{3R}(\tilde{x})} \frac{w^2(x, t)}{2} dx + \lambda^{-2} \int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{\tilde{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w(x, t) - w(y, t)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ & \leq \int_{Q_{3R}(\tilde{z})} fw dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2.2, and Cauchy's inequality, we estimate the last term as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{3R}(\tilde{z})} fw dx dt \\ & \leq cR^{\frac{n+\gamma}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{3R}(\tilde{z}))} \left[\left(\int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{\tilde{t}} [w(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dt + \sup_{t \in [\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]} \int_{B_{3R}(\tilde{x})} w^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq cR^{n+\gamma} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{3R}(\tilde{z}))}^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{4} \int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{\tilde{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w(x, t) - w(y, t)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt + \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{4} \sup_{t \in [\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]} \int_{B_{3R}(\tilde{x})} w^2(x, t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the estimates together with Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\int_{\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}}^{\tilde{t}} [(u - v)(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt + \sup_{t \in [\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]} \int_{B_{3R}(\tilde{x})} (u - v)^2(x, t) dx \leq cR^{n+\gamma} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{3R}(\tilde{z}))}^2 \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\iint_{Q_{3R}(\tilde{z})} (u - v)^2 dx dt \leq cR^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{3R}(\tilde{z}))}^2.$$

□

Using the local boundedness of u , Lemma 1.1, and Lemma 3.3, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 3.4. (Decay transfer) *Under the same conditions and conclusion as in Lemma 3.3, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_\rho} |u - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho}|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^{n+2s} R^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4R}(\tilde{z}))}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{2\beta} \left(R^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4R}(\tilde{z}))}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R}(\tilde{z}))}^2 + \text{Tail}_\infty^2(u, \tilde{z}, 4R) \right),$$

for some constant $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$.

Proof. Using triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q_\rho} |u - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho}|^2 dx dt &\leq c \left[\iint_{Q_\rho} |u - v|^2 dx dt + \iint_{Q_\rho} |v - \bar{v}_{Q_\rho}|^2 dx dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \iint_{Q_\rho} |\bar{u}_{Q_\rho} - \bar{v}_{Q_\rho}|^2 dx dt \right] \\ &\leq c \iint_{Q_\rho} |u - v|^2 dx dt + c \iint_{Q_\rho} |v - \bar{v}_{Q_\rho}|^2 dx dt \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we get

$$I_1 \leq c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^{n+2s} R^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4R})}^2.$$

From Lemma 2.7, we deduce

$$I_2 \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{2\beta} \left(\iint_{Q_{2R}} |v|^2 dx dt + \text{Tail}_\infty^2(v; \tilde{z}, R, (2R)^{2s}) \right).$$

Set $I_{2,1} := \iint_{Q_{2R}} |v|^2 dx dt$ and $I_{2,2} := \text{Tail}_\infty^2(v; \tilde{z}, R, (2R)^{2s})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,1} &\leq 2 \left(\iint_{Q_{2R}} |u|^2 dx dt \right) + 2 \left(\iint_{Q_{2R}} |u - v|^2 dx dt \right) \\ &\leq 2 \left(\iint_{Q_{4R}} |u|^2 dx dt \right) + c R^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4R})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u - v \equiv 0$ in $((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{3R}(\tilde{x})) \times [\tilde{t} - (3R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}])$, it follows from (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,2} &\leq 2 \text{Tail}_\infty^2(u - v; \tilde{z}, R, (2R)^{2s}) + 2 \text{Tail}_\infty^2(u; \tilde{z}, R, (2R)^{2s}) \\ &\leq c \left(\left(\text{ess sup}_{t \in [\tilde{t} - (2R)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]} \int_{B_{3R}(\tilde{x})} |(u - v)(y, t)| dy \right)^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R})}^2 + \text{Tail}_\infty^2(u; \tilde{z}, 4R) \right) \\ &\leq c \left(R^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4R})}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R})}^2 + \text{Tail}_\infty^2(u; \tilde{z}, 4R) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We combine all the estimates of $I_{2,1}$ and $I_{2,2}$ to finish the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove the Hölder regularity.

Lemma 3.5. *Under the same conditions and conclusion as in Lemma 3.4, we have $u \in C^{\tilde{\beta}, \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2s}}(Q_{R_0}(z_0))$ for some $\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$ with the estimate*

$$[u]_{C^{\tilde{\beta}, \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2s}}(Q_{R_0}(z_0))} \leq c \left(\|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u; z_0, 2R_0) \right),$$

for some constant $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.4, we find that for every $\tilde{z} \in Q_{R_0}(z_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{Q_\rho(\tilde{z})} |u - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(\tilde{z})}|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^{n+2s} R^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4R}(\tilde{z}))}^2 \\ &\quad + c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{2\beta} \left(R^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4R}(\tilde{z}))}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4R}(\tilde{z}))}^2 + \text{Tail}_\infty^2(u, \tilde{z}, 4R) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c \left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n+2s} R^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))}^2 \\ &\quad + c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{2\beta} \left((R_0)^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))}^2 + \text{Tail}_\infty^2(u, \tilde{z}, 4R) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tail}_\infty(u, \tilde{z}, 4R) &\leq (4R)^{2s} \int_{B_{2R_0}(x_0) \setminus B_{4R}(\tilde{x})} \frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))}}{|y - \tilde{x}|^{n+2s}} dy \\ &\quad + (4R)^{2s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [t_0 - (2R_0)^{2s}, t_0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R_0}(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|y - x_0|^{n+2s}} dy \\ &\leq c \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))} + c \text{Tail}_\infty(u, z_0, 2R_0), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$|y - \tilde{x}| \geq |y - x_0| - |\tilde{x} - x_0| \geq \frac{|y - x_0|}{2} \text{ for any } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R_0}(x_0).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{Q_\rho(\tilde{z})} |u - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(\tilde{z})}|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n+2s} R^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))}^2 \\ &\quad + c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{2\beta} \left((R_0)^\gamma \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))}^2 + \text{Tail}_\infty^2(u, z_0, 2R_0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now take $\rho = R \frac{n+2\beta+2s+\gamma}{n+2\beta+2s} < R$ to discover that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\rho^{2\tilde{\beta}}} \iint_{Q_\rho(\tilde{z})} |u - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(\tilde{z})}|^2 dx dt &\leq c \left(\|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2R_0}(z_0))}^2 + \text{Tail}_\infty^2(u, x_0, 2R_0) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{\beta} = \frac{\beta\gamma}{n+2s+2\beta+\gamma}$ where β is as in Lemma 2.7. The conclusion follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

4. IMPROVED HÖLDER REGULARITY FOR HOMOGENEOUS EQUATION WITH KERNEL COEFFICIENT INVARIANT UNDER THE TRANSLATION IN ONLY SPATIAL DIRECTION

In the previous chapter, we have proved the Hölder regularity to (1.1) with (1.4) for some exponent. For now, we assume the kernel coefficient

$$\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda; B_1 \times B_1 \times (-1, 0)) \cap \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda), \quad (4.1)$$

to obtain the higher Hölder regularity. We focus on a weak solution

$$u \in L^2((-2^{2s}, 0]; W^{s,2}(B_2)) \cap L^\infty((-2^{2s}, 0]; L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C((-2^{2s}, 0]; L^2(B_2))$$

to the locally normalized problem

$$\partial_t u + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u = 0 \text{ in } Q_2. \quad (4.2)$$

Many of the results and computations in this section are based on those in [4, 5].

First, we start with the following discrete differentiation of the equation, which is an extension of the one described in [5, Lemma 3.3] as well as a parabolic analogue of the elliptic one in [33, Proposition 3.1].

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that u is a local weak solution to (4.2) with (4.1). Let $\psi(x) \in C_c^\infty(B_R)$ be a nonnegative function and $\eta(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ a nonnegative function with*

$$\eta(t) = 0 \text{ at } t \leq t_1 \text{ and } \eta(t) = 1 \text{ at } t \geq t_2$$

where $-1 < t_1 < t_2 < 0$ and $R < 1$. Then for any locally Lipschitz function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 < |h| < \frac{1}{4(1-R)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \int_{B_R} (\Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t)) - \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t))) \\
& \quad \times (g(u_h(x, t) - u(x, t))\psi(x)^2 - g(u_h(y, t) - u(y, t))\psi(y)^2)\eta(t) \frac{A(x, y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\
& = - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R} \int_{B_R} \frac{(A_h(x, y, t)\Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t)) - A(x, y, t)\Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \\
& \quad \times (g(u_h(x, t) - u(x, t))\psi(x)^2\eta(t)) dx dy dt \\
& \quad - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R} \frac{(A_h(x, y, t)\Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t)) - A(x, y, t)\Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \\
& \quad \times (g(u_h(y, t) - u(y, t))\psi(y)^2\eta(t)) dx dy dt \\
& \quad - \int_{B_R} G(\delta_h u(x, t_2))\psi^2(x) dx + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} G(\delta_h u(x, t))\psi^2(x)\eta'(t) dx dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A_h(x, y, t) = A(x + h, y + h, t)$ for $(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For convenience, we denote the first three terms in the above equation by J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 . Take

$$h_0 = \text{dist}(\text{supp } \psi, \partial B_R) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2} \min\{-t_2, t_1 + 1, t_2 - t_1\},$$

and for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, define

$$\phi_\epsilon(x, t) := (g(u_h^\epsilon - u^\epsilon)\psi^2\eta_\epsilon)^\epsilon(x, t) = (g(\delta_h u^\epsilon)\psi^2\eta_\epsilon)^\epsilon(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q_1,$$

where

$$\eta_\epsilon(t) = \eta \left(\frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_2 - t_1 - \epsilon} \left(t - t_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) + t_2 \right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Testing to (4.2) with ϕ_ϵ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} -u \partial_t \phi_\epsilon dx dt \\
& \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)) (\phi_\epsilon(x, t) - \phi_\epsilon(y, t)) \frac{A(x, y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\
& = - \int_{B_R} u(x, t) \phi_\epsilon(x, t) dx \Big|_{t=t_1}^{t=t_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

On the other hand, we take a test function $\phi_{-h}(x, t) := \phi(x - h, t)$ for $0 < |h| < \frac{h_0}{4}$ and using a change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} -u_h \partial_t \phi_\epsilon dx dt \\
& \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t)) (\phi_\epsilon(x, t) - \phi_\epsilon(y, t)) \frac{A_h(x, y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\
& = - \int_{B_R} u_h(x, t) \phi_\epsilon(x, t) dx \Big|_{t=t_1}^{t=t_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By subtracting (4.5) from (4.4), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
I^\epsilon &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} (-u_h + u) \partial_t \phi_\epsilon \, dx \, dt + \int_{B_R} (u_h(x, t) - u(x, t)) \phi_\epsilon(x, t) \, dx \Big|_{t=t_1}^{t=t_2} \\
&= - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \int_{B_R} (\Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)) - \Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t))) \\
&\quad \times (\phi_\epsilon(x, t) - \phi_\epsilon(y, t)) \frac{A(x, y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \, dt \\
&\quad - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R} \int_{B_R} \frac{(A(x, y, t) \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)) - A_h(x, y, t) \Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t)))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \\
&\quad \times \phi_\epsilon(x, t) \, dx \, dy \, dt \\
&\quad - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R} \frac{(A(x, y, t) \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)) - A_h(x, y, t) \Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t)))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \\
&\quad \times \phi_\epsilon(y, t) \, dx \, dy \, dt \\
&=: -J_1^\epsilon - J_2^\epsilon - J_3^\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

By following as in [5, Lemma 3.3], we find that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I^\epsilon = \int_{B_R} G(\delta_h u(x, t_1)) \psi^2(x) \, dx - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} G(\delta_h u(x, t)) \psi^2(x) \eta'(t) \, dx \, dt$$

We now use the local boundedness result, Lemma 1.1 which implies $u \in L^\infty([t_1 - \epsilon_0, t_2 + \epsilon_0] \times B_{R+2h_0})$ and the locally Lipschitz regularity of g to observe

$$\phi_\epsilon(x, t) \rightarrow g(u_h - u) \psi^2(x) \eta(t) \quad \text{in } L^2([t_1 - \epsilon_0, t_2 + \epsilon_0]; W^{s,2}(B_R))$$

and

$$\phi_\epsilon(x, t) \rightarrow g(u_h - u) \psi^2(x) \eta(t) \quad \text{in } C([t_1 - \epsilon_0, t_2 + \epsilon_0]; L^2(B_R)).$$

Similar arguments performed on the estimate of I_2^ϵ in Lemma 3.2 yield that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J_i^\epsilon = J_i, \quad \text{for each } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Combining the each limits of I^ϵ , J_1^ϵ , J_2^ϵ , and J_3^ϵ , we conclude the proof. \square

With the above Lemma 4.1, we see the gaining of integrability and differentiability of a weak solution u to (4.2) in the following.

Lemma 4.2. *Let u be a local weak solution to (4.2) with (4.1) satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tail}_\infty(u; 0, 1) \leq 1.$$

Assume that for some $p \geq 2$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < \frac{1+\theta p}{p} < 1$,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{p}}} \right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^p \, dt < \infty,$$

whenever $0 < h_0 \leq \frac{1}{10}$ and $-1 < t_1 < t_2 \leq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{t_1+\tau}^{t_2} \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+2s+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_{R-4h_0})}^{p+1} \, dt + \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h u(\cdot, t_2)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_{R-4h_0})}^{p+1} \\
&\leq c \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{p}}} \right\|_{L^p(B_{R+4h_0})}^p \, dt + 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $c \equiv c(n, s, \lambda, p, h_0, \tau, \theta)$, whenever $4h_0 < R \leq 1 - 5h_0$ and $0 < \tau < t_2 - t_1$.

Proof. We first assume $t_2 < 0$ to use Lemma 4.1. the case $t_2 = 0$ will be considered in Step 4.

Step 1: Discrete differentiation of the equation. Set $r = R - 4h_0$ and fix $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 < |h| < h_0$. Let $\psi(x) \in C_c^\infty(B_R)$ be a cut-off function satisfying

$$0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \quad \psi \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_r \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \leq \frac{2}{R-r} = \frac{1}{2h_0}.$$

Moreover, let $\eta(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nonnegative function such that

$$\eta(t) \equiv 0 \text{ in } (-\infty, t_1], \quad \eta(t) \equiv 1 \text{ in } [t_1 + \tau, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{2}{\tau}. \quad (4.6)$$

Set $g(t) := |t|^{p-1}t$ and $G(t) := \frac{1}{p+1}|t|^{p+1}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By using (4.3) in Lemma 4.1 and dividing both sides by $|h|^{1+\theta p}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \int_{B_R} \frac{A(x, y, t) (\Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t)) - \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)))}{|h|^{1+\theta p} |x - y|^{n+2s}} \\ &\quad \times [g(u_h(x, t) - u(x, t))\psi^2(x) - g(u_h(y, t) - u(y, t))\psi^2(y)] \eta(t) \, dx \, dy \, dt \\ &= - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R} \int_{B_R} \frac{A_h(x, y, t) (\Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t)) - \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)))}{|h|^{1+\theta p} |x - y|^{n+2s}} \\ &\quad \times g(u_h(x, t) - u(x, t))\psi^2(x) \eta(t) \, dx \, dy \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R} \frac{A_h(x, y, t) (\Phi(u_h(x, t) - u_h(y, t)) - \Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t)))}{|h|^{1+\theta p} |x - y|^{n+2s}} \\ &\quad \times g(u_h(y, t) - u(y, t))\psi^2(y) \eta(t) \, dx \, dy \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_{B_R} \frac{G(\delta_h u(x, t_2))}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} \psi^2(x) \, dx + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \frac{G(\delta_h u(x, t))}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} \psi^2(x) \eta'(t) \, dx \, dt \\ &=: -II - III - IV + V. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Estimates of I through V . First, we will estimate I, II and III . Let $F_1(t), F_2(t)$ and $F_3(t)$ be the integrands of I, II and III with respect to the measure $\eta(t) \, dt$, respectively. Then for fixed $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, similar calculations as in [33, Proposition 3.1, Step 2 - Step 4] lead to the following estimates,

$$F_1(t) \geq \frac{1}{c} \left[\frac{|\delta_h u(\cdot, t)|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \delta_h u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{2}}} \psi(\cdot) \right]_{W^{s,2}(B_R)}^2 - c \int_{B_R} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t)|^{p+1}}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} \, dx,$$

and

$$|F_2(t)| + |F_3(t)| \leq c \int_{B_R} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} \, dx.$$

where c depends only on n, s, λ and h_0 , but it is independent on t . It follows that

$$I \geq \frac{1}{c} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{|\delta_h u(\cdot, t)|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \delta_h u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{2}}} \psi(\cdot) \right]_{W^{s,2}(B_R)}^2 \eta(t) \, dt - c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} \, dx \, dt,$$

and

$$|II| + |III| \leq c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} \, dx \, dt,$$

where we have used $\eta \leq 1$. Next, we will derive estimates of IV and V . From the definition of G and ψ , we get

$$IV \geq \frac{1}{c} \int_{B_r} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t_2)|^{p+1}}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} \, dx.$$

Since $\|u_h\|_{L^\infty(B_R \times [t_1, t_2])} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1 \times [-1, 0])} \leq 1$ and (4.6), we deduce that

$$|V| \leq \frac{c}{\tau} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} \, dx \, dt.$$

Combining all the above estimates, we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{|\delta_h u(\cdot, t)|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \delta_h u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{2}}} \psi(\cdot) \right]_{W^{s,2}(B_R)}^2 \eta(t) dt + \int_{B_r} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t_2)|^{p+1}}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} dx \leq c(\tau) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} dx dt. \quad (4.7)$$

We further estimate using the advantage of [33, Proposition 3.1, Step 5] and (4.6),

$$\int_{t_1+\tau}^{t_2} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+2s+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_r)}^{p+1} dt \leq c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{|\delta_h u(\cdot, t)|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \delta_h u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{2}}} \psi(\cdot) \right]_{W^{s,2}(B_R)}^2 \eta(t) dt + c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} dx dt. \quad (4.8)$$

Moreover, Lemma 2.3 and $\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} \leq 1$ imply

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} dx dt \leq c \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{R+4h_0}} \frac{|\delta_h^2 u(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} dx dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(B_{R+4h_0})}^p dt \right) \leq c \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{R+4h_0}} \frac{|\delta_h^2 u(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} dx dt + 1 \right). \quad (4.9)$$

Gathering together the estimates (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9) gives

$$\int_{t_1+\tau}^{t_2} \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+2s+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_{R-4h_0})}^{p+1} dt + \int_{B_r} \frac{|\delta_h u(x, t_2)|^{p+1}}{|h|^{1+\theta p}} dx \leq c \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{p}}} \right\|_{L^p(B_{R+4h_0})}^p dt + 1 \right). \quad (4.10)$$

Step 4: Case for $t_2 = 0$. Note that c in (4.10) is independent of t_1 . By the monotone convergence theorem, we get

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_1+\tau}^{t_2} \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+2s+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_{R-4h_0})}^{p+1} dt = \int_{t_1+\tau}^0 \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+2s+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_{R-4h_0})}^{p+1} dt. \quad (4.11)$$

Since for any $0 < |h| < h_0$, $\delta_h^2 u(x, t)$ is in $C([-1, 0]; L^2(B_1))$, we have

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow 0} \|\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t_2) - \delta_h^2 u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(B_R)} = 0.$$

which implies

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow 0} \delta_h^2 u(x, t_2) = \delta_h^2 u(x, 0) \quad a.e. \text{ in } B_R.$$

Therefore Fatou's lemma yields

$$\left\| \frac{\delta_h u(\cdot, 0)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_{R-4h_0})}^{p+1} \leq \liminf_{t_2 \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h u(\cdot, t_2)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_{R-4h_0})}^{p+1}, \quad 0 < |h| < h_0. \quad (4.12)$$

Taking into account (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12), we conclude

$$\int_{t_1+\tau}^0 \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+2s+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_{R-4h_0})}^{p+1} dt + \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h u(\cdot, 0)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{p+1}}} \right\|_{L^{p+1}(B_{R-4h_0})}^{p+1}$$

$$\leq c \left(\int_{t_1}^0 \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 u(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta p}{p}}} \right\|_{L^p(B_{R+4h_0})}^p dt + 1 \right).$$

□

Now we prove a higher Hölder regularity for (4.2) with respect to the spatial variables.

Lemma 4.3. *Let u be a local weak solution to (4.2) with (4.1). Then for any $0 < \alpha < \min\{2s, 1\}$,*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-(1/2)^{2s}, 0]} [u(\cdot, t)]_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2})} \leq c \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} + \left(\int_{-1}^0 [u(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_1)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \operatorname{Tail}_\infty(u; 0, 1) \right),$$

where $c \equiv c(n, s, \alpha, \lambda)$.

Proof. Step 1: Normalization. Let

$$M := \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} + \left(\int_{-1}^0 [u(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_1)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \operatorname{Tail}_\infty(u; 0, 1)$$

and set

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) := \frac{1}{M} u(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) := \frac{1}{M} \Phi(M\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then \tilde{u} is a local weak solution to $\partial_t \tilde{u} + \mathcal{L}_A^{\tilde{\Phi}} \tilde{u} = 0$ in Q_2 . In particular, \tilde{u} satisfies

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} \leq 1, \quad \int_{-1}^0 [\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_1)}^2 dt \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Tail}_\infty(\tilde{u}; 0, 1) \leq 1. \quad (4.13)$$

Step 2: Iteration. Let $q_i := i + 2$ and $\theta_i := \frac{2s(i+1)-1}{i+2}$ for $i \geq 0$. Then $\frac{1+\theta_i q_i}{q_i}$ is an increasing sequence satisfying

$$\frac{1 + 2s + \theta_i q_i}{q_i + 1} = \frac{1 + \theta_{i+1} q_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}}, \quad s = \frac{1 + \theta_0 q_0}{q_0} \leq \frac{1 + \theta_i q_i}{q_i} < 2s, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 + \theta_i q_i}{q_i} = 2s.$$

Now fix $\alpha \in (0, \min\{2s, 1\})$. We consider the following two cases.

(Case 1 : $s \leq \frac{1}{2}$). In this case, we can choose $i_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\alpha < \frac{1 + \theta_{i_\alpha} q_{i_\alpha} - n}{q_{i_\alpha}} < 2s \leq 1. \quad (4.14)$$

Let

$$h_0 = \frac{1}{64i_\alpha} \quad (4.15)$$

and set for any $i \geq 0$,

$$R_i = \frac{7}{8} - 4h_0(2i + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad T_i = -\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{2s} + 32h_0 \left(\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{2s} - \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2s} \right) i. \quad (4.16)$$

Then R_i and T_i have the following relations.

$$R_i - 4h_0 = R_{i+1} + 4h_0, \quad T_{i+1} = T_i + 32h_0 \left(\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{2s} - \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2s} \right),$$

$$R_0 + 4h_0 = \frac{7}{8}, \quad R_{i_\alpha} + 4h_0 = \frac{3}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{i_\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{2s} + \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2s} \right).$$

Note that $\frac{7}{8} + 4h_0 \leq 1$ and $\delta_h^2 \tilde{u} = \delta_{2h} \tilde{u} - 2\delta_h \tilde{u}$ to find

$$\int_{T_0}^0 \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta_0 q_0}{q_0}}} \right\|_{L^{q_0}(B_{R_0+4h_0})}^{q_0} dt = \int_{-(\frac{7}{8})^{2s}}^0 \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)}{|h|^s} \right\|_{L^2(B_{7/8})}^2 dt$$

$$\leq c \left(\int_{-1}^0 [\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_1)}^2 dt + \int_{-1}^0 \|\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 dt \right) \leq c,$$

where we have also used Lemma 2.5 and (4.13). Thus Lemma 4.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_{i+1}}^T \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta_{i+1}q_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}}}} \right\|_{L^{q_{i+1}}(B_{R_i-4h_0})}^{q_{i+1}} dt + \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h \tilde{u}(\cdot, T)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta_{i+1}q_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}}}} \right\|_{L^{q_{i+1}}(B_{R_i-4h_0})}^{q_{i+1}} \\ & \leq c \left(\int_{T_i}^T \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta_i q_i}{q_i}}} \right\|_{L^{q_i}(B_{R_i+4h_0})}^{q_i} dt + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, i_{\alpha-1}$ and $-\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2s} \leq T \leq 0$. After finite steps, we obtain

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{T \in [-(3/4)^{2s}, 0]} \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h \tilde{u}(\cdot, T)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta_{i_\alpha} q_{i_\alpha}}{q_{i_\alpha}}}} \right\|_{L^{q_{i_\alpha}}(B_{3/4})}^{q_{i_\alpha}} \leq c(n, s, \lambda, \alpha).$$

Thus Lemma 2.4 with (4.14) yields

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-(1/2)^{2s}, 0]} [\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)]_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2})} \leq c(n, s, \lambda, \alpha).$$

(Case 2 : $s > \frac{1}{2}$). On the other hand, when $2s > 1$, there exists some $i_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1 + \theta_{i_\alpha-1} q_{i_\alpha-1}}{q_{i_\alpha-1}} < 1 \leq \frac{1 + \theta_{i_\alpha} q_{i_\alpha}}{q_{i_\alpha}}.$$

Now take $\gamma \in (\alpha, 1)$. Then there exists some $j_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\alpha < \gamma - \frac{n}{q_{i_\alpha+j_\alpha}}. \quad (4.17)$$

Take

$$h_0 = \frac{1}{64(i_\alpha + j_\alpha)}, \quad (4.18)$$

and let R_i and T_i be as in (4.16), but we use (4.18) instead of (4.15). Then

$$R_0 + 4h_0 = \frac{7}{8}, \quad R_{i_\alpha+j_\alpha} + 4h_0 = \frac{3}{4}, \quad \text{and} \quad T_{i_\alpha+j_\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{2s} + \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2s} \right).$$

A similar calculation in Case 1 shows that

$$\int_{T_{i_\alpha}}^0 \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)}{|h|^\gamma} \right\|_{L^{q_{i_\alpha}}(B_{R_{i_\alpha+4h_0}})}^{q_{i_\alpha}} dt \leq \int_{T_{i_\alpha}}^0 \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta_{i_\alpha} q_{i_\alpha}}{q_{i_\alpha}}}} \right\|_{L^{q_{i_\alpha}}(B_{R_{i_\alpha+4h_0}})}^{q_{i_\alpha}} dt \leq c,$$

where we have also used $\gamma < 1 \leq \frac{1+\theta_{i_\alpha} q_{i_\alpha}}{q_{i_\alpha}}$. Take $\tilde{\theta}_i = \gamma - \frac{1}{q_i}$, which implies $\frac{1+\tilde{\theta}_i q_i}{q_i} = \gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then since $s > \frac{1}{2}$, we discover

$$\gamma < 1 + \frac{q_i(\gamma - 1)}{q_i + 1} = \frac{2 + \tilde{\theta}_i q_i}{q_i + 1} < \frac{1 + 2s + \tilde{\theta}_i q_i}{q_i + 1}.$$

Therefore by applying $\tilde{\theta}_i$ instead of θ_i to Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\int_{T_{i+1}}^T \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)}{|h|^\gamma} \right\|_{L^{q_{i+1}}(B_{R_i-4h_0})}^{q_{i+1}} dt \leq \int_{T_{i+1}}^T \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+2s+\tilde{\theta}_i q_i}{q_{i+1}}}} \right\|_{L^{q_{i+1}}(B_{R_i-4h_0})}^{q_{i+1}} dt$$

$$\leq c \left(\int_{T_i}^T \sup_{0 < |h| < h_0} \left\| \frac{\delta_h^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)}{|h|^{\frac{1+\theta_i q_i}{q_i}}} \right\|_{L^{q_i}(B_{R_i+4h_0})}^{q_i} dt + 1 \right),$$

for each $i = i_\alpha, \dots, i_\alpha + j_\alpha - 1$ and for any $T \in [-(\frac{3}{4})^{2s}, 0]$. By Lemma 2.4 and (4.17), we conclude

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-(1/2)^{2s}, 0]} [\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)]_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2})} \leq c.$$

By scaling back, we complete the proof. \square

Next, we will prove a higher Hölder regularity for a solution to (4.2) with respect to time variable. To this end, we first introduce two lemmas. One is a generalized Poincaré type inequality from [5, Lemma 6.1].

Lemma 4.4 (Generalized Poincaré type inequality). *Let $0 < s < 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. For any $u \in W^{s,p}(B_r)$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_r)$ with $\overline{\psi}_{B_r} = 1$, there holds*

$$\int_{B_r} |u - \overline{(u\psi)}_{B_r}|^p dx \leq cr^{sp} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^p [u]_{W^{s,p}(B_r)}^p,$$

where $c \equiv c(n, s, p)$.

The other is a gluing lemma regarding a Hölder regularity with respect to time variable.

Lemma 4.5 (Gluing lemma). *Let u be a local weak solution to (1.1) in Q_1 and let $z_0 \in Q_1$ and $Q_{\rho,\theta}(z_0) \Subset Q_1$. Let $B_\rho \equiv B_\rho(x_0)$ and let $\psi(x) \in C_c^\infty(B_{3\rho/4})$ be a nonnegative function such that*

$$\overline{\psi}_{B_\rho} = 1, \quad \psi \equiv \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_\rho)} \text{ in } B_{\frac{\rho}{2}} \text{ and } \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{c}{\rho}. \quad (4.19)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho}(T_1) - \overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho}(T_0) \right| &\leq c \frac{\theta}{\rho} \int_{t_0-\theta}^{t_0} \int_{B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x-y|^{n+2s-1}} dx dy dt \\ &\quad + c\theta \int_{t_0-\theta}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho} \int_{B_{3\rho/4}} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where $c \equiv c(n, s, \lambda)$ and $t_0 - \theta < T_0 < T_1 < t_0$.

Proof. For a sufficiently small $0 < \epsilon < \min\{\frac{T_1-T_0}{4}, \frac{1+T_0}{2}, -\frac{T_1}{2}\}$, we define

$$\eta_\epsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } t < T_0 \\ \frac{t-T_0}{\epsilon} & \text{in } T_0 \leq t < T_0 + \epsilon \\ 1 & \text{in } T_0 + \epsilon \leq t < T_1 - \epsilon \\ \frac{T_1-t}{\epsilon} & \text{in } T_1 - \epsilon \leq t < T_1 \\ 0 & \text{in } t \geq T_1. \end{cases}$$

By the condition of (4.19), we obtain

$$\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \overline{\psi}_{B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \leq c \overline{\psi}_{B_\rho} = c.$$

Then we can use $\psi(x)\eta_\epsilon(t)$ as a test function. By the definition of local weak solution and the conditions (1.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{T_1-\epsilon}^{T_1} \int_{B_\rho} u\psi \, dxdt - \int_{T_0}^{T_0+\epsilon} \int_{B_\rho} u\psi \, dxdt \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{t_0-\theta}^{t_0} \int_{B_\rho} u\psi\eta'_\epsilon \, dxdt \right| \\
&\leq c \int_{t_0-\theta}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \, dx dy dt \\
&\leq \frac{c}{\rho} \int_{t_0-\theta}^{t_0} \int_{B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x-y|^{n+2s-1}} \, dx dy dt + c \int_{t_0-\theta}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \psi(x) \, dx dy dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where we used the fact that $|\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\rho}|x-y|$. Furthermore, the second term on the right hand side can be estimated by

$$\int_{t_0-\theta}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \psi(x) \, dx dy dt \leq c \int_{t_0-\theta}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho} \int_{B_{3\rho/4}} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x_0-y|^{n+2s}} \, dx dy dt, \tag{4.22}$$

where we have used

$$\frac{|x_0-y|}{|x-y|} \leq 1 + \frac{|x-x_0|}{|x-y|} \leq 2 \quad \text{for } x \in B_{3\rho/4}, y \in B_\rho.$$

Since $u\psi$ is in $C([t_0-\theta, t_0]; L^2(B_\rho))$,

$$\left| \overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho}(T_1) - \overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho}(T_0) \right| = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{T_1-\epsilon}^{T_1} \int_{B_\rho} u\psi \, dx dt - \int_{T_0}^{T_0+\epsilon} \int_{B_\rho} u\psi \, dx dt \right|. \tag{4.23}$$

Therefore, we combine (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23) to discover (4.20). \square

With Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, we are now ready to prove the following time Hölder regularity.

Lemma 4.6 (Hölder regularity with respect to time). *Let u be a local weak solution to (4.2). Assume*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} \leq 1, \quad \text{Tail}_\infty(u; 0, 1) \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in [-(1/2)^{2s}, 0]} [u(\cdot, t)]_{C^\beta(B_{1/2})} \leq K_\beta, \tag{4.24}$$

for some $0 < \beta < \min\{2s, 1\}$ and $K_\beta > 0$. Then there exists $c \equiv c(n, s, \lambda, \beta, K_\beta)$ such that

$$|u(x, t) - u(x, \tau)| \leq c|t - \tau|^{\frac{\beta}{2s}} \quad \text{for any } (x, t), (x, \tau) \in Q_{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Proof. Let $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in Q_{\frac{1}{4}}$. Then $Q_\rho(z_0) \subset Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $0 < \rho < \min\left\{\left(\frac{2^{2s}-1}{4^{2s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2s}}, \frac{1}{8}\right\}$. Notice that

$$\frac{|y|}{|y-x_0|} \leq 1 + \frac{|x_0|}{|y-x_0|} \leq 2 \quad \text{for } y \in B_1,$$

to see

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{ess sup}_{t \in [-(1/2)^{2s}, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{1/2}(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|y-x_0|^{n+2s}} \, dy \\
&\leq \text{ess sup}_{t \in [-1, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|y-x_0|^{n+2s}} \, dy + \text{ess sup}_{t \in [-1, 0]} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1/2}(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|y-x_0|^{n+2s}} \, dy \\
&\leq c \text{Tail}_\infty(u; 0, 1) + 2^{n+2s}|B_1| \leq c.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Take a nonnegative cutoff function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_\rho(x_0))$ in Lemma 4.5. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |u - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(z_0)}| dx dt &\leq \iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |u - \overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho(x_0)}(t)| dx dt \\ &\quad + \iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |\overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho(x_0)}(t) - \overline{(u\psi)}_{Q_\rho(z_0)}| dx dt \\ &\quad + \iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |\overline{(u\psi)}_{Q_\rho(z_0)} - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(z_0)}| dx dt \\ &=: A_1 + A_2 + A_3. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= |\overline{(u\psi)}_{Q_\rho(z_0)} - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(z_0)}| \leq \iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |u - \overline{(u\psi)}_{Q_\rho(z_0)}| dx dt \\ &\leq \iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |u - \overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho(x_0)}(t)| dx dt + \iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |\overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho(x_0)}(t) - \overline{(u\psi)}_{Q_\rho(z_0)}| dx dt \\ &= A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it is sufficient to estimate A_1 and A_2 .

Estimate A_1 . Using Hölder inequality, Lemma 4.4 and (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\leq c \left(\rho^{2s} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \left(\rho^{2s} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{K_\beta^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s-2\beta}} dx dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c\rho^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate A_2 . From Lemma 4.5, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &\leq \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} |\overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho(x_0)}(t) - \overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho(x_0)}(\tau)| d\tau dt \\ &\leq \sup_{T_0, T_1 \in (t_0-\rho^{2s}, t_0)} |\overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho(x_0)}(T_0) - \overline{(u\psi)}_{B_\rho(x_0)}(T_1)| \\ &\leq c\rho^{2s-1} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x-y|^{n+2s-1}} dx dy dt \\ &\quad + c\rho^{2s} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho(x_0)} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ &= A_{2,1} + A_{2,2} \end{aligned}$$

By (4.24), we see the following

$$A_{2,1} \leq c\rho^{2s-1} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{K_\beta}{|x-y|^{n+2s-1-\beta}} dx dy dt \leq c(n, s, \beta, \lambda) K_\beta \rho^\beta.$$

We use (4.24) and (4.25) to discover

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2,2} &\leq c\rho^{2s} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ &\quad + c\rho^{2s} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_\rho(x_0)} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ &\leq c\rho^{2s} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|u(x,t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\ &\quad + c\rho^{2s} \int_{t_0-\rho^{2s}}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \frac{|u(y,t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt + cK_\beta \rho^\beta \leq c\rho^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates A_1 and A_2 yields

$$\iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |u - \bar{u}_{Q_\rho(z_0)}| dx dt \leq c\rho^\beta.$$

With the help of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$|u(x, t) - u(x, \tau)| \leq c|t - \tau|^{\frac{\beta}{2s}} \text{ for any } (x, t), (x, \tau) \in Q_{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

□

5. HIGHER HÖLDER REGULARITY BY APPROXIMATION

This section is devoted to prove the main theorem 1.2. We will use an approximation argument based on the comparison estimate using (4.1) to obtain the higher Hölder regularity for the inhomogeneous problem (1.1). Throughout this section, we assume that $q, r > 1$ satisfy

$$\frac{n}{2qs} + \frac{1}{r} < 1. \quad (5.1)$$

Lemma 5.1. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a small $\delta \equiv \delta(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \epsilon) > 0$ such that for any local weak solution u to (1.1) in Q_4 with*

$$\sup_{Q_4} |u| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tail}_\infty(u; 0, 4) \leq 1,$$

if there hold

$$\|A - \tilde{A}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [-4^{2s}, 0])} \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_4)} \leq \delta,$$

where $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda)$, then there is a local weak solution v to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{A}}^\Phi v = 0 & \text{in } Q_2 \\ v = u & \text{on } \partial_P Q_2 \cup ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2) \times [-2^{2s}, 0]) \end{cases}$$

such that

$$\|u - v\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. We prove this lemma by contradiction. Suppose there exist some $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda)$, $\{\tilde{A}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda)$, $\{\Phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ with (1.3), $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ with (5.1) and $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that u_k is a local weak solution to

$$\partial_t u_k + \mathcal{L}_{A_k}^{\Phi_k} u_k = f_k \text{ in } Q_4$$

with

$$\sup_{Q_4} |u_k| \leq 1, \quad \text{Tail}_\infty(u_k; 0, 4) \leq 1, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\|A_k - \tilde{A}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [-4^{2s}, 0])} \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_k\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_4)} \leq \frac{1}{k}, \quad (5.3)$$

but

$$\|u_k - v_k\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} \geq \epsilon_0 \quad (5.4)$$

for any local weak solution v_k to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_k + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{A}_k}^{\Phi_k} v_k = 0 & \text{in } Q_2 \\ v_k = u_k & \text{on } \partial_P Q_2 \cup ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2) \times [-2^{2s}, 0]). \end{cases}$$

Then $w_k := u_k - v_k \in L^2\left((-2^{2s}, 0]; W_0^{s,2}(B_2)\right) \cap C\left([-2^{2s}, 0]; L^2(B_2)\right)$ solves

$$\partial_t w_k + \mathcal{L}_{A_k}^{\Phi_k} u_k - \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{A}_k}^{\Phi_k} v_k = f_k \text{ in } Q_2. \quad (5.5)$$

For convenience, we write $I = [-2^{2s}, 0]$. By an approximation argument, we take w_k as a test function for (5.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_2} \frac{w_k^2(x, t)}{2} dx \\
& + \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Phi_k(u_k(x, t) - u_k(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)) A_k(x, y, t) dx dy dt \\
& - \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Phi_k(v_k(x, t) - v_k(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)) \tilde{A}_k(x, y, t) dx dy dt \\
& = \int_I \int_{B_2} f_k w_k dx dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where we have used the fact that $w_k(x, -2^{2s}) = 0$ for $x \in B_2$. Now let us handle the second and the third terms on the left hand side. Set

$$I = \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Phi_k(u_k(x, t) - u_k(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)) A_k(x, y, t) dx dy dt,$$

and

$$II = \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Phi_k(v_k(x, t) - v_k(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)) \tilde{A}_k(x, y, t) dx dy dt.$$

Note that (1.3) implies

$$[\Phi_k(u_k(x, t) - u_k(y, t)) - \Phi_k(v_k(x, t) - v_k(y, t))](w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)) \geq \frac{1}{c} |w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)|^2.$$

By using the above inequality and (1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& I - II \\
& = \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Phi_k(u_k(x, t) - u_k(y, t)) - \Phi_k(v_k(x, t) - v_k(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)) \tilde{A}_k dx dy dt \\
& + \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Phi_k(u_k(x, t) - u_k(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)) (A_k - \tilde{A}_k) dx dy dt \\
& \geq \frac{1}{c} \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\
& - \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u_k(x, t) - u_k(y, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)| |A_k - \tilde{A}_k| dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting this inequality into (5.6) and taking essential supremum in I , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J & := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in I} \int_{B_2} w_k^2(x, t) dx + \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt \\
& \leq c \int_I \int_{B_2} f_k w_k dx dt \\
& + c \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u_k(x, t) - u_k(y, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)| |A_k - \tilde{A}_k| dx dy dt \\
& =: cJ_1 + cJ_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of J_1 . Using Lemma 2.2, Hölder's inequality, and Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$J_1 \leq c \|f_k\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_2)}^2 + \frac{1}{8} J.$$

Estimate of J_2 . From (5.3),

$$J_2 \leq \frac{c}{k} \left[\int_I \int_{B_3} \int_{B_3} \frac{|u_k(x, t) - u_k(y, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)| dx dy dt \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3} \int_{B_3} \frac{|u_k(x, t) - u_k(y, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)| dx dy dt \right] \\
& =: \frac{c}{k} (J_{2,1} + J_{2,2}).
\end{aligned}$$

To get estimate of $J_{2,1}$, we use Hölder inequality and Cauchy inequality so that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{2,1} & \leq c \left(\int_I [u_k(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_3)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_I [w_k(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_3)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq c \int_I [u_k(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_3)}^2 dt + \frac{J}{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Lemma 3.2 and (5.2) imply $\int_I [u_k(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(B_3)}^2 dt \leq c$. Thus $J_{2,1} \leq c + J/8$. Next, we are going to estimate $J_{2,2}$. In light of the fact that $w = 0$ a.e. in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2) \times I$, we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{2,2} & \leq \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3} \int_{B_2} \frac{|u_k(x, t)| + |u_k(y, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t)| dx dy dt \\
& \leq \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3} \int_{B_2} \frac{|u_k(x, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t)| dx dy dt \\
& \quad + \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3} \int_{B_2} \frac{|u_k(y, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t)| dx dy dt \\
& =: J_{2,2,1} + J_{2,2,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

To get estimate of $J_{2,2,1}$, we use the assumption $\sup_{Q_3} |u_k| \leq 1$ in (5.2) to see

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3} \int_{B_2} \frac{|u_k(x, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t)| dx dy dt & \leq \int_I \int_{B_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3} \frac{|w_k(x, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy dx dt \\
& \leq c \int_I \int_{B_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3} \frac{|w_k(x, t)|}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy dx dt \\
& \leq c \int_I \int_{B_2} |w_k(x, t)| dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also used the fact that $\frac{|y|}{|x-y|}$ is bounded above for all $x \in B_2$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3$. Furthermore, to estimate $J_{2,2,2}$, we used the assumption $\text{Tail}_\infty(u_k; 0, 3) \leq 1$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3} \int_{B_2} \frac{|u_k(y, t)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t)| dx dy dt & \leq c \int_I \int_{B_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_3} \frac{|u_k(y, t)|}{|y|^{n+2s}} |w_k(x, t)| dy dx dt \\
& \leq c \text{Tail}_\infty(u_k; 0, 3) \int_I \int_{B_2} |w_k(x, t)| dx dt \\
& \leq c \int_I \int_{B_2} |w_k(x, t)| dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$J_{2,2} \leq c \int_I \int_{B_2} |w_k(x, t)| dx dt \leq c \left(\int_I \int_{B_2} |w_k(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining all the above estimates, we have

$$J = \int_I \int_{B_2} \int_{B_2} \frac{|w_k(x, t) - w_k(y, t)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy dt + \text{ess sup}_{t \in I} \int_{B_2} w_k^2(x, t) dx \leq \frac{c}{k}. \quad (5.7)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{ess sup}_{t \in I} \int_{B_2} w_k^2(x, t) dx = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

By Lemma 3.5, u_k and v_k are Hölder continuous functions in $\overline{Q_1}$. In particular, there is a $\rho = \rho(s) > 0$ such that for any $z_0 \in \overline{Q_1}$, $Q_{2\rho}(z_0) \subset Q_2$. From Lemma 1.1, (5.2), and (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_k\|_{L^\infty(Q_\rho(z_0))} &\leq c \left(\left(\iint_{Q_{2\rho_0}(z_0)} v_k^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \text{Tail}_\infty(v_k; x_0, \rho/2, t_0 - \rho^{2s}, t_0) \right) \\ &\leq c \left(\left(\iint_{Q_{2\rho_0}(z_0)} u_k^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\iint_{Q_{2\rho_0}(z_0)} w_k^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\quad + c (\text{Tail}_\infty(u_k; x_0, \rho/2, t_0 - \rho^{2s}, t_0) + \text{Tail}_\infty(w_k; x_0, \rho/2, t_0 - \rho^{2s}, t_0)) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 3.5, we see that there are constants $\beta = \beta(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$ and $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$ which are independent of k such that

$$\sup_{\overline{Q_1}} |v_k(x, t)| + [v_k]_{C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2s}}(\overline{Q_1})} \leq c,$$

and

$$\sup_{\overline{Q_1}} |u_k(x, t)| + [u_k]_{C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2s}}(\overline{Q_1})} \leq c.$$

By Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, there exist a subsequence of w_{k_j} and a continuous function w in $\overline{Q_1}$ such that $w_{k_j} \rightarrow w$ in $\overline{Q_1}$. From (5.8) with the uniqueness of the limit, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|w_{k_j}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{Q_1})} = 0.$$

which contradicts (5.4). This completes the proof. \square

Now using Lemma 5.1, we obtain the higher Hölder regularity provided that the kernel coefficient A is sufficiently close to the corresponding kernel coefficient \tilde{A} which is invariant under the translation and the nonhomogeneous term f is sufficiently small in $L^{q,r}$.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $0 < \alpha < \min\left\{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right), 1\right\}$, there is a positive $\delta \equiv \delta(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha) < 1$ such that for any local weak solution u to (1.1) in $Q_4 \subset Q_5$ with*

$$\sup_{Q_4} |u| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tail}_\infty(u; 0, 4) \leq 1, \quad (5.9)$$

and any $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda; B_4 \times B_4 \times [-4^{2s}, 0])$, if

$$\|A - \tilde{A}\|_{L^\infty(B_4 \times B_4 \times [-4^{2s}, 0])} \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_4)} \leq \delta, \quad (5.10)$$

then we have $u \in C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_1)$ with the estimate

$$[u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_1)} \leq c(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha).$$

Proof. Step 1: Regularity at the origin. We want to show that there is a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ such that under the assumptions as in (5.10), there exist $a \equiv a(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha)$, $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha)$ and small $\rho < \frac{1}{12}$ satisfying

$$\|u(x, t) - a\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\rho^k})} \leq c\rho^{\alpha k}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

To prove the statement, it is sufficient to show the following.

Claim: There exist a positive $\rho \equiv \rho(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha) < \frac{1}{12}$, $c_1 \equiv c_1(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $\{a_i\}_{i=-1}^\infty$ with $a_{-1} = 0$ such that

$$\sup_{Q_4} |u(\rho^i x, \rho^{2si} t) - a_i| \leq \rho^{\alpha i}, \quad |a_i - a_{i-1}| \leq c_1 \rho^{\alpha i}, \quad i \geq 0, \quad (5.11)$$

and

$$\text{ess sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_4} \frac{|u(\rho^i y, \rho^{2si} t) - a_i|}{\rho^{\alpha i} |y|^{n+2s}} dy \leq 1, \quad i \geq 0. \quad (5.12)$$

Proof of the claim. Suppose a constant $c_1 > 0$ is given, which is to be determined later. Let $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha + \min\{2s, 1\}}{2}$ and take a small $\rho \equiv \rho(n, s, \alpha, c_1) < \frac{1}{12}$ such that

$$(4\rho)^{2s} + 1 < 2^{2s}, \quad \rho^{2s-\alpha} \left(1 + (2c_1 + 1)\frac{\omega_n}{2s}\right) \leq \frac{1}{8} \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 \rho^{\tilde{\alpha}} \leq (s - \tilde{\alpha}/2) \frac{\rho^\alpha}{8(1 + \omega_n)}, \quad (5.13)$$

where w_n means the surface area of n -dimensional unit sphere. Take $\epsilon = \frac{s}{2(1+\omega_n)}\rho^\alpha$, then we find a suitable $\delta = \delta(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha)$ as in Lemma 5.1. Now we extend \tilde{A} by A outside $B_4 \times B_4 \times [-4^{2s}, 0]$. Then we get $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}_1(B_4 \times B_4 \times (-4^{2s}, 0)) \cap \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda)$ and

$$\|A - \tilde{A}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [-4^{2s}, 0])} \leq \delta.$$

Now we construct a_i , $i \geq 0$, as follows. For $i = 0$, set $a_0 = 0$. Then (5.9) directly implies (5.11) and (5.12). Now suppose that there is a_i satisfying (5.11) and (5.12) for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$. Set

$$u_k(x, t) := \frac{u(\rho^k x, \rho^{2sk} t) - a_k}{\rho^{\alpha k}}, \quad f_k(x, t) := \rho^{(2s-\alpha)k} f(\rho^k x, \rho^{2sk} t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (-4^{2s}, 0],$$

$$A_k := A(\rho^k x, \rho^k y, \rho^{2sk} t), \quad \tilde{A}_k := \tilde{A}(\rho^k x, \rho^k y, \rho^{2sk} t), \quad (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\Phi_k(\xi) := \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha k}} \Phi(\rho^{\alpha k} \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then u_k is a local weak solution to

$$\partial_t u_k + \mathcal{L}_{A_k}^{\Phi_k} u_k = f_k \quad \text{in } Q_4$$

such that

$$\|u_k\|_{L^\infty(Q_4)} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tail}_\infty(u_k; 0, 4) \leq 1. \quad (5.14)$$

Moreover, $A_k, \tilde{A}_k \in \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda)$ and f_k satisfy

$$\|A_k - \tilde{A}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [-4^{2s}, 0])} \leq \delta,$$

and

$$\|f_k\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_4)} \leq \rho^{(2s-\alpha)k - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right)k} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4\rho^k})} \leq \delta.$$

Here we used $2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right) > \alpha$ and $\rho < 1$. By Lemma 5.1 with $\epsilon = \frac{s}{2(1+\omega_n)}\rho^\alpha$, there exists a weak solution v to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \mathcal{L}_{A_k}^{\Phi_k} v = 0 & \text{in } Q_2 \\ v = u_k & \text{on } \partial_P Q_2 \cup ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2) \times [-2^{2s}, 0]) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\|u_k - v\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} \leq \frac{s}{2(1+\omega_n)} \rho^\alpha. \quad (5.15)$$

A similar calculation as in (5.7) shows

$$\iint_{Q_2} v^2 dx dt \leq c \left[\iint_{Q_2} (u_k - v)^2 dx dt + \iint_{Q_2} u_k^2 dx dt \right] \leq c,$$

where $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$ is independent on k . Moreover, (5.14) implies

$$\text{Tail}_\infty(v; 0, 1, [-2^{2s}, 0]) \leq \text{Tail}_\infty(u_k; 0, 1, [-2^{2s}, 0]) + \text{Tail}_\infty(u_k - v; 0, 1, [-2^{2s}, 0]) \leq c,$$

where $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda)$ is also independent on k . Then in light of Theorem 1.1, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.6, we can take $c_1 = c_1(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha)$, which is independent on k , so that

$$|v(0, 0)| \leq c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [v]_{C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\overline{Q_1})} \leq c_1. \quad (5.16)$$

Note that

$$\|v(x, t) - v(0, 0)\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4\rho})} \leq c_1 \rho^{\tilde{\alpha}}$$

to discover

$$\|u_k(x, t) - v(0, 0)\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4\rho})} \leq \|u_k(x, t) - v(x, t)\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4\rho})} + \|v(x, t) - v(0, 0)\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4\rho})} \leq c\rho^\alpha,$$

where we have used (5.13) and (5.15). Take $a_{k+1} = a_k + v(0,0)\rho^{\alpha k}$. Then (5.11) also holds for $i = k + 1$. Furthermore, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_4} \frac{|u(\rho^{k+1}y, \rho^{2s(k+1)}t) - a_{k+1}|}{\rho^{\alpha(k+1)}|y|^{n+2s}} dy \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{4}{\rho}}} \frac{|u(\rho^{k+1}y, \rho^{2s(k+1)}t) - a_{k+1}|}{\rho^{\alpha(k+1)}|y|^{n+2s}} dy \\ &+ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{B_{\frac{4}{\rho}} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{\rho}}} \frac{|u(\rho^{k+1}y, \rho^{2s(k+1)}t) - a_{k+1}|}{\rho^{\alpha(k+1)}|y|^{n+2s}} dy \\ &+ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\rho}} \setminus B_4} \frac{|u(\rho^{k+1}y, \rho^{2s(k+1)}t) - a_{k+1}|}{\rho^{\alpha(k+1)}|y|^{n+2s}} dy =: I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

Then using (5.11) for $i = k + 1$ and (5.12) for $i = k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \rho^{2s-\alpha} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-(4\rho)^{2s}, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_4} \frac{|u(\rho^k y, \rho^{2sk}t) - a_{k+1}|}{\rho^{\alpha k}|y|^{n+2s}} dy \\ &\leq \rho^{2s-\alpha} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_4} \frac{|u(\rho^k y, \rho^{2sk}t) - a_k|}{\rho^{\alpha k}|y|^{n+2s}} dy + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_4} \frac{|a_k - a_{k+1}|}{\rho^{\alpha k}|y|^{n+2s}} dy \right) \\ &\leq \rho^{2s-\alpha} \left(1 + c_1 \frac{\omega_n}{2s} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq \rho^{2s-\alpha} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{B_4 \setminus B_1} \frac{|u(\rho^k y, \rho^{2sk}t) - a_k|}{\rho^{\alpha k}|y|^{n+2s}} dy + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{B_4 \setminus B_1} \frac{|a_k - a_{k+1}|}{\rho^{\alpha k}|y|^{n+2s}} dy \right) \\ &\leq \rho^{2s-\alpha} (c_1 + 1) \frac{\omega_n}{2s}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, using (5.13), (5.15) and (5.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} III &\leq \rho^{2s-\alpha} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-(4\rho)^{2s}, 0]} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{4\rho}} \frac{|u(\rho^k y, \rho^{2sk}t) - (a_k + \rho^{\alpha k}v(y, t))|}{\rho^{\alpha k}|y|^{n+2s}} dy \right) \\ &+ \rho^{2s-\alpha} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-(4\rho)^{2s}, 0]} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{4\rho}} \frac{|\rho^{\alpha k}v(y, t) - \rho^{\alpha k}v(0, 0)|}{\rho^{\alpha k}|y|^{n+2s}} dy \right) \\ &\leq \rho^{2s-\alpha} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-(4\rho)^{2s}, 0]} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{4\rho}} \frac{|u_k(y, t) - v(y, t)|}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-(4\rho)^{2s}, 0]} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{4\rho}} \frac{c_1}{|y|^{n+2s-\bar{\alpha}}} dy \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\omega_n}{4^{2s+1}(1 + \omega_n)} + c_1 \frac{\rho^{\bar{\alpha}-\alpha}\omega_n}{2s - \bar{\alpha}} \leq \frac{3}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

We combine the estimates I , II , III and (5.13) to find

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-4^{2s}, 0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_4} \frac{|u(\rho^{k+1}y, \rho^{2s(k+1)}t) - a_{k+1}|}{\rho^{(k+1)\alpha}|y|^{n+2s}} dy \leq \rho^{2s-\alpha} \left(1 + (2c_1 + 1) \frac{\omega_n}{2s} \right) + \frac{3}{8} \leq 1.$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

By (5.11), a_i converges to some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\|u(x, t) - a\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\rho^i})} \leq c\rho^{\alpha i}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

This finishes Step 1.

Step 2: Regularity in Q_1 . Let $z_0 \in Q_1$. Then $Q_{4\rho}(z_0) \Subset Q_2$ from (5.13). Now set

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) := u(\rho x + x_0, \rho^{2s}t + t_0), \quad f_1(x, t) := \rho^{2s}f(\rho x + x_0, \rho^{2s}t + t_0), \quad (x, t) \in Q_4,$$

and

$$A_1(x, y, t) := A(\rho x + x_0, \rho y + y_0, \rho^{2s}t + t_0), \quad (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then \tilde{u} is a local weak solution to

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} + \mathcal{L}_{A_1}^\Phi \tilde{u} = f_1 \text{ in } Q_4.$$

Moreover, (5.13) implies

$$\sup_{Q_4} |\tilde{u}| \leq 1 \text{ and } \text{Tail}_\infty(\tilde{u}; 0, 4) \leq 1.$$

From the result of Step 1, there is some constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|\tilde{u}(x, t) - a\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\rho^k})} \leq c\rho^{\alpha k}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

which implies

$$\|u(x, t) - a\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\rho^{k+1}}(z_0))} \leq c\rho^{\alpha(k+1)}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (5.17)$$

Let $(x_0, t_0), (x_1, t_1) \in Q_1$. We may assume $t_0 < t_1$. Then there is a nonnegative integer $k \geq 0$ such that $(x_0, t_0) \in Q_{\rho^k}(x_1, t_1) \setminus Q_{\rho^{k+1}}(x_1, t_1)$, which implies that

$$\rho^{k+1} < |x_0 - x_1| + |t_0 - t_1|^{\frac{1}{2s}} \leq 2\rho^k. \quad (5.18)$$

From (5.17), there is a constant $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|u(x, t) - a_1\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\rho^k}(x_1, t_1))} \leq c\rho^{\alpha k}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$|u(x_0, t_0) - u(x_1, t_1)| \leq |u(x_0, t_0) - a_1| + |a_1 - u(x_1, t_1)| \leq 2\|u(x, t) - a_1\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\rho^k}(x_1, t_1))} \leq c\rho^{\alpha k}.$$

We combine the above inequality and (5.18) to see that

$$|u(x_0, t_0) - u(x_1, t_1)| \leq c \left(|x_0 - x_1| + |t_0 - t_1|^{\frac{1}{2s}} \right)^\alpha. \quad (5.19)$$

Since we have proved (5.19) for any (x_0, t_0) and $(x_1, t_1) \in Q_1$, we conclude that $u \in C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_1)$ with the estimate

$$[u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_1)} \leq c.$$

□

Now we are ready to prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\delta > 0$ be a small number to be determined later, depending on α . Let $Q_{\rho_0}(z_0) \Subset \Omega_T$. Set

$$\rho = \min \left\{ \frac{\rho_0}{32}, \frac{1}{8} \left(\left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^{2s} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{2s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}} \rho_0 \right\} \quad (5.20)$$

so that $Q_{4\rho}(\tilde{z}) \Subset Q_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(z_0)$ for any $\tilde{z} \in Q_{\frac{\rho_0}{2}}(z_0)$. Fix $\tilde{z} \in Q_{\frac{\rho_0}{2}}(z_0)$. According to the assumption (1.6), there exist $0 < \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}} \leq \min\{\rho, \frac{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}{4}\}$ and $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{z}} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda; B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{\rho_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s}, \tilde{t}])$ such that

$$\|\tilde{A}_{\tilde{z}} - A\|_{L^\infty(B_{4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - (4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}})^{2s}, \tilde{t}])} \leq \delta.$$

We write

$$M_0 = \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{z}))} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u; \tilde{z}, 4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}) + \frac{(4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}})^{2s - (\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r})}}{\delta} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}}(\tilde{z}))}$$

to define

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = \frac{u(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}x + \tilde{x}, (\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}})^{2s}t + \tilde{t})}{M_0}, \quad f_1(x, t) = \frac{(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}})^{2s} f(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}x + \tilde{x}, (\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}})^{2s}t + \tilde{t})}{M_0}, \quad (x, t) \in Q_4,$$

$$A_1(x, y, t) = A(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}x + \tilde{x}, \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}y + \tilde{x}, (\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}})^{2s}t + \tilde{t}), \quad (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$\tilde{A}_{\tilde{z},1}(x, y, t) = \tilde{A} \left(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}x + \tilde{x}, \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}y + \tilde{y}, (\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}})^{2s}t + \tilde{t} \right), \quad (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\Phi_1(\xi) = \frac{\Phi(M_0\xi)}{M_0}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then \tilde{u} is a local weak solution to

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} + \mathcal{L}_{A_1}^{\Phi_1} \tilde{u} = f_1 \text{ in } Q_4$$

with

$$\sup_{Q_4} |\tilde{u}| \leq 1 \text{ and } \text{Tail}_\infty(\tilde{u}; 0, 4) \leq 1,$$

where Φ_1 satisfies (1.3). Also we directly check

$$\|\tilde{A}_{\tilde{z},1} - A_1\|_{L^\infty(B_4 \times B_4 \times [-4^{2s}, 0])} \leq \delta \text{ and } \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_4)} \leq \delta.$$

We are now under the assumptions and settings in Lemma 5.2, which implies that there is a positive constant $\delta(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha)$ such that

$$[\tilde{u}]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_1)} \leq c(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha).$$

Therefore, scaling back, we have

$$[u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}(\tilde{z})})} \leq \frac{c}{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}^\alpha} \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}(\tilde{z})})} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u; \tilde{z}, 4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}) + (\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}})^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}(\tilde{z})})} \right).$$

Let us see the second term of the right hand side. For fixed $t \in [t_0 - (\frac{3}{4}\rho_0)^{2s}, t_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}(\tilde{x})}} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|\tilde{x} - y|^{n+2s}} dy &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|\tilde{x} - y|^{n+2s}} dy + \int_{B_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(x_0) \setminus B_{4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}(\tilde{x})}} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|\tilde{x} - y|^{n+2s}} dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dy + c \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(z_0))} \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}^{-2s}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

where we have used

$$|\tilde{x} - y| \geq |x_0 - y| - |\tilde{x} - x_0| \geq c|x_0 - y|, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(z_0).$$

Thus

$$\text{Tail}_\infty(u; \tilde{z}, 4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}) \leq c \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(z_0))} + c\rho_0^{2s} \text{ess sup}_{t \in [t_0 - (\frac{3}{4}\rho_0)^{2s}, t_0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dy.$$

In turn, we use (5.21), $Q_{4\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}(\tilde{z})} \Subset Q_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(z_0)$ and Theorem 1.1 with a simple modification to derive

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}(\tilde{z})})} &\leq \frac{c}{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}^\alpha} \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(z_0))} + \rho_0^{2s} \text{ess sup}_{t \in [t_0 - (\frac{3}{4}\rho_0)^{2s}, t_0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{3\rho_0}{4}}(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_{\tilde{z}}^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\frac{3}{4}\rho_0}(\tilde{z}))} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}^\alpha} \left(\left(\iint_{Q_{\rho_0}(z_0)} u^2(x, t) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \rho_0^{2s} \text{ess sup}_{t \in [t_0 - (\rho_0)^{2s}, t_0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{\rho_0}{2}}(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_0^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Since $Q_{\frac{\rho_0}{2}}(z_0)$ is compact, there is a finite subcover $\left\{Q_{\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}_i}}{4}}(\tilde{z}_i)\right\}_{i=1}^N$ of $Q_{\frac{\rho_0}{2}}(z_0)$ and we choose

$$\rho_{\min} := \min_{i=1,2,\dots,N} \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}_i} > 0. \quad (5.23)$$

Fix $z_1 = (x_1, t_1), z_2 = (x_2, t_2) \in Q_{\frac{\rho_0}{2}}(z_0)$. Let us assume

$$\max \left\{ 4|x_1 - x_2|, \left(\frac{4^{2s}}{2^{2s}-1} |t_1 - t_2| \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}} \right\} < \rho_{\min}. \quad (5.24)$$

We note that there exists i such that $z_1 \in Q_{\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}_i}}{4}}(\tilde{z}_i)$. We are going to show $z_2 \in Q_{\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}_i}}{4}}(\tilde{z}_i)$. By (5.24) and (5.23), we have

$$|x_2 - \tilde{x}_i| \leq |x_2 - x_1| + |x_1 - \tilde{x}_i| < \frac{\rho_{\min}}{4} + \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}_i}}{4} \leq \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}_i}}{2}$$

and

$$|t_2 - \tilde{t}_i| \leq |t_2 - t_1| + |t_1 - \tilde{t}_i| < \frac{(2^{2s}-1)\rho_{\min}^{2s}}{4^{2s}} + \left(\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}_i}}{4} \right)^{2s} \leq \left(\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}_i}}{2} \right)^{2s},$$

which implies $z_2 \in Q_{\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}_i}}{2}}(\tilde{z}_i)$. Thus (5.22) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|u(z_1) - u(z_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|^\alpha + |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2s}}} &\leq c \left(\left(\iint_{Q_{\rho_0}(z_0)} u^2(x, t) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u; z_0, \rho_0/2, \rho_0^{2s}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_0^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r} \right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

On the other hand, if $\max \left\{ 4|x_1 - x_2|, \left(\frac{4^{2s}}{2^{2s}-1} |t_1 - t_2| \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}} \right\} \geq \rho_{\min}$, then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|u(z_1) - u(z_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|^\alpha + |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2s}}} &\leq c \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\frac{\rho_0}{2}}(z_0))} \\ &\leq c \left(\left(\iint_{Q_{\rho_0}(z_0)} u^2(x, t) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u; z_0, \rho_0/2, \rho_0^{2s}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_0^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r} \right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

From (5.25) and (5.26), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_{\frac{\rho_0}{2}}(z_0))} &\leq c \left(\rho_0^{-\frac{n+2s}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u; z_0, \rho_0/2, \rho_0^{2s}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_0^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r} \right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q_{\rho_0}(z_0)$ is chosen arbitrary, we have $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(\Omega_T)$. \square

In particular, we obtain the following higher Hölder regularity result with a more refined estimate (5.28) if the kernel coefficient A is Hölder continuous.

Corollary 5.3. *Let u be a local weak solution to (1.1) with (1.4). Suppose that a kernel coefficient A satisfies*

$$\frac{|A(x, y, t) - A(x', y', t')|}{\left(|(x, y) - (x', y')| + |t - t'| \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}}} \leq L, \quad x, x', y, y' \in \Omega \text{ and } t, t' \in (0, T), \quad (5.27)$$

for some constants $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $L > 0$. Then $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(\Omega_T)$ for any α satisfying (1.5). In particular, there is a sufficiently small $\rho_\beta = \rho_\beta(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, L)$ such that for any $Q_{\rho_0}(z_0) \Subset \Omega_T$ with $\rho_0 \leq \rho_\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_{\rho_0/2}(z_0))} &\leq \frac{c}{\rho_0^\alpha} \left(\rho_0^{-\frac{n+2s}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u; z_0, \rho_0/2, \rho_0^{2s}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_0^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

where $c \equiv c(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha)$.

Proof. Fix $\alpha \in \left(0, \min\left\{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right), 1\right\}\right)$. Let $\delta = \delta(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha) > 0$ be determined in Lemma 5.2. By (5.27), there is a sufficiently small $\rho_\beta = \rho_\beta(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, L) > 0$ such that if $(x, y, t), (x', y', t') \in \Omega \times \Omega \times (0, T)$ satisfy

$$\left(|(x, y) - (x', y')| + |t - t'|^{\frac{1}{2s}}\right) \leq \rho_\beta,$$

then

$$|A(x, y, t) - A(x', y', t')| \leq \delta.$$

We now take $Q_{\rho_0}(z_0) \Subset \Omega_T$ with $\rho_0 \leq \rho_\beta$. Then for any $\tilde{z} \in Q_{\rho_0}(z_0)$, we see that

$$\|\tilde{A}_{\tilde{z}} - A\|_{L^\infty(B_{4\rho}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{4\rho}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - (4\rho)^{2s}, \tilde{t}])} \leq \delta,$$

where the constant $\rho > 0$ is given in (5.20) and we take

$$\tilde{A}_{\tilde{z}}(x, y, t) = A(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t}), \quad (x, y, t) \in B_{4\rho}(\tilde{x}) \times B_{4\rho}(\tilde{x}) \times [\tilde{t} - (4\rho)^{2s}, \tilde{t}]$$

as in (1) of Remark 3. Thus, by following the same lines as in the proof of (5.22) with $\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{z}}$ there, replaced by ρ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_\rho(\tilde{z}))} &\leq \frac{c}{\rho^\alpha} \left(\left(\iint_{Q_\rho(z_0)} u^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \rho_0^{2s} \text{ess sup}_{t \in [t_0 - (\rho_0)^{2s}, t_0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{\rho_0}{2}}(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+2s}} dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_0^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c = c(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha)$. Using the definition of ρ given in (5.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2s}}(Q_\rho(\tilde{z}))} &\leq \frac{c}{\rho_0^\alpha} \left(\left(\iint_{Q_{\rho_0}(z_0)} u^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \text{Tail}_\infty(u; z_0, \rho_0/2, \rho_0^{2s}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_0^{2s - \left(\frac{n}{q} + \frac{2s}{r}\right)} \|f\|_{L^{q,r}(Q_{\rho_0}(z_0))} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c = c(n, s, q, r, \lambda, \alpha)$. We now use the standard covering argument to conclude (5.28). \square

APPENDIX A. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF AN INITIAL AND BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

In this section, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution to (1.1) with boundary conditions. When $r \geq 2$, this is proved in [5, Appendix A]. Here we extend the argument to the case $r > 1$ by regularizing the nonhomogeneous term. To this end, let us introduce appropriate function spaces. Let Ω and Ω' be bounded open sets with $\Omega \Subset \Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We introduce a space as in [26],

$$X_\phi^{s,2}(\Omega, \Omega') = \{v \in W^{s,2}(\Omega') \cap L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) ; v = \phi \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega\} \quad \text{for } \phi \in L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Assume that functions u_0 , f and ζ satisfy the followings,

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &\in L^2(\Omega), \\ f &\in L^{q,r}(\Omega_T) \text{ for } \frac{n}{2qs} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1 + \frac{n}{4s}, \\ \zeta &\in L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\Omega')) \cap L^2(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ and } \partial_t \zeta \in (L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\Omega')))^*. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We say that $u \in L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\Omega')) \cap L^2(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ is a weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u = f & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u = \zeta & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \times [0, T] \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

if it satisfies the following three conditions:

(1) $u(\cdot, t) \in X_{\zeta(\cdot, t)}^{s,p}(\Omega, \Omega')$ for almost every $t \in I$.

(2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$.

(3) For every $\phi \in L^2(t_1, t_2; X_0^{s,2}(\Omega, \Omega')) \cap C^1([t_1, t_2]; L^2(\Omega))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \partial_t \phi(x, t) dx dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Phi(u(x, t) - u(y, t))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (\phi(x, t) - \phi(y, t)) dx dy dt \\ & = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} f(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx dt - \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx \Big|_{t=t_1}^{t=t_2} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $J := [t_1, t_2] \Subset I$.

Lemma A.1. *Under the assumptions (A.1), there is a unique weak solution*

$$u \in L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\Omega')) \cap L^2(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$$

to (A.2). In particular, if $\zeta \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Proof. Take a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C([0, T]; L^q(\Omega))$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{L^{q,r}(\Omega_T)} = 0$. Since $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(0, T; L^q(\Omega)) \subset L^2(0, T; (X_0^{s,2}(\Omega, \Omega'))^*)$, we can use [5, Theorem A.3] and [35, Proposition 4.1] with simple modifications to handle $\Phi(\xi)$. Thus we have a unique weak solution

$$u_n \in L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\Omega')) \cap L^2(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$$

to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_n + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u_n = f_n & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u_n = \zeta & \text{on } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \times (0, T) \\ u_n(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We next show that $\{u_n - \zeta\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. Then $u_n - u_m \in L^2(0, T; W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and

$$\partial_t(u_n - u_m) + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u_n - \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u_m = f_n - f_m \quad \text{in } \Omega_T. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Using $u_n - u_m$ as a test function to (A.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} (u_n - u_m)^2(x, t) dx + \int_0^T [(u_n - u_m)(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dt \\ & \leq c \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (f_n - f_m)(u_n - u_m) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c \equiv c(\lambda)$. Then using Lemma 2.2 and Young's inequality, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} (u_n - u_m)^2(x, t) dx + \int_0^T [(u_n - u_m)(\cdot, t)]_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dt \leq c \|f_n - f_m\|_{L^{q,r}(\Omega_T)}^2.$$

This implies that $\{u_n - \zeta\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. Then we have

$$\tilde{u} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n - \zeta \in L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \tilde{u} + \zeta \in L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\Omega')) \cap L^2(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $\tilde{u} + \zeta = \zeta$ on $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \times (0, T)$, we have

$$u = \tilde{u} + \zeta \in L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}(\Omega')) \cap L^2(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$$

is a weak solution to (A.2). For the uniqueness, let u, v be solutions to (A.2). Then $u - v \in L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}_0(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and it satisfies

$$\partial_t(u - v) + \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi u - \mathcal{L}_A^\Phi v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_T.$$

Taking $u - v$ as a test function, we get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} (u - v)^2(x, t) dx + \int_0^T [(u - v)(\cdot, t)]^2_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt \leq 0,$$

which implies u and v are same in $L^2(0, T; W^{s,2}_0(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. In addition, if $\zeta \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, we discover that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (0, T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w(y, t)|}{1 + |y|^{n+2s}} dy \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (0, T)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w(y, t)|}{1 + |y|^{n+2s}} dy + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (0, T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{|\zeta(y, t)|}{1 + |y|^{n+2s}} dy < \infty,$$

which yields that

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

□

Data Availability All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Conflict of Interest There is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement We would like to thank a referee for the careful reading of the early version to give valuable comments and constructive suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Karthik Adimurthi, Harsh Prasad, and Vivek Tewary, *Local Hölder regularity for nonlocal parabolic p-laplace equations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.09695 (2022), 1–31.
- [2] Pascal Auscher, Simon Bortz, Moritz Egert, and Olli Saari, *Nonlocal self-improving properties: a functional analytic approach*, Tunis. J. Math. **1** (2018), no. 2, 151–183.
- [3] Lorenzo Brasco and Erik Lindgren, *Higher Sobolev regularity for the fractional p-Laplace equation in the superquadratic case*, Adv. Math. **304** (2017), 300–354.
- [4] Lorenzo Brasco, Erik Lindgren, and Armin Schikorra, *Higher Hölder regularity for the fractional p-Laplacian in the superquadratic case*, Adv. Math. **338** (2018), 782–846.
- [5] Lorenzo Brasco, Erik Lindgren, and Martin Strömqvist, *Continuity of solutions to a nonlinear fractional diffusion equation*, J. Evol. Equ. **21** (2021), no. 4, 4319–4381.
- [6] Sun-Sig Byun, Hyojin Kim, and Jihoon Ok, *Local Hölder continuity for fractional nonlocal equations with general growth*, Math. Ann. (2022), 1–40, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02472-y>.
- [7] Sun-Sig Byun, Jihoon Ok, and Kyeong Song, *Hölder regularity for weak solutions to nonlocal double phase problems*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **168** (2022), 110–142.
- [8] Luis Caffarelli, Chi Hin Chan, and Alexis Vasseur, *Regularity theory for parabolic nonlinear integral operators*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (2011), no. 3, 849–869.
- [9] Luis Caffarelli and Luis Silvestre, *Regularity results for nonlocal equations by approximation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **200** (2011), no. 1, 59–88.
- [10] Luis Caffarelli and Pablo Raúl Stinga, *Fractional elliptic equations, Caccioppoli estimates and regularity*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire **33** (2016), no. 3, 767–807.
- [11] Sergio Campanato, *Equazioni paraboliche del secondo ordine e spazi $\mathcal{L}^{2,\theta}(\Omega, \delta)$* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **73** (1966), 55–102.
- [12] Matteo Cozzi, *Regularity results and Harnack inequalities for minimizers and solutions of nonlocal problems: a unified approach via fractional De Giorgi classes*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), no. 11, 4762–4837.
- [13] G Da Prato, *Spazi $\mathcal{L}^{(p,\theta)}(\Omega, \delta)$ e loro proprietà*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **69** (1965), 383–392.
- [14] Agnese Di Castro, Tuomo Kuusi, and Giampiero Palatucci, *Local behavior of fractional p-minimizers*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **33** (2016), no. 5, 1279–1299.

- [15] Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [16] Emmanuele DiBenedetto, *Degenerate parabolic equations*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [17] Mengyao Ding, Chao Zhang, and Shulin Zhou, *Local boundedness and Hölder continuity for the parabolic fractional p -Laplace equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **60** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 38, 45.
- [18] Mouhamed Moustapha Fall, *Regularity results for nonlocal equations and applications*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **59** (2020), no. 5, Paper No. 181, 53.
- [19] Mouhamed Moustapha Fall, Tadele Mengesha, Armin Schikorra, and Sasikarn Yeepo, *Calderón-zygmund theory for non-convolution type nonlocal equations with continuous coefficient*, Partial Differ. Equ. Appl. **3** (2022), no. 2, 1–27.
- [20] Xavier Fernández-Real and Xavier Ros-Oton, *Regularity theory for general stable operators: parabolic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), no. 10, 4165–4221.
- [21] Jacques Giacomoni, Deepak Kumar, and Konijeti Sreenadh, *Hölder regularity results for parabolic nonlocal double phase problems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.04287 (2021), 1–52.
- [22] Przemysław Górka, *Campanato theorem on metric measure spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math **34** (2009), no. 2, 523–528.
- [23] Qing Han and Fanghua Lin, *Elliptic partial differential equations*, second ed., Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [24] Moritz Kassmann, *A priori estimates for integro-differential operators with measurable kernels*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **34** (2009), no. 1, 1–21.
- [25] Moritz Kassmann and Russell W. Schwab, *Regularity results for nonlocal parabolic equations*, Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.) **5** (2014), no. 1, 183–212.
- [26] Janne Korvenpää, Tuomo Kuusi, and Giampiero Palatucci, *The obstacle problem for nonlinear integro-differential operators*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **55** (2016), no. 3, Art. 63, 29.
- [27] Tuomo Kuusi, Giuseppe Mingione, and Yannick Sire, *Nonlocal equations with measure data*, Comm. Math. Phys. **337** (2015), no. 3, 1317–1368.
- [28] ———, *Nonlocal self-improving properties*, Anal. PDE **8** (2015), no. 1, 57–114.
- [29] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1968, Translated from the Russian by S. Smith.
- [30] Tommaso Leonori, Ireneo Peral, Ana Primo, and Fernando Soria, *Basic estimates for solutions of a class of nonlocal elliptic and parabolic equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **35** (2015), no. 12, 6031–6068.
- [31] Naian Liao, *Hölder regularity for parabolic fractional p -laplacian*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.10111 (2022), 1–26.
- [32] Tadele Mengesha, Armin Schikorra, and Sasikarn Yeepo, *Calderon-zygmund type estimates for nonlocal pde with hölder continuous kernel*, Adv. Math. **383** (2021), 107692.
- [33] Simon Nowak, *Higher Hölder regularity for nonlocal equations with irregular kernel*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **60** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 24, 37.
- [34] ———, *Regularity theory for nonlocal equations with vmo coefficients*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.11690 (2021), 1–59.
- [35] ———, *Higher integrability for nonlinear nonlocal equations with irregular kernel*, Analysis and partial differential equations on manifolds, fractals and graphs, Adv. Anal. Geom. (2021), vol. 3, De Gruyter, Berlin, pp. 459–492.
- [36] ———, *Improved sobolev regularity for linear nonlocal equations with vmo coefficients*, Math. Ann. (2022), 1–56, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02369-w>.
- [37] Armin Schikorra, *Nonlinear commutators for the fractional p -laplacian and applications*, Math. Ann. **366** (2016), no. 1, 695–720.
- [38] James M Scott and Tadele Mengesha, *Self-improving inequalities for bounded weak solutions to nonlocal double phase equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **21** (2022), no. 1, 183–212.
- [39] Luis Silvestre, *Hölder estimates for solutions of integro-differential equations like the fractional Laplace*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **55** (2006), no. 3, 1155–1174.
- [40] Martin Strömqvist, *Harnack's inequality for parabolic nonlocal equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **36** (2019), no. 6, 1709–1745.
- [41] Martin Strömqvist, *Local boundedness of solutions to non-local parabolic equations modeled on the fractional p -laplacian*, J. Differential Equations **266** (2019), no. 12, 7948–7979.
- [42] Alireza Tavakoli, *A perturbative approach to Hölder continuity of solutions to a nonlocal p -parabolic equation*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.03993 (2023), 1–47.
- [43] Eduardo V Teixeira and José Miguel Urbano, *A geometric tangential approach to sharp regularity for degenerate evolution equations*, Anal. PDE **7** (2014), no. 3, 733–744.
- [44] Juan Luis Vázquez, *The fractional p -Laplacian evolution equation in \mathbb{R}^N in the sublinear case*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **60** (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 140, 59.

SUN-SIG BYUN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, KOREA

Email address: `byun@snu.ac.kr`

HYOJIN KIM: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826,
KOREA

Email address: `hyojin@snu.ac.kr`

KYEONGBAE KIM: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL
08826, KOREA

Email address: `kkba6611@snu.ac.kr`