

# An orthogonalization-free implementation of the LOBPCG method in solving Kohn-Sham equation

Chengyu Liu<sup>1</sup> and Guanghui Hu<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macao SAR, China.

<sup>2</sup>Zhuhai UM Science & Technology Research Institute, Zhuhai, Guangdong, China.

<sup>3</sup>Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Joint Laboratory for Data-Driven Fluid Mechanics and Engineering Applications, University of Macau, Macao SAR, China.

June 27, 2023

## Abstract

In the classic implementation of the LOBPCG method, orthogonalization and the R-R (Rayleigh-Ritz) procedure cost nonignorable CPU time. Especially this consumption could be very expensive to deal with situations with large block sizes. In this paper, we propose an orthogonalization-free framework of implementing the LOBPCG method for SCF (self-consistent field) iterations in solving the Kohn-Sham equation. In this framework, orthogonalization is avoided in calculations, which can decrease the computational complexity. And the R-R procedure is implemented parallelly through OpenMP, which can further reduce computational time. During numerical experiments, an effective preconditioning strategy is designed, which can accelerate the LOBPCG method remarkably. Consequently, the efficiency of the LOBPCG method can be significantly improved. Based on this, the SCF iteration can solve the Kohn-Sham equation efficiently. A series of numerical experiments are inducted to demonstrate the effectiveness of our implementation, in which significant improvements in computational time can be observed.

**Keywords:** LOBPCG method; orthogonalization-free; Kohn-Sham equation; preconditioning strategy.

## 1 Introduction

The LOBPCG method [12] has been widely used for solving generalized eigenvalue problems in comprehensive domains due to its efficiency [2, 7, 17, 20, 23–25]. Especially in electronic structure calculations, LOBPCG method plays an important role in the SCF iteration for solving Kohn-Sham equation [2, 3, 11, 15, 16].

However, the LOBPCG method still encounters some challenges when dealing with large-scale problems since the use of orthogonalization and Rayleigh-Ritz procedure will cost much CPU time. As mentioned by Knyazev in [10], to further improve the efficiency of the LOBPCG method, more efforts are needed to decrease the use of orthogonalizations and Rayleigh-Ritz, while preserving fast convergence, maintaining reasonable stability, and keeping the algorithm simple to implement. This limitation comes from the time complexity of the RR procedure (at least  $\mathcal{O}(Np)$ ) and the orthogonalization (at least  $\mathcal{O}(Np^2)$ ), where  $N$  denotes the dimensionality and  $p$  is the number of computed eigenvectors. Thus, the computational time for solving large-scale problems can be quite large.

To overcome such a limitation, the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure can be efficiently implemented through some parallel libraries due to the block structure in the algorithm [4, 6]. In this way, the time complexity can be reduced to around  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  ideally. Thus, the calculation time will be reduced considerably. But the parallelization of orthogonalization is still notoriously difficult by now. So it is impossible to gain acceleration of orthogonalization through the parallelization. To deal with this problem, researchers develop some other techniques to improve the efficiency of orthogonalization such as [7, 13]. However, the time complexity of orthogonalization is still  $\mathcal{O}(Np^2)$ . Consequently, the time consumption of orthogonalization is still unignorable during calculations of large-scale problems.

As for ensuring fast convergence and reasonable stability of the LOBPCG method, it can be achieved by some suitable preconditioners. There have been many preconditioners proposed for the LOBPCG method, such as incomplete Cholesky factorization, Davidson, filter preconditioners and so on [1, 12, 18, 19, 22]. Typically, Poisson preconditioner using the inverse of the kinetic operator as a specific preconditioner for the Kohn-Sham equation is also a possible choice [8, 21]. But the time consuming is a problem of this preconditioner.

In this paper, based on the adaptive finite element method framework, we design an efficient implementation of LOBPCG methods for SCF (self-consistent field) iteration in KS-DFT (Kohn-Sham density functional theory). In our implementation, the orthogonalization in the LOBPCG method is avoided, significantly improving the efficiency without affecting the accuracy of the numerical results. Furthermore, Rayleigh-Ritz procedure is implemented in parallelization through OpenMP [5]. Consequently, it can be expected that the computational complexity of the whole calculation is close to  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ . Some related numerical experiments are conducted to confirm the feasibility of our implementation. The CPU time is saved significantly while numerical results' accuracy is not influenced much without orthogonalization in LOBPCG. Besides that, we give some potential explanations of why such an orthogonalization-free implementation of the LOBPCG method can work.

In addition, a mixing preconditioning strategy is used in our implementation to ensure the fast convergence of the LOBPCG method. In the case of some complex molecules, such as benzene, it isn't easy to obtain results to the specified accuracy with the Davidson preconditioner. Then we turn to use Poisson preconditioners, which can lead to an accurate enough result. However, the CPU time for calculating the inverse of the kinetic operator is unaffordable. To deal with this, we combine Davidson and Poisson preconditioners for the LOBPCG method, which lets us get accurate results with acceptable time consumption.

Moreover, based on AFEPack library [14], we implement a series of calculations for solving the KS equation of different molecules. With the efficient implementation of the LOBPCG method, the SCF iteration performs better convergence. As a result, the efficiency of the whole calculation is improved remarkably. In this way, accurate enough ground state energy of specific molecules can be calculated with acceptable time consumption.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the classic framework of LOBPCG algorithm in SCF iteration for solving KS equation is reviewed. Then the efficient implementation of LOBPCG method for SCF iterations in KS-DFT is introduced in detail. The improvement in orthogonalization and Rayleigh-Ritz procedure is discussed in Section 3. Then, in Section 4, numerical examples are provided to demonstrate the effectiveness and acceleration of this implementation for solving the Kohn-Sham equation. Finally, some concluding remarks and our future work are given in the last section.

## 2 Review of LOBPCG

Consider the Kohn-Sham equation of an  $N$  particle system as follows:

$$H[n]\varphi_j(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_j\varphi_j(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

where the  $\varepsilon_j$  are the Kohn-Sham eigenvalues and the  $\varphi_j$  are named as Kohn-Sham orbitals or wavefunctions. By summing the squares of the lowest  $N$  occupied orbitals, the electron density  $n(\mathbf{r})$  is given by

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^N |\varphi_j(\mathbf{r})|^2. \quad (2)$$

With the electron density, the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
H[n] &= \left( -\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + v_s[n](\mathbf{r}) \right), \\
v_s[n](\mathbf{r}) &= v(\mathbf{r}) + v_H[n](\mathbf{r}) + v_{xc}[n](\mathbf{r}), \\
v_H[n](\mathbf{r}) &= \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}', \\
v_{xc}[n](\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{\delta E_{xc}[n]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})},
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where  $v$  represents the external potential,  $v_H$  is the Hartree potential, and  $v_{xc}$  is the xc potential.

Due to the nonlinearity of (1), it is usually solved by iterative methods. The SCF iterations method is the most popular one. In each iteration, the eigenvalue problem (1) has to be solved to update Kohn-Sham orbitals, which can be used to calculate the electron density for the following iteration. In fact, the Kohn-Sham equation can be considered as a generalized eigenvalue problem as follows

$$Au = \lambda Bu (A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, u \in \mathbb{R}^n), \tag{4}$$

where  $A$  is Hermitian and  $B$  is Hermitian positive definite. To solve (4), the LOBPCG method is one of the most popular choice.

The basic idea of the LOBPCG algorithm developed by Knyazev can be summarized as follows. Firstly, eigenvalues of the pencil  $(A, B)$  are arranged in an increasing order, which are denoted by  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ . The corresponding eigenvectors are defined as  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . If the first  $m \leq n$  eigenpairs are required, the eigenvalue problem can be transformed as

$$AX = BX\Lambda, \text{ where } X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m], \Lambda = \text{diag}([\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m]) \tag{5}$$

Then the  $(i+1)$ -th approximation of  $X$  can be expressed by

$$X_{i+1} = [X_i, W_i, P_i] \begin{bmatrix} C_{i+1}^1 \\ C_{i+1}^2 \\ C_{i+1}^3 \end{bmatrix} = S_i C_{i+1}, \tag{6}$$

where  $W_i = T^{-1}(AX_i - X_i\Theta_i)$  with the preconditioner  $T$  and  $\Theta_i = X_i^* AX_i$ .  $P_i$  is an update direction obtained from previous searches recursively, which is given by

$$P^{i+1} = [W^i, P^i] \begin{bmatrix} C_{i+1}^2 \\ C_{i+1}^3 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Coefficient matrices  $C$  are determined at each iteration step, by solving the trace minimization problem

$$\min_{X_{i+1}^* B X_{i+1} = I} \text{tr}(X_{i+1}^* A X_{i+1}) = \min_{C_{i+1}^* S_i^* B S_i C_{i+1} = I} \text{tr}(C_{i+1}^* S_i^* A S_i C_{i+1}), \tag{8}$$

where  $\text{tr}(\cdot)$  represents the trace of a matrix. By using the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure, the solution  $C_{i+1}$  of (8) can be obtained from the eigenvectors belonging to the  $m$  smallest eigenvalues of the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$(S_i^* A S_i) C_{i+1} = (S_i^* B S_i) C_{i+1} \Theta_{i+1}, \tag{9}$$

where  $\Theta_{i+1}$  is a diagonal matrix, whose diagonal entries are approximations to the desirable eigenvalues. Based on these steps, the LOBPCG algorithm can be summarized as Algorithm 1.

With the LOBPCG method, we can obtain a numerical solution of (1) in each SCF iteration. Through SCF iteration, an approximate density can be obtained, with which the ground state energy can be calculated. However, the numerical result may be inaccurate due to the finite element space limit. To deal with this, we refine the mesh grid to build a more accurate space through AFEM (adaptive finite element method). The details of the mesh adaptation can be found in [2, 3]. The whole framework of the AFEM-based SCF iterations in solving Kohn-Sham equations is summarized in the Algorithm 2. It can be seen that the Kohn-Sham equation is solved by the LOBPCG method in each SCF iteration. Therefore, if the LOBPCG method is effectively implemented, the efficiency of the entire SCF iteration can be expected to increase accordingly. Based on this, we focusing on accelerating the calculation of the LOBPCG method.

---

**Algorithm 1** The LOBPCG algorithm

---

**Input:**

$X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is a matrix of initial approximate eigenvectors,  
 $k$ , the number of desired eigenpairs,  $k \leq m$ ,  
maxiter, the maximal number of iterations.

**Output:**

$\Theta$ , the diagonal matrix of computed smallest eigenvalues,  
 $X$ , the matrix containing the corresponding computed eigenvectors satisfying  $AX = X\Theta$ .

```
1:  $P_0 = []$ ,  $\Theta_0 = (X_0^*AX_0)/(X_0^*BX_0)$ ;  
2: for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , maxiter do  
3:    $R_k = AX_k - BX_k\Theta_k$ ;  
4:   Determine the number of converged eigenpairs  $n_c$ ;  
5:   if  $n_c > k$  then  
6:     Return  $X = X_k$ ,  $\Theta = \Theta_k$ ;  
7:   end if  
8:   Solve the preconditioned linear system  $T_kW_k = R_k$ ;  
9:    $S_k = [X_k, W_k, P_k]$ ;  
10:  Compute  $(C_{k+1}, \Theta_{k+1}) = RR(S_k, m)$ ;  
11:  Orthogonalize  $C_{k+1}(1 : m, m + 1 : n)^*$  to obtain an orthogonal matrix  $V$ ;  
12:   $X_{k+1} = S_kC_{k+1}(1 : m)$ ,  $\Theta_{k+1} = \Theta_{k+1}(1 : m, 1 : m)$ ,  $P_{k+1} = S_kC_{k+1}(:, m + 1 : 2m)V$ .  
13: end for
```

---

### 3 The orthogonalization-free implementation of LOBPCG

To improve the efficiency of the LOBPCG method, we follow by the comment given by Knyazev, focusing on accelerating the orthogonalization and Rayleigh-Ritz procedure. In our numerical experiments of electronic structure calculations, the orthogonalization is avoided, and the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure is accelerated through parallelization.

#### 3.1 Orthogonalization

Generally, orthogonalization is needed for ensuring the numerical stability of the LOBPCG [6, 9, 13]. However, orthogonalization is also a barrier to efficiency because its time complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(Np^2)$ . Furthermore, orthogonalization is extremely difficult to parallelize, which means it is impossible to reduce the time complexity by using more threads in calculations. Thus, the corresponding computational time will be nonignorable in large-scale cases.

To gain more improvements in efficiency, in our implementation, orthogonalization is only applied on the initial eigenvectors that are generated randomly to ensure their  $B$ -orthogonality in the beginning of the whole iteration, i.e. at the step 1 of Algorithm 2. Then, in the following calculation, there is no more orthogonalization operation.

There are several reasons leading to the feasibility of our orthogonalization-free implementation, which are listed as follows. Firstly, the LOBPCG method is generally stable and robust. In the original implementation of LOBPCG, the orthogonalization is performed for very ill-conditioned problems or when a very high accuracy is required [12]. To avoid these situations, we use shift-and-invert preconditioners in calculations. The computed eigenvalues are used as the approximation of exact eigenvalues to generate preconditioners during iterations. Through the shift-and-invert technique in LOBPCG, the computed eigenpairs gets close to the exact eigenvectors (wavefunctions) faster.

In addition, the adaptive finite element method can capture the feature of the wavefunction more precisely. As a result, the discretized space can be more similar to the exact space, on which the numerical solution can be expected to be get close to the exact wavefunction. Physically, the exact orbitals (wavefunctions) are naturally orthogonal. When the calculated eigenvectors are sufficiently accurate, there will naturally be orthogonality between them. Combining the above reasons, in our implementation, the numerical solution can naturally maintain its orthogonality

---

**Algorithm 2** AFEM based SCF iteration for solving KS equations

---

**Input:**

$\{\varphi_j^0\}_{j=1}^N$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $N$ , the initial computed KS orbitals,  
maxadapt, the maximal number of mesh adaptation,  
maxiter, the maximal number of iterations,  
scftol, the tolerance of electronic density,  
Etol, the tolerance of computed ground state energy.

**Output:**

$\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ , the approximate KS orbitals,  
 $E$ , the approximate ground state energy.

```
1:  $n^0 = \sum_{j=1}^N |\varphi_j^0(\mathbf{r})|^2$ ;  
2: Update ground state energy  $E^0$ ;  
3: for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{maxadapt}$  do  
4:   for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{maxiter}$  do  
5:     Compute the Hartree  $v_H^k$  based on  $n^k$ ;  
6:     Update Hamiltonian  $H^k$ ;  
7:     Solving the Kohn-Sham equation  $H^k \varphi_j^{k+1} = \varepsilon_j^k \varphi_j^{k+1}$  through LOBPCG;  
8:      $\tilde{n}^k = \sum_{j=1}^N |\varphi_j^{k+1}(\mathbf{r})|^2$ ;  
9:     Update the electron density through linear mixing:  $n^{k+1} = n^k + \alpha(n^k - \tilde{n}^k)$ ;  
10:    if  $|\frac{n^k - n^{k+1}}{n^k}| < \text{scftol}$  then  
11:      Break;  
12:    end if  
13:  end for  
14:  Update ground state energy  $E^k$ ;  
15:  if  $|\frac{E^k - E^{k-1}}{E^{k-1}}| < \text{Etol}$  then  
16:    Break;  
17:  else  
18:    Adapt mesh grid based on the computed Kohn-Sham orbitals;  
19:  end if  
20: end for
```

---

when the calculation is carried out to a certain extent. Thus, the orthogonalization operation is avoided in our implementation. Based on this, the time complexity of the whole LOBPCG algorithm can be reduced to  $\mathcal{O}(Np)$ , which will benefit calculations of large-scale problem significantly.

**Remark 1** *In this paper, we implement a series of experiments of several molecules. For some molecules with more complex structure, the orthogonalization-free implementation might need to be adjusted. Since there will be some wavefunctions that share the same energy, which makes it is challenging to solve the Kohn-Sham equation accurately.*

## 3.2 Parallelization of RR procedure

Moreover, we accelerate the RR procedure to improve the efficiency. Based on the AFEM framework, the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are both sparse. Then the time complexity of the RR procedure is around  $\mathcal{O}(Nnz \times p)$ , where  $nnz$  represents the number of non-zero entries in the sparse matrix ( $N < Nnz \ll N^2$ ). To accelerate the RR procedure, we implement it in parallelization, i.e., computation of the matrix-vector multiplications of  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $X$ ,  $W$ , and  $P$  are implemented parallelly. In this way, the multiplication of  $n \times n$  and  $n \times p$  matrices is decomposed into the matrix-vector multiplication of the  $n \times n$  matrix and a  $n \times 1$  vector calculated through  $p$  threads. Thus, the time complexity of RR procedure can be reduced to  $\mathcal{O}(Nnz)$ .

## 4 Numerical experiments

By avoiding the orthogonalization and implement RR procedure in parallelization, the time complexity of the whole LOBPCG method can be reduced to around  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ . With the help of the adaptive finite element method, the scale of  $N$  can be controlled at a small level. Therefore, our implementation of the LOBPCG in the SCF iteration is quite efficient. Several numerical experiments demonstrate our implementation’s feasibility and effectiveness in the following. In this paper, all refer value of ground state energy come from the Computational Chemistry Comparison and Benchmark DataBase (CCCBDB). Based on the AFEPack library, all numerical experiments are implemented on DELL EMC R7525, with 2 AMD EPYC 7713 64-Core Processors, and 900 GB memory.

### 4.1 Effectiveness

The effectiveness can be demonstrated in the following numerical experiment. Firstly, to show the convergence of the orthogonalization-free implemenetation of LOBPCG method in the SCF iteration, we implement Algorithm 2 to compute the ground state energy of the benzene molecule. During the calculation, we record the residual of computed eigenpairs obtained through LOBPCG method in several specified SCF iteration steps, which are plotted in Fig 4.1.




Figure 4.1: The L2-norm residual of eigenvectors in specified SCF iteration steps.

The LOBPCG method can always converge to a specified level in different phase of the SCF iteration. Especially, the converged residual become smaller as the SCF iteration progresses. Consequently, the numerical result gets more and more precisely. Based on this, we can demonstrate that the LOBPCG method is effective in SCF iteration.

Moreover, we implement numerical experiments of methane, benzene. The numerical result of ground state energy is shown in Fig 4.2. It can be seen that the relative error ( $= \frac{|E_h - E_r|}{E_r}$ , where  $E_r$




Figure 4.2: The ground state energy of Benzene molecule.

is the refer value) reduces significantly as the mesh grid is adapted. That confirms the effectivity of Algorithm 2 (the SCF framework for solving KS equations with LOBPCG).

## 4.2 Orthogonalization

Consider the benzene molecule, we implement calculations with and without orthogonalization, respectively. The CPU time per LOBPCG iteration over the number of Dofs is plotted in the left one in Fig 4.3. The CPU time of the calculation with orthogonalization is always larger than the one without orthogonalization. The difference of CPU time gets larger when more Dofs are added into the mesh grid.

Then we implement the Algorithm 2 for different molecules on the same mesh grid with and without orthogonalization, respectively. The CPU time per LOBPCG iteration is recorded in the right one in Fig 4.3. Calculations without orthogonalization always cost less CPU time. For the molecule with more orbitals, such a reduction in CPU time is more remarkable. Especially, the tendency of the CPU time of calculations with orthogonalization is more affected by the number of orbitals.

Based on these results, it can be demonstrated that the time complexity of the calculation is reduced from  $\mathcal{O}(Np^2)$  to a lower level.

**Remark 2** Ideally, without orthogonality, the time complexity of our implementation can be reduced to  $\mathcal{O}(Np)$ . Although considerable computational time can be saved by avoiding the orthogonalization, computed eigenpairs may cost much more time during the RR procedure. As a result, the improvement in time consumption may be lower than expected.

### 4.2.1 preconditioning

During numerical experiments, we found that the preconditioner plays an important role in calculations. Without preconditioning, the convergence will be undesirable. We implement numerical experiments of the benzene with Davidson, Elliptic (Poisson) and no preconditioners. The LOBPCG residuals in one SCF iteration step are shown in Figure 4.4. The residuals of the non-preconditioned LOBPCG method tend to oscillate around a specified level, which makes the SCF




Figure 4.3: The CPU time of LOBPCG with and without orthogonalization.

iterations non-convergent. Although the Davidson and Elliptic preconditioners performs differently, both of them can lead to the convergence of the SCF iteration.

Thus, to ensure the fast convergence, the Davidson preconditioner and the Poisson preconditioner are used in our implementation. However, both of them have limitations. The Davidson preconditioner is the easy to generate but it only use the diagonal entries of the matrix  $A$ , which loses many information. As a result, it generally needs more steps to converge as shown in Fig 4.4. As for the Poisson preconditioner, it maintains more information of the original matrix but it usually needs to solve a more complex linear system at Step 8 in Algorithm 2. Consequently, the CPU time per iteration with the Davidson preconditioner is generally shorter. While the LOBPCG method with Poisson preconditioner converges faster.

To combine the advantages of these two preconditioners, we design a mixing preconditioning strategy in our implementation. In the first few steps of the iteration of the LOBPCG method, we use the Poisson preconditioner. Then the Davidson preconditioner is applied in following iteration steps. This way, the LOBPCG method can converge in fewer steps and with shorter CPU time. To demonstrate that, we implement Algorithm 2 to calculate the ground state energy of the benzene molecule on the same mesh grid until the first SCF iteration stops. The CPU time of implementations with different preconditioners is shown in Figure 4.5. In this implementation, we only use the Poisson preconditioner in the first 5 iteration step of LOBPCG method.

It can be seen that the mixing strategy can combine the advantages of Davidson and Poisson preconditioners, i.e., it can not only reduce the number of iteration steps but also save computational time. Therefore, it can be confirmed that the mixing strategy can improve efficiency effectively. By now, we mainly implement the mixing strategy based on our numerical experience. A rigorous principle of such a mixing strategy is still in research to fully use its advantages. Furthermore, Poisson preconditioners are pretty helpful in accelerating convergence. But how to reduce the computation time to generate it is an open problem.

### 4.3 Rayleigh-Ritz procedure

To show the acceleration of parallelization, we implement numerical experiments of the benzene molecule in parallelization and in serial firstly. The result is recorded in Fig 4.6. It is obvious that the computational time can be saved significantly in the parallelized implementation. When the number of Dofs is huge, the improvement can be more remarkable. Then we compare parallelized and serial implementations of different molecules. The corresponding CPU time is shown in Fig ???. The figure illustrates the benefit of parallel implementation, i.e., greatly accelerating the calculation, especially for large-scale molecules.




Figure 4.4: CPU time of LOBPCG method in the SCF iteration.




Figure 4.5: Residual of LOBPCG method in the SCF iteration.




Figure 4.6: The CPU time of LOBPCG implemented parallelly and serially.

#### 4.4 A numerical example, C60

In this example, we compute the ground state energy of a Buckminsterfullerene (C60) through the Algorithm 2. The computed ground state energy during the iteration and the CPU time for each SCF iteration are both shown in Fig 4.7. It can be observed that the ground state energy get closer to the refer value as the mesh grid is adaptive refined, which demonstrates that the implementation is effective. Furthermore, the relationship between the computational time and the number of Dofs tends to be linear, as shown as the straight line in the figure. Thus, the time complexity of the SCF iteration in Algorithm 2 is close to  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ . which indicates our implementation based on the orthogonalization-free LOBPCG method is quite efficient.




Figure 4.7: Ground state energy of C60 and corresponding computational time.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we design an orthogonalization-free implementation of LOBPCG method. Based on this, an adaptive finite element method based framework of SCF iteration for solving the Kohn-Sham equation is established. A series of numerical experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of our implementation. During these experiments, we found a mixing strategy for preconditioning that can further improve the efficiency of the LOBPCG method. Furthermore, considerable improvements in computational time can be observed during calculations. Especially, in the example of C60, the time complexity of SCF iteration can be close to  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ . With the help of adaptive finite element methods, our implementation can be significantly efficient for calculating the ground state energy. In the future work, we will further improve the efficiency of other parts in the SCF iteration, making the time complexity of the whole SCF iteration tends to  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  in theory.

## Acknowledgement

The research of G. Hu was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11922120 and 11871489), FDCT of Macao SAR (0082/2020/A2), MYRG of University of Macau (MYRG2020-00265-FST) and Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Joint Laboratory for Data-Driven Fluid Mechanics and Engineering Applications (2020B1212030001).

## Statements and Declarations

### Data availability statements

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Peter Arbenz, Daniel Kressner, and DME Zürich. Lecture notes on solving large scale eigenvalue problems. *D-MATH, EHT Zurich*, 2:3, 2012.
- [2] Gang Bao, Guanghui Hu, and Di Liu. An h-adaptive finite element solver for the calculations of the electronic structures. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 231(14):4967–4979, 2012.
- [3] Gang Bao, Guanghui Hu, and Di Liu. Numerical solution of the kohn-sham equation by finite element methods with an adaptive mesh redistribution technique. *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 55:372–391, 2013.
- [4] François Bottin, Stéphane Leroux, Andrew Knyazev, and Gilles Zérah. Large-scale ab initio calculations based on three levels of parallelization. *Computational Materials Science*, 42(2):329–336, 2008.
- [5] Leonardo Dagum and Ramesh Menon. Openmp: an industry standard api for shared-memory programming. *IEEE computational science and engineering*, 5(1):46–55, 1998.
- [6] Jed A Duersch, Meiyue Shao, Chao Yang, and Ming Gu. A robust and efficient implementation of LOBPCG. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 40(5):C655–C676, 2018.
- [7] A Dziekonski, M Rewienski, Piotr Sypek, A Lamecki, and Michał Mrozowski. Gpu-accelerated lobpcg method with inexact null-space filtering for solving generalized eigenvalue problems in computational electromagnetics analysis with higher-order fem. *Communications in Computational Physics*, 22(4):997–1014, 2017.

- [8] Luigi Genovese, Alexey Neelov, Stefan Goedecker, Thierry Deutsch, Seyed Alireza Ghasemi, Alexander Willand, Damien Caliste, Oded Zilberberg, Mark Rayson, Anders Bergman, and Reinhold Schneider. Daubechies wavelets as a basis set for density functional pseudopotential calculations. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 129(1), 07 2008.
- [9] Ulrich Hetmaniuk and Rich Lehoucq. Basis selection in LOBPCG. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 218(1):324–332, 2006.
- [10] Andrew Knyazev. Recent implementations, applications, and extensions of the locally optimal block preconditioned conjugate gradient method (LOBPCG). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.08354*, 2017.
- [11] Andrew Knyazev, Volker Mehrmann, and Jinchao Xu. Numerical solution of pde eigenvalue problems. *Oberwolfach Reports*, 10(4):3221–3304, 2014.
- [12] Andrew V Knyazev. Toward the optimal preconditioned eigensolver: Locally optimal block preconditioned conjugate gradient method. *SIAM journal on scientific computing*, 23(2):517–541, 2001.
- [13] Daniel Kressner, Yuxin Ma, and Meiyue Shao. A mixed precision LOBPCG algorithm. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.12528*, 2023.
- [14] Ruo Li. On multi-mesh h-adaptive methods. *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 24:321–341, 2005.
- [15] Lin Lin, Jianfeng Lu, and Lexing Ying. Numerical methods for kohn–sham density functional theory. *Acta Numerica*, 28:405–539, 2019.
- [16] Lin Lin, Jianfeng Lu, Lexing Ying, and E Weinan. Adaptive local basis set for kohn–sham density functional theory in a discontinuous galerkin framework i: Total energy calculation. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 231(4):2140–2154, 2012.
- [17] James McQueen, Marina Meilä, Jacob VanderPlas, and Zhongyue Zhang. Megaman: Scalable manifold learning in python. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(1):5176–5180, 2016.
- [18] Yousef Saad, A Stathopoulos, J Chelikowsky, K Wu, and S Ögüt. Solution of large eigenvalue problems in electronic structure calculations. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 36:563–578, 1996.
- [19] Ari P Seitsonen, Martti J Puska, and Risto M Nieminen. Real-space electronic-structure calculations: Combination of the finite-difference and conjugate-gradient methods. *Physical Review B*, 51(20):14057, 1995.
- [20] Meiyue Shao, H Metin Aktulga, Chao Yang, Esmond G Ng, Pieter Maris, and James P Vary. Accelerating nuclear configuration interaction calculations through a preconditioned block iterative eigensolver. *Computer Physics Communications*, 222:1–13, 2018.
- [21] Nicolas Tancogne-Dejean, Micael J. T. Oliveira, Xavier Andrade, Heiko Appel, Carlos H. Borca, Guillaume Le Breton, Florian Buchholz, Alberto Castro, Stefano Corni, Alfredo A. Correa, Umberto De Giovannini, Alain Delgado, Florian G. Eich, Johannes Flick, Gabriel Gil, Adrián Gomez, Nicole Helbig, Hannes Hübener, René Jestädt, Joaquim Jornet–Somoza, Ask H. Larsen, Irina V. Lebedeva, Martin Lüders, Miguel A. L. Marques, Sebastian T. Ohlmann, Silvio Pipolo, Markus Rampp, Carlo A. Rozzi, David A. Strubbe, Shunsuke A. Sato, Christian Schäfer, Iris Theophilou, Alicia Welden, and Angel Rubio. Octopus, a computational framework for exploring light-driven phenomena and quantum dynamics in extended and finite systems. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 152(12), 03 2020.
- [22] Jeheon Woo, Seonghwan Kim, and Woo Youn Kim. Gaussian–approximated poisson preconditioner for iterative diagonalization in real-space density functional theory. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 127(17):3883–3893, 2023.
- [23] Susumu Yamada, Toshiyuki Imamura, Takuma Kano, and Masahiko Machida. High-performance computing for exact numerical approaches to quantum many-body problems on the earth simulator. In *Proceedings of the 2006 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing*, pages 47–es, 2006.
- [24] Susumu Yamada, Toshiyuki Imamura, and Masahiko Machida. 16.447 tflops and 159-billion-dimensional exact-diagonalization for trapped fermion-hubbard model on the earth simulator. In *SC’05: Proceedings of the 2005 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing*, pages 44–44. IEEE, 2005.

- [25] Chao Yang, Juan C Meza, Byoung-hak Lee, and Lin-Wang Wang. Kssolv—a matlab toolbox for solving the kohn-sham equations. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS)*, 36(2):1–35, 2009.