

# Intelligence of Astronomical Optical Telescope: Present Status and Future Perspectives

Kang Huang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Tianzhu Hu<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Jingyi Cai<sup>1,2</sup>,  
Xiushan Pan<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yonghui Hou<sup>1,2</sup>, Lingzhe Xu<sup>1,2</sup>,  
Huaiqing Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Yong Zhang<sup>1,2,4\*</sup>, Xiangqun Cui<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nanjing Institute of Astronomical Optics & Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Bancang Steet, Nanjing, 210042, Jiangsu, China.

<sup>2</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Astronomical Optics & Technology, Nanjing Institute of Astronomical Optics & Technology, Bancang Steet, Nanjing, 210042, Jiangsu, China.

<sup>3</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China.

<sup>4</sup>National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Datun Road, Beijing, 100049, China.

\*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): [tzhu@niaot.ac.cn](mailto:tzhu@niaot.ac.cn);  
[yzh@niaot.ac.cn](mailto:yzh@niaot.ac.cn); [xqcui@niaot.ac.cn](mailto:xqcui@niaot.ac.cn);

Contributing authors: [khuang2018@niaot.ac.cn](mailto:khuang2018@niaot.ac.cn); [jycui@niaot.ac.cn](mailto:jycui@niaot.ac.cn);  
[xspan@niaot.ac.cn](mailto:xspan@niaot.ac.cn); [yhhou@niaot.ac.cn](mailto:yhhou@niaot.ac.cn); [lzhxu@niaot.ac.cn](mailto:lzhxu@niaot.ac.cn);  
[hqwang@niaot.ac.cn](mailto:hqwang@niaot.ac.cn);

## Abstract

With new artificial intelligence (AI) technologies and application scenarios constantly emerging, AI technology has been widely used in astronomy, and has promoted notable progress in related fields. A large number of papers have reviewed the application of AI technology in astronomy. However, relevant articles seldom mention telescope intelligence separately, and it is difficult to understand the current development status and research hotspots of telescope intelligence from these papers. This paper combines the development history of AI technology and the difficulties of critical technologies of telescopes, comprehensively introduces the development and research hotspots of telescope intelligence, conducts statistical analysis on various research directions of telescope intelligence and defines merits of the research directions. All kinds of research directions are evaluated, and the research trend of each telescope's intelligence is pointed out.

Finally, according to the advantages of AI technology and the development trend of telescopes, future research hotspots of telescope intelligence are given.


**Keywords:** Telescope intelligence, Machine learning, Site selection, Observation schedule, Database intelligence

## 1 Introduction

The potential sites for excellent astronomical observations are limited to high-altitude areas, Antarctica, and outer space, making on-site operations challenging. The use of AI to assist astronomers in harsh environments can alleviate the burden on them. AI can also enable the realization of functions that can only be achieved by complex equipment, thereby reducing equipment procurement and transportation costs and significantly alleviating the load on space telescopes. Additionally, AI can facilitate the scheduling of telescope missions and diagnose faults, enhancing imaging quality and data output.

AI technology is divided into connectionism and symbolism. Connectionism, represented by deep learning (DL), utilizes neurons for information processing, while symbolism is represented by knowledge graphs, which represent information as symbols and use rules to operate. As early as the 1990s, neural networks were applied in the observation planning of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) (Johnston and Adorf, 1992), expert systems were used in fault diagnosis of HST energy systems (Bykat, 1990), and statistical machine learning (ML) algorithms were widely used in the preprocessing of database data to label quasars, stars and galaxies (Li et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2008; Owens et al., 1996). With AI's evolution, its applications in telescope intelligence have broadened, encompassing selecting excellent stations, calibrating telescope optical systems, and optimizing imaging quality (Priyatikanto et al., 2020; Jia et al., 2021; Gilda et al., 2022). In general, large ground-based astronomical telescopes are integrated optical and mechatronics devices, encompassing mechanical and drive systems, optical paths and optical systems, imaging observation, control systems, and environmental conditions. Each subsystem comprises numerous complex entities, including but not limited to the parts shown in Figure 1. In the future, with the relentless march of cutting-edge AI technology, telescope technology will undergo significant changes.

Many articles have introduced the application of AI technology in astronomy. In 2010, Ball and Brunner (2010) introduced the application of traditional machine learning algorithms in astronomical big data mining, including support vector machine (SVM), artificial neural networks(ANN), K-nearest neighbor(KNN), kernel density estimation(KDE), expectation-maximization algorithm (EM), self-organizing maps (SOM), and K-means clustering algorithms. Fluke and Jacobs (2020) introduced the application of the latest deep learning algorithms in the field of astronomy, and divided AI tasks into classification, regression, clustering, prediction, generation, discovery, and promotion of scientific ideas according to the type of task. Meher and Panda



**Fig. 1** Classes of telescope entities

(2021), and Sen et al. (2022) provided a more comprehensive and detailed introduction to the application of deep learning algorithms in the field of astronomy. However, the scarcity of telescope-specific discussions in these articles makes it impossible to understand the research trends and hotspots of the current telescope intelligence.

This paper combines the development history of AI and the difficulties of critical technologies of telescopes. It comprehensively introduces the development and research hotspots of telescope intelligence research, then conducts statistical analysis on various research directions of telescope intelligence and defines the research merits. All kinds of research are evaluated, and the research trend of each telescope intelligence direction is pointed out. Finally, according to the advantages of AI technology and the development trend of telescopes, future research hotspots of telescope intelligence are presented.

The arrangement of this article is as follows. The first part introduces the specific cases of telescope intelligence and comprehensively introduces the intelligent cases and hotspots in all stages of the telescope. The second part discusses and analyzes the current research on telescope intelligence and gives the research trends and future research hotspots. Finally, the main conclusions of the article are summarized.

## 2 Telescope Intelligence

Telescope intelligence encompasses two primary domains: intelligence in manufacturing planning and intelligence in operational maintenance. The former can be further divided into astronomical observatory site selection intelligence and optical system intelligence, while the latter can be further divided into observation schedule intelligence, fault diagnosis intelligence, image quality optimization intelligence, and database intelligence.



**Fig. 2** The environment of some sites, which are local in the high-altitude area or Antarctica


## 2.1 Observatory Site Selection

The selection of observation sites for astronomical telescopes is crucial to maximizing their observational capabilities. Theoretical research and empirical methods for site selection have rapidly developed in recent decades, leading to the discovery of exceptional ground-based sites such as Maunakea in Hawaii (Morrison et al., 1973), Paranal and La Silla in the Chilean highlands, La Palma in Spain (Vernin and Muñoz-Tuñón, 1992; Vernin and Munoz-Tunon, 1994), Dome A in Antarctica (Ma et al., 2020) and Lenghu on the Tibetan Plateau in China (Deng et al., 2021). The environment of some of these sites is shown in Figure 2.

When selecting an astronomical observatory site, it is essential to consider various observation parameters, such as the number of clear nights, atmospheric seeing, precipitable water vapor (PWV), night sky light, and meteorological parameters (such as wind speed and ground-based cloud distribution), artificial light pollution, and terrain coverage. AI methods are widely used for statistical analysis and forecasting of relevant indicators.

### 2.1.1 Assessment of Site Observation Conditions

In recent years, meteorological satellites, GIS technologies, and all-sky cameras have played a prominent role in assessing astronomical observation conditions at the target site (Aksaker et al., 2015, 2020; Wang et al., 2022b). With the use of AI technology, station cloud cover statistics can be quickly implemented.



**Fig. 3** This method uses the output of the CNN (Convolutional Neural Network) model as input for the Transformer model to achieve cloud classification and recognition in all-sky camera imagery(Li et al., 2022)

Conventional cloud identification, which employs multiband thresholding rules for classifying cloud areas, necessitates specific detection equipment for the corresponding bands and often yields low accuracy. SVM, principal component analysis (PCA), and Bayesian methods are utilized for single-pixel classification of satellite images, however, their performance is hindered by the absence of spatial information. Francis et al. (2019) utilized a convolutional neural network (CNN) algorithm to amalgamate single-pixel and spatial information, realizing high-precision identification of satellite cloud conditions. Mommert (2020) used the machine learning model based on gradient boosting, called lightGBM, and the residual neural network to classify cloud conditions from the Lowell Observatory’s all-sky camera. They showed that lightGBM has superior accuracy performance. Li et al. (2022) combined CNN and Transformer to classify and recognize cloud types, solving the drawback of the global difficulty of CNN feature extraction. The model architecture is shown in Figure 3.

Meanwhile, employing AI techniques to classify statistical data from multiple stations can enable the prediction of PWV and sky background. Molano et al. (2017) utilized unsupervised learning to cluster meteorological parameters from various weather stations for sites across Colombia, obtaining two very low PWV astronomical sites with high probability. Priyatikanto et al. (2020) applied random forest (RF) to classify sky brightness from different stations, enabling the monitoring of sky background brightness. Additionally, Kruk et al. (2023) employed transfer learning and AutoML to analyze approximately two decades of Hubble Space Telescope imagery, and their work quantifies the impact of artificial satellites on astronomical observations, a factor that should be considered in the selection of astronomical observatory sites.




Fig. 4 Schematic diagram of the structure of the Earth's atmosphere

### 2.1.2 Site Seeing Estimate and Prediction

The structure of Earth's atmosphere, as illustrated in Figure 4, primarily consists of the troposphere, stratosphere, mesosphere, thermosphere, and exosphere. The troposphere can be further divided by altitude into the free atmosphere and the planetary boundary layer, which has the greatest impact on atmospheric turbulence (Lombardi et al., 2014; Bolbasova and Lukin, 2022). Monitoring and predicting atmospheric turbulence is of significant importance for enhancing the telescope observational efficiency.

As a major parameter reflecting the intensity of atmospheric turbulence, as well as the most crucial site parameter, the atmospheric seeing of observatory sites significantly affects the image quality of optical telescopes. Certain models estimate atmospheric seeing by correlating atmospheric parameters with the integrated parameters of optical turbulence (astronomical optical parameters), such as the Dewan model (Dewan, 1993), the Coulman-Vernin model (Coulman et al., 1988), and the AXP model proposed by Trinquet & Vernin (Trinquet and Vernin, 2006). However, these models, being based on statistical data from multiple stations, are less effective for specific

site analysis. AI techniques are used to construct relationships between atmospheric parameters and astronomy optical parameters for a given station.

$C_N^2$ , a key parameter reflecting the change of optical turbulence intensity, is also an important parameter for deriving atmospheric seeing through the analytical model. In a pioneering effort, Wang and Basu (2016) from the Mauna Loa Observatory first used ANNs on atmospheric temperature, pressure, and relative humidity to estimate the structure constant of the refractive index  $C_N^2$ . Jellen et al. (2020) used the RF method to predict the  $C_N^2$  of the near-surface atmosphere and studied the contribution of environmental parameters to optical turbulence. Su et al. (2020) operated an optimized backpropagation (BP) network to experiment with data obtained from Chinese Antarctic scientific research, showing that  $C_N^2$  forecasting results based on this method had a reliable correlation. Vorontsov et al. (2020) processed the short-exposure laser beam intensity scintillation patterns based on deep neural networks (DNNs) to predict  $C_N^2$ , achieving superior measurement accuracy and higher temporal resolution. Bi et al. (2022) used a GA-BP neural network to train and predict the meteorological parameters collected by the instrument, which can deduce the relevant astronomical optical parameters. Grose and Watson (2023) employed a turbulence prediction method based on recurrent neural networks (RNN), utilizing prior environmental parameters to forecast turbulence parameters for the next 3 hours.

AI techniques have also been used for the direct forecasting of seeing. Kornilov (2016) analyzed the atmospheric optical turbulence data above Mount Shatdzhatmaz and predicted the short-time seeing. Milli et al. (2020) constructed a seeing prediction strategy based on the RF for the Paranal Observatory to provide a reference for optimizing telescope observation efficiency. Giordano et al. (2020) used the atmospheric parameter database of the Calern Observatory as an input for statistical learning to make predictions of turbulence conditions while illustrating the importance of the in situ parameter characteristics of the station (Giordano et al., 2021). The Maunakea Observatory has used its observation and forecast data to build a machine-learning seeing prediction model that can make predictions for the following five nights (Lyman et al., 2020; Cherubini et al., 2022). Turchi et al. (2022) used the RF method to make a short-scale time (1-2 hours) forecast of atmospheric turbulence and seeing above the Very Large Telescope (VLT). Hou et al. (2023) employed wind speed and temperature gradient acquired from Antarctic Dome A as inputs and predicted the seeing based on long short term memory (LSTM). Masciadri et al. (2023) introduced a method for short-term (1-2 hours) prediction of astroclimate parameters, including seeing, airmass, coherence time, and ground-layer fraction, demonstrating its effectiveness at the VLT. Ni et al. (2022) used data from the Large Sky Area Multi-Objective Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST), the development and research of seeing prediction models using main AI techniques, including statistical models ARIMA and Prophet, machine learning methods Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) and XGBoost, and deep learning methods LSTM, Gate Recurrent Unit (GRU), and Transformer. The method, input parameters, output parameters and accuracy of the above methods are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1** Method, input parameters, output parameters and accuracy of different method

Method	Input Parameters	Output Parameters	Accuracy
ANN(Wang and Basu, 2016)	temperature, relative humidity, pressure, potential temperature gradient, wind shear	$C_N^2$	$R^2 = 0.87$ , weekly
RF(Jellen et al., 2020)	dew point temperature, pressure, wind, relative humidity, et al.	$C_N^2$	$MSE=0.09$
Optimized BP(Su et al., 2020)	pressure, temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, snow face temperature	$C_N^2$	$R_{xy} = 0.9323$ & $RMSE=0.2367$
DNN(Bi et al., 2022)	simulated $C_N^2$	$C_N^2$	normalized $RMSE=0.072$ & $std=0.06$ $RMSE < 1.4$
GA-BP(Vorontsov et al., 2020)	height, pressure, temperature, wind speed, wind shear & temperature gradient	$\log(C_N^2)$	$RMSE < 1.4$
RF & MLP(Milli et al., 2020)	seeing, atmospheric parameters (pressure, temperature, wind, humidity, PWV)	seeing	$RMSE = 0.27$ , 2 hours
RF(Giordano et al., 2020)	ground parameters (wind, temperature, relative humidity, pressure) & integrated coherence parameters	seeing	Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.8
k-means(Cherubini et al., 2022)	free seeing & its std, wind velocity, shear from GFS	seeing of total & free atmospheric of next 5 days	$RMSE < 0.25$
RF(Turchi et al., 2022)	seeing, wavefront coherence time, isoplanatic angle, ground layer fraction and atmospheric parameters (temperature, relative humidity, wind speed & direction)	seeing	$RMSE = 0.24$ , 1h $RMSE = 0.32$ , 2h
LSTM & GPR(Hou et al., 2023)	wind speed & temperature gradient	seeing	$RMSE = 0.14$ , 10min

## 2.2 Intelligence of Optical Systems


The telescope’s optical system is the most crucial part of the telescope. Optical system misalignment directly affects the imaging quality, resulting in deformation of the star’s shape and the enlargement of the star’s size. Traditional optical system calibration relies on laser interferometer and wavefront detection equipment, which are challenging to operate in harsh environments. AI technology can replace or simplify equipment operations to achieve optical path and mirror surface calibration.

### 2.2.1 Optical Path Calibration

Large-aperture and wide-field survey telescopes often have a primary mirror with a fast focal ratio, making the secondary mirror more sensitive and requiring higher calibration accuracy (Wu et al., 2022). Using lasers interferometer and other equipment for manual adjustment, the mirror tilt accuracy can reach ten arc seconds, and the eccentricity accuracy can reach 0.1 mm (Li et al., 2015). Many computer-aided alignment methods have been developed to achieve higher-precision calibration, including vector aberration theory proposed for the optical path alignment of LSST (Large Synoptic Survey Telescope) and JWST (James Webb Space Telescope) (Thompson et al., 2008). With mature wavefront detection technology, the method of wavefront detection and inverse calculation of misalignment error based on Zernike polynomial decomposition has played an enormous role in the collimation and adjustment process of the telescope.

The method mentioned above requires the wavefront sensor device and the relationship between the telescope’s aberration and the adjustment error and meets the challenge of adjusting multiple fields of view simultaneously. AI technology can construct the relationship between the adjustment error and the detection parameters. Wu et al. (2022) used ANN to construct the relationship between the star image obtained by the scientific camera and the adjustment error of the telescope to realize optical path calibration. Jia et al. (2021) proposed a CNN-based algorithm to fit the relationship between the point spread function (PSF) and four degrees of freedom of the secondary mirror, which can be used to align the secondary mirror of wide-field survey telescopes. The Rubin Observatory uses CNN with a self-attention mechanism to realize the correspondence between the degrees of freedom of the primary mirror, secondary mirror, and focal plane and the final imaging of the science camera and realizes the active adjustment of the attitude of the LSST telescope (Yin et al., 2021).

In addition to being applied to calibrating the whole optical path of the telescope, AI technology can also be applied to calibrating a specific device of the telescope. LAMOST is a large-field survey telescope with a focal surface having 4,000 optical fibers. Before each observation, the optical fiber needs to be moved to the corresponding position. The positioning accuracy of the optical fiber is closely related to the initial angle of the optical fiber head. CNN is used to classify the camera’s pixels, including the optical fiber head, to achieve the optical fiber’s contour extraction and the optical fiber’s initial angle Zhou et al. (2021).



**Fig. 5** In focus and defocus star image and aberrated wavefront maps are used to train the Bi-GRU network, and trained network is used to predict wavefront maps (Wang et al., 2021b)

### 2.2.2 Mirror Surface Calibration

Active optics technology is the primary means to realize the surface shape calibration of large-aperture telescopes. The active optical system can be divided into two steps. The first part is wavefront reconstruction, including phase retrieval, the phase diversity method, and the wavefront sensor-based method. The second part obtains the calibration voltage according to the corresponding relationship between the wavefront and the calibration voltage. The calibration voltage is imported into the force actuator to realize the adjustment of the surface shape (Su and Cui, 2004).

AI technology can be applied to active optical technology to calibrate the surface shape. The DNN can be used to directly construct the relationship between the point map obtained by the Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensor and the calibration voltage to improve the calibration efficiency (Li et al., 2020). Bi-GRU can be used to obtain the corresponding relationship between the defocus star images and the wavefront (Wang et al., 2021b). The sketch map of the co-phasing approach using the Bi-GRU network is shown in Figure 5. SVM can be utilized to overcome the shortcomings of curvature sensing that are easily affected by atmospheric disturbances and improve the calibration ability of curvature sensing (Cao et al., 2020).

Segmented mirror telescope surface calibration mainly includes piston error and tip/tilt error, among which tip/tilt error detection is relatively mature, while piston error detection is still challenging, and  $2\pi$  error is prone to occur. ANN can be used to build the relationship between the piston error and the amplitude of the modulation transfer function (MTF) sidelobes to detect the piston error (Yue et al., 2021). CNN can be used to distinguish the range of the piston error and improve the sensitivity of the Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensor to the  $2\pi$  error (Li et al., 2019). Wang et al. (2021a) proposed a CNN-based multichannel left-subtract-right feature vector piston

error detection method, which can improve the detection range of the piston error to  $-139\lambda - 139\lambda$ .

### 2.3 Intelligent scheduling

The telescope executes observation tasks according to the observation schedule. A long-term scheduler refers to allocating observation time for observation tasks in the next few months, selecting valuable observation proposals from numerous observation proposals, and then conducting observations according to the proposal's priority, observation time, observation goals, and the constraints of the telescope itself. At the same time, it is necessary to formulate short-term planning and adjust the observation plan for new targets or transient phenomena.

Observation planning requires the calculation of many observation tasks to find the best observation plan. Manual planning is not sufficient for long-term task planning. Research in this area has been ongoing since the mid-1950s, ranging from simple heuristics to more complex genetic algorithms or neural networks. AI techniques are widely used in observation planning tasks. [Granzer \(2004\)](#) introduced traditional observation planning methods, including queue planning, critical path planning, optimal planning, and allocation planning. [Colome et al. \(2012\)](#) further comprehensively introduced observation planning techniques over the past 50 years, mainly based on genetic algorithm, genetic algorithm, ant colony optimization algorithm, multiobjective evolutionary algorithm and other new methods.

In the 1990s, the Hubble Space Telescope utilized an ANN-based SPIKE system ([Johnston and Adorf, 1992](#); [Johnston and Miller, 1994](#)) to generate observation plans, and extended its use to the VLT and Subaru telescopes. The non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm (NSGA-II) was employed in DSAN, RTS2, EChO project ([Garcia-Piquer et al., 2015](#)), and the 3.5-meter Zeiss telescope ([Garcia-Piquer et al., 2017](#)). It is suitable for long-term planning tasks and can be used in conjunction with constraint-based methods. The generalized differential evolution 3 (GDE3) algorithm is more efficient than NSGA-II, and it was combined with SPIKE to provide observation planning for JWST ([Adler et al., 2014](#)). In addition, it is also used in the DSAN project. The SWO optimizer based on the greedy algorithm is used in SOFIA ([Frank, 2006](#)), Mars Rover, and THEMIS projects. Reinforcement learning is used for the planning of LSST telescopes and the ordering of sky areas observed by optical telescopes, improving the probability of optical telescopes discovering transient astronomical phenomena such as gravitational waves, gamma-ray bursts, and kilonovae ([Astudillo et al., 2023](#); [Naghieb et al., 2019](#)).

### 2.4 Fault Diagnosis

Real-time fault monitoring and efficient diagnosis can prevent observation time waste and ensure high-quality imaging. In addition, equipment faults in telescopes can lead to significant economic losses. Traditional telescope fault diagnosis involves installing numerous sensors to monitor telescope parameters, such as voltage and meteorological conditions, and setting thresholds based on experience. When these parameters exceed the threshold, the alarm system will issue a warning and identify the location and cause of the fault.

The application of AI technology in fault diagnosis has a long history. Since the 1980s, fault diagnosis systems based on expert knowledge have been widely used in fields such as aerospace, automotive fault diagnosis, and telescopes. For instance, [Dunham et al. \(1987\)](#) applied a knowledge-based diagnostic system to achieve fault diagnosis of the pointing and tracking system of the Hubble Space Telescope, while [Bykat \(1990\)](#) used an expert system to diagnose faults in the energy system of the same telescope. The fault diagnosis system based on expert knowledge has the advantages of strong logic and intuitive knowledge representation, and is still widely used. [Yun and Shi-hai \(2018\)](#) used the knowledge tree to implement the intelligence of the main-axis control system of the Antarctic Sky Survey Telescope AST3. [Tang et al. \(2023\)](#) proposed a method for the rapid localization of faults in LAMOST’s fiber positioner fault causes based on LSTM.

In the 1980s, fault diagnosis systems based on ANNs gained popularity with the rise of neural networks. The fault diagnosis problem can be viewed as a classification problem, where the correspondence between sensor features and faults can be established. In recent years, deep learning has advanced the use of neural networks for deep fault feature extraction. For instance, [Teimoorinia et al. \(2020\)](#) combined SOM and CNN to classify star image shapes for telescope imaging, enabling timely detection of poor-quality telescope imaging. Similarly, [Hu et al. \(2021\)](#) used CNNs to establish a relationship between telescope failure and telescope images, enabling the initial diagnosis of telescope faults. Recently, we also have proposed a methodology for real-time monitoring and diagnosis of the imaging quality of astronomical telescopes ([Hu et al., 2023](#)), incorporating AI technologies such as CNN and knowledge graphs, and validated it using observational data from LAMOST. This holds profound implications for the future of monitoring and diagnosing imaging quality in next-generation large telescopes. The framework of this approach is shown in Figure 6.


Neural networks are also being used for fault prediction by establishing a relationship between parameters before a fault occurs and the probability of the fault occurring. However, fault prediction on telescopes is still in its early stages due to the lack of training data.

## 2.5 Optimization of imaging quality

The imaging quality of an astronomical telescope can be quantified by the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the light intensity distribution. A smaller FWHM indicates better imaging quality. The measured values of imaging quality ( $IQ_{Measured}$ ) are mainly affected by defects in the optical system, turbulence introduced by the dome, and atmospheric turbulence, expressed with corresponding indicators ( $IQ_{Optics}$ ,  $IQ_{Dome}$ , and  $IQ_{Atmosphere}$ , respectively). These indicators are assumed to conform to Kolmogorov’s Law, and the following relationship exists:

$$IQ_{Measured}^{5/3} = IQ_{Optics}^{5/3} + IQ_{Dome}^{5/3} + IQ_{Atmosphere}^{5/3} \quad (1)$$

Assuming the observatory site is confirmed and the defects in the telescope’s optical system cannot be further improved, optimizing the imaging quality can be achieved by addressing atmospheric turbulence and dome seeing. This can be done through the



**Fig. 6** In the framework of the telescope maintenance support system, the left part realizes the imaging quality monitoring, and the right part realizes the fault diagnosis(Hu et al., 2023)


use of adaptive optics technology to calibrate atmospheric turbulence and improve the dome design and ventilation system to enhance dome seeing.

### 2.5.1 Dome seeing

The primary factors that affect dome seeing are the local temperature differences inside the dome, especially near the primary and secondary mirrors, and turbulent flow caused by temperature differences inside and outside the dome. Therefore, controlling the temperature difference is crucial in reducing the deterioration of imaging quality caused by dome seeing.

In general, the air temperature inside the observatory dome is higher than the ambient temperature at night. The initial solution was to ventilate the dome for a few hours before nighttime observation to bring the temperature inside the dome in line with the ambient temperature. However, due to the high-temperature inertia of the primary and secondary mirrors, more than a few hours of ventilation is needed to bring the temperature down to the same level as the ambient temperature. As a result, a local turbulence layer, known as mirror seeing, can form near the mirror with a higher temperature, leading to a deterioration of imaging quality.

To mitigate this issue, the general practice is to use temperature control means, such as air conditioning, during the daytime to adjust the temperature inside the dome,



**Fig. 7** The baseline configuration is all-open. After restricting ourselves to a subset of ID ‘toggling’, figure plot the improvement over the measured MPIQ values (Gilda et al., 2022)

primarily the temperature of the primary and secondary mirrors, to be consistent with the ambient temperature during nighttime observations. Accurate prediction of the ambient temperature during nighttime observations is crucial for temperature control.

Murtagh and Sarazin (1993) utilized meteorological data recorded by the European Southern Observatory in La Silla and Paranal to predict temperature 24 hours later using the KNN method. They used the current, 24-hour-ahead, and 48-hour-ahead temperatures, as well as the current and 24-hour-ahead air pressure to achieve an accuracy of 85.1% within an error range of 2°C, with a 70% reliability of predicting good seeing. Aussem et al. (1995) investigated the accuracy and adaptability of dynamic recurrent neural networks and KNN for time series prediction using the same data. They found that many interruptions in the recording sequence and insufficient data were the main limiting factors of these two methods. Additionally, they proved that in the case of fuzzy coding of seeing (dividing the seeing degree into Good, Moderate, and Bad), the forecasting accuracy of dynamic recurrent neural networks (RNNs) outperforms that of KNN. Buffa and Porceddu (1997) studied the problem of forecasting observatory site temperatures and proved that the nonlinear autoregressive neural network model is more competitive than the traditional linear filtering algorithm.

In addition, Gilda et al. (2022) developed a method for predicting the probability distribution function of observed IQ based on environmental conditions and observatory operating parameters using Canada France Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) data and mixture density network (MDN). They then combined this approach with robust variational autoencoder (RVAE) to forecast the optimal configuration of 12 vents to reduce the time required to reach a fixed signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for observations. This approach has the potential to increase scientific output and improve the efficiency of astronomical observations. Figure 7 presents results on the improvement in MPIQ predicted by MDN given the (hypothetically) optimal vent configurations selected from the restricted set of ID configurations selected by the RVAE.

## 2.5.2 Adaptive optics

Adaptive optics (AO) is a crucial tool for improving the imaging quality of ground-based optical telescopes by ameliorating atmospheric turbulence. Since its first

implementation in the telescopes of the European Southern Observatory 30 years ago, it has been widely used for imaging quality optimization. [Guo et al. \(2022\)](#) introduced machine learning methods in adaptive optics, including improving the performance of wavefront sensors, building WFSless AO systems, and developing wavefront prediction techniques.

Improving the performance of traditional wavefront sensors is similar to the content of active optics, which includes enhancing the anti-noise of wavefront detection ([Li and Li, 2018](#); [Guo et al., 2006](#)) and constructing the relationship between wavefront detection equipment imaging and wavefront ([Suárez Gómez et al., 2018](#); [DuBose et al., 2020](#)). In addition to being implemented in conventional adaptive optics systems, AI techniques have also been utilized to overcome the sensitivity of multiobjective adaptive optics systems to atmospheric contour changes ([Osborn et al., 2014](#)).


WFSless systems do not use conventional wavefront sensing devices to construct wavefronts. [Kendrick et al. \(1994\)](#) used defocusing images to generate wavefronts based on neural networks. [Wong et al. \(2023\)](#) utilized bottleneck networks for more precise wavefront reconstruction, which has been confirmed to have enhanced performance by data from the Adaptive Optics (AO) system of the Subaru Telescope, some training and testing procedures of the proposed method are shown in [Figure 8](#). With the wide application of deep learning, CNN is also employed for WFSless systems for wavefront detection ([Swanson et al., 2018](#); [Guo et al., 2019](#); [Ma et al., 2019](#); [Wu et al., 2020](#)).

The calibration frequency of adaptive optics is much higher than that of active optics technology, and the reconstruction and feedback of the wavefront make the wavefront calibrated by the optical calibration equipment have an unavoidable time delay compared with the actual wavefront. Improving the speed of wavefront reconstruction or predicting future wavefront can solve this problem. [Montera et al. \(1997\)](#) compared the prediction accuracy of the linear minimum mean square error method and the neural network algorithm, indicating that the prediction performance of the latter is better. The recurrent neural network LSTM ([Liu et al., 2020](#)) and the Bayesian regularization-based neural network architectures ([Sun et al., 2017](#)) are also implemented for wavefront prediction.

In addition, AI technology is also used to expand the ultra-high-resolution imaging of light sources. This includes using CNNs to construct encoding and decoding layers, where the encoding layers extract image features, and the decoding layers output corrected images and multi-frame information to improve solar imaging resolution ([Ramos et al., 2018](#)). Generative adversarial network (GAN) is also utilized to generate high-resolution solar magnetic field pictures ([Kim et al., 2019](#); [Rahman et al., 2020](#)). However, as this research content does not belong to traditional telescope technology, we will not further introduce it as a telescope-related intelligent technology.

## 2.6 Database Intelligence

The astronomical database system serves as a service platform for data storage and sharing, allowing astronomers and other relevant users worldwide to share, obtain, and mine valuable information from astronomical database lists ([Ribeiro et al., 2013](#)). To enhance the scientificity and richness of the data, it is crucial to establish an intelligent astronomical database. AI technology is well-suited for database data fusion



**Fig. 8** Visualization of the training and testing process on the turbulence-like data set mentioned in related paper (Wong et al., 2023)

and classification due to its ability to automatically extract features (Fluke and Jacobs, 2020).

### 2.6.1 Database Data Fusion

The Astronomical Database has been accumulating data since the 1980s and comprises various sub-databases, such as the astronomical star list database, large-field multi-colour sky survey database, asteroid database, and astronomical literature database.

The cross-fusion of databases is a significant trend in current astronomy. Table 2 shows the classification of the database.

**Table 2** Classification of the database and representative catalogue

Catalog Database	Volume	Representative Catalogue
I.Astrometric Data	1136	AGK3 Catalogue(I/61B) UCAC3 Catalogue(I/315)
II.Photometric Data	747	General Catalog of Variable Stars, 4th Ed(II/139B) BATC-DR1(II/262)
III.Spectroscopic Data	291	Catalogue of Stellar Spectral Classifications(III/233B) Spectral Library of Galaxies, Clusters and Stars(III/219)
IV.Cross-Identifications	19	SAO-HD-GC-DM Cross Index(IV/12) HD-DM-GC-HR-HIP-Bayer-Flamsteed Cross Index(IV/27A)
V. Combined Data	554	The SDSS Photometric Catalogue, Release 12(V/147) LAMOST DR5 catalogs(V/164)
VI.Miscellaneous	379	Atomic Spectral Line List(VI/69) Plate Centers of POSS-II (VI/114)
VII.Non-stellar Objects	1136	AGK3 Catalogue(I/61B) UCAC3 Catalogue(I/315) NGC 2000.0(VII/118)
I.Astrometric Data	292	SDSS-DR5 quasar catalog(VII/252)
VIII.Radio and Far-IR Data	99	The 3C and 3CR Catalogues(VIII/1A) Miyun 232MHz survey(VIII/44)
IX.High-Energy Data	47	Wisconsin soft X-ray diffuse background all-sky Survey(IX1)

The Bayesian-based method is widely used in astronomical catalog cross-matching and image fusion (Yu et al., 2019). Budavári and Szalay (2008) developed a unified framework, grounded in Bayesian principles, for object matching, which includes both spatial information and physical properties. Additionally, Medan et al. (2021) presented a Bayesian method to cross-match 5,827,988 high proper-motion Gaia sources with various photometric surveys. Furthermore, Bayesian methods are employed to determine the probability of whether the data represent objects or the background in image fusion (Jalobeanu et al., 2008; Petremand et al., 2012).

To enhance the connection between various data sets, it is necessary to strengthen the application of AI in database retrieval and outlier retrieval. As an example, Du et al. (2016) developed a method based on the bipartite ranking model and bagging techniques, which can systematically search for specific rare spectra in the SDSS spectral data set with high accuracy and low time consumption. Similarly, Wang et al. (2022a) proposed an unsupervised hash learning-based rare spectral automatic approximate nearest neighbor search method, which searches for rare celestial bodies based on spectral data and retrieved rare O-type stars and their subclasses from the LAMOST database.

Retrieving outliers in the database can improve reliability and realize the fusion of astronomical databases. Rebbapragada et al. (2009) combined PCAD (Periodic Curve Anomaly Detection) with the K-means clustering algorithm to separate anomalous objects from known object categories. However, this method has poor scalability and

may lose possible outliers in massive data sets and high-dimensional space. Therefore, [Nun et al. \(2014\)](#) proposed a new method based on RF to automatically discover unknown abnormal objects in large astronomical catalogs and followed up on the outliers for more in-depth analysis by cross-matching with all publicly available catalogs. Moreover, multiple data sources may lead to the loss of a certain amount of association information in different data sets. To address this issue, [Ma et al. \(2021\)](#) proposed an outlier detection technique combining new density parameters of KNN and RNN to mine relevant outlier information from multisource mega-data sets.

## 2.6.2 Database Data Labeling

Astronomical databases store an enormous amount of features of astronomical data, which gives rise to dimensional problems that are difficult to analyze. Therefore, the utilization of AI to analyze and annotate celestial information parameters in the astronomical database is of great significance for further astronomical research.

### *Automatic Data Classification*

The exponential growth of astronomical data has made automatic classification of data generated from large-scale surveys crucial. The automatic classification of celestial data includes the classification of quasars, galaxies, and stars based on various features, such as their spectra, luminosity, and other celestial information.

[Banerji et al. \(2010\)](#) performed morphological classification of galaxy samples from SDSS DR6 based on ANNs and compared it with the Galaxy Zoo. [Ball and Brunner \(2010\)](#) used spectral data from the third SDSS data release to train KdTree to provide reliable classification research for all 143 million non-repetitive photometric objects. [Zhang et al. \(2009\)](#) combined KNN and RF to separate quasars and stars. [Aguerri et al. \(2011\)](#) applied SVM to automatically classify approximately 700,000 galaxy samples from SDSS DR7 and provided the probability that each sample belongs to a certain category.

Additionally, unsupervised techniques have been used to classify astronomical data. [Mei et al. \(2019\)](#) adopted a three-dimensional convolutional autoencoder (3D-CAE) to implement the unsupervised spatial spectral feature classification strategy. [Fraix-Burnet et al. \(2021\)](#) used the unsupervised clustering Fisher-EM algorithm to classify galaxies and quasars with spectral redshift less than 248.0 in the SDSS database.

Moreover, deep learning has been used to analyze high-dimensional spectral data to classify astronomical objects. [Khalifa et al. \(2017\)](#) proposed a deep CNN structure for galaxy classification with high testing accuracy. [Becker et al. \(2020\)](#) introduced a scalable end-to-end recurrent neural network (RNN) scheme for variable star classification, which can be extended to large datasets. [Hinnert et al. \(2018\)](#) discussed the effectiveness of LSTM and RNN deep learning in stellar curve classification. [Awang Iskandar et al. \(2020\)](#) used transfer learning to classify planetary nebula (PNe) in the HASH DB and Pan STARRS databases. [Barchi et al. \(2020\)](#) combined accurate visual classifications from the Galaxy Zoo project with machine learning and deep learning methodologies to improve galaxy classification in large datasets.

### *Preselect Quasar Candidates*


Quasars are a type of active galactic nucleus (AGNs), and their classification is crucial in astronomical research. However, due to the particularity of their samples, even a small amount of pollution can significantly increase the difficulty of quasar candidate discovery. Several studies have been conducted to classify quasars using AI techniques. [Gao et al. \(2008\)](#) studied the performance of SVM and KdTree in classifying stars and quasars in multiband data. [Richards et al. \(2009\)](#) used Bayesian methods to classify 5546 candidate quasars in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). [Abraham et al. \(2012\)](#) implemented this topic using the difference boosting neural network method. [Jiang et al. \(2013\)](#) identified candidate stars for Catalytic Variables (CVs) from SDSS and LAMOST database spectra based on SVM and RF. [Schindler et al. \(2017\)](#) used the RF machine learning algorithm on SDSS and Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) photometry to classify quasar stars and estimate photometric redshift, and proposed a quasar selection algorithm and quasar candidate directory.

### *Automatic Estimation of Photometric Redshift*

Galaxy photometry redshift, the so-called photo-z, refers to the redshift of celestial objects obtained using medium and wide-band photometry or imaging data. Photometric redshifts are a key characteristic, especially for dark sources where spectral data cannot be obtained. In recent years, mounts of studies have been conducted to measure the redshift of celestial objects using AI techniques, which have shown obvious advantages in reducing cost and time consumption. The gradient-boosting tree methods like XGBoost and CATBoost ([Humphrey et al., 2023](#); [Li et al., 2023](#)), Gaussian mixture models (GMM) ([Hatfield et al., 2020](#); [Jones and Heavens, 2019](#)), KNN ([Zhang et al., 2019](#); [Han et al., 2021](#)), SOM ([Wilson et al., 2020](#)), and some other supervised machine learning models ([Bilicki et al., 2021](#); [Razim et al., 2021](#)) have been implemented to estimate and measure photo-z from multisource data, and have achieved certain effects. Combinations of neural networks and different machine learning methods to estimate photo-z are also utilized ([Henghes et al., 2021](#); [Hong et al., 2023](#); [Curran et al., 2021](#)). Meanwhile, deep learning has been a powerful strategy for assessing photo-z, for instance, based on CNN, studies ([Dey et al., 2022](#); [Zhou et al., 2022](#); [Pasquet et al., 2019](#)) have realized ideal results, demonstrating the feasibility of deep learning methods for photo-z measurement and estimation, such as a combination of three networks to jointly predict the morphology and photo-z shown in Figure 9.

### *Measurement of Stellar Parameters*

Astronomical databases require high-precision measurements to provide accurate positions, radial velocities, and physical parameters of a large number of individual stars. AI techniques have been increasingly applied in the automatic processing and measurement analysis of stellar physical parameters. Various studies have been conducted to determine stellar parameters using AI techniques. [Bailer-Jones et al. \(1997\)](#) first adopted ANN methods to determine stellar atmospheric parameters based on different spectral characteristics. KNN ([Fuentes and Gulati, 2001](#)), PCA and Bayesian methods ([Bailer-Jones, 2011](#); [Maldonado et al., 2020](#); [Ciucă et al., 2021](#)) have been used to



**Fig. 9** A combination of three networks to jointly predict the morphology and photo-z (Dey et al., 2022)

effectively estimate stellar physical parameters. With the spurt progress of AI, different machine learning methods have been utilized to analyze stellar parameters (Perger et al., 2023; Remple et al., 2021; Passegger et al., 2022; Hughes et al., 2022), and some powerful pipeline tools based on machine learning have been implemented for stellar physics parameter estimation and measurement, such as ODUSSEAS (Antoniadis-Karnavas et al., 2020), ROOSTER (Breton et al., 2021) and SUPPNet (Rózański et al., 2022). Benefiting from a huge volume of astrophysical data, DL methods are also widely used in this field and have become a research hotspot (Cargile et al., 2020; Claytor et al., 2022; Johnson et al., 2020), and the assessment of stellar physical parameters yields remarkable results.

In particular, LAMOST has obtained more than 20 million spectral data, which is currently in the leading position among all telescopes in the world. AI technologies, such as machine learning and deep learning methods, are utilized to evaluate and measure stellar parameters based on mass data from LAMOST (Rui et al., 2019; Minglei et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Li and Lin, 2023; Bai et al., 2019; Yang

et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2022b; Li et al., 2018), and much scientific research progress has been made in the fields of searching for special celestial bodies such as lithium-rich giants, metal-poor stars, hypervelocity stars, and white dwarfs.

### 3 Discussion

The articles cited in this review encompass both journal papers and conference papers. The methods proposed within these works are exclusively those that have been empirically validated using either a telescope or a telescope prototype. Our review did not take into consideration methods requiring additional validation on the telescope using simulation data.


#### 3.1 Telescope Intelligence Research Hotspots

AI technology has found applications in all facets of telescope operation. We categorize telescope intelligence research into six main areas: site selection, optical system calibration, observational schedule, fault diagnosis, imaging quality optimization, and database optimization. These categories are further divided into subcategories, each serving as a distinct research direction.

To study the focal points of each research direction, we have conducted an extensive count of the journal articles published in each field, which includes the number of papers per direction, the total citation count for papers, and the citations of articles published in the past five years. The statistical results indicate that the number of articles published on database data labeling far exceeds those in other areas, making it difficult to account for them fully. We have separately listed the articles and their citation counts from the past five years in this particular field. The results are presented in the Figure 10. The citation count for articles published in the last five years reveals that, in addition to database calibration, the application of AI techniques in adaptive optical technology and site seeing assessment fields are currently research hotspots.

#### 3.2 Telescope Intelligence Research Trend

We analyze the future research trajectory of AI technology in this domain by comparing it with traditional methods prevalent in the field, taking into account factors such as time efficiency and accuracy. We use a scoring system where AI-based methods are awarded 1 point when their performance surpasses traditional methods or accomplishes tasks that traditional methods cannot, 0 points when they match the efficacy of traditional methods, and -1 point when they underperform in comparison. The overall evaluation is obtained by summing up these two evaluation metrics. The higher the score, the more significant the advantages of AI technology become, indicating a trend towards its wider adoption in the future. A classification of various research directions based on these criteria is provided in Table 3. The results indicate that the use of AI technology is particularly advantageous in the optimization of dome seeing, observational planning and database data labeling.



**Fig. 10** The right part enumerates both the quantity of publications and the accompanying citations pertaining to database data labeling, while the left part similarly enumerates the citation counts and number of publications in various other research domains. The citation count for articles published in the last five years reveals that, besides database calibration, the application of AI techniques in adaptive optical technology and site seeing assessment fields are currently research hotspots

**Table 3** Classification of various research directions based on proposed criteria

Item	Time Cost	Accuracy	Level
Site Seeing Estimate and Prediction	0	0	0
Assessment of Site Observation Conditions	1	-1	0
Optimization of Dome Seeing	1	1	2
Adaptive Optics	1	0	1
Optical Path Calibration	1	0	1
Mirror Surface Calibration	1	0	1
Observation Schedule	1	1	2
Fault Diagnosis	1	0	1
Database Data Fusion	1	0	1
Date Classification	1	1	2
Preselected Quasar Candidates	1	1	2
Photometric Infrared Evaluation	1	1	2
Stellar Parameter Measurements	1	1	2

### 3.3 Future Hotspots of Telescope Intelligence

As the depth of our universe exploration expands, the requirement for higher imaging sensitivity in telescopes is escalating, especially for observing more distant and dimmer celestial objects. Increasing the aperture size of telescopes can enhance their resolution, with several 30-meter class telescopes currently under construction. The technique of light interference using multiple smaller telescopes can also boost resolution while reducing the cost of constructing large-aperture telescopes.

To minimize the impact of atmospheric disturbances on telescope resolution, it is crucial to position these instruments at sites with superior seeing conditions and develop high-performance adaptive optical systems. Space telescopes, unaffected by atmospheric disturbances, can deliver imaging at the optical diffraction limit, although they are subject to the challenge of high costs. In the era of large-aperture telescopes,

smaller telescopes continue to play significant roles, particularly in detecting transient sources like pulsars, gamma-ray bursts, and gravitational waves. Coordinated observations employing small lens arrays allow for uninterrupted all-sky monitoring of observational targets.

The following sections will delve into the challenges and complexities associated with ground-based large-aperture telescopes, optical interference technology, space telescopes, and small telescope arrays. These same challenges also represent the exciting frontiers for applying AI technology in the field of telescope technology in the future.

### 3.3.1 Large-aperture Telescopes and Optical Interference Technology

Currently, construction is underway on a series of 30-meter class telescopes including the Thirty Meter Telescope (TMT), the Extremely Large Telescope (ELT), and the Giant Magellan Telescope (GMT). These large-aperture telescopes offer superior light flux and angular resolution but are accompanied by more intricate structures.

Consider the ELT as an example: the optical system of the ELT comprises five mirrors. The primary mirror, spanning 39 meters in diameter, is assembled from 798 sub-mirrors, each with a 1.5-meter aperture, and requires a surface accuracy of 10 nanometers. The M4 secondary mirror, with a thickness less than 2 mm and a diameter of 2.4 meters, features 5000 magnets on the rear surface. This intricate arrangement is designed to achieve surface changes with an accuracy of 10 nanometers a thousand times per second (Hippeler, 2019).

For 30-meter class optical telescopes, testing of large-aperture sub-mirrors presents significant challenges due to airflows that cause image blurring and difficulties in assessing surface accuracy. Active optics demand control over a greater number of mirror surfaces and actuators, hence the requirements for precision in active optical detection and control are elevated. The substantial weight of these large telescopes poses further challenges for support structures, while the increased size of the adaptive optics deformable mirrors necessitates more sophisticated manufacturing and control processes, all while maintaining high efficiency.

Optical interference technology, already deployed in telescopes such as the VLT, CHARA, KECK, and LBT, will retain its high-resolution edge, even in the era of 30-meter telescopes. The MRO Interferometer, presently under construction and employing 10 telescopes for interference imaging, is projected to achieve a resolution 100 times greater than that of the Hubble Space Telescope (Buscher et al., 2013). Concurrently, the Nanjing Institute of Astronomical Optics & Technology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences is developing an optical interference project, that incorporates three 600 mm aperture telescopes and a baseline length of 100 meters. Optical interference, due to its advantages of lengthy baselines and a wide spectrum of observable wavebands, is attracting widespread interest. However, current optical interference experiments have resolutions far below the theoretical limit, owing to factors such as detector noise and telescope vibrations (Eisenhauer et al., 2023). As a result, the development of AI techniques to enhance the wavelength and baseline dynamic range of optical interference will be a critical area for future exploration.

### 3.3.2 Space Telescope

Space telescopes, which operate free from atmospheric disturbances, include the recently successfully launched James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), along with the planned Chinese Space Station Telescope (CSST), the Space Infrared Telescope for Cosmology and Astrophysics (SPICA), and the Large Ultraviolet Optical Infrared Surveyor (LUVOIR). However, these instruments pose unique challenges: their maintenance costs are substantial, their deployment presents significant hurdles, and they require advanced levels of automated control.

Among the key difficulties faced by space telescopes is the necessity for active optical technologies to maintain the integrity of their surfaces. This is akin to the active optical technologies employed in ground-based telescopes. Another challenge is the need to minimize the equipment size. An example of such innovation is the JWST's design, which uses low-temperature programmable slit masks for multiobject spectroscopy (Böker et al., 2022). The application of AI to replace intricate hardware devices will be a crucial area of research in the future. In addition, the high-resolution imaging generated by these telescopes produces vast amounts of data. Thus, achieving low-power, high-speed, long-distance data transmission will also be a significant focus of future research.

### 3.3.3 Small Aperture Telescope Array

Contrary to possible anticipations, the advent and construction of larger telescopes have not rendered smaller telescopes obsolete. Instead, these compact instruments have found wide-ranging applications in diverse fields, including the study of gamma-ray bursts, the detection of exoplanets, and the investigation of microlensing phenomena. By assembling arrays of small telescopes across multiple continents, researchers can perform continuous monitoring of specific astronomical targets, or alternatively, utilize wide-field small telescope arrays for sky survey observations.

Projects currently under development, such as the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS), intend to facilitate rapid sky surveys by utilizing arrays of four small telescopes (Magnier et al., 2020). Similarly, the SiTian project employs an array of small telescopes for all-sky survey observations, utilizing a network of 54 telescopes, each with a diameter of one meter (Chen et al., 2022a). This methodology is expected to catalyze significant breakthroughs in the field of time-domain astronomy. Moreover, the Stellar Observations Network Group (SONG) project is planning to construct a globally interconnected observational system by installing eight 1-meter telescopes, each with varying apertures, at fixed latitudes and longitudes in both the Northern and Southern Hemispheres (Grundahl et al., 2013).

The hotspot for future research in this domain will likely encompass the coordination and control of multiple telescopes for collaborative observations, the determination of optimal observational strategies, and the implementation of effective cluster control systems.

### 3.3.4 The Challenge of Satellite Megaconstellations

Ground-based telescopes are increasingly contending with the interference resulting from sunlight reflected off artificial satellites. In recent years, a multitude of satellite launch projects, including Starlink2, Kuiper, and WorldVu, have proposed ambitious plans to deploy approximately 60,000 low-Earth-orbit satellites by the year 2030 (Halferty et al., 2022).

Even after the implementation of sunshades, the brightness of Starlink’s VisorSat version is expected to reach the 6th magnitude, causing significant disruptions to ground-based telescopes, particularly those engaged in sky survey operations (Hainaut and Williams, 2020). Moreover, the Hubble Space Telescope has reported impacts stemming from satellite-reflected light (Kruk et al., 2023). Therefore, strategies for mitigating the influence of satellites on astronomical observations will be a critical focal point for future research in the field.

### 3.3.5 Large Language Models Improve Telescope Intelligence

Large language models (LLMs), such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2018), Llama2 (Touvron et al., 2023), GPT-4 (Bubeck et al., 2023), are representative AI technologies that have attracted the most attention recently. Meanwhile, LLMs have been widely utilized in many fields such as text reading (Beltagy et al., 2019), medicine (Thirunavukarasu et al., 2023; Meskó and Topol, 2023), and education (Kasneci et al., 2023), demonstrating outstanding application capabilities.

Future LLMs may be able to more directly serve telescope intelligence, bringing huge potential in telescope equipment status monitoring, optimizing observation plans, etc. Additionally, the vast amount of data used in training LLMs enables them to recognize and extract meaningful information from complex astronomical data. This capability offers new avenues for accelerating scientific discovery and deepening our understanding of the universe.

## 4 Conclusion

This article delves into the role of AI in various aspects of telescope operation and research. These aspects include selecting telescope sites, calibrating optical systems, diagnosing faults, optimizing image quality, making observational decisions, and enhancing the intelligence of databases. The piece presents both the current focus areas and specific topics of research within the realm of telescope intelligence.

The paper provides a comprehensive statistical analysis of recent research trends. It reveals that the labeling of astronomical data within intelligent databases has become a significant hotspot. This particular field has seen the most prolific publication of papers. Additionally, there is an extensive body of published work dedicated to adaptive optical technology and site seeing assessment. Through a comparison of the time efficiency and precision of AI technology with traditional methods, the findings indicate that the use of AI technology is particularly advantageous in optimizing dome seeing, observational planning, and database data labeling.

The article concludes by projecting future advancements in telescopes. These include the development of large-aperture telescopes, optical interference technology,

arrays of small telescopes, space telescopes and large language models customized for astronomy. Additionally, it addresses potential threats posed by satellite megaconstellations to telescopes. Given the evolving landscape of telescope technology, it also identifies likely areas of focus for future research.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks are given to the reviewer for the constructive comments and helpful suggestions. This work is supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No U1931207, 12203079, 12103072, 11973065 and 12073047), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grants No BK20221156 and BK20210988), and the Jiangsu Funding Program for Excellent Postdoctoral Talent (Grant No 2022ZB448).

## References

- Abraham S, Philip NS, Kembhavi A, et al. (2012) A photometric catalogue of quasars and other point sources in the sloan digital sky survey. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 419(1):80–94. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19674.x>
- Adler DS, Kinzel W, Jordan I (2014) Planning and scheduling at stsci: from hubble to the james webb space telescope. In: *Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems V*, SPIE, pp 145–158, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2054932>
- Aguerri J, Bernardi M, Mei S, et al. (2011) Revisiting the hubble sequence in the sdss dr7 spectroscopic sample: a publicly available bayesian automated classification. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 525:A157. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201015735>
- Aksaker N, Yerli SK, Erdoğan MA, et al. (2015) Astronomical site selection for turkey using gis techniques. *Experimental Astronomy* 39:547–566. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-015-9458-x>
- Aksaker N, Yerli SK, Erdoğan M, et al. (2020) Global site selection for astronomy. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 493(1):1204–1216. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa201>
- Antoniadis-Karnavas A, Sousa S, Delgado-Mena E, et al. (2020) Odusseas: a machine learning tool to derive effective temperature and metallicity for m dwarf stars. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 636:A9. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937194>
- Astudillo J, Protopapas P, Pichara K, et al. (2023) A reinforcement learning-based follow-up framework. *The Astronomical Journal* 165(3):118. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/acb0c3>

- Aussem A, Murtagh F, Sarazin M (1995) Dynamical recurrent neural networks—towards environmental time series prediction. *International Journal of Neural Systems* 6(02):145–170. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129065795000123>
- Awang Iskandar DN, Zijlstra AA, McDonald I, et al. (2020) Classification of planetary nebulae through deep transfer learning. *Galaxies* 8(4):88. <https://doi.org/10.3390/galaxies8040088>
- Bai Y, Liu J, Bai Z, et al. (2019) Machine-learning regression of stellar effective temperatures in the second gaia data release. *The Astronomical Journal* 158(2):93. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab3048>
- Bailer-Jones CA (2011) Bayesian inference of stellar parameters and interstellar extinction using parallaxes and multiband photometry. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 411(1):435–452. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17699.x>
- Bailer-Jones CA, Irwin M, Gilmore G, et al. (1997) Physical parametrization of stellar spectra: the neural network approach. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 292(1):157–166. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/292.1.157>
- Ball NM, Brunner RJ (2010) Data mining and machine learning in astronomy. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 19(07):1049–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271810017160>
- Banerji M, Lahav O, Lintott CJ, et al. (2010) Galaxy zoo: reproducing galaxy morphologies via machine learning. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 406(1):342–353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16713.x>
- Barchi PH, de Carvalho R, Rosa RR, et al. (2020) Machine and deep learning applied to galaxy morphology—a comparative study. *Astronomy and Computing* 30:100334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ascom.2019.100334>
- Becker I, Pichara K, Catelan M, et al. (2020) Scalable end-to-end recurrent neural network for variable star classification. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 493(2):2981–2995. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa350>
- Beltagy I, Lo K, Cohan A (2019) Scibert: A pretrained language model for scientific text. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.10676 <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1903.10676>
- Bi C, Qing C, Wu P, et al. (2022) Optical turbulence profile in marine environment with artificial neural network model. *Remote Sensing* 14(9):2267. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14092267>
- Bilicki M, Dvornik A, Hoekstra H, et al. (2021) Bright galaxy sample in the kilo-degree survey data release 4-selection, photometric redshifts, and physical properties. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 653:A82. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202140352>

- Böker T, Arribas S, Lützgendorf N, et al. (2022) The near-infrared spectrograph (nirspec) on the james webb space telescope-iii. integral-field spectroscopy. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 661:A82. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142589>
- Bolbasova L, Lukin V (2022) Atmospheric research for adaptive optics. *Atmospheric and Oceanic Optics* 35(3):288–302. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1024856022030022>
- Breton SN, Santos AR, Bugnet L, et al. (2021) Rooster: a machine-learning analysis tool for kepler stellar rotation periods. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 647:A125. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039947>
- Bubeck S, Chandrasekaran V, Eldan R, et al. (2023) Sparks of artificial general intelligence: Early experiments with gpt-4. arXiv preprint arXiv:230312712 <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.12712>
- Budavári T, Szalay AS (2008) Probabilistic cross-identification of astronomical sources. *The Astrophysical Journal* 679(1):301. <https://doi.org/10.1086/587156>
- Buffa F, Porceddu I (1997) Temperature forecast and dome seeing minimization-i. a case study using a neural network model. *Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement Series* 126(3):547–553. <https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:1997393>
- Buscher DF, Creech-Eakman M, Farris A, et al. (2013) The conceptual design of the magdalena ridge observatory interferometer. *Journal of Astronomical Instrumentation* 2(02):1340001. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171713400011>
- Bykat A (1990) Nicbes-2, a nickel-cadmium battery expert system. *Applied Artificial Intelligence an International Journal* 4(2):133–141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08839519008927946>
- Cao H, Zhang J, Yang F, et al. (2020) Extending capture range for piston error in segmented primary mirror telescopes based on wavelet support vector machine with improved particle swarm optimization. *Ieee Access* 8:111585–111597. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3002901>
- Cargile PA, Conroy C, Johnson BD, et al. (2020) Minesweeper: Spectrophotometric modeling of stars in the gaia era. *The Astrophysical Journal* 900(1):28. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aba43b>
- Chen C, Li Z, Liu J, et al. (2022a) Optical design for sitian project. In: *Optical Design and Testing XII*, SPIE, pp 16–22, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2643714>
- Chen SX, Sun WM, He Y (2022b) Application of random forest regressions on stellar parameters of a-type stars and feature extraction. *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* 22(2):025017. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/ac41c5>

- Cherubini T, Lyman R, Businger S (2022) Forecasting seeing for the maunakea observatories with machine learning. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 509(1):232–245. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2916>
- Ciucă I, Kawata D, Miglio A, et al. (2021) Unveiling the distinct formation pathways of the inner and outer discs of the milky way with bayesian machine learning. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 503(2):2814–2824. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab639>
- Claytor ZR, van Saders JL, Llama J, et al. (2022) Recovery of tess stellar rotation periods using deep learning. *The Astrophysical Journal* 927(2):219. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac498f>
- Colome J, Colomer P, Guàrdia J, et al. (2012) Research on schedulers for astronomical observatories. In: *Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems IV*, SPIE, pp 469–486, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.926899>
- Coulman C, Vernin J, Coqueugniot Y, et al. (1988) Outer scale of turbulence appropriate to modeling refractive-index structure profiles. *Applied optics* 27(1):155–160. <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.27.000155>
- Curran S, Moss J, Perrott Y (2021) Qso photometric redshifts using machine learning and neural networks. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 503(2):2639–2650. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab485>
- Deng L, Yang F, Chen X, et al. (2021) Lenghu on the tibetan plateau as an astronomical observing site. *Nature* 596(7872):353–356. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03711-z>
- Devlin J, Chang MW, Lee K, et al. (2018) Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.09288>
- Dewan EM (1993) A Model for C2n (optical turbulence) profiles using radiosonde data. 1121, Directorate of Geophysics, Air Force Materiel Command,
- Dey B, Andrews BH, Newman JA, et al. (2022) Photometric redshifts from sdss images with an interpretable deep capsule network. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 515(4):5285–5305. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2105>
- Du C, Luo A, Yang H, et al. (2016) An efficient method for rare spectra retrieval in astronomical databases. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 128(961):034502. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/128/961/034502>
- DuBose TB, Gardner DF, Watnik AT (2020) Intensity-enhanced deep network wavefront reconstruction in shack–hartmann sensors. *Optics Letters* 45(7):1699–1702. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.389895>

- Dunham LL, Laffey TJ, Kao SM, et al. (1987) Knowledge-based monitoring of the pointing control system on the hubble space telescope. In: NASA. Marshall Space Flight Center, Third Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Space Applications, Part 1
- Eisenhauer F, Monnier JD, Pfuhl O (2023) Advances in optical/infrared interferometry. *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 61. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-121622-045019>
- Fluke CJ, Jacobs C (2020) Surveying the reach and maturity of machine learning and artificial intelligence in astronomy. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* 10(2):e1349. <https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1349>
- Fraix-Burnet D, Bouveyron C, Moultaq J (2021) Unsupervised classification of sdss galaxy spectra. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 649:A53. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202040046>
- Francis A, Sidiropoulos P, Muller JP (2019) Cloudfcn: Accurate and robust cloud detection for satellite imagery with deep learning. *Remote Sensing* 11(19):2312. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11192312>
- Frank J (2006) Sofia's challenge: automated scheduling of airborne astronomy observations. In: 2nd IEEE International Conference on Space Mission Challenges for Information Technology (SMC-IT'06), IEEE, pp 8–pp, <https://doi.org/10.1109/SMC-IT.2006.70>
- Fuentes O, Gulati RK (2001) Prediction of stellar atmospheric parameters from spectra, spectral indices and spectral lines using machine learning. *Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica* 10:209–212.
- Gao D, Zhang YX, Zhao YH (2008) Support vector machines and kd-tree for separating quasars from large survey data bases. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 386(3):1417–1425. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13070.x>
- Garcia-Piquer A, Ribas I, Colomé J (2015) Artificial intelligence for the echo mission planning tool. *Experimental Astronomy* 40:671–694. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-014-9411-4>
- Garcia-Piquer A, Morales J, Ribas I, et al. (2017) Efficient scheduling of astronomical observations-application to the carmenes radial-velocity survey. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 604:A87. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628577>
- Gilda S, Draper SC, Fabbro S, et al. (2022) Uncertainty-aware learning for improvements in image quality of the canada–france–hawaii telescope. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 510(1):870–902. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab3243>

- Giordano C, Rafalimanana A, Ziad A, et al. (2020) Statistical learning as a new approach for optical turbulence forecasting. In: Adaptive Optics Systems VII, SPIE, pp 871–880, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2562316>
- Giordano C, Rafalimanana A, Ziad A, et al. (2021) Contribution of statistical site learning to improve optical turbulence forecasting. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 504(2):1927–1938. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3709>
- Granzer T (2004) What makes an automated telescope robotic? *Astronomische Nachrichten: Astronomical Notes* 325(6-8):513–518. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asna.200410270>
- Grose MG, Watson EA (2023) Forecasting atmospheric turbulence conditions from prior environmental parameters using artificial neural networks. *Applied Optics* 62(13):3370–3379. <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.487185>
- Grundahl F, Christensen-Dalsgaard J, Pallé PL, et al. (2013) Stellar observations network group: the prototype is nearly ready. *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union* 9(S301):69–75. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743921313014117>
- Guo H, Korablinova N, Ren Q, et al. (2006) Wavefront reconstruction with artificial neural networks. *Optics Express* 14(14):6456–6462. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.14.006456>
- Guo H, Xu Y, Li Q, et al. (2019) Improved machine learning approach for wavefront sensing. *Sensors* 19(16):3533. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s19163533>
- Guo Y, Zhong L, Min L, et al. (2022) Adaptive optics based on machine learning: a review. *Opto-Electronic Advances* 5(7):200082–1. <https://doi.org/10.29026/oea.2022.200082>
- Hainaut OR, Williams AP (2020) Impact of satellite constellations on astronomical observations with eso telescopes in the visible and infrared domains. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 636:A121. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202037501>
- Halferty G, Reddy V, Campbell T, et al. (2022) Photometric characterization and trajectory accuracy of starlink satellites: implications for ground-based astronomical surveys. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 516(1):1502–1508. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2080>
- Han B, Qiao LN, Chen JL, et al. (2021) Geneticknn: a weighted knn approach supported by genetic algorithm for photometric redshift estimation of quasars. *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* 21(1):017. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/21/1/17>
- Hatfield P, Almosallam I, Jarvis M, et al. (2020) Augmenting machine learning photometric redshifts with gaussian mixture models. *Monthly Notices of the Royal*

- Astronomical Society 498(4):5498–5510. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa2741>
- Henghes B, Pettitt C, Thiyaalingam J, et al. (2021) Benchmarking and scalability of machine-learning methods for photometric redshift estimation. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 505(4):4847–4856. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1513>
- Hinners TA, Tat K, Thorp R (2018) Machine learning techniques for stellar light curve classification. *The Astronomical Journal* 156(1):7. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aac16d>
- Hippler S (2019) Adaptive optics for extremely large telescopes. *Journal of Astronomical Instrumentation* 8(02):1950001. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171719500016>
- Hong S, Zou Z, Luo AL, et al. (2023) Photoredshift-mml: a multimodal machine learning method for estimating photometric redshifts of quasars. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 518(4):5049–5058. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac3259>
- Hou X, Hu Y, Du F, et al. (2023) Machine learning-based seeing estimation and prediction using multi-layer meteorological data at dome a, antarctica. *Astronomy and Computing* 43:100710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ascom.2023.100710>
- Hu T, Zhang Y, Yan J, et al. (2023) Intelligent monitoring and diagnosis of telescope image quality. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 525(3):3541–3550. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad2536>
- Hu TZ, Zhang Y, Cui XQ, et al. (2021) Telescope performance real-time monitoring based on machine learning. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 500(1):388–396. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3087>
- Hughes AC, Spitler LR, Zucker DB, et al. (2022) The galah survey: A new sample of extremely metal-poor stars using a machine-learning classification algorithm. *The Astrophysical Journal* 930(1):47. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac5fa7>
- Humphrey A, Cunha P, Paulino-Afonso A, et al. (2023) Improving machine learning-derived photometric redshifts and physical property estimates using unlabelled observations. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 520(1):305–313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac3596>
- Jalobeanu A, Gutiérrez J, Slezak E (2008) Multi-source data fusion and super-resolution from astronomical images. *Statistical Methodology* 5(4):361–372. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stamet.2008.02.002>
- Jellen C, Burkhardt J, Brownell C, et al. (2020) Machine learning informed predictor importance measures of environmental parameters in maritime optical turbulence. *Applied Optics* 59(21):6379–6389. <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.397325>

- Jia P, Wu X, Li Z, et al. (2021) Point spread function estimation for wide field small aperture telescopes with deep neural networks and calibration data. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 505(4):4717–4725. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1461>
- Jiang B, Luo A, Zhao Y, et al. (2013) Data mining for cataclysmic variables in the large sky area multi-object fibre spectroscopic telescope archive. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 430(2):986–995. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sts665>
- Johnson JE, Sundaresan S, Daylan T, et al. (2020) Rotnet: Fast and scalable estimation of stellar rotation periods using convolutional neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:201201985 <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2012.01985>
- Johnston MD, Adorf HM (1992) Scheduling with neural networks—the case of the hubble space telescope. *Computers & operations research* 19(3-4):209–240. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0548\(92\)90045-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0548(92)90045-7)
- Johnston MD, Miller G (1994) Spike: Intelligent scheduling of hubble space telescope observations. *Intelligent Scheduling* 19:3–5.
- Jones DM, Heavens AF (2019) Gaussian mixture models for blended photometric redshifts. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 490(3):3966–3986. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2687>
- Kasneci E, Seßler K, Küchemann S, et al. (2023) Chatgpt for good? on opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and individual differences* 103:102274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274>
- Kendrick RL, Acton DS, Duncan A (1994) Phase-diversity wave-front sensor for imaging systems. *Applied Optics* 33(27):6533–6546. <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.33.006533>
- Khalifa NEM, Taha MHN, Hassanien AE, et al. (2017) Deep galaxy: Classification of galaxies based on deep convolutional neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:170902245 <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1709.02245>
- Kim T, Park E, Lee H, et al. (2019) Solar farside magnetograms from deep learning analysis of stereo/euvi data. *Nature Astronomy* 3(5):397–400. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0711-5>
- Kornilov MV (2016) Forecasting seeing and parameters of long-exposure images by means of arima. *Experimental Astronomy* 41:223–242. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-015-9485-7>
- Kruk S, García-Martín P, Popescu M, et al. (2023) The impact of satellite trails on hubble space telescope observations. *Nature Astronomy* 7(3):262–268. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-023-00000-0>

[org/10.1038/s41550-023-01903-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-023-01903-3)

- Li C, Zhang Y, Cui C, et al. (2023) Photometric redshift estimation of galaxies in the desi legacy imaging surveys. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 518(1):513–525. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac3037>
- Li D, Xu S, Wang D, et al. (2019) Large-scale piston error detection technology for segmented optical mirrors via convolutional neural networks. *Optics letters* 44(5):1170–1173. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.44.001170>
- Li L, Zhang Y, Zhao Y (2008) k-nearest neighbors for automated classification of celestial objects. *Science in China Series G: Physics, Mechanics and Astronomy* 51(7):916–922. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-008-0088-4>
- Li W, Kang C, Guan H, et al. (2020) Deep learning correction algorithm for the active optics system. *Sensors* 20(21):6403. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20216403>
- Li X, Lin B (2023) Estimating stellar parameters from lamost low-resolution spectra. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 521(4):6354–6367. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad831>
- Li X, Qiu B, Cao G, et al. (2022) A novel method for ground-based cloud image classification using transformer. *Remote Sensing* 14(16):3978. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14163978>
- Li YB, Luo AL, Du CD, et al. (2018) Carbon stars identified from lamost dr4 using machine learning. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 234(2):31. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aaa415>
- Li Z, Li X (2018) Centroid computation for shack-hartmann wavefront sensor in extreme situations based on artificial neural networks. *Optics Express* 26(24):31675–31692. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.26.031675>
- Li Z, Yuan X, Cui X (2015) Alignment metrology for the antarctica kunlun dark universe survey telescope. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 449(1):425–430. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv268>
- Liu X, Morris T, Saunter C, et al. (2020) Wavefront prediction using artificial neural networks for open-loop adaptive optics. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 496(1):456–464. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1558>
- Lombardi G, Navarrete J, Sarazin M (2014) Review on atmospheric turbulence monitoring. In: *Adaptive Optics Systems IV*, SPIE, pp 678–689, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2054972>
- Lyman R, Cherubini T, Businger S (2020) Forecasting seeing for the maunakea observatories. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 496(4):4734–4748.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1787>

- Ma B, Shang Z, Hu Y, et al. (2020) Night-time measurements of astronomical seeing at dome a in antarctica. *Nature* 583(7818):771–774. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2489-0>
- Ma H, Liu H, Qiao Y, et al. (2019) Numerical study of adaptive optics compensation based on convolutional neural networks. *Optics Communications* 433:283–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2018.10.036>
- Ma Y, Zhao X, Zhang C, et al. (2021) Outlier detection from multiple data sources. *Information Sciences* 580:819–837. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2021.09.053>
- Magnier EA, Chambers K, Flewelling H, et al. (2020) The pan-starrs data-processing system. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 251(1):3. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/abb829>
- Maldonado J, Micela G, Baratella M, et al. (2020) Hades rv programme with harps-n at tng-xii. the abundance signature of m dwarf stars with planets. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 644:A68. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039478>
- Masciadri E, Turchi A, Fini L (2023) Optical turbulence forecasts at short time-scales using an autoregressive method at the very large telescope. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 523(3):3487–3502. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1552>
- Medan I, Lépine S, Hartman Z (2021) Bayesian cross-matching of high proper-motion stars in gaia dr2 and photometric metallicities for 1.7 million k and m dwarfs. *The Astronomical Journal* 161(5):234. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/abe878>
- Meher SK, Panda G (2021) Deep learning in astronomy: a tutorial perspective. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics* 230(10):2285–2317. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjs/s11734-021-00207-9>
- Mei S, Ji J, Geng Y, et al. (2019) Unsupervised spatial–spectral feature learning by 3d convolutional autoencoder for hyperspectral classification. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 57(9):6808–6820. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2019.2908756>
- Meskó B, Topol EJ (2023) The imperative for regulatory oversight of large language models (or generative ai) in healthcare. *npj Digital Medicine* 6(1):120. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00873-0>
- Milli J, Rojas T, Courtney-Barrer B, et al. (2020) Turbulence nowcast for the cerro paranal and cerro armazones observatory sites. In: *Adaptive Optics Systems VII*, SPIE, pp 332–344, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2561364>

- Minglei W, Jingchang P, Zhenping Y, et al. (2020) Atmospheric parameter measurement of low-s/n stellar spectra based on deep learning. *Optik* 218:165004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2020.165004>
- Molano GC, Suárez OLR, Gaitán OAR, et al. (2017) Low dimensional embedding of climate data for radio astronomical site testing in the colombian andes. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 129(980):105002. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aa83fe>
- Mommert M (2020) Cloud identification from all-sky camera data with machine learning. *The Astronomical Journal* 159(4):178. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab744f>
- Montera DA, Welsh BM, Roggemann MC, et al. (1997) Prediction of wave-front sensor slope measurements with artificial neural networks. *Applied optics* 36(3):675–681. <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.36.000675>
- Morrison D, Murphy R, Cruikshank D, et al. (1973) Evaluation of mauna kea, hawaii, as an observatory site. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 85(505):255. <https://doi.org/10.1086/129449>
- Murtagh F, Sarazin M (1993) Nowcasting astronomical seeing: a study of eso la silla and paranal. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 105(691):932. <https://doi.org/10.1086/133258>
- Naghib E, Yoachim P, Vanderbei RJ, et al. (2019) A framework for telescope schedulers: with applications to the large synoptic survey telescope. *The Astronomical Journal* 157(4):151. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aafece>
- Ni WJ, Shen QL, Zeng QT, et al. (2022) Data-driven seeing prediction for optics telescope: from statistical modeling, machine learning to deep learning techniques. *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* 22(12):125003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/ac977b>
- Nun I, Pichara K, Protopapas P, et al. (2014) Supervised detection of anomalous light curves in massive astronomical catalogs. *The Astrophysical Journal* 793(1):23. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/793/1/23>
- Osborn J, Guzmán D, de Cos Juez F, et al. (2014) First on-sky results of a neural network based tomographic reconstructor: Carmen on canary. In: *Adaptive Optics Systems IV*, SPIE, pp 1541–1546, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2057462>
- Owens E, Griffiths R, Ratnatunga K (1996) Using oblique decision trees for the morphological classification of galaxies. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 281(1):153–157. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/281.1.153>

- Pasquet J, Bertin E, Treyer M, et al. (2019) Photometric redshifts from sdss images using a convolutional neural network. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 621:A26. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833617>
- Passegger V, Bello-García A, Ordieres-Meré J, et al. (2022) Metallicities in m dwarfs: Investigating different determination techniques. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 658:A194. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202141920>
- Perger M, Anglada-Escudé G, Baroch D, et al. (2023) A machine learning approach for correcting radial velocities using physical observables. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 672:A118. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202245092>
- Petremand M, Jalobeanu A, Collet C (2012) Optimal bayesian fusion of large hyper-spectral astronomical observations. *Statistical Methodology* 9(1-2):44–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stamet.2011.04.007>
- Priyatikanto R, Mayangsari L, Prihandoko RA, et al. (2020) Classification of continuous sky brightness data using random forest. *Advances in Astronomy* 2020:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5102065>
- Rahman S, Moon YJ, Park E, et al. (2020) Super-resolution of sdo/hmi magnetograms using novel deep learning methods. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* 897(2):L32. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab9d79>
- Ramos AA, de la Cruz Rodríguez J, Yabar AP (2018) Real-time, multiframe, blind deconvolution of solar images. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 620:A73. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833648>
- Razim O, Cavuoti S, Brescia M, et al. (2021) Improving the reliability of photometric redshift with machine learning. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 507(4):5034–5052. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2334>
- Rebbapragada U, Protopapas P, Brodley CE, et al. (2009) Finding anomalous periodic time series: An application to catalogs of periodic variable stars. *Machine learning* 74:281–313. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-008-5093-3>
- Remple BA, Angelou GC, Weiss A (2021) Determining fundamental parameters of detached double-lined eclipsing binary systems via a statistically robust machine learning method. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 507(2):1795–1813. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2030>
- Ribeiro V, Russo P, Cárdenas-Avenidaño A (2013) A survey of astronomical research: a baseline for astronomical development. *The Astronomical Journal* 146(6):138. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/146/6/138>
- Richards GT, Deo RP, Lacy M, et al. (2009) Eight-dimensional mid-infrared/optical bayesian quasar selection. *The Astronomical Journal* 137(4):3884. <https://doi.org/>

10.1088/0004-6256/137/4/3884

- Rózański T, Niemczura E, Lemiesz J, et al. (2022) Suppnet: Neural network for stellar spectrum normalisation. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 659:A199. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202141480>
- Rui W, Luo AL, Shuo Z, et al. (2019) Analysis of stellar spectra from lamost dr5 with generative spectrum networks. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 131(996):024505. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aaf25f>
- Schindler JT, Fan X, McGreer ID, et al. (2017) The extremely luminous quasar survey in the sdss footprint. i. infrared-based candidate selection. *The Astrophysical Journal* 851(1):13. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa9929>
- Sen S, Agarwal S, Chakraborty P, et al. (2022) Astronomical big data processing using machine learning: A comprehensive review. *Experimental Astronomy* 53(1):1–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-021-09827-4>
- Su C, Wu X, Luo T, et al. (2020) Adaptive niche-genetic algorithm based on back-propagation neural network for atmospheric turbulence forecasting. *Applied optics* 59(12):3699–3705. <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.388959>
- Su DQ, Cui XQ (2004) Active optics in lamost. *Chinese journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 4(1):1. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1009-9271/4/1/1>
- Suárez Gómez SL, González-Gutiérrez C, Díez Alonso E, et al. (2018) Improving adaptive optics reconstructions with a deep learning approach. In: *Hybrid Artificial Intelligent Systems: 13th International Conference, HAIS 2018, Oviedo, Spain, June 20-22, 2018, Proceedings 13*, Springer, pp 74–83, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92639-1\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92639-1_7)
- Sun Z, Chen Y, Li X, et al. (2017) A bayesian regularized artificial neural network for adaptive optics forecasting. *Optics Communications* 382:519–527. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2016.08.035>
- Swanson R, Lamb M, Correia C, et al. (2018) Wavefront reconstruction and prediction with convolutional neural networks. In: *Adaptive Optics Systems VI*, SPIE, pp 481–490, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2312590>
- Tang Y, Wang Y, Duan S, et al. (2023) Fault diagnosis of the lamost fiber positioner based on a long short-term memory (lstm) deep neural network. *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/acfd02>
- Teimoorinia H, Kavelaars J, Gwyn S, et al. (2020) Assessment of astronomical images using combined machine-learning models. *The Astronomical Journal* 159(4):170. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab7938>

- Thirunavukarasu AJ, Ting DSJ, Elangovan K, et al. (2023) Large language models in medicine. *Nature medicine* 29(8):1930–1940. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-023-02448-8>
- Thompson KP, Schmid T, Rolland JP (2008) The misalignment induced aberrations of tma telescopes. *Optics express* 16(25):20345–20353. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.16.020345>
- Touvron H, Martin L, Stone K, et al. (2023) Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. arXiv preprint arXiv:230709288
- Trinquet H, Vernin J (2006) A model to forecast seeing and estimate c2n profiles from meteorological data. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 118(843):756. <https://doi.org/10.1086/503165>
- Turchi A, Masciadri E, Fini L (2022) Optical turbulence forecast over short timescales using machine learning techniques. In: *Adaptive Optics Systems VIII*, SPIE, pp 1851–1861, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2629501>
- Vernin J, Muñoz-Tuñón C (1992) Optical seeing at la palma observatory. i-general guidelines and preliminary results at the nordic optical telescope. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* (ISSN 0004-6361), vol 257, no 2, p 811-816 257:811–816.
- Vernin J, Munoz-Tunon C (1994) Optical seeing at la palma observatory. 2: intensive site testing campaign at the nordic optical telescope. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 284:311–318.
- Vorontsov AM, Vorontsov MA, Filimonov GA, et al. (2020) Atmospheric turbulence study with deep machine learning of intensity scintillation patterns. *Applied Sciences* 10(22):8136. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10228136>
- Wang K, Guo P, Luo A, et al. (2022a) Unsupervised pseudoinverse hashing learning model for rare astronomical object retrieval. *Science China Technological Sciences* 65(6):1338–1348. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11431-021-1990-5>
- Wang PF, Zhao H, Xie XP, et al. (2021a) Multichannel left-subtract-right feature vector piston error detection method based on a convolutional neural network. *Optics Express* 29(14):21320–21335. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.428690>
- Wang R, Luo AL, Chen JJ, et al. (2020) Spcanet: Stellar parameters and chemical abundances network for lamost-ii medium resolution survey. *The Astrophysical Journal* 891(1):23. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab6dea>
- Wang XY, Wu ZY, Liu J, et al. (2022b) New analysis of the fraction of observable nights at astronomical sites based on fengyun-2 satellite data. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 511(4):5363–5371. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac408>

- Wang Y, Basu S (2016) Using an artificial neural network approach to estimate surface-layer optical turbulence at mauna loa, hawaii. *Optics letters* 41(10):2334–2337. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.41.002334>
- Wang Y, Jiang F, Ju G, et al. (2021b) Deep learning wavefront sensing for fine phasing of segmented mirrors. *Optics Express* 29(16):25960–25978. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.434024>
- Wilson D, Nayyeri H, Cooray A, et al. (2020) Photometric redshift estimation with galaxy morphology using self-organizing maps. *The Astrophysical Journal* 888(2):83. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5a79>
- Wong AP, Norris BR, Deo V, et al. (2023) Nonlinear wave front reconstruction from a pyramid sensor using neural networks. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 135(1053):114501. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/acfdcb>
- Wu Y, Guo Y, Bao H, et al. (2020) Sub-millisecond phase retrieval for phase-diversity wavefront sensor. *Sensors* 20(17):4877. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20174877>
- Wu Z, Zhang Y, Tang R, et al. (2022) Machine learning for improving stellar image-based alignment in wide-field telescopes. *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* 22(1):015008. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/ac3325>
- Yang L, Yuan H, Xiang M, et al. (2022) J-plus: Stellar parameters, c, n, mg, ca, and  $[\alpha/\text{fe}]$  abundances for two million stars from dr1. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 659:A181. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142724>
- Yin JE, Eisenstein DJ, Finkbeiner DP, et al. (2021) Active optical control with machine learning: A proof of concept for the vera c. rubin observatory. *The Astronomical Journal* 161(5):216. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/abe9b9>
- Yu C, Li B, Xiao J, et al. (2019) Astronomical data fusion: recent progress and future prospects—a survey. *Experimental Astronomy* 47:359–380. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-019-09633-z>
- Yue D, He Y, Li Y (2021) Piston error measurement for segmented telescopes with an artificial neural network. *Sensors* 21(10):3364. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21103364>
- Yun L, Shi-hai Y (2018) Reliability analysis of main-axis control system of the antarctic equatorial astronomical telescope based on fault tree. *Chinese Astronomy and Astrophysics* 42(3):448–461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chinastron.2018.09.008>
- Zhang B, Liu C, Deng LC (2020) Deriving the stellar labels of lamost spectra with the stellar label machine (slam). *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 246(1):9. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab55ef>

- Zhang Y, Zhao Y, Zheng H (2009) Automated classification of quasars and stars. *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union* 5(S267):147–147. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743921310006083>
- Zhang YX, Zhang JY, Jin X, et al. (2019) A new strategy for estimating photometric redshifts of quasars. *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* 19(12):175. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/19/12/175>
- Zhou M, Lv G, Li J, et al. (2021) Lamost fiber positioning unit detection based on deep learning. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 133(1029):115001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/ac3559>
- Zhou X, Gong Y, Meng XM, et al. (2022) Extracting photometric redshift from galaxy flux and image data using neural networks in the csst survey. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 512(3):4593–4603. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac786>