Cascaded encoders for fine-tuning ASR models on overlapped speech

Richard Rose, Oscar Chang, and Olivier Siohan

Google Inc., New York

{rickrose, oscarchang, siohan}@google.com

Abstract

Multi-talker automatic speech recognition (MT-ASR) has been shown to improve ASR performance on speech containing overlapping utterances from more than one speaker. While MT-ASR models have typically been trained from scratch using simulated overlapping speech datasets, there is generally an underlying goal that these models also obtain state of the art performance on single speaker utterances as well. This implies that they must be competitive with the best available fine-tuned speech models that have been trained using massive datasets collected from a wide variety of task domains. This paper presents an MT-ASR model formed by combining a well-trained foundation model with a multi-talker mask model in a cascaded RNN-T encoder configuration. Experimental results show that the cascade configuration provides improved WER on overlapping speech utterances with respect to a baseline multi-talker model with minimal impact on the performance achievable by the foundation model on non-overlapping utterances.

Index Terms: multi-talker speech recognition

1. Introduction

It is well known that overlapping speech exists in utterances arising from human-human interaction [1, 2]. A study of utterances in a meetings domain found that a wide range of behaviors relating to overlapping speech are present [1]. In a study of interactions in a call center domain, roughly 12% of the word occurrences in client–operator interactions were found to correspond to overlapping speech [2]. There has been a great deal of recent work on multi-talker automatic speech recognition (MT-ASR) [3, 4, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9], which attempts to improve speech recognition from multiple overlapping speakers by decoding transcriptions from each speaker.

This paper focuses on a set of multi-talker approaches that augment the traditional single label audio / audio-visual encoder with a mask encoder [2, 7, 8]. Training involves a two pass procedure where an overlapping speech utterance is aligned with each of multiple transcriptions associated with the overlapping speakers. Most of the E2E multi-talker techniques have been applied to the case where there are two overlapping speakers; however, there have been more recent efforts to generalize to more widely varying overlapping speech scenarios [10, 4, 11, 9, 12]. All of these models have been trained from scratch using simulated or actual overlapping speech datasets. However, in most scenarios, there is an underlying goal that these models obtain state of the art performance on single speaker utterances as well as overlapping speech utterances. This implies that they must be competitive with the best available fine-tuned single speaker models on single speaker utterances.

Foundation models (FMs) for ASR are large models trained on a broad range of data sources at scale that can be applied to a wide range of tasks [13, 14]. They have in practice demonstrated strong generalization and knowledge transfer capabilities. There are many examples of training these models in self-supervised [15] and supervised modes [16]. In supervised training, multiple tasks are unified by training of the model on labeled data from these tasks [16]. Existing work has mainly focused on using supervised in-domain data to fine-tune FMs for target tasks [17]. Techniques such as residual adapters [18] have been applied to efficiently adapting FMs to a given target task.

Given the scale of FMs models and their ability to generalize well across a variety of generally single speaker domains, it makes sense to consider scenarios where a FM, or any well trained single speaker model, is augmented and fine-tuned to have a multi-talker capability. Towards this end, there are two major contributions made by the work described in this paper. The first is an approach for augmenting and fine-tuning a well trained single label encoder RNN-T ASR model to perform MT-ASR decoding on overlapping utterances. This is done by combining an audio encoder trained from a large dataset of single speaker utterances with a multi-talker mask encoder in a cascaded RNN-T encoder configuration. The second contribution is a mechanism for detecting overlapping speech through the use of a frame-based multi-talker speech activity detector (MT-SAD). It will be shown that a multi-talker model that decodes text from overlapped speech also contains information about which of multiple speakers is speaking at a given time.

Cascaded encoder configurations in E2E RNN-T models have been used as an effective approach for unifying models that perform different tasks [19, 20]. For example, combining streaming and non-streaming audio encoders in a cascade configuration was found to enhance the performance of the non-streaming model without sacrificing the performance of the streaming model [19]. In another example, a cascade combination of audio-only and audio-visual encoders was found to improve performance on audio-visual utterances without sacrificing performance on the audio-only task [20]. Section 2 of this paper introduces an approach for combining a large pre-trained audio encoder and multi-talker mask encoder in a cascade configuration. It will be shown in Section 5 that the resulting model improves the performance of multi-talker ASR on overlapped utterances with minimal impact on the performance of the more well trained audio encoder on single speaker utterances.

Even when there is a significant amount of overlapping speech in the input utterances, there is still an expectation that many utterances that are input to an MT-ASR system will be single speaker utterances. Decoding these utterances with a two-pass MT-ASR decoder is both less efficient and likely to provide higher WER than a SoTA single channel ASR decoder. It is inefficient because there are multiple decoding passes, one for each expected overlapping utterance, instead of a single decoding pass. The WER is likely to be higher, at least for the mask-based approach described in Section 2, because of the possibility of erroneously decoding text for multiple speakers even when speech from only a single speaker is present. In order to reduce the errors made in multi-talker decoding on single speaker utterances, this paper presents a mechanism for detecting overlapping speech. A multi-talker speech activity detector (MT-SAD) is described that detects frame based speech activity from each of multiple overlapping speakers. The MT-SAD system described in Section 3 detects the occurrence of multiple overlapping speakers so that MT-ASR decoding is performed only when overlapped speech is detected in an utterance.

2. Cascaded encoder multi-talker models

This section introduces the cascaded encoder approach to multitalker (MT) modeling. First, the audio-only mask-based MT models presented in [2, 7] and the cascaded encoder model configuration presented in [19, 20] are described. Second, the cascaded encoder implementation of the mask-based multi-talker model is motivated and described.

2.1. MT-Baseline: Mask based multi-talker model

A simplified block diagram of the audio-only MT model from [2] is shown in Figure 1. It was shown that the single label encoder RNN-T can be extended to the multi-talker case by adding a masking model as shown in the figure. It is assumed in the figure that the audio input can contain up to M overlapping utterances. In training, it is assumed that a separate reference label sequence exists for each of the M overlapping utterances from distinct speakers. Multi-talker training is performed by separately aligning the overlapped audio frames to each of the M label sequences. A unique channel sequence index, $m = 1, \ldots, M$, is appended to the encoded audio features for each label sequence before the encoded audio is input to the mask model. This serves to disambiguate speech associated with label sequence m from competing speech. Separate RNN-T losses are computed for each of the M label sequences, and the overall RNN-T loss is the sum of channel specific RNN-T losses.



Figure 1: MT-Baseline: Multi-talker (MT) RNN-T model.

2.2. Cascaded encoder E2E RNN-T

An example of a cascaded connection of audio encoders from [19] is shown in Figure 2a. In this case, the audio encoder in an RNN-T model was replaced by a cascade connection of streaming and non-causal encoders. The input features are first passed to a streaming encoder, which transforms the features to a higher-level representation. The non-causal encoder, which is connected in cascade to the causal encoder, receives the output of the streaming encoder as input. Both the causal and the non-causal encoders are directly connected to a shared RNN-T decoder. The total loss is computed as the weighted sum of the RNN-T losses, \mathcal{L}_s for the streaming encoder and \mathcal{L}_n for the non-causal encoder,

$$\mathcal{L}_t = \lambda \mathcal{L}_s + (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{L}_n. \tag{1}$$

This is implemented by randomly sampling in a mini-batch from the streaming / non-streaming encoder outputs with a sampling rate of λ .



a) Cascaded streaming/non-causal encoders. b) Cascaded audio/multi-talker encoders

Figure 2: a) E2E RNN-T model with cascaded streaming and non-causal encoders. b) Cascaded audio and mask encoders.

2.3. MT-Cascade: Cascaded encoder multi-talker model

A simplified block diagram of the multi-talker (MT) model cascade configuration is shown in Figure 2b. There are two advantages of this configuration over the MT-Baseline serial configuration of audio encoder and mask encoder shown in Figure 1. First, the distribution of higher-level acoustic features can be learned for both single label and multi-label encoder RNN-T models. The total loss for the cascade model is the sum of single label loss through the audio encoder, \mathcal{L}_a , and multiple label loss. For the case of M = 2 label sequences, this is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_t = \lambda \mathcal{L}_a + (1 - \lambda)(\mathcal{L}_{m1} + \mathcal{L}_{m2}), \qquad (2)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{m1} and \mathcal{L}_{m2} correspond to the channel specific RNN-T losses described in Section 2.1. This is implemented in practice by randomly sampling overlapping utterances from a training set of overlapping and single speaker utterances with a sampling rate of λ . A second advantage is that the mask encoder can be trained directly on the output of an audio encoder that has been pre-trained on data from a wide range of domains instead of being trained strictly from a smaller overlapping speech dataset.

3. Multi-talker speech activity detection

This section describes the use of a mask encoder for detecting speech activity associated with individual speakers from overlapping utterances. This is a reasonable goal if one considers that if the mask encoder in a multi-talker model decodes text from overlapped speech, it should also contain information about whether or not one of multiple overlapping speakers is speaking at a given time. The approach for multi-talker speech activity detection (MT-SAD) that is implemented here is inspired by the classifier probe presented in [21]. In that work, an analysis of information in pre-trained networks is performed by inserting classifiers into intermediate layers of a network. The goal was to measure the level of separability on a task that can be attained by the network features. In [21] they investigated, for example, whether there might be information about cats in a layer of a DNN based image classifier. The work here investigates whether there might be information about speaking activity in an E2E RNN-T multi-talker mask encoder.

A block diagram of the multi-talker speech activity detector (MT-SAD) is shown in Figure 3. A single layer linear network, or "probe", is inserted into a layer of a pre-trained conformerbased mask encoder. The inputs to the probe are the 512 dimensional output activations from the conformer layer. The outputs of the probe are estimates of the probability of speaker activity for speaker m = 1, ..., M in a given frame. The probe is trained separate from the rest of the network with cross entropy loss using simulated overlapping utterances. The framebased reference speaker activity labels are obtained from prior knowledge of overlap intervals in simulated overlapping speech utterances.



Figure 3: Speech activity detection for overlapping speech utterances. Audio and mask encoders are pre-trained, and remain fixed during training of probe model.

It will be shown in Section 5 that the speaker activity probability estimates obtained during inference from the MT-SAD model can be used in overlapping speech detection. This enables the scenario described in Section 1 where MT-ASR is only performed on utterances that have been identified as containing overlapping speech and single label decoding is performed on utterances identified as single speaker.

4. Experimental Study

This section describes an experimental study for evaluating the performance of the cascade configured MT-ASR models presented in Section 2 and the MT-SAD system described in Section 3. It is assumed here that there is a maximum of two overlapping speakers in the overlapped utterances. The multi-talker experiments described in Section 5 are performed using the simulated overlapping speech training and test sets described in Section 4.1. A summary of the model parameterizations is given in Section 4.2.

4.1. Datasets

A training set of simulated two-speaker overlapped utterances was created from a corpus of single speaker YouTube utterances. The methodology behind the collection of the YouTube corpus can be found in [22, 23, 24, 7]. The confidence island methodology in [22] facilitated the use of user provided captions to be used as reference labels in training. The overlapped audio waveforms were created by taking two of the above single speaker utterances, offsetting one in time with respect to the other, and adding the two audio waveforms. The emphasis in this work was to maintain accuracy for the multi-talker models on both overlapping speech as well as single speaker utterances.

The offset used in shifting the audio signals was chosen to provide overlap intervals randomly selected with a uniform distribution between 0.5 and 4.0 seconds. Each overlapped speech utterance was stored with two reference transcriptions and overlap interval start and end times. The resulting training corpus contains 15k hours of training data. Half of the training set consists of overlapping speech utterances and half consists of single speaker utterances. The methodology for collecting this simulated overlapping speech dataset is similar in some respects to the simulated overlapping speech dataset used for the open source LibriCSS dataset [25]. However, that dataset was not used here due to fact that the number of hours and the number of speakers used here was several orders of magnitude larger. A separate 150K hour non-overlapping training set, also collected using the pipeline described above, was used for training a "well-trained" single speaker ASR model. While this training scenario does not represent the broad range of data sources associated with foundation model training, it provides the opportunity to measure the ability of the cascaded encoder configuration to match the best performance on single speaker utterances that can be obtained by a well-trained single label model.

Overlapped and single speaker test sets were obtained from human transcribed utterances also taken from YouTube videos. The process of forming overlapped utterances is the same as described above for the training set. The test sets all contain 3601 utterances with the overlapped test utterances ranging in length from 2.7 to 14.7 seconds, and the single speaker test utterances ranging in length from 2.5 to 8.0 seconds.

The work presented in this paper abides by Google's AI Principles [26]. By improving the robustness of speech recognition systems, we hope to increase the reach of ASR technology to a larger population of users, and use it to develop assistive technology. The data and models developed in this work are restricted to a small group of researchers working on this project and are handled in compliance with the European Union General Data Protection Regulation [27].

4.2. Model Parameterization

Both the audio and mask encoders in all systems are conformer models [28]. The input audio features are derived from 80 dimensional mel-warped log filter-bank energies updated at 10 millisecond intervals. These are concatenated to form 240 dimensional stacked input vectors with a frame rate of 33.3 frames per second. The audio encoder consists of 17 conformer layers with internal model dimension of 512. The mask encoder consists of 8 layers with model dimension of 512. A cosine learning schedule was used with a 30K step warm-up and initial learning rate of 5×10^{-4} . The label encoders for all models use a two-layer bidirectional LSTM with hidden dimension of 2048. A one-layer MLP with hidden dimension of 640 is used for the joint network.

For the MT-Baseline model described in Section 2.1 and the MT-Cascade-Scratch model, all model parameters are trained simultaneously from scratch and no parameters are pre-trained. For the MT-Cascade-Pretrained and the MT-Conditioned models described in Sections 2.3 and 3 respectively, the audio encoder is pre-trained from the 150 thousand hour training set described in Section 4.1.

5. Experimental Results

This section gives the experimental results for single-talker (ST) and MT-ASR models that are trained and evaluated on the datasets described in Section 4. Table 1 provides an illustration of the issues introduced in Section 1 that the paper is attempting to resolve. It displays the performance computed for ST and MT systems on the overlapped (Overlap) and single speaker () test sets. First, WERs are compared for ST models trained on the 150K hour and 15K hour datasets (SingleTalker-150K and SingleTalker-15K, respectively). The smaller training set in this case results in a 5 percent increase in WER on single speaker utterances. Comparing WERs in Table 1 on the Overlap test set, it is clear that there is a large increase in WER when either ST models are evaluated on overlapping speech. This behavior for ST decoding on overlapped speech is consistent with results observed elsewhere [2, 4, 7]. The MT-Baseline system obtains a far lower WER on the Overlap set than the ST systems. However, the WER obtained for MT-Baseline on SingleSpkr is over 20 percent higher than that obtained by SingleTalker-150K.

Table 1: WERs for single-talker and MT models on singlespeaker (SingleSpkr) and overlapped (Overlap) test sets.

WER for SingleTalker and MultiTalker Models			
Model	Test Sets		
	SingleSpkr	Overlap	
SingleTalker-150K	16.4	48.1	
SingleTalker-15K	17.2	54.1	
MT-Baseline	20.4	23.1	

Table 2 shows the impact of the cascaded encoder implementation of the MT model. Rows two and three show WERs for the MT cascade when all parameters are trained from scratch (MT-Cascade-Scratch) and the MT cascade initialized with the pre-trained audio encoder from SingleTalker-150K (MT-Cascade-Pretrained). MT-Cascade-Scratch shows a small improvement in WER on Overlap compared to MT-Baseline, and an 11 percent WER reduction on SingleSpkr. MT-Cascade-Pretrained shows a 9 percent WER reduction on Overlap, and almost identical WER compared to the best ST model, SingleTalker-150K, on the SingleSpkr set.

Table 2: WERs for MT-Baseline and MT-Cascade models

WER for MultiTalker Models				
Model	Test Sets			
	SingleSpkr	Overlap	Ave.	
MT-Baseline	20.4	23.1	21.7	
MT-Cascade-Scratch	17.9	22.6	20.2	
MT-Cascade-Pretrained	16.5	21.3	18.9	
MT-Cascade-Conditioned	-	-	19.0	

The accuracy of the frame based speech activity scores generated by the MT-SAD system described in Section 3 was also evaluated. Inference is run twice through the MT-SAD, once for each setting of the channel select index in Figure 3 to generate frame-based speaker activity estimates for each of the two decoding channels. As a result, there are separate SAD labels for each of the possibly overlapping speakers in an utterance. The average frame classification accuracy on the combined Overlap and SingleSpkr test sets was 91 percent. While this task is far less demanding than other speech activity detection tasks [29], it is important to note that this performance is obtained in the context of heavily overlapped speech.

A scenario was proposed in Section 3 where multi-talker decoding was conditioned on the probability of there being

overlapping speech in the utterance. In this scenario, MT-SAD produces estimates of the probability that there is overlapping speech in an utterance. These estimates are derived from the frequency of co-occurrence of frames where active speech is found by the MT-SAD for both of M = 2 channels. Generating these overlapping speech probability estimates during recognition does not require decoding. It simply requires inference through the MT probe shown in Figure 3. So it is a relatively efficient means for determining whether there is a need to perform MT-ASR decoding rather than single-talker decoding.



Figure 4: Scatter plot showing the correlation between estimated and actual speaker overlap.

Figure 4 shows a scatter plot comparing the estimated length of speaker overlap intervals, shown along the horizontal axis, with prior knowledge of speaker overlap shown along the vertical axis. It provides anecdotal evidence showing that the overlap estimates are a reasonably good predictor of the actual length of speaker overlap. The fourth row of Table 2 (MT-Cascade-Conditioned) shows the average SingleSpkr/Overlap WER obtained under the following scenario. Two-pass multitalker decoding is performed when the estimated overlapping speech probability exceeds a threshold of 0.5 secs. and ST decoding is performed otherwise. While there is a small percentage of overlapped utterances being misclassified as SingleSpkr, it is clear that this is a potential scenario for augmenting ST decoding with a multi-talker capability.

6. Summary and Conclusions

Two major developments are presented in this paper. First, a cascaded RNN-T encoder approach is presented for augmenting a well trained single-talker RNN-T ASR model to perform MT-ASR decoding on overlapping utterances. It was shown that the cascade configuration resulted in a 10 percent reduction in WER on overlapping speech and negligible increase in WER on single speaker utterances relative to a single-talker ASR system trained from an order of magnitude more data. Second, an approach for efficient detection of frame-based speaker activity from overlapping speech utterances is presented. This facilitated the implementation of a "multi-talker-conditioned" decoding scenario that performed MT decoding only when overlapping speech was likely, and otherwise relied on a more efficient single-talker decoder.

7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Avner May and Hank Liao for work on evaluation tools, Basi Garcia for help with data generation, and Otavio Braga for work on input generator for RNN-T.

8. References

- Ö. Çetin and E. Shriberg, "Analysis of overlaps in meetings by dialog factors, hot spots, speakers, and collection site: insights for automatic speech recognition," in *InterSpeech*, 2006.
- [2] Anshuman Tripathi, Han Lu, and Hasim Sak, "End-to-end multitalker overlapping speech recognition," in *ICASSP 2020*, pp. 6129–6133.
- [3] Dong Yu, Morten Kolbæk, Zheng-Hua Tan, and Jesper Jensen, "Permutation invariant training of deep models for speakerindependent multi-talker speech separation," in *ICASSP 2017*, pp. 241–245.
- [4] Naoyuki Kanda, Xuankai Chang, Yashesh Gaur, Xiaofei Wang, Zhong Meng, Zhuo Chen, and Takuya Yoshioka, "Investigation of end-to-end speaker-attributed ASR for continuous multi-talker recordings," in *IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop* (SLT), 2021, pp. 809–816.
- [5] X. Chang, W. Zhang, Y. Qian, J. Le Roux, and S. Watanabe, "End-to-end multi-speaker speech recognition with transformer," in *ICASSP 2020*.
- [6] Liang Lu, Naoyuki Kanda, Jinyu Li, and Yifan Gong, "Streaming end-to-end multi-talker speech recognition," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, April 2021.
- [7] Richard Rose, Olivier Siohan, Anshuman Tripathi, and Otavio Braga, "End-to-end audio-visual speech recognition for overlapping speech," in *InterSpeech*, 2021.
- [8] Richard Rose and Olivier Siohan, "End-to-end multi-talker audiovisual ASR using an active speaker attention module," in *Inter-Speech*, 2022.
- [9] Ilya Sklyar, Anna Piunova, and Christian Osendorfer, "Separator-Transducer-Segmenter: Streaming Recognition and Segmentation of Multi-party Speech," in *Interspeech 2022*, pp. 4451–4455.
- [10] Desh Raj, Liang Lu, Zhuo Chen, Yashesh Gaur, and Jinyu Li, "Continuous streaming multi-talker ASR with dual-path transducers," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2109.08555, 2021.
- [11] Ilya Sklyar, Anna Piunova, Xianrui Zheng, and Yulan Liu, "Multiturn RNN-T for streaming recognition of multi-party speech," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2112.10200, 2021.
- [12] Thilo von Neumann, Keisuke Kinoshita, Christoph Boeddeker, Marc Delcroix, and Reinhold Haeb-Umbach, "Graph-PIT: Generalized permutation invariant training for continuous separation of arbitrary numbers of speakers," arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.14446, 2021.
- [13] Yu Zhang et al, "Google USM: Scaling automatic speech recognition beyond 100 languages," arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01037, 2023.
- [14] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, T. Xu, G. Brockman, C. McLeavey, and I. Sutskever, "Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01037*, 2023.
- [15] Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli, "wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations," in *NeurIPS 2020*, December, 2020.
- [16] Bo Li, Ruoming Pang, Tara N. Sainath, Anmol Gulati, Yu Zhang, James Qin, Parisa Haghani, W. Ronny Huang, Min Ma, and Junwen Bai, "Scaling end-to-end models for large-scale multilingual ASR," in *IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*, 2021.
- [17] William Chan, Daniel S. Park, Chris A. Lee, Yu Zhang, Quoc V. Le, and Mohammad Norouzi, "Speechstew: Simply mix all available speech recognition data to train one large neural network," 2021.
- [18] Dongseong Hwang, Ananya Misra, Zhouyuan Huo, Nikhil Siddhartha, Shefali Garg, David Qiu, Khe Chai Sim, Trevor Strohman, Françoise Beaufays, and Yanzhang He, "Large-scale ASR domain adaptation using self- and semi-supervised learning," in *ICASSP 2022*.

- [19] Arun Narayanan, Tara N. Sainath, Ruoming Pang, Jiahui Yu, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Rohit Prabhavalkar, Ehsan Variani, and Trevor Strohman, "Cascaded encoders for unifying streaming and non-streaming ASR," in *ICASSP 2021*, pp. 5629–5633.
- [20] Oscar Chang, Otavio Braga, Hank Liao, Dmitriy Serdyuk, and Olivier Siohan, "On robustness to missing video for audiovisual speech recognition," *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*, 2022.
- [21] Guillaume Alain and Yoshua Bengio, "Understanding intermediate layers using linear classifier probes," in *ICLR 2017*.
- [22] H. Liao, E. McDermott, and A. Senior, "Large scale deep neural network acoustic modeling with semi-supervised training data for YouTube video transcription," in *IEEE Workshop on Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding (ASRU) 2013*, pp. 368– 373.
- [23] Brendan Shillingford, Yannis Assael, Matthew W. Hoffman, Thomas Paine, Cían Hughes, Utsav Prabhu, Hank Liao, Hasim Sak, Kanishka Rao, Lorrayne Bennett, Marie Mulville, Ben Coppin, Ben Laurie, Andrew Senior, and Nando de Freitas, "Largescale visual speech recognition," in *InterSpeech*, 2018.
- [24] T. Makino, H. Liao, Y. Assael, B. Shillingford, B. Garcia, O. Braga, and O. Siohan, "Recurrent neural network transducer for audio-visual speech recognition," in 2019 IEEE ASRU Workshop, pp. 905–912.
- [25] Zhuo Chen, Takuya Yoshioka, Liang Lu, Tianyan Zhou, Zhong Meng, Yi Luo, Jian Wu, Xiong Xiao, and Jinyu Li, "Continuous speech separation: dataset and analysis," in *ICASSP 2020*.
- [26] Google, "Artificial intelligence at Google: Our principles," https://ai.google/principles/.
- [27] European Union Law, "Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation)," https://eurlex.europa.eu/ legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX.
- [28] Anmol Gulati, James Qin, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Niki Parmar, Yu Zhang, Jiahui Yu, Wei Han, Shibo Wang, Zhengdong Zhang, Yonghui Wu, and Ruoming Pang, "Conformer: Convolutionaugmented transformer for speech recognition," in *InterSpeech* 2020.
- [29] A. Joglekar, J. H. Hansen, M. C. Shekar, and A. Sangwan, "Fearless Steps challenge (fs-2): Supervised learning with massive naturalistic apollo data," in *InterSpeech 2020*.