

# On a particular specialization of monomial symmetric functions

Vincent Brugidou<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Université de Lille, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq cedex, France*

**Abstract :** Let  $m_\lambda$  be the monomial symmetric functions,  $\lambda$  being an integer partition of  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . For the specialization corresponding to the  $q$ -deformation of the exponential, we prove that each  $m_\lambda$  is associated with a polynomial  $J_\lambda(q)$  whose coefficients belong to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $J_\lambda$  is a generalization of the case  $\lambda = (n)$  for which  $J_{(n)} = J_n$  is the enumerator of tree inversions. Some relations between  $J_\lambda$  and  $J_n^{(r)}$  are obtained, these  $J_n^{(r)}$  having been defined algebraically in our previous work for  $n \geq r \geq 1$  and being classically combinatorial enumerators with  $J_n^{(1)} = J_n$ . From the calculation by induction of  $J_\lambda$  for  $n \leq 6$ , we conjecture that the coefficients of each  $J_\lambda$  are strictly positive and log-concave. As a consequence of Huh's Theorem on the  $h$ -vector of matroid complex it is shown that the coefficients of  $J_n^{(r)}$  are strictly positive and log-concave, which gives a second argument in favor of these conjectures. It is also proven that the last  $n - 1$  coefficients of  $J_\lambda$  are proportional to the first coefficients of the  $(n - r - 1)$ th column of Pascal's triangle,  $r$  being the length of  $\lambda$ . This is a third argument to state the conjectures. The calculation of  $J_{(3,2,1)}$  shows the existence of an obstacle, if one wants to prove the conjectures by application of Huh's theorem cited above.

*keywords :* monomial symmetric functions,  $q$ -deformation of the exponential, tree inversions, log-concavity,  $h$ -vector of matroids.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $p_n^{(r)}$  be the symmetric functions defined for any pair of integers  $(n, r)$  such that  $n \geq r \geq 1$ , by

$$p_n^{(r)} = \sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} m_\lambda, \quad (1.1)$$

where the  $m_\lambda$  are the monomial symmetric functions, the sum being over the integer partitions  $\lambda$  of  $n$ , with length  $l(\lambda) = r$ . The functions  $p_n^{(r)}$  are introduced with this notation in [10, Example 19 p. 33]. In particular,  $p_n^{(1)}$  is the power symmetric function  $p_n = m_n$ . In [5], we showed the following result for the specialization whose generating function of elementary symmetric function is given by Equation (1.2) and sometimes called the  $q$ -deformation of the exponential.

**Theorem 6.3 i) of [5]** For  $n \geq r \geq 1$ , and

$$Exp_q(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (1.2)$$

we have

$$p_n^{(r)} = (1 - q)^{n-r} \frac{q^{\binom{r}{2}}}{r!(n-r)!} J_n^{(r)}(q), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $J_n^{(r)}$  is a monic polynomial with positive integer coefficients, a constant term equal to  $(n - r)!$  and which degree is  $\binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{r-1}{2}$ . Moreover, for all  $r \geq 1$ ,  $J_r^{(r)} = 1$ .

When  $r = 1$ , this gives

$$m_n = p_n = p_n^{(1)} = \frac{(1 - q)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} J_n, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $J_n = J_n^{(1)}$  is the enumerator polynomial of inversions in trees on  $n$  vertices, introduced in [11]. More generally,  $J_n^{(r)}$  are enumerators of inversions for sequences for sequences of "colored" forests introduced by

---

*E-mail address* vincent.brugidou@univ-lille.fr

Stanley and Yan (see [17]), or level statistics enumerators introduced in Section 9 of [5]. Alternatively the reciprocal polynomial of  $J_n^{(r)}$ , denoted  $\overline{J_n^{(r)}}$  in [5], is the sum enumerator of generalized parking functions. We refer to the survey [18] and to [5] for more details on these combinatorial representations.

When we take for  $\lambda$  the partition  $(n)$ ,  $m_\lambda$  is factorized in the form of (1.4) for the specialization (1.2). The question at the origin of our work is whether a similar factorization exists for all  $\lambda$ . The answer is positive and given by Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.5. The factorization of  $m_\lambda$  gives rise to a polynomial  $J_\lambda$  whose coefficients belong to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and which generalizes  $J_n$ . This is proved by induction with two order relations on the set of integer partitions. It follows two recurrence relations allowing all  $J_\lambda$  to be calculated with the initial condition  $J_1 = 1$ . In Section 4, from the calculations of  $J_\lambda$  for  $n = |\lambda| \leq 6$ , we conjecture that for any partition  $\lambda$  the coefficients of  $J_\lambda$  are positive and log-concave. A second argument for these conjectures is that it is possible to show the log-concavity of  $J_n^{(r)}$  using Huh's results on the  $h$ -vector of the matroid complex of representable matroids over a field of characteristic zero [8]. We also prove that the last  $n - 1$  coefficients of  $J_\lambda$  are proportional to the first  $n - 1$  coefficients of the  $(n - r - 1)$ th column of Pascal's triangle. This gives a third argument for stating the conjectures since it is well known that these columns are log-concave. To conclude, it is underlined the need to strengthen the conjectures by continuing the calculations for larger values of  $|\lambda|$ . We also show by computing  $J_{(3,2,1)}$  that obtaining a complete proof of the log-concavity of  $J_\lambda$  by an approach analogous to that used for  $J_n^{(r)}$  seems not possible.

## 2 Prerequisites

We assume the reader to have some familiarity with integer partitions and symmetric functions, as presented in Chap. 1 of [10]. Here are our notations.  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n$  are respectively the set of all the integer partitions and the set of integer partitions of  $n \in \mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ . If  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$  is a partition of the integer  $n$ ,  $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_r = n$ ,  $l(\lambda) = r$ ,  $\lambda! = \lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_r!$  and

$$n(\lambda) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)\lambda_i = \sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{\lambda'_i}{2}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots)$  is the conjugate of the partition  $\lambda$ . If  $r_i$  is the number of parts of  $\lambda$  equal to  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the sequence of multiplicity is  $m(\lambda) = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$ , and we set  $|m(\lambda)| = r_1 + r_2 + \dots = r$  and  $m(\lambda)! = r_1! r_2! \dots$ . We also write  $\lambda = 1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots$ .

In  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $\succeq$ ,  $\leq$  and  $\sqsubseteq$  will designate the reverse lexicographic order, the dominance order and the refinement order, respectively. It is known ([10], Chap.1) that in  $\mathcal{P}_n$

$$\lambda \sqsubseteq \mu \Rightarrow \lambda \leq \mu \Rightarrow \lambda \succeq \mu. \quad (2.2)$$

We partially generalize the above definitions to any strict composition of  $n$ , i.e. any  $r$ -multiplet  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r)$  of integers strictly greater than zero such that  $u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_r = n$ , by setting  $|u| = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_r$ ,  $l(u) = r$ . Furthermore,  $\lambda(u)$  is defined as the partition of  $n$ , which is composed of the  $u_i$  arranged in a non-increasing way. We clearly have  $|\lambda(u)| = |u|$  and  $l(\lambda(u)) = l(u)$ .

If  $K$  is a commutative field,  $\Lambda_K$  is the algebra of symmetric functions in the indeterminates  $X = (x_i)_{i \geq 1}$  with coefficients in  $K$ . For the purposes of this article  $K$  will be  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ , the rational fractions in the indeterminate  $q$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $(m_\lambda)$ ,  $(e_\lambda)$  and  $(p_\lambda)$  are the three classical bases of  $\Lambda_K$ . Agreeing that  $e_0 = 1$ , we recall that in  $\Lambda_K[[t]]$

$$E(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n t^n = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 + x_i t). \quad (2.3)$$

Basic knowledge is required on posets, matroids and Tutte polynomial as, for example, stated in Wikipedia articles on these topics. If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[n]_q = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}$  is the  $q$ -analog of  $n$ . If  $P(q)$  is a polynomial in  $q$ ,  $\langle q^m \rangle P(q)$  denotes the coefficient of  $q^m$  in  $P(q)$  and the order of  $P$  denoted  $ord(P)$  is the smallest power of  $q$  with a non zero coefficient. Finally if  $E$  is a finite set,  $|E|$  is the cardinal of  $E$ .

## 3 Expression of $m_\lambda$ for the specialization $e_n = q^{\binom{n}{2}}/n!$

Let define the augmented monomial symmetric functions by

$$\widetilde{m}_\lambda = m(\lambda)! m_\lambda. \quad (3.1)$$

**Theorem 3.1** For any partition  $\lambda$  and for the specialization  $E_{xp}(t)$ ,  $\widetilde{m}_\lambda$  is given by

$$\widetilde{m}_\lambda = (1 - q)^{|\lambda| - l(\lambda)} M_\lambda(q), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $M_\lambda(q)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}[q]$  and satisfies :

a) The degree of  $M_\lambda$  is

$$d(\lambda) = \binom{|\lambda| - 1}{2} + l(\lambda) - 1, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\langle q^{d(\lambda)} \rangle M_\lambda = \frac{(l(\lambda) - 1)!}{(|\lambda| - 1)!}. \quad (3.4)$$

b) The order of  $M_\lambda$  is

$$\text{ord}(M_\lambda) = n(\lambda), \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\langle q^{n(\lambda)} \rangle M_\lambda = \frac{m(\lambda)!}{\lambda!}. \quad (3.6)$$

c) For  $\lambda = (n)$  we have

$$M_{(n)} = \frac{J_n}{(n-1)!}. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that the highest degree monomial of  $M_\lambda$  only depends on  $|\lambda|$  and  $l(\lambda)$ . We need two lemmas to prove this theorem.

**Lemma 3.2** The function  $f(x) = (x-1)(x-2)/2$  is superadditive on the interval  $[1, +\infty[$ , i.e. for all  $x, y$  in this interval

$$f(x+y) \geq f(x) + f(y).$$

Moreover, the inequality is strict for  $x$  or  $y$  greater than 1.

**Proof.** By developing, it follows

$$f(x+y) = \frac{x^2 + 2xy + y^2 - 3x - 3y + 2}{2} = f(x) + f(y) + (xy - 1),$$

and  $xy \geq 1$  for  $x, y \geq 1$ , the inequality being strict if  $x$  or  $y > 1$ . ■

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be two partitions of  $n$ , then :  $\mu < \lambda \Rightarrow n(\mu) > n(\lambda)$

**Proof.** By transitivity of the order relation, it suffices to show the inequality for  $\lambda$  covering  $\mu$ . Thus necessarily  $\lambda = R_{i,j}\mu$  where  $R_{i,j}$  is the raising operator of Mac Donald [10, Chap. 1]. If  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_i, \dots, \mu_j, \dots, \mu_r)$ , we therefore have  $\lambda = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_i + 1, \dots, \mu_j - 1, \dots, \mu_r)$ , from where

$$n(\lambda) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)\mu_i + (i-1) - (j-1) = n(\mu) + i - j < n(\mu).$$

■

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.**

i) If  $\lambda = (n)$  which corresponds to  $c$ ), then  $r = 1$  and according to (1.4) :

$$\widetilde{m}_{(n)} = m_n = p_n = (1 - q)^{n-1} \frac{J_n(q)}{(n-1)!},$$

where  $J_n$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $\binom{n-1}{2}$ , with an order equal to 0 and a constant term equal to  $(n-1)!$ . Taking  $M_{(n)} = J_n / (n-1)!$ , (3.2) and points a) and b) are verified in this case and so c) also.

ii) Equation (3.2) and a) will be proved in the general case by induction with the total order  $\trianglelefteq$  defined on  $\mathcal{P}$  by

$$\lambda \triangleleft \mu \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} |\lambda| < |\mu|, \\ |\lambda| = |\mu| \text{ and } \mu \prec \lambda. \end{cases}$$

The first partitions, thus ordered, are :  $(1) \triangleleft (2) \triangleleft (1, 1) \triangleleft (3) \triangleleft (2, 1) \triangleleft (1, 1, 1) \triangleleft \dots$

The case  $\lambda = (1)$  which corresponds to  $i$  with  $n = 1$ , has already been proven. Assume that (3.2) and  $a$  are proved for all partition  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = n$  and let prove them for  $\lambda$ . If  $\lambda = (n)$  then it is proved by case  $i$ . So suppose that  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ , with  $l(\lambda) = r \geq 2$ . By the interesting Theorem 1 of [12], we have :

$$\tilde{m}_\lambda = \tilde{m}_{\lambda^*} \tilde{m}_{\lambda^*} - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \tilde{m}_{\lambda^{(i)}} \quad (3.8)$$

with  $\lambda^* = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r-1})$  and  $\lambda^{(i)} = \lambda(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i + \lambda_r, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_{r-1})$ . In others words,  $\lambda^*$  is the partition obtained by removing the last part of  $\lambda$ , and  $\lambda^{(i)}$  is obtained by adding to the  $i$ th part of  $\lambda^*$  the last part of  $\lambda$ , and by reordering the strict composition of  $n$  thus obtained in a non-increasing way.

We have  $|\lambda^*| = |\lambda| - \lambda_r < n$  and  $\lambda_r < n$  therefore  $\lambda^* \triangleleft \lambda$  and  $(\lambda_r) \triangleleft \lambda$ . Similarly for  $i$  between 1 and  $r - 1$  we clearly have  $|\lambda^{(i)}| = n$  and  $\lambda \sqsubset \lambda^{(i)}$  which implies with (2.2)  $\lambda \prec \lambda^{(i)}$ . Since  $|\lambda^{(i)}| = |\lambda|$  this leads with (2.2) to  $\lambda^{(i)} \triangleleft \lambda$ . From  $l(\lambda^*) = l(\lambda^{(i)}) = r - 1$ , it follows by induction hypothesis that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{m}_{\lambda^*} = (1 - q)^{\lambda_r - 1} M_{(\lambda_r)}(q), \\ \tilde{m}_{\lambda^*} = (1 - q)^{n - \lambda_r - r + 1} M_{\lambda^*}(q), \\ \tilde{m}_{\lambda^{(i)}} = (1 - q)^{n - r + 1} M_{\lambda^{(i)}}(q), \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

the three polynomials  $M_{(\lambda_r)}$ ,  $M_{\lambda^*}$ ,  $M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  verifying the equations of  $a$ ). By replacing these expressions in (3.8) we obtain, any performed calculation :

$$\tilde{m}_\lambda = (1 - q)^{n - r} M_\lambda(q),$$

with

$$M_\lambda = M_{(\lambda_r)} M_{\lambda^*} + (q - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} M_{\lambda^{(i)}}. \quad (3.10)$$

By induction hypothesis, the degrees of  $M_{(\lambda_r)}$ ,  $M_{\lambda^*}$ ,  $M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  are  $\binom{\lambda_r - 1}{2}$ ,  $\binom{n - \lambda_r - 1}{2} + r - 2$  and  $\binom{n - 1}{2} + r - 2$ , respectively . So the degree of  $M_{(\lambda_r)} M_{\lambda^*}$  is  $\binom{\lambda_r - 1}{2} + \binom{n - \lambda_r - 1}{2} + r - 2$ . The leading coefficient of  $M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  being equal by induction hypothesis to  $(r - 2)! / (n - 1)!$ , the degree of  $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  is also equal to  $\binom{n - 1}{2} + r - 2$  and its leading coefficient is clearly  $(r - 1)! / (n - 1)!$ . The degree of  $M_{(\lambda_r)} M_{\lambda^*}$  and that of  $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  are therefore in the same order as  $\binom{\lambda_r - 1}{2} + \binom{n - \lambda_r - 1}{2}$  and  $\binom{n - 1}{2}$ . Let  $x = \lambda_r$ ,  $y = n - \lambda_r$  and  $f(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2)/2$ , the degree of  $M_{(\lambda_r)} M_{\lambda^*}$  and that of  $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  are in the same order as  $f(x) + f(y)$  et  $f(x + y) = f(n)$ . By Lemma 3.2, the degree of  $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  is then greater than or equal to that of  $M_{(\lambda_r)} M_{\lambda^*}$ . It follows that the degree of  $M_\lambda$  is equal to that of  $(q - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  and is thus equal to  $\binom{n - 1}{2} + r - 1$  which is the value given by (3.3). The leading coefficient of  $M_\lambda$  is that of  $(q - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  and is thus equal to  $(r - 1)! / (n - 1)!$ , which is the value given by (3.4).

*iii)* Let now prove  $b$ ) of Theorem 3.1. According to Equation (2.3) p. 20 of [10], for any partition  $\lambda$  we have

$$m_\lambda = e_{\lambda'} - \sum_{\mu \triangleleft \lambda} a_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu. \quad (3.11)$$

For  $E_{xp}(q)$ , it follows from (2.1)

$$e_{\lambda'} = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{q^{\binom{\lambda'_i}{2}}}{\lambda'_i!} = \frac{q^{n(\lambda)}}{\lambda'!}. \quad (3.12)$$

We do an induction on the order relation  $\preceq$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . For  $\lambda = 1^n$ , we have  $\tilde{m}_{1^n} = n! m_{1^n} = n! e_n = q^{\binom{n}{2}}$  and on the other hand,  $m_{1^n} = (1 - q)^{n - n} M_{1^n}$ . It follows  $M_{1^n} = q^{\binom{n}{2}}$ , which verifies the equations of  $b$ ).

Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$  with  $\lambda \neq 1^n$  and suppose that the equations of  $b$ ) have been proven for all partition  $\mu$  such that  $|\mu| = n$  and  $\mu \prec \lambda$ . In the sum of (3.11),  $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$  implies  $\mu \prec \lambda$  by (2.2). Then by induction hypothesis  $ord(M_\mu) = n(\mu)$ , and

$$\tilde{m}_\mu = (1 - q)^{|\mu| - l(\mu)} M_\mu \Rightarrow ord(m_\mu) = ord(\tilde{m}_\mu) = ord(M_\mu) = n(\mu).$$

By Lemma 3.3,  $\mu < \lambda \Rightarrow n(\mu) > n(\lambda)$ , therefore (3.11) shows that the monomial of minimal degree of  $m_\lambda$  is  $e_{\lambda'} = q^{n(\lambda)}/\lambda'!$ . So we checked that

$$\text{ord}(M_\lambda) = \text{ord}(m_\lambda) = n(\lambda) \text{ and } \langle q^{n(\lambda)} \rangle M_\lambda = m(\lambda)! \langle q^{n(\lambda)} \rangle e_{\lambda'} = \frac{m(\lambda)!}{\lambda'!}.$$

■

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $(n, r)$  be a pair of positive integers, then :*

$$\text{For } n \geq r \geq 1, \quad q^{\binom{r}{2}} \frac{J_n^{(r)}}{r!(n-r)!} = \sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} \frac{M_\lambda}{m(\lambda)!}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\text{For } n-1 \geq r \geq 1, \quad r! \sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} \frac{M_\lambda}{m(\lambda)!} = q^{\binom{r}{2}} \sum_{|\mu|=n-r} [r]_q^{l(\mu)} \frac{M_\mu}{m(\mu)!}. \quad (3.14)$$

In particular for  $r = 1$  and  $n \geq 2$

$$\frac{J_n}{(n-1)!} = M_{(n)} = \sum_{|\lambda|=n-1} \frac{M_\lambda}{m(\lambda)!}. \quad (3.15)$$

**Proof.** (3.13) is obtained by replacing in (1.1)  $p_n^{(r)}$  and  $m_\lambda$  by the right side of (1.3) and (3.2), respectively.

For Eq. (3.14), we start from the following linear recurrence proved in [5] :

$$\text{For } n-1 \geq r \geq 1, \quad J_n^{(r)}(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} [r]_q^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \binom{n-r}{j} J_{n-r}^{(j)}(q).$$

and we replace  $J_n^{(r)}$  and  $J_{n-r}^{(j)}$  with their expressions in terms of  $M_\lambda$ , coming from (3.13). With  $r = 1$ , the first equality of (3.15) follows from (3.13) and the second from (3.14). ■

**Corollary 3.5** *We have the following generalization of (1.4) for any partition  $\lambda$  :*

$$m_\lambda = (1-q)^{|\lambda|-l(\lambda)} \frac{q^{n(\lambda)}}{(|\lambda|-1)! m(\lambda)!} J_\lambda, \quad (3.16)$$

with

$$J_\lambda(q) = (|\lambda|-1)! M_\lambda(q) q^{-n(\lambda)}. \quad (3.17)$$

$J_\lambda$  is a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , of order equal to zero and of degree equal to  $\binom{|\lambda|-1}{2} + l(\lambda) - 1 - n(\lambda)$ .

**Proof.** For  $\lambda = (n)$ , (3.16) gives (1.4) with  $J_{(n)} = J_n$  which shows that (3.16) is a generalization of (1.4). (3.16) and (3.17) follow easily from (3.1) and (3.2). The nullity of the order and the value of the degree of  $J_\lambda$  come from (3.5) and (3.3) respectively. Substituting the expression of  $M_\lambda$  coming from (3.17) into (3.10) gives for all partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$  with  $r \geq 2$

$$J_\lambda = (|\lambda|-1) \binom{|\lambda|-2}{\lambda_r-1} q^{-(r-1)\lambda_r} J_{\lambda^*} J_{\lambda^*} + (q-1) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} q^{\lambda_r(i-r)} J_{\lambda^{(i)}}, \quad (3.18)$$

with  $\lambda^*$  and  $\lambda^{(i)}$  defined above. (3.18) is a recurrence whose coefficients are elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  possibly multiplied by a negative power of  $q$ . Note that the monomials with negative power of  $q$  on the right side of (3.18) necessarily cancel since the order of  $J_\lambda$  is zero. (3.18) makes it possible to calculate all the polynomials  $J_\lambda$  from the polynomials  $J_n$  which belong to  $\mathbb{N}[q]$ . So  $J_\lambda$  are elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ . ■

Equations (3.13) and (3.15) give directly with Corollary (3.5) :

**Corollary 3.6**  *$J_\lambda$  polynomials are linked to  $J_n^{(r)}$  polynomials by the following equations with strictly positive coefficients :*

$$\text{For } n \geq r \geq 1, \quad \frac{(n-1)!}{r!(n-r)!} q^{\binom{r}{2}} J_n^{(r)} = \sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} \frac{J_\lambda}{m(\lambda)!} q^{n(\lambda)}. \quad (3.19)$$

$$\text{For } n \geq 2, \quad J_n = (n-1) \sum_{|\lambda|=n-1} \frac{J_\lambda}{m(\lambda)!} q^{n(\lambda)}. \quad (3.20)$$

The two recurrences (3.10) and (3.15) make it possible to calculate all the  $M_\lambda$ . The same is true for the  $J_\lambda$  from the recurrences (3.18) and (3.20), with the advantage of having integer coefficients. We give below  $J_\lambda$  for  $|\lambda| \leq 4$  (Note that  $J_n$  have already been given in [5])

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda| = 1 & J_1 = 1. \\ |\lambda| = 2 & J_2 = 1, J_{1^2} = 1. \\ |\lambda| = 3 & J_3 = 2 + q, J_{(2,1)} = 1 + q, J_{1^3} = 2. \\ |\lambda| = 4 & J_4 = 6 + 6q + 3q^2 + q^3, J_{(3,1)} = 3 + 3q + 2q^2 + q^3, J_{(2,2)} = 3 + 2q + q^2, J_{(2,1,1)=1^2 2^1} = 2 + 2q + 2q^2, \\ & J_{1^4} = 6. \end{aligned}$$

With (3.19) it is also possible to calculate the following particular cases :

$$\text{For all } n \geq 1, \quad J_{1^n} = (n-1)! J_n^{(n)} = (n-1)!. \quad (3.21)$$

$$\text{For all } n \geq 2, \quad J_{1^{n-2} 2} = (n-2)! J_n^{(n-1)} = (n-2)! [n-1]_q. \quad (3.22)$$

## 4 Conjectures about $J_\lambda$

Three heuristic arguments lead us to state the following conjectures.

**Conjecture 4.1** *For any partition  $\lambda$  the coefficients of  $J_\lambda$  are strictly positive.*

To state the second and third conjectures, let us recall some definitions. If  $a = (a_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$  is a finite sequence of real numbers, then :

\*  $a$  is unimodal if there is an index  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n$  such that

$$a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{j-1} \leq a_j \geq a_{j+1} \geq \dots \geq a_n.$$

\*  $a$  is log-concave (resp. strictly log-concave) if

$$a_j^2 \geq a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \quad (\text{resp. } a_j^2 > a_{j-1} a_{j+1}) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq n-1.$$

\*  $a$  has no internal zeros if there do not exist  $i < j < k$  satisfying  $a_i \neq 0$ ,  $a_j = 0$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ .

We will also say that the polynomial  $P_a(q) = a_0 + a_1 q + \dots + a_n q^n$  has one of these properties if the sequence of its coefficients owns it. More details on these properties and a rich variety of unimodal and log-concave sequences can be found in [3, 4, 15].

**Conjecture 4.2** *For any partition  $\lambda$ ,  $J_\lambda$  is log-concave.*

If these two conjectures are true then  $J_\lambda$  is also unimodal (see Lemma 7.1.1 of [3]), thus we can also state :

**Conjecture 4.3** *For any partition  $\lambda$ ,  $J_\lambda$  is unimodal.*

Let us note that it is equivalent to formulate these conjectures for the polynomials  $J_\lambda$ ,  $M_\lambda$  or  $\widehat{J}_\lambda = J_\lambda / \Delta$ ,  $\Delta$  being the GCD of the coefficients of  $J_\lambda$ . The first argument for stating these conjectures results from the calculations already made. The conjectures are obviously true for  $J_{1^n}$  and  $J_{1^{n-2} 2}$  given by (3.21) and (3.22). And we have numerically checked them for  $|\lambda| = n \leq 6$ .

The second argument in favor of these conjectures comes from the fact that the coefficients of the polynomials  $J_n^{(r)}$  are strictly positive and log-concave. This is a consequence of Huh's famous work and is in fact true for the larger class of polynomials denoted  $I_m^{(a,b)}$  in [18] ( Do not confuse the  $a$  of  $I_m^{(a,b)}$  with the sequence  $a = (a_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$  above). We saw in [5] that these polynomials are linked to  $J_n^{(r)}$  by  $J_n^{(r)} = I_{n-r}^{(r,1)}$ . Polynomials  $I_m^{(a,b)}$  and their reciprocal, which are the sum enumerators of the generalized classical parking functions, have been the subject of much research, a summary of which can be found in [18]. It is possible that the following properties are known to specialists but as we have not seen them in the literature (except the case  $I_{n-1}^{(1,1)} = J_n$ ), we formulate them in the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.4** *The sequence of the coefficients of the polynomials  $I_m^{(a,b)}$  (and their reciprocals) are strictly positive and log-concave, therefore unimodal.*

**Proof.** Let

$$I_m^{(a,b)}(q) = \sum_{i=c}^d a_i q^i.$$

From the various properties of the polynomials  $I_m^{(a,b)}$  and their reciprocals (see [18]), it is easy to see that  $c = 0$ ,  $d = ma + b \binom{m}{2}$  and

$$a_0 = m! \quad a_d = 1. \quad (4.1)$$

In [17] p. 662, it is shown that

$$I_m^{(a,b)}(1+t) = \sum_{G'} t^{e(G')-m}, \quad (4.2)$$

where the sum is over the multicolor and connected graphs  $G'$  without loop and whose vertex set is  $V = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . The edges of  $G'$  between two vertices  $i, j \neq 0$  are to be taken among the  $b$  colored edges  $\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \dots, \overline{b-1}$  and those between 0 and  $i \neq 0$  are to be taken among the  $a$  colored edges  $\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \dots, \overline{a-1}$ .  $e(G')$  is the number of edges of  $G'$ . Let us now consider the graph introduced in [14] p. 3115 with the notation  $K_{m+1}^{b,a}$ . This graph is defined as a complete graph on vertices  $V = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  with the edges  $(i, j)$ ,  $i, j \neq 0$  of multiplicity  $b$  and the edges  $(0, i)$ ,  $i \neq 0$  of multiplicity  $a$ . It is clear that there is a bijection between the graphs  $G'$  and the connected spanning graphs of  $K_{m+1}^{b,a}$ . The Tutte polynomial of  $K_{m+1}^{b,a}$  is

$$T(x, y) = \sum_A (x-1)^{c(A)-1} (y-1)^{c(A)+e(A)-(m+1)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where the sum is over the spanning graphs  $A$  of  $K_{m+1}^{b,a}$ ,  $c(A)$  being the number of connected components of  $A$ , and  $e(A)$  its number of edges. Comparison of (4.2) and (4.3) shows that

$$I_m^{(a,b)}(q) = T(1, q). \quad (4.4)$$

Let us consider now the matroid  $\mathcal{M}$  associated to  $K_{m+1}^{b,a}$ . This matroid is graphical and therefore representable over any field ( Proposition 5.1.2 of [13]), so its dual  $\mathcal{M}^*$  also ( Corollary 2.2.9 of [13]). By noting  $T^*$  the Tutte polynomial of  $\mathcal{M}^*$ , we have  $T^*(y, x) = T(x, y)$ , therefore  $I_m^{(a,b)}(q) = T^*(q, 1)$ . Let  $\rho$  be the rank of  $\mathcal{M}^*$  and  $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_\rho)$  be the  $h$ -vector of the matroid complex  $IN(\mathcal{M}^*)$ . It is known that ( see [1] p.142) :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\rho} h_k q^{\rho-k} = T^*(q, 1).$$

From [8, Theorem 3], it follows that  $I_m^{(a,b)}$  is log-concave. Moreover, by the same theorem, we know that its coefficients have non internal zeros. But these coefficients are positive or zero (this comes for example, from their combinatorial definition). The internal coefficients are therefore strictly positive, so with (4.1) all the coefficients are strictly positive. Unimodality follows from Lemma 7.1.1 of [3]. ■

The coefficients of polynomials  $J_n^{(r)}$  are therefore strictly positive and log-concave. In particular, the sequence of polynomials  $J_{(n)} = J_n = J_n^{(1)}$ , satisfies both conjectures. We therefore have three sequences extracted from  $J_\lambda$  which verify the conjectures ( i.e.  $\lambda = (n)$ ,  $1^n$  and  $1^{n-2}1$ ). Furthermore, Equations (3.19) and (3.20) between  $J_\lambda$  and  $J_n^{(r)}$  have strictly positive coefficients. All these facts provide the second argument in favour of the conjectures.

We will now see the third argument.

## 5 Pascalian part of $M_\lambda$

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of the integer  $n$  with  $l(\lambda) = r$  and let*

$$v(\lambda) = d(\lambda) - n + 2 = \binom{n-2}{2} + r - 1. \quad (5.1)$$

*For  $\lambda \neq 1^n \Leftrightarrow 1 \leq r \leq n-1$ , the part of  $M_\lambda$  of degree  $\geq v(\lambda)$  is given by the following polynomial which only depends on  $n$  and  $r$  :*

$$P_n^{(r)}(q) = \frac{(r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-r-1+i}{n-r-1} q^{d(\lambda)-i} = \frac{(r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \binom{2n-r-3-j}{n-2-j} q^{v(\lambda)+j}. \quad (5.2)$$

$v(\lambda)$  is the order of  $P_n^{(r)}$ . Theorem 5.1 shows that the  $n-1$  coefficients of  $((n-1)!/(r-1)!)M_\lambda$  with highest degree are given by the first  $n-1$  coefficients of the  $(n-r-1)$ th column of Pascal's triangle.  $P_n^{(r)}$  and its coefficients will be called Pascalian part and Pascalian coefficients of  $M_\lambda$  (likewise for the parts and coefficients corresponding to  $J_\lambda$  and  $\widehat{J}_\lambda$ ).

**Proof.** We prove it by a double induction on  $n$  and  $r$ .

a) If  $n=2$  and  $r=1$ , we clearly have  $M_{(2)} = J_2 = 1$  and  $n((2)) = d((2)) = 0$ . Thus the assertion of Theorem 5.1 is true for  $n=|\lambda|=2$  and  $\lambda \neq 1^2$ .

b) Suppose that for  $k \leq n$ ,  $|\lambda|=k$  and  $\lambda \neq 1^k$  the assertion of Theorem 5.1 is true. For  $\lambda = (n+1)$ , (3.15) gives

$$M_{(n+1)} = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} \frac{M_\lambda}{m(\lambda)!}. \quad (5.3)$$

For  $\lambda$  such that  $|\lambda|=n$  and different from  $1^n$ , we have  $r \leq n-1$ , so (5.1) gives

$$v(\lambda) = d(\lambda) - n + 2 = \binom{n-1}{2} + r - (n-1) \leq \binom{n-1}{2} = v((n+1)).$$

Therefore, in the sum deduced from (5.3) which gives the coefficient of  $q^m$  in  $M_{(n+1)}$ , all coefficients  $\langle q^m \rangle M_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \neq 1^n$  are Pascalian provided that  $m \geq v((n+1))$ . We saw that

$$\frac{M_{1^n}}{n!} = \frac{\widetilde{m}_{1^n}}{n!} = \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{n!},$$

and according to (3.3),  $d((n+1)) = \binom{n}{2}$ . Thus for  $v((n+1)) \leq m \leq d((n+1)) - 1$ , the terms of the sum in (5.3) which contribute to  $\langle q^m \rangle M_{(n+1)}$  are the terms associated with the partitions verifying  $d(\lambda) \geq m$ , i.e. with (3.3),  $r = l(\lambda) \geq m + 1 - \binom{n-1}{2}$ . By (5.2) and  $d(\lambda) - i = m \Leftrightarrow i = d(\lambda) - m$ , it follows that

$$\langle q^m \rangle M_\lambda = \frac{(r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \binom{n-r-1+d(\lambda)-m}{d(\lambda)-m},$$

by adding these expressions, this gives

$$\langle q^m \rangle M_{(n+1)} = \sum_{r=m+1-\binom{n-1}{2}}^n \frac{(r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} \frac{\binom{n-r-1+d(\lambda)-m}{d(\lambda)-m}}{m(\lambda)!}.$$

Let  $m = v((n+1)) + j$ , substituting  $m$  in the above equation, we obtain for  $0 \leq j \leq n-2$ :

$$\langle q^{v((n+1))+j} \rangle M_{(n+1)} = \sum_{r=j+1}^n \frac{(r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \binom{n-2+j}{r-1-j} \sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} \frac{1}{m(\lambda)!}. \quad (5.4)$$

Furthermore, it is known that

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} \frac{1}{m(\lambda)!} = (n!)^{-1} B_{n,r}(1!, 2!, \dots) = \binom{n-1}{r-1} \frac{1}{r!}, \quad (5.5)$$

where  $B_{n,r}(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  is the Bell's partial exponential polynomial, the last equality coming from equation [3h] p.135 of [7]. With (5.5) and the change of index  $l = r-1-j$ , (5.4) successively becomes

$$\langle q^{v((n+1))+j} \rangle M_{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{r=j+1}^n \binom{n-2-j}{r-1-j} \binom{n}{r} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1-j} \binom{n-2-j}{l} \binom{n}{n-1-j-l}.$$

Using Chu-Vandermonde's identity given by (see Formula [13c'] p. 44 of [7])

$$\sum_{l=0}^L \binom{A}{l} \binom{B}{L-l} = \binom{A+B}{L},$$

we finally obtain for  $0 \leq j \leq n-2$

$$\langle q^{v((n+1))+j} \rangle M_{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{n!} \binom{2n-2-j}{n-1-j}$$



which is indeed the coefficient of the last member of (5.2) for  $\lambda = (n+1)$ . In the case  $m = d((n+1)) = \binom{n}{2} \Leftrightarrow j = n-1$  we already know by (3.4) that  $\langle q^{d((n+1))} \rangle M_{(n+1)} = 1/n!$  which is the value given by (5.2). We have therefore proved Theorem 5.1 for  $\lambda = (n+1)$ .

c) Let us now make an induction on  $r = l(\lambda)$  with  $|\lambda| = n+1$ . The case  $r = 1$  is proved by b). Assume that the assertion of Theorem 5.1 is true for all  $l$  such that  $1 \leq l \leq r \leq n-1$  and let show that it is true for  $r+1$ . If  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r, \lambda_{r+1})$  with  $|\lambda| = n+1$  and  $r+1 \leq n$ , (3.10) gives

$$M_\lambda = M_{(\lambda_{r+1})} M_{\lambda^*} + (q-1) \sum_{i=1}^r M_{\lambda^{(i)}}. \quad (5.6)$$

According to Theorem 3.1, the degree of  $M_{(\lambda_{r+1})} M_{\lambda^*}$  is

$$\delta = d((\lambda_{r+1})) + d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) = \binom{\lambda_{r+1}-1}{2} + \binom{n+1-\lambda_{r+1}-1}{2} + r-1,$$

and (5.1) gives here

$$v(\lambda) = d(\lambda) - |\lambda| + 2 = \binom{n-1}{2} + r. \quad (5.7)$$

An easy calculation shows that  $v(\lambda) - \delta = |\lambda|(\lambda_{r+1}-1) - \lambda_{r+1}^2 + 2$ . As  $|\lambda| \geq \lambda_{r+1} + 1$ , it follows  $v(\lambda) - \delta \geq 1$ , so  $v(\lambda) > \delta$ . Therefore, in the Pascalian part of  $M_\lambda$  only the term  $(q-1) \sum_{i=1}^r M_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  of (5.6) intervenes. For  $1 \leq i \leq r$  we have  $l(\lambda^{(i)}) = r$  and  $|\lambda^{(i)}| = n+1$ , so the  $\lambda^{(i)}$  verify the assertion of Theorem 5.1 and their Pascalian parts are equal to  $P_{n+1}^{(r)}$  with

$$v(\lambda^{(i)}) = \binom{n-1}{2} + r-1,$$

which implies with (5.7)

$$v(\lambda) = v(\lambda^{(i)}) + 1.$$

Hence, for  $m$  such that  $v(\lambda) \leq m \leq d(\lambda) - 1$ , we have

$$\langle q^m \rangle M_\lambda(q) = \langle q^m \rangle (q-1) r P_{n+1}^{(r)}(q),$$

i.e. with (5.2)

$$\langle q^m \rangle M_\lambda(q) = \frac{r!}{n!} \langle q^m \rangle (q-1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{2n-r-1-j}{n-1-j} q^{v(\lambda^{(i)})+j}. \quad (5.8)$$

In the right hand side of (5.8), the polynomial after  $\langle q^m \rangle$  is written by expanding

$$\begin{aligned} & - \binom{2n-r-1}{n-1} q^{v(\lambda^{(i)})} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[ \binom{2n-r-1-(j-1)}{n-1-(j-1)} - \binom{2n-r-1-j}{n-1-j} \right] q^{v(\lambda^{(i)})+j} \\ & + \binom{2n-r-1-(n-1)}{n-1-(n-1)} q^{v(\lambda^{(i)})+n}. \end{aligned}$$

With the classical relation  $\binom{N}{p} = \binom{N-1}{p-1} + \binom{N-1}{p}$ , the square bracket in the above equation becomes :

$$\binom{2(n+1)-(r+1)-3-(j-1)}{n+1-2-(j-1)}.$$

By making the change of index  $j' = j-1$  and keeping only the monomials of  $M_\lambda$  with degree  $\geq v(\lambda)$ , we obtain for the sum of these monomials

$$\frac{r!}{n!} \sum_{j'=0}^{n-1} \binom{2(n+1)-(r+1)-3-j'}{(n+1)-2-j'} q^{v(\lambda)+j'},$$

which is indeed the Pascalian part  $P_{n+1}^{(r+1)}$  given by (5.2). ■

Here is now the proof of the conjectures of Section 4 for part of the coefficients of  $J_\lambda$ , which constitutes the third announced argument.

**Corollary 5.2** For any partition  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda \neq 1^{|\lambda|}$ , the sequence of the last  $|\lambda| - 1$  coefficients of  $J_\lambda$  is strictly positive and log-concave, then unimodal. If  $l(\lambda) < |\lambda| - 1$  this sequence is in fact strictly log-concave.

**Proof.** It is known that the coefficients of the columns of Pascal's triangle are strictly positive and log-concave. More precisely for any pair of integers  $(N, p)$  such that  $p \geq 0$  et  $N \geq p + 1$

$$\binom{N}{p}^2 - \binom{N+1}{p} \binom{N-1}{p} = \left( \frac{N!}{p!(N-p)!} \right)^2 p.$$

Thus, the columns of Pascal's triangle are strictly log-concaves for  $p \geq 1$ , the column  $p = 0$  consisting of 1 is only log-concave. The corollary follows for  $J_\lambda$  and  $\lambda \neq 1^{|\lambda|}$  from the proportionality, given by Theorem 5.1 and (3.17), between Pascalian coefficients of  $J_\lambda$  and those of the  $(n - r - 1)$ th column of Pascal's triangle. ■

Incidentally it is easy to obtain a result similar to Theorem 5.1 for the polynomials  $J_n^{(r)}$  :

**Corollary 5.3** For  $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$ , and  $0 \leq i \leq n - 2$ , we have :

$$\left\langle q^{\binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{r-1}{2} - i} \right\rangle J_n^{(r)} = \binom{n-r-1+i}{n-r-1}, \quad (5.9)$$

which means that the  $(n - 1)$  coefficients of  $J_n^{(r)}$  of the highest degree are given by the first coefficients of the  $(n - r - 1)$ th column of Pascal's triangle.

**Proof.** Equations (3.13) and (5.2) successively give for  $n - 1 \geq r \geq 1$ ,  $m = d(\lambda) - i$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n - 2$  :

$$\langle q^m \rangle q^{\binom{r}{2}} \frac{J_n^{(r)}}{r!(n-r)!} = \sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} \frac{\langle q^m \rangle M_\lambda}{m(\lambda)!} = \frac{(r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \binom{n-r-1+i}{n-r-1} \sum_{|\lambda|=n, l(\lambda)=r} \frac{1}{m(\lambda)!}.$$

With (5.5) and after simplification we obtain :

$$\langle q^m \rangle q^{\binom{r}{2}} J_n^{(r)} = \left\langle q^{m - \binom{r}{2}} \right\rangle J_n^{(r)} = \binom{n-r-1+i}{n-r-1},$$

and (3.3) implies

$$m - \binom{r}{2} = \binom{n-1}{2} + r - 1 - i - \binom{r}{2} = \binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{r-1}{2} - i,$$

which gives (5.9). Moreover, Theorem 6.3 of [5] shows that  $\binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{r-1}{2}$  is the degree of  $J_n^{(r)}$ , which ends the proof. ■

## 6 Further Developments

The first thing to do is to continue the calculations using recurrence (3.10) and (3.15) – or (3.18) and (3.20) if one prefers to work with integers – to numerically check the conjectures up to a value of  $n = |\lambda|$  as large as possible.

If these calculations do not contradict the conjectures, two ways can be considered to prove them.

The first way is to generalize the proof by induction of Theorem 5.1. The ideal would be to find a formula, generalizing that given by Theorem 5.1, to all coefficients. It would already be interesting to find a recurrence with coefficients in  $\mathbb{N}[q]$  (Recurrence (3.18) has coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ ) to prove Conjecture 4.1.

The second way, of a combinatorial nature, would be to generalize to all the  $J_\lambda$  (or  $\widehat{J}_\lambda$ ) the method which made it possible to deduce the log-concavity of  $J_n^{(r)}$ . It would therefore be a question of associating with each  $\widehat{J}_\lambda$  a combinatorial object, itself associated with a matroid  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$  whose Tutte polynomial  $T_\lambda$  verifies the following analogue of (4.4) :

$$\widehat{J}_\lambda(q) = T_\lambda(1, q).$$

This way is more attractive than the first since it would allow to prove all the conjectures at the same time. Let us note in this regard that the log-concavity of the  $h$ -vector of the matroid complex has recently

been demonstrated for any matroid in [2]. This allows the potential matroid  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ , not to be limited to graphic matroids. However there is an obstacle to this approach. Indeed we calculated that :

$$J_{(3,2,1)}(q) = 10 + 30q + 35q^2 + 35q^3 + 30q^4 + 20q^5 + 12q^6 + 6q^7 + 2q^8.$$

Coefficients 2 and 35 being coprime,  $J_{(3,2,1)}$  cannot be reduced to a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. But if we find a matroid  $\mathcal{M}$  of which the Tutte polynomial  $T$  verifies  $J_{(3,2,1)}(q) = T(1, q)$ . We would have with (1.23) p.138 of [1] :

$$T(1, q) = \sum (q-1)^{|A|-\rho},$$

where the sum is over all the subsets  $A$  of the ground set  $E$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which ranks are equal to  $\rho$ . The highest degree monomial of  $T(1, q)$  is therefore clearly  $q^{|E|-\rho}$ , so  $T(1, q)$  would be monic which leads to a contradiction.

There are then, a priori, two possibilities :

\* The obstacle mentioned above can be circumvented by remaining within the framework of matroids and theorems on the log-concavity attached to them.

\* The obstacle is inherent to matroids and it will become necessary to associate combinatorially with  $J_\lambda$  an algebraic or geometric structure distinct from matroids. One thinks for example of (graded ) posets (see chap. 3 of [16]) or convex polytopes, interval greedoids, etc., for which log-concavity results have been proven or conjectured (see the already cited surveys [3, 4, 15] and also [6, 9]).

## References

- [1] F. Ardila, Algebraic and Geometric Methods in Enumerative Combinatorics. In *Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2015) pp. 3-158.
- [2] F. Ardila, G. Denham, and J. Huh., Lagrangien geometry of matroids. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36** (2023) 727-794.
- [3] P. Brändén, Unimodality, Log-concavity, Real-rootedness and Beyond. In *Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2015) pp. 437-483.
- [4] F. Brenti, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry : an update. *Comtemp. Math.*, vol. **178** (1994), pp. 71-89.
- [5] V.Brugidou, A q-analog of certain symmetric functions and one of its specializations, (2023) ; **arXiv** :2302.11221.
- [6] S.H. Chan and I. Pak. Log-concave poset inequalities. (2021), 71pp. ; **arXiv** :2110.10740
- [7] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht,1974.
- [8] J. Huh,  $h$ -vectors of matroids and logarithmic concavity. *Adv. Math.*, **270** (2015) 49-59.
- [9] J. Huh, Combinatorial applications of the Hodge-Riemann relations, in *Proc. ICM Rio de Janeiro*, vol IV, World Sci., Hackensack, NJ, (2018), pp. 3093-3111.
- [10] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second ed., Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [11] C. L. Mallows and J. Riordan, The inversion enumerator for labeled trees. *Bull. Amer. Soc.* **74** (1968) 92-94.
- [12] M. Merca, Augmented monomials in terms of power sums, *SpringerPlus* (2015) 4 :724 DOI 10.11686/s40064-015-1506-5
- [13] J. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, second ed., Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematic, Oxford university Press, 2011.
- [14] A. Postnikov and B. Shapiro, Trees, parking functions, syzygies, and deformations of monomial ideals. *Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, **356** Number 8 (2004) pp.3109-3142.
- [15] R.P. Stanley, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **576** (1989) 500-535.
- [16] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. 1*, second ed., Cambridge University Press, UK, 2012.
- [17] C. H. Yan, Generalized parking functions, tree inversions, and multicolored graphs. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **27**(2-3) (2001) 641-670
- [18] C. H. Yan, Parking Functions. In *Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2015) pp. 835-893.