

Error analyses of Sinc-collocation methods for exponential decay initial value problems^{*}

Tomoaki Okayama

Graduate School of Information Sciences, Hiroshima City University, 3-4-1, Ozuka-higashi, Asaminami-ku, Hiroshima 731-3194, Japan

Ryota Hara

PC Assist Co., Ltd., Gojinsha hiroshima kamiyamachi building 5F, 11-10, Motomachi, Naka-ku, Hiroshima 730-0011, Japan

Shun'ichi Goto

IZUMI Co., Ltd. 3-3-1 Futabanosato, Higashi-ku, Hiroshima 732-0057, Japan

Abstract

Nurmuhammad et al. developed Sinc-Nyström methods for initial value problems in which solutions exhibit exponential decay end behavior. In the methods, the Single-Exponential (SE) transformation or the Double-Exponential (DE) transformation is combined with the Sinc approximation. Hara and Okayama improved those transformations so that a better convergence rate could be attained, which was afterward supported by theoretical error analyses. However, due to a special function included in the basis functions, the methods have a drawback for computation. To address this issue, Okayama and Hara proposed Sinc-collocation methods, which do not include any special function in the basis functions. This study gives error analyses for the methods.

Keywords: Ordinary differential equations, Initial value problems, Volterra integral equations, Sinc numerical methods, SE transformation, DE transformation

2010 MSC: 65L04, 65L05, 65R20, 65D30

1. Introduction and summary

In this study, we are concerned with numerical solutions for systems of initial value problems of the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{y}'(t) = K(t)\mathbf{y}(t) + \mathbf{g}(t), & t \geq 0, \\ \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{r}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $K(t)$ is an $m \times m$ matrix whose (i, j) elements are $k_{ij}(t)$, and $\mathbf{y}(t)$, $\mathbf{g}(t)$, and \mathbf{r} are m -dimensional vectors. In this paper, the solution $\mathbf{y}(t)$ is supposed to decay exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For such a case, Nurmuhammad et al. [3] proposed Sinc-Nyström methods by means of the Sinc indefinite integration and two types of variable transformations: Single-Exponential (SE) transformation and the Double-Exponential (DE) transformation. In their numerical experiments, those methods showed exponential convergence with respect to the number of sampling points l , which is much faster than polynomial convergence like $O(l^{-4})$. It should be noted that such a fast convergence was also observed for a stiff problem.

Theoretical error analyses for the Sinc-Nyström methods have been given by Hara and Okayama [2]. They improved the SE and DE transformations in the Sinc-Nyström methods, and theoretically showed that the Sinc-Nyström method combined with the SE transformation (called SE-Sinc-Nyström method) can attain $O(\exp(-c\sqrt{l}))$,

^{*}This work was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) JP23K03218.

Email address: okayama@hiroshima-cu.ac.jp (Tomoaki Okayama)

and the Sinc-Nyström method combined with the DE transformation (called DE-Sinc-Nyström method) can attain $O(\exp(-cl/\log l))$. Those convergence rates were derived assuming that $\|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty$ and $\|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty$ do not diverge exponentially with respect to l , where A_{lm} and B_{lm} denote the coefficient matrices of the system of linear equations for the SE and DE-Sinc-Nyström methods. Those assumptions seem reasonable in view of their numerical observations.

However, the Sinc-Nyström methods have a disadvantage in a computational cost. This is because basis functions of those methods include the sine integral defined by

$$\text{Si}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\sin t}{t} dt, \quad (1.2)$$

which is a special function. To eliminate this disadvantage, Okayama and Hara [6] proposed Sinc-collocation methods so that basis functions do not include any special function. Their methods were derived by means of the Sinc approximation with a boundary treatment, combined with the SE/DE transformations. Based on the numerical experiments, they reported that the Sinc-collocation methods obtained the same precision as the Sinc-Nyström methods with much lower computational costs.

The objective of this paper is to give theoretical error analyses of the Sinc-collocation methods. The key of this project is error analysis of the Sinc approximation with a boundary treatment. In the case where the SE transformation is used with Sinc approximation, the error analysis was given [5], whereas that was not given in the case where the DE transformation is used. Therefore, we give the error analysis for the latter case. Then, with the aid of the error analyses of the Sinc-Nyström methods, we analyze the error of the Sinc-collocation methods.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. As a preliminary, we describe the Sinc approximation and the Sinc indefinite integration and their convergence theorems in Sect. 2. The Sinc-Nyström methods and their error analyses are described in Sect. 3. The Sinc-collocation methods and their error analyses are described in Sect. 4, which are the main results of this paper. The proofs of the new theorems in Sect. 2 are provided in Sect. 5. The proofs of the new theorems in Sect. 4 are provided in Sect. 6.

2. Sinc approximation and Sinc indefinite integration

2.1. Sinc approximation

The Sinc approximation is a function approximation formula over the real axis \mathbb{R} , expressed as

$$F(x) \approx \sum_{j=-M}^N F(jh)S(j, h)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $S(k, h)(x)$ is the so-called ‘‘Sinc function’’ defined by

$$S(j, h)(x) = \frac{\sin[\pi(x - jh)/h]}{\pi(x - jh)/h},$$

and h , M , and N are suitably selected depending on given positive integer n .

2.1.1. SE-Sinc approximation and its convergence theorem

To apply the Sinc approximation (2.1), F should be defined on the whole real axis \mathbb{R} . In the case where the function to be approximated, say $f(t)$, is defined for $t \geq 0$, we should employ a variable transformation that maps \mathbb{R} onto $(0, \infty)$. Especially in the case where $f(t)$ decays exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$, such as $f(t) = \sqrt{t}e^{-t}$, the following variable transformation

$$t = \psi(x) = \log(1 + e^x)$$

was proposed [10]. We refer to this transformation as the Single-Exponential (SE) transformation. With the SE transformation, putting $F(x) = f(\psi(x))$, we can apply (2.1) as

$$f(\psi(x)) \approx \sum_{j=-M}^N f(\psi(jh))S(j, h)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is equivalent to

$$f(t) \approx \sum_{j=-M}^N f(\psi(jh))S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)), \quad t \in (0, \infty). \quad (2.2)$$

We refer to this approximation as the SE-Sinc approximation.

For efficient approximation by (2.1), F should be analytic on a strip domain

$$\mathcal{D}_d = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} \zeta| < d\}$$

for a positive constant d . Therefore, for efficient approximation by (2.2), f should be analytic on a translated domain

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}_d) = \{z = \psi(\zeta) : \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d\}.$$

Actually, the convergence of the SE-Sinc approximation was analyzed as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (Okayama et al. [10, Theorem 2.2]). *Assume that f is analytic in $\psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi$. Furthermore, assume that there exist positive constants C_{\ddagger} , α , and β such that*

$$|f(z)| \leq C_{\ddagger} \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^{\alpha} |e^{-z}|^{\beta} \quad (2.3)$$

holds for all $z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let M and N be defined as

$$\begin{cases} M = n, & N = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{\beta} n \right\rceil & (\text{if } \mu = \alpha), \\ N = n, & M = \left\lceil \frac{\beta}{\alpha} n \right\rceil & (\text{if } \mu = \beta), \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

and let h be defined as

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{\pi d}{\mu n}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then, there exists a positive constant C independent of n such that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| f(t) - \sum_{j=-M}^N f(\psi(jh))S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)) \right| \leq C \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}}.$$

2.1.2. SE-Sinc approximation with a boundary treatment and its convergence theorem

According to Theorem 2.1, f should satisfy (2.3), which requires that f must be zero at the boundary of $(0, \infty)$. Here, let \tilde{f} be a function with general boundary values

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}(t) = p, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{f}(t) = q. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Okayama and Hamada [5] considered the following function

$$f(t) = \tilde{f}(t) - \frac{q + p(e^t - 1)}{e^t}, \quad (2.7)$$

which is zero at the boundary of $(0, \infty)$. Then, they considered application of (2.2), which is equivalent to

$$\tilde{f}(t) \approx \frac{q + p(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-M}^N \left(\tilde{f}(\psi(jh)) - \frac{q + p e^{jh}}{1 + e^{jh}} \right) S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)), \quad t \in (0, \infty). \quad (2.8)$$

We refer to this approximation as the SE-Sinc approximation with a boundary treatment. Its convergence was analyzed as follows.

Theorem 2.2 (Okayama and Hamada [5, Theorem 3]). For a given function \tilde{f} , let p and q be defined by (2.6), and let f be defined by (2.7). Assume that f is analytic in $\psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi$. Furthermore, assume that there exist positive constants C_{\ddagger} , α , and β such that (2.3) holds for all $z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let M and N be defined as (2.4), and let h be defined as (2.5). Then, there exists a positive constant C independent of n such that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| \tilde{f}(t) - \left[\frac{q + p(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-M}^N \left\{ \tilde{f}(\psi(jh)) - \frac{q + p e^{jh}}{1 + e^{jh}} \right\} S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)) \right] \right| \leq C \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}}.$$

2.1.3. DE-Sinc approximation and its convergence theorem (new result)

The SE transformation is not the only variable transformation that maps \mathbb{R} onto $(0, \infty)$. In fact, another variable transformation

$$t = \phi(x) = \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})$$

was proposed [4]. We refer to this transformation as the Double-Exponential (DE) transformation. With the DE transformation, putting $F(x) = f(\phi(x))$, we can apply (2.1) as

$$f(\phi(x)) \approx \sum_{j=-n}^n f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where we choose $M = N = n$ in this case. This is equivalent to

$$f(t) \approx \sum_{j=-n}^n f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)), \quad t \in (0, \infty). \quad (2.9)$$

We refer to this approximation as the DE-Sinc approximation.

For efficient approximation by (2.9), f should be analytic on a translated domain

$$\phi(\mathcal{D}_d) = \{z = \phi(\zeta) : \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d\}.$$

We provide its convergence theorem as follows. The proof is given in Sect. 5.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that f is analytic in $\phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$. Furthermore, assume that there exist positive constants C_{\ddagger} and μ with $\mu \leq 1$ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq C_{\ddagger} |z|^\mu |e^{-z}|^\mu \quad (2.10)$$

holds for all $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let h be defined as

$$h = \frac{\operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}{n}. \quad (2.11)$$

Then, there exists a positive constant C independent of n such that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| f(t) - \sum_{j=-n}^n f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| \leq C e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}.$$

Remark 2.1. The error of (2.9) was also analyzed by Okayama [4, Theorem 2.9]. However, in the existing theorem, the formula of h is set as

$$h = \frac{\log(2dn/\mu)}{n},$$

which is different from (2.11). In the present paper, we set h as (2.11), and for this reason we establish another theorem here.

2.1.4. DE-Sinc approximation with a boundary treatment and its convergence theorem (new result)

By the condition (2.10), Theorem 2.3 also requires that f must be zero at the boundary of $(0, \infty)$. In the case of \tilde{f} with general boundary values, we consider the same function f as (2.7). Then, we apply (2.9), which is equivalent to

$$\tilde{f}(t) \approx \frac{q + p(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-n}^n \left(\tilde{f}(\phi(jh)) - \frac{q + p e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}} \right) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)), \quad t \in (0, \infty). \quad (2.12)$$

We refer to this approximation as the DE-Sinc approximation with a boundary treatment. We provide its convergence theorem as follows. The proof is given in Sect. 5.

Theorem 2.4. *For a given function \tilde{f} , let p and q be defined by (2.6), and let f be defined by (2.7). Assume that f is analytic in $\phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$. Furthermore, assume that there exist positive constants C_{\ddagger} and μ with $\mu \leq 1$ such that (2.10) holds for all $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let h be defined as (2.11). Then, there exists a positive constant C independent of n such that*

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| \tilde{f}(t) - \left[\frac{q + p(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-n}^n \left\{ \tilde{f}(\phi(jh)) - \frac{q + p e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}} \right\} S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right] \right| \leq C e^{-\pi d n / \operatorname{arsinh}(d n / \mu)}.$$

2.2. Sinc indefinite integration

Integrating both sides of (2.1), we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} F(x) dx \approx \sum_{j=-M}^N F(jh) \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} S(j, h)(x) dx = \sum_{j=-M}^N F(jh) J(j, h)(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$J(j, h)(x) = h \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Si} \left(\frac{\pi(x - jh)}{h} \right) \right\}.$$

Here, $\operatorname{Si}(x)$ is the sine integral defined by (1.2). The approximation (2.13) is called the Sinc indefinite integration. In the same way as the Sinc approximation, the Sinc indefinite integration is frequently combined with a variable transformation.

2.2.1. SE-Sinc indefinite integration and its convergence theorem

In the case of the following integral

$$\int_0^t f(s) ds, \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

where $f(s)$ decays exponentially as $s \rightarrow \infty$, the SE transformation $s = \psi(x)$ allows us to apply the Sinc indefinite integration (2.13) as

$$\int_0^t f(s) ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\psi^{-1}(t)} f(\psi(x)) \psi'(x) dx \approx \sum_{j=-M}^N f(\psi(jh)) \psi'(jh) J(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)), \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

We refer to this approximation as the SE-Sinc indefinite integration. Its convergence was analyzed as follows.

Theorem 2.5 (Hara and Okayama [1, Theorem 2]). *Assume that f is analytic in $\psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi$. Furthermore, assume that there exists positive constants C_{\ddagger} , β and α with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ such that*

$$|f(z)| \leq C_{\ddagger} \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^{\alpha-1} |e^{-z}|^{\beta} \quad (2.14)$$

holds for all $z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let M and N be defined as (2.4), and let h be defined as (2.5). Then, there exists a positive constant C independent of n such that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| \int_0^t f(s) ds - \sum_{j=-M}^N f(\psi(jh)) \psi'(jh) J(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)) \right| \leq C e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu} t}.$$

2.2.2. DE-Sinc indefinite integration and its convergence theorem

In the case of the Sinc indefinite integration as well, we may use the DE transformation instead of the SE transformation. If the DE transformation is employed, we have

$$\int_0^t f(s) ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\phi^{-1}(t)} f(\phi(x))\phi'(x) dx \approx \sum_{j=-M}^N f(\phi(jh))\phi'(jh)J(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)), \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

We refer to this approximation as the DE-Sinc indefinite integration. Its convergence was analyzed as follows.

Theorem 2.6 (Hara and Okayama [2, Theorem 2]). *Assume that f is analytic in $\phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$. Furthermore, assume that there exists positive constants C_{\ddagger} , β and α with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ such that (2.14) holds for all $z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let M and N be defined as*

$$\begin{cases} M = n, & N = \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sinh(nh) \right) \right\rceil & (\text{if } \mu = \alpha), \\ N = n, & M = \left\lceil \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sinh(nh) \right) \right\rceil & (\text{if } \mu = \beta), \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

and let h be defined as (2.11). Then, there exists a positive constant C independent of n such that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| \int_0^t f(s) ds - \sum_{j=-M}^N f(\phi(jh))\phi'(jh)J(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| \leq C \frac{\operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}{n} e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}.$$

3. Sinc-Nyström methods

In this section, we describe two Sinc-Nyström methods and their error analyses presented in Hara and Okayama [2]. The idea to derive the methods is the following: (i) by integration, rewrite the given problem (1.1)

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{r} + \int_0^t \{K(s)\mathbf{y}(s) + \mathbf{g}(s)\} ds, \quad (3.1)$$

and (ii) apply the SE-Sinc indefinite integration or the DE-Sinc indefinite integration to the integral. We explain those methods one by one.

3.1. SE-Sinc-Nyström method and its error analysis

Let $l = M + N + 1$ and let $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t)$ be an approximate solution of $\mathbf{y}(t)$. Approximating the integral in (3.1) based on Theorem 2.5, we can derive

$$\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t) = \mathbf{r} + \sum_{j=-M}^N \{K(\psi(jh))\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\psi(jh)) + \mathbf{g}(\psi(jh))\} \psi'(jh)J(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)). \quad (3.2)$$

To determine the unknown coefficients $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\psi(jh))$, we set sampling points at $t = \psi(ih)$ ($i = -M, -M + 1, \dots, N$). We then obtain a system of linear equations given by

$$(I_m \otimes I_l - \{I_m \otimes (hI_l^{(-1)}D_l^{(\psi)})\} [K_{ij}^{(\psi)}]) \mathbf{Y}^{(\psi)} = \mathbf{R} + \{I_m \otimes (hI_l^{(-1)}D_l^{(\psi)})\} \mathbf{G}^{(\psi)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where I_l and I_m are identity matrices, \otimes denotes the Kronecker product, and $I_l^{(-1)}$ is an $l \times l$ matrix whose (i, j) entries are defined as

$$(I_l^{(-1)})_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Si}(\pi(i - j)) \quad (i, j = -M, -M + 1, \dots, N).$$

Moreover, $D_l^{(\psi)}$ and $K_{ij}^{(\psi)}$ are $l \times l$ diagonal matrices defined as

$$\begin{aligned} D_l^{(\psi)} &= \text{diag}[\psi'(-Mh), \dots, \psi'(Nh)], \\ K_{ij}^{(\psi)} &= \text{diag}[k_{ij}(\psi(-Mh)), \dots, k_{ij}(\psi(Nh))], \end{aligned}$$

and $[K_{ij}^{(\psi)}]$ is a block matrix whose (i, j) entry is $K_{ij}^{(\psi)}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, m$). Furthermore, \mathbf{R} , $\mathbf{Y}^{(\psi)}$, and $\mathbf{G}^{(\psi)}$ are lm -dimensional vectors defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} &= [r_1, \dots, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_2, \dots, r_m, \dots, r_m]^T, \\ \mathbf{Y}^{(\psi)} &= [y_1^{(l)}(\psi(-Mh)), \dots, y_1^{(l)}(\psi(Nh)), y_2^{(l)}(\psi(-Mh)), \dots, y_2^{(l)}(\psi(Nh)), \dots, y_m^{(l)}(\psi(-Mh)), \dots, y_m^{(l)}(\psi(Nh))]^T, \\ \mathbf{G}^{(\psi)} &= [g_1(\psi(-Mh)), \dots, g_1(\psi(Nh)), g_2(\psi(-Mh)), \dots, g_2(\psi(Nh)), \dots, g_m(\psi(-Mh)), \dots, g_m(\psi(Nh))]^T. \end{aligned}$$

By solving (3.3), we can get the value of $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\psi(jh))$, from which $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t)$ is determined through (3.2). This procedure is the SE-Sinc-Nyström method. Its error was analyzed as follows.

Theorem 3.1 (Hara and Okayama [2, Theorem 3]). *Let β be a positive constant, and let α and d be constants with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 < d < \pi$. Assume that the function k_{ij} ($i, j = 1, \dots, m$) is analytic and bounded on $\psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and y_i and g_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2.5. Let h be set as (2.5), and let M and N be set as (2.4). Let A_{lm} be a coefficient matrix of the system of linear equation (3.3), i.e.,*

$$A_{lm} = (I_m \otimes I_l - \{I_m \otimes (hI_l^{(-1)} D_l^{(\psi)})\} [K_{ij}^{(\psi)}]),$$

and assume that the inverse matrix of A_{lm} exists. Then, the error of the approximate solution $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t)$ in (3.2) is estimated as

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)| \right\} \leq (C + \hat{C} \|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}},$$

where C and \hat{C} are positive constants independent of n .

3.2. DE-Sinc-Nyström method and its error analysis

Comparing Theorem 2.5 with Theorem 2.6, we can expect that replacement of ψ by ϕ may accelerate the convergence rate. Approximating the integral in (3.1) based on Theorem 2.6, we can derive

$$\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t) = \mathbf{r} + \sum_{j=-M}^N \left\{ K(\phi(jh)) \mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\phi(jh)) + \mathbf{g}(\phi(jh)) \right\} \phi'(jh) J(j, h) (\phi^{-1}(t)). \quad (3.4)$$

Setting sampling points at $t = \phi(ih)$ ($i = -M, -M+1, \dots, N$), we obtain the following:

$$(I_m \otimes I_l - \{I_m \otimes (hI_l^{(-1)} D_l^{(\phi)})\} [K_{ij}^{(\phi)}]) \mathbf{Y}^{(\phi)} = \mathbf{R} + \{I_m \otimes (hI_l^{(-1)} D_l^{(\phi)})\} \mathbf{G}^{(\phi)}, \quad (3.5)$$

for which ϕ is used instead of ψ . By solving (3.5), we can get the value of $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\phi(jh))$, from which $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t)$ is determined through (3.4). This procedure is the DE-Sinc-Nyström method. Its error was analyzed as follows.

Theorem 3.2 (Hara and Okayama [2, Theorem 4]). *Let β be a positive constant, and let α and d be constants with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 < d < \pi/2$. Assume that the function k_{ij} ($i, j = 1, \dots, m$) is analytic and bounded on $\phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$, and y_i and g_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2.6. Let h be set as (2.11), and let M and N be set as (2.15). Let B_{lm} be a coefficient matrix of the system of linear equation (3.5), i.e.,*

$$B_{lm} = (I_m \otimes I_l - \{I_m \otimes (hI_l^{(-1)} D_l^{(\phi)})\} [K_{ij}^{(\phi)}]),$$

and assume that the inverse matrix of B_{lm} exists. Then, the error of the approximate solution $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t)$ in (3.4) is estimated as

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)| \right\} \leq (C + \hat{C} \|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \text{arsinh}(dn/\mu) e^{-\pi dn / \text{arsinh}(dn/\mu)},$$

where C and \hat{C} are positive constants independent of n .

4. Sinc-collocation methods

In this section, we describe two Sinc-collocation methods presented in Okayama and Hara [6], and their error analyses presented by this paper. The idea to derive the methods is the following: apply the SE- or DE-Sinc approximation with a boundary treatment to the approximate solution $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t)$.

4.1. SE-Sinc-collocation method and its error analysis (new result)

Here, we approximate $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}$ in (3.2) based on Theorem 2.2. Then, we obtain a new approximate solution $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}$ defined as

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}^{(\psi)}(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{k=-M}^N \left\{ \mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\psi(kh)) - \frac{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}^{(\psi)} e^{kh}}{1 + e^{kh}} \right\} S(k, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)), \quad (4.1)$$

where \mathbf{r} is the initial value in (1.1), and $\mathbf{p}^{(\psi)}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{p}^{(\psi)} = \mathbf{r} + h \sum_{j=-M}^N \left\{ K(\psi(jh))\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\psi(jh)) + \mathbf{g}(\psi(jh)) \right\} \psi'(jh).$$

Here, $J(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(0)) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} J(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)) = h$ are used to calculate $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(0)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t)$, respectively, in accordance with the definition in (3.2). In summary, by solving (3.3), we can get the value of $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\psi(jh))$, from which $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}(t)$ is determined through (4.1). This procedure is the SE-Sinc-collocation method. We provide its error analysis as follows. The proof is given in Sect. 6.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. Furthermore, assume that there exists a positive constant H such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i=1, \dots, m} |y_i(z) - r_i| &\leq H \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^\alpha, \\ \max_{i=1, \dots, m} |y_i(z)| &\leq H |e^{-z}|^\beta \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Then, the error of the approximate solution $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}(t)$ in (4.1) is estimated as

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |y_i(t) - \hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)| \right\} \leq (C + \hat{C} \|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty) \sqrt{n} \log(n+1) e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}},$$

where C and \hat{C} are positive constants independent of n .

4.2. DE-Sinc-collocation method and its error analysis (new result)

Here, we approximate $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}$ in (3.4) based on Theorem 2.4. Then, we obtain a new approximate solution $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}$ defined as

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}^{(\phi)}(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{k=-M}^N \left\{ \mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\phi(kh)) - \frac{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}^{(\phi)} e^{\pi \sinh(kh)}}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(kh)}} \right\} S(k, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)), \quad (4.2)$$

where \mathbf{r} is the initial value in (1.1), and $\mathbf{p}^{(\phi)}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{p}^{(\phi)} = \mathbf{r} + h \sum_{j=-M}^N \left\{ K(\phi(jh))\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\phi(jh)) + \mathbf{g}(\phi(jh)) \right\} \phi'(jh).$$

In summary, by solving (3.5), we can get the value of $\mathbf{y}^{(l)}(\phi(jh))$, from which $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}(t)$ is determined through (4.2). This procedure is the DE-Sinc-collocation method. We provide its error analysis as follows. The proof is given in Sect. 6.

Theorem 4.2. Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are fulfilled. Furthermore, assume that there exists a positive constant H such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i=1, \dots, m} |y_i(z) - r_i| &\leq H |z|^\alpha, \\ \max_{i=1, \dots, m} |y_i(z)| &\leq H |e^{-z}|^\beta \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Then, the error of the approximate solution $\hat{y}^{(l)}(t)$ in (4.2) is estimated as

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |y_i(t) - \hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)| \right\} \leq (C + \hat{C} \|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty) \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) \log(n+1) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)},$$

where C and \hat{C} are positive constants independent of n .

5. Proof of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4

In this section, we prove Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

5.1. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.3

We prove Theorem 2.3 with an explicit form of constant C , i.e., we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Assume that f is analytic in $\phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$. Furthermore, assume that there exist positive constants C_{\ddagger} and μ with $\mu \leq 1$ such that (2.10) holds for all $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let h be defined as (2.11). Then, it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| f(t) - \sum_{j=-n}^n f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| \leq C_{\ddagger} e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)},$$

where C_{\ddagger} is a positive constant defined as

$$C_{\ddagger} = \frac{2C_{\ddagger}}{\mu\pi^{1-\mu}} \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi d(1 - e^{-2\pi d / \operatorname{arsinh}(d/\mu)}) \cos^{2\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^{1+\mu} d} + \frac{e^{-\pi d(\operatorname{arsinh}(d/\mu)-1) / \operatorname{arsinh}(d/\mu)}}{\operatorname{arsinh}(d/\mu)(1 + (d/\mu))^{(1-\mu)/2}} \right\}. \quad (5.1)$$

The proof is organized as follows. We divide the error into two terms as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(t) - \sum_{j=-n}^n f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| &\leq \left| f(t) - \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n-1} f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is called the discretization error, and the second term is called the truncation error. The discretization error was estimated as follows.

Lemma 5.2 (Okayama [4, Lemma 4.16]). Assume that f is analytic in $\phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$. Furthermore, assume that there exist positive constants C_{\ddagger} and μ with $\mu \leq 1$ such that (2.10) holds for all $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Then, we have

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| f(t) - \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| \leq \frac{4C_{\ddagger}}{\pi^{2-\mu} d \mu (1 - e^{-2\pi d/h}) \cos^{2\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^{1+\mu} d} e^{-\pi d/h}.$$

We estimate the truncation error as follows. The proof is given in Sect. 5.2.

Lemma 5.3. Assume that there exist positive constants C_{\ddagger} and μ with $\mu \leq 1$ such that (2.10) Then, we have

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n-1} f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} f(\phi(jh)) S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| \leq \frac{2C_{\ddagger} \pi^{\mu-1}}{\mu h \cosh^{1-\mu}(nh)} e^{-\pi \mu \sinh(nh)}.$$

Combining these two lemmas, we prove Theorem 5.1 in Sect. 5.3. This completes the proof.

5.2. Proof of Lemma 5.3

To prove Lemma 5.3, The following inequality is useful.

Lemma 5.4 (Okayama [4, Lemma 4.15]). *For all real numbers x and y with $|y| < \pi/2$, we have*

$$|\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x+iy)})| \leq \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin y) \cos y} \cdot \frac{\pi \cosh x}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y}}.$$

Using this lemma, we prove Lemma 5.3 as follows.

PROOF. Put $F(x) = f(\phi(x))$ for short. Because $|S(j, h)(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n-1} F(jh)S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} F(jh)S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n-1} |F(jh)||S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t))| + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |F(jh)||S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t))| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n-1} |F(jh)| + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |F(jh)|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Furthermore, from (2.10), using Lemma 5.4 with $y = 0$, we have

$$|F(x)| = |f(\phi(x))| \leq C_{\ddagger} |\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})|^{\mu} \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh x}} \right|^{\mu} \leq C_{\ddagger} \frac{(\pi \cosh x)^{\mu}}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh x})^{\mu} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh x})^{\mu}}.$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of (5.2) is further bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n-1} |F(jh)| + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |F(jh)| &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n-1} C_{\ddagger} \frac{(\pi \cosh(jh))^{\mu}}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(jh)})^{\mu} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)})^{\mu}} + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{\ddagger} \frac{(\pi \cosh(jh))^{\mu}}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(jh)})^{\mu} (1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)})^{\mu}} \\ &= \frac{2C_{\ddagger}}{h} \cdot h \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{\mu} \cosh^{\mu}(jh) e^{-\pi \mu \sinh(jh)}}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(jh)})^{2\mu}} \\ &\leq \frac{2C_{\ddagger}}{h} \cdot h \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{\mu} \cosh^{\mu}(jh) e^{-\pi \mu \sinh(jh)}}{(1 + 0)^{2\mu}} \\ &\leq \frac{2C_{\ddagger}}{h} \int_{nh}^{\infty} \pi^{\mu} \cosh^{\mu} x e^{-\pi \mu \sinh x} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here, because $0 < \mu \leq 1$, it holds for $x \geq nh$ that

$$\cosh^{\mu} x = \frac{\cosh x}{\cosh^{1-\mu} x} \leq \frac{\cosh x}{\cosh^{1-\mu}(nh)},$$

from which we have

$$\frac{2C_{\ddagger}}{h} \int_{nh}^{\infty} \pi^{\mu} \cosh^{\mu} x e^{-\pi \mu \sinh x} dx \leq \frac{2C_{\ddagger}}{h} \int_{nh}^{\infty} \pi^{\mu} \frac{\cosh x}{\cosh^{1-\mu}(nh)} e^{-\pi \mu \sinh x} dx = \frac{2C_{\ddagger} \pi^{\mu-1}}{\mu h \cosh^{1-\mu}(nh)} e^{-\pi \mu \sinh(nh)}.$$

This is the desired estimate.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 5.1

To prove Theorem 5.1, we prepare the following three propositions.

Proposition 5.5 (Okayama and Kawai [7, Proposition 7]). *Let \tilde{q} be a function defined by*

$$\tilde{q}(x) = \frac{x}{\operatorname{arsinh} x}.$$

Then, $\tilde{q}(x)$ is monotonically increasing for $x \geq 0$.

Proposition 5.6. Let \tilde{p} be a function defined by

$$\tilde{p}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{arsinh} x}{x} \sqrt{1+x^2}.$$

Then, $\tilde{p}(x)$ is monotonically decreasing for $x \geq 0$.

PROOF. Putting $t = \operatorname{arsinh} x$, we have $\tilde{p}(x) = \tilde{r}(t)$, where

$$\tilde{r}(t) = \frac{t}{\tanh t}.$$

Differentiating $\tilde{r}(t)$, we have

$$\tilde{r}'(t) = \frac{\cosh t \sinh t - t}{\sinh^2 t} \geq \frac{1 \cdot \sinh t - t}{\sinh^2 t} \geq 0$$

for $t \geq 0$. Thus, it holds for $x \geq 0$ that

$$\tilde{p}'(x) = \tilde{r}'(\operatorname{arsinh} x) (\operatorname{arsinh} x)' = \tilde{r}'(\operatorname{arsinh} x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} \geq 0,$$

which is to be demonstrated.

Proposition 5.7. Let w be a function defined by

$$w(x) = (1+x^2) \exp \left\{ -2\pi x \left(1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{arsinh} x} \right) \right\}.$$

Then, $w(x)$ is monotonically decreasing for $x \geq 0$.

PROOF. Differentiating $w(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w'(x) &= -2 \left\{ x + \frac{\pi(1+x^2)}{\operatorname{arsinh}^2 x} \left(\operatorname{arsinh}^2 x - \operatorname{arsinh} x + \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ -2\pi x \left(1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{arsinh} x} \right) \right\} \\ &= -2 \left\{ x + \frac{\pi(1+x^2)}{\operatorname{arsinh}^2 x} v(\operatorname{arsinh} x) \right\} \exp \left\{ -2\pi x \left(1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{arsinh} x} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v(t) = t^2 - t + \tanh t$. Differentiating $v(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &= 2t - 1 + \frac{1}{\cosh^2 t}, \\ v''(t) &= 2 \left(\frac{\cosh^3 t - \sinh t}{\cosh^3 t} \right) \geq 2 \left(\frac{\cosh t - \sinh t}{\cosh^3 t} \right) = 2 \left(\frac{e^{-t}}{\cosh^3 t} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v'(t)$ monotonically increases, from which we have $v'(t) \geq v'(0) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Therefore, $v(t)$ also monotonically increases for $t \geq 0$, from which we have $v(t) \geq v(0) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Thus, it holds for $x \geq 0$ that

$$w'(x) = -2 \left\{ x + \frac{\pi(1+x^2)}{\operatorname{arsinh}^2 x} v(\operatorname{arsinh} x) \right\} \exp \left\{ -2\pi x \left(1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{arsinh} x} \right) \right\} \leq 0,$$

which is to be demonstrated.

Using these propositions, we prove Theorem 5.1 as follows.

PROOF. From Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f(t) - \sum_{j=-n}^n f(\phi(jh))S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{4C_{\ddagger}}{\pi^{2-\mu}d\mu(1 - e^{-2\pi d/h}) \cos^{2\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^{1+\mu} d} e^{-\pi d/h} + \frac{2C_{\ddagger}\pi^{\mu-1}}{\mu h \cosh^{1-\mu}(nh)} e^{-\pi\mu \sinh(nh)}. \end{aligned}$$

As for the first term, substituting (2.11) and using $\tilde{q}(x)$ defined in Proposition 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{4C_{\ddagger}}{\pi^{2-\mu}d\mu(1 - e^{-2\pi d/h}) \cos^{2\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^{1+\mu} d} e^{-\pi d/h} \\ & = \frac{4C_{\ddagger}}{\pi^{2-\mu}d\mu(1 - e^{-2\pi\mu\tilde{q}(dn/\mu)}) \cos^{2\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^{1+\mu} d} e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)} \\ & \leq \frac{4C_{\ddagger}}{\pi^{2-\mu}d\mu(1 - e^{-2\pi\mu\tilde{q}(d \cdot 1/\mu)}) \cos^{2\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d) \cos^{1+\mu} d} e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

As for the second term, substituting (2.11) and using $\tilde{p}(x)$ and $w(x)$ defined in Propositions 5.6 and 5.7, respectively, we have

$$\frac{2C_{\ddagger}\pi^{\mu-1}}{\mu h \cosh^{1-\mu}(nh)} e^{-\pi\mu \sinh(nh)} = \frac{2C_{\ddagger}\pi^{\mu-1}}{d\tilde{p}(dn/\mu)} \{w(dn/\mu)\}^{\mu/2} e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)} \leq \frac{2C_{\ddagger}\pi^{\mu-1}}{d\tilde{p}(d \cdot 1/\mu)} \{w(d \cdot 1/\mu)\}^{\mu/2} e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}.$$

Thus, the claim follows.

5.4. Proof of Theorem 2.4 and its improvement

Note that the approximation (2.12) is equivalent to (2.9) if we define f as (2.7). Therefore, from Theorem 5.1, we can deduce the following theorem immediately, which proves Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 5.8. *For a given function \tilde{f} , let p and q be defined by (2.6), and let f be defined by (2.7). Assume that f is analytic in $\phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$. Furthermore, assume that there exist positive constants C_{\ddagger} and μ with $\mu \leq 1$ such that (2.10) holds for all $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let h be defined as (2.11). Then, it holds that*

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| \tilde{f}(t) - \left[\frac{q + p(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-n}^n \left\{ \tilde{f}(\phi(jh)) - \frac{q + p e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}} \right\} S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right] \right| \leq C_{\ddagger} e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)},$$

where C_{\ddagger} is a positive constant defined as (5.1).

However, in this theorem, the conditions to be satisfied are described not for a given function \tilde{f} , but for a function f defined by (2.7). This is inconvenient to check whether those conditions are satisfied or not. Therefore, we provide its sufficient condition as follows.

Lemma 5.9. *For a given function \tilde{f} , let p and q be defined by (2.6). Assume that \tilde{f} is analytic in $\phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$. Furthermore, assume that there exists positive constants H and μ with $\mu \leq 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}(z) - q| & \leq H|z|^\mu, \\ |\tilde{f}(z) - p| & \leq H|e^{-z}|^\mu \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Then, f defined by (2.7) satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.1.

To prove this lemma, we prepare the following four lemmas.

Lemma 5.10 (Okayama et al. [9, Lemma 4.22]). *Let x and y be real numbers with $|y| < \pi/2$, and let $\zeta = x + iy$. Then,*

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y}) \cos((\pi/2) \sin y)},$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y}) \cos((\pi/2) \sin y)}.$$

Lemma 5.11. *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi/2$. Then,*

$$\sup_{z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)} |e^{-z}| \leq \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d)},$$

$$\sup_{z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)} |1 - e^{-z}| \leq \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d)}.$$

PROOF. Applying $z = \phi(\zeta)$, we have

$$\sup_{z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)} |e^{-z}| = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} |e^{-\phi(\zeta)}| = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}} \right|,$$

$$\sup_{z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)} |1 - e^{-z}| = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} |1 - e^{-\phi(\zeta)}| = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}} \right|.$$

Thus, the claim follows from Lemma 5.10.

Lemma 5.12. *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi/2$. Then,*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1}{\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}} \right| \leq \frac{c_d}{\log(1 + c_d)},$$

where c_d is a constant defined by

$$c_d = 1 + \frac{1}{\cos((\pi/2) \sin d)}. \quad (5.3)$$

PROOF. Put a function P as

$$P(z) = \frac{1}{z} \cdot (1 - e^{-z}).$$

By the maximum modulus principle, $|P(\log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta}))|$ has its maximum on the boundary of \mathcal{D}_d , i.e., when $\zeta = x + id$ or $\zeta = x - id$. In what follows, we consider the case $\zeta = x + id$, because we can handle the case $\zeta = x - id$ in the same way.

Put $\xi = \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x+id)})$ and $\gamma = -\log(\cos((\pi/2) \sin d))$. We consider two cases: a) $|\xi| \leq \log(2 + e^\gamma)$ and b) $|\xi| > \log(2 + e^\gamma)$, and for each case we show

$$|P(\xi)| \leq \frac{1 + e^\gamma}{\log(2 + e^\gamma)} = \frac{c_d}{\log(1 + c_d)}.$$

In the case of a), we have

$$|P(\xi)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\xi)^{k-1}}{k!} \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{k-1}}{k!} = \frac{1}{|\xi|} \cdot (e^{|\xi|} - 1) \leq \frac{1}{\log(2 + e^\gamma)} (e^{\log(2 + e^\gamma)} - 1) = \frac{c_d}{\log(1 + c_d)},$$

because the function $(e^x - 1)/x$ is monotonically increasing. In the case of b), from Lemma 5.10, it holds that

$$\operatorname{Re} \xi = \log |1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x+id)}| \geq \log[(1 + e^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos d}) \cos((\pi/2) \sin d)] \geq -\gamma.$$

Using this inequality, we have

$$|P(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{|\xi|}(1 + |e^{-\xi}|) = \frac{1}{|\xi|}(1 + e^{-\operatorname{Re}\xi}) \leq \frac{1}{|\xi|}(1 + e^\gamma).$$

Furthermore, because the function $1/x$ is monotonically decreasing, we have

$$\frac{1}{|\xi|}(1 + e^\gamma) \leq \frac{1}{\log(2 + e^\gamma)}(1 + e^\gamma) = \frac{c_d}{\log(1 + c_d)}.$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 5.13. *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi/2$. Then, it holds for $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ that*

$$|1 - e^{-z}| \leq \frac{c_d}{\log(1 + c_d)}|z|,$$

where c_d is a constant defined by (5.3).

PROOF. The claim immediately follows by putting $z = \log(1 + e^{\pi \sinh \zeta})$ in Lemma 5.12.

Using these lemmas, we prove Lemma 5.9 as follows.

PROOF. From (2.7), using $|\tilde{f}(z) - q| \leq H|z|^\mu$ and $|\tilde{f}(z) - p| \leq H|e^{-z}|^\mu$, we have

$$|f(z)| = |(\tilde{f}(z) - p)(1 - e^{-z}) + (\tilde{f}(z) - q)e^{-z}| \leq H|e^{-z}|^\mu|1 - e^{-z}| + H|z|^\mu|e^{-z}|.$$

Using Lemmas 5.11 and 5.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H|e^{-z}|^\mu|1 - e^{-z}| + H|z|^\mu|e^{-z}| &= H|e^{-z}|^\mu|1 - e^{-z}|^{1-\mu} + H|z|^\mu|e^{-z}|^\mu|e^{-z}|^{1-\mu} \\ &\leq H|e^{-z}|^\mu|1 - e^{-z}|^\mu \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d)} + H|z|^\mu|e^{-z}|^\mu \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \\ &\leq H|e^{-z}|^\mu \left(\frac{c_d}{\log(1 + c_d)} \right)^\mu |z|^\mu \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d)} + H|z|^\mu|e^{-z}|^\mu \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \\ &= \frac{H}{\cos^{1-\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \left\{ \left(\frac{c_d}{\log(1 + c_d)} \right)^\mu + 1 \right\} |z|^\mu |e^{-z}|^\mu, \end{aligned}$$

from which the claim follows.

In summary, instead of Theorem 5.8, using Lemma 5.9, we establish the following theorem.

Theorem 5.14. *For a given function \tilde{f} , let p and q be defined by (2.6). Assume that \tilde{f} is analytic in $\phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$. Furthermore, assume that there exists positive constants H and μ with $\mu \leq 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}(z) - q| &\leq H|z|^\mu, \\ |\tilde{f}(z) - p| &\leq H|e^{-z}|^\mu \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Let h be defined as (2.11). Then, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| \tilde{f}(t) - \left[\frac{q + p(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-n}^n \left\{ \tilde{f}(\phi(jh)) - \frac{q + p e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}} \right\} S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{H}{\cos^{1-\mu}((\pi/2) \sin d)} \left\{ \left(\frac{c_d}{\log(1 + c_d)} \right)^\mu + 1 \right\} C_\dagger e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_\dagger and c_d are positive constants defined as (5.1) and (5.3), respectively.

6. Proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2

In this section, we prove Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. For both proofs, the following lemma is useful.

Lemma 6.1 (Stenger [11, p. 142]). *It holds for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=-n}^n |S(j, h)(x)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + \gamma + \log(n+1) \right\},$$

where γ is Euler's constant defined by

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n-1} - \log n \right\} = 0.5772 \cdots$$

6.1. Proof of Theorem 4.1

We need the following lemma, which is similar to Lemma 5.9 in the case of the DE transformation.

Lemma 6.2. *For a given function \tilde{f} , let p and q be defined by (2.6). Assume that \tilde{f} is analytic in $\psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ with $0 < d < \pi$. Furthermore, assume that there exists positive constants H , α , and β with $\alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta \leq 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}(z) - q| &\leq H \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^\alpha, \\ |\tilde{f}(z) - p| &\leq H |e^{-z}|^\beta \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $z \in \phi(\mathcal{D}_d)$. Then, f defined by (2.7) satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.1.

To prove this lemma, we prepare the following four lemmas.

Lemma 6.3 (Okayama et al. [9, Lemma 4.21]). *Let x and y be real numbers with $|y| < \pi$, and let $\zeta = x + iy$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^\zeta} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + e^x) \cos(y/2)}, \\ \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\zeta}} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x}) \cos(y/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.4. *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)} |e^{-z}| &\leq \frac{1}{\cos(d/2)}, \\ \sup_{z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)} |1 - e^{-z}| &\leq \frac{1}{\cos(d/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Applying $z = \psi(\zeta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)} |e^{-z}| &= \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} |e^{-\psi(\zeta)}| = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^\zeta} \right|, \\ \sup_{z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)} |1 - e^{-z}| &= \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} |1 - e^{-\psi(\zeta)}| = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\zeta}} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the claim follows from Lemma 6.3.

Lemma 6.5 (Okayama and Machida [8, Lemma 7]). *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi$. Then,*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_d} \left| \frac{1 + \log(1 + e^\zeta)}{\log(1 + e^\zeta)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\zeta}} \right| \leq \frac{1 + \log(1 + \tilde{c}_d)}{\log(1 + \tilde{c}_d)} \tilde{c}_d,$$

where \tilde{c}_d is a constant defined by

$$\tilde{c}_d = 1 + \frac{1}{\cos(d/2)}. \tag{6.1}$$

Lemma 6.6. *Let d be a positive constant with $d < \pi$. Then, it holds for $z \in \psi(\mathcal{D}_d)$ that*

$$|1 - e^{-z}| \leq \frac{1 + \log(1 + \tilde{c}_d)}{\log(1 + \tilde{c}_d)} \tilde{c}_d \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|,$$

where \tilde{c}_d is a constant defined by (6.1).

PROOF. The claim immediately follows by putting $z = \log(1 + e^\zeta)$ in Lemma 6.5.

Using these lemmas, we prove Lemma 6.2 as follows.

PROOF. From (2.7), using $|\tilde{f}(z) - q| \leq H|z/(1+z)|^\alpha$ and $|\tilde{f}(z) - p| \leq H|e^{-z}|^\beta$, we have

$$|f(z)| = |(\tilde{f}(z) - p)(1 - e^{-z}) + (\tilde{f}(z) - q)e^{-z}| \leq H|e^{-z}|^\beta |1 - e^{-z}| + H \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^\alpha |e^{-z}|.$$

Using Lemmas 6.4 and 6.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H|e^{-z}|^\beta |1 - e^{-z}| + H \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^\alpha |e^{-z}| &= H|e^{-z}|^\beta |1 - e^{-z}|^\alpha |1 - e^{-z}|^{1-\alpha} + H \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^\alpha |e^{-z}|^\beta |e^{-z}|^{1-\beta} \\ &\leq H|e^{-z}|^\beta |1 - e^{-z}|^\alpha \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\alpha}(d/2)} + H \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^\alpha |e^{-z}|^\beta \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\beta}(d/2)} \\ &\leq H|e^{-z}|^\beta \left(\frac{1 + \log(1 + \tilde{c}_d)}{\log(1 + \tilde{c}_d)} \tilde{c}_d \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right| \right)^\alpha \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\alpha}(d/2)} \\ &\quad + H \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^\alpha |e^{-z}|^\beta \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\beta}(d/2)} \\ &= H \left\{ \left(\frac{1 + \log(1 + \tilde{c}_d)}{\log(1 + \tilde{c}_d)} \tilde{c}_d \right)^\alpha \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\alpha}(d/2)} + \frac{1}{\cos^{1-\beta}(d/2)} \right\} \left| \frac{z}{1+z} \right|^\alpha |e^{-z}|^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

from which the claim follows.

Thanks to Lemma 6.2, we can apply Theorem 2.2 to the solution y_i under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Based on this idea, we prove Theorem 4.1 as follows.

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{p} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}(t)$, and let $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ be a function defined by

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-M}^N \left\{ \mathbf{y}(\phi(jh)) - \frac{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}e^{jh}}{1 + e^{jh}} S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)) \right\},$$

which is derived by application of (2.8) to the solution $\mathbf{y}(t)$. Note that the approximate solution $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}(t)$ is defined by (4.1), and $\mathbf{p}^{(\psi)}$ satisfies $\mathbf{p}^{(\psi)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t)$. To estimate the error of $\hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)$, we insert $\hat{y}_i(t)$ as

$$|y_i(t) - \hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)| \leq |y_i(t) - \hat{y}_i(t)| + |\hat{y}_i(t) - \hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)|. \quad (6.2)$$

As for the first term, according to Theorem 2.2, there exists a positive constant \tilde{C}_i independent of n such that

$$|y_i(t) - \hat{y}_i(t)| \leq \tilde{C}_i \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}} \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_i}{\log 2} \log(n+1) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}} \leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{\log 2} \log(n+1) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}}, \quad (6.3)$$

where $\tilde{C} = \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \tilde{C}_i$. Next, we estimate the second term. First, it is rewritten as

$$|\hat{y}_i(t) - \hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)| = \left| \frac{(p_i - p_i^{(\psi)})(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-M}^N \left\{ y_i(\psi(jh)) - y_i^{(l)}(\psi(jh)) \right\} S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)) - \sum_{j=-M}^N \frac{(p_i - p_i^{(\psi)})e^{jh}}{1 + e^{jh}} S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)) \right|.$$

Noting

$$p_i - p_i^{(\psi)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)),$$

according to Theorem 3.1, there exist positive constants C and \hat{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned} |p_i - p_i^{(\psi)}| &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)| \leq \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)| \leq (C + \hat{C} \|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}}, \\ |y_i(\psi(jh)) - y_i^{(l)}(\psi(jh))| &\leq \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)| \leq (C + \hat{C} \|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{(p_i - p_i^{(\psi)})(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-M}^N \{y_i(\psi(jh)) - y_i^{(l)}(\psi(jh))\} S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)) - \sum_{j=-M}^N \frac{(p_i - p_i^{(\psi)}) e^{jh}}{1 + e^{jh}} S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t)) \right| \\ &\leq \left(\left| \frac{e^t - 1}{e^t} \right| + \sum_{j=-M}^N |S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t))| + \sum_{j=-M}^N \frac{e^{jh}}{1 + e^{jh}} |S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t))| \right) (C + \hat{C} \|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sum_{j=-M}^N |S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t))| + \sum_{j=-M}^N |S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t))| \right) (C + \hat{C} \|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}} \\ &\leq \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=-n}^n |S(j, h)(\psi^{-1}(t))| \right) (C + \hat{C} \|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n = \max\{M, N\}$ is used in the last inequality, because of (2.4). Furthermore, using Lemma 6.1, we have the final estimate for the second term as

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{y}_i(t) - \hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{6 + 4\gamma + 4 \log(n+1)}{\pi} \right) (C + \hat{C} \|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\pi + 6 + 4\gamma}{\pi \log 2} + \frac{4}{\pi} \right) (C + \hat{C} \|A_{lm}^{-1}\|_\infty) \log(n+1) \sqrt{n} e^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Combining the estimates (6.3) and (6.4), we obtain the claim.

6.2. Proof of Theorem 4.2

Thanks to Lemma 5.9, we can apply Theorem 2.4 to the solution y_i under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2. Based on this idea, we prove Theorem 4.2 as follows.

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{p} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}(t)$, and let $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ be a function defined by

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-n}^n \left\{ \mathbf{y}(\phi(jh)) - \frac{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p} e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}} S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right\},$$

which is derived by application of (2.12) to the solution $\mathbf{y}(t)$. Note that the approximate solution $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}(t)$ is defined by (4.2), and $\mathbf{p}^{(\phi)}$ satisfies $\mathbf{p}^{(\phi)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}^{(l)}(t)$. To estimate the error of $\hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)$, we insert $\hat{y}_i(t)$ as (6.2). As for the first term of (6.2), according to Theorem 2.4, there exists a positive constant \tilde{C}_i independent of n such that

$$\begin{aligned} |y_i(t) - \hat{y}_i(t)| &\leq \tilde{C}_i e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)} \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_i}{\operatorname{arsinh}(d/\mu) \log 2} \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) \log(n+1) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)} \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{\operatorname{arsinh}(d/\mu) \log 2} \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) \log(n+1) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

where $\tilde{C} = \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \tilde{C}_i$. Next, we estimate the second term of (6.2). First, it is rewritten as

$$|\hat{y}_i(t) - \hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)| = \left| \frac{(p_i - p_i^{(\phi)})(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-n}^n \{y_i(\phi(jh)) - y_i^{(l)}(\phi(jh))\} S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) - \sum_{j=-n}^n \frac{(p_i - p_i^{(\phi)}) e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}} S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right|.$$

Noting

$$p_i - p_i^{(\phi)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)),$$

according to Theorem 3.2, there exist positive constants C and \hat{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned} |p_i - p_i^{(\phi)}| &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)| \leq \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)| \leq (C + \hat{C} \|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}, \\ |y_i(\phi(jh)) - y_i^{(l)}(\phi(jh))| &\leq \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |y_i(t) - y_i^{(l)}(t)| \leq (C + \hat{C} \|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{(p_i - p_i^{(\phi)})(e^t - 1)}{e^t} + \sum_{j=-n}^n \{y_i(\phi(jh)) - y_i^{(l)}(\phi(jh))\} S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) - \sum_{j=-n}^n \frac{(p_i - p_i^{(\phi)}) e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}} S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t)) \right| \\ &\leq \left(\left| \frac{e^t - 1}{e^t} \right| + \sum_{j=-n}^n |S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t))| + \sum_{j=-n}^n \frac{e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}}{1 + e^{\pi \sinh(jh)}} |S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t))| \right) (C + \hat{C} \|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sum_{j=-n}^n |S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t))| + \sum_{j=-n}^n |S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t))| \right) (C + \hat{C} \|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)} \\ &= \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=-n}^n |S(j, h)(\phi^{-1}(t))| \right) (C + \hat{C} \|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using Lemma 6.1, we have the final estimate for the second term as

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{y}_i(t) - \hat{y}_i^{(l)}(t)| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{6 + 4\gamma + 4 \log(n+1)}{\pi} \right) (C + \hat{C} \|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\pi + 6 + 4\gamma}{\pi \log 2} + \frac{4}{\pi} \right) (C + \hat{C} \|B_{lm}^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \log(n+1) \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu) e^{-\pi dn / \operatorname{arsinh}(dn/\mu)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Combining the estimates (6.5) and (6.6), we obtain the claim.

References

- [1] R. Hara, T. Okayama, Explicit error bound for Muhammad–Mori’s SE-Sinc indefinite integration formula over the semi-infinite interval, in: Proceedings of the 2017 International Symposium on Nonlinear Theory and Its Applications, 2017, pp. 677–680.
- [2] R. Hara, T. Okayama, Error analyses of Sinc-Nyström methods for initial value problems, *Nonlinear Theo. Appl.*, IEICE 10 (2019) 465–484.
- [3] A. Nurmuhhammad, M. Muhammad, M. Mori, Numerical solution of initial value problems based on the double exponential transformation, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 41 (2005) 937–948.
- [4] T. Okayama, Error estimates with explicit constants for the Sinc approximation over infinite intervals, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 319 (2018) 125–137.
- [5] T. Okayama, R. Hamada, Modified SE-Sinc approximation with boundary treatment over the semi-infinite interval and its error bound, *JSIAM Lett.* 11 (2019) 5–7.
- [6] T. Okayama, R. Hara, Sinc-collocation methods for exponential decay initial value problems, in: Proceedings of the 2020 International Symposium on Nonlinear Theory and Its Applications, 2020, pp. 509–512.
- [7] T. Okayama, Y. Kawai, Optimal selection formulas of mesh size and truncation numbers for the double-exponential formula, *JSIAM Lett.* (to appear).

- [8] T. Okayama, K. Machida, Error estimate with explicit constants for the trapezoidal formula combined with Muhammad-Mori's SE transformation for the semi-infinite interval, *JSIAM Lett.* 9 (2017) 45–47.
- [9] T. Okayama, T. Matsuo, M. Sugihara, Error estimates with explicit constants for Sinc approximation, Sinc quadrature and Sinc indefinite integration, *Numer. Math.* 124 (2013) 361–394.
- [10] T. Okayama, Y. Shintaku, E. Katsuura, New conformal map for the Sinc approximation for exponentially decaying functions over the semi-infinite interval, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 373 (2020) 112358.
- [11] F. Stenger, *Numerical Methods Based on Sinc and Analytic Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.