

# Combinatorics of subgroups of Beidleman near-vector spaces

Prudence Djagba\*

Jan Hązła†

## Abstract

Combinatorial aspects of  $R$ -subgroups of finite dimensional Beidleman near-vector spaces over nearfields are studied. A characterization of  $R$ -subgroups is used to obtain the smallest possible size of a generating set of a subgroup, which is much smaller than its dimension. Furthermore, a formula for the number of  $R$ -subgroups of an  $n$ -dimensional near-vector space is developed.

## 1 Introduction

Nearfields are skewfields (also called division rings) that lack the distributive law on one side. They were first studied by Dickson [4] in 1905 and turned out to have applications to geometry and automata theory [18, 13, 3]. Almost all finite nearfields are constructed by distorting multiplication in finite fields through a construction due to Dickson. There are only seven additional exceptional examples [20]. For an overview of the subject, see books [15, 14]. Other works on nearfields include [20, 10, 19, 6, 9, 5].

In 1966 the notion of near-vector spaces over nearfields was firstly defined by Beidleman [2] using the concept of nearring modules and the left distributive law. There is an alternative notion of near-vector spaces which was defined by André in 1974 and used automorphisms in the construction, resulting in the right distributive law holding [1, 12, 16]. In this paper we focus on the former notion.

More recently, contributions to the theory of Beidleman near-vector spaces have been made in [8, 7]. In particular, a theory of subspaces and subgroups of near-vector spaces was studied and some notions like  $R$ -dimension,  $R$ -basis, seed set and seed number of an  $R$ -subgroup were introduced. Due to lack of distributivity, more anomalous behavior is possible for near-vector spaces compared to vector spaces over fields.

In particular, an  $R$ -subgroup of a near-vector space is its subset closed under vector addition and vector-scalar multiplication. As usual, an  $R$ -subgroup generated by a set of vectors is the smallest  $R$ -subgroup that contains all those vectors. One of the results by Djagba and Howell [7] was to use an explicit procedure called “Expanded Gaussian Elimination” in order to characterize  $R$ -subgroups generated by a finite set of vectors in a near-vector space. It was observed as a consequence of that result that a near-vector space  $R^n$  over a proper nearfield  $R$  can be generated by strictly less than  $n$  vectors.

In this paper we build on this observation. In particular, in Section 3 we show that  $k$  vectors in a near-vector space over finite nearfield  $R$  of size  $|R| = q$  can generate a space of exponentially larger dimension  $\frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1}$  and that this bound is tight. In Section 4 we develop an exact formula for the number of  $R$ -subgroups of a proper near-vector space. These numbers are generally exponentially smaller than in the case of true vector spaces over finite fields. Finally, in Section 5 we pose a question about the complexity of the process of generating a near-vector space from a given set of vectors.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Near fields and near-vector spaces

Our exposition of the preliminaries in this section largely follows [7], see also [15] for a more detailed presentation.

**Definition 1.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a triple such that  $(R, +)$  is a group,  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semigroup, and  $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$  for all  $a, b, c \in R$ . Then  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is called a (*left*) *nearring*.

\*AIMS Rwanda, Kigali. prudence.djagba@aims.ac.rw

†AIMS Rwanda, Kigali. jan.hazla@aims.ac.rw

Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a nearring. By distributivity it is easy to see that for all  $r \in R$  we have  $r \cdot 0 = 0$ . However, it is not true in general that  $0 \cdot r = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ . Define  $R_0 = \{r \in R : 0 \cdot r = 0\}$  to be the zero-symmetric part of  $R$ . A nearring is called *zero-symmetric* if  $R = R_0$  i.e.,  $0 \cdot r = r \cdot 0 = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ .

**Definition 2** (Nearfield). Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a zero-symmetric nearring. If in addition  $(R^*, \cdot)$  is a group, then  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is called a *(left) nearfield*.

We will call a nearfield  $R$  *proper* if it is not a skewfield, that is if there exist  $a, b, c \in R$  such that  $(a + b) \cdot c \neq a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ .

It is known that the additive group of a nearfield is abelian [10]. The assumption that  $R$  is zero-symmetric is only needed to exclude a degenerate case of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, +)$  with multiplication defined as  $a \cdot b = b$  (see Proposition 8.1 in [15]). We also quickly point out that multiplication by  $-1$  in a nearfield always commutes:

**Claim 3** (see Corollary 2.10 in [11] or Proposition 8.10 in [15]). *Let  $R$  be nearfield, then for every  $r \in R$  it holds  $(-1) \cdot x = x \cdot (-1) = -x$ .*

While in general distributivity on the right  $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot \gamma = \alpha \cdot \gamma + \beta \cdot \gamma$  fails to hold in a nearfield, there is a useful special case in which it is true:

**Claim 4.** *Let  $R$  be a nearfield,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x, y \in R$  such that  $\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y = 0$ . Then,  $\alpha \cdot x \cdot \gamma + \beta \cdot y \cdot \gamma = (\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y) \cdot \gamma = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y = 0$ , it follows  $\alpha \cdot x = -\beta \cdot y$  and  $\alpha \cdot x \cdot \gamma = -\beta \cdot y \cdot \gamma$ . Consequently,  $\alpha \cdot x \cdot \gamma + \beta \cdot y \cdot \gamma = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5** ( $R$ -Module). A triple  $(M, +, \circ)$  is called a *(right) nearring module* over a (left) nearring  $R$  if  $(M, +)$  is a group and  $\circ : M \times R \rightarrow M$  such that  $m \circ (r_1 + r_2) = m \circ r_1 + m \circ r_2$  and  $m \circ (r_1 \cdot r_2) = (m \circ r_1) \circ r_2$  for all  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  and  $m \in M$ .

We write  $M_R$  to denote that  $M$  is a (right) nearring module over a (left) nearring  $R$ .

As is usual, from now on we will use  $\cdot$  or simply concatenation for both nearring multiplication and vector-scalar multiplication. We also define the  $R$ -module  $R^n$  (for some fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) with element-wise addition and element-wise scalar multiplication  $R^n \times R \rightarrow R^n$  given by  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \cdot r = (v_1 r, v_2 r, \dots, v_n r)$ .

**Definition 6** ( $R$ -subgroup). A subset  $H$  of a nearring module  $M_R$  is called an  *$R$ -subgroup* if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $(M, +)$  and  $HR = \{hr : h \in H, r \in R\} \subseteq H$ .

**Definition 7** (Submodule). Let  $M_R$  be a nearring module.  $N$  is a submodule of  $M_R$  if  $(N, +)$  is a normal subgroup of  $(M, +)$ , and  $(m + n)r - mr \in N$  for all  $m \in M, n \in N$  and  $r \in R$ .

**Definition 8** (Near-vector space). Let  $M_R$  be a nearring and  $R$  a nearfield.  $M_R$  is called a *(Beidleman) near-vector space* if  $M_R$  is a nearring module which is a direct sum of submodules that have no proper  $R$ -subgroups. We say that a near-vector space is finite dimensional if it is such a finite direct sum.

We remark that there exists an alternative notion of *André near-vector spaces* studied in the literature [1, 12, 16]. More recently, Djagba and Howell [7] added to the theory of Beidleman near-vector spaces. Among others, they stated the following classification.

**Definition 9** ( $R$ -module isomorphism). Let  $M_R$  and  $N_R$  be two modules. A function  $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$  is a  $R$ -module isomorphism if it is a bijection that respects  $\Phi(m + n) = \Phi(m) + \Phi(n)$  and  $\Phi(mr) = \Phi(m)r$  for every  $m, n \in M$  and  $r \in R$ .

**Theorem 10** ([7, 2]). *Let  $R$  be a (left) nearfield and  $M_R$  a (right) nearring module.  $M_R$  is a finite dimensional near-vector space if and only if  $M_R$  is isomorphic to  $R^n$  for some positive integer  $n$ .*

In this paper we continue the study of the subgroup structure of finite-dimensional Beidleman near-vector spaces. Accordingly, in the following we will restrict ourselves to the canonical case of  $R^n$ .

**Definition 11** (Weight and support). Let  $u \in R^n$ . The *support* of  $u$  is defined as  $\text{supp}(u) = \{1 \leq i \leq n : u_i \neq 0\}$ . The *weight* of  $u$  is then given as  $\text{wt}(u) = |\text{supp}(u)|$ .

## 2.2 Subgroups of $R^n$

In [7],  $R$ -subgroups of finite dimensional near vector spaces have been classified using the Expanded Gaussian Elimination (EGE) algorithm. This algorithm is used to construct the smallest  $R$ -subgroup containing given finite set of vectors. (Such  $R$ -subgroup exists since any intersection of subgroups is a subgroup.)

**Definition 12.** Let  $V$  be a set of vectors. Define  $\text{gen}(V)$  to be the intersection of all  $R$ -subgroups containing  $V$ .

Let  $M_R$  be a nearring module. Let  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  be a set of vectors in  $M_R$ . Let  $T$  be an  $R$ -subgroup of  $M_R$ .

**Definition 13** (Seed set). We say that  $V$  *generates*  $T$  if  $\text{gen}(V) = T$ . In that case we say that  $V$  is a *seed set* of  $T$ . We also define the *seed number*  $\text{seed}(T)$  to be the cardinality of a smallest seed set of  $T$ .

In [9] it was proved that each  $R$ -subgroup is a direct sum of modules  $u_i R$  of a special kind:

**Theorem 14** (Theorem 5.12 in [7]). *Let  $R$  be a proper nearfield and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  be vectors in  $R^n$ . Then,  $\text{gen}(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R$ , where the  $u_i$  are rows of some matrix  $U = (u_{ij}) \in R^{\ell \times n}$  such that each of its columns has at most one non-zero entry.*

In particular, basis vectors  $u_1, \dots, u_{\ell}$  from Theorem 14 have mutually disjoint supports. Theorem 14 was proved by analyzing an explicit procedure termed ‘‘Expanded Gaussian Elimination (EGE)’’. This procedure takes  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  and outputs  $u_1, \dots, u_{\ell}$ . Later on we will make use of the following corollary:

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $R$  be a proper nearfield and  $T \subseteq R^n$ . Then,  $T$  is an  $R$ -subgroup if and only if  $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R$  for some nonzero vectors  $u_1, \dots, u_{\ell}$  with mutually disjoint supports.*

*Proof.* If  $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R$ , then it is straightforward to check that  $T$  is an  $R$ -subgroup. (Note that if  $u \in T$  and  $r \in R$ , then  $ur \in T$  due to the disjoint support property.)

Conversely, let  $T \subseteq R^n$  be an  $R$ -subgroup. If  $R$  is finite then the conclusion is obvious since we can apply Theorem 14 to  $\text{gen}(T) = T$ . Otherwise, consider the following procedure: Let  $T_0 = \text{gen}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , as long as there exists  $v_{k+1} \in T \setminus T_k$ , let  $T_{k+1} = \text{gen}(v_1, \dots, v_{k+1})$ . Clearly, this results in a sequence of  $R$ -subgroups satisfying  $T_k \subseteq T_{k+1} \subseteq T$  for every  $k$ .

We argue that this sequence terminates with  $T_k = T$  for some  $k \leq n$ , whereupon the decomposition of  $T$  follows by Theorem 14. To see this consider  $T_k$  such that the sequence has not terminated yet and apply Theorem 14 to obtain  $T_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R$  and  $T_{k+1} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell'} u'_i R$ . Since  $T_k \subseteq T_{k+1}$ , the partition of  $[n]$  formed by supports of  $u'_1, \dots, u'_{\ell'}$  must be a refinement of the partition formed by supports of  $u_1, \dots, u_{\ell}$  (in other words, for every  $1 \leq i' \leq \ell'$  there exists  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  such that  $\text{supp}(u'_{i'}) \subseteq \text{supp}(u_i)$ , and  $\bigcup_i \text{supp}(u_i) = \bigcup_{i'} \text{supp}(u'_{i'})$ ). And since  $T_{k+1} \setminus T_k \neq \emptyset$ , this refinement must be strict, in particular  $\ell < \ell' \leq n$ . By tracking  $\ell$  it follows that the process terminates in at most  $n$  steps.  $\square$

That leads to the notion of dimension of an  $R$ -subgroup:

**Definition 16.** Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R$  be an  $R$ -subgroup of  $R^n$  for a proper nearfield  $R$ . Then, we say that  $R$  has *dimension*  $\ell$  and write  $\dim R = \ell$ .

Note that the dimension of any  $R$ -subgroup of  $R^n$  is well-defined (unique) by a similar argument as in the proof of Corollary 15. Another corollary is that every  $R$ -subgroup of dimension  $\ell$  is isomorphic to  $R^{\ell}$  as a nearring:

**Corollary 17.** *Let  $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R$  be an  $R$ -subgroup of  $R^n$  and its decomposition into nonzero vectors with disjoint supports.*

*Define  $\Phi : T \rightarrow R^{\ell}$  as follows. For  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , let  $\Phi(u_i r_i) = e_i r_i$ , where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ -th standard basis vector. For general  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} v_i$ , where  $v_i \in u_i R$ , let  $\Phi(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \Phi(v_i)$ .*

*Then,  $\Phi$  is a nearring isomorphism. In particular,  $S \subseteq T$  is a seed set of  $T$  if and only if  $\Phi(S)$  is a seed set of  $R^{\ell}$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $\Phi$  is a well defined isomorphism is easy to see: It is a well-defined bijection by the direct sum property,  $\Phi(v + v') = \Phi(v) + \Phi(v')$  follows by the left distributive law, and  $\Phi(vr) = \Phi(v)r$  by disjoint supports of  $u_1, \dots, u_{\ell}$ .

It is also easy to check that  $S$  generates  $T$  if and only if  $\Phi(S)$  generates  $R^{\ell}$ .  $\square$

In his treatment of near-vector spaces [2], Beidleman defined basis and dimension for near-vector spaces and proved their basic properties. Corollary 17 implies that each  $R$ -subgroup is in fact a near-vector space. Our definition of dimension is consistent with the original one due to Beidleman. We refer the reader to [2] for the details.

*Example 18.* We will take the example of the near-vector space  $R^5$  (where  $R$  is the smallest existing nearfield of order  $3^2$ , see [12]). Let  $S$  be an  $R$ -subgroup of the form  $S = u_1R \oplus u_2R \oplus u_3R$  where  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  are the rows of matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, the isomorphism  $\Phi$  is defined as  $\sum_{i=1}^3 u_i r_i \in S \mapsto (r_1, r_2, r_5) \in R^3$ . One seed set of  $S$  is  $\{(1, 0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1, 0, 2)\}$ , and the corresponding seed set of  $R^3$  is  $\{\Phi((1, 0, 0, 1, 1)), \Phi((0, 1, 1, 0, 2))\} = \{(1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2)\}$ .

In the following we will always assume that  $R$  is a proper nearfield. We will restrict ourselves to finite dimensional near-vector spaces  $R^n$ , but we do not always assume that  $R$  is finite.

### 3 Maximum dimension generated by $k$ vectors

In [9], the seed number of  $R^n$  when  $2 \leq n \leq 9$  was determined when  $R$  is the finite nearfield of order 9. In this work we provide a general construction of smallest seed set for  $R^n$ , where  $R$  is any finite proper nearfield. To that end, we will determine the following number:

**Definition 19.** Let  $k \geq 1$  be a positive integer and  $R$  a nearfield. Define

$$\text{mdim}(k, R) = \max \left\{ n : \exists v_1, \dots, v_k \in R^n, \text{ such that } \text{gen}(v_1, \dots, v_k) = R^n \right\}.$$

That is,  $\text{mdim}(k, R)$  is the maximum dimension of  $R^n$  that can be generated by  $k$  vectors.

**Theorem 20.** For every finite proper nearfield  $R$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{mdim}(k, R) = \frac{|R|^k - 1}{|R| - 1}.$$

This result is in stark contrast to the case where  $R$  is a field, where of course  $\text{mdim}(k, R) = k$ . In order to prove Theorem 20, we start with a dual characterization of  $R$ -subgroups. For that, we will need a notion of “scalar product” in near-vector spaces.

**Definition 21** (Scalar product). Let  $x, y \in R^n$ . Define the scalar product between  $x$  and  $y$  to be  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ .

Furthermore, for  $T \subseteq R^n$  we define its *orthogonal set* as  $T^\perp = \{x \in R^n : \langle u, x \rangle = 0 \forall u \in T\}$ .

While this will be convenient notation for us, this “near scalar product” satisfies hardly any of the usual properties. For example, beware that an orthogonal set of  $T$  does not need to be an  $R$ -subgroup, even if  $T$  itself is an  $R$ -subgroup.

**Definition 22** (Simple vector). Let  $x \in R^n$ . A vector  $x$  is simple if it has weight one or two.

**Theorem 23.** Let  $T$  be a subset of  $R^n$ .  $T$  is an  $R$ -subgroup if and only if there exists a set of simple vectors  $D$  such that  $T = D^\perp$ .

*Proof.* Let us start with showing that if  $T = D^\perp$  for some set of simple vectors  $D$ , then  $T$  is an  $R$ -subgroup. For that, we need to check that  $x, y \in T, r \in R$  imply  $x + y \in T, xr \in T$ . Accordingly, let  $e \in D$ . We need to check that  $\langle e, x + y \rangle = \langle e, xr \rangle = 0$  for every  $x, y \in T$ , and  $r \in R$ . We proceed in two cases depending on the weight of  $e$ .

*Case 1:*  $\text{wt}(e) = 1$  i.e.,  $e$  can be presented as  $e = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha, 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $e_j = \alpha$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ . We have

$$\langle e, x + y \rangle = \alpha(x_j + y_j) = \alpha x_j + \alpha y_j = \langle e, x \rangle + \langle e, y \rangle = 0.$$

Also

$$\langle e, xr \rangle = \alpha(x_j r) = (\alpha x_j) r = 0.$$

*Case 2:*  $\text{wt}(e) = 2$  i.e.,  $e$  can be written as  $e = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha, 0, \dots, \beta, 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $e_j = \alpha \neq 0$  and  $e_{j'} = \beta \neq 0$  for  $j < j'$ . We have

$$\langle e, x + y \rangle = \alpha(x_j + y_j) + \beta(x_{j'} + y_{j'}) = \alpha x_j + \beta x_{j'} + \alpha y_j + \beta y_{j'} = 0.$$

Finally,

$$\langle e, xr \rangle = \alpha x_j r + \beta x_{j'} r = 0 \tag{1}$$

follows by Claim 4. Note that in the equation (1) we used the fact that  $e$  is a simple vector. Since the analysis holds for every  $e \in D$ , indeed  $x + y, xr \in T$  and  $T$  is an  $R$ -subgroup.

Conversely, let's suppose that  $T$  is an  $R$ -subgroup of  $R^n$  of dimension  $\ell$ . By Corollary 15,  $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R$  where  $u_1, \dots, u_{\ell}$  are nonzero vectors with mutually disjoint supports. Accordingly, let  $J_i = \text{supp}(u_i)$  and  $J_0 = [n] \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} J_i$ .

Let us construct set  $D$  as follows. First, for every  $j \in J_0$  put in  $D$  vector  $e$  of weight 1 such that  $e_j = 1$ . For every  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , let  $J_i = \{j_1, \dots, j_{a_i}\}$  where  $j_1 < \dots < j_{a_i}$ . We put  $a_i - 1$  vectors of weight 2 into  $D$  as follows: Let  $2 \leq b \leq a_i$ ,  $\alpha = u_{i,j_1}$  and  $\beta = u_{i,j_b}$ . Then the  $b$ -th added vector  $e$  satisfies  $e_{j_1} = 1$  and  $e_{j_b} = -\alpha\beta^{-1}$ . All in all, we obtain a simple set  $D$  and let  $T' = D^{\perp}$ .

To complete the proof, we need to show  $T = T'$ . To that end, let  $u \in \{u_1, \dots, u_{\ell}\}$  and  $e \in D$ . By construction, either  $\text{supp}(e) \cap \text{supp}(u) = \emptyset$  or  $\text{supp}(e) \subseteq \text{supp}(u)$ . In the former case, clearly  $\langle e, u \rangle = 0$ . Otherwise, recalling the notation  $\alpha = u_{j_1}$  and  $\beta = u_{j_b}$  for some  $1 \leq b \leq j_i$ , we see  $\langle e, u \rangle = \alpha - \alpha\beta^{-1}\beta = 0$ . Accordingly, we established  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{\ell}\} \subseteq T'$ . But in the first part of the proof we showed that  $T'$  is an  $R$ -subgroup, so also  $T \subseteq T'$ .

On the other hand let  $v \in T'$ . By construction of weight 1 vectors in  $D$ , for every  $j \in J_0$  it holds  $v_j = 0$ . Therefore, there is unique decomposition  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} v_i$ , where  $\text{supp}(v_i) \subseteq J_i$ . Fix  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  and recall that  $J_i = \{j_1, \dots, j_{a_i}\}$ . By construction of vectors of weight two in  $D$ , for every  $2 \leq b \leq a_i$  we have

$$v_{i,j_1} - \alpha\beta^{-1}v_{i,j_b} = v_{i,j_1} - u_{i,j_1}u_{i,j_b}^{-1}v_{i,j_b} = 0,$$

which implies

$$v_{i,j_b} = u_{i,j_b} \cdot (u_{i,j_1}^{-1}v_{i,j_1}).$$

Letting  $r = u_{i,j_1}^{-1}v_{i,j_1}$  (which does not depend on  $b$ ), we have  $v_i = u_i r \in u_i R$ . Accordingly,  $v \in \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R$  and  $T' \subseteq T$ .  $\square$

**Definition 24.** A vector  $u$  is a *left multiple* of  $v$  if there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $u_j = rv_j$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 20.* Throughout the proof, we will make use of the following construction. For  $u \in R^k$ ,  $u \neq 0$  define

$$b(u) = \min \left\{ 1 \leq i \leq k : u_i \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Then, let

$$c(k, R) = \left\{ u \in R^k : u \neq 0, u_{b(u)} = 1 \right\}$$

Let us count the size of  $c(k, R)$ . For fixed  $b$ , there are  $|R|^{k-b}$  vectors  $u$  such that  $b(u) = b$ . So,

$$|c(k, R)| = \sum_{b=1}^k |R|^{k-b} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} |R|^{\ell} = \frac{|R|^k - 1}{|R| - 1}.$$

Let  $V = (v_{ij})$  be a matrix composed of columns  $c(k, R)$  and denote the rows of  $V$  by  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ . We know that  $T = \text{gen}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is an  $R$ -subgroup of  $R^n$  where  $n = |c(k, R)|$ . By Theorem 23, there exists a set of simple vectors  $D$  such that  $T = D^\perp$ .

We shall now show that for every simple  $e \in R^n$  there exists  $v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  such that  $\langle e, v \rangle \neq 0$ . As before we proceed in two cases:

*Case 1:*  $\text{wt}(e) = 1$  i.e.,  $e$  can be presented as  $e = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha, 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $e_j = \alpha$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ . By the construction of  $c(k, R)$  every column of matrix  $V$  is non-zero, in particular its  $j^{\text{th}}$  column is also non-zero. Let  $i$  be the row such that  $v_{ij} = \beta \neq 0$ . Then,  $\langle e, v_i \rangle = \alpha\beta \neq 0$ .

*Case 2:*  $\text{wt}(e) = 2$  i.e.,  $e$  can be presented as  $e = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha, 0, \dots, \alpha', 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $e_j = \alpha \neq 0$  and  $e_{j'} = \alpha' \neq 0$  for  $j \neq j'$  (with other coordinates in  $e$  to be equal to zero except the  $j$  and  $j'$ -th coordinates). By construction of  $c(k, R)$ , for  $j \neq j'$ , column  $j$  of  $V$  is never a left multiple of column  $j'$ . It follows that for every  $\lambda \in R$  there exists  $1 \leq i \leq k$  such that  $v_{ij} \neq \lambda v_{ij'}$ . Choosing  $\lambda = -\alpha^{-1}\alpha'$  it follows  $\alpha v_{ij} + \alpha' v_{ij'} \neq 0$ , hence  $\langle e, v_i \rangle \neq 0$ .

To sum up, we showed that for every simple vector  $e \in R^n$  there exists  $v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq T$  such that  $\langle e, v \rangle \neq 0$ . This implies  $D = \emptyset$  and  $T = R^n$ . Accordingly, we showed that  $\text{mdim}(k, R) \geq |c(k, R)|$ .

We still need to justify  $\text{mdim}(k, R) \leq |c(k, R)|$ . We argue this by contradiction. Let  $V = (v_{ij})$  be any matrix with  $k$  rows and  $n$  columns such that  $n > |c(k, R)|$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  denote its rows and  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  its columns. We need to argue that  $\text{gen}(v_1, \dots, v_k) \neq R^n$ .

To that end, notice that any matrix with  $n > |c(k, R)|$  columns must have two columns being left multiples of each other, i.e.,  $c_{j'} = \lambda c_j$  for some  $j' \neq j$  and  $\lambda \in R$ . Let  $e$  be a vector such that  $e_j = -\lambda$  and  $e_{j'} = 1$ , with other coordinates zero. Clearly,  $e$  is a simple vector. Let  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then,

$$\langle e, v_i \rangle = -\lambda v_{ij} + v_{ij'} = -\lambda v_{ij} + \lambda v_{ij} = 0.$$

Let  $T = \{e\}^\perp$ . By Theorem 23,  $T$  is an  $R$ -subgroup and we just showed that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq T$ . Hence,  $\text{gen}(v_1, \dots, v_k) \subseteq T \subsetneq R^n$ , which is the contradiction that we were looking for.  $\square$

Solving the formula for  $\text{mdim}(k, R)$  with regard to  $k$ , we have:

**Corollary 25.** *Let  $T$  be  $R$ -subgroup of  $R^n$  such that  $\dim(T) = l$ . Then*

$$\text{seed}(T) = \min \left\{ k : \frac{|R|^k - 1}{|R| - 1} \geq l \right\}.$$

*In particular, for  $\ell \geq 1$ ,*

$$\log_{|R|} \ell \leq \text{seed}(T) \leq 2 + \log_{|R|} \ell.$$

*Proof.* First, assume that  $T = R^n$ . Let  $d(k, R) = \frac{|R|^k - 1}{|R| - 1}$ . By Theorem 20, if  $d(k, R) < n$ , then  $\text{seed}(T) > k$ . On the other hand, let  $k$  be such that  $n \geq d(k, R)$ . By Theorem 20, there exist  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in R^{d(k, R)}$  such that  $\text{gen}(v_1, \dots, v_k) = R^{d(k, R)}$ . Let  $v'_1, \dots, v'_k \in R^n$  be  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  restricted to their first  $n$  coordinates. It follows, e.g., from Theorem 33, that  $\text{gen}(v'_1, \dots, v'_k) = R^n$  and therefore  $\text{seed}(T) \leq k$ . Overall,  $\text{seed}(T) = \min\{k : d(k, R) \geq n\}$ , as claimed.

For any other  $R$ -subgroup  $T$  of dimension  $\ell$  the formula for  $\text{seed}(T)$  follows immediately by the reasoning above and Corollary 17. The ‘‘in particular’’ statement follows by calculation.  $\square$

Using Theorem 20, we can derive an interesting version of this result for infinite nearfields.

**Lemma 26.** *Let  $R$  be an infinite proper nearfield. There exists some vectors  $v$  and  $w$  such that  $\text{gen}(v, w) = R^n$  for any  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the construction from the proof of Theorem 20. Since  $R$  is infinite, it is easy to see that  $c(2, R)$  is infinite. It is readily checked that any matrix with two rows and columns forming a subset of  $c(2, R)$  of size  $n$  generates  $R^n$ .  $\square$

*Example 27.* [21] introduced an example of a proper infinite nearfield. Consider the field of rational functions with rational coefficients  $K = \mathbb{Q}(x)$ . Define a new multiplication in  $K$  as follows

$$\frac{g(x)}{h(x)} \circ \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = \begin{cases} \frac{g(x+d)}{h(x+d)} \cdot \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}, & \text{if } \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $d = d(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}) = \deg(p(x)) - \deg(q(x))$  is the degree of the fraction  $\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$ . Then we obtain the infinite near-field  $(K, +, \circ)$ .

## 4 Counting $R$ -subgroups

The main objective of this section is to count the number of  $R$ -subgroups of  $R^n$  of a given dimension for a proper finite nearfield  $R$ .

**Definition 28.** We will denote by  $\text{Sub}(R, \ell, n)$  the number of  $R$ -subgroups of  $R^n$  which have dimension  $\ell$ . Similarly, we will write  $\text{Sub}(R, n)$  for the number of all  $R$ -subgroups of  $R^n$ .

Corollary 25 states that every  $\ell$ -dimensional  $R$ -subgroup has a seed set of a size that is logarithmic in  $\ell$ . Since the number of all subsets of  $R^n$  of this size is not too large, this immediately implies that there are not too many  $R$ -subgroups of dimension  $\ell$ :

**Lemma 29.** *Let  $T$  be an  $R$ -subgroup of dimension  $\ell$  and  $k = \text{seed}(T)$ . Then,  $\text{Sub}(R, \ell, n) \leq \binom{|R|^n}{k}$ . In particular, for  $\ell \geq 1$ , in a setting where  $\ell$  and  $|R|$  are constant and  $n$  goes to infinity, it holds*

$$\text{Sub}(R, \ell, n) \leq \ell^n |R|^{2n} \exp(o(n)).$$

These bounds are in contrast to the case of vector spaces over finite fields. There, it is known that the number of subspaces of  $F^n$  of dimension  $\ell$  is  $|F|^{n\ell(1+o(1))}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 29.* The upper bound  $\text{Sub}(R, \ell, n) \leq \binom{|R|^n}{k}$  follows since every  $R$ -subgroup of dimension  $\ell$  has at least one seed set of size  $k$ , and there are  $\binom{|R|^n}{k}$  of such subsets in  $R^n$ . Furthermore, by Corollary 25 and standard bounds on binomial coefficients,

$$\text{Sub}(R, \ell, n) \leq \binom{|R|^n}{k} \leq (e|R|^n)^k \leq (e|R|^n)^{\log_{|R|} \ell + 2} = \ell^n |R|^{2n} \exp(o(n)). \quad \square$$

In fact, we can use the structure of  $R$ -subgroups to give an exact formula for  $\text{Sub}(R, \ell, n)$ :

**Theorem 30.** *We have*

$$\text{Sub}(R, \ell, n) = \sum_{d=0}^{n-\ell} \binom{n}{d} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n-d \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right\} (|R|-1)^{n-d-\ell}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}$  denotes the Stirling number of the second kind.

In order to prove Theorem 30, we will apply Corollary 15. But for a precise count we need to understand how much double counting occurs in that result:

**Lemma 31.** *Let  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$  be a sequences of vectors in  $R^n$  with mutually disjoint supports and let  $u'_1, \dots, u'_\ell$  be another such sequence. Then,  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u'_i R$  if and only if there exists a permutation  $\pi : [\ell] \rightarrow [\ell]$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_\ell \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $u_i = u'_{\pi(i)} r_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .*

*Proof.* In the easy direction, assume that  $u_i = u'_{\pi(i)} r_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Since  $r_i \neq 0$ , that implies  $u_i R = u'_{\pi(i)} R$  and hence

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u'_{\pi(i)} R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u'_i R.$$

Conversely, assume that there are no permutation  $\pi$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_\ell$  satisfying the stated conditions. Let  $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i R$  and  $T' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} u'_i R$ . We proceed by considering two cases.

First, assume that there exists  $\pi$  such that  $\text{supp}(u_i) = \text{supp}(u_{\pi(i)})$  for every  $i$ . Then, it follows that there exists  $i$  such that  $u_i \neq u_{\pi(i)} r$  for every  $r \in R^*$  and consequently  $u_i R \neq u_{\pi(i)} R$ . Since  $\text{supp}(u_i) = \text{supp}(u'_{\pi(i)})$ , that means  $u_i \in T \setminus T'$  and  $T \neq T'$ .

On the other hand, assume that there is no permutation  $\pi$  such that  $u_i = u'_{\pi(i)}$  for every  $i$ . Then, there exist  $i_1, i_2$  such that  $\text{supp}(u_{i_1}) \cap \text{supp}(u'_{i_2}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{supp}(u_{i_1}) \neq \text{supp}(u'_{i_2})$ . Let  $u = u_{i_1}$  and  $u' = u'_{i_2}$ . Assume without loss of generality that there exist  $j' \in \text{supp}(u') \setminus \text{supp}(u)$  and  $j \in \text{supp}(u) \cap \text{supp}(u')$ . Let  $\alpha = u'_j$  and  $\beta = u_j$ . Then, for every  $v \in T'$  it holds  $v_j = \alpha \beta^{-1} v_{j'}$ . On the other hand, for every  $r, r' \in R$  there exists  $v \in T$  such that  $v_j = r$  and  $v_{j'} = r'$ . Altogether again it follows that  $T \neq T'$ .  $\square$

*Remark 32.* Let  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$  be a sequence of vectors with nonzero disjoint supports and let  $U$  be a matrix with rows  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$ . The matrices formed this way are those that can be returned by the EGE algorithm from [7]. By Lemma 31, each  $R$ -subgroup of dimension  $\ell$  corresponds to  $\ell!(|R| - 1)^\ell$  such matrices.

*Proof of Theorem 30.* Fix  $J_0 \subseteq [n]$  and let  $d = |J_0|$ . The number of nonzero sequences  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$  with mutually disjoint supports such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \text{supp}(u_i) = [n] \setminus J_0$  is equal to  $\binom{n-d}{\ell} \ell!(|R|-1)^{n-d}$ , since there are  $\binom{n-d}{\ell} \ell!$  ways to choose the supports of  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$  and  $(|R| - 1)^{n-d}$  ways to assign values of nonzero coordinates for every fixed choice of supports.

Summing over  $J_0$ , the total number of vector sequences with mutually disjoint supports is

$$\sum_{d=0}^{n-\ell} \binom{n}{d} \binom{n-d}{\ell} \ell!(|R|-1)^{n-d}.$$

By Lemma 31, each subgroup is generated by  $\ell!(|R| - 1)^\ell$  different sequences. Dividing this out, we obtain (2).  $\square$

We have the following table for  $\text{Sub}(R, n)$  for some known nearfields:

$\text{Sub}(R, n) \mid n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$R = 3^2$	1	2	12	120	1424	19488	307904	5539712
$R = 4^3$	1	2	67	4355	295234	21036803	1625419909	140823067772
$R = 5^4$	1	2	628	393128	247268752	156500388128	100264147266880	65739252669562496

These sequences appear to be known in OEIS (On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences) as "Dowling numbers", that is  $\text{Sub}(R, n)$  is the "Dowling sequence with  $b = |R| - 1$ " see [17].

The following table contains the values of  $\text{Sub}(R, l, n)$  for  $|R| = 3^2$  and for  $(l, n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8\}^2$ .

$n \mid k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	1								
1	1	1							
2	1	10	1						
3	1	91	27	1					
4	1	820	550	52	1				
5	1	7381	10170	1850	85	1			
6	1	66430	180271	56420	4655	126	1		
7	1	597871	3131037	1590771	210035	9821	175	1	
8	1	5380840	53825500	42900312	8521926	612696	18396	232	1

The following table contains the values of  $\text{Sub}(R, l, n)$  for  $|R| = 4^3$  and for  $(l, n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}^2$ .



$n \mid k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	1							
1	1	1						
2	1	65	1					
3	1	4161	192	1				
4	1	266305	28545	382	1			
5	1	17043521	3891520	101125	635	1		
6	1	1090785345	511266561	23105270	261780	951	1	
7	1	69810262081	66021638592	4901267861	89335610	562296	1330	1

The following table contains the values of  $\text{Sub}(R, l, n)$  for  $|R| = 5^4$  and for  $(l, n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}^2$ .

$n \mid k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	1						
1	1	1					
2	1	626	1				
3	1	391251	1875	1			
4	1	244531876	2733126	3748	1		
5	1	152832422501	3658206250	9753130	6245	1	
6	1	95520264063126	4721932028751	21925818740	25346895	9366	1

## 5 Concluding comments

In this article, we have shown a new construction of seed sets with the corresponding seed number. The novelty is based on the construction of the set  $c(k, R)$  that generate the maximal dimension of  $R$ -subgroups. Also we came up with a new characterization of  $R$ -subgroups of  $R^n$  and use it in the counting technique of all possible  $R$ -subgroups. We conclude with an open question about the complexity of generating an  $R$ -subgroup from a set of vectors in  $R^n$ .

Let  $LC_0(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) := \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  and for  $n \geq 0$ , let  $LC_{n+1}$  be the set of all linear combinations of elements in  $LC_n(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k)$ , i.e.

$$LC_{n+1}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w_i \lambda_i \mid \ell \geq 0, w_i \in LC_n, \lambda_i \in R \forall 1 \leq i \leq \ell \right\}.$$

**Theorem 33** (Theorem 5.2 in [7]). *Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \in R^n$ . We have*

$$\text{gen}(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} LC_i(v_1, \dots, v_k).$$

It is an interesting question how many terms are really necessary in the sum above. In other words, what is the smallest  $i$  for which  $LC_i(v_1, \dots, v_k) = LC_{i+1}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ ? It is clear from Theorem 20, as well as from the previous work [7] that sometimes  $LC_1 \neq LC_2$ .

**Definition 34.** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in R^n$ . We define the index of  $R$ -linearity of  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in R^n$  to be

$$I(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \min\{p \in \mathbb{N} : LC_p(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \text{gen}(v_1, \dots, v_k)\}.$$

*Example 35.* Taking  $n = 3$ , it is easy to check that for a proper nearfield taking  $v_1 = (1, 0, 1)$  and  $v_2 = (1, 1, 0)$  in  $R^3$  we have  $\text{gen}(v_1, v_2) = R^3$ . In particular,  $LC_2(v_1, v_2) = R^3 \neq LC_1(v_1, v_2)$ . Hence  $I(v_1, v_2) = 2$ .

Let  $R$  be a finite nearfield of size  $q$ . A rough upper bound on the size of  $LC_2(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is  $q^{q^k}$ . Since

$$q^k > \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1} = \text{mdim}(k, R),$$

this is more than the size of the largest possible near-vector space generated by  $k$  vectors, that is  $q^{\text{mdim}(k, R)}$ . This suggests the following open question:

**Question 36.** *Does there exist any example of a near-vector space where  $I(v_1, \dots, v_k) > 2$  for some  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ ?*

More generally, can we find an explicit expression, or at least some nontrivial bounds for  $I(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ ?

**Acknowledgments** We thank Dominic Bunnett for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation German research chair funding and the associated DAAD project No. 57610033 during a post-doctoral visit fellowship at AIMS Rwanda.

## References

- [1] Johannes André. Lineare algebra über Fastkörpern. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 136(4):295–313, 1974.
- [2] James Clark Beidleman. *On near-rings and near-ring modules*. PhD thesis, Pennsylvania State University, 1964.
- [3] Tim Boykett and Gerhard Wendt.  $j_2$  radical in automata nearrings. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 25(05):585–595, 2014.
- [4] Leonard Eugene Dickson. On finite algebras. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, pages 358–393, 1905.
- [5] P Djagba. On the center of a finite Dickson nearfield. arXiv preprint:2003.08306, 2020.
- [6] P Djagba. Construction of a finite Dickson nearfield. arXiv preprint:2305.06653, 2023.
- [7] P Djagba and K-T Howell. The subspace structure of finite dimensional Beidleman near-vector spaces. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 68(11):2316–2336, 2020.
- [8] Prudence Djagba. *Contributions to the theory of Beidleman near-vector spaces*. PhD thesis, Stellenbosch University, 2019.
- [9] Prudence Djagba. On the generalized distributive set of a finite nearfield. *Journal of Algebra*, 542:130–161, 2020.
- [10] Erich Ellers and Helmut Karzel. Endliche Inzidenzgruppen. In *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, volume 27, pages 250–264, 1964.
- [11] Ehab A Hussein and Sinan O Alsalihi. Some new results on near fields. *Tikrit Journal of Pure Science*, 27(5):101–104, 2022.
- [12] Howell K.-T. *Contributions to the theory of near-vector spaces*. PhD thesis, Free State University, 2008.
- [13] Helmut Karzel and Günter Kist. Some applications of nearfields. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, 23(1):129–139, 1980.
- [14] John DP Meldrum. *Near-rings and their links with groups*. Number 134. Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, 1985.
- [15] Günter Pilz. *Near-rings: the theory and its applications*, volume 23 of *Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1983.
- [16] Sogo Pierre Sanon. On counting subspaces of near-vector spaces. *Discrete Mathematics*, 343(3):111739, 2020.
- [17] The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences. <https://oeis.org/A003580>.
- [18] Oswald Veblen and Joseph H Maclagan-Wedderburn. Non-Desarguesian and non-Pascalian geometries. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(3):379–388, 1907.
- [19] H Wähling. *Theorie der Fastkörper*. Thales Verlag, 1987.
- [20] Hans Zassenhaus. über endliche Fastkörper. In *Abhandlungen aus dem mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, volume 11, pages 187–220, 1935.
- [21] Joseph L Zemmer. Near-fields, planar and non-planar. *The Mathematics Student*, 32:145–150, 1964.