

Companions to the Andrews-Gordon and Andrews-Bressoud identities, and recent conjectures of Capparelli, Meurman, Primc, and Primc

Matthew C. Russell*

June 29, 2023

Abstract

We find bivariate generating functions for the $k = 1$ cases of recently conjectured colored partition identities of Capparelli, Meurman, A. Primc, and M. Primc that are slight variants of the generating functions for the sum sides of the Andrews-Gordon and Andrews-Bressoud identities. As a consequence, we prove sum-to-product identities for these cases, thus proving the conjectures.

1 Introduction.

Let n be a non-negative integer. A *partition* of n is a list of integers $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k = n$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 1$. We will frequently write this as $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k$.

Our starting point is the following well-known partition theorem of Basil Gordon [17], and its analytic form due to George E. Andrews [2] (see also chapter 7 of Andrews's text [7] or chapter 3 of Andrew V. Sills's text [32]):

Theorem 1. Fix $\ell \geq 1$, and let $0 \leq i \leq \ell$. Let $B_{\ell,i}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n satisfying $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+\ell} \geq 2$, and there are at most i occurrences of 1 as parts. Let $A_{\ell,i}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts $\not\equiv 0, \pm(i+1) \pmod{2\ell+3}$. Then $A_{\ell,i}(n) = B_{\ell,i}(n)$ for all n .

Theorem 2.

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2 + N_2^2 + \dots + N_\ell^2 + N_{i+1} + N_{i+2} + \dots + N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}} = \frac{(q^{i+1}, q^{2\ell+2-i}, q^{2\ell+3}, q^{2\ell+3})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $N_i = n_i + n_{i+1} + \dots + n_\ell$. (For the purposes of our present paper, we will view such functions as formal power series in q .) We are using the standard q -Pochhammer notation $(a; q)_n = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - aq^{j-1})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_n; q)_n$.

The generating function in Theorem 2 was further refined by Andrews [4] into a bivariate generating function. Let $B_{\ell,i,m}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with exactly m parts satisfying $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+\ell} \geq 2$, and there are at most i occurrences of 1 as parts. Then

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 0} B_{\ell,i,m}(n) x^m q^n = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{x^{N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_\ell} q^{N_1^2 + N_2^2 + \dots + N_\ell^2 + N_{i+1} + N_{i+2} + \dots + N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}}, \quad (1.2)$$

*University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign. mcr39@illinois.edu

Note that these identities all have odd modulus. The even modulus companions were found by David M. Bressoud [10, 11, 12]; these are known as the Andrews-Bressoud identities:

Theorem 3. Fix $\ell \geq 1$, and let $0 \leq i \leq \ell$. Let $B_{\ell,i}^*(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n satisfying $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+\ell} \geq 2$, and, if $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+\ell-1} < 2$, then $\lambda_j + \lambda_{j+1} + \cdots + \lambda_{j+\ell-1} \equiv i \pmod{2}$, and there are at most i occurrences of 1 as parts. Let $A_{\ell,i}^*(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts $\not\equiv 0, \pm(i+1) \pmod{2\ell+2}$. Then $A_{\ell,i}^*(n) = B_{\ell,i}^*(n)$ for all n .

Theorem 4.

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2 + N_2^2 + \cdots + N_\ell^2 + N_{i+1} + N_{i+2} + \cdots + N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_{\ell-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{n_\ell}} = \frac{(q^{i+1}, q^{2\ell+1-i}, q^{2\ell+2}; q^{2\ell+2})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}, \quad (1.3)$$

Again, this generating function in Theorem 4 can be refined into a bivariate generating function. Let $B_{\ell,i,m}^*(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n counted by $B_{\ell,i}^*(n)$ with exactly m parts. Then

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 0} B_{\ell,i,m}^*(n) x^m q^n = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{x^{N_1 + N_2 + \cdots + N_\ell} q^{N_1^2 + N_2^2 + \cdots + N_\ell^2 + N_{i+1} + N_{i+2} + \cdots + N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_{\ell-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{n_\ell}}, \quad (1.4)$$

For some recent papers on other facets of the Andrews-Gordon and Andrews-Bressoud identities, see [1, 18, 33, 36].

We now turn our attention to a recent article [14] of Stefano Capparelli, Arne Meurman, Andrej Primc, and Mirko Primc, in which they conjectured intriguing (colored) partition identities related to standard representations of the affine Lie algebra of type $C_\ell^{(1)}$ (for $\ell \geq 2$). These (conjectured) identities follow in a long vein of research connected partition identities to the representation theory of affine Lie algebras; see, for instance, [25, 13, 27, 28, 20, 21, 34]. Most directly, these conjectures built off of the work of M. Primc and Tomislav Šikić [31, 30] and Goran Trupčević [35].

First, let us consider the conjectures in section 4 of their paper [14]. Fix $\ell \geq 2$, and construct the following array with 2ℓ rows (and ℓ copies of \mathbb{N}):

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & & \\ & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & & \\ & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & & \\ & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & \end{array} \quad (1.5)$$

Parts with the same value (but in different rows) are distinct, and can be thought of as having distinct colors. We will sometimes call these colored partitions. To the above array we will associate an array of frequencies

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} k_1 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} \\ & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} \\ k_3 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} \\ & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} \dots, \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ k_2 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} \\ & k_0 & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} \end{array} \quad (1.6)$$

where each f_j indicates how many times the corresponding part occurs in the original array. The nonnegative integers k_0, \dots, k_ℓ provide initial conditions. Note that our ordering of k_0, \dots, k_ℓ is different than that of the original paper. We say that an array of frequencies is $[k_0, \dots, k_\ell]$ -admissible if, for all downward paths \mathcal{Z} in the frequency array,

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} m \leq k, \quad (1.7)$$

where $k = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} k_i$. Here are two sample downward paths in the case with $\ell = 3$:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} k_1 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} \\ & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} \\ k_3 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} \\ & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} \quad \dots, \\ k_2 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} \\ & & k_0 & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} \end{array} \quad (1.8)$$

Fix $k = 1$. For $0 \leq i \leq \ell$, let $F(i, j, n)$ be the number of $[k_0, k_1, \dots, k_\ell] = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ -admissible colored partitions of n with exactly j parts, where $k_i = 1$ (and all others are 0). Then, define $P_i(z, q)$ to be the bivariate generating function

$$P_i(z, q) = \sum_{n, j \geq 0} F(i, j, n) z^j q^n. \quad (1.9)$$

The first goal of this paper will be to prove the following multisum for $P_i(z, q)$:

Theorem 5.

$$P_i(z, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_\ell} q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+N_{i+1}+N_{i+2}+\dots+N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}}. \quad (1.10)$$

Take a moment to appreciate just how similar the bivariate multisum in Theorem 5 is to the multisum of (1.2). The only difference is that the factor $x^{N_1+N_2+\dots+N_\ell}$ has changed to $z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_\ell}$, which can be rewritten as z^{N_1} . As an immediate corollary,

$$P_i(1, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+N_{i+1}+N_{i+2}+\dots+N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}} = \frac{(q^{i+1}, q^{2\ell+2-i}, q^{2\ell+3}, q^{2\ell+3})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} \quad (1.11)$$

by Theorem 2.

Now, let us consider the conjectured identities in section 3 of Capparelli, Meurman, A. Primc, and M. Primc [14], along with its sequel by M. Primc [29]. We still fix $\ell \geq 2$, but now construct arrays with $2\ell - 1$ rows. Section 3 of [14] dealt with arrays whose top and bottom rows consist of odd integers:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1 & & 3 & & 5 & & 7 & & 9 & & 11 \\ & & 2 & & 4 & & 6 & & 8 & & 10 & & 12 \\ 1 & & 3 & & 5 & & 7 & & 9 & & 11 \\ & & 2 & & 4 & & 6 & & 8 & & 10 & & 12 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \quad \dots \\ 1 & & 3 & & 5 & & 7 & & 9 & & 11 \\ & & 2 & & 4 & & 6 & & 8 & & 10 & & 12 \\ 1 & & 3 & & 5 & & 7 & & 9 & & 11 \end{array} \quad (1.12)$$

In [29], the top and bottom rows consist of even integers:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
& 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & \\
1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & & \\
& 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots \\
& 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & \\
1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & & \\
& 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 &
\end{array} \tag{1.13}$$

The $k = 1$ case of the conjectures of Capparelli, Meurman, A. Primc, and M. Primc (corresponding to odd first and last rows) were proved by Jehanne Dousse and Isaac Konan [15] using perfect crystals. This is the same case that we will prove, but our proof methods are different, and we will simultaneously prove the two cases (odd first and last rows and even first and last rows).

We again form arrays of frequencies, and attach nonnegative integers k_0, \dots, k_ℓ . Our definition of an admissible partition is the same as above, and we once again restrict our attention to the case $k = 1$. See section 5 for specific details.

Again, for $0 \leq i \leq \ell$, let $F^*(i, j, n)$ be the number of $[k_0, k_1, \dots, k_\ell] = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ -admissible colored partitions of n with exactly j parts, where the 1 in $[0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ is located in position i . Then, define $P_i^*(z, q)$ to be the bivariate generating function

$$P_i^*(z, q) = \sum_{n, j \geq 0} F^*(i, j, n) z^j q^n. \tag{1.14}$$

We then have the corresponding result here for $P_i^*(z, q)$:

Theorem 6.

$$P_i^*(z, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_\ell} q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+N_{i+1}+N_{i+2}+\dots+N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_{\ell-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{n_\ell}}, \tag{1.15}$$

which again is very similar to (1.4), and which gives us the sum-to-product identity

$$P_i^*(1, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+N_{i+1}+N_{i+2}+\dots+N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_{\ell-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{n_\ell}} = \frac{(q^{i+1}, q^{2\ell+1-i}, q^{2\ell+2}, q^{2\ell+2})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} \tag{1.16}$$

by Theorem 4.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we produce functional equations for the generating functions for the admissible partitions on arrays with 2ℓ rows, corresponding to the Andrews-Gordon case. We illustrate our work with an example in the case $\ell = 4$. In section 3, we carry out computations to derive relations between multisums based on those on the right side of (1.10). Once again, our work is illustrated in the $\ell = 4$ case. We then use the results of section 3 in section 4 to show that the series $T_i(z, q)$ do, in fact, satisfy the same functional equations as the generating functions from section 2, which allows us to prove Theorem 5. Sections 5, 6, and 7 repeat this work for arrays on $2\ell - 1$ rows (corresponding to the Andrews-Bressoud case), where section 5 works with functional equations for generating functions of admissible partitions, section 6 deals with multisum relations, and section 7 completes the proof of Theorem 6. We conclude in section 8 with some avenues for further research.

1.1 Acknowledgments.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Shashank Kanade for many fruitful conversations, along with Stefano Capparelli, Arne Meurman, and Mirko Primc for comments on drafts of this paper.

2 Partitions: Andrews-Gordon case.

Fix ℓ and take an array with 2ℓ rows to it (with ℓ copies of \mathbb{N}). Define $P_i(z, q)$ to be the bivariate generating function for $[k_0, k_1, \dots, k_\ell] = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ -admissible partitions, where below $k_i = 1$ and all other $k_j = 0$:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 k_1 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} \\
 & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} \\
 k_3 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} \\
 & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} \quad \dots, \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 k_2 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} \\
 & k_0 & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12}
 \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

Here, for i odd, k_i occurs in the i^{th} row, while for i even and $i \geq 2$, k_i occurs in the $(2\ell + 1 - i)^{\text{th}}$ row. Finally, k_0 always occurs in the $(2\ell)^{\text{th}}$ row.

We will now show that these satisfy the following system of functional equations:

$$P_i(z, q) - P_{i+1}(zq, q) = \sum_{j=1}^i zq^j P_{i-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q), \quad 0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \tag{2.2}$$

$$P_\ell(z, q) - P_\ell(zq, q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} zq^j P_{\ell-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q) \tag{2.3}$$

Throughout the paper, empty sums are defined to equal zero. As an example, the instance of (2.2) for $i = 0$ simply states that $P_0(z, q) - P_1(zq, q) = 0$.

We begin with the case where i is odd (and $i \neq \ell$). Suppose that we have an admissible partition counted by $P_i(z, q)$, and consider the set of parts j that occur in row $i - j + 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$. At most one of these parts can occur in this partition. If j does occur in the $(i - j + 1)^{\text{th}}$ row, then that contributes zq^j to the generating function, and then the remaining parts will be counted by $P_{i-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q)$. If none of these parts occur, then deleting those parts from consideration shows that we have a partition that could be counted by $P_{i+1}(zq, q)$. This then produces the functional equation $P_i(z, q) = \sum_{j=1}^i zq^j P_{i-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q) + P_{i+1}(zq, q)$.

Second, consider the case where i is even (and $i \notin \{0, \ell\}$). Suppose that we have an admissible partition counted by $P_i(z, q)$, and consider the set of parts j that occur in row $2\ell - i + j$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$. At most one of these parts can occur in this partition. If j does occur in the $(2\ell - i + j)^{\text{th}}$ row, then that contributes zq^j to the generating function, and then the remaining parts will be counted by $P_{i-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q)$. If none of these parts occur, then deleting those parts from consideration shows that we have a partition that could be counted by $P_{i+1}(zq, q)$. Putting everything together produces the functional equation $P_i(z, q) = \sum_{j=1}^i zq^j P_{i-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q) + P_{i+1}(zq, q)$.

Next, consider the case $i = \ell$. We follow one of the previous two cases, based on whether ℓ is odd or even. The only difference is that if none of the highlighted parts $1, \dots, \ell$ occur, then deleting those parts from consideration produces a partition that is counted by $P_\ell(zq, q)$. This demonstrates $P_\ell(z, q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} zq^j P_{\ell-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q) + P_\ell(zq, q)$.

Finally, consider the case $i = 0$. From inspection, it should be clear that $P_0(z, q) = P_1(zq, q)$. As the sum in (2.2) is empty for $i = 0$, that finishes off that case.

2.1 Explicit example: $\ell = 4$.

Here, we have the following initial picture, where exactly one of the k_i equals 1, and the rest are zero:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 k_1 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
 & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
 k_3 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
 & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
 k_4 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} & \dots, \\
 & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
 k_2 & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
 k_0 & & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14}
 \end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

We display frequency arrays for the five generating functions that we care about, with their initial conditions shown as $\widehat{1}$, and \cdot representing parts that are forbidden from appearing:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
 & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
 & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
 P_4(z, q) : & \widehat{1} & & f_1 & & f_2 & & f_3 & & f_4 & & f_5 & & f_6 & & f_7 & & f_8 & & f_9 & & f_{10} & & f_{11} & & f_{12} & & f_{13} & & f_{14} & \dots, \\
 & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_3 & & f_4 & & f_5 & & f_6 & & f_7 & & f_8 & & f_9 & & f_{10} & & f_{11} & & f_{12} & & f_{13} & & f_{14} \\
 & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_3 & & f_4 & & f_5 & & f_6 & & f_7 & & f_8 & & f_9 & & f_{10} & & f_{11} & & f_{12} & & f_{13} & & f_{14}
 \end{array} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
 & & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
 P_3(z, q) : & \widehat{1} & & f_1 & & f_2 & & f_3 & & f_4 & & f_5 & & f_6 & & f_7 & & f_8 & & f_9 & & f_{10} & & f_{11} & & f_{12} & & f_{13} & & f_{14} & \dots, \\
 & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_3 & & f_4 & & f_5 & & f_6 & & f_7 & & f_8 & & f_9 & & f_{10} & & f_{11} & & f_{12} & & f_{13} & & f_{14} \\
 & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_4 & & f_5 & & f_6 & & f_7 & & f_8 & & f_9 & & f_{10} & & f_{11} & & f_{12} & & f_{13} & & f_{14} \\
 & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_4 & & f_5 & & f_6 & & f_7 & & f_8 & & f_9 & & f_{10} & & f_{11} & & f_{12} & & f_{13} & & f_{14}
 \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
P_2(z, q) : & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} & & \dots, \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
& \hat{1} & & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14}
\end{array} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
& & \hat{1} & & f_1 & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
P_1(z, q) : & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} & & \dots, \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14}
\end{array} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
P_0(z, q) : & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} & & \dots, \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \hat{1} & & f_2 & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14}
\end{array} \quad (2.9)$$

We now show how to deduce the functional equations corresponding to (2.2) and (2.3) in this particular case:

- $P_0(z, q) = P_1(zq, q)$: This is clear by inspection: simply “flip” the picture in (2.8) upside down. This flipping trick will be used constantly through the rest of this section.
- $P_1(z, q) = zq^1 P_1(zq^2, q) + P_2(zq, q)$: Consider a partition counted by $P_1(z, q)$. Either there is a 1 in this partition, or there is not. If there is, we have the following picture:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
& & \cdot & & \color{red}{1} & & f_3 & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \color{red}{\star} & & f_4 & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \color{red}{\star} & & f_5 & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \color{red}{\star} & & f_6 & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \color{red}{\star} & & f_7 & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \color{red}{\star} & & f_8 & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \color{red}{\star} & & f_9 & & f_{11} & & f_{13} \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \color{red}{\star} & & f_{10} & & f_{12} & & f_{14}
\end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

Here (and following), the red 1 indicates the location of the 1 we are assuming to be in this partition, while the red stars show the frequencies that are forced to equal zero by the inclusion of this part. We can see that this corresponds to $zqP_1(zq^2, q)$. The other possibility is that there is no 1:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\cdot & \star & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \cdot & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14}
\end{array} \dots, \tag{2.11}$$

which corresponds to $P_2(zq, q)$.

- $P_2(z, q) = zq^1P_2(zq^2, q) + zq^2P_1(zq^3, q) + P_3(zq, q)$: Either there is a 1:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & 1 & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14}
\end{array} \dots, \tag{2.12}$$

which corresponds to $zq^1P_2(zq^2, q)$, there is a 2 in the final row:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \star & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \star & 2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14}
\end{array} \dots, \tag{2.13}$$

which corresponds to $zq^2P_1(zq^3, q)$, or neither of these occur:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \cdot & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \cdot & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\
\cdot & \star & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} \\
\cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14}
\end{array} \dots, \tag{2.14}$$

which corresponds to $P_3(zq, q)$.

corresponding to $zq^4P_1(zq^5, q)$, or none of these occur:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \cdot & & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \star & & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \dots, & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \star & & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & & \star & & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \cdot & & \star & & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & & & &
 \end{array} \tag{2.23}$$

corresponding to $P_4(zq, q)$.

3 Computations: Andrews-Gordon case.

In this section, we will carry out computations similar to those of [19, 9]. The difference is that we will prove everything for general ℓ and $0 \leq i \leq \ell$, without relying on computers to prove special cases. The computations in this section were aided by Frank Garvan’s `qseries` Maple package [16], along with references to the survey on Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater-type identities by James Mc Laughlin, Sills, and Peter Zimmer [26].

Let $\mathbf{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell \rangle$ and $\mathbf{n} = \langle n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \rangle$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^ℓ . Then, define

$$S_{\mathbf{v}}(z, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_\ell} q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n}}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $N_i = n_i + n_{i+1} + \dots + n_\ell$ and $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ is the usual dot product. In this section, we will suppress the arguments and simply write $S_{\mathbf{v}}$ for $S_{\mathbf{v}}(z, q)$.

To make our computations flow more smoothly, we will make the following definitions:

$$\vec{\mathbf{0}} = \langle 0, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle \tag{3.2}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{1}} = \langle 1, 1, \dots, 1 \rangle \tag{3.3}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{2}} = \langle 2, 2, \dots, 2 \rangle \tag{3.4}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \langle 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell \tag{3.5}$$

$$\mathbf{t}_i = \langle 0, \dots, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \ell - i - 1, \ell - i \rangle, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell \tag{3.6}$$

where, in \mathbf{e}_i and \mathbf{t}_i , the 1 is located in position i . We will also define $\mathbf{t}_{\ell+1} = \vec{\mathbf{0}}$.

Here are our atomic relations:

Lemma 7. For all \mathbf{v} and $1 \leq i \leq \ell$,

$$S_{\mathbf{v}} - S_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i} = zq^{\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{e}_i+i} S_{\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. We first multiply $S_{\mathbf{v}}$ by $(1 - q^{n_i})$, and observe then that we have

$$S_{\mathbf{v}}(z, q) - S_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i} = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_\ell} q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n}} (1 - q^{n_i})}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}}, \tag{3.8}$$

Cancelling out a factor in denominator and reindexing $n_i - 1 = \widehat{n}_i$ produces

$$S_{\mathbf{v}}(z, q) - S_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i} = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_\ell} q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n}}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_{i-1}} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}} \quad (3.9)$$

$$= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, \widehat{n}_i, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+\widehat{n}_i+\dots+n_\ell+1} q^{(N_1+1)^2+\dots+(N_i+1)^2+N_{i+1}^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{e}_i}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_{i-1}} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}} \quad (3.10)$$

$$= zq^{\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{e}_i+i} \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, \widehat{n}_i, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+\widehat{n}_i+\dots+n_\ell} q^{N_1^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+2(N_1+\dots+N_i)+\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n}}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_{i-1}} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}} \quad (3.11)$$

$$= zq^{\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{e}_i+i} S_{\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} \quad (3.12)$$

(In a slight abuse of notation, we used the notation N_j and \mathbf{n} with \widehat{n}_i replacing n_i .) □

So now define, for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$,

$$\text{rel}_{\mathbf{v}}^i := S_{\mathbf{v}} - S_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i} - zq^{\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{e}_i+i} S_{\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Each $\text{rel}_{\mathbf{v}}^i$ is, of course, equal to zero. If we can obtain a certain expression as a linear combination of $\text{rel}_{\mathbf{v}}^i$ s, then that expression will also be equal to zero.

Proposition 8. $S_{\mathbf{0}} - S_{\mathbf{1}} - S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell} + (1 - zq)S_{\mathbf{2}} = 0$.

Proof. We can obtain this as the following linear combinations of relations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \text{rel}_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}}^i - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \text{rel}_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_i}^i \quad (3.14)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}} - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_i} - zq^i S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}) \quad (3.15)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_i} - S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_{i-1}} - zq^{i+1} S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_i+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}) \quad (3.16)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{0}} - S_{\mathbf{1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} zq^i S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} \quad (3.17)$$

$$- S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell} + S_{\mathbf{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} zq^{i+1} S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_i+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} \quad (3.18)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{0}} - S_{\mathbf{1}} - S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell} + S_{\mathbf{2}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} zq^i S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} zq^i S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_i} \quad (3.19)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{0}} - S_{\mathbf{1}} - S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell} + S_{\mathbf{2}} - zq^1 S_{2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_2} \quad (3.20)$$

$$- \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} zq^i (S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} - S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_i}) \quad (3.21)$$

$$= S_{\vec{0}} - S_{\vec{1}} - S_{\vec{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell} + S_{\vec{2}} - zq^1 S_{\vec{2}} - 0 \quad (3.22)$$

$$= S_{\vec{0}} - S_{\vec{1}} - S_{\vec{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell} + (1 - zq)S_{\vec{2}} \quad (3.23)$$

$$= 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Above, we used the equality

$$\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + 2\mathbf{t}_1 - 2\mathbf{t}_{i+1} = \vec{2} - \mathbf{e}_1 - \cdots - \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + 2\mathbf{t}_1 - 2\mathbf{t}_i \quad (3.25)$$

which follows from $2(\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{i-1}) = \vec{2} - 2\mathbf{t}_i + 2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}$. \square

Proposition 9. For $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$,

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_j+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_{j-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} + (1 - zq)S_{\vec{2}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^j \text{rel}_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}}^i - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \text{rel}_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}}^i \quad (3.27)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^j \left(S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - zq^i S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} \right) \quad (3.28)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - zq^{i+1} S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} \right) \quad (3.29)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_j+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - \sum_{i=1}^j zq^i S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} \quad (3.30)$$

$$- S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_{j-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} + S_{\vec{2}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} zq^{i+1} S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} \quad (3.31)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_j+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_{j-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} + S_{\vec{2}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} \quad (3.32)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^j zq^i S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} + \sum_{i=2}^{j-1} zq^i S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_i} \quad (3.33)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_j+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_{j-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} + S_{\vec{2}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - zq^1 S_{\mathbf{t}_{j+1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_2} \quad (3.34)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^j zq^i \left(S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} - S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_i} \right) \quad (3.35)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_j+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} - S_{\vec{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\cdots-\mathbf{e}_{j-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} + (1 - zq)S_{\vec{2}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}} \quad (3.36)$$

$$= 0. \quad (3.37)$$

Again, we used the equality:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + \mathbf{t}_{j+1} + 2\mathbf{t}_1 - 2\mathbf{t}_{i+1} = \vec{2} - \mathbf{e}_1 - \cdots - \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + \mathbf{t}_{j+1} + 2\mathbf{t}_1 - 2\mathbf{t}_i \quad (3.38)$$

which follows from $2(\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{i-1}) - 2\mathbf{t}_{i+1} = \vec{2} - 2\mathbf{t}_i$. We also used $\vec{2} + \mathbf{t}_{j+1} = \mathbf{t}_{j+1} + 2\mathbf{t}_1 - 2\mathbf{t}_2$. \square

3.1 Explicit example: $\ell = 4$.

Let us explicitly show how to obtain Propositions 8 and 9 from Lemma 7 in the case $\ell = 4$. Then, our relations are:

$$\text{rel}_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle}^1 := S_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle} - S_{\langle v_1+1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle} - zq^{v_1+1} S_{\langle v_1+2, v_2+2, v_3+2, v_4+2 \rangle} \quad (3.39)$$

$$\text{rel}_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle}^2 := S_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle} - S_{\langle v_1, v_2+1, v_3, v_4 \rangle} - zq^{v_2+2} S_{\langle v_1+2, v_2+4, v_3+4, v_4+4 \rangle} \quad (3.40)$$

$$\text{rel}_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle}^3 := S_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle} - S_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3+1, v_4 \rangle} - zq^{v_3+3} S_{\langle v_1+2, v_2+4, v_3+6, v_4+6 \rangle} \quad (3.41)$$

$$\text{rel}_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle}^4 := S_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle} - S_{\langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4+1 \rangle} - zq^{v_4+4} S_{\langle v_1+2, v_2+4, v_3+6, v_4+8 \rangle} \quad (3.42)$$

Proposition 10. $S_{\langle 0,0,0,0 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,1 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,2 \rangle} + (1 - zq)S_{\langle 2,2,2,2 \rangle} = 0$.

Proof. We begin by adding together $\text{rel}_{\langle 0,0,0,0 \rangle}^1 + \text{rel}_{\langle 1,0,0,0 \rangle}^2 + \text{rel}_{\langle 1,1,0,0 \rangle}^3 + \text{rel}_{\langle 1,1,1,0 \rangle}^4$:

$$(S_{\langle 0,0,0,0 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,0,0,0 \rangle} - zq^1 S_{\langle 2,2,2,2 \rangle}) \quad (3.43)$$

$$+ (S_{\langle 1,0,0,0 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,0,0 \rangle} - zq^2 S_{\langle 3,4,4,4 \rangle}) \quad (3.44)$$

$$+ (S_{\langle 1,1,0,0 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,0 \rangle} - zq^3 S_{\langle 3,5,6,6 \rangle}) \quad (3.45)$$

$$+ (S_{\langle 1,1,1,0 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,1 \rangle} - zq^4 S_{\langle 3,5,7,8 \rangle}) \quad (3.46)$$

$$= S_{\langle 0,0,0,0 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,1 \rangle} - zq S_{\langle 2,2,2,2 \rangle} - zq^2 S_{\langle 3,4,4,4 \rangle} - zq^3 S_{\langle 3,5,6,6 \rangle} - zq^4 S_{\langle 3,5,7,8 \rangle}. \quad (3.47)$$

Meanwhile, we also add together $\text{rel}_{\langle 1,2,2,2 \rangle}^1 + \text{rel}_{\langle 1,1,2,2 \rangle}^2 + \text{rel}_{\langle 1,1,1,2 \rangle}^3$:

$$(S_{\langle 1,2,2,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 2,2,2,2 \rangle} - zq^2 S_{\langle 3,4,4,4 \rangle}) \quad (3.48)$$

$$+ (S_{\langle 1,1,2,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,2,2,2 \rangle} - zq^3 S_{\langle 3,5,6,6 \rangle}) \quad (3.49)$$

$$= (S_{\langle 1,1,1,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,2,2 \rangle} - zq^4 S_{\langle 3,5,7,8 \rangle}) \quad (3.50)$$

$$= S_{\langle 1,1,1,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 2,2,2,2 \rangle} - zq^2 S_{\langle 3,4,4,4 \rangle} - zq^3 S_{\langle 3,5,6,6 \rangle} - zq^4 S_{\langle 3,5,7,8 \rangle} \quad (3.51)$$

So, subtracting (3.51) from (3.47) produces

$$S_{\langle 0,0,0,0 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,1 \rangle} - zq S_{\langle 2,2,2,2 \rangle} - zq^2 S_{\langle 3,4,4,4 \rangle} - zq^3 S_{\langle 3,5,6,6 \rangle} - zq^4 S_{\langle 3,5,7,8 \rangle} \quad (3.52)$$

$$- (S_{\langle 1,1,1,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 2,2,2,2 \rangle} - zq^2 S_{\langle 3,4,4,4 \rangle} - zq^3 S_{\langle 3,5,6,6 \rangle} - zq^4 S_{\langle 3,5,7,8 \rangle}) \quad (3.53)$$

$$= S_{\langle 0,0,0,0 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,1 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,2 \rangle} + (1 - zq)S_{\langle 2,2,2,2 \rangle} \quad (3.54)$$

$$= 0, \quad (3.55)$$

as desired. \square

Proposition 11.

$$S_{\langle 0,0,0,1 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,1 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,2,3 \rangle} + (1 - zq)S_{\langle 2,2,2,3 \rangle} = 0 \quad (3.56)$$

$$S_{\langle 0,0,1,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,2,3,4 \rangle} + (1 - zq)S_{\langle 2,2,3,4 \rangle} = 0 \quad (3.57)$$

$$S_{\langle 0,1,2,3 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,2,3 \rangle} - S_{\langle 2,3,4,5 \rangle} + (1 - zq)S_{\langle 2,3,4,5 \rangle} = 0. \quad (3.58)$$

Proof. We compute:

$$\text{rel}_{\langle 0,0,0,1 \rangle}^1 + \text{rel}_{\langle 1,0,0,1 \rangle}^2 + \text{rel}_{\langle 1,1,0,1 \rangle}^3 - \text{rel}_{\langle 1,2,2,3 \rangle}^1 - \text{rel}_{\langle 1,1,2,3 \rangle}^2 \quad (3.59)$$

$$= (S_{\langle 0,0,0,1 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,0,0,1 \rangle} - zqS_{\langle 2,2,2,3 \rangle}) \quad (3.60)$$

$$+ (S_{\langle 1,0,0,1 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,0,1 \rangle} - zq^2S_{\langle 3,4,4,5 \rangle}) \quad (3.61)$$

$$+ (S_{\langle 1,1,0,1 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,1 \rangle} - zq^3S_{\langle 3,5,6,7 \rangle}) \quad (3.62)$$

$$- (S_{\langle 1,2,2,3 \rangle} - S_{\langle 2,2,2,3 \rangle} - zq^2S_{\langle 3,4,4,5 \rangle}) \quad (3.63)$$

$$- (S_{\langle 1,1,2,3 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,2,2,3 \rangle} - zq^3S_{\langle 3,5,6,7 \rangle}) \quad (3.64)$$

$$= S_{\langle 0,0,0,1 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,1 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,2,3 \rangle} + (1 - zq)S_{\langle 2,2,2,3 \rangle} \quad (3.65)$$

$$= 0. \quad (3.66)$$

$$\text{rel}_{\langle 0,0,1,2 \rangle}^1 + \text{rel}_{\langle 1,0,1,2 \rangle}^2 - \text{rel}_{\langle 1,2,3,4 \rangle}^1 \quad (3.67)$$

$$= (S_{\langle 0,0,1,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,0,1,2 \rangle} - zqS_{\langle 2,2,3,4 \rangle}) \quad (3.68)$$

$$+ (S_{\langle 1,0,1,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,2 \rangle} - zq^2S_{\langle 3,4,5,6 \rangle}) \quad (3.69)$$

$$- (S_{\langle 1,2,3,4 \rangle} - S_{\langle 2,2,3,4 \rangle} - zq^2S_{\langle 3,4,5,6 \rangle}) \quad (3.70)$$

$$= S_{\langle 0,0,1,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,1,2 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,2,3,4 \rangle} + (1 - zq)S_{\langle 2,2,3,4 \rangle} \quad (3.71)$$

$$= 0. \quad (3.72)$$

$$\text{rel}_{\langle 0,1,2,3 \rangle}^1 \quad (3.73)$$

$$= S_{\langle 0,1,2,3 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,2,3 \rangle} - zqS_{\langle 2,3,4,5 \rangle} \quad (3.74)$$

$$= S_{\langle 0,1,2,3 \rangle} - S_{\langle 1,1,2,3 \rangle} - S_{\langle 2,3,4,5 \rangle} + (1 - zq)S_{\langle 2,3,4,5 \rangle} \quad (3.75)$$

$$= 0. \quad (3.76)$$

□

4 Completing the proof: Andrews-Gordon case.

Let us now define $T_i(z, q)$ ($0 \leq i \leq \ell$) to be the right side of (1.10):

$$T_i(z, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_\ell} q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+N_{i+1}+N_{i+2}+\dots+N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_\ell}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Our goal is use the results of the previous section to show that $T_i(z, q)$ satisfies the following system of functional equations, corresponding to (2.2) and (2.3):

$$T_i(z, q) - T_{i+1}(zq, q) = \sum_{j=1}^i zq^j T_{i-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q), \quad 0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (4.2)$$

$$T_\ell(z, q) - T_\ell(zq, q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} zq^j T_{\ell-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q) \quad (4.3)$$

Let $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. We now take the $(i-1)$ instance of (4.2), dilate $z \mapsto zq$, and then reindex j :

$$T_{i-1}(z, q) - T_i(zq, q) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} zq^j T_{i-j}(zq^{j+1}, q) \quad (4.4)$$

$$T_{i-1}(zq, q) - T_i(zq^2, q) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} zq^{j+1} T_{i-j}(zq^{j+2}, q) \quad (4.5)$$

$$T_{i-1}(zq, q) - T_i(zq^2, q) = \sum_{j=2}^i zq^j T_{i-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q), \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell \quad (4.6)$$

Subtracting this from the i instance of (4.2) gives

$$T_i(z, q) - T_{i+1}(zq, q) - T_{i-1}(zq, q) + T_i(zq^2, q) = \sum_{j=1}^i zq^j T_{i-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q) \quad (4.7)$$

$$- \sum_{j=2}^i zq^j T_{i-j+1}(zq^{j+1}, q) \quad (4.8)$$

$$= zq T_i(zq^2, q), \quad (4.9)$$

or

$$T_i(z, q) - T_{i+1}(zq, q) - T_{i-1}(zq, q) + (1 - zq)T_i(zq^2, q) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1. \quad (4.10)$$

Now, combining the $i = \ell$ instance of (4.6) with (4.3) gives, similarly,

$$T_\ell(z, q) - T_\ell(zq, q) - T_{\ell-1}(zq, q) + (1 - zq)T_\ell(zq^2, q) = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

So our new goal is to prove the following set of identities:

$$T_0(z, q) - T_1(zq, q) = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

$$T_i(z, q) - T_{i+1}(zq, q) - T_{i-1}(zq, q) + (1 - zq)T_i(zq^2, q) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (4.13)$$

$$T_\ell(z, q) - T_\ell(zq, q) - T_{\ell-1}(zq, q) + (1 - zq)T_\ell(zq^2, q) = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

We now translate these formulas into $S_{\mathbf{v}}$ notation. Recalling (4.1), we see the identification $T_i(z, q) = S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}(z, q)$. So now, the desired identities (4.12)–(4.14) become

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_1}(z, q) - S_{\mathbf{t}_2}(zq, q) = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}(z, q) - S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+2}}(zq, q) - S_{\mathbf{t}_i}(zq, q) + (1 - zq)S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}(zq^2, q) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (4.16)$$

$$S_{\mathbf{0}}(z, q) - S_{\mathbf{0}}(zq, q) - S_{\mathbf{e}_\ell}(zq, q) + (1 - zq)S_{\mathbf{0}}(zq^2, q) = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Note that $S_{\mathbf{v}}(zq, q) = S_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{1}}(z, q)$ and $S_{\mathbf{v}}(zq^2, q) = S_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{2}}(z, q)$. This allows us to rewrite these as

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_1}(z, q) - S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{t}_2}(z, q) = 0 \quad (4.18)$$

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}(z, q) - S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{t}_{i+2}}(z, q) - S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{t}_i}(z, q) + (1 - zq)S_{\vec{\mathbf{2}}+\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}(z, q) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (4.19)$$

$$S_{\vec{\mathbf{0}}}(z, q) - S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}}(z, q) - S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{e}_\ell}(z, q) + (1 - zq)S_{\vec{\mathbf{2}}}(z, q) = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

So now Proposition 8 gives us (4.20) verbatim. (4.18) follows from the fact that $\mathbf{t}_1 = \vec{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{t}_2$. Also, we can use Proposition 9, together with the facts that $\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{t}_{i+1} = \vec{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{t}_{i+2}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{t}_i = \vec{\mathbf{2}} - \mathbf{e}_1 - \cdots - \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + \mathbf{t}_{i+1}$, to obtain (4.19). This completes the proof of (4.2)–(4.3). Finally, checking the initial conditions ($P_i(0, q) = T_i(0, q) = 1$) allows us to complete our proof of Theorem 5.

5 Partitions: Andrews-Bressoud case.

Fix ℓ , and take i such that $0 \leq i \leq \ell$. If i is even, then construct the following array with $2\ell - 1$ rows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & k_0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 \\ k_2 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & \\ & \cdot & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 \\ k_4 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & \\ & \cdot & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \cdot & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 \\ \cdot & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & \\ & \cdot & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 \end{array} \quad (5.1)$$

And, if i is odd, then construct the following array with $2\ell - 1$ rows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} k_1 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & \\ & \cdot & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 \\ k_3 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & \\ & \cdot & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 \\ k_5 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & \\ & \cdot & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \cdot & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 \\ & \cdot & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 \\ \cdot & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11 & \end{array} \quad (5.2)$$

In the above arrays, set $k_i = 1$, and all other $k_j = 0$. Here, for i odd, k_i occurs in the i^{th} row, while for i even and $i \geq 2$, k_i occurs in the $(2\ell + 1 - i)^{\text{th}}$ row. Finally, k_0 always occurs in the $(2\ell)^{\text{th}}$ row. Note that the entries in the leftmost column are always \cdots in row j for $j > \ell$.

We will now show that the bivariate generating functions $P_i^*(z, q)$ for these admissible partitions satisfy the following system of functional equations:

$$P_i^*(z, q) - P_{i+1}^*(zq, q) = \sum_{j=1}^i zq^j P_{i-j+1}^*(zq^{j+1}, q), \quad 0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (5.3)$$

$$P_\ell^*(z, q) - P_{\ell-1}^*(zq, q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} zq^j P_{\ell-j+1}^*(zq^{j+1}, q) \quad (5.4)$$

We begin with the case where $i \notin \{0, \ell\}$. Suppose that we have an admissible partition counted by $P_i^*(z, q)$, and consider the set of parts j that occur in row $i-j+1$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$. At most one of these parts can occur in this partition. If j does occur in the $(i-j+1)^{\text{th}}$ row, then that contributes zq^j to the generating function, and then the remaining parts will be counted by $P_{i-j+1}^*(zq^{j+1}, q)$. If none of these parts occur, then deleting those parts from consideration shows that we have a partition that could be counted by $P_{i+1}^*(zq, q)$. Putting everything together produces the functional equation $P_i^*(z, q) = \sum_{j=1}^i zq^j P_{i-j+1}^*(zq^{j+1}, q) + P_{i+1}^*(zq, q)$.

Next, consider the case $i = \ell$. We follow the previous case, except that, if none of the highlighted parts $1, \dots, \ell$ occur, then deleting those parts from consideration produces a partition that is counted by $P_{\ell-1}^*(zq, q)$. This demonstrates $P_\ell^*(z, q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} zq^j P_{\ell-j+1}^*(zq^{j+1}, q) + P_{\ell-1}^*(zq, q)$.

Finally, consider the case $i = 0$. From inspection, it should be clear that $P_0^*(z, q) = P_1^*(zq, q)$. As the sum in (5.3) is empty for $i = 0$, that case is completed.

5.1 Explicit example: $\ell = 4$.

Let us again consider $\ell = 4$, resulting in $2(4) - 1 = 7$ lines. Once again, there are five generating functions to consider:

$$P_4^*(z, q) : \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} & & \cdot & \cdot & & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\ & \cdot & & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\ P_4^*(z, q) : & \widehat{1} & f_1 & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \dots, & (5.5) \\ & \cdot & & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\ & \cdot & & & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \end{array}$$

$$P_3^*(z, q) : \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} & \cdot & \cdot & & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\ & \cdot & & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\ P_3^*(z, q) : & \widehat{1} & f_1 & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \dots, & (5.6) \\ & \cdot & & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\ & \cdot & & & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \end{array}$$

$$P_2^*(z, q) : \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} & \cdot & & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\ & \widehat{1} & f_1 & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & \\ P_2^*(z, q) : & \cdot & & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & (5.7) \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & \dots, & \\ & \cdot & & & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\ & \cdot & & & & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
& \widehat{1} & f_1 & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & \\
& \cdot & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
P_1^*(z, q) : & \cdot & \cdot & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & \dots, & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
& & & & & \cdot & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & &
\end{array} \tag{5.8}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
& \widehat{1} & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & \\
P_0^*(z, q) : & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & \dots, & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & &
\end{array} \tag{5.9}$$

- $P_0^*(z, q) = P_1^*(zq, q)$: This is clear by inspection.
- $P_1^*(z, q) = zq^1 P_1^*(zq^2, q) + P_2^*(zq, q)$: Either there is a 1 in the first row:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\cdot & \mathbf{1} & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & \dots, & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & &
\end{array} \tag{5.10}$$

which corresponds to $zq^1 P_1^*(zq^2, q)$, or there is no 1:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\cdot & \star & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & \dots, & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & &
\end{array} \tag{5.11}$$

which corresponds to $P_2^*(zq, q)$.

- $P_2^*(z, q) = zq^1 P_2^*(zq^2, q) + zq^2 P_1^*(zq^3, q) + P_3^*(zq, q)$: Either there is a 1:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & & \\
\cdot & \mathbf{1} & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \dots, & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & &
\end{array} \tag{5.12}$$

corresponding to $zq^1P_2^*(zq^2, q)$, or there is a 2 in the first row:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 \cdot & & \mathbf{2} & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
 \cdot & \star & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & f_{14} & \dots, & (5.13) \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & &
 \end{array}$$

corresponding to $zq^2P_1^*(zq^3, q)$, or neither of these occur:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \star & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \dots, & (5.14) & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & &
 \end{array}$$

corresponding to $P_3^*(zq, q)$.

- $P_3^*(z, q) = zq^1P_3^*(zq^2, q) + zq^2P_2^*(zq^3, q) + zq^3P_1^*(zq^4, q) + P_4^*(zq, q)$:

Either there is a 1:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \star & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
 \cdot & \mathbf{1} & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & \dots, & (5.15) & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

corresponding to $zq^1P_3^*(zq^2, q)$, or there is a 2 in the second row:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \mathbf{2} & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
 \cdot & \star & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & \dots, & (5.16) & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

corresponding to $zq^2P_2^*(zq^3, q)$, or there is a 3 in the first row:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \cdot & \mathbf{3} & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \star & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \star & \star & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \star & \star & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & \dots, & & & & (5.17) \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & \star & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & \star & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & \star & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

corresponding to $zq^3P_1^*(zq^4, q)$, or none of these occur:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \star & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & \dots, & & & & (5.18) \\
 \cdot & \cdot & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \cdot & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

corresponding to $P_4^*(zq, q)$.

- $P_4^*(z, q) = zq^1P_4^*(zq^2, q) + zq^2P_3^*(zq^3, q) + zq^3P_2^*(zq^4, q) + zq^4P_1^*(zq^5, q) + P_3^*(zq, q)$.

Either there is a 1:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \mathbf{1} & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \dots, & & & & (5.19) \\
 & \cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

corresponding to $zq^1P_4^*(zq^2, q)$, or there is a 2 in the third row:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \mathbf{2} & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \star & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \dots, & & & & (5.20) \\
 & \cdot & \star & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & & \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & & & & \\
 & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

corresponding to $zq^2P_3^*(zq^3, q)$, or there is a 3 in the second row:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbf{3} & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\
\cdot & \star & \star & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \dots, & (5.21) \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & &
\end{array}$$

corresponding to $zq^3P_2^*(zq^4, q)$, or there is a 4 in the first row:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbf{4} & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & \star & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\
\cdot & \star & \star & \star & \star & \star & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & \dots, & (5.22) \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & \star & \star & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & \star & \star & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & \star & \star & \star & f_{12} & f_{14} & &
\end{array}$$

corresponding to $zq^4P_1^*(zq^5, q)$, or none of these occur:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \star & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \star & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & \\
\cdot & \star & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & \dots, & (5.23) \\
\cdot & f_2 & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & f_3 & f_5 & f_7 & f_9 & f_{11} & f_{13} & & & \\
\cdot & \cdot & f_4 & f_6 & f_8 & f_{10} & f_{12} & f_{14} & & &
\end{array}$$

corresponding to $P_3^*(zq, q)$.

6 Computations: Andrews-Bressoud case.

Carrying on in the vein of Section 3, we define

$$S_{\mathbf{v}}^*(z, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_\ell} q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_{\ell-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{n_\ell}}. \quad (6.1)$$

Here are the atomic relations:

Lemma 12. For all \mathbf{v} and $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$,

$$S_{\mathbf{v}}^* - S_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i}^* = zq^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i + i} S_{\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^*. \quad (6.2)$$

Also,

$$S_{\mathbf{v}}^* - S_{\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* = zq^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_\ell + \ell} S_{\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{t}_1}^*. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. As before: for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$, multiply $S_{\mathbf{v}}^*$ by $(1 - q^{n_i})$, cancel out a factor in the denominator, and reindex with respect to n_i to obtain (6.2). Also, multiply $S_{\mathbf{v}}^*$ by $(1 - q^{2n_\ell})$ and do the same to obtain (6.3). \square

So now define, for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$,

$$\text{rel}_{\mathbf{v}}^i := S_{\mathbf{v}}^* - S_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i}^* - zq^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i + i} S_{\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^*, \quad (6.4)$$

and for $i = \ell$,

$$\text{rel}_{\mathbf{v}}^\ell := S_{\mathbf{v}}^* - S_{\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* - zq^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_\ell + \ell} S_{\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{t}_1}^*. \quad (6.5)$$

The atomic relations are nearly the same as those in section 3. The only difference is that $\text{rel}_{\mathbf{v}}^\ell$ has changed.

Proposition 13. For $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$,

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_{j+1}}^* - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_j+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}}^* - S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_{j-1}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}}^* + (1 - zq)S_{\mathbf{2}+\mathbf{t}_{j+1}}^* = 0. \quad (6.6)$$

Proof. This is the equivalent proposition to the previous Proposition 9, but with S replaced by S^* . Since that one only relied on $\text{rel}_{\mathbf{v}}^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$, which are exactly the same in both cases, the proof is exactly the same. \square

Proposition 14. $S_{\mathbf{0}}^* - 2S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* + (1 - zq)S_{\mathbf{2}}^* = 0$.

Proof. We can obtain this as the following linear combinations of relations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \text{rel}_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}}^i - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \text{rel}_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_i}^i \quad (6.7)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left(S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}}^* - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_i}^* - zq^i S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^* \right) \quad (6.8)$$

$$+ S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{\ell-1}}^* - S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{\ell-1}+2\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* - zq^\ell S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{\ell-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1}^* \quad (6.9)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left(S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_i}^* - S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_{i-1}}^* - zq^{i+1} S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_i+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^* \right) \quad (6.10)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{0}}^* - S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} zq^i S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^* \quad (6.11)$$

$$- S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* + S_{\mathbf{2}}^* + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} zq^{i+1} S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_i+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^* \quad (6.12)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{0}}^* - 2S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* + S_{\mathbf{2}}^* - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} zq^i S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^* \quad (6.13)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} zq^i S_{\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_i}^* \quad (6.14)$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{0}}^* - 2S_{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* + S_{\mathbf{2}}^* - zq^1 S_{2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_2}^* \quad (6.15)$$

$$-\sum_{i=2}^{\ell} zq^i \left(S_{\mathbf{e}_1+\dots+\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^* - S_{\vec{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{e}_1-\dots-\mathbf{e}_{i-1}+2\mathbf{t}_1-2\mathbf{t}_i}^* \right) \quad (6.16)$$

$$= S_{\vec{\mathbf{0}}}^* - 2S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* + S_{\vec{\mathbf{2}}}^* - zq^1 S_{\vec{\mathbf{2}}}^* \quad (6.17)$$

$$= S_{\vec{\mathbf{0}}}^* - 2S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{e}_\ell}^* + (1-zq)S_{\vec{\mathbf{2}}}^* \quad (6.18)$$

$$= 0. \quad (6.19)$$

Once again, we used the facts that $\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + 2\mathbf{t}_1 - 2\mathbf{t}_{i+1} = \vec{\mathbf{2}} - \mathbf{e}_1 - \dots - \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + 2\mathbf{t}_1 - 2\mathbf{t}_i$ and $2\mathbf{t}_1 - 2\mathbf{t}_2 = \vec{\mathbf{2}}$. □

7 Completing the proof: Andrews-Bressoud case

Define (for $0 \leq i \leq \ell$)

$$T_i^*(z, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_\ell} q^{N_1^2+N_2^2+\dots+N_\ell^2+N_{i+1}+N_{i+2}+\dots+N_\ell}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{n_\ell}}. \quad (7.1)$$

We want to show that these satisfy the following system of functional equations, corresponding to (5.3) and (5.4):

$$T_i^*(z, q) - T_{i+1}^*(zq, q) = \sum_{j=1}^i zq^j T_{i-j+1}^*(zq^{j+1}, q), \quad 0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (7.2)$$

$$T_\ell^*(z, q) - T_{\ell-1}^*(zq, q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} zq^j T_{\ell-j+1}^*(zq^{j+1}, q) \quad (7.3)$$

These are very nearly the same equations as in the Andrews-Gordon case; the only difference is that the left side of the second equation went from $T_\ell(z, q) - T_\ell(zq, q)$ to $T_\ell^*(z, q) - T_{\ell-1}^*(zq, q)$. We can carry out a rearranging process similar to (4.4)–(4.9) to rewrite these as

$$T_0^*(z, q) - T_1^*(zq, q) = 0 \quad (7.4)$$

$$T_i^*(z, q) - T_{i+1}^*(zq, q) - T_{i-1}^*(zq, q) + (1-zq)T_i^*(zq^2, q) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (7.5)$$

but now

$$T_\ell^*(z, q) - 2T_{\ell-1}^*(zq, q) + (1-zq)T_\ell^*(zq^2, q) = 0 \quad (7.6)$$

Let us translate these formulas into $S_{\mathbf{v}}^*$ notation. Recalling (7.1), we see the identification $T_i^*(z, q) = S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^*(z, q)$. So our desired identities (7.4)–(7.6) become

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_1}^*(z, q) - S_{\mathbf{t}_2}^*(zq, q) = 0 \quad (7.7)$$

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^*(z, q) - S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+2}}^*(zq, q) - S_{\mathbf{t}_i}^*(zq, q) + (1-zq)S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^*(zq^2, q) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (7.8)$$

$$S_{\vec{\mathbf{0}}}^*(z, q) - 2S_{\mathbf{e}_\ell}^*(zq, q) + (1-zq)S_{\vec{\mathbf{0}}}^*(zq^2, q) = 0. \quad (7.9)$$

Note that $S_{\mathbf{v}}^*(zq, q) = S_{\mathbf{v}+\vec{\mathbf{1}}}^*(z, q)$ and $S_{\mathbf{v}}^*(zq^2, q) = S_{\mathbf{v}+\vec{\mathbf{2}}}^*(z, q)$. This allows us to rewrite these as

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_1}^*(z, q) - S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{t}_2}^*(z, q) = 0 \quad (7.10)$$

$$S_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^*(z, q) - S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{t}_{i+2}}^*(z, q) - S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{t}_i}^*(z, q) + (1-zq)S_{\vec{\mathbf{2}}+\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}^*(z, q) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (7.11)$$

$$S_{\vec{\mathbf{0}}}^*(z, q) - 2S_{\vec{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{e}_\ell}^*(z, q) + (1-zq)S_{\vec{\mathbf{2}}}^*(z, q) = 0. \quad (7.12)$$

So now Proposition 14 gives us (7.12) verbatim. (7.10) follows from the fact that $\mathbf{t}_1 = \vec{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{t}_2$. Additionally, we can use Proposition 13, together with the facts that $\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{t}_{i+1} = \vec{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{t}_{i+2}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{t}_i = \vec{\mathbf{2}} - \mathbf{e}_1 - \cdots - \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + \mathbf{t}_{i+1}$, to obtain (7.11). This completes the proof of (7.2)–(7.3). Finally, checking the initial conditions ($P_i^*(0, q) = T_i^*(0, q) = 1$) allows us to complete our proof of Theorem 6.

8 Conclusion

We conclude by listing some questions suggested by the present research. We focus on the case with an even number of rows (Theorem 5) corresponding to the Andrews-Gordon identities, but many of the comments below would equally apply to the other case.

- As we have seen, taking the usual bivariate Andrews-Gordon and Andrews-Bressoud multisums, which have elegant combinatorial interpretations, and altering the $x^{N_1+\cdots+N_k}$ factor to $z^{n_1+\cdots+n_k}$ produces new bivariate multisums that still have elegant combinatorial interpretations. Can the same be done for other families of multisum identities? One natural candidate would be the Göllnitz-Gordon-Andrews identities [3, 5]:

Theorem 15. Fix $\ell \geq 1$, and let $0 \leq i \leq \ell$. Let $G_{\ell, i, m}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with exactly m parts and no repeated odd parts satisfying $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+\ell} \geq 2$ if λ_j is odd or $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+\ell} > 2$ if λ_j is even, and there are at most i parts are ≤ 2 . Then,

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 0} G_{\ell, i, m}(n) x^m q^n = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{x^{N_1+\cdots+N_\ell} (-q, q^2)_{N_1} q^{N_1^2+2(N_2^2+\cdots+N_\ell^2+N_{i+1}+N_{i+2}+\cdots+N_\ell)}}{(q^2; q^2)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{n_\ell}} \quad (8.1)$$

and, if $x = 1$ in the above equation, we have the infinite product

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{(-q, q^2)_{N_1} q^{N_1^2+2(N_2^2+\cdots+N_\ell^2+N_{i+1}+N_{i+2}+\cdots+N_\ell)}}{(q^2; q^2)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{n_\ell}} \quad (8.2)$$

$$= \frac{(q^2; q^4)_\infty (q^{2i+1}, q^{4\ell-2i+3}, q^{4\ell+4}, q^{4\ell+4})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}. \quad (8.3)$$

So, are there some combinatorial objects (that feel similar to the colored partitions of this paper) with bivariate generating function

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_1+\cdots+n_\ell} (-q, q^2)_{N_1} q^{N_1^2+2(N_2^2+\cdots+N_\ell^2+N_{i+1}+N_{i+2}+\cdots+N_\ell)}}{(q^2; q^2)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{n_\ell}}? \quad (8.4)$$

- Can this be extended to obtain a multisum for cases $k > 1$? To be more specific: we have a bivariate generating function (Theorem 5) in the case $k = 1$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. But, as observed in [14], the case $\ell = 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ also ends up literally as Theorem 2, with bivariate generating function (1.2). Can these ideas be combined appropriately to give an answer for general k, ℓ ?
- Is there a bijection between the admissible partitions of this paper and the partitions counted by $B_{\ell,i}(n)$ in Theorem 1?
- There exist many refinements and modifications of the Andrews-Gordon identities. For example, the parity of parts has been investigated [8, 23, 24, 22]. A typical case to study here studies partitions counted by $B_{\ell,i}(n)$ in Theorem 1 that further have the requirement that even parts must appear an even number of times. Once again, there is a bivariate multisum for these partitions with a factor of $x^{N_1+\dots+N_k}$; if this is modified to $z^{n_1+\dots+n_k}$, is there a nice subfamily of these generalized partitions that are now counted?
- Another combinatorial interpretation of the sum on the right side of (1.2) involves Durfee squares and rectangles [6] (see also [1]). One nice feature of this combinatorial interpretation is that the refinement obtained provides information about the values of each of the variables n_1, \dots, n_ℓ in the sum. Again, is there a corresponding combinatorial interpretation for the new bivariate generating function here?

References

- [1] Pooneh Afsharijoo, Jehanne Dousse, Frédéric Jouhet, and Hussein Mourtada. New companions to the Andrews-Gordon identities motivated by commutative algebra. *Adv. Math.*, 417:Paper No. 108946, 40, 2023.
- [2] George E. Andrews. An analytic proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon identities. *Amer. J. Math.*, 88:844–846, 1966.
- [3] George E. Andrews. A generalization of the Göllnitz-Gordon partition theorems. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18:945–952, 1967.
- [4] George E. Andrews. An analytic generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities for odd moduli. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 71:4082–4085, 1974.
- [5] George E. Andrews. Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions. In *Theory and application of special functions (Proc. Advanced Sem., Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1975)*, Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Publ. No. 35, pages 191–224. 1975.
- [6] George E. Andrews. Partitions and Durfee dissection. *Amer. J. Math.*, 101(3):735–742, 1979.
- [7] George E. Andrews. *The theory of partitions*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. Reprint of the 1976 original.
- [8] George E. Andrews. Parity in partition identities. *Ramanujan J.*, 23(1-3):45–90, 2010.

- [9] Katherine Baker, Shashank Kanade, Matthew C. Russell, and Chris Sadowski. Principal subspaces of basic modules for twisted affine Lie algebras, q -series multisums, and Nandi's identities. *Algebraic Combinatorics*. Accepted.
- [10] David M. Bressoud. A generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities for all moduli. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 27(1):64–68, 1979.
- [11] David M. Bressoud. Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(227):54, 1980.
- [12] David M. Bressoud. An analytic generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities with interpretation. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 31(124):385–399, 1980.
- [13] Stefano Capparelli. A construction of the level 3 modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_2^{(2)}$ and a new combinatorial identity of the Rogers-Ramanujan type. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 348(2):481–501, 1996.
- [14] Stefano Capparelli, Arne Meurman, Andrej Primc, and Mirko Primc. New partition identities from $C_\ell^{(1)}$ -modules. *Glas. Mat. Ser. III*, 57(77)(2):161–184, 2022.
- [15] Jehanne Dousse and Isaac Konan. Characters of level 1 standard modules of $C_n^{(1)}$ as generating functions for generalised partitions. [arXiv:2212.12728 \[math.CO\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.12728), 2022.
- [16] Frank Garvan. A q -product tutorial for a q -series MAPLE package. volume 42, pages Art. B42d, 27. 1999. The Andrews Festschrift (Maratea, 1998).
- [17] Basil Gordon. A combinatorial generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities. *Amer. J. Math.*, 83:393–399, 1961.
- [18] Shashank Kanade, James Lepowsky, Matthew C. Russell, and Andrew V. Sills. Ghost series and a motivated proof of the Andrews-Bressoud identities. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 146:33–62, 2017.
- [19] Shashank Kanade and Matthew C. Russell. Completing the A_2 Andrews–Schilling–Warnaar identities. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*. Accepted.
- [20] Shashank Kanade and Matthew C. Russell. `IdentityFinder` and some new identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type. *Exp. Math.*, 24(4):419–423, 2015.
- [21] Shashank Kanade and Matthew C. Russell. Staircases to analytic sum-sides for many new integer partition identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 26(1):Paper No. 1.6, 33, 2019.
- [22] Sun Kim and Ae Ja Yee. The Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon identities, the generalized Göllnitz-Gordon identities, and parity questions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 120(5):1038–1056, 2013.
- [23] Kağan Kurşungöz. Parity considerations in Andrews-Gordon identities. *European J. Combin.*, 31(3):976–1000, 2010.

- [24] Kagan Kursungoz. *Parity considerations in Andrews-Gordon identities, and the k -marked Durfee symbols*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2009. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The Pennsylvania State University.
- [25] James Lepowsky and Robert Lee Wilson. The structure of standard modules. I. Universal algebras and the Rogers–Ramanujan identities. *Invent. Math.*, 77(2):199–290, 1984.
- [26] James Mc Laughlin, Andrew V. Sills, and Peter Zimmer. Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater type identities. *Electron. J. Combin.*, DS15:59, 2008.
- [27] Arne Meurman and Mirko Primc. Annihilating fields of standard modules of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})^\sim$ and combinatorial identities. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137(652):viii+89, 1999.
- [28] Debajyoti Nandi. *Partition identities arising from the standard $A_2(2)$ -modules of level 4*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2014. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Rutgers The State University of New Jersey - New Brunswick.
- [29] Mirko Primc. New partition identities for odd w odd. arXiv:2301.12484 [math.CO], 2023.
- [30] Mirko Primc and Tomislav Šikić. Combinatorial bases of basic modules for affine Lie algebras $C_n^{(1)}$. *J. Math. Phys.*, 57(9):091701, 19, 2016.
- [31] Mirko Primc and Tomislav Šikić. Leading terms of relations for standard modules of the affine Lie algebras $C_n^{(1)}$. *Ramanujan J.*, 48(3):509–543, 2019.
- [32] Andrew V. Sills. *An invitation to the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2018. With a foreword by George E. Andrews.
- [33] Dennis Stanton. Binomial Andrews–Gordon–Bressoud identities. In *Frontiers in orthogonal polynomials and q -series*, volume 1 of *Contemp. Math. Appl. Monogr. Expo. Lect. Notes*, pages 7–19. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [34] Motoki Takigiku and Shunsuke Tsuchioka. A proof of conjectured partition identities of Nandi. arXiv:1910.12461 [math.CO], 2019.
- [35] Goran Trupčević. Bases of standard modules for affine Lie algebras of type $C_\ell^{(1)}$. *Comm. Algebra*, 46(8):3663–3673, 2018.
- [36] Maggie Wieczorek. Andrews-Bressoud series and Wronskians. arXiv:2002.07846 [math.NT], 2020.