

BLOW-UP INVARIANCE OF COHOMOLOGY THEORIES WITH MODULUS

JUNNOSUKE KOIZUMI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study cohomology theories of \mathbb{Q} -modulus pairs, which are pairs (X, D) consisting of a scheme X and a \mathbb{Q} -divisor D . Our main theorem provides a sufficient condition for such a cohomology theory to be invariant under blow-ups with centers contained in the divisor. This yields a short proof of the blow-up invariance of the Hodge cohomology with modulus proved by Kelly-Miyazaki. We also define the Witt vector cohomology with modulus using the Brylinski-Kato filtration and prove its blow-up invariance.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Blow-up invariance	6
4. Examples	11
5. Relation to motives with modulus	16
References	17

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1990s, Voevodsky developed the theory of mixed motives that unifies \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant cohomology theories of smooth algebraic schemes over a perfect field k . On the other hand, there are many cohomology theories that do not have \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance. Typical examples are the Hodge cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^q)$ and the Hodge-Witt cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathrm{W}\Omega_X^q)$. In order to incorporate these invariants into the theory of motives, Kahn-Miyazaki-Saito-Yamazaki [Kah+21a; Kah+21b; Kah+22] proposed a framework called *motives with modulus*.

The basic idea of motives with modulus is to replace smooth schemes by *modulus pairs*. A modulus pair is a pair $\mathcal{X} = (X, D_X)$ where X is an algebraic k -scheme and D_X is an effective Cartier divisor on X such that $X^\circ := X - |D_X|$ is smooth. Modulus pairs and finite correspondences between them constitute an additive category $\underline{\mathrm{M}}\mathrm{Cor}$ with a tensor structure $\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y} = (X \times Y, \mathrm{pr}_1^* D_X + \mathrm{pr}_2^* D_Y)$. Just as \mathbb{A}^1 plays the role of the interval in Voevodsky's theory, the modulus pair $\overline{\square} := (\mathbb{P}^1, [\infty])$, which is called the *cube*, plays the role of the interval.

A Nisnevich sheaf of abelian groups F on $\underline{\mathrm{M}}\mathrm{Cor}$ which satisfies the cube invariance $F(\mathcal{X}) \simeq F(\mathcal{X} \otimes \overline{\square})$ is simply called a *cube invariant sheaf*. Various studies have been conducted for cube invariant sheaves and their cohomologies following analogies in Voevodsky's theory of \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves. The following natural conditions are often imposed on F :

- (a) (semipurity) $F(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow F(X^\circ)$ is injective for any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathrm{M}}\mathrm{Cor}$.

- (b) (M-reciprocity [Sai20, Definition 1.26]) F is left Kan extended from the full subcategory of $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}$ spanned by proper modulus pairs.

We write $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$ for the full subcategory of $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}$ spanned by modulus pairs (X, D_X) such that X is smooth and $|D_X|$ has simple normal crossings. The following results are of particular importance:

Theorem 1.1. *Let F be a cube invariant sheaf which satisfies (a) and (b).*

- (1) (Saito [Sai20, Theorem 9.3]) *For any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$, the morphism $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, F_{\mathcal{X} \otimes \square})$ is an isomorphism.*
- (2) (Binda-Rülling-Saito [BRS, Lemma 2.10]) *For any effective divisors E_1, \dots, E_n on \mathbb{A}^1 and $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$, we have $\mathbf{R}^i \text{pr}_{1,*} F_{\mathcal{X} \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1, E_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1, E_n)} = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

In this paper, we investigate the following question posed by Kahn-Miyazaki-Saito-Yamazaki:

Question 1.2 (Kahn-Miyazaki-Saito-Yamazaki [Kah+21a, Question 2]). *Let F be a cube invariant sheaf which satisfies (a) and (b). Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$ and let $Z \subset |D_X|$ be a smooth closed subscheme which has simple normal crossings with $|D_X|$. Is the morphism*

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\text{Bl}_Z X, F_{\text{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X}})$$

an isomorphism?

Binda-Rülling-Saito proved that [Question 1.2](#) is true if D_X is reduced [BRS, Theorem 2.12]. Recently, Kelly-Miyazaki [KM_a; KM_b] defined the sheaf of differential forms $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\Omega^q$ for modulus pairs over a field of characteristic 0, which is characterized by the formula

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}\Omega^q(\mathcal{X}) = \Gamma(X, \Omega^q(\log |D_X|)(D_X - |D_X|))$$

for $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$, and proved that [Question 1.2](#) is true for $F = \underline{\mathbf{M}}\Omega^q$ [KM_b, Theorem 6.1]. On the other hand, Rülling-Saito showed that [Question 1.2](#) is not true in general [RS22, Section 6.9].

In this paper, we take a new approach to this problem: we use \mathbb{Q} -modulus pairs instead of modulus pairs, which allows D_X to be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor. This concept was introduced by the author and Miyazaki [KM_c] to give a motivic construction of the de Rham-Witt complex. There is a category of \mathbb{Q} -modulus pairs $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ which is similar to $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}$. We consider the following natural conditions:

Definition 1.3. Let F be a Nisnevich sheaf on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}}$.

- (1) We say that F has *cohomological cube invariance* if for any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$, the morphism $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, F_{\mathcal{X} \otimes \square})$ is an isomorphism.
- (2) We say that F has *affine vanishing property* if for any effective divisors E_1, \dots, E_n on \mathbb{A}^1 and $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$, we have $\mathbf{R}^i \text{pr}_{1,*} F_{\mathcal{X} \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1, E_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1, E_n)} = 0$ for $i > 0$.
- (3) We say that F has *left continuity* if the canonical map $\text{colim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F(X, (1 - \varepsilon)D_X) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism for any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$.

The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Let F be a Nisnevich sheaf on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ which has cohomological cube invariance, affine vanishing property, and left continuity. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$ and let $Z \subset |D_X|$ be a smooth closed subscheme which has simple normal crossings with $|D_X|$. Then the morphism*

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\text{Bl}_Z X, F_{\text{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X}})$$

is an isomorphism.

Our proof of [Theorem 1.4](#) is inspired by the proof of [\[BRS, Theorem 2.12\]](#). We reduce to the case of the blow-up of \mathbb{A}^2 at the origin, and then relate it to some other toric surfaces to deduce the required vanishing result from the cohomological cube invariance and the affine vanishing property. Note that the counterexample to [Question 1.2](#) given by Rülling-Saito [\[RS22, Section 6.9\]](#) shows that the left continuity assumption cannot be dropped.

We apply [Theorem 1.4](#) to two sheaves. Firstly, we extend the sheaf $\underline{M}\Omega^q$ defined by Kelly-Miyazaki to \mathbb{Q} -modulus pairs over an arbitrary field, and show that it satisfies the assumption of the theorem. This yields a short proof of the blow-up invariance of the Hodge cohomology with modulus due to Kelly-Miyazaki [\[KMb, Theorem 6.1\]](#). Secondly, assuming $\text{ch}(k) = p > 0$, we construct a sheaf $\underline{M}\mathbf{W}_n$ on $\underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ which extends the sheaf of Witt vectors. For $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$, the group $\underline{M}\mathbf{W}_n(\mathcal{X})$ is given by

$$\underline{M}\mathbf{W}_n(\mathcal{X}) = \Gamma(X, W_n \mathcal{O}_X((D_X - |D_X|)/p^{n-1})).$$

Here, $W_n \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ denotes the *Witt divisorial sheaf* introduced by Tanaka [\[Tan17\]](#), which is defined by using the Brylinski-Kato filtration on the ring of Witt vectors. We check that $\underline{M}\mathbf{W}_n$ also satisfies the assumption of the theorem, and hence obtain the following result:

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$ and let $Z \subset |D_X|$ be a smooth closed subscheme which has simple normal crossings with $|D_X|$. Then the morphism*

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X, (\underline{M}\mathbf{W}_n)_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(\text{Bl}_Z X, (\underline{M}\mathbf{W}_n)_{\text{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X}})$$

is an isomorphism.

If we assume resolution of singularities, these results imply that these cohomology theories are representable in the category $\underline{M}\text{DM}^{\text{eff}}$ of motives with modulus defined by Kahn-Miyazaki-Saito-Yamazaki [\[Kah+22\]](#):

Corollary 1.6. *Assume that k admits resolution of singularities.*

- (1) ([\[KMb, Theorem 1.3\]](#)) *There is an object $\underline{M}\Omega^q$ in $\underline{M}\text{DM}^{\text{eff}}$ such that for any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$ we have an equivalence*

$$\text{map}_{\underline{M}\text{DM}^{\text{eff}}}(\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{X}), \underline{M}\Omega^q) \simeq \text{R}\Gamma(X, \underline{M}\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^q).$$

- (2) *Suppose that $\text{ch}(k) = p > 0$. There is an object $\underline{M}\mathbf{W}_n$ in $\underline{M}\text{DM}^{\text{eff}}$ such that for any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$ we have an equivalence*

$$\text{map}_{\underline{M}\text{DM}^{\text{eff}}}(\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{X}), \underline{M}\mathbf{W}_n) \simeq \text{R}\Gamma(X, (\underline{M}\mathbf{W}_n)_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Shane Kelly, Hiroyasu Miyazaki, and Shuji Saito for helpful comments on a draft of this paper. The author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant (22J20698).

Conventions. Throughout this paper, we fix a perfect field k . An *algebraic k -scheme* is a separated k -scheme of finite type. For an algebraic k -scheme X , we write X^N for its normalization. We write Sm for the category of smooth algebraic k -schemes. We say that a morphism of schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *pseudo-dominant* if it sends generic points of X to generic points of Y . If X is a smooth algebraic k -scheme, then a *coordinate* of X is a family of functions $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ which defines an étale morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d$. Unless otherwise specified, cohomologies are taken in

the Nisnevich topology. For a field K , we write $K\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}$ for the henselization of $K[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ at (t_1, \dots, t_d) .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall several definitions and results concerning modulus pairs.

2.1. Finite correspondences. First we recall Suslin-Voevodsky's category of finite correspondences Cor . It is an additive category having the same objects as Sm , and its group of morphisms $\text{Cor}(X, Y)$ is the group of algebraic cycles on $X \times Y$ whose components are finite pseudo-dominant over X . The category Cor has a symmetric monoidal structure given by the fiber product of k -schemes. For any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in Sm , the graph of f gives a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in Cor . If f is finite pseudo-dominant, then the transpose of the graph of f gives a morphism ${}^t f: Y \rightarrow X$ in Cor .

We say that a presheaf $F: \text{Cor}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a *Nisnevich sheaf* if for any $X \in \text{Sm}$, the presheaf

$$F_X: X_{\text{ét}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}; \quad U \mapsto F(U)$$

is a Nisnevich sheaf. We write $\text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Cor})$ for the category of Nisnevich sheaves on Cor . If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite pseudo-dominant morphism in Sm and $F \in \text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Cor})$, then we write f_* or $\text{Tr}_{X/Y}$ for the map $({}^t f)_*: F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$.

2.2. Modulus pairs. Next we recall basic facts about modulus pairs [Kah+21a]. Since we want to allow \mathbb{Q} -divisors, we follow [KMc]. We fix $\Lambda \in \{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}\}$.

Let X be a noetherian scheme. A Λ -Cartier divisor on X is an element of $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{CDiv}(X)$ where $\text{CDiv}(X)$ is the group of Cartier divisor on X . Note that a \mathbb{Z} -Cartier divisor is a usual Cartier divisor. A Λ -Cartier divisor D is called *effective* if it is contained in the $\Lambda_{>0}$ -span of some effective Cartier divisor. For two Λ -Cartier divisors D_1 and D_2 , we write $D_1 \geq D_2$ if $D_1 - D_2$ is effective. The support $|D|$ of D is defined to be the support of any $E \in \text{CDiv}(X)$ whose $\Lambda_{>0}$ -span contains D .

A Λ -modulus pair is a pair $\mathcal{X} = (X, D_X)$ where X is an algebraic k -scheme and D_X is an effective Λ -Cartier divisor on X such that $X^\circ := X - |D_X|$ is smooth. For example, the Λ -modulus pair $\square := (\mathbb{P}^1, [\infty])$ is called the *cube*. An *ambient morphism* of Λ -modulus pairs $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a morphism of k -schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(X^\circ) \subset Y^\circ$ and $D_X \geq f^* D_Y$ hold. It is called *minimal* if $D_X = f^* D_Y$ holds. We write $f^\circ: X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$ for the induced morphism of k -schemes.

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be Λ -modulus pairs over k and let $V \subset X^\circ \times Y^\circ$ be an integral closed subscheme. We say that V is *left proper* if the closure \overline{V} of V in $X \times Y$ is proper over X . We say that V is *admissible* if $(\text{pr}_1^* D_X)|_{\overline{V}^N} \geq (\text{pr}_2^* D_Y)|_{\overline{V}^N}$ holds. We write $\underline{\text{MCor}}^\Lambda(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ for the subgroup of $\text{Cor}(X^\circ, Y^\circ)$ consisting of cycles whose components are left proper and admissible. This defines a category $\underline{\text{MCor}}^\Lambda$ of Λ -modulus pairs. For any ambient morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, the graph of f° gives a morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ in $\underline{\text{MCor}}^\Lambda$. If f is a proper minimal ambient morphism such that f° is finite pseudo-dominant, then the transpose of the graph of f° gives a morphism ${}^t f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ in $\underline{\text{MCor}}^\Lambda$. The category $\underline{\text{MCor}}^\Lambda$ has a symmetric monoidal structure \otimes given by

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y} = (X \times Y, \text{pr}_1^* D_X + \text{pr}_2^* D_Y).$$

We can regard $\underline{\text{MCor}} := \underline{\text{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ as a full subcategory of $\underline{\text{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$. We write $\underline{\text{MCor}}^{\Lambda, \text{ls}}$ for the full subcategory of $\underline{\text{MCor}}^\Lambda$ spanned by *log-smooth Λ -modulus pairs*, i.e., modulus pairs (X, D_X) such that X is smooth and $|D_X|$ has simple normal crossings.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda, \text{ls}}$. We say that a smooth closed subscheme $Z \subset |D_X|$ has *simple normal crossings with $|D_X|$* if Zariski locally on X , there is a coordinate x_1, \dots, x_d such that we can write $|D_X| = \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_s = 0\}$, $Z = \{x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_r} = 0\}$.

Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda, \text{ls}}$ and let $Z \subset |D_X|$ be a smooth closed subscheme which has simple normal crossings with $|D_X|$. Let $\varphi: \text{Bl}_Z X \rightarrow X$ denote the blow-up along Z . In this situation we write $\text{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X} = (\text{Bl}_Z X, \varphi^* D_X)$. Note that the ambient morphism $\varphi: \text{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an isomorphism in $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda}$ because ${}^t\varphi$ gives an inverse.

We say that a presheaf $F: (\underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a *Nisnevich sheaf* if for any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda}$, the presheaf

$$F_{\mathcal{X}}: X_{\text{ét}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}; \quad U \mapsto F(U, D_X|_U)$$

is a Nisnevich sheaf. We write $\text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda})$ for the category of Nisnevich sheaves on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda}$.

Definition 2.2. Let F be a Nisnevich sheaf on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda}$.

- (1) We say that F has *cohomological cube invariance* if for any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda, \text{ls}}$, the morphism $\text{R}\Gamma(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, F_{\mathcal{X} \otimes \overline{\square}})$ is an isomorphism.
- (2) We say that F has *affine vanishing property* if for any effective Λ -Cartier divisors E_1, \dots, E_n on \mathbb{A}^1 and $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\Lambda, \text{ls}}$, we have $\text{R}^i \text{pr}_{1,*} F_{\mathcal{X} \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1, E_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1, E_n)} = 0$ for $i > 0$.
- (3) Suppose that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}$. We say that F has *left continuity* if the canonical map $\text{colim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F(X, (1 - \varepsilon)D_X) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism for any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$.

2.3. Ramification filtrations. In this subsection we present a method to construct Nisnevich sheaves on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ from *ramification filtrations*. This is essentially due to Rülling-Saito [RS21], but we make a small modification to allow \mathbb{Q} -divisors.

A *geometric henselian DVF* is a discrete valuation field (L, v_L) which is isomorphic to $\text{Frac } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$ for some $X \in \text{Sm}$ and some point $x \in X$ of codimension 1. We write Φ for the class of all geometric henselian DVFs. For $L \in \Phi$, we write \mathcal{O}_L for the valuation ring and \mathfrak{m}_L for the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_L . Note that if $L \in \Phi$ and K is the residue field of \mathcal{O}_L , then we have $\mathcal{O}_L \simeq K\{t\}$ for any uniformizer $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$.

Definition 2.3. Let $F \in \text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Cor})$. A *ramification filtration* Fil on F is a collection of increasing filtrations $\{\text{Fil}_r F(L)\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}$ on $F(L)$ indexed by $L \in \Phi$ which satisfies the following:

- (1) For any $L \in \Phi$, we have $\text{Im}(F(\mathcal{O}_L) \rightarrow F(L)) \subset \text{Fil}_0 F(L)$.
- (2) If $L \in \Phi$ and L'/L is a finite extension with ramification index e , then we have

$$\text{Tr}_{L'/L}(\text{Fil}_r F(L')) \subset \text{Fil}_{r/e} F(L) \quad (r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}).$$

Definition 2.4. Let $F \in \text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Cor})$ and let Fil be a ramification filtration on F . Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}$ and $a \in F(X^\circ)$. We say that a is *bounded by D_X* if for any $L \in \Phi$ and any commutative diagram of the following form, we have $\rho^* a \in \text{Fil}_{v_L(\tilde{\rho}^* D_X)} F(L)$:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } L & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}} & X. \end{array}$$

We write $F_{\text{Fil}}(\mathcal{X})$ for the subgroup of $F(X^\circ)$ consisting of elements bounded by D_X .

Lemma 2.5 (cf. [RS21, Proposition 4.7]). *Let $F \in \text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Cor})$ and let Fil be a ramification filtration on F .*

- (1) *For any $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \underline{\text{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\alpha \in \underline{\text{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, we have $\alpha^* F_{\text{Fil}}(\mathcal{Y}) \subset F_{\text{Fil}}(\mathcal{X})$.*
- (2) *F_{Fil} is a Nisnevich sheaf on $\underline{\text{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. (1) We may assume that $\alpha = [V]$ for some integral closed subscheme $V \subset X^\circ \times Y^\circ$. Let $b \in F_{\text{Fil}}(\mathcal{Y})$ and $L \in \Phi$. Suppose that we have a commutative diagram of the form (2.1). It suffices to show that $\rho^* \alpha^* b \in \text{Fil}_{v_L(\tilde{\rho}^* D_X)} F(L)$.

Let us consider the composition $\text{Spec } L \rightarrow X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$. We can write $\rho^*[V] = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i[x_i]$ as a relative 0-cycle on $Y^\circ \times_X \text{Spec } L$ over $\text{Spec } L$. Since $L_i := k(x_i)$ is finite over L , we have $L_i \in \Phi$. Write $\eta_i: \text{Spec } L_i \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi_i: \text{Spec } L_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$ for the canonical morphisms. Then the composition $\text{Spec } L \rightarrow X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i(\eta_i \circ \pi_i)$, so we have $\rho^* \alpha^* b = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i(\pi_{i,*} \eta_i^* b)$. Therefore it suffices to show that $\pi_{i,*} \eta_i^* b$ is contained in $\text{Fil}_{v_L(\tilde{\rho}^* D_X)} F(L)$.

Since \overline{V}^N is proper over X , the canonical morphism $\text{Spec } L_i \rightarrow V^N$ uniquely extends to $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L_i} \rightarrow \overline{V}^N$. We write $\tilde{\pi}_i: \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L_i} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ and $\tilde{\eta}_i: \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L_i} \rightarrow Y$ for the induced morphisms. Pulling back the inequality $(\text{pr}_1^* D_X)|_{\overline{V}^N} \geq (\text{pr}_2^* D_Y)|_{\overline{V}^N}$ to $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L_i}$, we get $\tilde{\pi}_i^* \tilde{\rho}^* D_X \geq \tilde{\eta}_i^* D_Y$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } L_i & \xrightarrow{\eta_i} & Y^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L_i} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}_i} & Y \end{array}$$

Since $b \in F_{\text{Fil}}(\mathcal{Y})$, we have $\eta_i^* b \in \text{Fil}_{v_{L_i}(\tilde{\eta}_i^* D_Y)} F(L_i)$. The above inequality shows that $\eta_i^* b \in \text{Fil}_{v_{L_i}(\tilde{\pi}_i^* \tilde{\rho}^* D_X)} F(L_i)$. If L_i/L has ramification index e_i , then we have $v_{L_i}(\tilde{\pi}_i^* \tilde{\rho}^* D_X) = e_i \cdot v_L(\tilde{\rho}^* D_X)$. By the definition of a ramification filtration, we get $\pi_{i,*} \eta_i^* b \in \text{Fil}_{v_L(\tilde{\rho}^* D_X)} F(L)$, as desired.

- (2) Since $F_{\text{Fil}} \subset F$, it is clear that F_{Fil} is separated for the Nisnevich topology. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\text{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let $\{\pi_i: U_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ be a Nisnevich covering of X . It suffices to show that if $a \in F(X^\circ)$ satisfies $a|_{U_i} \in F_{\text{Fil}}(U_i, D_X|_{U_i})$ for all $i \in I$, then $a \in F_{\text{Fil}}(\mathcal{X})$. Suppose that we have a commutative diagram of the form (2.1). Since L is henselian, $\tilde{\rho}$ lifts to $\tilde{\rho}': \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow U_i$ for some i . By $a|_{U_i} \in F_{\text{Fil}}(U_i, D_X|_{U_i})$, we get $\rho^* a \in \text{Fil}_{v_L(\tilde{\rho}^* D_X)} F(L)$, as desired. \square

3. BLOW-UP INVARIANCE

In this section we prove [Theorem 1.4](#). First we prepare some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let A be a henselian local ring. Let $\varphi: F \rightarrow G$ be a morphism of Nisnevich sheaves on \mathbb{P}_A^1 such that $\text{Ker } \varphi$ and $\text{Coker } \varphi$ are supported on $\{0, \infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}_A^1$. Then the morphism*

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, F) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, G)$$

is surjective for $i > 0$.

Proof. We have two exact sequences of Nisnevich sheaves on \mathbb{P}_A^1 :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Im } f \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \text{Im } f \rightarrow G \rightarrow \text{Coker } f \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the cohomologies, we get exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, F) &\xrightarrow{\varphi} H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \text{Im } f) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \text{Ker } f), \\ H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \text{Im } f) &\rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, G) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \text{Coker } f). \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption, the rightmost terms are 0 for $i > 0$, so the composition

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, F) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \text{Im } f) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^1, G)$$

is surjective for $i > 0$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and suppose that $a \neq 0$. Let N be a positive integer such that $c := a + b - \frac{a+b+1}{N+1}$ and $Na - 1$ are positive. Then there are integers $m, m' \geq 0$ such that the following conditions hold:*

$$m + m' = N, \quad mc \leq Na - 1, \quad m'c \leq Nb.$$

Proof. By definition, we have $(N+1)c = Na + Nb - 1$. Write $Na - 1 = mc + r$ and $Nb = m'c + r'$ with $0 < r \leq c$ and $0 \leq r' < c$. Then we get $(N+1)c = (m+m')c + (r+r')$, so $r+r'$ is a multiple of c . Since $0 < r+r' < 2c$, we must have $r+r' = c$. Therefore we get $m+m' = N$, $mc \leq Na - 1$, and $m'c \leq Nb$. \square

The blow-up of \mathbb{A}^2 at the origin is isomorphic to the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . We first study the cohomology of this scheme. Let $H^{(n)}$ denote the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 ; it is obtained by gluing $\text{Spec } k[s, x]$ and $\text{Spec } k[s^{-1}, s^n x]$ along $\text{Spec } k[s^{\pm}, x]$. Let $\pi: H^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the canonical projection. We define divisors D_0, D_∞, E on $H^{(n)}$ by

$$D_0 = \pi^{-1}(0), \quad D_\infty = \pi^{-1}(\infty), \quad E = (\text{image of the zero section}).$$

We define $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}(a, b, c) = (H^{(n)}, aD_0 + bD_\infty + cE)$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. In terms of toric geometry, $H^{(n)}$ corresponds to the fan Δ_n given by the faces of the cones $\sigma, \tau \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x, y \geq 0\}, \\ \tau &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \leq 0, y \geq -nx\}. \end{aligned}$$

The divisors D_0, D_∞, E correspond to the rays generated by $(1, 0), (-1, n), (0, 1)$ respectively.




FIGURE 1. The fan Δ_n

For $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\text{MCor}}^{\text{Q,ls}}$, we write $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)}(a, b, c) = \mathcal{H}^{(n)}(a, b, c) \otimes \mathcal{X}$ and write $\pi_{\mathcal{X}}: H_{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{X}}^1$ for the base change of $\pi: H^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $F \in \text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\underline{\text{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}})$ has cohomological cube invariance and affine vanishing property. Let \mathcal{X} be the henselian localization of an object of $\underline{\text{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, $a \neq 0$. Let N be a positive integer such that $c := a + b - \frac{a+b+1}{N+1}$ and $Na - 1$ are positive. Then the cohomology group*

$$H^i(H_X^{(1)}, F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}(a,b,c)})$$

is annihilated by N for $i > 0$.

Proof. Let $\rho_N: \mathbb{P}_X^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^1$ be the morphism $s \mapsto s^N$. The pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^1}(-1)$ by ρ_N is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^1}(-N)$, so we have the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_X^{(N)} & \xrightarrow{\theta_N} & H_X^{(1)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_X^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_N} & \mathbb{P}_X^1 \end{array}$$

In terms of toric geometry, the morphism θ_N corresponds to the map of fans $\Delta_N \rightarrow \Delta_1$ induced by the map of lattices

$$\begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

The morphism θ_N is finite locally free of degree N , and it induces a minimal ambient morphism $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(N)}(Na, Nb, c) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}(a, b, c)$. The composition

$$F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}(a,b,c)} \xrightarrow{\theta_N^*} \theta_{N,*} F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(N)}(Na,Nb,c)} \xrightarrow{\theta_{N,*}} F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}(a,b,c)}$$

is equal to the multiplication by N , so it suffices to show that

$$H^i(H_X^{(N)}, \theta_{N,*} F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(N)}(Na,Nb,c)}) = 0 \quad (i > 0).$$

Since θ_N is finite, we have $R^i \theta_{N,*} = 0$ ($i > 0$). Therefore the above group is isomorphic to

$$H^i(H_X^{(N)}, F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(N)}(Na,Nb,c)}).$$

Also, we have $R^i \pi_{X,*} F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(N)}(Na,Nb,c)} = 0$ for $i > 0$ by the affine vanishing property of F , so it suffices to prove that $H^i(\mathbb{P}_X^1, \pi_{X,*} F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(N)}(Na,Nb,c)}) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

By Lemma 3.2, there are integers $m, m' \geq 0$ such that

$$m + m' = N, \quad mc \leq Na - 1, \quad m'c \leq Nb.$$

Let $\varphi_{m,m'}$ denote the section $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^1}(N)$ defined by $S^m T^{m'}$, where S, T are the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}_X^1 . Twisting by $-N$, we get a morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^1}(-N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^1}$. Let $\psi_{m,m'}: H_X^{(N)} \rightarrow H_X^{(0)}$ denote the induced morphism of schemes over \mathbb{P}_X^1 . In coordinates, this can be written as $x \mapsto s^m x$. In terms of toric geometry, this corresponds to the map of fans $\Delta_N \rightarrow \Delta_0$ induced by the map of lattices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix}: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

The inequalities $mc \leq Na - 1$ and $m'c \leq Nb$ imply that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{m,m'}^*(D_0 + cE) &= D_0 + c(mD_0 + m'D_\infty + E) \\ &= (mc + 1)D_0 + m'cD_\infty + cE \\ &\leq NaD_0 + NbD_\infty + cE \end{aligned}$$

on $H_X^{(N)}$. Therefore $\psi_{m,m'}$ induces an ambient morphism

$$\psi_{m,m'}: \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(N)}(Na, Nb, c) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(0)}(1, 0, c).$$

This morphism is an isomorphism over $\mathbb{P}_X^1 - \{0, \infty\}$, so the kernel and the cokernel induced morphism

$$\psi_{N,m}^*: \pi_{X,*}F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(0)}(1,0,c)} \rightarrow \pi_{X,*}F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(N)}(Na,Nb,c)}$$

are supported on $\{0, \infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}_X^1$. By [Lemma 3.1](#), this shows that the induced map

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}_X^1, \pi_{X,*}F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(0)}(1,0,c)}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_X^1, \pi_{X,*}F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(N)}(Na,Nb,c)})$$

is surjective for $i > 0$, so it suffices to prove that $H^i(\mathbb{P}_X^1, \pi_{X,*}F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(0)}(1,0,c)}) = 0$ for $i > 0$. The scheme $H_X^{(0)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times X$, and the modulus pair $\mathcal{H}_X^{(0)}(1, 0, c)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\square} \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1, c[0]) \otimes \mathcal{X}$. The morphism $\pi_X: H_X^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^1$ corresponds to $\text{pr}_{13}: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &H^i(\mathbb{P}_X^1, \pi_{X,*}F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(0)}(1,0,c)}) \\ &\simeq H^i(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, \text{pr}_{13,*}F_{\overline{\square} \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1, c[0]) \otimes \mathcal{X}}) \\ &\simeq H^i(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times X, F_{\overline{\square} \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1, c[0]) \otimes \mathcal{X}}) \quad \dots \text{(affine vanishing property)} \\ &\simeq H^i(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, F_{(\mathbb{A}^1, c[0]) \otimes \mathcal{X}}) \quad \dots \text{(cohomological cube invariance)} \\ &\simeq H^i(X, \text{pr}_{2,*}F_{(\mathbb{A}^1, c[0]) \otimes \mathcal{X}}) \quad \dots \text{(affine vanishing property)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $F \in \text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}})$ has cohomological cube invariance, affine vanishing property, and left continuity. Let \mathcal{X} be the henselian localization of an object of $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, $a \neq 0$. Then we have*

$$H^i(H_X^{(1)}, F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}(a,b,a+b)}) = 0 \quad (i > 0).$$

Proof. Let M be a positive integer. If M is large enough, then $N = 2^M$ satisfies the assumption of [Lemma 3.3](#). In this situation, [Lemma 3.3](#) shows that the group

$$H^i(H_X^{(1)}, F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}(a,b,c)}) = 0$$

is annihilated by 2^M for $i > 0$, where $c = a + b - \frac{a+b+1}{2^{M+1}}$. Taking the colimit $M \rightarrow \infty$ and using the left continuity of F , we see that $H^i(H_X^{(1)}, F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}(a,b,c)})$ is a 2-primary torsion for $i > 0$. The same argument shows that $H^i(H_X^{(1)}, F_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}(a,b,c)})$ is also a 3-primary torsion for $i > 0$, so this group vanishes. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $F \in \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(\underline{\mathrm{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}})$ has cohomological ls cube invariance, affine vanishing property, and left continuity. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathrm{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{ls}}$ and let $\varphi: B_X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^2$ denote the blow-up of \mathbb{A}_X^2 at the origin. Let $L = \mathbb{A}_X^1 \times \{0\}$, $L' = \{0\} \times \mathbb{A}_X^1$ be two lines in \mathbb{A}_X^2 . Then for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ with $a \neq 0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{R}^j \varphi_* F_{(B_X, \varphi^*(aL+bL'))} = 0 \quad (j > 0).$$

Proof. We may replace \mathcal{X} by its henselian localization. Since φ is an isomorphism on $\mathbb{A}_X^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$, the higher direct images $\mathrm{R}^j \varphi_* F_{(B_X, \varphi^*(aL+bL'))}$ ($j > 0$) are supported on $\{(0, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{A}_X^2$ and hence

$$\mathrm{H}^i(\mathbb{A}_X^2, \mathrm{R}^j \varphi_* F_{(B_X, \varphi^*(aL+bL'))}) = 0 \quad (i, j > 0).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbb{A}_X^2, \varphi_* F_{(B_X, \varphi^*(aL+bL'))}) &= \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbb{A}^2 \times X, F_{(\mathbb{A}^2, aL+bL') \otimes \mathcal{X}}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathrm{pr}_{2,*} F_{(\mathbb{A}^2, aL+bL') \otimes \mathcal{X}}) = 0 \quad (i > 0) \end{aligned}$$

by the affine vanishing property. Consider the Leray spectral sequence for φ :

$$\mathrm{E}_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbb{A}_X^2, \mathrm{R}^j \varphi_* F_{(B_X, \varphi^*(aL+bL'))}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(B_X, F_{(B_X, \varphi^*(aL+bL'))}).$$

We have $\mathrm{E}_2^{i,j} = 0$ for $i > 0$, so we get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{A}_X^2, \mathrm{R}^j \varphi_* F_{(B_X, \varphi^*(aL+bL'))}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^j(B_X, F_{(B_X, \varphi^*(aL+bL'))}).$$

It suffices to show that the right hand side vanishes for $j > 0$. Now recall that we have an isomorphism $B_X \simeq H_X^{(1)}$ which induces an isomorphism of modulus pairs $(B_X, \varphi^*(aL+bL')) \simeq \mathcal{H}_X^{(1)}(a, b, a+b)$. Therefore the required vanishing follows from [Lemma 3.3](#). \square

The following lemma is an easy corollary of the universal property of blow-ups:

Lemma 3.6. *Let X be an algebraic k -scheme and $Z \subset Z' \subset X$ be closed subschemes. Let Y denote the blow-up of $\mathrm{Bl}_Z X$ along the strict transform of Z' . Let W denote the blow-up of $\mathrm{Bl}_{Z'} X$ along the inverse image of Z . Then there is an isomorphism $\rho: Y \xrightarrow{\sim} W$ over X .*

Proof. See [[BRS](#), Lemma 2.15]. \square

Now we prove our main theorem.

Proof of [Theorem 1.4](#). Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathrm{MCor}}^{\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{ls}}$ and let $Z \subset |D_X|$ be a smooth closed subscheme which has simple normal crossings with $|D_X|$. Let $\varphi: \mathrm{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the blow-up along Z . It suffices to show that the canonical morphism $F_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\varphi_* F_{\mathrm{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X}}$ is an isomorphism. We proceed by induction on $r = \mathrm{codim}_X(Z)$. Since the claim is local in the Nisnevich topology, we may assume that $X = \mathrm{Spec} K\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$, $|D_X| = \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_s = 0\}$, and $Z = \{x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_r} = 0\}$. Moreover, we may assume that $i_1 = 1$ since Z is contained in $|D_X|$. The case $r = 1$ is trivial; the blow-up along Z is an isomorphism. If $r = 2$, then the claim follows from [Lemma 3.5](#). If $r \geq 3$, then we set $Z' = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\} \supset Z$. Let $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X}$ denote the blow-up along the strict transform of Z' . Let $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{Z'} \mathcal{X}$ denote the blow-up along the inverse image of Z . Then there is an isomorphism $\rho: \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}$ over \mathcal{X} by [Lemma 3.6](#). We have the following commutative diagram

of \mathbb{Q} -modulus pairs:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\rho} & \mathcal{W} \\
 \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \psi' \\
 \mathrm{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X} & & \mathrm{Bl}_{Z'} \mathcal{X} \\
 \searrow \varphi & & \swarrow \psi \\
 & \mathcal{X} &
 \end{array}$$

Since the codimension of Z' (resp. the strict transform of Z') in X (resp. in $\mathrm{Bl}_Z X$) is 2, we have

$$R\psi_* F_{\mathrm{Bl}_{Z'} \mathcal{X}} \simeq F_{\mathcal{X}} \quad (\text{resp. } R\varphi'_* F_{\mathcal{Y}} \simeq F_{\mathrm{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X}}).$$

Moreover, since the codimension of $\psi^{-1}(Z)$ in $\mathrm{Bl}_{Z'} X$ is $r - 1$, we have $R\psi'_* F_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq F_{\mathrm{Bl}_{Z'} \mathcal{X}}$ by the induction hypothesis. Combining these results, we obtain $R\varphi_* F_{\mathrm{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X}} \simeq F_{\mathcal{X}}$. \square

4. EXAMPLES

In this section, we construct two examples of sheaves on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathrm{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and apply [Theorem 1.4](#) to them.

4.1. Differential forms. Fix an integer $q \geq 0$. Recall from [[KSY16](#), Corollary A.6.1] that the sheaf of differential forms $\Omega^q = (X \mapsto \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^q))$ can be regarded as an object of $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(\mathrm{Cor})$. Kelly-Miyazaki [[KMb](#), Theorem 4.3] extended this to a sheaf $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\Omega^q$ on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathrm{Cor}^{\mathrm{ls}}$ in the case $\mathrm{ch}(k) = 0$. It is characterized by the fact that the formula

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}\Omega^q(\mathcal{X}) = \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^q(\log |D_X|)(D_X - |D_X|))$$

holds for $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathrm{Cor}$. In this subsection, we present a construction of $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\Omega^q$ using a ramification filtration. This method is applicable also for $\mathrm{ch}(k) = p > 0$, and it allows us to extend $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\Omega^q$ to $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathrm{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}}$. We show that the resulting sheaf has cohomological cube invariance, affine vanishing property, and left continuity. As a corollary of our main theorem, we obtain a new proof of the blow-up invariance of the Hodge cohomology with modulus due to Kelly-Miyazaki [[KM21](#), Theorem 6.1].

Definition 4.1. Let $L \in \Phi$ and let $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be a uniformizer. We write $\Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log)$ for the subgroup of $\Omega^q(L)$ generated by $\Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)$ and $\Omega^{q-1}(\mathcal{O}_L) \wedge \mathrm{dlog}(t)$. Since $\mathrm{dlog}(u) \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{O}_L)$ for $u \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$, this does not depend on the choice of t . We define the *logarithmic filtration* on $\Omega^q(L)$ by

$$\mathrm{Fil}_r \Omega^q(L) = \begin{cases} \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L) & (r = 0) \\ t^{-\lceil r \rceil + 1} \cdot \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log) & (r > 0). \end{cases}$$

This is also independent of the choice of t .

Lemma 4.2. *Let $L \in \Phi$ and let $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be a uniformizer. For $\omega \in \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\omega \in t \cdot \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log)$.
- (2) $\omega \wedge \mathrm{dlog}(t) \in \Omega^{q+1}(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

Proof. Suppose that (1) holds. We can write $\omega = t\omega_1 + \omega_2 \wedge dt$ where $\omega_1 \in \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega^{q-1}(\mathcal{O}_L)$. It follows that $\omega \wedge d\log(t) = \omega_1 \wedge dt \in \Omega^{q+1}(\mathcal{O}_L)$, so (1) implies (2).

Next suppose that (2) holds. Writing K for the residue field of \mathcal{O}_L , we have $\mathcal{O}_L \simeq K\{t\}$. Recall that we have an isomorphism

$$\Omega^1(K[t]) \simeq (K[t] \otimes_K \Omega^1(K)) \oplus K[t]dt.$$

By henselization, we get an isomorphism $\Omega^1(\mathcal{O}_L) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_K \Omega^1(K)) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L dt$ and hence

$$\Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_K \Omega^q(K)) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_K \Omega^{q-1}(K)) \wedge dt.$$

If we write $\omega = \alpha + \beta \wedge dt$, we have $\omega \wedge d\log(t) = t^{-1}\alpha \wedge dt$. By (2), we get $\alpha \in t \cdot \Omega^q(K)$ and hence $\omega \in t \cdot \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log)$. Therefore (2) implies (1). \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $L \in \Phi$ and let $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be a uniformizer. Let L'/L be a finite extension and let $t' \in \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ be a uniformizer. Then we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(t' \cdot \Omega(\mathcal{O}_{L'}) (\log)) \subset t \cdot \Omega(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log)$.*

Proof. Write $t = u(t')^e$ with $u \in \mathcal{O}_{L'}^\times$. For any $\omega \in \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_{L'}) (\log)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(t'\omega) \wedge d\log(t) &= \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(t'\omega \wedge d\log(u(t')^e)) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(t'\omega \wedge d\log(u)) + e \cdot \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(t'\omega \wedge d\log(t')). \end{aligned}$$

We can write $\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2 \wedge d\log(t')$ with $\omega_1 \in \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_{L'})$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega^{q-1}(\mathcal{O}_{L'})$. Then we have $t'\omega \wedge d\log(t') = \omega_1 \wedge dt \in \Omega^{q+1}(\mathcal{O}_{L'})$, so we see that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(t'\omega) \wedge d\log(t) \in \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(\Omega^{q+1}(\mathcal{O}_{L'})) \subset \Omega^{q+1}(\mathcal{O}_L).$$

By Lemma 4.2, this implies that $\mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(t'\omega) \in t \cdot \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log)$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $L \in \Phi$ and let L'/L be a finite extension of ramification index e . Then we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(\mathrm{Fil}_r \Omega^q(L')) \subset \mathrm{Fil}_{r/e} \Omega^q(L)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. In other words, $\{\mathrm{Fil}_r \Omega^q(L)\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, L \in \Phi}$ defines a ramification filtration on Ω^q .*

Proof. We may assume that $r > 0$. Take uniformizers $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$, $t' \in \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ and write $t = u(t')^e$ with $u \in \mathcal{O}_{L'}^\times$. Let $\omega \in \mathrm{Fil}_r \Omega^q(L')$. Then we have $t'^{[r]}\omega \in t' \cdot \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_{L'}) (\log)$. Since $e[r/e] \geq [r]$, this implies $t'^{e[r/e]}\omega \in t' \cdot \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_{L'}) (\log)$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} t'^{[r/e]} \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(\omega) &= \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(u^{[r/e]} t'^{e[r/e]} \omega) \\ &\in \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(t' \cdot \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_{L'}) (\log)) \\ &\subset t \cdot \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used Lemma 4.3 for the last inclusion. This shows that $\mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(\omega) \in \mathrm{Fil}_{r/e} \Omega^q(L)$. \square

We write $\underline{M}\Omega^q$ for the Nisnevich sheaf on $\underline{M}\mathrm{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ associated to the logarithmic filtration on Ω^q . The next lemma shows that this coincides with Kelly-Miyazaki's definition for $\mathrm{ch}(k) = 0$:

Lemma 4.5. *For any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{M}\mathrm{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{ls}}$, we have*

$$\underline{M}\Omega^q(\mathcal{X}) = \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^q(\log |D_X|)([D_X] - |D_X|)).$$

In particular, $\underline{M}\Omega^q$ has left continuity and affine vanishing property.

Proof. It is clear that the left hand side is contained in the right hand side. Let us prove the reverse inclusion. We may assume that \mathcal{X} has a coordinate x_1, \dots, x_d such that $D_X = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i D_i$ where $D_i = \{x_i = 0\}$. Let $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^q(\log |D_X|)([D_X] - |D_X|))$. Let $L \in \Phi$ and let $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be a uniformizer. Suppose that we have a commutative diagram of the form (2.1). We set $e_i = v_L(\rho^* x_i)$ and assume that $e_i > 0$ for some i . Then there is some unit $u \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ such that

$$\rho^*(x_1^{\lceil r_1 \rceil - 1} \dots x_s^{\lceil r_s \rceil - 1} \omega) = ut^{\sum_{i=1}^s e_i \lceil r_i \rceil - 1} \rho^* \omega.$$

The left hand side is an element of $\Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log)$, so we get

$$t^{\sum_{i=1}^s e_i \lceil r_i \rceil - 1} \rho^* \omega \in \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log).$$

Now we observe that the inequality $\sum_{i=1}^s e_i \lceil r_i \rceil - 1 < \sum_{i=1}^s e_i r_i \leq \lceil \sum_{i=1}^s e_i r_i \rceil$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^s e_i \lceil r_i \rceil - 1 \leq \lceil \sum_{i=1}^s e_i r_i \rceil - 1$. Therefore we get

$$t^{\lceil \sum_{i=1}^s e_i r_i \rceil - 1} \rho^* \omega \in \Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_L)(\log).$$

This shows that $\omega \in \underline{M}\Omega^q(\mathcal{X})$ and hence the equality holds. The left continuity is clear from the equality, and the affine vanishing property follows from the fact that the sheaf $\Omega_X^q(\log |D_X|)([D_X] - |D_X|)$ is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. \square

Lemma 4.6. *The modulus sheaf $\underline{M}\Omega^q$ has cohomological cube invariance.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.5 and [KMb, Corollary 5.2]. We sketch the proof for the convenience of the reader. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$. An explicit computation shows that, as a quasi-coherent sheaf on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{M}\Omega^q)_{\mathcal{X} \otimes \overline{\square}} &\simeq \text{pr}_1^*(\underline{M}\Omega^q)_{\mathcal{X}} \oplus (\text{pr}_1^*(\underline{M}\Omega^{q-1})_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \text{pr}_2^*(\underline{M}\Omega^1)_{\overline{\square}}) \\ &\simeq \text{pr}_1^*(\underline{M}\Omega^q)_{\mathcal{X}} \oplus (\text{pr}_1^*(\underline{M}\Omega^{q-1})_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it suffices to show that for any finite locally free \mathcal{O}_X -modules E, E' on X , the morphism

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \text{pr}_1^* E \oplus (\text{pr}_1^* E' \otimes \text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)))$$

is an isomorphism. This can be reduced to the case $E = E' = \mathcal{O}_X$, which is easy. \square

Corollary 4.7 ([KMb, Theorem 6.1]). *Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$ and let $Z \subset |D_X|$ be a smooth closed subscheme which has simple normal crossings with $|D_X|$. Then the morphism*

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X, \underline{M}\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^q) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(\text{Bl}_Z X, \underline{M}\Omega_{\text{Bl}_Z X}^q)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $\underline{M}\Omega^q$ has cohomological cube invariance by Lemma 4.6 and has left continuity and affine vanishing property by Lemma 4.5, the claim follows from Theorem 1.4. \square

4.2. Witt vectors. In this subsection we assume that $\text{ch}(k) = p > 0$. Fix an integer $n > 0$. The sheaf of p -typical Witt vectors $W_n = (X \mapsto \Gamma(X, W_n \mathcal{O}_X))$ is representable by an algebraic group, so it can be regarded as an object of $\text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Cor})$ [BK16, Lemma 1.4.4]. In this subsection, we construct a modulus sheaf $\underline{M}W_n \in \text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}})$ extending W_n using the Brylinski-Kato filtration. We prove that W_n has cohomological cube invariance, affine vanishing property, and left continuity. As a corollary of our main theorem, we obtain the blow-up invariance of the Witt vector cohomology with modulus.

Definition 4.8. Let $L \in \Phi$ and let $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be a uniformizer. We define the *Brylinski-Kato filtration* [Kat89, Definition 3.1] on $W_n(L)$ by

$$\mathrm{Fil}_r W_n(L) = \begin{cases} W_n(\mathcal{O}_L) & (r = 0) \\ \{a \in W_n(L) \mid [t^{\lceil r \rceil - 1}]F^{n-1}(a) \in W_n(\mathcal{O}_L)\} & (r > 0). \end{cases}$$

Here, $[a]$ means $(a, 0, \dots, 0)$ and F denotes the Frobenius map $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mapsto (a_0^p, \dots, a_{n-1}^p)$. This definition does not depend on the choice of the uniformizer t .

Remark 4.9. For $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in W_n(L)$, we have

$$[t^{\lceil r \rceil - 1}]F^{n-1}(a) = (t^{\lceil r \rceil - 1}a_0^{p^{n-1}}, t^{p(\lceil r \rceil - 1)}a_1^{p^{n-1}}, \dots, t^{p^{n-1}(\lceil r \rceil - 1)}a_{n-1}^{p^{n-1}}).$$

Therefore we have $a \in \mathrm{Fil}_r W_n(L)$ if and only if

$$p^{n-1}v_L(a_i) + p^i(\lceil r \rceil - 1) \geq 0$$

holds for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. In particular, we have $\mathrm{Fil}_1 W_n(L) = \mathrm{Fil}_0 W_n(L) = W_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

Lemma 4.10. Let $L \in \Phi$. Let L'/L be a finite extension and $t' \in \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ be a uniformizer. If \overline{L} is an algebraic closure of L , then we have

$$i_{\overline{L}/L} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}([t'] \cdot W_n(\mathcal{O}_{L'})) \subset [t'] \cdot W_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{L}})$$

where $i_{\overline{L}/L}: W_n(\mathcal{O}_L) \rightarrow W_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{L}})$ is the inclusion.

Proof. Let $a \in W_n(\mathcal{O}_{L'})$. If m (resp. n) is the separable (resp. inseparable) degree of L'/L , then there are m distinct L -embeddings $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m: L' \rightarrow \overline{L}$ and we have

$$i_{\overline{L}/L} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}([t'] \cdot a) = n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i([t'] \cdot a).$$

It is clear that the right hand side is contained in $[t'] \cdot W_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{L}})$. \square

Lemma 4.11. Let $L \in \Phi$ and let L'/L be a finite extension of ramification index e . Then we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(\mathrm{Fil}_r W_n(L')) \subset \mathrm{Fil}_{r/e} W_n(L)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. Take uniformizers $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$, $t' \in \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ and write $t = u(t')^e$ with $u \in \mathcal{O}_{L'}^\times$. Let $a \in \mathrm{Fil}_r W_n(L')$. Then we have $[t'^{\lceil r \rceil - 1}]F^{n-1}(a) \in W_n(\mathcal{O}_{L'})$. Since $e\lceil r/e \rceil \geq \lceil r \rceil$, this implies $[t'^{e\lceil r/e \rceil}]F^{n-1}(a) \in [t'] \cdot W_n(\mathcal{O}_{L'})$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\overline{L}/L}([t'^{\lceil r/e \rceil}]F^{n-1}(\mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(a))) &= i_{\overline{L}/L} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}([u^{\lceil r/e \rceil} t'^{e\lceil r/e \rceil}]F^{n-1}(a)) \\ &\in i_{\overline{L}/L} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}([t'] \cdot W_n(\mathcal{O}_{L'})) \\ &\subset [t'] \cdot W_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{L}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used Lemma 4.10 for the last inclusion. If we set $\mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(a) = b = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$, then the above computation shows that

$$p^{n-1}v_L(b_i) + p^i\lceil r/e \rceil \geq p^i/e$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Since the left hand side belongs to $p^i\mathbb{Z}$, we can replace the right hand side by p^i . Therefore we get

$$p^{n-1}v_L(b_i) + p^i(\lceil r/e \rceil - 1) \geq 0$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. This implies $\mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(a) = b \in \mathrm{Fil}_{r/e} W_n(L)$. \square

We write $\underline{M}W_n$ for the Nisnevich sheaf on $\underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ associated to the Brylinski-Kato filtration on W_n . In order to give an explicit formula for $\underline{M}W_n$, we recall the notion of *Witt divisorial sheaf* which was introduced by Tanaka [Tan17].

Definition 4.12 ([Tan17, Definition 3.1]). Let $X \in \text{Sm}$ and let $D = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i D_i$ be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . Let ξ_i denote the generic point of D_i and v_i denote the discrete valuation on \mathcal{O}_{X, ξ_i} . Let $j: X \setminus |D| \rightarrow X$ denote the inclusion. The *Witt divisorial sheaf* $W_n \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is the Zariski subsheaf of $j_* W_n \mathcal{O}_{X \setminus |D|}$ defined by

$$U \mapsto \{(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \Gamma(U, j_* W_n \mathcal{O}_{X \setminus |D|}) \mid \xi_i \in U \implies v_i(a_i) + p^i r_i \geq 0\}.$$

It is known that $W_n \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is a quasi-coherent $W_n \mathcal{O}_X$ -module [Tan17, Lemma 3.5 (2)].

Lemma 4.13. *For any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{M}\text{Cor}^{\mathbb{Q}, \text{ls}}$, we have*

$$\underline{M}W_n(\mathcal{X}) = \Gamma(X, W_n \mathcal{O}_X((\lceil D_X \rceil - |D_X|)/p^{n-1})).$$

In particular, $\underline{M}W_n$ has left continuity and affine vanishing property.

Proof. It is clear from Remark 4.9 that the left hand side is contained in the right hand side. Let us prove the reverse inclusion. We may assume that \mathcal{X} has a coordinate x_1, \dots, x_d such that $D_X = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i D_i$ where $D_i = \{x_i = 0\}$. Let $a \in \Gamma(X, W_n \mathcal{O}_X((\lceil D_X \rceil - |D_X|)/p^{n-1}))$. Let $L \in \Phi$ and let $t \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be a uniformizer. Suppose that we have a commutative diagram of the form (2.1). We set $e_i = v_L(\rho^* x_i)$ and assume that $e_i > 0$ for some i . Then there is some unit $u \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ such that

$$\rho^*([x_1^{\lceil r_1 \rceil - 1} \dots x_s^{\lceil r_s \rceil - 1}] \mathbb{F}^{n-1}(a)) = [ut^{\sum_{i=1}^s e_i(\lceil r_i \rceil - 1)}] \mathbb{F}^{n-1}(\rho^* a).$$

The left hand side is an element of $W_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$, so we get

$$[t^{\sum_{i=1}^s e_i(\lceil r_i \rceil - 1)}] \mathbb{F}^{n-1}(\rho^* a) \in W_n(\mathcal{O}_L).$$

Now we observe that the inequality $\sum_{i=1}^s e_i(\lceil r_i \rceil - 1) < \sum_{i=1}^s e_i r_i \leq \lceil \sum_{i=1}^s e_i r_i \rceil$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^s e_i(\lceil r_i \rceil - 1) \leq \lceil \sum_{i=1}^s e_i r_i \rceil - 1$. Therefore we get

$$[t^{\lceil \sum_{i=1}^s e_i r_i \rceil - 1}] \mathbb{F}^{n-1}(\rho^* a) \in W_n(\mathcal{O}_L).$$

This shows that $a \in \underline{M}W_n(\mathcal{X})$ and hence the equality holds. The left continuity is clear from the equality, and the affine vanishing property follows from the fact that the sheaf $W_n \mathcal{O}_X((\lceil D_X \rceil - |D_X|)/p^{n-1})$ is a quasi-coherent $W_n \mathcal{O}_X$ -module. \square

Lemma 4.14. *The modulus sheaf $\underline{M}W_n$ has cohomological ls cube invariance.*

Proof. Let $X \in \text{Sm}$. By Lemma 4.13, it suffices to show that the canonical morphism

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X, W_n \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, W_n \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\text{pr}_1^* D))$$

is an isomorphism for any \mathbb{Q} -divisor D on X . We prove this by induction on n . If $n = 1$, then what we have to show is that the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \text{Rpr}_{1,*} \text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is an isomorphism, which is easy. Suppose that $n \geq 2$. By [Tan17, Proposition 3.7], we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_X^{n-1})_* \mathcal{O}_X(p^{n-1} D) \rightarrow W_n \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow W_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow 0$$

of Zariski sheaves on X , where $\mathbb{F}_X: X \rightarrow X$ is the absolute Frobenius morphism. We also have a similar exact sequence of Zariski sheaves on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$:

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}^{n-1})_* \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}(p^{n-1} \text{pr}_1^* D) \rightarrow W_n \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\text{pr}_1^* D) \rightarrow W_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\text{pr}_1^* D) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore the claim follows from the induction hypothesis and the five lemma. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Since $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{W}_n$ has cohomological cube invariance by Lemma 4.14 and has left continuity and affine vanishing property by Lemma 4.13, the claim follows from Theorem 1.4. \square

5. RELATION TO MOTIVES WITH MODULUS

In this section we discuss the relationship between our main theorem and the theory of motives with modulus. We show that the Hodge cohomology with modulus and the Witt vector cohomology with modulus are representable in the category of motives with modulus if we assume resolution of singularities.

Definition 5.1. We say that k admits *resolution of singularities* if the following conditions hold:

- (RS1) For any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}$, there exists $\mathcal{Y} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$ and a proper minimal ambient morphism $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $f^\circ: Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ is an isomorphism.
- (RS2) Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$ and let $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a proper minimal ambient morphism such that $f^\circ: Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ is an isomorphism. Then there is a sequence of blow-ups $\mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}$ with the following properties:
 - (a) The center of the blow-up $\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{i-1}$ is a smooth closed subscheme of $|D_{\mathcal{X}_i}|$ which has simple normal crossings with $|D_{\mathcal{X}_i}|$.
 - (b) The morphism $\mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ factors through \mathcal{Y} .

We recall the construction of the category of motives with modulus. For $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}$, we write $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X})$ for the presheaf of abelian groups on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}$ represented by \mathcal{X} . It is known that $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X})$ is a Nisnevich sheaf [Kah+21a, Proposition 3.3.5].

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathcal{D}(\text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}))$ denote the derived ∞ -category of $\text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor})$. Let (CI) be the localizing subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}))$ generated by the cofibers of $\text{pr}_1: \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \overline{\square}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X})$ for $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}$. The category of motives with modulus $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}$ is defined as the Verdier quotient

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\mathcal{D}(\text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}))}{(\text{CI})}$$

in the sense of [BGT10, Definition 5.4]. By [Kah+22, Theorem 4.1.1], this is equivalent to the original definition [Kah+22, Definition 3.2.4] after taking the homotopy category. We write $\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{X})$ for the image of $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X})$ in $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that k admits resolution of singularities. Let F be a Nisnevich sheaf on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}$ which has cohomological cube invariance. Suppose that for any $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$ and any smooth closed subscheme $Z \subset |D_{\mathcal{X}}|$ which has simple normal crossings with $|D_{\mathcal{X}}|$, the morphism*

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(\text{Bl}_Z X, F_{\text{Bl}_Z \mathcal{X}})$$

is an isomorphism. Then there is an object $\mathbf{F} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}$ such that for $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$, there is an isomorphism

$$\text{map}_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}}(\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{F}) \simeq \text{R}\Gamma(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

Proof. We define \mathbf{F} to be the image of F in $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}$. We prove that this object has the required property. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{ls}}$. By [Kah+21a, Theorem 4.6.3] we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{Sh}_{\text{Nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor})}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X}), F) \simeq \text{colim}_{\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}} \text{H}^i(Y, F_{\mathcal{Y}})$$

where the colimit is taken over all proper minimal ambient morphisms $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $f^\circ: Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ is an isomorphism. Since k is assumed to satisfy (RS2), it follows from our assumption that the last term is isomorphic to $H^i(X, F_{\mathcal{X}})$. Therefore the canonical morphism

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(\underline{\mathrm{MCor}}))}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathcal{X}), F)$$

is an equivalence. To show that the right hand side is isomorphic to $\mathrm{map}_{\underline{\mathrm{MDM}}^{\mathrm{eff}}}(\underline{\mathrm{M}}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{F})$, it suffices to show that F is cube-local, that is, the morphism

$$\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(\underline{\mathrm{MCor}}))}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathcal{Y}), F) \rightarrow \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(\underline{\mathrm{MCor}}))}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \overline{\square}), F)$$

is an equivalence for any $\mathcal{Y} \in \underline{\mathrm{MCor}}$. By (RS1), we may assume that $\mathcal{Y} \in \underline{\mathrm{MCor}}^{\mathrm{ls}}$. Then this morphism can be identified with $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(Y, F_{\mathcal{Y}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, F_{\mathcal{Y} \otimes \overline{\square}})$, so the claim follows from the cohomological cube invariance. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.6. The sheaf $\underline{\mathrm{M}}\Omega^q$ (resp. $\underline{\mathrm{M}}\mathrm{W}_n$) has cohomological cube invariance by Lemma 4.6 (resp. Lemma 4.14). It also satisfies the condition of Lemma 5.3 by Corollary 4.7 (resp. Corollary 1.5). Therefore the claim follows from Lemma 5.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [BGT10] Andrew Blumberg, David Gepner, and Gonalo Tabuada. *A universal characterization of higher algebraic K-theory*. In: *Geometry & Topology* 17 (Jan. 2010). DOI: [10.2140/gt.2013.17.733](https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2013.17.733).
- [BK16] Luca Barbieri-Viale and Bruno Kahn. *On the derived category of 1-motives*. Vol. 381. Asterisque. Societe Mathematique de France, 2016.
- [BRS] Federico Binda, Kay Rilling, and Shuji Saito. *On the cohomology of reciprocity sheaves*. arXiv:2010.03301, to appear in Forum of Math. Sigma.
- [Kah+21a] Bruno Kahn et al. *Motives with modulus, I: Modulus sheaves with transfers for non-proper modulus pairs*. In: *Épjournal Géom. Algébrique* 5 (2021), Art. 1, 46. DOI: [10.1137/19m1272494](https://doi.org/10.1137/19m1272494). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1137/19m1272494>.
- [Kah+21b] Bruno Kahn et al. *Motives with modulus, II: Modulus sheaves with transfers for proper modulus pairs*. In: *Épjournal de Géométrie Algébrique* Volume 5 (Jan. 2021). DOI: [10.46298/epiga.2021.volume5.5980](https://doi.org/10.46298/epiga.2021.volume5.5980).
- [Kah+22] Bruno Kahn et al. *Motives with modulus, III: The categories of motives*. In: *Annals of K-Theory* 7.1 (2022), pp. 119–178. DOI: [10.2140/akt.2022.7.119](https://doi.org/10.2140/akt.2022.7.119). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/akt.2022.7.119>.
- [Kat89] Kazuya Kato. *Swan conductors for characters of degree one in the imperfect residue field case*. In: *Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987)*. Vol. 83. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 101–131.
- [KMa] Shane Kelly and Hiroyasu Miyazaki. *Hodge cohomology with a ramification filtration, I*. arXiv:2306.06838.
- [KMb] Shane Kelly and Hiroyasu Miyazaki. *Hodge cohomology with a ramification filtration, II*. arXiv:2306.06864.
- [KMc] Junnosuke Koizumi and Hiroyasu Miyazaki. *A motivic construction of the de Rham-Witt complex*. arXiv:2301.05846.
- [KM21] Shane Kelly and Hiroyasu Miyazaki. *Modulus sheaves with transfers*. 2021. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.2106.12837](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2106.12837). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.12837>.
- [KSY16] Bruno Kahn, Shuji Saito, and Takao Yamazaki. *Reciprocity sheaves (with two appendices by Kay Rilling)*. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 152.9 (2016), pp. 1851–1898. DOI: [10.1112/S0010437X16007466](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X16007466).
- [RS21] Kay Rilling and Shuji Saito. *Reciprocity sheaves and their ramification filtrations*. In: *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu* (2021), pp. 1–74. DOI: [10.1017/S1474748021000074](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748021000074).
- [RS22] Kay Rilling and Shuji Saito. *Ramification theory for reciprocity sheaves, III, Abbes-Saito formula*. 2022. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.2204.10637](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2204.10637). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.10637>.
- [Sai20] Shuji Saito. *Purity of reciprocity sheaves*. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 366 (2020), p. 107067. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2020.107067>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001870820107067>.
- [Tan17] Hiromu Tanaka. *Vanishing theorems of Kodaira type for Witt canonical sheaves*. In: *Selecta Mathematica* 28 (2017).

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO
153-8914, JAPAN
Email address: jkoizumi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp