

THE HÖLDER EXPONENT OF ANOSOV LIMIT MAPS

KONSTANTINOS TSOVALAS

ABSTRACT. Let Γ be a non-elementary word hyperbolic group and $d_a, a > 1$, a visual metric on its Gromov boundary $\partial_\infty\Gamma$. For an 1-Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , we calculate the Hölder exponent of the Anosov limit map $\xi_\rho^1 : (\partial_\infty\Gamma, d_a) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d), d_{\mathbb{P}})$ of ρ in terms of the moduli of eigenvalues of elements in $\rho(\Gamma)$ and the stable translation length on Γ . If ρ is either irreducible or $\xi_\rho^1(\partial_\infty\Gamma)$ spans \mathbb{K}^d and ρ is $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov, then ξ_ρ^1 attains its Hölder exponent. We also provide an analogous calculation for the exponent of the inverse limit map of $(1, 1, 2)$ -hyperconvex representations. Finally, we exhibit examples of non semisimple 1-Anosov representations of surface groups in $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ whose Anosov limit map in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ does not attain its Hölder exponent.

1. INTRODUCTION

Anosov representations form a rich and stable class of discrete subgroups of linear reductive Lie groups with special dynamical properties, introduced by Labourie [17] in his work on the Hitchin component. Labourie's dynamical definition was further generalized by Guichard–Wienhard [13] for arbitrary word hyperbolic groups. Anosov representations have been extensively studied by Kapovich–Leeb–Porti [14], Guéritaud–Guichard–Kassel–Wienhard [11], Bochi–Potrie–Sambarino [4] and others, and today are recognized as the correct higher rank analogue of convex cocompact subgroups of rank one Lie groups.

Let \mathbb{K} be the field of real or complex numbers. The definition of an Anosov representation into a reductive Lie group G is defined with respect to a pair of opposite parabolic subgroups (P^+, P^-) of G . Every P^\pm -Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ of a word hyperbolic group Γ admits a unique pair of ρ -equivariant bi-Hölder continuous embeddings of the Gromov boundary of Γ (denoted by $\partial_\infty\Gamma$) into the homogeneous spaces G/P^+ and G/P^- , called the *Anosov limit maps of ρ* . An Anosov representation into $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is called *1-Anosov* (or *projective Anosov*) if it is Anosov with respect to the pair of opposite parabolic subgroups, defined as the stabilizer of a line and a complementary hyperplane in \mathbb{K}^d . Guichard–Wienhard [13, Prop. 4.3] showed that for every P^\pm -Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on G and P^\pm , and a continuous representation $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ such that the representation $\tau \circ \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is 1-Anosov. The Anosov limit maps of $\tau \circ \rho$ are obtained by composing the limit maps of ρ with the τ -equivariant generalized Plücker embeddings of G/P^+ into the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$ and of G/P^- into the Grassmannian of codimension one hyperplanes $\mathrm{Gr}_{d-1}(\mathbb{K}^d)$. Thus, from this perspective, the class of 1-Anosov representations is the most general class of Anosov representations into real reductive Lie groups.

The Hölder exponent of a continuous map between two metric spaces is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. *Let (Z, d) and (Z', d') be metric spaces and $f : (Z, d) \rightarrow (Z', d')$ a Hölder continuous map. The Hölder exponent of f , denoted by $\alpha_f(d, d')$, is*

$$\alpha_f(d, d') := \sup \{ \alpha > 0 \mid \exists C > 0 : d'(f(x), f(y)) \leq C d(x, y)^\alpha \ \forall x, y \in Z \}.$$

In this paper, we calculate the Hölder exponent of the Anosov limit maps of an 1-Anosov representation in terms of the moduli of the eigenvalues of elements in its image. We also obtain similar formulas for the inverse of the 1-Anosov limit map of a $(1, 1, 2)$ -hyperconvex representation.

Date: June 29, 2023.

Before we state the main results let us make the following conventions. Throughout this paper, Γ denotes a non-elementary Gromov hyperbolic group equipped with a Γ -*model space*, i.e. a proper geodesic Gromov hyperbolic space (X, d_X) on which Γ acts isometrically, properly discontinuously and cocompactly. Fix $x_0 \in X$ and denote by $|\cdot|_X : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ the associated word length function defined as $|\gamma|_X = d_X(\gamma x_0, x_0)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The *stable translation length* of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acting on X is

$$|\gamma|_{X,\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\gamma^n|_X}{n}.$$

Consider the Gromov product $(\cdot)_x : \partial_\infty X \times \partial_\infty X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and equip $\partial_\infty X$ with a visual metric $d_a : \partial_\infty X \times \partial_\infty X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $a > 1$, such that there exists $r > 1$ (see [10]) with

$$r^{-1}a^{-(x \cdot y)_{x_0}} \leq d_a(x, y) \leq ra^{-(x \cdot y)_{x_0}} \quad \forall x, y \in \partial_\infty X.$$

Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . For $w \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ denote by $\sigma_1(w) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_d(w)$ (resp. $\ell_1(w) \geq \dots \geq \ell_d(w)$) the singular values (resp. moduli of the eigenvalues) of the matrix w in non-increasing order. To simplify notation set $\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_j}(w) := \frac{\sigma_i(w)}{\sigma_j(w)}$ and $\frac{\ell_i}{\ell_j}(w) := \frac{\ell_i(w)}{\ell_j(w)}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. The projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$ is equipped with the Fubini–Study metric $d_{\mathbb{P}}$ given by the formula

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}([v_1], [v_2]) = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{|\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle|}{\|v_1\| \cdot \|v_2\|} \right) \quad v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{K}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Denote by Γ_∞ the set of infinite order elements of Γ . A map $\xi : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$ is called *spanning* if $\xi(\partial_\infty \Gamma)$ is not contained in a projective hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$. Our first result is a formula for the Hölder exponent of the limit map of an 1-Anosov representation in terms of the gap between the first and second moduli of eigenvalues of elements of $\rho(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be an 1-Anosov representation whose Anosov limit map $\xi_\rho^1 : (\partial_\infty X, d_a) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d), d_{\mathbb{P}})$ is spanning. The map ξ_ρ^1 is Hölder continuous and*

$$\alpha_{\xi_\rho^1}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) = \frac{1}{\log a} \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X,\infty}}.$$

Moreover, if ρ is either irreducible or 2-Anosov, then ξ_ρ^1 is $\alpha_{\xi_\rho^1}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}})$ -Hölder.

Observe that if ξ_ρ^1 is not spanning and $V_\rho \subset \mathbb{K}^d$ denotes the vector subspace spanned by $\xi_\rho^1(\partial_\infty X)$, Theorem 1.2 applies to the restriction $\rho|_V : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ which is also 1-Anosov and its Anosov limit map in $\mathbb{P}(V_\rho)$ coincides with that of ρ .

In the case of an 1-Anosov representation ρ which is semisimple (i.e. ρ decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible representations), the Hölder exponent of ξ_ρ^1 is the optimal exponential decay constant of the ratio between the second and first singular value of elements in $\rho(\Gamma)$. In the general case where ρ is not semisimple, we establish the following double inequality whose upper bound involves a polynomial term in the word length.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be an 1-Anosov representation. There exists $C > 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $0 \leq m \leq \max\{0, \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - 2\}$, with the property that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have:*

$$C^{-1}e^{-\beta_\rho |\gamma|_X} \leq \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))} \leq Ce^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} |\gamma|_X^m$$

where $\alpha_\rho := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X,\infty}}$ and $\beta_\rho := \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X,\infty}}$.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.3, we obtain the following upper bound for the distance between points in the projective limit set of an 1-Anosov representation in terms of the visual metric d_a .

¹For $d \geq 3$ we may take $m = \ell - 1$, where ℓ is the number of irreducible components of a semisimplification of the second exterior power $\wedge^2 \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\wedge^2 \mathbb{K}^d)$.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is an 1-Anosov representation with Anosov limit map $\xi_\rho^1 : (\partial_\infty X, d_a) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d), d_{\mathbb{P}})$. There exists $C > 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq m \leq \max\{0, \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - 2\}$, with the property that for every pair of distinct points $x, y \in \partial_\infty X$ we have*

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) \leq C d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}} |\log d_a(x, y)|^m$$

where $\alpha_\rho > 0$ is defined as in Theorem 1.3.

Andrés Sambarino in [19] used the Hölder exponent of an irreducible 1-Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$, where Γ is a torsion free word hyperbolic group acting convex cocompactly on a complete $\mathrm{CAT}(-1)$ space $(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}})$, in order to establish upper bounds for the Hilbert and spectral entropy of ρ . In [19] the following upper bound for the Hölder exponent of ξ_ρ^1 is proved:

$$\alpha_{\xi_\rho^1}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) \leq \frac{1}{\log a} \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{\mathcal{M}, \infty}}.$$

Theorem 1.2 shows that the previous upper estimate is in fact an equality.

Denote by Σ_g be the closed oriented hyperbolic surface of genus $g \geq 2$. We equip the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ with the standard hyperbolic Riemannian distance and its Gromov boundary with the visual metric

$$d_v(x, y) = e^{-(x \cdot y)_{x_0}}, \quad x, y \in \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2.$$

It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2 that for every 1-Anosov representation $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{K})$ (which is also 2-Anosov) the limit map $\xi_\psi^1 : (\partial_\infty X, d_a) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^3), d_{\mathbb{P}})$ attains its Hölder exponent. However, as the following theorem shows, this is not the case for representations which are neither irreducible nor $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov in higher dimension.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\rho_1 : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a discrete faithful representation realizing $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2, d_{\mathbb{H}^2})$ as a model space for $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a continuous family of 1-Anosov representations $\{\rho_{s,t} : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})\}_{s,t}$, $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, such that for $s, t \neq 0$:*

- (i) *the $(\rho_1, \rho_{s,t})$ -equivariant Anosov limit map $\xi_{s,t}^1 : (\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2, d_v) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^4), d_{\mathbb{P}})$ of $\rho_{s,t}$ is spanning,*
- (ii) *$\alpha_{\xi_{s,t}^1}(d_v, d_{\mathbb{P}}) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\xi_{s,t}^1$ is not $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder.*

For $s, t \neq 0$, the representation $\rho_{s,t}$ in Theorem 1.5 is reducible and preserves the 2-dimensional subspace $V = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ on which the restriction $\rho|_V$ has unipotent image in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. More precisely, there exists $C_{s,t} > 1$ such that for every $\gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g)$, $\ell_1(\rho(\gamma)) = \ell_1(\rho_1(\gamma))$ and

$$\sigma_2(\rho_{s,t}(\gamma)) \leq C_{s,t} \log \sigma_1(\rho_1(\gamma)).$$

1.1. Hölder exponent of θ -Anosov limit maps. Let G be a non-compact real algebraic reductive Lie group and K a maximal compact subgroup of G . Let also \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subspace of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G and $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ a dominant Weyl chamber of \mathfrak{a} so that there exists a Cartan decomposition $G = K \exp(\bar{\mathfrak{a}}^+) K$. Denote by $\mu : G \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ the associated Cartan projection. The *Lyapunov projection* $\lambda : G \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ is defined as follows

$$\lambda(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mu(g^n) \quad g \in G.$$

Fix $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ a set of simple restricted positive roots and $\theta \subset \Delta$ a subset defining a pair of opposite parabolic subgroups (P_θ^+, P_θ^-) of G , see for example [11, §2]. We fix an irreducible θ -proximal representation $\tau_\theta : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ inducing generalized *Plücker embeddings* $\iota_\theta^+ : G/P_\theta^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\iota_\theta^- : G/P_\theta^- \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}((\mathbb{R}^d)^*)$. The homogeneous space G/P_θ^\pm is equipped with the metric d_{θ^\pm} , where $d_{\theta^\pm}(x, y) = d_{\mathbb{P}}(\iota_\theta^\pm(x), \iota_\theta^\pm(y))$ for $x, y \in G/P_{\theta^\pm}$. For more background we refer to [11, §3, §5].

A representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ is θ -Anosov if and only if $\tau_\theta \circ \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ is 1-Anosov. In this case, the limit maps of $\tau_\theta \circ \rho$ are obtained by precomposing the limit maps of ρ with the Plücker embedding ι_θ^\pm . As a consequence, by using Theorem 1.2, for a Zariski dense θ -Anosov representation into G we deduce the following general formula for the Hölder exponent of its Anosov limits maps in terms of the Lyapunov projection on G .

Corollary 1.6. *Let G be a non-compact real algebraic reductive Lie group and $\theta \subset \Delta$ a subset of simple restricted roots of G . Suppose that $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ is a Zariski dense θ -Anosov representation and*

$$\xi_\rho^+ \times \xi_\rho^- : (\partial_\infty X, d_a) \rightarrow (G/P_\theta^+, d_{\theta^+}) \times (G/P_\theta^-, d_{\theta^-})$$

are the Anosov limit maps of ρ . Then ξ_ρ^\pm is $\alpha_{\xi_\rho^\pm}(d_a, d_{\theta^\pm})$ -Hölder and

$$\alpha_{\xi_\rho^\pm}(d_a, d_{\theta^\pm}) = \frac{1}{\log a} \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \left(\min_{\varphi \in \theta} \frac{\varphi(\lambda(\rho(\gamma)))}{|\gamma|_{X, \infty}} \right).$$

1.2. (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex representations. Pozzetti–Sambarino–Wienhard in [18] introduced the notion of (p, q, r) -hyperconvex Anosov representation, enjoying common transversality properties with Hitchin representations. We provide here the definition of (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex representations.

Definition 1.7. (Pozzetti–Sambarino–Wienhard [18]) *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be an $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov representation and $\xi_\rho^i : \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_i(\mathbb{K}^d)$, $i \in \{1, 2, d-2, d-1\}$, be the Anosov limit maps of ρ . The representation ρ is called (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex if for every triple $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \partial_\infty X$ of distinct points we have:*

$$\mathbb{K}^d = \xi_\rho^1(x_1) \oplus \xi_\rho^1(x_2) \oplus \xi_\rho^{d-2}(x_3).$$

The set of (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex representations of Γ into $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ forms an open subset of the space of representations $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K}))$ (see [18, Prop. 6.2]). Examples of (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex representations include Hitchin representations [17] and their exterior powers [18, Prop. 9.6].

The inverse of the limit map of an Anosov representation is also Hölder continuous. In a more general setting, we prove that if a representation of $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ admits an equivariant continuous injective map $\xi_\rho : \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$ then its inverse is Hölder continuous. Such a representation might be irreducible and not Anosov with respect to any pair of parabolics, e.g. see [20, Ex. 10.1]. In the particular case where ρ is (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex, we obtain a formula for the Hölder exponent of the inverse of the limit map ξ_ρ^1 .

Theorem 1.8. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be a representation.*

(i) *Suppose that there exists a continuous ρ -equivariant injective map $\xi_\rho : \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$. The inverse of ξ_ρ is Hölder continuous, i.e. there exist $c, \beta > 0$ such that*

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho(x), \xi_\rho(y)) \geq cd_a(x, y)^\beta \quad \forall x, y \in \partial_\infty X.$$

(ii) *Suppose that ρ is (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex with spanning limit map $\xi_\rho^1 : (\partial_\infty X, d_a) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d), d_{\mathbb{P}})$. The Hölder exponent of the inverse $\eta_\rho^1 : (\xi_\rho^1(\partial_\infty X), d_{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow (\partial_\infty X, d_a)$ of ξ_ρ^1 is attained and is equal to*

$$(\log a) \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{|\gamma|_{X, \infty}}{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}.$$

Given a (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex representation $\rho_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{K})$ and an irreducible 1-Anosov representation $\rho_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{K})$, we also obtain a similar calculation for the Hölder exponent of the map conjugating the action of $\rho_1(\Gamma)$ on $\xi_{\rho_1}^1(\partial_\infty X)$ with the action of $\rho_2(\Gamma)$ on $\xi_{\rho_2}^1(\partial_\infty X)$.

Theorem 1.9. *Let $\rho_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{K})$ be a (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex representation and $\rho_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{K})$ an 1-Anosov representation. Suppose that the limit maps $\xi_{\rho_1}^1 : \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^m)$ and $\xi_{\rho_2}^1 : \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^r)$ of ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively are spanning. The map conjugating the action of $\rho_1(\Gamma)$ on $\xi_{\rho_1}^1(\partial_\infty X)$ with that of $\rho_2(\Gamma)$ on $\xi_{\rho_2}^1(\partial_\infty X)$,*

$$\xi_{\rho_2}^1 \circ (\xi_{\rho_1}^1)^{-1} : (\xi_{\rho_1}^1(\partial_\infty X), d_{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow (\xi_{\rho_2}^1(\partial_\infty X), d_{\mathbb{P}}),$$

is Hölder continuous and its exponent is equal to

$$\alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho_2(\gamma))}{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho_1(\gamma))}.$$

Moreover, if ρ_2 is either irreducible or $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov, then $\xi_{\rho_2}^1 \circ (\xi_{\rho_1}^1)^{-1}$ is α_{ρ_1, ρ_2} -Hölder.

A related, but different notion from the Hölder exponent, is the Hölder regularity of the projective limit set of particular classes of Anosov representations studied in [12, 22]. Guichard established an explicit expression for the optimal Hölder regularity and β -convexity of the boundary of a strictly convex divisible domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, in terms of the eigenvalues of the elements in the dividing group², see [12, Thm. 11]. Zhang–Zimmer in [22] established conditions under which the proximal limit set of an 1-Anosov representation is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ -submanifold of the ambient real projective space and provided a formula for the optimal value of $\alpha > 0$ in terms of the eigenvalue data of the representation [22, Thm. 1.12].

Organization of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we provide some background on Gromov hyperbolic spaces and define Anosov representations. In §3 we provide some necessary lemmata for 1-Anosov and $(1, 1, 2)$ -hyperconvex representations. In §4 we prove Theorem 1.3 which we use for the proof of Theorem 1.2 in §5. In §6 we prove Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9. Finally, in §7 we construct examples of 1-Anosov representations of surface groups whose limit maps do not attain their exponent.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Richard Canary, Sami Douba, François Guéritaud, Fanny Kassel, Rafael Potrie and Nicolas Tholozan for interesting discussions. I would also like to thank Gregorio Baldi for his help with tex files. This project received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European’s Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ERC starting grant DiGGeS, grant agreement No 715982).

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Gromov products. Let (X, d_X) be a proper geodesic metric space. The *Gromov product with respect to $x_0 \in X$* is the map $(\cdot \cdot)_{x_0} : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined as follows:

$$(x \cdot y)_{x_0} := \frac{1}{2}(d_X(x, x_0) + d_X(y, x_0) - d_X(x, y)) \quad x, y \in X.$$

For an isometry $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ we set $|\gamma|_X := d_X(\gamma x_0, x_0)$. The *stable translation length of γ* is

$$|\gamma|_{X, \infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\gamma^n|_X}{n}.$$

The metric space (X, d_X) is called δ -*Gromov hyperbolic* (see [10]) if

$$(x \cdot y)_{x_0} \geq \min \{(x \cdot z)_{x_0}, (z \cdot y)_{x_0}\} - \delta \quad \forall x_0, x, y, z \in X.$$

In this case the Gromov product extends to a map on the Gromov boundary of X (denoted by $\partial_\infty X$), $(\cdot \cdot)_{x_0} : \partial_\infty X \times \partial_\infty X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, defined as follows

$$(x \cdot y)_{x_0} := \sup \left\{ \liminf_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} (x_m \cdot y_n)_{x_0} \mid x = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m, y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \right\}.$$

Moreover, the boundary $\partial_\infty X$ is a compact metrizable space, e.g. see [10] and [5, Prop. 3.21, III]: there exist $r, a > 1$ and a *visual metric* $d_a : \partial_\infty X \times \partial_\infty X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$r^{-1}a^{-(x \cdot y)_{x_0}} \leq d_a(x, y) \leq ra^{-(x \cdot y)_{x_0}} \quad \forall x, y \in \partial_\infty X. \quad (1)$$

Convention: Throughout this paper, Γ is assumed to be a non-elementary word hyperbolic group. From now on, (X, d_X) denotes a proper geodesic Γ -model space, equipped with a proper discontinuous, isometric and cocompact action by Γ . Given $x_0 \in X$, the orbit map $\Gamma \rightarrow X, \gamma \mapsto \gamma x_0$, extends to a Γ -equivariant homeomorphism³ $\partial_\infty \Gamma \cong \partial_\infty X$. We denote by $\partial_\infty^{(2)} X$ (resp. $\partial_\infty^{(3)} X$) the set of 2-tuples (resp. 3-tuples) of distinct points of $\partial_\infty X$. The group Γ acts properly discontinuously and cocompactly on $\partial_\infty^{(3)} X$ (e.g. see [10, 5]) and fix $\mathcal{F} \subset \partial_\infty^{(3)} X$ a compact subset such that

$$\partial_\infty^{(3)} X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \mathcal{F}.$$

²The inclusion $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ of the dividing group acting cocompactly on Ω is 1-Anosov by [3].

³In fact a bi-Hölder homeomorphism after fixing visual metrics on $\partial_\infty \Gamma$ and $\partial_\infty X$.

We will need the following folklore lemma for the Gromov product.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, d_X) be a δ -Gromov hyperbolic model space for Γ and fix $x_0 \in X$. For every $x, y \in \partial_\infty X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the following inequality holds*

$$|(\gamma x \cdot \gamma y)_{x_0} - |\gamma|_X - (x \cdot y)_{x_0} + (x \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} + (y \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0}| \leq 4\delta.$$

Proof. Let us choose sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ such that $\lim_n x_n = x$, $\lim_n y_n = y$ and $\lim_n (\gamma x_n \cdot \gamma y_n)_{x_0} = (\gamma x \cdot \gamma y)_{x_0}$. By Gromov hyperbolicity, if necessary after passing to a subsequence, we have that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|(x \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} - (x_n \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0}| + |(y \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} - (y_n \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0}| + |(x \cdot y)_{x_0} - (x_n \cdot y_n)_{x_0}|) \leq 3\delta.$$

The lemma now follows by observing that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the equality $(\gamma x_n \cdot \gamma y_n)_{x_0} = |\gamma|_X + (x_n \cdot y_n)_{x_0} - (x_n \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} - (y_n \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0}$. \square

For a hyperbolic isometry $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ we denote by $\gamma^+ \in \partial_\infty X$ the unique attracting fixed point of γ in the Gromov boundary of X .

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X, d_X) be a δ -Gromov hyperbolic space and $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ be a hyperbolic isometry. Fix $x_0 \in X$. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$|2(\gamma^+ \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} - (|\gamma|_X - |\gamma|_{X, \infty})| \leq 2\delta.$$

Proof. By assumption, for every $x, y, z \in X \cup \partial_\infty X$ we have

$$(x \cdot y)_{x_0} \geq \min \{(x \cdot z)_{x_0}, (z \cdot y)_{x_0}\} - \delta.$$

Since $|\gamma|_{X, \infty} = \lim_n \frac{|\gamma^n|_X}{n}$, it is not hard to check that

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\gamma^{n+1}|_X - |\gamma^n|_X) \leq |\gamma|_{X, \infty} \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\gamma^{n+1}|_X - |\gamma^n|_X)$$

and fix a sequence $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{\lim}_n (|\gamma^{k_n+1}|_X - |\gamma^{k_n}|_X) = \lim_n (|\gamma^{k_n+1}|_X - |\gamma^{k_n}|_X)$. Now note that $\lim_n ((\gamma^+ \cdot \gamma^{k_n} x_0)_{x_0} - (\gamma^+ \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0}) = \infty$, so for large n we deduce

$$(\gamma^+ \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\gamma|_X + |\gamma^{k_n}|_X - |\gamma^{k_n+1}|_X) + \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} (|\gamma|_X - |\gamma|_{X, \infty}) + \delta.$$

Similarly, if $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence with $\underline{\lim}_n (|\gamma^{m_n+1}|_X - |\gamma^{m_n}|_X) = \lim_n (|\gamma^{m_n+1}|_X - |\gamma^{m_n}|_X)$, then

$$(\gamma^+ \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\gamma^{m_n} x_0 \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} - \delta \geq \frac{1}{2} (|\gamma|_X - |\gamma|_{X, \infty}) - \delta.$$

The inequality follows and the proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Denote by $B_\epsilon(w_0) = \{y \in \partial_\infty X : d_a(y, w_0) < \epsilon\}$ the open ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$ centered at $w_0 \in \partial_\infty X$ with respect to d_a . We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (X, d_X) be a δ -Gromov hyperbolic model space for Γ . Fix $z, w_0 \in \partial_\infty X$ with $z \neq w_0$. There exist $C, \epsilon > 0$, depending on the choice of $z, w_0 \in \partial_\infty X$, with the property: if $x, y \in B_\epsilon(w_0)$ are distinct and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is an element such that $(x, y, z) = \gamma(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ for some $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathcal{F}$, then*

$$|(x \cdot y)_{x_0} - |\gamma|_X| \leq C, \quad |(b_3 \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} - |\gamma|_X| \leq C.$$

Proof. Let $r, a > 1$ satisfying (1) and set $\epsilon := \frac{1}{2} d_a(w_0, z)$. For every $x \in B_\epsilon(w_0)$ we have that

$$r a^{-(x \cdot z)_{x_0}} \geq d_a(x, z) \geq \frac{1}{2} d_a(w_0, z).$$

In particular, for every $x \in B_\epsilon(w_0)$ we have

$$(x \cdot z)_{x_0} \leq \frac{1}{\log a} \log \frac{2r}{d_a(w_0, z)}.$$

Let us also set $\mathcal{D} := \max \left\{ \max \{ (x_i \cdot x_j)_{x_0} : (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{F}, i \neq j \}, \frac{1}{\log a} \log \frac{2r}{d_a(w_0, z)} \right\}$. Since Γ acts properly on $\partial_\infty^{(3)} X$, by shrinking $\varepsilon > 0$ if necessary, we may assume that every $g \in \Gamma$ with the property that $(x, y, z) \in g\mathcal{F}$, for some $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$, satisfies $|g|_X > 7\delta + 4\mathcal{D}$. Observe that

$$\min \{ (b_1 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0}, (b_2 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} \} \leq (b_1 \cdot b_2)_{x_0} + \delta \leq \mathcal{D} + \delta$$

and without loss of generality we may assume $(b_1 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} \leq \mathcal{D} + \delta$. By using Lemma 2.1 we obtain the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} |(x \cdot y)_{x_0} - |\gamma|_X - (b_1 \cdot b_2)_{x_0} + (b_1 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} + (b_2 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0}| &\leq 4\delta \\ |(x \cdot z)_{x_0} - |\gamma|_X - (b_1 \cdot b_3)_{x_0} + (b_1 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} + (b_3 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0}| &\leq 4\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $\max \{ (b_1 \cdot b_3)_{x_0}, (x \cdot z)_{x_0} \} \leq \mathcal{D}$ and $(b_1 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} \leq \delta + \mathcal{D}$, the second inequality shows that

$$|(b_3 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} - |\gamma|_X| \leq (b_1 \cdot b_3)_{x_0} + (b_1 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} + (x \cdot z)_{x_0} + 4\delta \leq 5\delta + 3\mathcal{D}.$$

In particular, $(b_3 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} > 2\delta + \mathcal{D}$. Furthermore, observe that

$$\min \{ (b_2 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0}, (b_3 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} \} \leq (b_2 \cdot b_3)_{x_0} + \delta \leq \delta + \mathcal{D},$$

hence we necessarily have $(b_3 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} > (b_2 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0}$ and $(b_2 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} \leq \delta + \mathcal{D}$. Finally, we conclude that:

$$|(x \cdot y)_{x_0} - |\gamma|_X| \leq (b_1 \cdot b_2)_{x_0} + (b_1 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} + (b_2 \cdot \gamma^{-1}x_0)_{x_0} + 4\delta \leq 6\delta + 3\mathcal{D}.$$

The statement of the lemma holds true by considering $C := 6\delta + 3\mathcal{D}$. \square

2.2. Cartan decomposition. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ and (e_1, \dots, e_d) be the canonical basis of \mathbb{K}^d , where e_i is the vector all of whose coordinates are 0 except with a 1 on the i -th coordinate. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the standard Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{K}^d and by \mathbb{K}_d , where $\mathbb{K}_d = \mathbb{O}(d)$ when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{K}_d = \mathbb{U}(d)$ when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$.

For a matrix $g \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ we denote by $\sigma_1(g) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_d(g)$ the singular values of g in non-increasing order and by $\ell_1(g) \geq \dots \geq \ell_d(g)$ the moduli of the eigenvalues of g in non-increasing order. We recall that $\sigma_i(g) = \sqrt{\ell_i(gg^*)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, where g^* denotes the conjugate transpose matrix of g . The standard Cartan decomposition of $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is

$$\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}_d \exp(\mathrm{diag}^+(d)) \mathbb{K}_d$$

where $\mathrm{diag}^+(d) = \{ \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_d) : a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_d \}$. The Cartan projection is the continuous, proper and surjective map $\mu : \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathrm{diag}^+(d)$,

$$\mu(g) = (\log \sigma_1(g), \dots, \log \sigma_d(g)).$$

2.3. Anosov representations. We use the following theorem as a definition for k -Anosov representations, $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, into the Lie group $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$. For the dynamical definition of an Anosov representation into linear semisimple Lie groups we refer the reader to [17] and [13, Def. 2.10].

Theorem 2.4. ([4, 14, 16]) *Let Γ be a finitely generated group and $|\cdot|_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ a word length function induced by some finite generating subset of Γ . Suppose that $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is a representation and fix $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) Γ is word hyperbolic and ρ is k -Anosov.
- (ii) There exist $R, \varepsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k+1}}(\rho(\gamma)) \geq e^{\varepsilon|\gamma|_\Gamma - R}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (iii) Γ is word hyperbolic and there exists $c > 0$ such that $\frac{\ell_k}{\ell_{k+1}}(\rho(\gamma)) \geq e^{c|\gamma|_\Gamma}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) was established by Kapovich–Leeb–Porti [14] and independently by Bochi–Potrie–Sambarino [4], while (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) was established by Kassel–Potrie in [16].

Every k -Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ admits a unique pair of continuous, ρ -equivariant maps

$$(\xi_\rho^k, \xi_\rho^{d-k}) : \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{K}^d) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{d-k}(\mathbb{K}^d)$$

called the k -Anosov limit maps of ρ . We summarize here some of their main properties:

- (i) ξ_ρ^k and ξ_ρ^{d-k} are *compatible*, i.e. $\xi_\rho^k(x) \subset \xi_\rho^{d-k}(x)$ for every $x \in \partial_\infty X$.
- (ii) ξ_ρ^k and ξ_ρ^{d-k} are *transverse*, i.e. $\mathbb{K}^d = \xi_\rho^k(x) \oplus \xi_\rho^{d-k}(y)$ for every $x, y \in \partial_\infty X$ with $x \neq y$.
- (iii) ξ_ρ^k and ξ_ρ^{d-k} are *dynamics preserving*: for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ of infinite order, $\xi_\rho^k(\gamma^+)$ (resp. $\xi_\rho^{d-k}(\gamma^+)$) is the attracting fixed point of $\rho(\gamma)$ in $\text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{K}^d)$ (resp. $\text{Gr}_{d-k}(\mathbb{K}^d)$).

For more background on Anosov representations and their properties we also refer the reader to [6, 11, 13, 14, 17].

2.4. Approximating singular values by eigenvalues. Let Γ be an abstract group. A representation $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is called *semisimple* if ψ decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible representations. In this case, the Zariski closure $\overline{\psi(\Gamma)}^{\text{Zar}}$ of $\psi(\Gamma)$ in $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is a real reductive algebraic Lie group.

The following result from [2], based on work of Abels–Margulis–Soifer [1], offers a connection between eigenvalues and singular values of elements in the image of a semisimple representation.

Theorem 2.5. (Abels–Margulis–Soifer [1], Benoist [2]) *Suppose that $\{\rho_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_{d_i}(\mathbb{K})\}_{i=1}^q$ is a finite collection of semisimple representations. There exists a finite subset $F \subset \Gamma$ and $C > 0$ with the property: for every $\delta \in \Gamma$ there exists $f \in F$ with the property for every $1 \leq i \leq q$ we have*

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq d_i} |\log \sigma_j(\rho_i(\delta)) - \log \ell_j(\rho_i(\delta f))| \leq C.$$

For a proof of the previous theorem we refer the reader to [11, Thm. 4.12]. Kassel–Potrie in [15] established the following strengthened version of Theorem 2.5 for semisimple representations of word hyperbolic groups.

Theorem 2.6. (Kassel–Potrie [15]) *Let Γ be a word hyperbolic group and fix $|\cdot|_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ a word metric with respect to a finite generating subset of Γ . Suppose that $\{\rho_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_{d_i}(\mathbb{K})\}_{i=1}^q$ are semisimple representations. There exists a finite subset $F \subset \Gamma$ and $C > 0$ with the property that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists $f \in F$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &||\gamma|_\Gamma - |\gamma f|_{\Gamma, \infty}| \leq C \\ &\max_{1 \leq j \leq d_i} |\log \sigma_j(\rho_i(\gamma)) - \log \ell_j(\rho_i(\gamma f))| \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.6 and the strong proximality properties of Anosov representations (see [13, Thm. 1.7 (iv)]) we obtain the following proposition which we use for the proof of Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9. For the notion of the semisimplification of a linear representation of a group Γ , which we use below, we refer the reader to [11, §2].

Proposition 2.7. *Let Γ be a word hyperbolic group. Suppose that $\rho_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is a $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov representation and $\rho_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{K})$ is a semisimple representation. Then there exists a finite subset $F \subset \Gamma$ and $R > 0$ with the property for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists $f \in F$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &||\gamma|_X - |\gamma f|_{X, \infty}| \leq R, \\ &|\log \sigma_1(\rho_1(\gamma)) - \log \ell_1(\rho_1(\gamma f))| \leq R, \\ &|\log \sigma_2(\rho_1(\gamma)) - \log \ell_2(\rho_1(\gamma f))| \leq R, \\ &\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |\log \sigma_i(\rho_2(\gamma)) - \log \ell_i(\rho_2(\gamma f))| \leq R. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\rho_1^{ss} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be a semisimplification of ρ_1 . Since ρ_1 is $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov, by applying [20, Lem. 2.10] for ρ_1 and $\wedge^2 \rho_1$, there exists $D > 0$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\max_{i=1,2} |\log \sigma_i(\rho_1(\gamma)) - \log \sigma_i(\rho_1^{ss}(\gamma))| \leq D.$$

Now note that since Γ acts cocompactly on (X, d_X) , by the stability of geodesics in Gromov hyperbolic spaces [5, Ch. III.H], there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have:

$$(\gamma^+ \cdot \gamma^{-1} x_0)_{x_0} \leq R_1(\gamma^+ \cdot \gamma^{-1})_e + R_1.$$

By Lemma 2.2 we conclude that there exist $R_2 > 1$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have:

$$|\gamma|_X - |\gamma|_{X,\infty} \leq R_1(|\gamma|_\Gamma - |\gamma|_{\Gamma,\infty}) + R_2.$$

The conclusion follows by applying Theorem 2.6 for the semisimple representations ρ_1^{ss} and ρ_2 . \square

3. SOME LEMMATA

In this section, we provide some lemmata for the distance between points in the limit set of an 1-Anosov representation. We recall that equip the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$ with the Fubini–Study metric, denoted by $d_{\mathbb{P}}$, given by the formula

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}([v_1], [v_2]) = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{|\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle|}{\|v_1\| \cdot \|v_2\|} \right) \quad v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{K}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Let (X, d_X) be a Γ -model space and $\mathcal{F} \subset \partial_\infty^{(3)} X$ a compact subset with $\partial_\infty^{(3)} X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}} \gamma \mathcal{F}$. Recall from [18] that a representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is called $(1, 1, 2)$ -hyperconvex if ρ is $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov and for every triple of distinct points $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \partial_\infty^{(3)} X$ we have

$$\mathbb{K}^d = \xi_\rho^1(x_1) \oplus \xi_\rho^1(x_2) \oplus \xi_\rho^{d-2}(x_3).$$

Estimates (i) and (ii) in the following lemma is a consequence of [18, Lem. 5.3] and [18, Prop. 5.7] respectively.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Γ be a non-elementary word hyperbolic group, $w_0 \in \partial_\infty X$ and fix $z \in \partial_\infty X \setminus \{w_0\}$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$, depending only on $z, w_0 \in \partial_\infty X$ and Γ , with the property: if $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$ are distinct and $(x, y, z) = \gamma(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ for some $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then:*

(i) *for every 1-Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ there exists $C_\rho > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that*

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) \leq C_\rho \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))}.$$

(ii) *for every $(1, 1, 2)$ -hyperconvex representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ there exists $c_\rho > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that*

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) \geq c_\rho \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))}.$$

We also need the following bound for the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.8.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Γ be a non-elementary word hyperbolic group, $w_0 \in \partial_\infty X$ and fix $z \in \partial_\infty X \setminus \{w_0\}$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$, depending only on $z, w_0 \in \partial_\infty X$ and Γ , with the property: if $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$ are distinct and $(x, y, z) = \gamma(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ for some $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathcal{F}$, then for every 1-Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ we have:*

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) \geq \omega_\rho \frac{\sigma_d(\rho(\gamma)) \sigma_{d-1}(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))^2}$$

where $\omega_\rho := \frac{2}{\pi} \inf \{d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x_1), \xi_\rho^1(x_2)) : (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the following estimate for every pair of unit length vectors $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{K}^d$,

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}([gv_1], [gv_2]) \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sigma_d(g) \sigma_{d-1}(g)}{\sigma_1(g)^2} d_{\mathbb{P}}([v_1], [v_2]). \quad (2)$$

We write

$$g = k_g \exp(\mu(g)) k'_g \quad k_g, k'_g \in \mathbf{K}_d$$

in the Cartan decomposition of $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ and set $\kappa_{ji} := \langle k'_g v_i, e_j \rangle$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$. In the view of the definition of the metric $d_{\mathbb{P}}$ and the inequality $\frac{2}{\pi} \theta \leq \sin \theta \leq \theta$ for $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, we have the double estimate:

$$\sqrt{1 - |\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle|^2} \leq d_{\mathbb{P}}([v_1], [v_2]) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{1 - |\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle|^2}.$$

Then, by using the previous estimate, we obtain the lower bounds

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - |\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle|^2 &= 1 - |\langle k'_g v_1, k'_g v_2 \rangle|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} |\kappa_{1i} \kappa_{2j} - \kappa_{1j} \kappa_{2i}|^2, \\
d_{\mathbb{P}}([gk_1 e_1], [gk_2 e_1])^2 &= d_{\mathbb{P}}([\exp(\mu(g))k'_g v_1], [\exp(\mu(g))k'_g v_2])^2 \\
&\geq 1 - \frac{|\langle \exp(\mu(g))k'_g v_1, \exp(\mu(g))k'_g v_2 \rangle|^2}{\|\exp(\mu(g))k'_g v_1\|^2 \|\exp(\mu(g))k'_g v_2\|^2} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \sigma_i(g)^2 \sigma_j(g)^2 |\kappa_{1i} \kappa_{2j} - \kappa_{1j} \kappa_{2i}|^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(g)^2 |\kappa_{1i}|^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(g)^2 |\kappa_{2i}|^2\right)} \\
&\geq \frac{\sigma_{d-1}(g)^2 \sigma_d(g)^2}{\sigma_1(g)^4} (1 - |\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle|^2) \\
&\geq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \frac{\sigma_{d-1}(g)^2 \sigma_d(g)^2}{\sigma_1(g)^4} d_{\mathbb{P}}([v_1], [v_2])^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2) and the lemma follows. \square

4. OPTIMAL EXPONENTIAL DECAY CONSTANT OF THE RATIO $\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}$

The main result of this section is the proof of Theorem 1.3 which we recall immediately.

Theorem 4.1. (Theorem 1.3) *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be an 1-Anosov representation. There exists $C > 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq m \leq \max\{0, \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - 2\}$, with the property that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have:*

$$C^{-1} e^{-\beta_\rho |\gamma|_X} \leq \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))} \leq C e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} |\gamma|_X^m$$

where $\alpha_\rho := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X, \infty}}$ and $\beta_\rho := \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X, \infty}}$.

We shall make a specific choice of a generating subset of Γ . Recall that Γ acts properly discontinuously and cocompactly on the geodesic space (X, d_X) and choose $L > 0$ with the property that for every $x \in X$ we have $\mathrm{dist}_X(\Gamma x_0, x) \leq L$. Let us consider the finite subset of Γ

$$\mathcal{R} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma|_X \leq 2L + 2\}. \quad (3)$$

We need the following observation.

Observation 4.2. *There exists $M > 0$, depending only on the model space (X, d_X) , with the property: for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we write $\gamma = h_1 \cdots h_p$, where $h_1, \dots, h_p \in \mathcal{R}$, $|p - |\gamma|_X| \leq 1$, and for every $1 \leq i \leq p$ we have*

$$|i - |h_1 \cdots h_i|_X| \leq M.$$

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $d_X(\gamma x_0, x_0) > 1$. Consider a geodesic $[x_0, \gamma x_0] \subset X$ and points $x_1, \dots, x_p = \gamma x_0$ in $[x_0, \gamma x_0]$ such that $d_X(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1$ for every $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $d_X(x_p, \gamma x_0) \leq 1$. For every i , choose $g_i \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $d_X(g_i x_0, x_i) \leq L$ and observe that $h_i := g_i^{-1} g_{i+1} \in \mathcal{R}$ since

$$d_X(g_i^{-1} g_{i+1} x_0, x_0) \leq d_X(x_i, x_{i+1}) + d_X(g_i x_0, x_i) + d_X(g_{i+1} x_0, x_{i+1}) \leq 2L + 1.$$

Finally, we can write $g = h_1 \cdots h_p$ such that $||h_1 \cdots h_i|_X - i| = |i - d_X(g_i x_0, x_0)| \leq L + 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq p$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be an 1-Anosov representation. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with the property: if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and we write $\gamma = h_1 \cdots h_r$, $h_1, \dots, h_r \in \mathcal{R}$, as in Observation 4.2, then*

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} \frac{\sigma_1(\rho(h_1 \cdots h_r))}{\sigma_1(\rho(h_1 \cdots h_i)) \sigma_1(\rho(h_{i+1} \cdots h_r))} \geq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Note that for every $1 \leq i \leq r$, by the choice of $h_1, \dots, h_r \in \mathcal{R}$, we have that

$$|h_1 \cdots h_i|_X + |h_{i+1} \cdots h_r|_X - |h_1 \cdots h_r|_X \leq 2M + 1$$

where $M > 0$ is furnished by Observation 4.2. Since ρ is 1-Anosov, by [20, Prop. 1.12], there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ and $t > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that for every $w_1, w_2 \in \Gamma$ we have:

$$\frac{\sigma_1(\rho(w_1 w_2))}{\sigma_1(\rho(w_1))\sigma_1(\rho(w_2))} \geq \delta \exp(t(|w_1 w_2|_X - |w_1|_X - |w_2|_X)). \quad (4)$$

The conclusion now follows by considering $\varepsilon := \delta e^{-t(2M+1)}$ and applying (4) for $w_1 := h_1 \cdots h_i$ and $w_2 := h_{i+1} \cdots h_r$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (upper bound). Let $\rho^{ss} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be a semisimplification of ρ . Note that since ρ and ρ^{ss} have the same Lyapunov projection (see [11, Prop. 1.8]), ρ^{ss} is also 1-Anosov. In particular $\alpha_\rho = \alpha_{\rho^{ss}}$. Now let $F \subset \Gamma$ be a finite subset and $C_1 > 0$ satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 2.6. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists $f \in F$:

$$\frac{\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))} \leq e^{2C_1} \frac{\ell_2(\rho(\gamma f))}{\ell_1(\rho(\gamma f))} \leq e^{2C_1} e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma f|_X} \leq e^{(2+\alpha_\rho)C_1} e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X}.$$

Therefore, for $C_2 := e^{2+\alpha_\rho} C_1$, we conclude that

$$\frac{\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))} \leq C_2 e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (5)$$

Let $(\wedge^2 \rho)^{ss} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\wedge^2 \mathbb{K}^d)$ be a semisimplification of the exterior power $\wedge^2 \rho$. Up to conjugation by an element of $\mathrm{GL}(\wedge^2 \mathbb{K}^d)$, we may assume that there exists a decomposition $\wedge^2 \mathbb{K}^d = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_\ell$, $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - 1$, such that

$$\wedge^2 \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 & * & * \\ 0 & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_\ell \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\wedge^2 \rho)^{ss} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_\ell \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{\rho_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_i)\}_{i=1}^\ell$ are irreducible. Note that since $\ell_1((\wedge^2 \rho)^{ss}(h)) = \ell_1(\rho^{ss}(h))\ell_2(\rho^{ss}(h))$ for $h \in H$, by Theorem 2.5 there exists $C_3 > 1$ such that

$$C_3^{-1} \sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(h))\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(h)) \leq \sigma_1((\wedge^2 \rho)^{ss}(h)) \leq C_3 \sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(h))\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(h)) \quad \forall h \in \Gamma. \quad (6)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $u_i(\gamma)$ be the i -th column block in the matrix decomposition of $\wedge^2 \rho$ excluding the block $\rho_{i+1}(\gamma)$. We define the representations $\psi_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{K})$, $m_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \dim V_j$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$, recursively as follows:

$$\psi_1(\gamma) = \rho_1(\gamma), \quad \psi_{i+1}(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_i(\gamma) & u_i(\gamma) \\ 0 & \rho_{i+1}(\gamma) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_\ell(\gamma) = \wedge^2 \rho(\gamma) \quad \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (7)$$

Observe that (5) and (6) imply that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \sigma_1(\rho_i(\gamma)) \leq \sigma_1((\wedge^2 \rho)^{ss}(\gamma)) \leq C_2 C_3 \sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2 e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (8)$$

By using induction, we will prove that for every $1 \leq q \leq \ell$, there exists $D_q > 1$:

$$\sigma_1(\psi_q(\gamma)) \leq D_q \sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2 e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} |\gamma|_X^{q-1} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (9)$$

Recall the definition of the finite generating set $\mathcal{R} \subset \Gamma$ from (3) and set

$$D_0 := \max_{h \in \mathcal{R}} (\|\wedge^2 \rho(h)\| \cdot \|\wedge^2 \rho(h^{-1})\|) \geq 1.$$

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be an arbitrary element with $|\gamma|_X > 1$ and write $\gamma = h_1 \cdots h_p$ as in Observation 4.2 for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $|p - |\gamma|_X| \leq 1$. Let us also set

$$U_{0\gamma} := e, \quad U_{j\gamma} := h_1 \cdots h_j \quad j = 1, \dots, p$$

and note that there exists $C_4 > 0$, depending only on the model space (X, d_X) , such that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} (|U_{j\gamma}|_X + |U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma|_X - |\gamma|_X) \leq C_4. \quad (10)$$

We first note that for $q = 1$, (9) follows immediately by (8). Now suppose that (9) holds true for ψ_q , $1 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$, and we will prove it for ψ_{q+1} . Observe that we have the equality

$$u_q(\gamma) = u_q(h_1 \cdots h_p) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \psi_q(h_0 \cdots h_j) u_q(h_{j+1}) \rho_{q+1}(h_{j+2} \cdots h_{p+1}) \quad (11)$$

where $h_0 = h_{p+1} := e$. Since ρ^{ss} is 1-Anosov, by Lemma 4.3 there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}))\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))} \geq \varepsilon. \quad (12)$$

Then, by using (10), (11) and (12), we successively obtain the following bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|u_q(\gamma)\|}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2} &\leq D_0^2 \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2} \sigma_1(\psi_q(U_{j\gamma})) \sigma_1(\rho_{q+1}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma)) \\ &\leq D_0^2 D_q \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{|U_{j\gamma}|_X^{q-1}}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2} e^{-\alpha_\rho |U_{j\gamma}|_X} \sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}))^2 \sigma_1((\wedge^2 \rho)^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma)) \\ &\leq D_0^2 D_q C_3 \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |U_{j\gamma}|_X^{q-1} e^{-\alpha_\rho |U_{j\gamma}|_X} \frac{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}))^2 \sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))^2}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))} \\ &\leq D_0^2 D_q C_3 \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |U_{j\gamma}|_X^{q-1} e^{-\alpha_\rho |U_{j\gamma}|_X} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))} \\ &\leq D_0^2 D_q C_2 C_3 \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |U_{j\gamma}|_X^{q-1} e^{-\alpha_\rho (|U_{j\gamma}|_X + |U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma|_X)} \\ &\leq D_0^2 D_q C_2 C_3 \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |U_{j\gamma}|_X^{q-1} e^{-\alpha_\rho (|\gamma|_X - C_4)} \\ &\leq D_0^2 D_q C_2 e^{C_4 \alpha_\rho} \varepsilon^{-2} p^q e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} \\ &\leq D_0^2 D_q C_2 C_3 e^{C_4 \alpha_\rho} \varepsilon^{-2} 2^q |\gamma|_X^q e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the the previous estimate and by using (8), we obtain a constant $D_{q+1} > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$\sigma_1(\psi_{q+1}(\gamma)) \leq \sigma_1(\rho_{q+1}(\gamma)) + \sigma_1(\psi_q(\gamma)) + \|u_q(\gamma)\| \leq D_{q+1} \sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2 e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} |\gamma|_X^q \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

The induction is complete and (9) follows.

Now we finish the proof of the upper bound. Since ρ is 1-Anosov, we may choose $C_5 > 1$ such that

$$C_5^{-1} \leq \frac{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))} \leq C_5 \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (13)$$

In particular, since $\psi_\ell = \wedge^2 \rho$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - 1$, we conclude for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))} &= \frac{\sigma_1(\psi_\ell(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))^2} \leq \frac{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))^2} D_\ell e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} |\gamma|_X^{\ell-1} \\ &\leq C_5^2 D_\ell e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} |\gamma|_X^{\ell-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This last estimate concludes the proof of the upper bound. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (lower bound). Let us recall that $\beta_\rho := \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X,\infty}}$. By applying Theorem 2.6 for the semisimplification ρ^{ss} of ρ and the definition of $\beta_\rho > 0$, we obtain $C_6 > 1$ with the property:

$$\frac{\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))} \geq C_6^{-1} e^{-\beta_\rho |\gamma|_X} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Therefore, by using (6) and (13), for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))} &= \frac{\sigma_1(\wedge^2 \rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))^2} \geq C_5^{-2} \frac{\sigma_1((\wedge^2 \rho)^{ss}(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2} \\ &\geq (C_5^2 C_3)^{-1} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))} \geq (C_6 C_5^2 C_3)^{-1} e^{-\beta_\rho |\gamma|_X}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lower bound. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 1.3, we obtain the following relations involving the Lyapunov and Cartan projection of a 1-Anosov representation.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be an 1-Anosov representation. Then the following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X,\infty}} &= \sup_{n \geq 1} \inf_{|\gamma|_X \geq n} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_X} \\ \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X,\infty}} &= \inf_{n \geq 1} \sup_{|\gamma|_X \geq n} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_X}. \end{aligned}$$

We also establish the following bound comparing the ratio of the first and second singular value between two 1-Anosov representations.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ and $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{K})$ be two representations. Suppose that ρ is 1-Anosov and ψ is $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov. There exists $J > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq m \leq \max\{0, \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - 2\}$, such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have:*

$$\frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))} \leq J \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} |\gamma|_X^m$$

where $\alpha_{\psi,\rho} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\psi(\gamma))}$.

Proof. Let $\rho^{ss} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be a semisimplification of ρ . Let $R > 0$ and $F \subset \Gamma$ be a finite subset satisfying the conclusion of Proposition 2.7 for the semisimple representation ρ^{ss} and the $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov representation ψ . For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists $f \in F$ such that:

$$\frac{\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))} \leq e^{2R} \frac{\ell_2(\rho^{ss}(\gamma f))}{\ell_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma f))} \leq e^{2R} \frac{\ell_2(\psi(\gamma f))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\ell_1(\psi(\gamma f))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} \leq e^{2R(1+\alpha_{\psi,\rho})} \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}.$$

In particular, if we set $L_1 := e^{2R(1+\alpha_{\psi,\rho})}$, we conclude that:

$$\frac{\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))} \leq L_1 \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (14)$$

Therefore, the theorem holds true when ρ is semisimple.

Now we work similarly as in the proof of the upper bound of Theorem 1.3. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $|\gamma|_X > 1$, write $\gamma = h_1 \cdots h_p$, $h_i \in \mathcal{R}$ as in Observation 4.2, and recall that we set

$$U_{0\gamma} := e, \quad U_{j\gamma} := h_1 \cdots h_j \quad j = 1, \dots, p.$$

By applying Lemma 4.3 for the 1-Anosov representations ψ and $\wedge^2 \psi$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$, depending only on ψ , such that:

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \frac{\sigma_1(\psi(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\psi(U_{j\gamma}))\sigma_1(\psi(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))} \geq \epsilon, \quad \min_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \frac{\sigma_1(\wedge^2 \psi(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\wedge^2 \psi(U_{j\gamma}))\sigma_1(\wedge^2 \psi(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))} \geq \epsilon. \quad (15)$$

Now, without loss of generality, we may consider decompositions $\wedge^2 \mathbb{K}^d = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_\ell$ for $(\wedge^2 \rho)^{ss}$ with blocks $\psi_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$, $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - 1$, similarly as in (7). We are going to prove inductively that for every $1 \leq q \leq \ell$, there exists $R_q > 0$ such that:

$$\sigma_1(\psi_q(\gamma)) \leq R_q \sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2 \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} |\gamma|_X^{q-1} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (16)$$

Note that the statement is true for $q = 1$, thanks to the fact that $\psi_0 := \rho_1$ is semisimple. Now suppose the statement holds true for $q < \ell$. By working similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, using the inductive step and (6), (11), (14), (15), we obtain the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|u_q(\gamma)\|}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2} &\leq D_0^2 \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2} \sigma_1(\psi_q(U_{j\gamma})) \sigma_1(\rho_{q+1}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma)) \\ &\leq D_0^2 R_q \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |U_{j\gamma}|_X^q \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(U_{j\gamma}))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(U_{j\gamma}))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} \frac{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}))^2}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(\gamma))^2} \sigma_1((\wedge^2 \rho)^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma)) \\ &\leq D_0^2 R_q C_3 \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |U_{j\gamma}|_X^{q-1} \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(U_{j\gamma}))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(U_{j\gamma}))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho^{ss}(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))} \\ &\leq D_0^2 R_q C_3 L_1 \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |U_{j\gamma}|_X^{q-1} \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(U_{j\gamma}))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(U_{j\gamma}))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} \\ &= D_0^2 R_q C_3 L_1 \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |U_{j\gamma}|_X^{q-1} \frac{\sigma_1(\wedge^2 \psi(U_{j\gamma}))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(U_{j\gamma}))^{2\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} \frac{\sigma_1(\wedge^2 \psi(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(U_{j\gamma}^{-1}\gamma))^{2\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} \\ &\leq D_0^2 R_q C_3 L_1 \epsilon^{-2-3\alpha_{\psi,\rho}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |U_{j\gamma}|_X^{q-1} \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} \\ &\leq 2^q D_0^2 R_q C_3 L_1 \epsilon^{-2-3\alpha_{\psi,\rho}} \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} |\gamma|_X^q. \end{aligned}$$

Using this last estimate we deduce that

$$\sigma_1(\psi_{q+1}(\gamma)) \leq \sigma_1(\rho_{q+1}(\gamma)) + \sigma_1(\psi_q(\gamma)) + \|u_q(\gamma)\| \leq R_{q+1} \frac{\sigma_2(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}}{\sigma_1(\psi(\gamma))^{\alpha_{\psi,\rho}}} |\gamma|_X^q \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

where $R_{q+1} > 0$ is a constant depending only on ψ and ρ . This completes the proof of the induction and of (16). Since $\psi_\ell = \wedge^2 \rho$, by applying (16) for $q = \ell$ we finish the proof of the estimate. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ and $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{K})$ be two representations. Suppose that ρ is 1-Anosov and ψ is $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov. Then the following equality holds:*

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\psi(\gamma))} = \sup_{n \geq 1} \inf_{|\gamma|_X \geq n} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\psi(\gamma))}.$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Recall that we fix a model space (X, d_X) for the hyperbolic group Γ and a visual metric $d_a, a > 1$, on $\partial_\infty X$ satisfying (1). We shall need the following lemma which generalizes [19, Lem. 6.8] and gives an upper bound for the Hölder exponent of the Anosov limit maps in terms of singular value gaps.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be a representation which admits a continuous ρ -equivariant spanning map $\xi_\rho : (\partial_\infty X, d_a) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d), d_{\mathbb{P}})$. Suppose that ξ_ρ is bi-Hölder continuous, i.e. there exist $\beta \geq \alpha > 0$ and $C > 1$ such that for every $x, y \in \partial_\infty X$,*

$$C^{-1} d_a(x, y)^\beta \leq d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho(x), \xi_\rho(y)) \leq C d_a(x, y)^\alpha.$$

Let $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite sequence of elements of Γ . Then

$$\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\log a} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho(\gamma_n))}{|\gamma_n|_X} \leq \frac{1}{\log a} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho(\gamma_n))}{|\gamma_n|_X} \leq \beta.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\lim_n \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|_X} \log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho(\gamma_n))$ exists, so we continue working under this assumption. We may write

$$\rho(\gamma_n) = k_n \exp(\mu(\rho(\gamma_n))) k'_n, \quad k_n, k'_n \in \mathbf{K}_d,$$

in the Cartan decomposition of $\mathbf{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ and, up to passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\lim_n k'_n = k'$. Fix $w_0 \in \partial_\infty X$ and choose $U \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$ an open set with $\xi_\rho(w_0) \in U$ and a local trivialization $p : U \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_d$ of the bundle $\pi : \mathbf{K}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$ (i.e. $y = [p(y)e_1]$ for every $y \in U$). Since ξ_ρ is spanning and Γ acts minimally on $\partial_\infty X$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\xi_\rho(B_\varepsilon(w_0)) \subset U$ and $\xi_\rho(B_\varepsilon(w_0))$ is not contained in a finite union of projective hyperplanes. Let $h : B_\varepsilon(w_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_d$ be the map $h := p \circ \xi_\rho^1|_{B_\varepsilon(w_0)}$. By further shrinking $\varepsilon > 0$ we may assume that:

- (i) if $d_a(w_0, y) < \varepsilon$, $\xi_\rho^1(y) = [h(y)e_1]$, then $\langle k'h(y)e_1, e_1 \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle k'h(y)e_1, e_2 \rangle \neq 0$.
- (ii) the continuous map $g : B_\varepsilon(w_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $y \mapsto g(y) := \frac{\langle k'h(y)e_1, e_2 \rangle}{\langle k'h(y)e_1, e_1 \rangle}$, is not constant.

Therefore, we may find $z, x \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$ such that $g(z) \neq g(x)$ and also $z, x \neq \lim_n \gamma_n^{-1}x_0$. We set

$$a_{z,i,n} := \frac{\sigma_i(\rho(\gamma_n))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma_n))} \frac{\langle k'_n h(z)e_1, e_i \rangle}{\langle k'_n h(z)e_1, e_1 \rangle}, \quad v_{z,n} := \sum_{i>2} a_{z,i,n} e_i,$$

$$a_{x,i,n} := \frac{\sigma_i(\rho(\gamma_n))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma_n))} \frac{\langle k'_n h(x)e_1, e_i \rangle}{\langle k'_n h(x)e_1, e_1 \rangle}, \quad v_{x,n} := \sum_{i>2} a_{x,i,n} e_i.$$

Since $z, x \in U$, there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ with $|\langle k'_n h(z)e_1, e_1 \rangle| \geq \delta$ and $|\langle k'_n h(x)e_1, e_1 \rangle| \geq \delta$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, for every $2 \leq i \leq d$, we have

$$\max\{|a_{z,i,n}|, |a_{x,i,n}|\} \leq \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma_n))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma_n))} \frac{1}{\delta}, \quad \max\{\|v_{z,n}\|, \|v_{x,n}\|\} \leq \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma_n))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma_n))} \frac{d-2}{\delta}.$$

Now we observe that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho(\gamma_n z), \xi_\rho(\gamma_n x))^2 = d_{\mathbb{P}}([\exp(\mu(\rho(\gamma_n))) k'_n h(z)e_1], [\exp(\mu(\rho(\gamma_n))) k'_n h(x)e_1])^2$$

$$= \frac{(a_{z,2,n} - a_{x,2,n})^2 + \|a_{x,2,n} v_{z,n} - a_{z,2,n} v_{x,n}\|^2 + \|v_{z,n} - v_{x,n}\|^2 + \|v_{z,n}\|^2 \|v_{x,n}\|^2 - \langle v_{z,n}, v_{x,n} \rangle^2}{(1 + a_{z,2,n}^2 + \|v_{z,n}\|^2)(1 + a_{x,2,n}^2 + \|v_{x,n}\|^2)}.$$

By using the fact that $\lim_n \frac{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma_n))}{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma_n))} (a_{z,2,n} - a_{x,2,n}) = g(z) - g(x) \neq 0$ we deduce the bounds:

$$(g(x) - g(z))^2 \frac{\delta^4}{4d^2} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma_n))^2}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma_n))^2} \leq d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho(\gamma_n z), \xi_\rho(\gamma_n x))^2 \leq \frac{13d^4}{\delta^4} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma_n))^2}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma_n))^2} \quad (17)$$

for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $z, x \neq \lim_n \gamma_n^{-1}x_0$, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |(\gamma_n x \cdot \gamma_n z)_{x_0} - |\gamma_n|_X| < \infty$$

and hence $\underline{\lim}_n d_a(\gamma_n x, \gamma_n z) a^{|\gamma_n|_X} > 0$. Thus, by (17) we have

$$\alpha \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho(\gamma_n x), \xi_\rho(\gamma_n z))}{\log d_a(\gamma_n x, \gamma_n z)} = \frac{1}{\log a} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|_X} \log \frac{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma_n))}{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma_n))} \leq \beta.$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Let us recall that for an 1-Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ we set

$$\alpha_\rho := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X,\infty}} > 0.$$

We first prove Corollary 1.4 which we use in the proof of part of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Fix $w_0, z \in \partial_\infty X$ with $z \neq w_0$. Since ρ is 1-Anosov, by Lemma 3.1 (i) and Lemma 2.3 we may find $C_0, C_\rho > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ depending on ρ , with the property: if $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$ are distinct, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma^{-1}(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{F}$ and

$$\|\gamma|_X - (x \cdot y)_{x_0}\| \leq C_0, \quad d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) \leq C_\rho \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))}. \quad (18)$$

By Theorem 1.3 and (18), we may find $C'_\rho > 0$, depending only on ρ , with the property that for every $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma^{-1}(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) &\leq C_\rho \frac{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))} \leq C'_\rho e^{-\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} |\gamma|_X^m \\ &\leq C'_\rho e^{-\alpha_\rho C_0} e^{-\alpha_\rho (x \cdot y)_{x_0}} (C_0 + (x \cdot y)_{x_0})^m \\ &\leq (C'_\rho L^m e^{-\alpha_\rho C_0} r^{\alpha_\rho}) d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}} \left| \log d_a(x, y) \right|^m \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $L := 2 \max\{C_0 + \frac{\log r}{\log a}, \frac{1}{\log a}\}$.

Now, by using the North-South pole dynamics of hyperbolic elements of Γ , we may choose infinite order elements $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in \Gamma$ with the property that for every $x, y \in \partial_\infty X$, there exists $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $h_i x, h_i y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $\mathcal{Q} > 1$, depending only on $h_{1,2,3} \in \Gamma$ and the matrices $\rho(h_{1,2,3}) \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$, with the property that for every $y_1, y_2 \in \partial_\infty X$ we have

$$d_a(y_1, y_2) \leq \mathcal{Q} d_a(h_i y_1, h_i y_2), \quad d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(h_i y_1), \xi_\rho^1(h_i y_2)) \leq \mathcal{Q} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(y_1), \xi_\rho^1(y_2)). \quad (20)$$

If $x, y \in \partial_\infty X$ is a pair of distinct points, we may write $x = h_i x', y = h_i y'$, for some $x', y' \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and by (19) and (20) we conclude that

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) \leq C d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}} \left| \log d_a(x, y) \right|^m \quad (21)$$

for $C := \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}} (1 + \log \mathcal{Q})^m C'_\rho L^m e^{-\alpha_\rho C_0} r^{\alpha_\rho}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We split the proof of the theorem in two parts. We first prove that ξ_ρ^1 is Hölder continuous and $\alpha_{\xi_\rho^1}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) = \frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}$.

Let $0 < \theta < d^{-12} \alpha_\rho$. By Corollary 1.4, fix $C > 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq \max\{0, \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - 2\}$ such that (21) holds for every pair of distinct points $x, y \in \partial_\infty X$. In particular, since d_a satisfies (1) for every pair of distinct points $x, y \in \partial_\infty X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log d_a(x, y) \right| &\leq \log r + \log \frac{r}{d_a(x, y)} \leq e^{-1} \theta^{-1} r^{2\theta} d_a(x, y)^{-\theta} \quad \text{and hence} \\ d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) &\leq C d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}} \left| \log d_a(x, y) \right|^m \\ &\leq C \theta^{-m} e^{-m} r^{2m\theta} d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a} - m\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that ξ_ρ^1 is $(\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a} - m\theta)$ -Hölder. By letting $\theta > 0$ arbitrarily close to zero, we conclude that $\alpha_{\xi_\rho^1}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) \geq \frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}$.

On the other hand, Lemma 5.1 applied for the sequence $(\gamma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty$, gives the bound $\alpha_{\xi_\rho^1}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) \leq \frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}$. This concludes the proof of the first part.

Now we prove that if ρ is either irreducible or 2-Anosov then ξ_ρ^1 is $\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}$ -Hölder. Since ρ is either semisimple or 2-Anosov, by Proposition 2.7, there exists a finite subset $F \subset \Gamma$ and $D > 0$ with the property that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists $f \in F$ such that

$$\|\gamma|_X - \gamma f|_{X, \infty}\| \leq D, \quad \left| \log \frac{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))} - \log \frac{\ell_1(\rho(\gamma f))}{\ell_2(\rho(\gamma f))} \right| \leq D. \quad (22)$$

By Lemma 3.1 (i) and Lemma 2.3, we may choose $z, w_0 \in \partial_\infty X$ distinct and $C_\rho, C_0, \varepsilon > 0$ with the property that if $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$ and $\gamma^{-1}(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$|(x \cdot y)_{x_0} - |\gamma|_X| \leq C_0, \quad d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) \leq C_\rho \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))}.$$

Let $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma^{-1}(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{F}$ and choose $f \in F$ such that $f, \gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfy (22). Then we successively obtain the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y))}{d_a(x, y)^{\alpha_\rho}} &\leq \frac{C_\rho}{d_a(x, y)^{\alpha_\rho}} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))} \leq \frac{C_\rho e^D}{d_a(x, y)^{\alpha_\rho}} \frac{\ell_2(\rho(\gamma f))}{\ell_1(\rho(\gamma f))} \\ &\leq r^{\alpha_\rho} C_\rho e^D a^{\alpha_\rho(x \cdot y)_{x_0}} \frac{\ell_2(\rho(\gamma f))}{\ell_1(\rho(\gamma f))} \\ &\leq r^{\alpha_\rho} C_\rho e^D a^{C_0 \alpha_\rho} a^{\alpha_\rho |\gamma|_X} \frac{\ell_2(\rho(\gamma f))}{\ell_1(\rho(\gamma f))} \\ &\leq r^{\alpha_\rho} C_\rho e^{D(C_0+D)\alpha_\rho} a^{\alpha_\rho |\gamma f|_{X, \infty}} \frac{\ell_2(\rho(\gamma f))}{\ell_1(\rho(\gamma f))} \\ &\leq r^{\alpha_\rho} C_\rho e^{D(C_0+D)\alpha_\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that ξ_ρ^1 is $\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}$ -Hölder when restricted on the open ball $B_\varepsilon(w_0)$. As previously, we can choose infinite order elements $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in \Gamma$ such that for every $x, y \in \partial_\infty X \setminus B_\varepsilon(w_0)$, there exists $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $h_i x, h_i y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$. Since $\rho(h_i)$ (resp. h_i) is bi-Lipschitz with respect to the metric $d_{\mathbb{P}}$ (resp. d_a), we conclude that ξ_ρ^1 is $\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\log a}$ -Hölder. \square

Recall that for a linear real semisimple Lie group G , $\lambda : G \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ denotes the *Lyapunov projection* defined by $\lambda(g) = \lim_n \frac{\mu(g^n)}{n}$, $g \in G$.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. By [11, Prop. 3.5], there exists an irreducible representation $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\tau \circ \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ is irreducible and 1-Anosov. By the definition of the metrics d_{θ^+} and d_{θ^-} (see the discussion in subsection 1.1) we have

$$\alpha_{\xi_\rho^+}(d_a, d_{\theta^+}) = \alpha_{\xi_{\tau \circ \rho}^+}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}), \quad \alpha_{\xi_\rho^-}(d_a, d_{\theta^-}) = \alpha_{\xi_{\tau \circ \rho}^-}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}})$$

where $\xi_{\tau \circ \rho}^* : \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the Anosov limit map of the dual representation $(\tau \circ \rho)^* : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, by [11, Lem. 3.7], we have the following relation for the Lyapunov projection

$$\min_{\varphi \in \theta} \varphi(\lambda(\rho(\gamma))) = \log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\tau(\rho(\gamma))) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

and the conclusion follows by Theorem 1.2. \square

Given a metric space (Y, d_Y) denote by $\dim(Y, d_Y)$ its Hausdorff dimension and by $\dim_{\mathrm{top}}(Y)$ its topological dimension. Let F_r , $r \geq 2$, denote the free group on r generators. For an 1-Anosov representation $\psi : F_r \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ the Hölder exponent $\alpha_{\xi_\psi^1}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}})$ can be arbitrarily large. Now suppose that Γ is not virtually free and $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is an 1-Anosov representation. By the definition of Hausdorff dimension one verifies the upper bound

$$\alpha_{\xi_\rho^1}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) \dim(\xi_\rho^1(\partial_\infty X), d_{\mathbb{P}}) \leq \dim(\partial_\infty X, d_a).$$

Recall that Γ acts geometrically on the hyperbolic space (X, d) . The boundary $\partial_\infty X$, equipped with the visual metric d_a , satisfies $\dim(\partial_\infty X, d_a) = \frac{h_{\Gamma, X}}{\log a}$, where $h_{\Gamma, X} = \lim_R \frac{1}{R} \log |\{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma|_X \leq R\}|$, see [8, Thm. 7.7]. Since ξ_ρ^1 is a homeomorphism, $\dim(\xi_\rho^1(\partial_\infty X), d_{\mathbb{P}}) \geq \dim_{\mathrm{top}}(\partial_\infty X)$ (see [9, Thm. 6.3.11]) and hence

$$\sup \{ \alpha_{\xi_\rho^1}(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) : \rho \text{ is 1-Anosov} \} \leq \frac{1}{\log a} \frac{h_{\Gamma, X}}{\dim_{\mathrm{top}}(\partial_\infty X)}.$$

In particular, as a corollary of Theorem 1.2 and the previous discussion we obtain:

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose that $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is an 1-Anosov representation whose limit map in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$ is spanning. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an infinite order element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that*

$$\log \frac{\ell_1(\rho(\gamma))}{\ell_2(\rho(\gamma))} \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)h_{\Gamma, X}}{\dim_{\mathrm{top}}(\partial_{\infty} X)} |\gamma|_{X, \infty}.$$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.8 AND THEOREM 1.9

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Fix $w_0 \in \partial_{\infty} X$ and $z \neq w_0$. Let $C, \epsilon > 0$, depending only on Γ and $z \in \partial_{\infty} X$, satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 2.3: if $x, y \in B_{\epsilon}(w_0)$, $x \neq y$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma^{-1}(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$|\gamma|_X - C_0 \leq (x \cdot y)_{x_0} \leq |\gamma|_X + C_0.$$

(i) Suppose that $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ is a representation which admits a continuous ρ -equivariant injective map $\xi_{\rho} : \partial_{\infty} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d)$. We prove that there exist $\beta, \kappa > 0$ such that

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho}(x), \xi_{\rho}(y)) \geq \kappa d_a(x, y)^{\beta} \quad \forall x, y \in \partial_{\infty} X. \quad (23)$$

By the minimality of the action of Γ on $\partial_{\infty} X$, it suffices to prove that (23) holds into any open neighbourhood $V \subset \partial_{\infty} X$ of $w_0 \in \partial_{\infty} X$. Since Γ is finitely generated, by the sub-multiplicativity of the first singular value, we may choose a constants $C, \tau > 1$ with

$$\frac{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))^2}{\sigma_d(\rho(\gamma))\sigma_{d-1}(\rho(\gamma))} \leq C e^{\tau|\gamma|_X} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

If $x, y \in B_{\epsilon}(w_0)$ and $(x, y, z) = \gamma(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \gamma\mathcal{F}$, by Lemma 3.2, we obtain the lower bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho}(x), \xi_{\rho}(y)) &\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sigma_d(\rho(\gamma))\sigma_{d-1}(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))^2} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho}(b_1), \xi_{\rho}(b_2)) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi C} e^{-\tau|\gamma|_X} \min_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{F}} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho}(x_1), \xi_{\rho}(x_2)) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi C} e^{-\tau(x \cdot y)_{x_0}} e^{-\tau C_0} \min_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{F}} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho}(x_1), \xi_{\rho}(x_2)) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{2}{\pi C} r^{-\frac{\tau}{\log a}} e^{-\tau C_0} \min_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{F}} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho}(x_1), \xi_{\rho}(x_2)) \right) d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\tau}{\log a}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that inverse of ξ_{ρ} is Hölder continuous and the first part follows.

(ii) Now we assume that ρ is $(1, 1, 2)$ -hyperconvex and ξ_{ρ}^1 is spanning. Let us define

$$\beta(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) := \inf \{ \beta > 0 \mid \exists \kappa > 0 : d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho}^1(x), \xi_{\rho}^1(y)) \geq \kappa d_a(x, y)^{\beta} \quad \forall x, y \in \partial_{\infty} X \}$$

and recall $\beta_{\rho} = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho(\gamma))}{|\gamma|_{X, \infty}}$.

Note that $\beta(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) > 0$ and the Hölder exponent of the inverse of ξ_{ρ}^1 is equal to $\beta(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}})^{-1}$. Since ξ_{ρ}^1 is spanning, by using Lemma 5.1 it is almost immediate that $\beta(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) \geq \frac{\beta_{\rho}}{\log a}$. We will prove that there exists $\kappa > 0$:

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho}^1(x), \xi_{\rho}^1(y)) \geq \kappa d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\beta_{\rho}}{\log a}} \quad \forall x, y \in \partial_{\infty} X.$$

This is enough to conclude $\beta(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) = \frac{\beta_{\rho}}{\log a}$ and that $\beta(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}})$ is attained.

Let $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(w_0)$ with $x \neq y$ and choose $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma^{-1}(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $|\gamma|_X \leq (x \cdot y)_{x_0} + C_0$. By using the lower bound of Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 3.1 (ii) there exist $L_\rho > 1$ and $c_\rho > 0$, depending only on ρ and Γ , such that:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_\rho^1(x), \xi_\rho^1(y)) &\geq c_\rho \frac{\sigma_2(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))} \\ &\geq c_\rho L_\rho^{-1} e^{-\beta_\rho |\gamma|_X} \\ &\geq c_\rho L_\rho^{-1} e^{-\beta_\rho C_0} e^{-\beta_\rho (x \cdot y)_{x_0}} \\ &\geq (c_\rho L_\rho^{-1} e^{-\beta_\rho C_0} r^{-\frac{\beta_\rho}{\log a}}) d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\beta_\rho}{\log a}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\beta(d_a, d_{\mathbb{P}}) = \frac{\beta_\rho}{\log a}$ and the inverse $\eta_\rho^1 : (\xi_\rho^1(\partial_\infty X), d_{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow (\partial_\infty X, d_a)$ of the limit map ξ_ρ^1 is $\frac{\log a}{\beta_\rho}$ -Hölder. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let us observe that the Hölder exponent of $\xi_{\rho_2}^1 \circ (\xi_{\rho_1}^1)^{-1}$ is equal to

$$a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} := \sup \{ \beta > 0 \mid \exists \kappa > 0 : d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_2}^1(x), \xi_{\rho_2}^1(y)) \leq \kappa d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_1}^1(x), \xi_{\rho_1}^1(y))^\beta \ \forall x, y \in \partial_\infty X \}.$$

Note that $a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} > 0$ since the limit maps $\xi_{\rho_1}^1$ and $\xi_{\rho_2}^1$ are bi-Hölder continuous. By Corollary 4.6, since ρ_1 is $\{1, 2\}$ -Anosov, we have the equality

$$\alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{\log \frac{\ell_2}{\ell_1}(\rho_2(\gamma))}{\log \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}(\rho_1(\gamma))} = \sup_{n \geq 1} \inf_{|\gamma|_X \geq n} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho_2(\gamma))}{\log \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(\rho_1(\gamma))}.$$

We prove the lower bound $a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} \geq \alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2}$. For this, let $\theta > 0$. By the definition of $a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} > 0$, we may choose infinite sequences $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\partial_\infty X$ such that $z_n \neq w_n$ for every n , $\lim_n z_n = \lim_n w_n = x'$ and

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_2}^1(z_n), \xi_{\rho_2}^1(w_n)) \geq d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_1}^1(z_n), \xi_{\rho_1}^1(w_n))^{a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} + \theta} \quad (24)$$

for sufficiently large n .

Let us fix $z' \in \partial_\infty X \setminus \{x'\}$. Since ρ_1 is $(1, 1, 2)$ -hyperconvex and ρ_2 is 1-Anosov, by Lemma 3.1 (i) and (ii) there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c_{\rho_1}, C_{\rho_2} > 0$ depending on ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, with the property that if $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(x')$ and $\delta \in \Gamma$ is an element with $\delta^{-1}(x, y, z') \in \mathcal{F}$, then:

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_1}^1(x), \xi_{\rho_1}^1(y)) \geq c_{\rho_1} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho_1(\delta))}{\sigma_1(\rho_1(\delta))} \quad (25)$$

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_2}^1(x), \xi_{\rho_2}^1(y)) \leq C_{\rho_2} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho_2(\delta))}{\sigma_1(\rho_2(\delta))}. \quad (26)$$

Now for sufficiently large n , let $\delta_n \in \Gamma$ with $\delta_n^{-1}(z_n, w_n, z') \in \mathcal{F}$. It follows by (24), (25) and (26)

$$a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} + \theta \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho_2(\delta_n))}{\log \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(\rho_1(\delta_n))} \geq \alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2}.$$

Since $\theta > 0$ was arbitrary we conclude that $a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} \geq \alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2}$.

Now we prove the upper bound $a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} \leq \alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2}$. Fix $\beta > 0$ so that there exists $c > 0$ with

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_2}^1(x), \xi_{\rho_2}^1(y)) \leq c d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_1}^1(x), \xi_{\rho_1}^1(y))^\beta \ \forall x, y \in \partial_\infty X. \quad (27)$$

Let $(\gamma'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite sequence in Γ . By using the fact that the Anosov limit maps of ρ_1 and ρ_2 are spanning and working as in Lemma 5.1, we may choose $x_1, x_2 \in \partial_\infty \Gamma$ such that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_i}^1(\gamma'_n x_1), \xi_{\rho_i}^1(\gamma'_n x_2))}{\log \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(\rho_i(\gamma'_n))} = 1.$$

It follows by (27) that

$$\beta \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_2}^1(\gamma'_n x_1), \xi_{\rho_2}^1(\gamma'_n x_2))}{\log d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_1}^1(\gamma'_n x_1), \xi_{\rho_1}^1(\gamma'_n x_2))} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(\rho_2(\gamma'_n))}{\log \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(\rho_1(\gamma'_n))}. \quad (28)$$

In particular, $\beta \leq \alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2}$ and hence $a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} \leq \alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2}$. Finally, we conclude that $a_{\rho_1, \rho_2} = \alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2}$.

It remains to show that if ρ_2 is either irreducible or 2-Anosov, then $\alpha := \alpha_{\rho_1, \rho_2}$ is attained. By Proposition 2.7, there exists a finite set $F \subset \Gamma$ and $L > 0$ with the property that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists $f \in F$ with

$$\max_{i=1,2} \left| \log \frac{\sigma_1(\rho_i(\gamma))}{\sigma_2(\rho_i(\gamma))} - \log \frac{\ell_1(\rho_i(\gamma f))}{\ell_2(\rho_i(\gamma f))} \right| \leq L. \quad (29)$$

Let $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(x')$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma^{-1}(x, y, z') = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathcal{F}$. We may choose $f \in F$ so that $\gamma, f \in \Gamma$ satisfy (29) and by using (25) and (26) we obtain the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_2}^1(x), \xi_{\rho_2}^1(y)) &= d_{\mathbb{P}}(\rho_2(\gamma)\xi_{\rho_2}^1(b_1), \rho_2(\gamma)\xi_{\rho_2}^1(b_2)) \\ &\leq C_{\rho_2} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho_2(\gamma))}{\sigma_1(\rho_2(\gamma))} \leq C_{\rho_2} e^L \frac{\ell_2(\rho_2(\gamma f))}{\ell_1(\rho_2(\gamma f))} \\ &\leq C_{\rho_2} e^L \frac{\ell_2(\rho_1(\gamma f))^\alpha}{\ell_1(\rho_1(\gamma f))^\alpha} \leq C_{\rho_2} e^{L(1+\alpha)} \frac{\sigma_2(\rho_1(\gamma))^\alpha}{\sigma_1(\rho_1(\gamma))^\alpha} \\ &\leq C_{\rho_2} c_{\rho_1}^{-\alpha} e^{L(1+\alpha)} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{\rho_1}^1(x), \xi_{\rho_1}^1(y))^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the map $\xi_{\rho_1}^1 \circ (\xi_{\rho_2}^1)^{-1}$ is α_{ρ_1, ρ_2} -Hölder restricted on the open subset $\xi_{\rho_2}^1(B_\varepsilon(x'))$ of $\xi_{\rho_2}^1(\partial_\infty X)$. In particular, since $\rho_2(\Gamma)$ acts minimally on $\xi_{\rho_2}^1(\partial_\infty X)$ we conclude that $\xi_{\rho_1}^1 \circ (\xi_{\rho_2}^1)^{-1}$ is α_{ρ_1, ρ_2} -Hölder on $\xi_{\rho_2}^1(\partial_\infty X)$. \square

Let $\text{Hit}_d(\Sigma_g)$ denote the Hitchin component of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ into $\text{PSL}_d(\mathbb{R})$. For every $r = 1, \dots, d-1$, Carvajales–Dai–Pozzetti–Wienhard in [7] defined an asymmetric distance $d_{\text{Th}}^r : \text{Hit}_d(\Sigma_g) \times \text{Hit}_d(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generalizing Thurston’s asymmetric metric on Teichmüller space of Σ_g . The calculation of Theorem 1.9, combined with the fact that exterior powers of Hitchin representations are (1, 1, 2)-hyperconvex [18, Prop. 9.6] and Theorem 1.3 of [7], imply the following corollary.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \text{Hit}_d(\Sigma_g)$.*

(i) *The map $\xi_{\rho_2}^1 \circ (\xi_{\rho_1}^1)^{-1} : \xi_{\rho_1}^1(\partial_\infty \pi_1(\Sigma_g)) \rightarrow \xi_{\rho_2}^1(\partial_\infty \pi_1(\Sigma_g))$ has Hölder exponent equal to 1 if and only if ρ_1 and ρ_2 are conjugate.*

(ii) *Suppose that ρ_1 and ρ_2 are Zariski dense and set $\psi_j := \wedge^r \rho_j : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \text{PSL}(\wedge^r \mathbb{R}^d)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $2 \leq r \leq d-1$. The map $\xi_{\psi_2}^1 \circ (\xi_{\psi_1}^1)^{-1} : \xi_{\psi_1}^1(\partial_\infty \pi_1(\Sigma_g)) \rightarrow \xi_{\psi_2}^1(\partial_\infty \pi_1(\Sigma_g))$ has Hölder exponent equal to 1 if and only if ρ_1 and ρ_2 are conjugate.*

6.1. The rank 1 case. We close this section with the following proposition for the Hölder exponent of limit maps of Anosov representations into rank one Lie groups. Let G be a real semisimple Lie group with $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) = 1$. Consider the symmetric space of G , X_G equipped with the distance d_{X_G} induced by the Killing metric. Fix also a visual metric d_b , $b > 1$, on $\partial_\infty X_G$ such that there exist $L_1, L_2 > 1$ with $L_1 b^{-(x \cdot y)_{x_0}} \leq d_b(x, y) \leq L_2 b^{-(x \cdot y)_{y_0}}$, $x, y \in \partial_\infty X_G$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let G be a real semisimple Lie group with $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) = 1$ and $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ be a Zariski dense Anosov representation with limit map $\xi_\rho : (\partial_\infty X, d_a) \rightarrow (\partial_\infty X_G, d_b)$. The exponent of ξ_ρ and its inverse ξ_ρ^{-1} are attained and*

$$\alpha_{\xi_\rho}(d_a, d_b) = \frac{\log b}{\log a} c_\rho^-, \quad \alpha_{\xi_\rho^{-1}}(d_b, d_a) = \frac{\log a}{\log b} \frac{1}{c_\rho^+}$$

where $c_\rho^- := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{|\rho(\gamma)|_{X_G, \infty}}{|\gamma|_{X, \infty}}$, $c_\rho^+ := \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty} \frac{|\rho(\gamma)|_{X_G, \infty}}{|\gamma|_{X, \infty}}$.

Proof. The Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ is *convex cocompact*, i.e. $\rho(\Gamma)$ acts cocompactly on the convex hull $\mathcal{C}_\rho \subset X_G$ of its limit set in X_G (e.g. see [13, Thm. 1.8] and [6, Thm. 11.1]). Fix basepoints $x_0 \in X$ and $y'_0 \in \mathcal{C}_\rho$. The limit map of ξ_ρ is identified with the induced boundary map of the quasi-isometry orbit map $(\Gamma x_0, d_X) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_\rho, d_{X_G})$, $\gamma x_0 \mapsto \rho(\gamma) y'_0$. In other words, if $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$

is an infinite sequence converging to a point in $\partial_\infty X$, then $\xi_\rho(\lim_n \gamma_n) = \lim_n \rho(\gamma_n)y'_0$. By [21, Prop. 3.5.4], there exists $C_1 > 1$ such that for every $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ we have the estimate

$$c_\rho^-(\gamma x_0 \cdot \delta x_0)_{x_0} - C_1 \leq (\rho(\gamma)y'_0 \cdot \rho(\delta)y'_0)_{y'_0} \leq c_\rho^+(\gamma x_0 \cdot \delta x_0)_{x_0} + C_1.$$

By the definition of ξ_ρ and the visual metrics d_b, d_a , there exist $C_2 > 1$ such that for every $x, y \in \partial_\infty X$ we have

$$C_2^{-1} d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\log b}{\log a} c_\rho^+} \leq d_b(\xi_\rho(x), \xi_\rho(y)) \leq C_2 d_a(x, y)^{\frac{\log b}{\log a} c_\rho^-}. \quad (30)$$

By Proposition 2.1 we have that $\alpha_{\xi_\rho}(d_a, d_b) \leq \frac{\log b}{\log a} c_\rho^-$ and $\alpha_{\xi_\rho^{-1}}(d_b, d_a) \leq \frac{\log a}{\log b} \frac{1}{c_\rho^+}$. Then (30) shows that exponent of the limit maps of ξ_ρ and its inverse ξ_ρ^{-1} are attained and equal to $\frac{\log b}{\log a} c_\rho^-$ and $\frac{\log a}{\log b} \frac{1}{c_\rho^+}$ respectively. \square

7. ANOSOV LIMIT MAPS WHICH DO NOT ATTAIN THEIR HÖLDER EXPONENT

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5 by constructing families of 1-Anosov representations of surface groups in $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ whose Anosov limit maps in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ do not attain their Hölder exponent.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let us consider a basepoint $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ and fix $d_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ the standard Riemannian distance on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$. We also fix a discrete faithful representation $\rho_1 : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ realizing the hyperbolic plane $(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2, d_{\mathbb{H}^2})$ as a model space for $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. In particular, we obtain an equivariant identification $\partial_\infty \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \cong \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$. We fix a basepoint $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ and we equip the boundary $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ with the visual metric $d_v(x, y) = e^{-(x \cdot y)_{x_0}}$, $x, y \in \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$.

Construction of the family of representations $\{\rho_{s,t} : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})\}_{t,s}$, $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.

Fix the following presentation of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$:

$$\pi_1(\Sigma_g) = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \rangle.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let $J_t := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, fix $\mathcal{X} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and define:

$$\mathcal{X}_{s,t} := s(\mathcal{X} - J_t \mathcal{X} \rho_1(a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1})) \rho_1(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}) (\rho_1(a_2^{-1}) - \mathrm{I}_2)^{-1}. \quad (31)$$

Clearly $\mathcal{X}_{s,t} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is well defined since the eigenvalues of $\rho_1(a_2)^{-1}$ are different from 1. Moreover, let $\psi_{2,t} : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the representation defined on the set of generators $\{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g\}$:

$$\psi_{2,t}(a_1) = J_t, \quad \psi_{2,t}(b_1) = \psi_{2,t}(a_i) = \psi_{2,t}(b_i) = \mathrm{I}_2, \quad i = 2, \dots, g.$$

Now we define the representation $\rho_{s,t} : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{s,t}(a_i) &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{2,t}(a_i) & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & \rho_1(a_i) \end{pmatrix} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, g \\ \rho_{s,t}(b_j) &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{2,t}(b_j) & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & \rho_1(b_j) \end{pmatrix} \quad j = 3, \dots, g \text{ (if } g > 2) \\ \rho_{s,t}(b_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{2,t}(b_1) & s\mathcal{X} \\ 0_2 & \rho_1(b_1) \end{pmatrix} \\ \rho_{s,t}(b_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{2,t}(b_2) & \mathcal{X}_{s,t} \\ 0_2 & \rho_1(b_2) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation using (31) shows that

$$\rho_{s,t}([a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2]) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I}_2 & \mathcal{Y}_{s,t} \\ 0_2 & \rho_1([a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2]) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{s,t} := \mathcal{X}_{s,t}(\rho_1(a_2^{-1}) - \mathrm{I}_2) \rho_1(b_2^{-1}) + s(J_t \mathcal{X} \rho_1(a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1}) - \mathcal{X} \rho_1(b_1^{-1})) \rho_1(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1}) = 0_2.$$

Therefore, $\rho_{s,t}([a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g]) = \mathrm{I}_4$ and $\rho_{s,t}$ is a well defined representation of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$.

Claim 1. The representation $\rho_{s,t} : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ is 1-Anosov. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the Anosov limit map $\xi_{s,t}^1 : \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is spanning for every $|t| < \varepsilon$ and $s \neq 0$.

Let us remark that since the image of $\rho_{2,t}$ is unipotent, the moduli of the eigenvalues of $\rho_{s,t}(\gamma)$ are

$$\ell_1(\rho_1(\gamma)), 1, 1, \ell_1(\rho_1(\gamma))^{-1}$$

and hence ρ is 1-Anosov by Theorem 2.4 (iii).

For every $s, t \neq 0$ the vector space $V_{s,t} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ spanned by $\xi_{s,t}^1(\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2)$ contains $\mathbb{R}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_4$, since the restriction of $\xi_{s,t}^1$ on the limit set of the free group $\langle \rho_1(a_1), \rho_1(a_2) \rangle$ in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ coincides with the limit map of ρ_1 . Now let $v_{b_1}, v_{a_1 b_1} \in \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_2$ be eigenvectors of $\rho_1(b_1), \rho_1(a_1 b_1)$ (see as matrices in $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_2)$ via the isomorphism $e_3 \mapsto e_1, e_4 \mapsto e_2$) respectively, corresponding to their eigenvalues of maximum modulus (denoted by $\lambda_{b_1}, \lambda_{a_1 b_1} \neq 1$ respectively). The matrix $\rho_{s,t}(b_1)$ has an eigenvector with respect to its eigenvalue of maximum modulus of the form $u_{b_1}(s, t) + \bar{u}_{b_1}(s, t)$, where $u_{b_1}(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_2$,

$$u_{b_1}(s, t) = \frac{s}{\lambda_{b_1} - 1} \mathcal{X}v_{b_1}$$

and $\bar{u}_{b_1}(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_4$. Similarly, the matrix

$$\rho_{s,t}(a_1 b_1) = \begin{pmatrix} J_t & sJ_t \mathcal{X} \\ 0_2 & \rho_1(a_1 b_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

has an eigenvector of the form $u_{a_1 b_1}(s, t) + \bar{u}_{a_1 b_1}(s, t), \bar{u}_{a_1 b_1}(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_4$,

$$u_{a_1 b_1}(s, t) = s(\lambda_{a_1 b_1} \mathbf{I}_2 - J_t)^{-1} J_t \mathcal{X}v_{a_1 b_1}.$$

For every $s \neq 0$ we have that

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(u_{a_1 b_1}(s, t), u_{b_1}(s, t)) = d_{\mathbb{P}}((\lambda_{a_1 b_1} \mathbf{I}_2 - J_t)^{-1} [J_t \mathcal{X}v_{a_1 b_1}], [\mathcal{X}v_{b_1}]) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} d_{\mathbb{P}}([\mathcal{X}v_{b_1}], [\mathcal{X}v_{a_1 b_1}]) > 0,$$

so there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{R}u_{b_1}(s, t) \oplus \mathbb{R}u_{a_1 b_1}(s, t) = \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_2$, for $|t| < \varepsilon$ and $s \neq 0$. Since $\mathbb{R}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_4 \subset V_{s,t}$ we have $V_{s,t} = \mathbb{R}^4$ for $s \neq 0, |t| < \varepsilon$ and $\xi_{s,t}^1$ is spanning.

By the definition of $d_{\mathbb{H}^2}$, there exists $R > 0$, depending only on the choice of $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$, such that

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g)} \left| |\rho_1(\gamma)|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2} - 2 \log \sigma_1(\rho_1(\gamma)) \right| \leq R$$

where $|\rho_1(\gamma)|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2} := d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\rho_1(\gamma)x_0, x_0), \gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g)$.

Claim 2. If $|t| < \varepsilon$ and $s, t \neq 0$, then $\alpha_{\xi_{s,t}^1}(d_v, d_{\mathbb{P}}) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\xi_{s,t}^1 : (\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2, d_v) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}^d), d_{\mathbb{P}})$ is not $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder.

Observe that since $\rho_{s,t}$ is 1-Anosov with semisimplification $\rho_{s,t}^{ss} = \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{I}_2, \rho_1)$, there exists $R_{s,t} > 0$ with the property that

$$\sigma_1(\rho_{s,t}(\gamma)) \leq R_{s,t} \sigma_1(\rho_1(\gamma)) \leq R_{s,t} e^{\frac{R}{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\rho_1(\gamma)|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2}} \quad \forall \gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g).$$

Since for every $\gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ we have $|\gamma|_{\mathbb{H}^2, \infty} = 2 \log \ell_1(\rho_1(\gamma))$ and $\xi_{s,t}^1$ is spanning, Theorem 1.2 implies that $\alpha_{\xi_{s,t}^1}(d_v, d_{\mathbb{P}}) = \frac{1}{2}$ when $0 < |t| < \varepsilon$ and $s \neq 0$.

Now it remains to prove that $\xi_{s,t}^1$ cannot be $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder. By working as in Lemma 5.1 for the spanning map $\xi_{s,t}^1$, we may find $x, y \in \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2, x, y \neq \lim_n \rho_1(a_1^{-n})x_0$, and $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{s,t}^1(a_1^n x), \xi_{s,t}^1(a_1^n y)) &\geq \frac{\sigma_2(\rho_{s,t}(a_1^n))}{\sigma_1(\rho_{s,t}(a_1^n))} \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma_2(\rho_{s,t}(a_1^n))}{R_{s,t} e^{R/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} |\rho_1(\gamma)|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, since $x, y \neq \lim_n \rho_1(a_1^{-n})x_0$, there exists $C > 1$, depending only on the choice of $x, y \in \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$, such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$d_v(\rho_1(a_1^n)x, \rho_1(a_1^n)y) \leq C e^{-|\rho_1(\gamma)|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2}}.$$

Since $\sigma_2(\rho_{s,t}(a_1^n)) = \sigma_1(\psi_{2,t}(a_1^n)) = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & tn \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \geq |t|n$, we conclude for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{s,t}^1(a_1^n x), \xi_{s,t}^1(a_1^n y))}{\sqrt{d_v(a_1^n x, a_1^n y)}} \geq \frac{\epsilon \sigma_2(\rho_{s,t}(a_1^n))}{\sqrt{C} R_{s,t} e^{R/2}} \geq \frac{\epsilon |t|n}{\sqrt{C} R_{s,t} e^{R/2}}$$

and hence

$$\sup_{z \neq w} \frac{d_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_{s,t}^1(z), \xi_{s,t}^1(w))}{\sqrt{d_v(z, w)}} = \infty.$$

Therefore, $\xi_{s,t}^1$ cannot be $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder. The proof of the claim is complete. \square

Remarks 7.1. (i) After the construction of the examples of Theorem 1.5, François Guéritaud mentioned to me that he is aware of an example of a surface group 1-Anosov representation into $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ whose Anosov limit map in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ has Hölder exponent 1 but fails to be 1-Hölder.

(ii) Note that the previous family of representations $\{\rho_{s,t}\}_{s,t}$, $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, demonstrates the failure of the continuity of the map $\mathcal{H} : \mathrm{Anosov}_{1,d}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g)) / \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$,

$$\mathcal{H}([\rho]) = \alpha_{\xi_{\rho}^1}(d_v, d_{\mathbb{P}})$$

among conjugacy classes of 1-Anosov representations of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ in $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$. While $\xi_{s,t}^1$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder for $s \neq 0$, the Anosov limit map of $\lim_{s,t \rightarrow 0} \rho_{s,t} = 1 \times \rho_1$ coincides with the limit map of ρ_1 whose Hölder exponent is equal to 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. ABELS, G. A. MARGULIS, AND G. A. SOIFER, *Semigroups containing proximal linear maps*, Isr. J. Math., 91 (1995), pp. 1–30.
- [2] Y. BÉNOIST, *Asymptotic properties of linear groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 7 (1997), pp. 1–47.
- [3] Y. BÉNOIST, *Divisible convex subsets. I*, in Algebraic groups and arithmetic. Proceedings of the international conference, Mumbai, India, December 17–22, 2001, New Delhi: Narosa Publishing House/Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 2004, pp. 339–374.
- [4] J. BOCHI, R. POTRIE, AND A. SAMBARINO, *Anosov representations and dominated splittings*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 21 (2019), pp. 3343–3414.
- [5] M. R. BRIDSON AND A. HAEFLIGER, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, vol. 319 of Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Berlin: Springer, 1999.
- [6] R. CANARY, *Informal lecture notes on Anosov representations*, available here: <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~canary>, (2020).
- [7] L. CARVAJALES, X. DAI, B. POZZETTI, AND A. WIENHARD, *Thurston’s asymmetric metrics for Anosov representations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.05292, (2022).
- [8] M. COORNAERT, *Patterson-Sullivan measures on the boundary of a hyperbolic space in the sense of Gromov*, Pac. J. Math., 159 (1993), pp. 241–270.
- [9] G. EDGAR, *Measure, topology, and fractal geometry*, Undergraduate Texts Math., New York, NY: Springer, 2nd ed. ed., 2008.
- [10] M. GROMOV, *Hyperbolic groups*. Essays in group theory, Publ. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. 8, 75-263 (1987)., 1987.
- [11] F. GUÉRITAUD, O. GUICHARD, F. KASSEL, AND A. WIENHARD, *Anosov representations and proper actions*, Geom. Topol., 21 (2017), pp. 485–584.
- [12] O. GUICHARD, *On Hölder regularity of divisible convex subsets.*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst., 25 (2005), pp. 1857–1880.
- [13] O. GUICHARD AND A. WIENHARD, *Anosov representations: domains of discontinuity and applications.*, Invent. Math., 190 (2012), pp. 357–438.
- [14] M. KAPOVICH, B. LEEB, AND J. PORTI, *A Morse lemma for quasigeodesics in symmetric spaces and Euclidean buildings*, Geom. Topol., 22 (2018), pp. 3827–3923.
- [15] F. KASSEL AND R. POTRIE, *A simultaneous Abels-Margulis-Soifer lemma for hyperbolic groups*, In preparation.
- [16] ———, *Eigenvalue gaps for hyperbolic groups and semigroups*, J. Mod. Dyn., 18 (2022), pp. 161–208.
- [17] F. LABOURIE, *Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space*, Invent. Math., 165 (2006), pp. 51–114.
- [18] M. B. POZZETTI, A. SAMBARINO, AND A. WIENHARD, *Conformality for a robust class of non-conformal attractors*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 774 (2021), pp. 1–51.
- [19] A. SAMBARINO, *On entropy, regularity and rigidity for convex representations of hyperbolic manifolds*, Math. Ann., 364 (2016), pp. 453–483.

- [20] K. TSOUVALAS, *Anosov representations, strongly convex cocompact groups and weak eigenvalue gaps*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.04462, (2020).
- [21] ———, *Anosov Representations, Strongly Convex Cocompact Groups and Eigenvalue Gaps*, PhD thesis, 2021.
- [22] T. ZHANG AND A. ZIMMER, *Regularity of limit sets of Anosov representations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.11021, (2019).

CNRS AND LABORATOIRE ALEXANDER GROTHENDIECK, INSTITUT DES HAUTES ÉTUDES SCIENTIFIQUES, UNIVERSITE PARIS-SACLAY, 35 ROUTE DE CHARTRES, 91440 BURES-SUR-YVETTE, FRANCE
E-mail address: `tsouvkon@ihes.fr`