A Note on the Complexity of One-Sided Crossing Minimization of Trees

Alexander Dobler $\bigcirc \square$

Algorithms and Complexity Group, TU Wien, Austria

June 28, 2023

Abstract

In 2011, Harrigan and Healy published a polynomial-time algorithm for one-sided crossing minimization for trees [2]. We point out a counterexample to that algorithm, and show that one-sided crossing minimization is NP-hard for trees.

1 Introduction

One-sided crossing minimization deals with the minimization of crossings in drawings of bipartite graphs. Given a bipartite graph $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$ with the vertex set V_1 ordered vertically on the left side by a permutation π_1 , the task is to find a vertical order π_2 of V_2 on the right side to minimize the number of pairwise crossings between the edges in E if they are drawn as straight lines (see Figure 1b). Here, $A \cup B$ is the disjoint union of two sets A and B. In this paper, we call such a drawing *two-layer drawing* of G respecting π_1 and π_2 . The problem is known as ONE-SIDED CROSSING MINIMIZATION (OSCM), or as ONE-LAYER CROSSING MINIMIZATION (OLCM). It is well-known that this problem is NP-hard for general bipartite graphs [1]. A 2011 WALCOM paper [2] presented a polynomial-time algorithm for the case when G is a tree. In Section 2 we discuss their proof and give a counterexample to the statements leading to the presented algorithm. In Section 3 a proof for NP-hardness of ONE-SIDED CROSSING MINIMIZATION in trees is given.



(a) A tree T (which forms a bi- (b) A two-layer drawing of T (c) The directed graph CP_T . partite graph). with 9 crossings.

Figure 1: A counterexample to CP_T being acyclic.



Figure 2: Sketch of the construction of G'.

2 The Proof in [2] and a Counterexample

The proof applies a well-known characterization of optimal solutions of OSCM in terms of feedback arc set. Namely, let us consider an instance $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$ of OSCM with a fixed permutation π_1 of V_1 . For $u, v \in V_2$, let c(u, v) be the number of crossings between edge pairs in $N_G(u) \times N_G(v)$ if u is placed before v in permutation π_2 , where $N_G(u)$ (resp. $N_G(v)$) are the edges incident to u (resp. v) in G. Let CP_G be the directed multi-graph defined on the vertex set V_2 such that, for $u, v \in V_2$, there exist cr(u, v) - cr(v, u) edges from u to v if cr(u, v) > cr(v, u). The number of crossings in an optimal solution can then be obtained by the cardinality of a minimum feedback arc set A in CP_G , and the ordering π_2 achieving this amount of crossings can be obtained by removing A from CP_G and defining π_2 as some topological ordering of the obtained directed acyclic graph [4].

The authors in [2] claim that CP_T is acyclic for trees T. This would imply a simple algorithm for ONE-SIDED CROSSING MINIMIZATION by computing a topological ordering of CP_T . In Figure 1 we give a counterexample to that claim. Namely, let T be as in Figure 1a, such that $V_1 = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}, V_2 = \{g, h, i\}$ and let $\pi_1 = (d, f, b, a, c, e)$. This implies that

$$cr(g,h) = 2,cr(h,g) = 3,$$

 $cr(g,i) = 3,cr(i,g) = 2,$
 $cr(h,i) = 4,cr(i,h) = 5,$

which results in the graph CP_T in Figure 1c that is not acyclic. In fact, this is one of the counterexamples s.t. T has the fewest number of vertices.

3 NP-hardness

OSCM is NP-hard for trees by a reduction from another restricted variant of OSCM discussed in [3]: it was shown that OSCM is NP-hard for bipartite graphs $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$ such that G is the disjoint union of 4-stars, that is, the connected components of G are 5-vertex graphs with one vertex having degree 4 and the remaining vertices having degree 1. Furthermore, V_1 consists of all the degree-1 vertices, while V_2 consists of all the degree-4 vertices. Given such a graph G and a permutation π_1 of V_1 , it is NP-hard to find a permutation π_2 of V_2 that minimizes the number of edge crossings. Let us consider the graph G' that is constructed from G by adding a new vertex v to V_1 and connecting v to all vertices in V_2 (see Figure 2). Note that G' is a tree and consider the ordering π'_1 of $V_1(G')$ that is constructed from π_1 by appending v to the end. Let π_2 be a permutation of V_2 . The following is easy to see.

Lemma 3.1. A two-layer drawing of G respecting π_1 and π_2 has k crossings if and only if a two-layer drawing of G' respecting π'_1 and π_2 has $k + |V_2|(|V_2| - 1)$ crossings.

Proof. We calculate the number of crossings involving edges incident to v (the purple edges in Figure 2) in the drawing of G' as follows.

$$4 + 2 \cdot 4 + 3 \cdot 4 + \dots + (|V_2| - 1) \cdot 4 = 2|V_2|(|V_2| - 1).$$

The remaining crossings in G' correspond one-to-one to crossings in G.

Theorem 3.2. ONE-SIDED CROSSING MINIMIZATION is NP-hard for trees.

References

- Peter Eades and Nicholas C. Wormald. "Edge Crossings in Drawings of Bipartite Graphs". In: Algorithmica 11.4 (1994), pp. 379–403. DOI: 10.1007/BF01187020 (cit. on p. 1).
- Martin Harrigan and Patrick Healy. "k-Level Crossing Minimization Is NP-Hard for Trees". In: Proc. International Workshop on Algorithms and Computation (WALCOM 2011). Ed. by Naoki Katoh and Amit Kumar. Vol. 6552. LNCS. Springer, 2011, pp. 70–76. DOI: 10. 1007/978-3-642-19094-0_9 (cit. on pp. 1, 2).
- [3] Xavier Muñoz, Walter Unger, and Imrich Vrto. "One Sided Crossing Minimization Is NP-Hard for Sparse Graphs". In: *Proc. International Symposium on Graph Drawing (GD 2001)*. Ed. by Petra Mutzel, Michael Jünger, and Sebastian Leipert. Vol. 2265. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2001, pp. 115–123. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-45848-4_10 (cit. on p. 2).
- Kozo Sugiyama, Shojiro Tagawa, and Mitsuhiko Toda. "Methods for Visual Understanding of Hierarchical System Structures". In: *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* 11.2 (1981), pp. 109–125. DOI: 10.1109/TSMC.1981.4308636 (cit. on p. 2).