

THE BRAUER-MANIN OBSTRUCTION ON ALGEBRAIC STACKS

CHANG LV AND HAN WU

ABSTRACT. For algebraic stacks over a number field, we define their Brauer-Manin pairings, Brauer-Manin sets, and extend the descent theory of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc. By extending Sansuc's exact sequence, we show the torsionness of Brauer groups of stacks that are locally quotients of varieties by connected groups. With mild assumptions, for stacks that are locally quotients or Deligne-Mumford, we show that the Brauer-Manin obstruction coincides with some other cohomological obstructions such as obstructions given by torsors under connected groups or abelian gerbes, generalizing Harari's original results. For Brauer-Manin sets on these stacks, we show the properties such as descent along a torsor, product preservation are still correct. These results extend classical theories of those on varieties.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Points, obstructions and torsors on algebraic stacks	2
3. Calculation of Brauer groups	5
4. Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing	7
5. Comparison to some other cohomological obstructions	9
6. Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor	11
7. Brauer-Manin set under a product	12
Acknowledgment	14
References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that X is a variety over a number field k , and $\mathrm{Br} X = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is the (cohomological) Brauer-Grothendieck group of X [Gro68]. We have the Brauer-Manin pairing (see, for example, [Poo17, 8.2])

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k) \times \mathrm{Br} X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

$$((x_v)_v, A) \mapsto \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \mathrm{inv}_v A(x_v),$$

Date: August 1, 2023.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14F22, 14A20; secondary 14F20.

Key words and phrases. Brauer-Manin obstruction, algebraic stacks.

C. Lv is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China NSFC Grant No. 11701552. H. Wu is partially supported by NSFC Grant No. 12071448.

where \mathbf{A}_k (resp. Ω_k) is the adèle (resp. the set of all places) of k , inv_v is the invariant map at v , and $-(x_v)$ is the pullback functor $x_v^* : \text{Br } X \rightarrow \text{Br } k_v$. Under this pairing, the Brauer-Manin set (obstruction) is defined to be the subset $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ orthogonal to the whole $\text{Br } X$, and plays an important role in judging the local-global principle.

As the development of moduli theory, some geometric objects, other than schemes were used to enlarge the category of schemes. Artin [Art70], Deligne and Mumford [DM69] defined algebraic stacks, and used them to explain some moduli problems. The arithmetic of these objects are of their own interest. Given an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} over \mathcal{O}_K , we say \mathcal{X} violates the *local-global principle for integral points* if $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in \Omega_K$, whereas $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \emptyset$. Counterexamples to local-global principle for integral points are given in [DG95], [BP20], [WL22]. Bhargava and Poonen [BP20] proved that for any stacky curve over \mathcal{O}_K of genus less than $1/2$, it satisfies local-global principle for integral points. Furthermore, Christensen [Chr20] extended the adèlic topology to the set $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$, and proved that for any stacky curve over \mathcal{O}_K of genus less than $1/2$, it satisfies strong approximation. More recently, Santens [San22] showed that the Brauer-Manin obstruction to strong approximation is the only one for every stacky curve over a global field with finite abelian fundamental group.

In this paper, we consider the Brauer-Manin obstruction on algebraic stacks over a number field. In Section 2, we introduce points, cohomological obstructions and torsors on algebraic stacks. In Section 3, by extending Sansuc's exact sequence, we calculate the Brauer group of stacks that are quotients of varieties by connected groups, which contains almost all geometric objects appearing in moduli spaces. As a consequence, we also show that the Brauer groups of some locally quotient stacks are still torsion, extending the classical results on smooth varieties. In Section 4, for general algebraic stack we establish the descent theory of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [CTS87] and define the Brauer-Manin pairing for stacks. In Section 5, we show that with mild assumptions, for stacks that are locally quotients or Deligne-Mumford, the Brauer-Manin obstruction coincides with some other cohomological obstructions such as obstructions given by torsors under connected groups or abelian gerbes, which was originally proved by Harari [Har02] for varieties. In Section 6, we generalize some results on descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor under a connected group. In Section 7, we prove that in the same cases as in Section 5, the Brauer-Manin set of product stacks equals the product of the Brauer-Manin sets of stacks. These results extend classical theories of those on varieties. The main technique for extending them is cohomological descent.

2. POINTS, OBSTRUCTIONS AND TORSORS ON ALGEBRAIC STACKS

Let us briefly introduce basic notions and facts used in this paper, mainly for cohomological obstructions to local-global principle on algebraic stacks, under the framework [Lv21, Sec. 2] but in a slightly modified way.

For a commutative ring A , we also write A for $\text{Spec } A$. Let S be a scheme, \mathcal{X} an algebraic stack over S , in the sense of [Sta22, Tag 026O]. Denote by Chp/S the 2-category of algebraic stacks over S .

2.1. Points and obstructions. Let k be a number field, R a commutative k -algebra, \mathcal{X} an algebraic stack over k . The R -points of \mathcal{X} is the set of isomorphism classes of objects in the groupoid $\text{Hom}_{\text{Chp}/k}(R, \mathcal{X})$ denoted by $\mathcal{X}(R)$. Let $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}(k)$) be the *adèlic points* (resp. *rational points*) of \mathcal{X} .

Definition 2.1. Let $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor from a 2-category to an ordinary category. We say that F is *stable* if F forgets 2-morphisms, and for any 1-morphisms f and g of \mathbb{C} that is 2-isomorphic, we have $F(f) = F(g)$. When \mathcal{D} is viewed as a 2-category, this is equivalent to say that, F is a 2-functor.

For a stable functor $F : (\mathbb{C}hp/k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ and $A \in F(\mathcal{X})$, as the classical case for varieties in Poonen [Poo17, 8.1.1], one may also define the *obstruction given by A* to be the subset $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A$ of $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ whose elements are characterized by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid A(x) \in \text{im } F(q)\},$$

(which is well-defined by stability of F), and the *F -set* (or *F -obstruction*) to be the subset $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F$ whose elements are characterized by

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F = \bigcap_{A \in F(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid \text{im } F(x) \subseteq \text{im } F(q)\}.$$

Then we have the map induced by q ,

$$\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Remark 2.3. If \mathcal{X} is represented by a scheme, then these definitions coincide with the classical ones. But in general, the map $\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is not necessary injective. For example, let G be a linear k -group. Then

$$\ker(BG(k) \rightarrow BG(\mathbf{A}_k)) = \ker(H^1(k, G) \rightarrow \check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathbf{A}_k, G)) = \text{III}^1(G/k)$$

does not necessarily vanish.

Anyway, by abusing notation we may let $\mathcal{X}(k)$ be its image in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$. Thus we may write $\mathcal{X}(k) \subset \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F$.

2.2. Cohomology on algebraic stacks and cohomological obstructions. For any $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}hp/S$ where S is a scheme, denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\text{ét}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{\text{fppf}}$) the site with topology inherited from the big étale site $(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{ét}}$ (resp. the big fppf site $(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{fppf}}$), cf. [Sta22, Tags 067N and 06TP].

Let $\tau \in \{\text{ét}, \text{fppf}\}$ and $f : \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a 1-morphism in $\mathbb{C}hp/S$. Then compositing with f gives the functor $f^* : PSh(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow PSh(\mathcal{T}')$, which has a right adjoint f_* . Both f^* and f_* send τ -sheaves to τ -sheaves, and define a morphism of topoi

$$f = (f_*, f^*) : Sh(\mathcal{T}') \rightarrow Sh(\mathcal{T}),$$

which is also a pair of adjoint functors with Sh replaced by Ab (or $SGrp$ the category of sheaves of groups) and is compatible with the classical case when \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' are represented by S -schemes, cf. [Sta22, Tags 06TS and 075J].

We have an adjoint pair

$$(2.4) \quad (f^*, Rf_*) : D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{T}') \rightleftarrows D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{T})$$

between derived categories of complexes of Cartesian τ -sheaves, c.f. [Sta22, Tag 073S].

Remark 2.5. By [LZ17, Rmk. 5.3.10], for $\tau = \text{ét}$, (2.4) is equivalent to $(f^*, f_*) : D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{T}'_{\text{lis-ét}}) \rightleftarrows D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{lis-ét}})$.

We also have

$$R\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, -) : D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{T}_\tau) \rightarrow D(\text{Ab})$$

Then we have the cohomology functors

$$H^i(\mathcal{T}_\tau, -) : \text{Ab}(\mathcal{T}_\tau) \rightarrow \text{Ab}.$$

We will write $H_\tau^i(\mathcal{T}, -) = H^i(\mathcal{T}_\tau, -)$. For $T \in \text{Sch}/S$, $H_\tau^i(T, -)$ recovers the τ -cohomology for schemes over S . See [Sta22, Tag 075F].

Now we consider the case where $S = k$ a number field, and fix $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Ab}((\text{Sch}/k)_\tau)$ and let $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{T}$ be the pullback of \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{T} . Then the functor $H^i(-, \mathcal{G}_-) : (\text{Chp}/k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is stable (c.f. [Lv21, 2.29]). If there is no other confusion, we simply write $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Ab}(\mathcal{T}_\tau)$ for $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{T}$. Thus we can take $F = H^i(-, \mathcal{G})$ in 2.1 and obtain $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})}$, the $H^i(-, \mathcal{G})$ -set.

Example 2.6 (The Brauer-Manin obstruction). The cohomological Brauer-Grothendieck group is also defined for any algebraic stack \mathcal{T} over S . It is the étale cohomology group $\text{Br } \mathcal{T} := H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathcal{T}})$, where $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathcal{T}}$ is the pullback sheaf of $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ to \mathcal{T} , represented by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S} \times_S \mathcal{T}$. When \mathcal{T} is a scheme, this agrees with the cohomological Brauer-Grothendieck group. The Brauer group of a stack is considered, for example, by Bertolin and Galluzzi [BG19, Thm. 3.4], and Zahnd [Zah03, 4.3]. Let $S = k$ and $F = \text{Br} = H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, \mathbf{G}_m)$, we obtain the *Brauer-Manin set* $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$. If \mathcal{X} is represented by a scheme, it coincides with the usual Brauer-Manin set.

2.3. Torsors over algebraic stacks. Fix an base scheme S . Let $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Chp}/S$ and \mathcal{G} an sheaf of group on \mathcal{X}_τ , where $\tau \in \{\text{ét}, \text{fppf}\}$.

Definition 2.7. A \mathcal{G} -torsor over \mathcal{X}_τ is a sheaf \mathcal{Y} on \mathcal{X}_τ acted on by \mathcal{G} , such that

$$\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y},$$

denoted by $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X}_\tau$. A morphisms between \mathcal{G} -torsors over \mathcal{X}_τ is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant morphism of sheaves, which is necessarily an isomorphism.

We call \mathcal{Y} *trivial* if it has a global section (or equivalently, $\mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{G}$ as \mathcal{G} -torsor over \mathcal{X}_τ).

Denote the groupoid of all \mathcal{G} -torsor over \mathcal{X}_τ by $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_\tau, \mathcal{G})$. The isomorphism class of torsors makes $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_\tau, \mathcal{G})_{/\cong}$ a pointed set whose neutral element correspondences to the class of trivial torsors.

By [Ols16, Ex. 2.H], we may assume \mathcal{X} is an object of \mathcal{X}_τ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence (c.f. Giraud [Gir71, III.3.6.5 (5)]) of pointed sets

$$(2.8) \quad \text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_\tau, \mathcal{G})_{/\cong} \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{H}_\tau^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G}).$$

In the case of $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Ab}(\mathcal{X}_\tau)$, we have a bijection (c.f. [Gir71, IV.3.4.2 (i)])

$$(2.9) \quad \check{H}_\tau^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\tau^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G}),$$

which maps the neutral element to 0 and is functorial both in \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{G} .

Since in particular a sheaf \mathcal{Y} on \mathcal{X}_τ is a stack over \mathcal{X}_τ , one obtain a morphism of stacks $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ over S (c.f. [Sta22, Tag 09WX]). If $f : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism of algebraic S -stacks, then obviously the pullback $\mathcal{Y}_\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}$ is also a \mathcal{G} -torsor. It is the pullback sheaf of \mathcal{Y} under $f^* : \text{Sh}(\mathcal{X}_\tau) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathcal{Z}_\tau)$. This operation corresponds to the cohomological pullback

$$f^* : \check{H}_\tau^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \check{H}_\tau^1(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{G}).$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let G be an S -group scheme (viewed as a sheaf of group on \mathcal{X}_τ by pullback). Then any $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_\tau, G)$ is in Chp/S*

In particular, $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_\tau, G)$ if and only if $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathcal{Y}/G]$ is the quotient stack.

Proof. We imitate the proof from a note of M. Olsson. To verify the representability of the diagonal $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_S \mathcal{Y}$, we first show the representability of $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}$. Let $p_1 \times p_2 : T \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism from an S -scheme. One checks that the fiber product $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}} T$ fits into the 2-Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (p_1 \times \text{id}_T) \times (p_2 \times \text{id}_T) \\ \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} T & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & (\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} T) \times_{\mathcal{X}} (\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} T). \end{array}$$

Note that $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} T \rightarrow T$ has a section (for example, $p_1 \times \text{id}_T$) as a G -torsor over T_τ . Thus it is a trivial torsor in $\text{Tors}(T_\tau, G)$ and in particular $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} T \cong G \times_S T$ is a scheme. It follows that \mathcal{Z} is in Esp/S (the category of algebraic S -spaces) and $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}$ is representable. Also $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_S \mathcal{Y}$ is representable since it is a base change of the diagonal $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{X}$. It follows that the diagonal $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_S \mathcal{Y}$ is representable since it is the composition $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_S \mathcal{Y}$.

To obtain an atlas of \mathcal{Y} , one note that \mathcal{Y} is locally trivial (c.f. [Gir71, 1.4.1.1]). Then there is a covering $\{U_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}\}$ in \mathcal{X}_τ (where we may assume U_i are schemes) such that $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} U_i \cong G \times_S U_i$. Thus $Y = \coprod_i G \times_S U_i$ is a scheme and $Y \cong \coprod_i \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} U_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a fppf atlas for \mathcal{Y} . It follows by [Sta22, Tag 06DC] that $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Chp}/S$. \square

In the following, if not otherwise explained, a \mathcal{G} -torsor over \mathcal{X} means a \mathcal{G} -torsor over $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$.

For an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} over k and a linear k -group G , *contracted products (resp. twists)* of G -torsors over \mathcal{X} can also be defined. See [Lv21, 3.24 (resp. 3.30)].

Let $f : \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{X}$ be a torsor. Since the functor $\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G) : (\text{Chp}/k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is also stable by [Lv21, 3.14], we may define $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^f \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ to be the obstruction set given by $[\mathcal{Y}]$ (c.f. (2.2)). It contains $\mathcal{X}(k)$ and satisfies

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^f = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)),$$

where $f_\sigma : \mathcal{Y}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \in \text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}, G_\sigma)$ is the twist of \mathcal{Y} by σ . See [Lv21, 3.20] for a proof.

Again, if \mathcal{X} is a k -scheme, the definitions and facts of this subsection coincide with classical ones.

3. CALCULATION OF BRAUER GROUPS

Many important algebraic stacks can be realized as quotients of schemes by group actions. In this section, we calculate their Brauer groups.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Then any algebraic k -group is smooth.

3.1. Sansuc's exact sequence. Sansuc [San81, Prop. 6.10] gave an exact sequence, and now we generalize it to quotient stacks.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a k -variety and G a connected k -group acting on X . Let $\mathcal{Y} = [X/G]$ be the quotient stack, i.e., $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a G -torsor over an algebraic stack \mathcal{Y} (see Lemma 2.10). Let $U = \mathbf{G}_m/k^\times$, $\text{Pic} = H_{\text{ét}}^1(-, \mathbf{G}_m) \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}/k)$, where k^\times is the constant presheaf. Then we have an exact sequence*

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow U \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} U X \rightarrow U G \rightarrow \text{Pic } \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Pic } X \rightarrow \text{Pic } G \rightarrow \text{Br } \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Br } X \xrightarrow{\rho^* - p_2^*} \text{Br}(G \times_k X),$$

where $\rho, p_2 : G \times_k X \rightarrow X$ is the action of G and the projection to X , respectively.

Proof. Let X_\bullet be a Čech nerve of X/\mathcal{Y} . Note that for $n \geq 0$, X_n is equivalent to the $(n+1)$ -fold 2-fibred product $X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \cdots \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X = X \times_k G^n$, which is a k -variety. Then [San81, Lem. 6.12] still works in our case and its assumptions verify for $F = U$ and Pic. Now for any $\mathcal{F} \in Sh(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{ét}})$, since $X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is smooth surjective, by a consequence of cohomological descent, there is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H_{\text{ét}}^q(X_p, \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}),$$

whose E_2 -page is

$$(3.3) \quad E_2^{p,q} = \check{H}^p(X/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}).$$

See (1) of [Sta22, Tag 06XJ]. Taking $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{G}_m$ we obtain the corresponding seven-term exact sequence.

Then the remaining argument is the same as Sansuc's original one (c.f. [San81, p. 45]). \square

Remark 3.4. If in addition G is linear, we have $U(G) = \hat{G}(k)$ as in [San81, Prop. 6.10].

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a connected k -group. Then we have $UBG = 0$, $\text{Pic } BG = UG$ and a splitting short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Pic } G \rightarrow \text{Br } BG \rightarrow \text{Br } k \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Take $X = k$ in Theorem 3.1 and note that the atlas $k \rightarrow BG$ is a section of the structure morphism $BG \rightarrow k$. \square

In general for a torsor $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{Y}$ where $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Chp}/k$ and G a smooth k -group, let $\rho, p_2 : \mathcal{X} \times_k G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be as in Theorem 3.1, and $p_1 : \mathcal{X} \times_k G \rightarrow G$ be the projection to G . Following [Cao18, Def. 3.1], we may also define the *invariant Brauer subgroup* to be

$$\text{Br}_G \mathcal{X} = \{b \in \text{Br } \mathcal{X} \mid \rho^* b - p_2^* b \in p_1^* \text{Br } G\}$$

The next statement extends [Cao18, Thm. 3.10].

Corollary 3.6. *Notation as in Theorem 3.1, we have a exact subsequence of (3.2)*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic } X & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic } G & \longrightarrow & \text{Br } \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}_G X & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}_e(G) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Br}_a G \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1^* & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic } X & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic } G & \longrightarrow & \text{Br } \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Br } X & \xrightarrow{\rho^* - p_2^*} & \text{Br}(G \times_k X), & & \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Br}_1(-) &= \ker(\text{Br}(-) \rightarrow \text{Br}(- \times_k \bar{k})), \\ \text{Br}_a(-) &= \text{coker}(\text{Br } k \rightarrow \text{Br}_1(-)), \\ \text{Br}_e(G) &= \ker(\text{Br}_1 G \xrightarrow{e_G^*} \text{Br } k), \end{aligned}$$

and the right square is Cartesian.

Proof. Since X and G are schemes, we have $\text{Br}_a(G \times_k X) \cong \text{Br}_a G \oplus \text{Br}_a(X)$ and $\text{Br}_a G \cong \text{Br}_e G$. It follows that $p_1^* \text{Br}_e G \rightarrow \text{Br}_a(G \times_k X)$ is injective (c.f. [Cao18, 3.2]). Then we use [Cao18, Prop. 3.7] to modify (3.2). \square

3.2. Torsionness of the Brauer group.

Lemma 3.7 (Restriction-Corestriction). *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a finite étale morphism of degree n between algebraic stacks and $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Ab}(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{ét}})$. Then the composition*

$$(3.8) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{f^*} H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathcal{X}, f^* \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F})$$

is the map of multiplication by n .

Proof. The first map of (3.8) is induced by adjunction $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow Rf_* f^* \mathcal{F}$, and the second one is induced by the trace map $Rf_* f^* \mathcal{F} = f_! f^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. The composition of them is the multiplication by n map. The result follows by taking cohomology. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Assume k is algebraically closed. Let X be a smooth k -variety and G a linear k -group acting on X , $\mathcal{Y} = [X/G]$ the quotient stack. Then $\text{Br } \mathcal{Y}$ is torsion.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, we may assume G is connected. Since X is smooth, $\text{Br } X$ is torsion (c.f. [Gro68, II, Prop. 1.4]). Also G is linear over algebraically closed field, so $\text{Pic } G = 0$. Note that G is connected, the result follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow k$ be in Chp/k . Denote $\bar{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X} \times_k \bar{k}$. Then $R\Gamma(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, K) \cong Rp_* K$ for any $K \in D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}})$.*

Proof. By cohomological descent, we may assume \mathcal{X} is a scheme. Then it is classical. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow k$ be in Chp/k . If $\text{Br } \bar{\mathcal{X}}$ is torsion, then so is $\text{Br } \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.10, the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(k, R^q p_* \mathbf{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^p(k, H_{\text{ét}}^q(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbf{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{G}_m)$$

yields exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(k, H_{\text{ét}}^0(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbf{G}_m)) &\rightarrow \text{Br}_1 \mathcal{X} \rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Pic } \bar{\mathcal{X}}), \\ 0 &\rightarrow \text{Br}_1 \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Br } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (\text{Br } \bar{\mathcal{X}})^{\Gamma_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X} \times_k \bar{k}$ and $\Gamma_k = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Since all Galois cohomology groups are torsion, that $\text{Br } \bar{\mathcal{X}}$ is torsion implies $\text{Br } \mathcal{X}$ is torsion. \square

Corollary 3.12. *Let \mathcal{X} be a regular Noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack, or an algebraic stack over k which can be covered by finitely many open substacks $[X_i/G_i]$ where X_i is a smooth k -variety and G_i a linear k -group acting on X_i . Then $\text{Br } \mathcal{X}$ is torsion.*

Proof. The case that \mathcal{X} is regular Noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack follows from [AM20, Prop 2.5 (iii)]. We now show the other case. By Mayer-Vietoris sequence for étale cohomology (c.f. [Sta22, Tag 0A50], which is also correct for \mathcal{X} being an algebraic stack) it suffices to assume that $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$. Also by Lemma 3.11, we may assume k is algebraically closed. Then the result follows from Lemma 3.9. \square

4. DESCENT THEORY AND THE BRAUER-MANIN PAIRING

Throughout this section, let $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow k$ be an algebraic stack over a number field k . The following results extend the ones of [HS13, Thm. 8.1], which is originally the descent theory of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc in [CTS87].

4.1. Fundamental exact sequence for groups of multiplicative type. Consider

$$Rp_* : D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow D(k_{\text{ét}}) \cong D(k)$$

where $D(k)$ is the derived category of complexes of k -modules. Let S be a k -group of multiplicative type. Then its Cartier dual \hat{S} is a finitely generated k -module. Let $\text{KD}'(\mathcal{X})$ be the cone of $\mathbf{G}_m[1] \rightarrow Rp_*\mathbf{G}_m[1]$ in $D(k)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Then we have the fundamental exact sequence*

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(k, S) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^1_{\text{fppf}}(\mathcal{X}, S) \xrightarrow{\chi} \text{Hom}_{D(k)}(\hat{S}, \text{KD}'(\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^2(k, S) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^2_{\text{fppf}}(\mathcal{X}, S),$$

where the map χ is the extended type.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of [HS13, Thm. 8.1], except that we must show that $H^p_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{G}_m) = H^p_{\text{fppf}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is still valid for \mathcal{X} being an algebraic stack. But this is standard. By cohomological descent (for example, using spectral sequence (3.3) in both étale and fppf topology for an atlas $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of \mathcal{X}), we reduce this to the case where \mathcal{X} is a scheme, which is clear since \mathbf{G}_m is smooth as group scheme. See [Mil80, III, Thm. 3.9]. \square

Remark 4.3. By (2.8) and (2.9) $H^1_{\text{fppf}}(\mathcal{X}, S)$ classifies S -torsor over \mathcal{X} , and the map χ associate a torsor class $[Y]$ to its extended type. The first three terms of the fundamental exact sequence shows that two torsors have the same extended type if and only if they are isomorphic up to a twist.

4.2. Descent. Let $a \in H^1(k, \hat{S})$. As shown in the above proof, for \mathcal{X} being an algebraic stack over k , the diagram in [Lv22, Rkm. 2.7 (2)] is still correct. We shall use a part of this diagram

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathcal{X}, S) & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \text{Hom}_{D(k)}(\hat{S}, \text{KD}'(\mathcal{X})) \\ \downarrow p^*(a) \cup - & & \downarrow a \cup - \\ \text{Br}_1 \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{r} & H^1(k, \text{KD}'(\mathcal{X})). \end{array}$$

Let $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an S -torsor. Define $\lambda = \chi([f])$, and

$$\text{Br}_\lambda \mathcal{X} = r^{-1}(\lambda_*(H^1(k, \hat{S}))) \subseteq \text{Br}_1 \mathcal{X}.$$

Proposition 4.5. *We have $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^f = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_\lambda}$.*

Proof. Use (4.4) for the stack \mathcal{X} . Then the original proof of [HS13, 8.12] is still valid. \square

4.3. The Brauer-Manin pairing. Suppose that \mathcal{X} is of finite type over k . Then there exists a finite set of places S , such that \mathcal{X} extends to an *integral model* \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{X} over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ (that is, a $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ -stack \mathcal{X} such that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{k,S}} k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}$, and this can be shown by looking at a smooth groupoid presentation of \mathcal{X}). Moreover, by [Chr20, Sec. 13], we have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \cong \text{colim}_{\text{finite } S' \supseteq S} \left(\prod_{v \in S'} \mathcal{X}(k_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S'} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v) \right).$$

In particular, any $x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ can be viewed as $(x_v)_v \in \prod_{v \in S'} \mathcal{X}(k_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S'} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ for some finite $S' \supseteq S$. Then one can imitate the proof of [Poo17, 8.2.1], to show that the *Brauer-Manin*

pairing for \mathcal{X}

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle_{\text{BM}} : \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \times \text{Br } \mathcal{X} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \\ ((x_v)_v, A) &\mapsto \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v A(x_v), \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined, and the Brauer-Manin set $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ coincides with the classical definition using $\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{BM}}$ since $\text{Br } \mathbf{A}_k \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \text{Br } k_v$ is an isomorphism.

Define

$$\text{B}\mathcal{X} = \ker(\text{Br}_a \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{Br}_a \mathcal{X}_v)$$

where $\mathcal{X}_v = \mathcal{X} \times_k k_v$. For $A \in \text{B}\mathcal{X}$ and $(x_v) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$, one checks that

$$\langle (x_v), A \rangle_{\text{BM}} = \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v A(x_v)$$

does not depend on the choice of (x_v) . Assuming $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$, we obtain a well-defined map $i = \langle (x_v), - \rangle_{\text{BM}} : \text{B}\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. This notation will be used in Subsection 7.2.

5. COMPARISON TO SOME OTHER COHOMOLOGICAL OBSTRUCTIONS

Let k be a number field. Harari [Har02] compared the Brauer-Manin obstruction of varieties with some other cohomological obstructions such as obstructions given by torsors under connected groups or abelian gerbes. In this section, we extend them to a class of algebraic stacks.

5.1. Descent and second descent obstruction on algebraic stacks. Since for a (resp. Commutative) k group G , $\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G)$ (resp. $H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, G)$) is stable, the following definitions also make sense.

Definition 5.1. The *descent obstruction* is

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{desc}} = \bigcap_{\text{linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G)}.$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{conn}} = \bigcap_{\text{connected linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G)},$$

and the *second descent obstruction* (c.f. [Lv21, 4.2], slightly modified here) is

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{2\text{-desc}} = \bigcap_{\text{commutative linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, G)}.$$

5.2. Comparison of obstructions.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a smooth algebraic k -stack of finite type that is either Deligne-Mumford or Zariski-locally quotient of k -variety by a linear k -group. Then*

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{2\text{-desc}}.$$

Proof. Since \mathbb{G}_m is a commutative group, we have $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{2\text{-desc}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$. We need to prove the other side $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{2\text{-desc}}$.

Since every commutative group is the product of groups of multiple type and some \mathbb{G}_a factors, and $H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_a) = H^2(k_v, \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$ for all places $v \in \Omega_k$, we have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{2\text{-desc}} = \bigcap_{\text{commutative linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, G)} = \bigcap_{k\text{-group } G \text{ of multiple type}} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, G)}.$$

For a divisible k -group S of multiple type, By Corollary 3.12, the group $H^2(\mathcal{X}, S)$ is torsion. Furthermore, for any fixed $f \in H^2(\mathcal{X}, S)$, there exists some positive integer n such that f lies in the image of $H^2(\mathcal{X}, nS) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}, S)$. Since every group of multiple type is the product of a finite group and a divisible group, we have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{2\text{-desc}} = \bigcap_{k\text{-group } G \text{ of multiple type}} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, G)} = \bigcap_{\text{finite commutative } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, G)}.$$

We assume that G is a finite abelian group over k . Let \hat{G} be the dual of G , and let $\hat{F} = H^0(k, \hat{G})$. Then $\hat{F} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/n_i\mathbb{Z}$ with integers $r > 0$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then $F \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mu_{n_i}$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^2(k, G) & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, F) & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m^r) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{v \in \Omega_k} H^2(k_v, G) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in \Omega_k} H^2(k_v, F) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in \Omega_k} H^2(k_v, \mathbb{G}_m^r) \\ \downarrow \sum j_v^G & & \downarrow \sum j_v^F & & \downarrow (\sum j_v)^r \\ H^0(k, \hat{G})^* = \hat{F}^* & = & H^0(k, \hat{F})^* = \hat{F}^* \hookrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathbb{Z}^r)^* = (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0. \end{array}$$

By the Poitou-Tate exact sequence ([Ser94] or [Mil06, Chapt. I 4.10]), every column of this diagram is exact. By taking a point $(p_v) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^2(\mathcal{X}, G) & \longrightarrow & H^2(\mathcal{X}, F) & \longrightarrow & H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{G}_m^r) \\ \downarrow (P_v) & & \downarrow (P_v) & & \downarrow (P_v) \\ \bigoplus_{v \in \Omega_k} H^2(k_v, G) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in \Omega_k} H^2(k_v, F) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in \Omega_k} H^2(k_v, \mathbb{G}_m^r) \\ \downarrow \sum j_v^G & & \downarrow \sum j_v^F & & \downarrow (\sum j_v)^r \\ H^0(k, \hat{G})^* = \hat{F}^* & = & H^0(k, \hat{F})^* = \hat{F}^* \hookrightarrow & H^0(k, \mathbb{Z}^r)^* = (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^r. \end{array}$$

Combining these two diagrams, we have $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H^2(\mathcal{X}, G)}$. Hence $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{2\text{-desc}}$. \square

Remark 5.3. The last part of this proof is essentially from [Har02, Prop. 1]. For the convenience of reading, we give the complete details.

Theorem 5.4. *Let X be an algebraic k -stack. Then*

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{conn}}.$$

Proof. The proofs of [Har02, Cor. 1 and Sec. 3] remain valid here and the result follows. \square

6. DESCENT FOR BRAUER-MANIN SET ALONG A TORSOR

In this section we extend the results on descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor of Cao [Cao18, Sec. 5] to allow the base space X to be a quotient stack.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{X} = [Y/G]$ where Y is a k -variety and G a connected linear k -group acting on Y . Let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the canonic map making Y a G -torsor over \mathcal{X} , and $f^* : \text{Br } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Br } Y$ the pullback. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\ker f^*} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)).$$

Proof. Choosing an embedding $\iota : G \hookrightarrow \text{SL}_n$ we obtain a canonic surjection $\pi : \text{SL}_n \rightarrow W := \text{SL}_n/G$. The pushforward of Y under the map $\iota_* : H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{X}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{X}, \text{SL}_n)$ is an SL_n -torsor $g : Z = Y \times^G \text{SL}_n \xrightarrow{\text{SL}_n} \mathcal{X}$ with a canonic map

$$p : Z \rightarrow Y \times^G W = X \times_k W \rightarrow W,$$

such that for all $w \in W(k)$, $p^{-1}(w) \cong Y \times^G \pi^{-1}(w) \cong Y_{\partial w}$ is a twist of Y , where $\partial : W(k) \rightarrow H^1(k, G)$ is the connecting map associated to $1 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{SL}_n \xrightarrow{\pi} W \rightarrow 1$.

Write $A = \ker f^*$. It is obvious that

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = g(Z(\mathbf{A}_k)^{g^*A}).$$

Thus to complete the proof, we only need to show that each $x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A$ lifts to $Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)$ for some $\sigma \in H^1(k, G)$. For this purpose, we use strong approximation of W with respect to Brauer-Manin obstruction, which states that

$$\pi(\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_k))W(k) = W(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}.$$

Claim that

$$p(Z(\mathbf{A}_k)^{g^*A} \subseteq W(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}.$$

Then for any $x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A$, by (6.2) there is $z \in Z(\mathbf{A}_k)^{g^*A}$ such that $x = g(z)$. Then by the claim we have

$$p(z) \in W(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \pi(\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_k))W(k).$$

It follows that $p(z) = \pi(s)w$ with some $s \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_k)$ and $w \in W(k)$. Letting $z' = s^{-1}z$ we know that $x = g(z) = g(z')$ and $p(z') = w$. Thus there exists $y \in p^{-1}(w)(\mathbf{A}_k) \cong Y_{\partial w}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ lifting z' and $f(y) = x$, which is the demanded result.

To justify the claim, we only need two facts:

- (i) $\text{Br } Z \cong \text{Br } \mathcal{X}$, which follows from Theorem 3.1 and $\text{Br}(\text{SL}_n \times_k Z) \cong \text{Br } Z$, and
- (ii) $\text{Br } W \rightarrow \text{Br } Z \rightarrow \text{Br } Y$ factor through $\text{Br } k$, which follows from $Y \cong Z \times_{W, 1_W} k$, i.e., $p^{-1}(1_W) \cong Y$.

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be as in Lemma 6.1. Assume that $\text{III}^1 G = 0$. Suppose $A \subseteq \text{Br } \mathcal{X}$ is a subgroup containing $\ker f^*$ and for each $\sigma \in H^1(k, G)$, we are given $B_\sigma \in \text{Br}_{G_\sigma} Y_\sigma$ such that $f_\sigma^{*-1} B_\sigma = A$. Then*

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{B_\sigma}).$$

Proof. Lemma 6.1 together with $A \supseteq \ker f^*$ implies that

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{f_\sigma^* A}).$$

It suffices to show that $Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{f_\sigma^* A} \subseteq Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{B_\sigma}$ and one may assume that σ is the neutral element. Using Corollary 3.6 and [Dem11, Thm. 5.1], the remaining argument is the same as [Cao18, Lem. 5.1]. \square

Theorem 6.4. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be as in Lemma 6.1. Then*

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{G_\sigma}(Y_\sigma)}).$$

Proof. By [Cao18, Prop. 5.6], one can find a resolution of G

$$1 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\psi} H \xrightarrow{\phi} T \rightarrow 0$$

such that $\text{III}^1 H = 0$ and $H^3(k, \hat{T}) = 0$. The pushforward of Y under the map $\psi_* : H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{X}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{X}, H)$ is an H -torsor $g : Z = Y \times^G H \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{X}$ with a canonic map

$$p : Z \rightarrow Y \times^G T = X \times_k T \rightarrow T.$$

Since $\text{III}^1 H = 0$, applying Lemma 6.3 to $g : Z \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{X}$ we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, H)} g_\sigma(Z_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{H_\sigma}(Z_\sigma)}).$$

Also $H^3(k, \hat{T}) = 0$ implies that $\text{Br}_a H \rightarrow \text{Br}_a G$ is surjective. It follows from [Cao18, Prop. 3.13] that $\text{Br}_H Z \rightarrow \text{Br}_G Y$ is also surjective. The remaining argument is similar as in Lemma 6.1. For each $x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A$, by (6.5), there is some $\sigma \in H^1(k, H)$ and $z \in Z_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{H_\sigma}(Z_\sigma)}$ such that $x = g_\sigma(z)$. We may assume σ is the neutral element in the sequel. By definition we have $p(Z(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_H(Z)}) \subseteq T(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$. Also [CDX19, Prop. 3.3] gives

$$T(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1} = \psi(H(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1})T(k).$$

It follows that $p(z) = \psi(h)t$ with some $h \in H(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$ and $t \in T(k)$. Letting $z' = s^{-1}z \in Z(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_H(Z)}$, we know that $x = g(z) = g(z')$ and $p(z') = t$. Thus putting $\tau = \partial t$, there exists $y \in p^{-1}(t)(\mathbf{A}_k) \cong Y_\tau(\mathbf{A}_k)$ lifting z' and $f(y) = x$. On the other hand, since $z' \in Z(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_H(Z)}$ and $\text{Br}_H Z \rightarrow \text{Br}_G Y$ is surjective, we also have $y \in Y_\tau(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{G_\tau} Y_\tau}$. This finishes the proof. \square

7. BRAUER-MANIN SET UNDER A PRODUCT

Let k be a number field. The product preservation property of Brauer-Manin set was first established by Skorobogatov and Zarhin [SZ14] for smooth geometrically integral projective k -varieties, and later by the first author [Lv20] for open ones. In this section we extend this result to a class of algebraic stacks over k .

Theorem 7.1. *The functor*

$$-(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} : \text{Chp}_1/k \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

preserves finite product, where $\text{Chp}_1/k \subset \text{Chp}/k$ is the full sub-2-category spanned by smooth algebraic k -stacks of finite type admitting separated and geometrically integral atlases X such that $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{B}} \neq \emptyset$, and is either Deligne-Mumford or Zariski-locally quotients of k -varieties by linear k -groups.

7.1. A variant of Künneth formula. Let Λ be a ring killed by some integer. For $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}hp/k$, let $D_{\text{ctf}}^b(\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda)$ be the full subcategory of $D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda)$ such that $f^*K \in D_{\text{ctf}}^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda)$ for every $K \in D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda)$ and every atlas $X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 7.2 (Künneth formula). *Let $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow k$ and $q : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow k$ be smooth algebraic stacks of finite type over a field k , $K \in D_{\text{ctf}}^b(\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda)$ and $L \in D_{\text{ctf}}^b(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda)$. Then we have*

$$Rp_*K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L Rq_*L \cong R(p \times q)_*(K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L L).$$

Proof. The proof is standard. By cohomological descent we may assume that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are in Esp/k and then in Sch/k , in which case it is classical (see for example, [Fu11, Cor. 9.3.5]). \square

Lemma 7.3 (Smooth base change). *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{Z} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

be a 2-Cartesian diagram in $\mathbb{C}hp/S$ where p is smooth. Then

$$p^*Rf_* \rightarrow Rg_*q^* : D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda) \rightarrow D_{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. In view of Remark 2.5, this is [LZ17, Cor. 0.1.5]. \square

7.2. The universal torsor of n -torsion. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}hp/k$. By cohomological descent, the $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -module $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mu_n)$ is finite as abelian group. Let $S_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the group of multiplicative type dual to it, that is, $\hat{S}_{\mathcal{X}} = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mu_n)$. Then as in [Cao20, p. 2156], we have a similar commutative diagram of distinguished triangles for \mathcal{X} . Also we have $H^0(\Delta_n) \cong \hat{S}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\tau_{\leq 0}\Delta = \text{KD}'(\mathcal{X})$. Then one also defines the *universal torsor of n -torsion* of \mathcal{X} to be an inverse image of $\tau_{\leq 0}(\Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta) : \hat{S}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 0}\Delta$ under χ (see (4.2) with $S = S_{\mathcal{X}}$).

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose that \mathcal{X}/k admitting an atlas X which is a smooth geometrically integral k -variety and satisfies $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{B}} \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\chi : H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{X}, S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D(k)}(\hat{S}, \text{KD}'(\mathcal{X}))$$

in (4.2) is surjective.

Proof. We assume first $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow k$ is in Esp/k . Let $X_{\bullet} : \Delta_+^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Sch/k$ be a Čech nerve of $X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and denote by $p_{\bullet} : X_{\bullet} \rightarrow k$ the composed map. Since $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{B}} \neq \emptyset$, by functoriality, we obtain a simplicial set

$$X_{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{B}} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Set$$

which is nonempty. It follows from [HS13, Cor. 8.17] that $p_n^* : H^2(k, S) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppf}}^2(X_n, S)$ is injective for all $n \geq 0$. Let $q_1, q_2 : X_1 = X \times_{\mathcal{X}} X \rightarrow X$ be the two projections. Since $p_0q_1 = p_0q_2$, the injection $p_1^* : H^2(k, S) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppf}}^2(X_1, S)$ factors through $q_1^* - q_2^* : H_{\text{fppf}}^2(X, S) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppf}}^2(X_1, S)$ so that we obtain an injection

$$H^2(k, S) \rightarrow \ker(q_1^* - q_2^*) = \check{H}^0(\mathcal{H}_{\text{fppf}}^2(S)).$$

But this is the composition

$$H^2(k, S) \xrightarrow{p^*} H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\mathcal{X}, S) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{H}_{\text{fppf}}^2(S))$$

where the second map is the edge map $E^2 \rightarrow E_2^{0,2}$ of the spectral sequence of cohomological descent (3.3) with $\mathcal{F} = S$. It follows that p^* is also injective, i.e., χ is surjective. Thus we may assume \mathcal{X} is a smooth geometrically integral k variety.

Now let \mathcal{X} be in Chp/k , repeating the first reduction step we may then assume \mathcal{X} is a smooth geometrically integral k variety. The result follows from the original result for varieties [HS13, Cor. 8.17]. \square

By Lemma 7.4, if \mathcal{X} (resp. \mathcal{Y}) satisfies the assumptions, then there exists a universal torsor of n -torsion $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{X}$ of \mathcal{X} (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Y}} \xrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{Y}}} \mathcal{Y}$ of \mathcal{Y}). Then the homomorphism introduced by Skorobogatov and Zarhin (c.f. [Cao23, (1-4)]) can also be defined, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon' : \text{Hom}_k(S_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} S_{\mathcal{Y}}, \mu_n) &\rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{Y}, \mu_n) \\ \phi &\mapsto \phi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}} \cup \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Y}}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.5. *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be algebraic k -stacks satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 7.4, Then we have isomorphisms of Γ_k -modules*

$$(7.6) \quad (p_1^*, p_2^*) : H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}, \mu_n) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\overline{k}} \overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mu_n),$$

$$(7.7) \quad (p_1^*, p_2^*, \epsilon') : H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{\mathcal{X}}, \mu_n) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mu_n) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\overline{k}}(S_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} S_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\overline{k}} \overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mu_n).$$

Proof. The original proof (c.f. [Cao23, Thm. 2.1]) is also available if we use lemmas of stacky version (Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3). \square

7.3. Proof of the product preserving property. Now we can prove Theorem 7.1. Note Chp_1/k is closed under products, we need only to verify that

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \times \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{A})^{\text{Br}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{Y})(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$$

for any $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \text{Chp}_1/k$. We go through the proofs in [SZ14, Sec. 5]. Since \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are smooth, of finite type, either Deligne-Mumford k -stacks or Zariski-locally quotients of k -varieties by linear k -groups, there Brauer groups are torsion by Corollary 3.12. Also, there exists a universal torsors of n -torsion $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{X}$ of \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Y}} \xrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{Y}}} \mathcal{Y}$ of \mathcal{Y} , since both \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 7.4. Then we use [SZ14, Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3] to finish the proof. The only non-trivial thing is to replace [SZ14, Cor. 2.8 (resp. Thm. 2.8)] by (7.6) (resp. (7.7)). The proof is complete.

Corollary 7.8. *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are stacks quotients of smooth geometrically integral k -varieties by connected linear k -groups. Then*

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \times \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{A})^{\text{Br}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{Y})(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$$

Proof. Combine Theorems 6.4 and 7.1. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Ye Tian, Weizhe Zheng, Dasheng Wei, Yang Cao, Shizhang Li and Junchao Shentu for helpful discussions. The work was partially done during the authors' visits to the Morningside Center of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. They thank the Center for its hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [AM20] Benjamin Antieau and Lennart Meier, *The Brauer group of the moduli stack of elliptic curves*, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), no. 9, 2295–2333. MR 4172709
- [Art70] M. Artin, *Algebraization of formal moduli. II. Existence of modifications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **91** (1970), 88–135. MR 260747
- [BG19] Cristiana Bertolin and Federica Galluzzi, *Gerbes and Brauer groups over stacks*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.01382v4 (2019).
- [BP20] M. Bhargava and B. Poonen, *The local-global principle for integral points on stacky curves*, Preprint, arXiv:2006.00167 [math.NT] (2020).
- [Cao18] Yang Cao, *Approximation forte pour les variétés avec une action d'un groupe linéaire*, Compos. Math. **154** (2018), no. 4, 773–819. MR 3778194
- [Cao20] ———, *Sous-groupe de Brauer invariant et obstruction de descente itérée*, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), no. 8, 2151–2183. MR 4172704
- [Cao23] ———, *Correction à l'article Sous-groupe de Brauer invariant et obstruction de descente itérée*, Algebra Number Theory **17** (2023), no. 1, 261–266. MR 4549285
- [CDX19] Yang Cao, Cyril Demarche, and Fei Xu, *Comparing descent obstruction and Brauer-Manin obstruction for open varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), no. 12, 8625–8650. MR 3955558
- [Chr20] Atticus Christensen, *A topology on points on stacks*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.10231 (2020).
- [CTS87] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Jean-Jacques Sansuc, *La descente sur les variétés rationnelles. II*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), no. 2, 375–492. MR 899402
- [Dem11] Cyril Demarche, *Le défaut d'approximation forte dans les groupes linéaires connexes*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **102** (2011), no. 3, 563–597. MR 2783137
- [DG95] Henri Darmon and Andrew Granville, *On the equations $z^m = F(x, y)$ and $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. **27** (1995), no. 6, 513–543. MR 1348707
- [DM69] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1969), no. 36, 75–109. MR 262240
- [Fu11] Lei Fu, *Étale cohomology theory*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 13, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011. MR 2791606
- [Gir71] Jean Giraud, *Cohomologie non abélienne*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 179. MR 0344253
- [Gro68] Alexander Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer. I-III*, Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 46–66, 67–87, 88–188.
- [Har02] David Harari, *Groupes algébriques et points rationnels*, Math. Ann. **322** (2002), no. 4, 811–826. MR 1905103
- [HS13] David Harari and Alexei N. Skorobogatov, *Descent theory for open varieties*, Torsors, étale homotopy and applications to rational points, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 405, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2013, pp. 250–279. MR 3077172
- [Lv20] Chang Lv, *A note on the Brauer group and the Brauer-Manin set of a product*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **52** (2020), no. 5, 932–941. MR 4171413
- [Lv21] ———, *The second descent obstruction and gerbes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.06915v2 (2021), 1–31.
- [Lv22] ———, *On commutative diagrams consisting of low term exact sequences*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.14386v2 (2022), 1–9.
- [LZ17] Yifeng Liu and Weizhe Zheng, *Enhanced six operations and base change theorem for higher Artin stacks*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.5948v3 (2017).
- [Mil80] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton Univ. Press, 1980.
- [Mil06] James Milne, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, BookSurge, 2006.
- [Ols16] Martin Olsson, *Algebraic spaces and stacks*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 62, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016. MR 3495343
- [Poo17] Bjorn Poonen, *Rational points on varieties*, vol. 186, American Mathematical Soc., 2017.
- [San81] J-J Sansuc, *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **327** (1981), 12–80.
- [San22] Tim Santens, *The Brauer-Manin obstruction for stacky curves*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.17184 (2022).
- [Ser94] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Galois cohomology, translated from the french by Patrick Ion*, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [Sta22] The Stacks project authors, *The stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2022.

- [SZ14] Alexei N. Skorobogatov and Yuri G. Zarhin, *The Brauer group and the Brauer-Manin set of products of varieties*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **16** (2014), no. 4, 749–768. MR 3191975
- [WL22] Han Wu and Chang Lv, *Genus one half stacky curves violating the local-global principle*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05810 (2022), 1–5.
- [Zah03] Stéphane Zahnd, *Descente de toseurs, gerbes et points rationnels*, Ph.D. thesis, Université des Sciences et Technologie de Lille-Lille I, 2003.

STATE KEY LABORATORY OF INFORMATION SECURITY, INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION ENGINEERING, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100093, P.R. CHINA

Email address: lvchang@amss.ac.cn

HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, NO. 368, FRIENDSHIP AVENUE, WUCHANG DISTRICT, WUHAN, HUBEI, 430062, P.R.CHINA.

Email address: wuhan90@mail.ustc.edu.cn