

PRIME IDEALS IN C^* -ALGEBRAS AND APPLICATIONS TO LIE THEORY

EUSEBIO GARDELLA AND HANNES THIEL

ABSTRACT. We show that every proper, dense ideal in a C^* -algebra is contained in a prime ideal. It follows that a subset generates a C^* -algebra as a not necessarily closed ideal if and only if it is not contained in any prime ideal.

This allows us to transfer Lie theory results from prime rings to C^* -algebras. For example, if a C^* -algebra A is generated by its commutator subspace $[A, A]$ as a ring, then $[[A, A], [A, A]] = [A, A]$. Further, given Lie ideals K and L in A , then $[K, L]$ generates A as a not necessarily closed ideal if and only if $[K, K]$ and $[L, L]$ do, and moreover this implies that $[K, L] = [A, A]$.

We also discover new properties of the subspace generated by square-zero elements and relate it to the commutator subspace of a C^* -algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

An ideal I in a ring R is said to be *prime* if $I \neq R$ and if whenever $J, K \subseteq R$ are ideals satisfying $JK \subseteq I$ then $J \subseteq I$ or $K \subseteq I$. Further, an ideal is *semiprime* if $I = R$ or if I is the intersection of prime ideals. A fundamental result in C^* -algebras is that every *closed* ideal is semiprime. Indeed, every proper closed ideal is the intersection of closed prime ideals. In particular, a proper closed ideal is contained in a closed prime ideal, and the main result of this paper is a strengthening of this result to ideals that are not necessarily closed. The following is Theorem 2.4:

Theorem A. Every proper, not necessarily closed, ideal in a C^* -algebra is contained in a prime ideal.

If an ideal is not dense, then its closure is proper and therefore even contained in a closed prime ideal. Thus, Theorem A is most interesting for proper, *dense* ideals.

We can view Theorem A as a generalization of the basic result that every proper ideal in a *unital* C^* -algebra is contained in a maximal ideal. (Maximal ideals in unital C^* -algebras are prime.) Recently, Lee [Lee22b, Lee22a] initiated the study of Lie ideals in rings where every proper ideal is contained in a maximal ideal. We expect that similar results can be shown for rings where every proper ideal is contained in a prime ideal – and we demonstrate this in Section 3 for the case of C^* -algebras.

Herstein’s characterization of Lie ideals in simple rings [Her55] was the starting point for the development of a beautiful theory of Lie ideals in prime and semiprime rings [Bax65, Her69, Her70, LM72]. Using that C^* -algebras are semiprime rings, some of the Lie theory applies directly in this setting. For example, if A is a unital, simple C^* -algebra, then a subspace $L \subseteq A$ is a Lie ideal (see Paragraph 3.1 for

Date: 11 August 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16N60, 46L05. Secondary 16W10, 47B47.

Key words and phrases. prime ideals, C^* -algebras, Lie ideals, commutators, square-zero elements.

The first named author was partially supported by the Swedish Research Council Grant 2021-04561. The second named author was partially supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW 2021.0140).

definitions) if and only if $L = \{0\}$, $L = \mathbb{C}1$ or $[A, A] \subseteq L$. By Pop's theorem [Pop02], $A = [A, A]$ if and only if A admits no tracial states, and so in a unital, simple C^* -algebra A without tracial states, the only Lie ideals are $\{0\}$, $\mathbb{C}1$ and A . Further, if A has a unique tracial state τ , then $\ker(\tau) = \overline{[A, A]}$ and $A/\overline{[A, A]} \cong \mathbb{C}$ by work of Cuntz-Pedersen [CP79], and thus the only *closed* Lie ideals in A are $\{0\}$, $\mathbb{C}1$, $\overline{[A, A]}$ and A ; see [MM98, Theorem 2.5].

More generally, if L is a Lie ideal in a C^* -algebra A then either L is contained in the center $Z(A)$, or there exists a nonzero (not necessarily closed) ideal $I \subseteq A$ such that $[A, I] \subseteq L$; see [Her70]. If I is semiprime, then one can pass to the quotient A/I and continue the analysis there.

Using that *closed* ideals in C^* -algebras are semiprime, a comprehensive theory for *closed* Lie ideals in C^* -algebras was developed by Miers [Mie81], Brešar-Kissin-Shulman [BKS08] and Robert [Rob16]. Based on Theorem A, we are able to transfer some results from the Lie theory of prime rings to the study of not necessarily closed Lie ideals in C^* -algebras. The following is Theorem 3.3:

Theorem B. Given a Lie ideal L in a C^* -algebra A , the Lie ideal $[A, L]$ generates A as a not necessarily closed ideal if and only if $[L, L]$, or equivalently $[[L, L], [L, L]]$, does. Moreover, if this is the case, then $[A, A] = [L, L] \subseteq L$.

As an interesting special case of Theorem B we can consider A as a Lie ideal in itself, and we deduce that $[A, A] = [[A, A], [A, A]]$ whenever A is generated by $[A, A]$ as a not necessarily closed ideal; see Corollary 3.4.

Generalizing Theorem B to the case of two Lie ideals, we have Theorem 3.6:

Theorem C. Given Lie ideals K and L in a C^* -algebra A , the Lie ideal $[K, L]$ generates A as a not necessarily closed ideal if and only if $[K, K]$ and $[L, L]$ do. Moreover, if this is the case, then $[A, A] = [K, L]$.

In Section 4, we study the connection between the commutator subspace $[A, A]$ and the subspace N generated by the set of square-zero elements in a C^* -algebra A . Robert proved that $[A, A] = N$ if A is unital and has no characters; see [Rob16, Theorem 4.2]. We generalized this to the case that A is a zero-product balanced C^* -algebra ([GT23b, Theorem 5.3]), which includes all C^* -algebras whose multiplier algebra has no characters ([GT23c]).

In [Rob16, Question 2.5] Robert asks if $[A, A] = N$ holds for every C^* -algebra. In general, it is known that every square-zero element is a commutator, and thus $N \subseteq [A, A]$; see, for example, [Rob16, Lemma 2.1]. We substantially sharpen this result. The following is Theorem 4.2:

Theorem D. Let N denote the not necessarily closed subspace generated by the square-zero elements in a C^* -algebra. We have $[N, N] = N$.

It is known that $[A, A]$ and N have the same norm-closure; see [AEV⁺16, Proposition 2.2], [Rob16, Corollary 2.3]. Using this in combination with Theorem D, we also recover the result that $[[A, A], [A, A]]$ and $[A, A]$ have the same closure; see Corollary 4.6.

In forthcoming work [GKT23] with Kitamura we give a characterization of semiprime ideals in C^* -algebras, and use it to deduce that every semiprime ideal is self-adjoint. In particular, it follows that all prime ideals in C^* -algebras are *automatically* self-adjoint. (Although not all ideals in C^* -algebras are self-adjoint.)

It would also be interesting to explore to what extent the results of this paper can be generalized to the setting of L^p -operator algebras; see [Gar21] for an introduction to the subject

With view towards Remark 2.3, one has to restrict to L^p -operator algebras that ‘look like C^* -algebras’, for example group L^p -operator algebras of nondiscrete groups [GT15, GT19, GT22] or groupoid L^p -operator algebras [GL17, CGT19].

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Leonel Robert for valuable comments on earlier versions of this paper.

2. PRIME IDEALS IN C^* -ALGEBRAS

Throughout this paper, by an ‘ideal’ in a C^* -algebra we mean a not necessarily closed, two-sided ideal. To avoid confusion, we will nevertheless usually clarify whether a considered ideal in a C^* -algebra is assumed to be closed or not.

In this section, we prove that C^* -algebras are never radical extensions over proper (not necessarily closed) ideals; see Proposition 2.2. It follows that if I is a proper ideal of a C^* -algebra, then the semiprime closure \sqrt{I} is a proper ideal as well. Thus, every proper ideal in a C^* -algebra is contained in a prime ideal; see Theorem 2.4.

Note that prime ideals are proper by definition. For further details on prime and semiprime ideals, we refer to [Lam01, Section 10].

A ring R is said to be a *radical extension* over a subring $S \subseteq R$ if for every $x \in R$ there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $x^n \in S$. Given an ideal I of a ring R , the *semiprime closure* \sqrt{I} is the intersection of all prime ideals containing I , with the convention $\sqrt{I} = R$ if there is no prime ideal containing I .

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $I \subseteq A$ be a (not necessarily closed) ideal. Let $a \in A_+$ and $b \in I_+$ satisfy $a \leq b^{1+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $a \in I$.*

Proof. Applying the polar decomposition in C^* -algebras (see, for example, [Bla06, Proposition II.3.2.1]), there exists $u \in A$ such that $a = ubu^*$. Since I is an ideal, this implies that $a \in I$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, and let $I \subseteq A$ be a (not necessarily closed) ideal such that A is a radical extension over I . Then $I = A$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that I contains every contractive, positive element in A . Fix $a \in A_+$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$, and let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined as

$$f(t) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} t^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. Note that f is a continuous function with $f(0) = 0$.

Applying continuous functional calculus, we consider the positive contraction $f(a) \in A$. By assumption, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $f(a)^n \in I$. Then the element $2^{2n^2} f(a)^n$ belongs to I as well.

We have $\frac{1}{2^{2n}} t^{\frac{1}{2n}} \leq f(t)$ and therefore $t \leq (2^{2n^2} f(a)^n)^2$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. This implies that

$$a \leq (2^{2n^2} f(a)^n)^2 \in I.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we deduce that $a \in I$. \square

Remark 2.3. The conclusion of Proposition 2.2 is false for non-selfadjoint operator algebras: indeed, the nilpotent algebra $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$ is a (nonzero) radical extension of $\{0\}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $I \subseteq A$ be a proper (not necessarily closed) ideal in a C^* -algebra. Then \sqrt{I} is a proper ideal of A as well.*

In particular, every proper ideal of a C^ -algebra is contained in a prime ideal.*

Proof. By [Lam01, Theorem 10.7], \sqrt{I} consists of those elements $x \in A$ such that every m -system containing x has nontrivial intersection with I , and consequently \sqrt{I} is a radical extension over I . If $\sqrt{I} = A$, then A would be a radical extension over the proper ideal I , which is impossible by Proposition 2.2. Thus, we have $\sqrt{I} \neq A$.

Since \sqrt{I} is the intersection of prime ideals, it follows that there exists a prime ideal $J \subseteq A$ such that $\sqrt{I} \subseteq J$. \square

Corollary 2.5. *A subset X generates a C^* -algebra A as a not necessarily closed ideal if and only if $X \not\subseteq I$ for every prime ideal $I \subseteq A$.*

Corollary 2.6. *In a C^* -algebra, every prime ideal that is maximal among all prime ideals is also maximal among all ideals.*

We end this section with some remarks and questions related to Theorem 2.4.

Remark 2.7. If $I \subseteq A$ is a proper, dense ideal in a C^* -algebra, then a continued application of Theorem 2.4 provides an ascending chain of prime ideals that continues indefinitely – unless one hits a maximal, dense ideal. For some classes of C^* -algebras, including the commutative ones, it is known that they contain no maximal, dense ideals, but in general the answer to the following question of Ozawa [Oza] remains open:

Question 2.8 (Ozawa). Is every maximal ideal in a C^* -algebra closed?

3. APPLICATIONS TO LIE THEORY OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section, we use that every proper ideal in a C^* -algebra is contained in a prime ideal to transfer some results from the Lie theory of prime rings to the theory of nonclosed Lie ideals in C^* -algebras.

3.1. Given subsets X and Y of a C^* -algebra, we follow the standard convention and use $[X, Y]$ to denote the linear span of the set of commutators $[x, y] := xy - yx$ for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Similarly, we use XY to denote the linear span of the set of products xy for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Note that $[X, Y] = [Y, X]$.

We will frequently use the Jacobi identity $[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0$ for $a, b, c \in A$. It implies that for subsets $X, Y, Z \subseteq A$, we have

$$[[X, Y], Z] \subseteq [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y].$$

A subspace $L \subseteq A$ is said to be a *Lie ideal* if $[A, L] \subseteq L$. If K and L are Lie ideals in A , then so is $[K, L]$. In particular, $[A, A]$ and $[[A, A], [A, A]]$ are Lie ideals.

Given a C^* -algebra, we let \tilde{A} denote its minimal unitization. If $M \subseteq A$ is a subspace, then the not necessarily closed ideal generated by M is $\tilde{A}M\tilde{A} = M + AM + MA + AMA$, and in general this is strictly larger than AMA since M may not be contained in AMA . Of course, if A is unital then $\tilde{A} = A$ and thus $\tilde{A}M\tilde{A} = AMA$. The next result shows that this is also the case if A is nonunital and M is the commutator subspace.

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then*

$$[A, A] \subseteq A[A, A]A = \tilde{A}[A, A]\tilde{A}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show the inclusion $[A, A] \subseteq A[A, A]A$. Let $a, b \in A$. We need to verify that $[a, b] \in A[A, A]A$. Using bilinearity of the Lie product, and that every element in A is a linear combination of positive elements, we may assume that a and b are positive. Using the multiple Cohen factorization theorem ([DW79, Theorem 17.1]) for $a^{1/2}$ and $b^{1/2}$, we obtain elements $x, y, z \in A$ such that

$$a^{1/2} = xy, \quad \text{and} \quad b^{1/2} = xz.$$

Then $a = xyy^*x^*$ and $b = xzz^*x^*$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b] &= x(yy^*x^*xzz^* - zz^*x^*xyy^*)x^* \\ &= x(yy^*[x^*x, zz^*] + yy^*zz^*x^*x - zz^*x^*xyy^*)x^* \\ &= x(yy^*[x^*x, zz^*] + [yy^*, zz^*x^*x])x^*, \end{aligned}$$

which verifies $[a, b] \in A[A, A]A$. \square

Given a Lie ideal L in a C^* -algebra A , the next result characterizes when $[L, L]$ generates A as a not necessarily closed ideal. For the case $L = A$, this question is studied more thoroughly in [GT23a].

Theorem 3.3. *Let $L \subseteq A$ be a Lie ideal in a C^* -algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $A = A[[L, L], [L, L]]A$.
- (2) $A = A[L, L]A$.
- (3) $A = A[A, L]A$.
- (4) $A = \tilde{A}[A, L]\tilde{A}$, that is, $[A, L]$ generates A as a not necessarily closed ideal.

Further, if this is the case, then $[A, A] = [L, L] \subseteq L$.

Proof. Since L is a Lie ideal in A , we have $[[L, L], [L, L]] \subseteq [L, L] \subseteq [A, L]$, which shows that (1) implies (2), and that (2) implies (3). Clearly, (3) implies (4).

To show that (4) implies (1), assume that $A = \tilde{A}[A, L]\tilde{A}$, and consider the ideal $I := A[[L, L], [L, L]]A$. To reach a contradiction, assume that $I \neq A$. Then, by Theorem 2.4, there exists a prime ideal $J \subseteq A$ containing I .

Consider the prime ring $B := A/J$, and let K denote the image of L in B . Then K is a Lie ideal of B such that $[[K, K], [K, K]] = \{0\}$. In particular, $M := [K, K]$ is a Lie ideal in B such that $[M, M]$ is contained in the center $Z(B)$ of B . Then $[K, K] = M \subseteq Z(B)$ by [LM72, Lemma 7]. Applying the same result again for K , we get $K \subseteq Z(B)$, and thus $[B, K] = \{0\}$.

On the other hand, we have $A = \tilde{A}[A, L]\tilde{A} = A[A, L]A + A[A, L] + [A, L]A + [A, L]$. Applying the quotient map $A \rightarrow B$ everywhere, we get

$$B = B[B, K]B + B[B, K] + [B, K]B + [B, K] = \{0\}.$$

which is the desired contradiction.

This shows that (1)-(4) are equivalent. Next, we establish the following claim:

Claim 1: *Let $K \subseteq A$ be a Lie ideal such that $A = A[K, K]A$. Then $[A, A] \subseteq K$. Indeed, it is known that $[A, A[K, K]A] \subseteq K$ holds in general (see, for example, [Lee22a, Lemma 2.1]), and thus*

$$[A, A] = [A, A[K, K]A] \subseteq K.$$

This proves the claim.

Claim 2: *If $A = A[A, A]A$, then $[A, A] = [[A, A], [A, A]]$. Indeed, if $A = A[A, A]A$, then $A = A[[A, A], [A, A]]A$ by the equivalence of (1) and (2) applied for the Lie ideal $L = A$. Applying the same equivalence again, this time for $[A, A]$, we deduce that $A = A[[[A, A], [A, A]], [[A, A], [A, A]]]A$. Thus, for the Lie ideal $K = [[A, A], [A, A]]$, we have $A = A[K, K]A$, and thus $[A, A] \subseteq K = [[A, A], [A, A]]$ by Claim 1. The reverse inclusion is clear, which proves the claim.*

Now, assuming that (1)-(4) hold for L , let us verify that $[A, A] = [L, L] \subseteq L$. The inclusions $[L, L] \subseteq [A, A]$ and $[L, L] \subseteq L$ are clear. By Claim 1, we have $[A, A] \subseteq L$. Further, since $A = A[L, L]A$, we also have $A = A[A, A]A$. Using Claim 2 at the first step, it follows that

$$[A, A] = [[A, A], [A, A]] \subseteq [L, L],$$

as desired. \square

We highlight the following result, which was established as Claim 2 in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *Let A be a C^* -algebra that is generated by its commutators as a not necessarily closed ideal. Then $[[A, A], [A, A]] = [A, A]$.*

It remains an open question if the conclusion of Corollary 3.4 holds in general:

Question 3.5. Does $[[A, A], [A, A]] = [A, A]$ hold for every C^* -algebra?

Theorem 3.6. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, and let $K, L \subseteq A$ be Lie ideals. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $A = A[K, L]A$.
- (2) $A = \tilde{A}[K, L]\tilde{A}$, that is, $[K, L]$ generates A as a not necessarily closed ideal.
- (3) $A = A[K, K]A = A[L, L]A$.
- (4) $A = \tilde{A}[K, K]\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}[L, L]\tilde{A}$, that is, $[K, K]$ and $[L, L]$ generate A as a not necessarily closed ideal.

Further, if this is the case, then $[A, A] = [K, L]$.

Proof. It is clear that (1) implies (2). By Theorem 3.3, (3) and (4) are equivalent. To show that (2) implies (3), assume that $A = \tilde{A}[K, L]\tilde{A}$. Since $[K, L] \subseteq [A, L]$, we deduce that $A = \tilde{A}[A, L]\tilde{A}$, and thus $A = A[L, L]A$ by Theorem 3.3. Similarly, we get $A = A[K, K]A$.

To show that (3) implies (1), assume that $A = A[K, K]A = A[L, L]A$. Since $[K, K] \subseteq [A, A]$, we obtain that $A = A[A, A]A$ and thus $[A, A] = [[A, A], [A, A]]$ by Corollary 3.4. Further, we have $[A, A] \subseteq K, L$ by the last claim in Theorem 3.3. It follows that

$$[A, A] = [[A, A], [A, A]] \subseteq [K, L] \subseteq [A, A].$$

and thus these inclusions are equalities. \square

4. THE SUBSPACE GENERATED BY SQUARE-ZERO ELEMENTS IN A C^* -ALGEBRA

Given a C^* -algebra A , let N denote the subspace generated by the set $N_2(A) := \{x \in A : x^2 = 0\}$ of square-zero elements in A . It is known that $N \subseteq [A, A]$ (see, for example, [Rob16, Lemma 2.1]), and Robert asks in [Rob16, Question 2.5] if the converse inclusion also holds:

Question 4.1 (Robert). Do we have $[A, A] = N$? Is N a Lie ideal?

We show that $[N, N] = N$ always holds; see Theorem 4.2. We further show that $ANA = \tilde{A}N\tilde{A} = [A, N]^2$, and while we are not able to show that N is a Lie ideal, we prove that $[A, [A, N]]$ is always a Lie ideal; see Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, and let N denote the subspace generated by the square-zero elements in A . Then $N = [N, N]$ and $N \subseteq N^2$.*

Proof. We first show that $[N, N] \subseteq N$. Given $x, y \in N_2(A)$, we have

$$[x, y] = xy - yx = (1+x)y(1-x) - y + xyx$$

and each of the last three summands belongs to $N_2(A)$, and so $[x, y] \in N$.

Next, we verify that $N \subseteq [N, N]$. Let $x \in N_2(A)$. Let $p, q \in A^{**}$ be the right and left support projections of x , that is, p is the smallest projection in A^{**} satisfying $x = xp$, and similarly for q with $x = qx$. Consider the polar decomposition $x = v|x|$ in A^{**} , with $|x| = (x^*x)^{1/2}$ and v a partial isometry satisfying $q = vv^*$ and $p = v^*v$.

Then $v|x|^{1/2}$, $|x|^{1/2}$ and $|x^*|^{1/2}$ belong to A , and we have $|x|^{1/2} = p|x|^{1/2}p$ and $|x^*|^{1/2} = q|x^*|^{1/2}q$. Using that $x^2 = 0$ we deduce that $pq = 0$ and therefore

$$|x|^{1/2}|x^*|^{1/2} = |x|^{1/2}pq|x^*|^{1/2} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |x|^{1/2}v = |x|^{1/2}pqv = 0.$$

Further, we have $|x^*|^{1/2}v = v|x|^{1/2}$. It follows that

$$x = \left[\frac{1}{2}v|x|^{1/2}, |x|^{1/2} - |x^*|^{1/2} \right].$$

Further, we have

$$|x|^{1/2} - |x^*|^{1/2} = (|x|^{1/4}v^*)(v|x|^{1/4}) - (v|x|^{1/4})(|x|^{1/4}v^*).$$

Since the elements $\frac{1}{2}v|x|^{1/2}$, $|x|^{1/4}v^*$ and $v|x|^{1/4}$ have square-zero, it follows that

$$x \in [N, [N, N]] \subseteq [N, N].$$

Finally, using that every commutator belongs to N^2 , we deduce that

$$N \subseteq [N, N] \subseteq N^2,$$

as desired. \square

Remark 4.3. Note that if $[A, A] = N$, then

$$[[A, A], [A, A]] = [N, N] = N = [A, A],$$

and hence a positive answer to Question 4.1 entails a positive answer to Question 3.5.

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a C*-algebra, and let N denote the subspace generated by the square-zero elements in A . Then*

$$ANA = \tilde{A}N\tilde{A} = [A, N]^2, \quad \text{and} \quad [A, [A, N]] = [ANA, [A, N]] = [ANA, ANA].$$

In particular, $[A, [A, N]]$ is a Lie ideal in A .

Proof. We will repeatedly use that $N = [N, N] \subseteq N^2$ by Theorem 4.2. Since the unit of \tilde{A} commutes with every element in A , we have $[\tilde{A}, X] = [A, X]$ for every subset $X \subseteq A$.

We have $ANA \subseteq \tilde{A}N\tilde{A}$. Conversely, since $\tilde{A}N \subseteq A$ and $N\tilde{A} \subseteq A$, we also have

$$\tilde{A}N\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}N^3\tilde{A} \subseteq ANA.$$

Thus, $ANA = \tilde{A}N\tilde{A}$. The inclusion $[A, N]^2 \subseteq \tilde{A}N\tilde{A}$ is clear. We now show the converse inclusion.

Given $a, b \in \tilde{A}$ and $x, y \in N_2(A)$, we have $a[x, y]b = a[[x, y], b] + ab[x, y]$ and thus

$$\tilde{A}N\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}[N, N]\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}[[N, N], \tilde{A}] + \tilde{A}[N, N].$$

Using that $[[N, N], \tilde{A}] = [N, \tilde{A}] = [N, A] = [A, N]$, and $[N, N] \subseteq [A, N]$, we get

$$(1) \quad \tilde{A}N\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}[A, N].$$

Further, using the Jacobi identity at the second step, we have

$$(2) \quad [A, N] = [A, [N, N]] \subseteq [N, [N, A]] + [N, [A, N]] = [[A, N], N].$$

Next, given $a \in \tilde{A}$ and $x, y \in N_2(A)$, we have $a[x, y] = [ax, y] + [y, a]x$ and thus

$$(3) \quad \tilde{A}[A, N] = \tilde{A}[[A, N], N] \subseteq [\tilde{A}[A, N], N] + [N, \tilde{A}][A, N] \subseteq [A, N] + [A, N]^2.$$

We get

$$[A, N] \stackrel{(2)}{\subseteq} [[A, N], N] = [[A, N], [N, N]] \subseteq [[A, N], [A, N]] \subseteq [A, N]^2,$$

and it follows that

$$\tilde{A}N\tilde{A} \stackrel{(1)}{\subseteq} \tilde{A}[A, N] \stackrel{(3)}{\subseteq} [A, N] + [A, N]^2 \subseteq [A, N]^2.$$

We have verified that $ANA = \tilde{A}N\tilde{A} = [A, N]^2$.

Given $a, x, y \in A$, we have $[a, xy] = [ax, y] + [ya, x]$, and thus

$$[A, [A, N]] \subseteq [A, [A, N]^2] \subseteq [A[A, N], [A, N]] + [[A, N]A, [A, N]].$$

Since $[A, N]^2 = ANA$ is an ideal, we have $A[A, N], [A, N]A \subseteq [A, N]^2 = ANA$, and thus $[A, [A, N]] \subseteq [ANA, [A, N]]$. The converse of this inclusion is clear.

Since $[A, N] \subseteq ANA$, we have $[ANA, [A, N]] \subseteq [ANA, ANA]$. Conversely, using again that $[a, xy] = [ax, y] + [ya, x]$ for $a, x, y \in A$, we have

$$[ANA, ANA] = [[A, N]^2, [A, N]^2] \subseteq [[A, N]^3, [A, N]] \subseteq [ANA, [A, N]],$$

as desired. \square

Question 4.5. Is every nilpotent element in a C^* -algebra a finite sum of square-zero elements?

Robert showed in [Rob16, Lemma 2.1] that every nilpotent element in a C^* -algebra A belongs to $[A, A]$. Therefore, a positive answer to Question 4.1 entails a positive answer to Question 4.5.

We also recover the following result, which is implicit in [Rob16].

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then $\overline{[[A, A], [A, A]]} = \overline{[A, A]}$.*

Proof. Let N denote the subspace generated by the square-zero elements in A . We have $\overline{N} = \overline{[A, A]}$; see [AEV⁺16, Proposition 2.2], [Rob16, Corollary 2.3]. Using this at the first and last step, and using Theorem 4.2 at the second step, we get

$$\overline{[[A, A], [A, A]]} = \overline{[N, N]} = \overline{N} = \overline{[A, A]},$$

as desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [AEV⁺16] J. ALAMINOS, J. EXTREMERA, A. R. VILLENA, M. BREŠAR, and V. ŠPENKO, Commutators and square-zero elements in Banach algebras, *Q. J. Math.* **67** (2016), 1–13.
- [Bax65] W. E. BAXTER, Concerning the commutator subgroup of a ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 803–805.
- [Bla06] B. BLACKADAR, *Operator algebras, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences* **122**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III.
- [BKS08] M. BREŠAR, E. KISSIN, and V. S. SHULMAN, Lie ideals: from pure algebra to C^* -algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **623** (2008), 73–121.
- [CGT19] C. CHOI, E. GARDELLA, and H. THIEL, Rigidity results for L^p -operator algebras and applications, preprint (arXiv:1909.03612 [math.OA]), 2019.
- [CP79] J. CUNTZ and G. K. PEDERSEN, Equivalence and traces on C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **33** (1979), 135–164.
- [DW79] R. S. DORAN and J. WICHMANN, *Approximate identities and factorization in Banach modules, Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **768**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.
- [Gar21] E. GARDELLA, A modern look at algebras of operators on L^p -spaces, *Expo. Math.* **39** (2021), 420–453.
- [GKT23] E. GARDELLA, K. KITAMURA, and H. THIEL, Semiprime ideals in C^* -algebras, in preparation, 2023.
- [GL17] E. GARDELLA and M. LUPINI, Representations of étale groupoids on L^p -spaces, *Adv. Math.* **318** (2017), 233–278.
- [GT15] E. GARDELLA and H. THIEL, Group algebras acting on L^p -spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **21** (2015), 1310–1343.
- [GT19] E. GARDELLA and H. THIEL, Representations of p -convolution algebras on L^q -spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371** (2019), 2207–2236.
- [GT22] E. GARDELLA and H. THIEL, Isomorphisms of algebras of convolution operators, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **55** (2022), 1433–1471.
- [GT23a] E. GARDELLA and H. THIEL, Rings and C^* -algebras generated by commutators, preprint (arXiv:2301.05958 [math.RA]), 2023.
- [GT23b] E. GARDELLA and H. THIEL, Zero-product balanced algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **670** (2023), 121–153.
- [GT23c] E. GARDELLA and H. THIEL, The zero-product structure of rings and C^* -algebras, in preparation, 2023.
- [Her55] I. N. HERSTEIN, On the Lie and Jordan rings of a simple associative ring, *Amer. J. Math.* **77** (1955), 279–285.

- [Her69] I. N. HERSTEIN, *Topics in ring theory*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1969.
- [Her70] I. N. HERSTEIN, On the Lie structure of an associative ring, *J. Algebra* **14** (1970), 561–571.
- [Lam01] T. Y. LAM, *A first course in noncommutative rings*, second ed., *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **131**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [LM72] C. LANSKI and S. MONTGOMERY, Lie structure of prime rings of characteristic 2, *Pacific J. Math.* **42** (1972), 117–136.
- [Lee22a] T.-K. LEE, Additive subgroups generated by noncommutative polynomials, *Monatsh. Math.* **199** (2022), 149–165.
- [Lee22b] T.-K. LEE, On higher commutators of rings, *J. Algebra Appl.* **21** (2022), Paper No. 2250118, 6.
- [MM98] L. W. MARCOUX and G. J. MURPHY, Unitarily-invariant linear spaces in C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), 3597–3605.
- [Mie81] C. R. MIERS, Closed Lie ideals in operator algebras, *Canadian J. Math.* **33** (1981), 1271–1278.
- [Oza] N. OZAWA, Is every maximal ideal in a C^* -algebra always closed?, MathOverflow, <https://mathoverflow.net/q/270654> (version: 2017-05-25).
- [Pop02] C. POP, Finite sums of commutators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), 3039–3041.
- [Rob16] L. ROBERT, On the Lie ideals of C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **75** (2016), 387–408.

EUSEBIO GARDELLA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG, GOTHENBURG SE-412 96, SWEDEN.

Email address: `gardella@chalmers.se`

URL: `www.math.chalmers.se/~gardella`

HANNES THIEL, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG, GOTHENBURG SE-412 96, SWEDEN.

Email address: `hannes.thiel@chalmers.se`

URL: `www.hannesthiel.org`