

# ON THE PERIODIC STRUCTURE OF $C^1$ SELF-MAPS ON THE PRODUCT OF SPHERES OF DIFFERENT DIMENSIONS

VÍCTOR F. SIRVENT

ABSTRACT. In the present article we study the periodic structure of some well-known classes of  $C^1$  self-maps on the product of spheres of different dimensions: transversal maps, Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms and maps with all its periodic points hyperbolic. Our approach is via the Lefschetz fixed point theory. We give a complete characterization of the minimal set of Lefschetz periods for Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms on these spaces. We also consider  $C^1$  maps with all its periodic points hyperbolic and we give conditions for these maps to have infinitely many periodic points. We describe the period set of the transversal maps on these spaces. Finally we applied these results to describe the periodic structure of similar classes of maps on compact, connected, simple connected and simple Lie groups.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the periodic structure of a map (i.e. periodic points, periodic orbits and their corresponding periods) is an important subject in the theory of dynamical systems. Because in many situations the knowledge of the periodic structure helps to understand other (global) properties like chaotic behavior, entropy, etc. They are different approaches and techniques for this study, one of the most well-known and used is the Lefschetz fixed point theory, see the monograph [25], for a comprehensive exposition of the subject.

In the present paper consider self-maps on the product of spheres of different dimensions, i.e.  $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$  with  $1 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_l$ . Our approach is via the Lefschetz fixed point theory. In [23, 29] it was studied self-maps on product of spheres of different dimension in the context of periodic free maps, i.e. the maps do not have periodic points. In the present article we compute the Lefschetz zeta function for these maps and used it to describe the dynamics of different and well-known classes of differentiable maps on these spaces: Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms, maps with all its periodic points hyperbolic and transversal maps.

---

*Date:* June 29, 2023.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37C25,55M20,37C30,37D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Lefschetz numbers, periodic point, Lefschetz zeta function, product of spheres, quasi-unipotent maps, Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms, hyperbolic periodic points, transversal maps, Lie groups.

The structure of the article is as follows: In section 2 we compute (and give an explicit and closed formula for) the Lefschetz zeta function for continuous self maps on these spaces (Theorem 3). We use this result in the following sections. In section 3 we consider the minimal sets of Lefschetz periods for Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms on this space and give a complete characterization of this set in Theorem 6. This theorem generalizes previous known results in [4], where criteria are given for self-maps on the product of two spheres of different dimensions. In section 4 we consider  $C^1$  maps with all its periodic points hyperbolic and in Theorem 7 we give sufficient conditions for these maps to have infinitely many periodic points. In section 5 we consider transversal maps on these spaces. In Lemma 9 and Theorem 10 we give an extensive characterization of the elements of the period set of transversal maps on the spaces considered here. Theorem 10 generalizes previous results presented in [24] for the case of transversal maps on sum-free product of spheres (i.e. when all the homology spaces are trivial or one-dimensional). The proofs in the present article are simpler than the ones done in [24]. We conclude the article with section 6 where some applications, remarks and open questions are presented. One of the main applications of the results of this article is the study of the periodic structure of  $C^1$  maps on some Lie groups. This is done via Hopf's Theorem (Theorem 12) which states these spaces have the same homology as a product of spheres of different even dimensions. In this way the Corollaries 13, 14 and 15 follows from the main theorems of the article.

## 2. THE LEFSCHETZ NUMBERS AND THE LEFSCHETZ ZETA FUNCTION

Let  $X$  be a compact topological manifold of dimension  $N$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  a continuous map, and  $f_{*k} : H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$  the induced maps on the  $k$ -rational homology space of  $X$ , with  $0 \leq k \leq N$ . The *Lefschetz number*  $L(f)$  is defined as

$$L(f) := \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k \text{trace}(f_{*k}).$$

The *Lefschetz zeta function* of  $f$  is the exponential generating function of the Lefschetz numbers of the iterates of  $f$ , i.e.

$$\zeta_f(t) := \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{L(f^m)}{m} t^m \right).$$

It can be expressed formally as an infinity Euler product (*cf.* [5]), of the form:

$$(1) \quad \zeta_f(t) = \prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - t^m)^{-\frac{\ell(f^m)}{m}},$$

where  $\ell(f^m)$  is the *Lefschetz number of period  $m$* , defined as

$$(2) \quad \ell(f^m) := \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) L(f^{m/r}) = \sum_{r|m} \mu(m/r) L(f^r),$$

where  $\mu$  is the classical *Möbius function*, i.e.

$$\mu(m) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k^2|m \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (-1)^r & \text{if } m = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ has distinct primes factors.} \end{cases}$$

By the Möebius inversion formula (cf. [16] Theorem 266):

$$L(f^m) = \sum_{r|m} \ell(f^r).$$

The Lefschetz zeta function is a rational function since, it can be written as

$$(3) \quad \zeta_f(t) = \prod_{k=0}^N \det(Id_{*k} - tf_{*k})^{(-1)^{k+1}},$$

where  $N = \dim M$ ,  $Id := Id_{*k}$  is the identity map on  $H_k(M, \mathbb{Q})$ , and by convention  $\det(Id_{*k} - tf_{*k}) = 1$  if  $\dim H_k(M, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , for more details see [8].

Throughout the article we set the space a product of spheres of different dimensions, i.e.  $X = \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$  with  $1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_l$ . The homology spaces of  $X$  are obtained by using the Künneth Theorem (cf. [17]), we get

$$H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \underbrace{\mathbb{Q} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Q}}_{b_k}.$$

where  $b_k$  is the number of ways that  $k$  can be written by summing up subsets of  $(n_{i_1}, \dots, n_{i_l})$ . The numbers  $b_k$  are called the *Betti numbers* of the space  $X$  and they are the coefficients of the Poincaré polynomial of the space.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map; if the homology groups  $H_{n_j}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , with  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , are one dimensional then the corresponding induced maps on homology are  $f_{*n_j} = (a_{n_j})$  where the numbers  $a_{n_j}$  are integers.

**Proposition 1** ([29]). *Let  $H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$  be non-trivial. If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $f_{*k}$  then  $\lambda = a_{n_{i_1}} \cdots a_{n_{i_s}}$ , where  $n_{i_1} + \cdots + n_{i_s} = k$ .*

We say that the numbers  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$  are the *basic eigenvalues* of  $f_*$ , if  $f_{*n_i} = (a_{n_i})$  if  $H_{n_i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is one dimensional, for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ ; or

$$f_{*n_i} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{n_i} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{n_{j_{1_1}}} \cdots a_{n_{j_{1_r}}} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n_{j_{d_1}}} \cdots a_{n_{j_{d_r}}} \end{pmatrix},$$

if the dimension of  $H_{n_i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is  $d + 1$  and  $n_{j_{1_1}} + \cdots + n_{j_{1_r}} = \cdots = n_{j_{d_1}} + \cdots + n_{j_{d_r}} = n_i$ , with  $1 \leq j_{1_1} < \cdots < j_{1_r} < i, \dots, 1 \leq j_{d_1} < \cdots < j_{d_r} < i$ , and  $d \geq 1$ . In other words the eigenvalues of the induced map on homology are given by the basic eigenvalues and some multiplications of the basic eigenvalues, for more details see [29]. We can say that

these numbers are the most basic information of the map, since from them we can obtain many homological invariants of the the map as we shall show in throughout the article. The following proposition states that the Lefschetz numbers of  $f$  are given by a product, whose factors involves the basic eigenvalues:

**Proposition 2** ([29]). *Let  $X = \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$  with  $1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_l$ , and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map, such that  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$ , are the basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ . Then*

$$(4) \quad L(f^m) = (1 + (-1)^{n_1} a_{n_1}^m) \cdots (1 + (-1)^{n_l} a_{n_l}^m).$$

From Proposition 1 and the equality (3), the Lefschetz zeta function of a map satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 2, can be written as

$$(5) \quad \zeta_f(t) = (1-t)^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^N \left( \prod_{j_1+\cdots+j_r=k} (1 - a_{n_{j_1}} \cdots a_{n_{j_r}} t)^{(-1)^{k+1}} \right),$$

where  $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_l$ , i.e. the dimension of the space  $X$ . However this formula is not very explicit since we need to find the suitable indexes  $j_1, \dots, j_r$  such that  $j_1 + \cdots + j_r = k$  (notice that are as many elements as the dimension of  $H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , i.e. the  $k$ -th Betti number) which it is computational expensive, when the Betti numbers are large.

In the following theorem we give an explicit formula of the Lefschetz zeta function in terms of the basic eigenvalues of the map, in order to obtain it we use the expression (4) of the Lefschetz numbers. The formula (6) is more computational efficient to obtain than (5).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X = \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$  with  $1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_l$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map, such that  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$ , are the basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ . Then*

$$(6) \quad \zeta_f(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \prod_{k=1}^l \left( \prod_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} (1 - a_{n_{i_1}} \cdots a_{n_{i_k}} t)^{(-1)^{n_{i_1} + \cdots + n_{i_k} - 1}} \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows by induction on  $l$ : If  $l = 1$ , the formula (6) is the well known expression of the Lefschetz zeta function of a self-map on a sphere of dimension  $n$ , for completeness we show the computation here: Let  $f_{*n} = (a_n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_f(t) &= \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{L(f^m)}{m} t^m \right) = \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + (-1)^n a_n^m)}{m} t^m \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m} \right) \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (a_n t)^m}{m} \right) \\ &= (1-t)^{-1} (1 - a_n t)^{(-1)^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f$  be a map, satisfying the hypothesis of the Theorem, so

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_f(t) &= \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{L(f^m)}{m} t^m\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 + (-1)^{n_1} a_{n_1}^m) \cdots (1 + (-1)^{n_l} a_{n_l}^m) \frac{t^m}{m}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l-1} (1 + (-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i}^m)\right) (1 + (-1)^{n_l} a_{n_l}^m) \frac{t^m}{m}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(7) \quad \zeta_f(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l-1} (1 + (-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i}^m)\right) \frac{t^m}{m}\right) \exp\left((-1)^{n_l} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l-1} (1 + (-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i}^m)\right) \frac{(a_{n_l} t)^m}{m}\right).$$

Let  $g$  be a continuous self-map on  $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_{l-1}}$  with  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{l-1}}$  as basic eigenvalues of  $g_*$ , from the definition of the Lefschetz zeta function and Proposition 2 it follows

$$\zeta_g(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l-1} (1 + (-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i}^m)\right) \frac{t^m}{m}\right).$$

So the identity (7) can be written as  $\zeta_f(t) = \zeta_g(t) \zeta_g(a_{n_l} t)^{(-1)^{n_l}}$ .

The inductive hypothesis states

$$\zeta_g(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} \left( \prod_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} (1 - a_{n_{i_1}} \cdots a_{n_{i_k}} t)^{(-1)^{n_{i_1} + \cdots + n_{i_k} - 1}} \right).$$

Consider the product

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_g(t) \zeta_g(a_l t)^{(-1)^{n_l}} &= \frac{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} \prod_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} (1 - a_{n_{i_1}} \cdots a_{n_{i_k}} t)^{(-1)^{n_{i_1} + \cdots + n_{i_k} - 1}}\right)}{1-t} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} \prod_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} (1 - a_{n_{i_1}} \cdots a_{n_{i_k}} (a_{n_l} t)^{(-1)^{n_{i_1} + \cdots + n_{i_k} - 1}})\right)^{(-1)^{n_l}}}{(1 - a_{n_l} t)^{(-1)^{n_l}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Reorganizing the terms in this expression, it yields

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_f(t) &= \zeta_g(t) \zeta_g(a_{n_l} t)^{(-1)^{n_l}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-t} \left( \prod_{i=1}^l (1 - a_{n_i} t)^{(-1)^{n_i - 1}} \right) \left( \prod_{k=2}^l \left( \prod_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} (1 - a_{n_{i_1}} \cdots a_{n_{i_k}} t)^{(-1)^{n_{i_1} + \cdots + n_{i_k} - 1}} \right) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Which is the identity (6).  $\square$

We say that a map  $f$  is *quasi-unipotent* if the eigenvalues of the  $f_{**k}$  are roots of identity for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ . These maps are very important in dynamics since they are associated to the well-known Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms. The Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms are diffeomorphisms such that non-wandering set consists only of a finite number of hyperbolic periodic point, whose stable and unstable manifolds intersect transversally, see [28] or [20] for a precise definition of these maps and the concepts mentioned. M. Shub ([27]) proved that the Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms are quasi-unipotent.

For quasi-unipotent maps the expression (1) is a product of finite number of factors and the Lefschetz numbers are bounded.

If  $f : \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$  with  $n_1 < \dots < n_l$ , quasi-unipotent, then  $a_k = \pm 1$ ; therefore  $\det(Id_{**k} - tf_{**k}) = (1 \pm t)$ , whenever  $H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is not trivial (due to Proposition 1). By (3), it follows

$$(8) \quad \zeta_f(t) = (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are integers. The precise values of the exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are computed in section 6.

### 3. MINIMAL SET OF LEFSCHETZ PERIODS

The minimal set of Lefschetz periods is an important set for understanding the periodic structure of  $C^1$  quasi-unipotent maps, in particular the Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms. For a detail description of the concept of the minimal set of Lefschetz periods see for example [20, 21]. In order to the article be self-contained we give a brief introduction of this notion and its definition in the following lines.

Let  $X$  be a  $C^1$  compact manifold and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  a  $C^1$  map. We say that  $x$  is a *hyperbolic point of  $f$*  if  $x$  is a periodic point of period  $p$  of  $f$  (for some  $p$ ) and the eigenvalues of  $Df^p(x)$  have modulus different from 1. Let  $T_x M$  be the tangent space of  $M$  at  $x$ , in this situation  $T_x M = E_x^u \oplus E_x^s$ , where  $E_x^u$  the unstable space, i.e. the subspace of the tangent space  $T_x M$  generated by the eigenvectors of  $Df^p(x)$  of modulus larger than 1; and  $E_x^s$  the stable space, defined in a similar manner. The *index  $u$*  of the orbit of  $x$  is the dimension of the unstable space at  $x$ . We define the *orientation type  $\Delta$*  of the orbit of  $x$  as  $+1$  if  $Df^p(x) : E_x^u \rightarrow E_x^u$  preserves orientation and  $-1$  if reverses the orientation. The collection of the triples  $(p, u, \Delta)$  belonging to all periodic orbits of  $f$  is called the *periodic data* of  $f$ .

The following Theorem is one of the most important results that relates the period data of a differentiable map with the expression of its Lefschetz zeta function.

**Theorem 4** (Franks [7]). *Let  $f$  be a  $C^1$  map on a compact manifold without boundary having finitely many periodic points all of them hyperbolic, and let  $\Sigma$  be the periodic data of  $f$ . Then the Lefschetz zeta function  $\zeta_f(t)$  of  $f$  satisfies*

$$(9) \quad \zeta_f(t) = \prod_{(p,u,\Delta) \in \Sigma} (1 - \Delta t^p)^{(-1)^{u+1}}.$$

If  $\zeta_f(t) \neq 1$  then it can be written as

$$(10) \quad \zeta_f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_\zeta} (1 + \Delta_i t^{r_i})^{m_i},$$

where  $\Delta_i = \pm 1$ , the  $r_i$ 's are positive integers,  $m_i$ 's are nonzero integers and  $N_\zeta$  is a positive integer depending on  $f$ .

If  $\zeta_f(t) \neq 1$  the *minimal set of Lefschetz periods* of  $f$  is defined as

$$\text{MPer}_L(f) := \bigcap \{r_1, \dots, r_{N_\zeta}\},$$

where the intersection is considered over all the possible expressions (10) of  $\zeta_f(t)$ . If  $\zeta_f(t) = 1$  then we define  $\text{MPer}_L(f) := \emptyset$ . We can say that the minimal set of Lefschetz periods of  $f$  is the intersection of all the sets of periods forced by the finitely many different representations of  $\zeta_f(t)$  as products of the form  $(1 \pm t^p)^{\pm 1}$ . Clearly the minimal set of Lefschetz periods is a subset of the set of periods of the map. When  $\text{MPer}_L(f)$  is empty we do not get any real information about the period set of  $f$ .

An important result is that the set  $\text{MPer}_L(f)$  consists only of odd positive integers (cf. [20, 21]). Note that if the exponents  $r_i$ , of (10) are odd and pairwise distinct, then the representation (10) of the Lefschetz zeta function is unique.

From these facts and the expression (8) follows that the minimal set of Lefschetz periods for a  $C^1$  quasi-unipotent map is either the empty set or  $\{1\}$ . The following lemma gives a complete characterization of the set for this class of maps.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $X = \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$  with  $n_1 < \dots < n_l$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $C^1$  quasi-unipotent map, such that  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$ , are the basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ .*

- (a) *If  $(-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i} = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $\text{MPer}_L(f) = \{1\}$ .*
- (b) *If  $(-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i} = -1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $\text{MPer}_L(f) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* By (4), if  $(-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i} = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $L(f^m) = 2^l$  for  $m$  odd. Therefore, when  $m$  is odd

$$\ell(f^m) = \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) L(f^{m/r}) = \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) 2^l = 2^l \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m > 1 \\ 2^l & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here we used a well-known property of the Möbius function (cf. [16, Theorem 263, p. 235]):

- if  $m > 1$  then  $\sum_{r|m} \mu(r) = 0$ , and
- if  $m = 1$  then  $\sum_{r|m} \mu(r) = 1$ .

Since  $\text{MPer}_L(f)$  does not contain even numbers, we conclude that 1 is the only element of the set  $\text{MPer}_L(f)$ .

If  $(-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i} = -1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $L(f^m) = 0$  for all odd positive integers  $m$ . Therefore  $\ell(f^m) = 0$  for all  $m$  odd. Hence  $\text{MPer}_L(f)$  is the empty set.  $\square$

Since the Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms are  $C^1$  quasi-unipotent maps, Lemma 5 yields the following result:

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $X = \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$  with  $n_1 < \cdots < n_l$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a Morse-Smale diffeomorphism, such that  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$ , are the basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ . Then the minimal set of Lefschetz periods is either the empty set or  $\{1\}$ . Moreover*

- (1) *If  $(-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i} = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $MPer_L(f) = \{1\}$ .*
- (2) *If  $(-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i} = -1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $MPer_L(f) = \emptyset$ .*

In [4] considered the minimal set of Lefschetz periods for Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms on the product of two spheres, therefore Theorem 6 is a more general version of the results in [4]. The period set of Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , where studied before in [12]. See [11] for other developments in the subject of the minimal sets of Lefschetz periods.

#### 4. MAPS WITH FINITELY MANY PERIODIC POINTS ALL OF THEM HYPERBOLIC

Theorem 4 is an important tool for characterizing differentiable maps having a finite number of periodic points all of them hyperbolic, and it is done via the Lefschetz zeta function. This has been studied before by different contexts, see for example [22, 15], and within references. For a more analytic approach to the subject, see for instance [26].

Given a  $C^1$  self-map on the spaces considered here with all its periodic point hyperbolic, in the following theorem we give sufficient conditions for the map to have an infinite number of periodic points, in terms of the basic eigenvalues of the induced maps on homology. Theorem 4 of [15] gives other sufficient condition for this type of map to have an infinite number of periodic points. The criterion given in Theorem 7 is easier to check since it is stated in terms of the basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ , which is the more basic information (from the homology point of view) of the map  $f$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $X = \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$  with  $1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_l$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $C^1$  map with all its periodic points hyperbolic, and  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$ , are the basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ .*

*If  $a_{n_i} \neq 1$  for all  $n_i$  odd and there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that  $|a_{n_j}| > 1$  then  $f$  has infinitely many periodic points.*

*Proof.* Due to (4) the sequence of the Lefschetz numbers  $\{L(f^m)\}_m$  is unbounded if and only if  $a_{n_i} \neq 1$  for all  $n_i$  odd and there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that  $|a_{n_j}| > 1$ .

According to [1, Theorem 2.2] the sequence  $\{L(f^m)\}_m$  is unbounded is equivalent to the fact there exist a zero or pole of  $\zeta_f(t)$  with modulus less than 1. Since the expression of the Lefschetz zeta function given by (6) and the numbers  $a_i$  are integers, hence there exists at least one term of the form  $(1 - ct)$ , with  $|c| > 1$ , which is not cancel out in the formula (6). Therefore  $\zeta_f(t)$  cannot be written in the form (9) so by Theorem 4, the map  $f$  has infinitely many periodic points.  $\square$



Let us consider the following example:  $f : X \rightarrow X$ , where  $X = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ , the induced maps on homology are:  $f_{*1} = (a_1)$ ,  $f_{*2} = (a_2)$ ,  $f_{*4} = (a_1 a_3)$ ,  $f_{*5} = (a_2 a_3)$ ,  $f_{*6} = (a_1 a_2 a_3)$  and

$$f_{*3} = \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 a_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  are the basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ , for more details see [29, Example 2]. According (6), its Lefschetz zeta function is

$$\zeta_f(t) = \frac{(1 - a_1 t)(1 - a_3 t)(1 - a_1 a_2 t)(1 - a_2 a_3 t)}{(1 - t)(1 - a_2 t)(1 - a_1 a_3 t)(1 - a_1 a_2 a_3 t)}.$$

Note that this expression is of type (9) if and only if  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_3 = 1$  (in any of these cases  $\zeta_f(t) = 1$ ), or  $|a_i| \leq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ .

## 5. TRANSVERSAL MAPS

A *transversal map*  $f$  on a compact differentiable manifold  $X$  is a  $C^1$  map  $f : X \rightarrow X$ , such that  $f(X) \subset \text{Int}(X)$  and for all positive integer  $m$  at each point  $x$  fixed by  $f^m$  we have that 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $Df^m(x)$ , i.e.  $\det(\text{Id} - Df^m(x)) \neq 0$ .

One of the most important results concerning the periodic structure of the transversal map on any manifold is the following theorem:

**Theorem 8** ([19, 9]). *Let  $X$  be a compact manifold and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a transversal map. Suppose  $\ell(f^m) \neq 0$ , for some  $m$ . Then*

- (a) *If  $m$  is odd, then  $m \in \text{Per}(f)$ .*
- (b) *If  $m$  is even, then  $m$  or  $m/2$  is in  $\text{Per}(f)$ .*

In [14] this theorem was used for transversal self-maps on the product of two spheres. And in [24] it was also used for transversal maps on a product of any numbers of spheres such that all the homology spaces are trivial or one-dimensional, the so-called sum-free product. Lemma 9 generalizes Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 of [24]. And Theorem 10 is a more general version of Corollary 1.3 and 1.5, moreover its proof simpler.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $X = \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$ , with  $n_1 < \dots < n_l$ . Let  $f$  be a transversal self-map on  $X$ , with basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ :  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$ . Assume that the basic eigenvalues are not zero and there is no  $n_i$  odd with  $a_{n_i} = 1$ .*

- (a) *If  $|a_j| > 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , then there exists  $N > 0$ , such that  $\ell(f^m) \neq 0$ , for  $m > N$ .*
- (b) *If there is  $n_i$  even with  $a_i = -1$  and there is  $|a_j| > 1$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , then  $\ell(f^{2m+1}) = 0$  for all  $m > 1$  and there exists  $N > 0$ , such that  $\ell(f^{2m}) \neq 0$ , for  $m > N$ .*
- (c) *If there is  $n_i$  odd with  $a_i = -1$  and there is  $|a_j| > 1$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , then  $\ell(f^{2m}) = 0$  for all  $m > 1$  and there exists  $N > 0$ , such that  $\ell(f^{2m+1}) \neq 0$ , for  $m > N$ .*

- (d) Let  $\mathcal{I} := \{j \in \{1, \dots, l\} : |a_j| > 1\}$ . If  $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$  and  $(-1)^{n_i} a_i = (-1)^{n_i} a_i^2 = 1$ , for  $i \notin \mathcal{I}$ , then there exists  $N > 0$ , such that  $\ell(f^m) \neq 0$ , for  $m > N$ .
- (e) Suppose  $|a_i| = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .
- (e-1) If  $a_{n_i} = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $\ell(f^m) = 0$ , for  $m > 1$ .
- (e-2) If there are no  $n_i$  even with  $a_{n_i} = -1$  and there is  $n_j$  odd with  $a_{n_j} = -1$  then  $\ell(f^m) \neq 0$ , when  $m = 2^s$ , for some  $s$  and  $\ell(f^m) = 0$  otherwise.
- (e-3) If all  $n_i$  are even and there exists  $a_{n_j} = -1$ , for some  $1 \leq j \leq l$  then  $\ell(f^m) \neq 0$  when  $m = 2^s$ , for some  $s$  and  $\ell(f^m) = 0$  otherwise.

From Lemma 9 and Theorem 8 we get the following result:

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $X = \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$ , with  $n_1 < \dots < n_l$ . Let  $f$  be a transversal self-map on  $X$ , with basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ :  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$  and all of them are different from zero. Assume there is no  $n_i$  odd with  $a_i = 1$ .*

- (1) If  $|a_j| > 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , then there exists  $N > 0$ , such that
  - the number  $m$  is in  $\text{Per}(f)$ , if  $m > N$  is odd, and
  - $m$  or  $m/2$  is in  $\text{Per}(f)$ , if  $m > N$  is even.
- (2) If there is  $n_i$  even with  $a_i = -1$  and there is  $|a_j| > 1$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , then there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $m$  or  $m/2$  is in  $\text{Per}(f)$ , if  $m > N$  is even.
- (3) If there is  $n_i$  odd with  $a_i = -1$  and there is  $|a_j| > 1$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , then there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $m \in \text{Per}(f)$ , if  $m > N$  is odd.
- (4) If there are no  $n_i$  even with  $a_{n_i} = -1$  and there is  $n_j$  odd with  $a_{n_j} = -1$  then for some  $1 \leq j \leq l$  then  $m$  or  $m/2$  is in  $\text{Per}(f)$ , when  $m$  is a power of 2.
- (5) If all  $n_i$  are even and  $|a_{n_i}| = 1$ , such that there exists  $a_{n_i} = -1$ , for some  $1 \leq j \leq l$  then  $m$  or  $m/2$  is in  $\text{Per}(f)$ , when  $m$  is a power of 2.

*Proof of Lemma 9.* Using the definition of Lefschetz numbers of period  $m$ , Proposition 2 and the properties of the Möbius function, we have for  $m > 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ell(f^m) &= \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) L(f^{m/r}) \\
 &= \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) \left( \prod_{i=1}^l (1 + (-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i}^{m/r}) \right) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^l \left( \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) (1 + (-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i}^{m/r}) \right) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^l \left( \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) \right) + \prod_{i=1}^l (-1)^{n_i} \left( \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) a_{n_i}^{m/r} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we set  $Q_m(x) := \sum_{r|m} \mu(r)x^{m/r}$ , the previous computations yields:

$$(11) \quad \ell(f^m) = \prod_{i=1}^l (-1)^{n_i} Q_m(a_{n_i}).$$

Note that if for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$   $a_{n_i} = 1$  and  $n_i$  odd then  $L(f^m) = 0$ , hence  $\ell(f^m) = 0$ , for all  $m$ .

In order to show that  $\ell(f^m)$  are bounded away of zero, we need to compute the growth of the family of polynomials  $Q_m(x)$ . This is done in the following proposition:

**Proposition 11.** *If  $|a| > 1$  then there exists a constant  $C_a > 0$  (which only depends of  $a$ ) and a positive integer  $N$  such that  $|Q_m(a)| \geq C_a |a|^m$  for  $m > N$ .*

Note that if  $|a_i| > 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , the equality (11) and Proposition 11 yields: there exist positive constants  $C_1, \dots, C_l$  and  $N$  such that

$$|\ell(f^m)| = \prod_{i=1}^l |Q_m(a_{n_i})| \geq C_1 \cdots C_l |a_{n_1} \cdots a_{n_l}|^m,$$

for  $m > N$ , hence  $\ell(f^m) \neq 0$ , for  $m > N$ . This proves statement (a).

If there is  $n_i$  even such that  $a_i = -1$  then  $L(f^m) = 0$  for  $m$  odd, therefore  $\ell(f^m) = 0$  for all  $m$  odd. By Proposition 11, if there is  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  with  $|a_j| > 1$  then there exists  $N$  such that  $|Q_m(a_{n_j})| > C_j |a_{n_j}|^m$ , for  $m > N$  and  $C_j > 0$ . Hence  $|\ell(f^m)| \geq C_j |a_{n_j}|^m > 0$ , for  $m > N$  and even. This completes the proof of statement (b).

A similar analysis is done when there is  $n_i$  odd with  $a_i = -1$  and there exists  $|a_j| > 1$ . In this case  $\ell(f^m) = 0$  for all  $m$  even and  $|\ell(f^m)| \geq C_j |a_{n_j}|^m > 0$ , for large and even  $m$ . This proves statement (c).

Note that in the case of (d), we have  $L(f^m) \neq 0$  for all  $m$ . By (11) and Proposition 11 we have

$$|\ell(f^m)| = \prod_{i=1}^l |Q_m(a_{n_i})| = \left( \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} 2 \right) \prod_{i \notin \mathcal{I}} |Q_m(a_{n_i})| \geq 2^{\#\mathcal{I}} \prod_{i \notin \mathcal{I}} C_i |a_{n_i}|^m.$$

for sufficient large  $m$ . This completes the proof of statement (d).

If  $|a_i| = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then (4) can be written as

$$L(f^m) = \left( \prod_{\substack{n_i \text{ odd} \\ a_{n_i} = -1}} (1 + (-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i}^m) \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{n_i \text{ even} \\ a_{n_i} = 1}} (1 + (-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i}^m) \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{n_i \text{ even} \\ a_{n_i} = -1}} (1 + (-1)^{n_i} a_{n_i}^m) \right).$$

For simplicity we introduce the sets:

$$O^- := \{i : n_i \text{ odd}, a_{n_i} = -1\}, \quad E^+ := \{i : n_i \text{ even}, a_{n_i} = 1\}, \quad E^- := \{i : n_i \text{ even}, a_{n_i} = -1\}.$$

Note that if  $O^- = E^- = \emptyset$  then  $L(f^m) = 2^l$  then  $\ell(f^m) = 0$  for  $m > 1$ , and  $\ell(f) = 1$ . This prove statement (e-1).

If  $O^- \neq \emptyset$  and  $E^- = \emptyset$  then

$$L(f^m) = \prod_{n_i \in O^-} (1 - (-1)^{n_i}) \prod_{n_i \in E^-} 2 = \begin{cases} 2^{\#O^+} 2^{\#E^-} & m \text{ odd} \\ 0 & m \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

In the case of  $m$  odd it follows

$$\ell(f^m) = \sum_{r|m} \mu(r) L(f^{m/r}) = 2^{\#O^+} 2^{\#E^-} \sum_{r|m} \mu(r).$$

Therefore  $\ell(f^m) = 0$  for  $m > 1$  and  $\ell(f) \neq 0$ .

If  $m$  is even then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(f^m) &= \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ even}}} \mu(m/r) L(f^r) + \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ odd}}} \mu(m/r) L(f^r) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ odd}}} \mu(m/r) L(f^r) = 2^{\#O^+} 2^{\#E^-} \left( \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ odd}}} \mu(m/r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily check that

$$\sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ odd}}} \mu(m/r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 2^s \text{ for some } s > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This proves statement (e-2).

If all  $n_i$  are even, i.e.  $O^- = \emptyset$ , and  $E^- \neq \emptyset$  then

$$L(f^m) = \prod_{n_i \in E^+} 2 \prod_{n_i \in E^-} (1 + (-1)^{n_i}) = \begin{cases} 2^{\#E^+} 2^{\#E^-} & m \text{ even} \\ 0 & m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $\ell(f^m) = 0$ , for  $m$  odd. In the case of  $m$  even

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(f^m) &= \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ even}}} \mu(m/r) L(f^r) + \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ odd}}} \mu(m/r) L(f^r) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ even}}} \mu(m/r) L(f^r) = 2^{\#E^+} 2^{\#E^-} \left( \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ even}}} \mu(m/r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily check that

$$\sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \text{ even}}} \mu(m/r) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } m = 2^s \text{ for some } s > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $\ell(f^m) \neq 0$  if  $m$  is a power of 2, and otherwise  $\ell(f^m) = 0$ . This completes the proof of statement (e-3).  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 11.* The proof of this proposition is quite standard, we present it here for completeness sake.

Using the triangular inequality and the definition of  $Q_m(x)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_m(a)| &\geq |a|^m - \left| \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \neq 1}} \mu(r) a^{m/r} \right| \geq |a|^m \left| 1 - \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \neq 1}} \mu(r) a^{m/r-m} \right| \\ &\geq |a|^m \left( 1 - \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \neq 1}} |a^{m/r-m}| \right) \geq |a|^m \left( 1 - |a^{m'-m}| \sum_{r|m} 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $m' := \max\{m/r : r|m, r \neq 1\}$ . If  $m$  is prime then  $m' = 1$ , and if  $m$  is a composite number then  $m' \geq \sqrt{m}$ . Therefore

$$|Q_m(a)| \geq |a|^m \left( 1 - \sigma(m) |a^{m'-m}| \right) \geq |a|^m \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma(m)}{|a^{m-\sqrt{m}}|} \right)$$

where  $\sigma(m)$  is the number of divisors of  $m$ . For large  $m$ ,  $\sigma(m) \leq C' m^\epsilon$ , with  $\epsilon > 0$  arbitrary small and  $C'$  a positive constant (cf. [16, Theorem 315, pp. 260]). Therefore  $m^\epsilon a^{\sqrt{m}-m}$  is arbitrary small for large  $m$ . Hence there exists  $N > 0$ , such that for  $m \geq N$  and composite it follows

$$|Q_m(a)| \geq |a|^m \left( 1 - \frac{C' m^\epsilon}{|a^{m-\sqrt{m}}|} \right) \geq C |a|^m$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ , which only depends of  $a$ . If  $m$  is a large prime, we get

$$|Q_m(a)| \geq |a|^m \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma(m)}{|a^{m-1}|} \right),$$

it also yields  $|Q_m(a)| \geq C |a|^m$ , for a positive constant  $C$ .  $\square$

## 6. REMARKS, APPLICATIONS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

- (1) A remarkable application of the results of this article is the study and characterization of the periodic structure of  $C^1$  self-maps on Lie groups, since the homology of Lie groups satisfying some topological properties are the same of the product of spheres of different odd dimensions, due to Hopf's Theorem (cf. [18]):

**Theorem 12** (Hopf's Theorem). *Let  $X = G$  be a compact, connected, simple connected, simple Lie group, then the homology spaces of  $G$  over the reals are the same as the homology spaces of  $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_l}$ , where  $n_1, \dots, n_l$  are odd,  $l$  is the rank of  $G$  and  $n_1 + \cdots + n_l$  is the dimension of  $G$ .*

Therefore the results of this article (Theorems 6, 7 and 10) and Hopf's Theorem yield the following corollaries:

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $G$  be a Lie group satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 12 and  $f : G \rightarrow G$  be a Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms such that  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$ , are the basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ . Then the minimal set of Lefschetz periods is either the empty set or  $\{1\}$ . Moreover*

- (a) *If  $a_{n_i} = -1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $MPer_L(f) = \{1\}$ .*
- (b) *If  $a_{n_i} = 1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $MPer_L(f) = \emptyset$ .*

**Corollary 14.** *Let  $G$  be a Lie group satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 12 and  $f : G \rightarrow G$  be a  $C^1$  map with all its periodic points hyperbolic such that  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$ , are the basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ . If  $a_{n_i} \neq 1$  for all  $n_i$  and there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that  $|a_{n_j}| > 1$  then  $f$  has infinitely many periodic points.*

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $G$  be a Lie group satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 12 and  $f : G \rightarrow G$  be a transversal self-map on  $G$ . Let  $f$  be a transversal self-map on  $G$ , with basic eigenvalues of  $f_*$ :  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_l}$ . Assume that  $a_i \neq 1$ .*

- (a) *If  $|a_{n_j}| > 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , then there exists  $N > 0$ , such that*
  - *the number  $m$  is in  $\text{Per}(f)$ , if  $m > N$  is odd, and*
  - *$m$  or  $m/2$  is in  $\text{Per}(f)$ , if  $m > N$  is even.*
- (b) *If  $a_{n_i} = -1$  and there is  $|a_{n_j}| > 1$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , then there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $m \in \text{Per}(f)$ , if  $m > N$  is odd.*
- (c) *If  $|a_{n_i}| = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$  and there is  $a_{n_j} = -1$  for some  $n_j$  then  $\{m, m/2\} \subset \text{Per}(f)$  when  $m$  is a power of 2.*

Among the classical Lie groups that satisfy the hypotheses of the Hopf's Theorem are:  $SU(n)$ ,  $SO(n)$  and  $Sp(n)$ .

- (2) A general goal of this theory is to extend the results of of this article to self-maps on the space  $X = X(n_1, s_1) \times \cdots \times X(n_l, s_l)$ , where  $X(n_i, s_i) := \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^{n_i} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_i}}_{s_i}$ ,

with  $1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_l$ , and  $s_i \geq 1$ . It would quite interesting to achieve this goal. We recall that in the particular case of  $X = X(n, s)$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and  $s > 1$ , the study of the minimal sets Lefschetz periods and the computation of the Lefschetz zeta function for quasi-unipotent maps was done in [3]. In the particular situation of the torus, i.e.  $n = 1$ , the study was done previously in [2, 13]. Regarding the periodic structure of transversal maps for  $X = X(n, s)$ , for  $n \geq 1$  and  $s > 1$ , see [30]. However we require new techniques for achieving these goals.

(3) The precise values of the exponent  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in (8) can be computed as follows: Let

$$e(k) := \#\{(j_1, \dots, j_r) : j_1 + \dots + j_r = k \text{ and } a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_r} = 1\} \text{ and}$$

$$o(k) := \#\{(j_1, \dots, j_r) : j_1 + \dots + j_r = k \text{ and } a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_r} = -1\},$$

where the symbol  $\#$  means the cardinality of the set. From the identity (5), it follows  $\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^N e(k)(-1)^{k+1} - 1$  and  $\beta = \sum_{k=1}^N o(k)(-1)^{k+1}$ .

(4) The results of this article can be generalized in the context of some rational exterior spaces. For the definition and basic properties of self-maps on these spaces, see [6, 10]. The dynamics of the transversal maps on rational exterior spaces of a given fixed rank, was studied in [30]. However we do not present the generalization of our results in this context since it is out the scope of the present article.

**Availability of data and material:** Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. K. BABENKO, S. A. BOGATYI, The behaviour of the index of periodic points under iterations of a mapping, *Math. USSR Izv.*, **38** (1992), 1–26.
- [2] P. BERRIZBEITIA, M.J. GONZÁLEZ, A. MENDOZA, V.F. SIRVENT, On the Lefschetz zeta function for quasi-unipotent maps on then-dimensional torus II: The general case. *Topology Appl.*, **210** (2016), 246–262.
- [3] P. BERRIZBEITIA, M.J. GONZÁLEZ, V.F. SIRVENT, On the Lefschetz zeta function and the minimal sets of Lefschetz periods for Morse–Smale diffeomorphisms on products of  $\ell$ -spheres, *Topology Appl.*, **235** (2018) 428–444.
- [4] C. CUFÍ-CABRÉ, J. LLIBRE, Periods of Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^m \times \mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $\mathbb{H}P^n$ , *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **24** (2022), Paper No. 4,12p.
- [5] A. DOLD, Fixed point indices of iterated maps. *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983), 419–435.
- [6] H. DUAN, The Lefschetz numbers of iterated maps. *Topology Appl.* **67** (1995), no. 1, 71–79.
- [7] J. FRANKS, Some smooth maps with infinitely many hyperbolic points, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **226** (1977), 175–179.
- [8] J. FRANKS, Homology and dynamical systems, *CBSM Regional Conf. Ser. in Math.*, **49** (1982). Am. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.
- [9] A. GUILLAMÓN, X. JARQUE, J. LLIBRE, J. ORTEGA, J. TORREGROSA, Periods for transversal maps via Lefschetz numbers for periodic points, *Trans. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 4779–4806.
- [10] G. GRAFF, Minimal periods of maps of rational exterior spaces, *Fund. Math.* **163** (2000), 99–115.
- [11] G. GRAFF, M. LEBIEDŹ, A. MYSZKOWSKI, Periodic expansion in determining minimal sets of Lefschetz periods for Morse–Smale diffeomorphisms, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **21** (2019), Paper No. 47, 21p.
- [12] J.L.G. GUIRAO, J. LLIBRE, Periods of Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , *Colloq. Math.* **110** (2008), 477–483.
- [13] J.L.G. GUIRAO, J. LLIBRE, Minimal Lefschetz sets of periods for Morse–Smale diffeomorphisms on the  $n$ -dimensional torus, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **16** (2010), 689–703.
- [14] J.L.G. GUIRAO, J. LLIBRE, Periodic structure of transversal maps on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ,  $\mathbb{H}P^n$  and  $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$  *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **12** (2013), 417–425.
- [15] J.L.G. GUIRAO, J. LLIBRE, W. GAO,  $C^1$  self-maps on some compact manifolds with infinitely many hyperbolic periodic orbits, *Houston J. of Math.* **47** (2021), 957–970.

- [16] G.H. HARDY, E.M. WRIGHT, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th edn. Oxford Science Publications, Oxford (1938)
- [17] A. HATCHER, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [18] P.G. KUMPEL, Lie groups and product of spheres, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 1350–1356.
- [19] J. LLIBRE, Lefschetz numbers for periodic points, *Contemporary Math.* **152** (1993), 215–227.
- [20] J. LLIBRE, V.F. SIRVENT, Minimal sets of periods for Morse–Smale diffeomorphisms on orientable compact surfaces, *Houston J. of Math.* **35** (2009), 835–855. Erratum: Minimal sets of periods for Morse–Smale diffeomorphisms on orientable compact surfaces, *Houston J. of Math.* **36** (2010), 335–336.
- [21] J. LLIBRE, V.F. SIRVENT, A survey on the minimal sets of Lefschetz periods for Morse–Smale diffeomorphisms on some closed manifolds. *Publ. Mat. Uruguay*, **14** (2013), 155–169.
- [22] J. LLIBRE, V.F. SIRVENT,  $C^1$  self-maps on closed manifolds with finitely many periodic points all of them hyperbolic. *Math. Bohem.* **141** (2016), 83–90.
- [23] J. LLIBRE, V.F. SIRVENT, On Lefschetz periodic point free self-maps. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **20** (2018), no. 1, Art. 38, 9 pp.
- [24] J. LLIBRE, V.F. SIRVENT, Periodic structure of transversal maps on sum-free products of spheres. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **25** (2019), 619–629.
- [25] J. JEZIEWSKI, W. MARZANTOWICZ, *Homotopy methods in topological fixed and periodic points theory*, Topological Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications **3**, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [26] C.A. MORALES, Finiteness of periods for diffeomorphisms, *Houston J. Math.* **42** (2016), 479–497.
- [27] M. SHUB, Morse–Smale diffeomorphisms are unipotent on homology, *Dynamical systems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971)*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [28] M. SHUB, D. SULLIVAN, *Homology theory and dynamical systems*, Topology **14** (1975), 109–132.
- [29] V.F. SIRVENT, Partially Periodic Point Free Self-Maps on Product of Spheres and Lie Groups *Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems*, **19** (2020) Paper No. 84, 12 p.
- [30] V.F. SIRVENT, A note on the periodic structure of transversal maps on the torus and products of spheres. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **19** (2020), Paper No. 45, 10 p.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DEL NORTE, ANTOFAGASTA, CHILE

*Email address:* victor.sirvent@ucn.cl