

Asymptotic analysis in multivariate worst case approximation with Gaussian kernels

A. A. Khartov^{1,2,3}, I. A. Limar^{2,3}

June 27, 2023

Abstract

We consider a problem of approximation of d -variate functions defined on \mathbb{R}^d which belong to the Hilbert space with tensor product-type reproducing Gaussian kernel with constant shape parameter. Within worst case setting, we investigate the growth of the information complexity as $d \rightarrow \infty$. The asymptotics are obtained for the case of fixed error threshold and for the case when it goes to zero as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

Keywords and phrases: multivariate approximation problems, worst case setting, Gaussian kernels, information-based complexity, asymptotic analysis, tractability.

1 Introduction and problem setting

We consider multivariate approximation problems in the worst case setting for functions of d variables from the Hilbert space with the squared-exponential reproducing kernel as $d \rightarrow \infty$, when the error threshold $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is fixed or $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_d \rightarrow 0$.

For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ (set of positive integers) we consider the Hilbert space $H_{d,\gamma}$ with the following squared-exponential reproducing kernel

$$\mathcal{K}_d(t, s) = \prod_{j=1}^d \exp \{ -\gamma^2 (t_j - s_j)^2 \}, \quad (1)$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$ and $s = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$ are from \mathbb{R}^d (\mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers), $\gamma > 0$ is a shape parameter. The space $H_{d,\gamma}$ is well studied and it is widely used in numerical computations, statistical leaning and engineering, see [6], [7], [15], [16], [19], [20].

We consider the multivariate approximation problem $\text{APP}_d : H_{d,\gamma} \rightarrow L_{2,d}$ with $\text{APP}_d f = f$ for all $f \in H_{d,\gamma}$, where $L_{2,d}$ is the space of functions that have the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{L_{2,d}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2(x) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{e^{-x_j^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} dx \right)^{1/2},$$

which the space is equipped with. We set $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ in the integral. We approximate $\text{APP}_d f$ by algorithms that use finitely many, say $n \in \mathbb{N}$, values of linear functionals. It is well known, see [11], that we

¹Laboratory for Approximation Problems of Probability, Smolensk State University, 4 Przhevsky st., 214000 Smolensk, Russia.

²Saint-Petersburg National Research University of Information Technologies, Mechanics and Optics (ITMO University), 49 Kronverksky Pr., 197101 Saint-Petersburg, Russia.

³Email addresses: alexeykharov@gmail.com (A. A. Khartov), ivan.limar95@gmail.com (I. A. Limar)

can restrict ourselves to n -rank linear algorithms from the following class

$$\mathcal{A}_{d,n} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \ell_k f : a_k \in L_{2,d}, \ell_k \in H_{d,\gamma}^* \right\}.$$

The worst case error of the algorithm $A_{d,n} \in \mathcal{A}_{d,n}$ is defined as

$$e(A_{d,n}) := \sup_{\|f\|_{H_{d,\gamma}} \leq 1} \|\text{APP}_d f - A_{d,n} f\|_{L_{2,d}},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{H_{d,\gamma}}$ is the norm of $H_{d,\gamma}$. The n^{th} minimal worst case error has the following form

$$e(d, n) = \inf_{A_{d,n} \in \mathcal{A}_{d,n}} e(A_{d,n}).$$

We also deal with the initial error, i.e we consider the value

$$e(d, 0) = \sup_{\|f\|_{H_{d,\gamma}} \leq 1} \|f\|_{L_{2,d}} = \|\text{APP}_d\|,$$

which can be thought as the error of the identical zero algorithm. We define the *worst case information complexity* $n(d, \varepsilon)$ for normalized criterion (*information complexity* for short) as

$$n(d, \varepsilon) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : e(d, n) \leq \varepsilon e(d, 0)\}, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \quad d \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

The multivariate worst case setting and its modifications are comprehensively described in [11, 12, 13].

The quantity $n(d, \varepsilon)$ admits a representation via eigenvalues of the integral operator $I_d : H_{d,\gamma} \rightarrow H_{d,\gamma}$ that acts as follows

$$(I_d f)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(s) \prod_{j=1}^d \exp\{-\gamma^2(t_j - s_j)^2\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{e^{-s_j^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} ds, \quad f \in H_{d,\gamma},$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$ and $s = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$ are from \mathbb{R}^d . Let $(\lambda_{d,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of I_d that is assumed to be ranked in the non-increasing order. Let $(\psi_{d,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the corresponding sequence of orthonormal eigenfunctions of I_d , i.e. $(I_d \psi_{d,m})(t) = \lambda_{d,m} \psi_{d,m}(t)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known (see [11]) that the following n -rank algorithm $S_{d,n}$

$$S_{d,n} f = \sum_{j=1}^n (f, \psi_{d,m})_{H_{d,\gamma}} \psi_{d,m}, \quad f \in H_{d,\gamma},$$

has the minimal worst case error $e(d, n) = \sqrt{\lambda_{d,n+1}}$. The initial error is also known: $e(d, 0) = \sqrt{\lambda_{d,1}}$. Thus, representation (2) is written as the follows

$$n(d, \varepsilon) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_{d,n+1} \leq \varepsilon^2 \lambda_{d,1}\}, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \quad d \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3)$$

It is a nice fact that $(\lambda_{d,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\psi_{d,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ are known for I_d with kernel (1). For $d = 1$ we introduce the following convenient notation: $\lambda_k := \lambda_{1,k}$ and $\psi_k := \psi_{1,k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So for this case we have (see [13] p. 17 and [15] p. 97):

$$\lambda_k = (1 - \omega) \omega^{k-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{with} \quad \omega := \frac{2\gamma^2}{1 + 2\gamma^2 + \sqrt{1 + 4\gamma^2}}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\psi_k(t) := \sqrt{\frac{(1+4\gamma^2)^{1/4}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)!}} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{2\gamma^2 t^2}{1+\sqrt{1+4\gamma^2}}\right\} \cdot H_{k-1}((1+4\gamma^2)^{1/4} t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where H_{k-1} is the standard Chebyshev–Hermite polynomial of the degree $k - 1$, see [18], i.e.

$$H_{k-1}(x) = (-1)^{k-1} e^{x^2} \cdot \frac{d^{k-1}}{dx^{k-1}} e^{-x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the general case, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(\lambda_{d,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an array of the numbers

$$\prod_{k=1}^d \lambda_{k_j}, \quad k_1, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N},$$

that is indexed in the non-increasing order, and $(\psi_{d,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is correspondingly indexed sequence of the functions

$$\prod_{k=1}^d \psi_{k_j}(t_j), \quad k_1, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Observe that λ_k and $\lambda_{d,m}$ belong to the interval $(0, 1)$ for all $k, d, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, it is not difficult to show that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_k = 1$ and $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{d,m} = 1$ for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

For a given shape parameter $\gamma > 0$ we consider the information complexity $n(d, \varepsilon)$ as a function depending on two variables $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and we are interested in the character of growth of $n(d, \varepsilon)$ for arbitrarily large d and small ε . There are a lot of results for this and more general problems concerning tractability (see [4] and [17]), where necessary and sufficient conditions for the special upper bounds of $n(d, \varepsilon)$ were obtained in terms of input parameters. Some of these results we will recall in the next section. There exist results about tractability within average case setting (see [2], [3], and [8]). This setting is also studied in [9] with asymptotic approach that more accurately detect behaviour of the quantity $n(d, \varepsilon)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. We are not aware any results with asymptotic approach for the worst case setting. In this paper, we do the first step in this direction. Namely, we will investigate asymptotics of $n(d, \varepsilon)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$ for arbitrarily small fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and for the case $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_d \rightarrow 0$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. The latter setting seems to be new.

We will use the following notation in the paper. The set of non-negative integers will be denoted by \mathbb{N}_0 . The indicator function $\mathbb{1}(A)$ equals one if A is true and it is zero if A is false. For a finite set B we denote by $\#B$ the number of elements in the set B . By *distribution function* we mean a non-decreasing function F defined on \mathbb{R} that is right-continuous, $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x) = 1$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$. We denote by $\mathbf{P}(C)$ the probability of an event C , and we denote by $\mathbf{E}Y$, and $\mathbf{Var}Y$ the expectation and the variance of a random variable Y , respectively.

2 Main results

In this section we formulate the main results of the paper. The proofs are provided in section 4, the necessary auxiliary tools are presented in section 3.

We consider the information complexity $n(d, \varepsilon)$ defined in the previous section. We first recall existing results for this quantity that is corresponded to the kernel (1) with any fixed $\gamma > 0$. From [4] it is known (see also review in [17]) that *quasi-polynomial tractability* (QPT) holds for $n(d, \varepsilon)$, i.e. there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\tau > 0$ such that

$$n(d, \varepsilon) \leq C \exp\{\tau(1 + \ln d)(1 + \ln \varepsilon^{-1})\}, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \quad d \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5)$$

Here the constant τ was determined as $\tau = 2c_\omega$, where

$$c_\omega := \frac{1}{|\ln \omega|}. \quad (6)$$

The constant c_ω will be used here and throughout the paper.

Recall that there are two natural type of tractability that would be possible for $n(d, \varepsilon)$:

- *strong polynomial tractability* (SPT) holds iff there exist constant $C > 0$ and $\tau > 0$ such that

$$n(d, \varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-\tau}, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in (0, 1), d \in \mathbb{N};$$

- *polynomial tractability* (PT) holds iff there exist constants $C > 0$, $\tau_1 > 0$ and $\tau_2 > 0$ such that

$$n(d, \varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-\tau_1} d^{\tau_2}, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in (0, 1), d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

However, as it was shown in [4], SPT and PT do not hold for the quantity $n(d, \varepsilon)$ for the considered case. Moreover, *exponential convergence and quasi-polynomial tractability* (EC-QPT) does not hold (see [17]), where EC-QPT, by its definition, holds iff there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\tau > 0$ such that

$$n(d, \varepsilon) \leq C \exp\{\tau(1 + \ln d)(1 + \ln(1 + \ln \varepsilon^{-1}))\}, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1), d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We now formulate our asymptotical results that complement the estimate (5). We first consider the case when $d \rightarrow \infty$ and ε is fixed.

Theorem 1 *For any fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$*

$$n(d, \varepsilon) = \frac{d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)}}{N_\omega(\varepsilon)!} + O(d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)-1}), \quad d \rightarrow \infty, \quad (7)$$

where $N_\omega(\varepsilon) := \max\{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 : m < c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|\}$.

We see that the main factor $d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)}$ in (7) is approximately equal to $\exp\{2c_\omega \cdot \ln d \cdot |\ln \varepsilon|\}$. This is consistent with (5) (with $\tau = 2c_\omega$) for large d and small ε .

We turn to the case when $d \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_d \rightarrow 0$. Without loss of generality concerning the rate of convergence of $(\varepsilon_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$, we obtain the logarithmic asymptotics of $n(d, \varepsilon_d)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2 *Let $(\varepsilon_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence from $(0, 1)$ such that $\varepsilon_d \rightarrow 0$, $d \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\ln n(d, \varepsilon_d) = d \cdot \ln\left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln\left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) + R_d, \quad d \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

where $(R_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence such that

$$\frac{R_d}{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln\left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right)} \rightarrow 0, \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

For large d the asymptotics (8) is consistent with (5) too. Indeed, if $d/|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$, then for large d the quantity $\ln n(d, \varepsilon_d)$ is approximately equal to

$$c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \ln d.$$

Here the second term is the main as in (5). If $|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|/d \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$, then $\ln n(d, \varepsilon_d)$ is approximately equal to

$$d \ln(c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|) + d$$

for sufficiently large d . So the first term is the main and for it we have

$$\frac{d \ln(c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|)}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \ln d} = \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|} \cdot \frac{\ln\left(\frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) + \ln d}{\ln d} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right)}{\frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}} \cdot \frac{1}{\ln d} + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}.$$

It is seen that, by the assumption, this sequence goes to zero as $d \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $d \ln(c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|)$ is bounded by $c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \ln d$ for all sufficiently large d . Hence the same estimate holds for $\ln n(d, \varepsilon_d)$ similarly to (5) with $\tau = 2c_\omega$.

3 Auxiliary results

In this section, we formulate and prove some statements that are needed to obtain the main results.

Lemma 1 *Let $(U_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with the following distribution:*

$$\mathbf{P}(U_j = k | \ln \omega) = (1 - \omega) \omega^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9)$$

Then for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ the quantity $n(d, \varepsilon)$ admits the following representation

$$n(d, \varepsilon) = e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d U_j \right\} \mathbb{1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right].$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let us fix any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Using (3), we have

$$n(d, \varepsilon) = \min \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_{d,n+1} \leq \varepsilon^2 \lambda_{d,1} \} = \# \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_{d,n+1} > \varepsilon^2 \lambda_{d,1} \}.$$

According to the known product structure of $\lambda_{d,m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and due to the sharp expressions (4) for λ_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(d, \varepsilon) &= \# \left\{ (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d : \prod_{j=1}^d \lambda_{k_j} > \varepsilon^2 \lambda_{d,1} \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d : \prod_{j=1}^d ((1 - \omega) \omega^{k_j - 1}) > \varepsilon^2 (1 - \omega)^d \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : \prod_{j=1}^d \omega^{k_j} > \varepsilon^2 \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : \sum_{j=1}^d k_j |\ln \omega| < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} n(d, \varepsilon) &= \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \mathbb{1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d k_j |\ln \omega| < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \\ &= e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \left[\exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d k_j |\ln \omega| \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d ((1 - \omega) \omega^{k_j}) \mathbb{1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d k_j |\ln \omega| < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By definition (9), we obtain

$$n(d, \varepsilon) = e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d U_j \right\} \mathbb{1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right],$$

as required. \square

Let us define the moment generating function for random variables U_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$M(\nu) := \mathbf{E} \exp\{\nu U_j\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{\nu |\ln \omega| k} (1 - \omega) \omega^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \omega) \omega^{(1-\nu)k}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{R},$$

The next lemma states some properties of this function and its derivatives.

Lemma 2 1) For any $\nu < 1$ the quantities $M(\nu)$, $M'(\nu)$, and $M''(\nu)$ are finite and admit representations

$$M(\nu) = \frac{1 - \omega}{1 - \omega^{1-\nu}}, \quad M'(\nu) = \frac{(1 - \omega)|\ln \omega| \omega^{1-\nu}}{(1 - \omega^{1-\nu})^2}, \quad M''(\nu) = (1 - \omega)|\ln \omega|^2 \cdot \frac{(1 + \omega^{1-\nu}) \omega^{1-\nu}}{(1 - \omega^{1-\nu})^3}.$$

2) For any $\alpha > 0$ the equation $\alpha = M'(\nu)/M(\nu)$ has the solution $\nu_\alpha < 1$ expressed by the formula

$$\nu_\alpha = 1 - c_\omega \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{c_\omega \alpha}\right), \quad (10)$$

and then

$$M(\nu_\alpha) = (1 - \omega)(1 + c_\omega \alpha), \quad \frac{M'(\nu_\alpha)}{M(\nu_\alpha)} = \alpha, \quad \frac{M''(\nu_\alpha)}{M(\nu_\alpha)} - \left(\frac{M'(\nu_\alpha)}{M(\nu_\alpha)}\right)^2 = |\ln \omega| \cdot (1 + c_\omega \alpha) \cdot \alpha. \quad (11)$$

Proof of Lemma 2. 1) Since $\omega \in (0, 1)$, we get

$$M(\nu) = (1 - \omega) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega^{(1-\nu)k} = \frac{1 - \omega}{1 - \omega^{1-\nu}} < \infty, \quad \nu < 1.$$

This yields

$$M'(\nu) = \left(\frac{1 - \omega}{1 - \omega^{1-\nu}}\right)' = \frac{(1 - \omega)|\ln \omega| \omega^{1-\nu}}{(1 - \omega^{1-\nu})^2} < \infty, \quad \nu < 1.$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M''(\nu) &= \left(\frac{(1 - \omega)|\ln \omega| \omega^{1-\nu}}{(1 - \omega^{1-\nu})^2}\right)' \\ &= (1 - \omega)|\ln \omega| \cdot \frac{|\ln \omega| \omega^{1-\nu} \cdot (1 - \omega^{1-\nu})^2 + \omega^{1-\nu} \cdot 2(1 - \omega^{1-\nu}) \omega^{1-\nu} |\ln \omega|}{(1 - \omega^{1-\nu})^4} \\ &= (1 - \omega)|\ln \omega| \cdot \frac{|\ln \omega| \omega^{1-\nu} (1 - \omega^{1-\nu}) + 2|\ln \omega| \omega^{2(1-\nu)}}{(1 - \omega^{1-\nu})^3} \\ &= (1 - \omega)|\ln \omega|^2 \cdot \frac{(1 + \omega^{1-\nu}) \omega^{1-\nu}}{(1 - \omega^{1-\nu})^3} < \infty, \quad \nu < 1. \end{aligned}$$

2) We fix any $\alpha > 0$ and consider the equation $\alpha = M'(\nu)/M(\nu)$ with $\nu < 1$. On account of the obtained formulas, we have

$$\frac{M'(\nu)}{M(\nu)} = \frac{|\ln \omega| \cdot \omega^{1-\nu}}{1 - \omega^{1-\nu}}, \quad \nu < 1.$$

So the equation gets the form

$$\alpha = \frac{|\ln \omega| \cdot \omega^{1-\nu}}{1 - \omega^{1-\nu}},$$

that is equivalent to

$$\omega^{1-\nu} = \frac{\alpha}{|\ln \omega| + \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - \nu)|\ln \omega| = \ln \frac{|\ln \omega| + \alpha}{\alpha}.$$

The last logarithm equals $\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{c_\omega \alpha}\right)$. So we easily get the unique solution (10) that is less than 1. Thus the second equality in (11) is obvious. We now check the first:

$$M(\nu_\alpha) = \frac{1 - \omega}{1 - \omega^{1-\nu_\alpha}} = \frac{1 - \omega}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{|\ln \omega| + \alpha}} = \frac{1 - \omega}{\frac{|\ln \omega|}{|\ln \omega| + \alpha}} = (1 - \omega) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{|\ln \omega|}\right) = (1 - \omega)(1 + c_\omega \alpha).$$

Next, we prove the third equality in (11). By the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{M''(\nu_\alpha)}{M(\nu_\alpha)} &= \frac{|\ln \omega| (1 + \omega^{1-\nu_\alpha})}{1 - \omega^{1-\nu_\alpha}} \cdot \frac{M'(\nu_\alpha)}{M(\nu_\alpha)} \\
&= |\ln \omega| \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{|\ln \omega| + \alpha}\right) \cdot (1 + c_\omega \alpha) \cdot \alpha \\
&= \left(|\ln \omega| + \frac{\alpha}{1 + c_\omega \alpha}\right) \cdot (1 + c_\omega \alpha) \cdot \alpha \\
&= |\ln \omega| \cdot (1 + c_\omega \alpha) \cdot \alpha + \alpha^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{M''(\nu_\alpha)}{M(\nu_\alpha)} - \left(\frac{M'(\nu_\alpha)}{M(\nu_\alpha)}\right)^2 = \frac{M''(\nu_\alpha)}{M(\nu_\alpha)} - \alpha^2 = |\ln \omega| \cdot (1 + c_\omega \alpha) \cdot \alpha. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3 *Let for every $\nu < 1$ $(U_j^{(\nu)})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with the following distribution:*

$$\mathbf{P}(U_j^{(\nu)} = k | \ln \omega) = \frac{(1 - \omega) \omega^{(1-\nu)k}}{M(\nu)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and $\nu < 1$ the quantity $n(d, \varepsilon)$ admits the following representation

$$n(d, \varepsilon) = e^{d |\ln(1-\omega)|} M(\nu)^d \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ (1 - \nu) \sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu)} \right\} \mathbf{1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu)} < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right]. \quad (12)$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Let us fix arbitrary $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and $\nu < 1$. Observe that

$$\mathbf{P}(U_j^{(\nu)} = k | \ln \omega) = \frac{e^{k |\ln \omega| \nu}}{M(\nu)} (1 - \omega) \omega^k = \frac{e^{k |\ln \omega| \nu}}{M(\nu)} \mathbf{P}(U_j = k | \ln \omega), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, due to the independence, for any $k_1, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(U_1^{(\nu)} = k_1 | \ln \omega, \dots, U_d^{(\nu)} = k_d | \ln \omega) &= \mathbf{P}(U_1^{(\nu)} = k_1 | \ln \omega) \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}(U_d^{(\nu)} = k_d | \ln \omega) \\
&= \frac{e^{k_1 |\ln \omega| \nu}}{M(\nu)} \mathbf{P}(U_1 = k_1 | \ln \omega) \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{e^{k_d |\ln \omega| \nu}}{M(\nu)} \mathbf{P}(U_d = k_d | \ln \omega) \\
&= \frac{e^{(k_1 + \dots + k_d) |\ln \omega| \nu}}{M(\nu)^d} \mathbf{P}(U_1 = k_1 | \ln \omega, \dots, U_d = k_d | \ln \omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Let F_d and $F_d^{(\nu)}$ be the distribution functions of the sums $\sum_{j=1}^d U_j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu)}$, respectively. Then for any $x \geq 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
F_d^{(\nu)}(x) &= \mathbf{P} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu)} \leq x \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N}_0: \\ k_1 + \dots + k_d \leq x}} \frac{e^{(k_1 + \dots + k_d) |\ln \omega| \nu}}{M(\nu)^d} \mathbf{P}(U_1 = k_1 | \ln \omega, \dots, U_d = k_d | \ln \omega) \\
&= \int_0^x \frac{e^{\nu u}}{M(\nu)^d} dF_d(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 together with this formula, we obtain the needed representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
n(d, \varepsilon) &= e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d U_j \right\} \mathbf{1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right] \\
&= e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^x \mathbf{1}(x < |\ln \varepsilon^2|) dF_d(x) \\
&= e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} M(\nu)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(1-\nu)x} \mathbf{1}(x < |\ln \varepsilon^2|) dF_d^{(\nu)}(x) \\
&= e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} M(\nu)^d \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ (1-\nu) \sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu)} \right\} \mathbf{1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu)} < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right]. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Note that formula (12) can be written in the following form:

$$n(d, \varepsilon) = e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} M(\nu)^d e^{(1-\nu)|\ln \varepsilon^2|} \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ (1-\nu) \left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu)} - |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right\} \mathbf{1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu)} < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right].$$

It is easily seen that the expectation less than 1 due to $\nu < 1$. Hence the part before this dominates the growth of the quantity $n(d, \varepsilon)$. Let us choose $\nu < 1$ to minimize this part. It is equivalent to the minimization of the function $\nu \mapsto M(\nu)^d e^{-\nu|\ln \varepsilon^2|}$ and, consequently, of the function $\nu \mapsto \ln M(\nu) - \nu \frac{|\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}$. It is easily seen that for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ the latter function has the minimum at the point $\nu_{d,\varepsilon}$ such that

$$\frac{M'(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})}{M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})} = \frac{|\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}.$$

Applying Lemma 2 with $\alpha = \frac{|\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}$ (and $\nu_\alpha = \nu_{d,\varepsilon}$), we find

$$\nu_{d,\varepsilon} = 1 - c_\omega \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|} \right), \quad d \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1). \quad (13)$$

Substituting this in (12) and using the first equality of (11), we have

$$e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})^d = e^{d|\ln(1-\omega)|} (1-\omega)^d \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d} \right)^d = \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d} \right)^d, \quad (14)$$

and thus

$$n(d, \varepsilon) = \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d} \right)^d \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ c_\omega \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|} \right) S_{d,\varepsilon} \right\} \mathbf{1} \left(S_{d,\varepsilon} < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right], \quad d \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \quad (15)$$

where we define

$$S_{d,\varepsilon} := \sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1).$$

Now we consider the asymptotic behaviour of distributions of $S_{d,\varepsilon}$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$ for the case of fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and for the case, when $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_d \rightarrow 0$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 1 *For any fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$*

$$\mathbf{P}(S_{d,\varepsilon} = m | \ln \omega) = \exp \{ -c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2| \} \cdot \frac{(c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|)^m}{m!} + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of Proposition 1. Let us fix $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Since $S_{d,\varepsilon}$ is a sum of d independent and identically distributed random variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(S_{d,\varepsilon} = m | \ln \omega) &= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N}_0: \\ k_1 + \dots + k_d = m}} \mathbf{P}\left(U_1^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})} = k_1 | \ln \omega, \dots, U_d^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})} = k_d | \ln \omega\right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N}_0: \\ k_1 + \dots + k_d = m}} \mathbf{P}\left(U_1^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})} = k_1 | \ln \omega\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}\left(U_d^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})} = k_d | \ln \omega\right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N}_0: \\ k_1 + \dots + k_d = m}} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(1-\omega) \omega^{k_j(1-\nu_{d,\varepsilon})}}{M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_d \in \mathbb{N}_0: \\ k_1 + \dots + k_d = m}} \frac{(1-\omega)^d \omega^{m(1-\nu_{d,\varepsilon})}}{M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})^d}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is known that the equation $k_1 + \dots + k_d = m$ with respect to non-negative integers k_1, \dots, k_d has $\binom{m+d-1}{m}$ solutions (see, [5], p. 38). Therefore

$$\mathbf{P}(S_{d,\varepsilon} = m | \ln \omega) = \binom{m+d-1}{m} \frac{(1-\omega)^d \omega^{m(1-\nu_{d,\varepsilon})}}{M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})^d}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We represent

$$\binom{m+d-1}{m} = \frac{d \cdot \dots \cdot (d+m-1)}{m!} = \frac{1 \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 + \frac{m-1}{d}\right)}{m!} \cdot d^m.$$

Note that the product in the fraction is assumed to be 1 for the case $m = 0$. Next, on account of (13) and also (6), we have

$$\omega^{m(1-\nu_{d,\varepsilon})} = e^{m(1-\nu_{d,\varepsilon}) \ln \omega} = \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right)^{m c_\omega \ln \omega} = \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right)^{-m} = \left(\frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^{-m}. \quad (16)$$

Due to (14), we get

$$\frac{(1-\omega)^d}{M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})^d} = e^{-d |\ln(1-\omega)|} M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon})^{-d} = \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^{-d}. \quad (17)$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{P}(S_{d,\varepsilon} = m | \ln \omega) = \frac{1 \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 + \frac{m-1}{d}\right)}{m!} (c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|)^m \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^{-d}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easily seen that for fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$1 \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 + \frac{m-1}{d}\right) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right), \quad \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^{-m} = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, observe that for $d \rightarrow \infty$

$$\left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^{-d} = \exp\left\{-d \ln\left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)\right\} = \exp\left\{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2| + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\right\}.$$

So we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^{-d} = \exp\left\{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|\right\} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty. \quad (18)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(S_{d,\varepsilon} = m | \ln \omega) &= \frac{1 + O(\frac{1}{d})}{m!} (c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|)^m \left(1 + O(\frac{1}{d})\right) \cdot \exp\{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|\} \left(1 + O(\frac{1}{d})\right) \\
&= \exp\{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|\} \cdot \frac{(c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|)^m}{m!} \left(1 + O(\frac{1}{d})\right) \\
&= \exp\{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|\} \cdot \frac{(c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|)^m}{m!} + O(\frac{1}{d}), \quad d \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2 *Let $(\varepsilon_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence from $(0, 1)$ such that $\varepsilon_d \rightarrow 0$, $d \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{S_{d,\varepsilon_d} - \mathbf{E}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}}} \leq x \right) - \Phi(x) \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad d \rightarrow \infty, \quad (19)$$

where Φ is the distribution function of standard normal law:

$$\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-u^2/2} du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof of Proposition 2. Suppose that the sequence $(\varepsilon_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is given. We define

$$a_d := \mathbf{E}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}, \quad \text{and} \quad b_d := \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (20)$$

We will prove the convergence (19) using the method of characteristic functions (see [10]). We introduce characteristic functions for the fractions in (19):

$$H_d(t) = \mathbf{E} \exp\left\{it \frac{S_{d,\varepsilon_d} - a_d}{b_d}\right\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since S_{d,ε_d} is the sum of d independent and identically distributed random variables $U_j^{(\nu_d, \varepsilon_d)}$, we have

$$H_d(t) = h_d\left(\frac{t}{b_d}\right)^d \exp\left\{-it \frac{a_d}{b_d}\right\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N},$$

where h_d is the characteristic function of $U_j^{(\nu_d, \varepsilon_d)}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.

$$h_d(t) := \mathbf{E} \exp\{it U_j^{(\nu_d, \varepsilon_d)}\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us find the convenient expression of $h_d(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$h_d(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\omega)\omega^{k(1-\nu_d, \varepsilon_d)}}{M(\nu_d, \varepsilon_d)} e^{itk |\ln \omega|} = \frac{1-\omega}{M(\nu_d, \varepsilon_d)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\omega^{1-\nu_d, \varepsilon_d} \cdot e^{it |\ln \omega|})^k = \frac{\frac{1-\omega}{M(\nu_d, \varepsilon_d)}}{1 - \omega^{1-\nu_d, \varepsilon_d} \cdot e^{it |\ln \omega|}}.$$

From (16) (with $m = 1$) and (17) (with $d = 1$ in the powers) we obtain

$$h_d(t) = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right)^{-1}}{1 - \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right)^{-1} e^{it |\ln \omega|}} = \frac{\frac{d}{d + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}}{1 - \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|} e^{it |\ln \omega|}} = \left(1 - \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d} (e^{it |\ln \omega|} - 1)\right)^{-1}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. We now return to H_d :

$$H_d(t) = \left(1 - \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d} (e^{it |\ln \omega|} - 1)\right)^{-d} \exp\left\{-it \frac{a_d}{b_d}\right\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us consider the sequences a_d and b_d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For a_d we have

$$a_d = \mathbf{E}S_{d,\varepsilon_d} = \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}\right) = d\mathbf{E}U_1^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N},$$

due to the identical distributions of $U_j^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}$. For b_d , due to the independence of $U_j^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}$, we get

$$b_d^2 = \mathbf{Var}S_{d,\varepsilon_d} = \mathbf{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^d U_j^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}\right) = d\mathbf{Var}U_1^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Recall that, by definition, the distribution of $U_j^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}$ can be considered as the Laplace transform of the distribution of U_j . From the theory of large deviations, it is known (see [1], p. 243) that

$$\mathbf{E}U_1^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})} = \frac{M'(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}{M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Var}U_1^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})} = \frac{M''(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}{M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})} - \left(\frac{M'(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}{M(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})}\right)^2, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

These equalities can be also checked directly using Lemma 2 and elementary notes about series (see [9], Lemma 1). Next, according to (11) with $\alpha = \frac{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}$ and $\nu_\alpha = \nu_{d,\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}U_1^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})} = \frac{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Var}U_1^{(\nu_{d,\varepsilon_d})} = |\ln \omega| \cdot \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) \cdot \frac{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus we have

$$a_d = |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|, \quad \text{and} \quad b_d^2 = |\ln \omega| \cdot \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) \cdot |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (21)$$

Since $\varepsilon_d \rightarrow 0$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$, it is seen that $a_d \rightarrow \infty$ and $b_d \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. We also conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_d}{db_d} &= \frac{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d\sqrt{|\ln \omega| \cdot \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) \cdot |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}} = \frac{\sqrt{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}}{\sqrt{d} \cdot \sqrt{|\ln \omega| \cdot (d + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|)}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}}{\sqrt{d} \cdot \sqrt{|\ln \omega| \cdot c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \rightarrow 0, \quad d \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We return to H_d :

$$H_d(t) = \left(1 - \frac{c_\omega a_d}{d} \left(\exp\left\{\frac{it}{b_d} |\ln \omega|\right\} - 1\right)\right)^{-d} \left(\exp\left\{it \frac{a_d}{db_d}\right\}\right)^{-d}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Due to comments above, the following expansions are valid:

$$\exp\left\{\frac{ita_d}{db_d}\right\} = 1 + it \frac{a_d}{db_d} - \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^2} + O\left(\frac{a_d^3}{d^3 b_d^3}\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\exp\left\{\frac{it}{b_d} |\ln \omega|\right\} - 1 = \frac{it}{b_d} |\ln \omega| - \frac{t^2}{2b_d^2} |\ln \omega|^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{b_d^3}\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

From the latter we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{c_\omega a_d}{d} \left(\exp\left\{\frac{it}{b_d} |\ln \omega|\right\} - 1\right) &= 1 - \frac{c_\omega a_d}{d} \left(\frac{it}{b_d} |\ln \omega| - \frac{t^2}{2b_d^2} |\ln \omega|^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{b_d^3}\right)\right) \\ &= 1 - it \frac{a_d}{db_d} + \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d}{db_d^2} |\ln \omega| + O\left(\frac{a_d}{db_d^3}\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$H_d(t) = \left[\left(1 - it \frac{a_d}{db_d} + \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d}{db_d^2} |\ln \omega| + O\left(\frac{a_d}{db_d^3}\right) \right) \cdot \left(1 + it \frac{a_d}{db_d} - \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^2} + O\left(\frac{a_d^3}{d^3 b_d^3}\right) \right) \right]^{-d}, \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

We next write

$$\begin{aligned} H_d(t) &= \left[1 - it \frac{a_d}{db_d} + it \frac{a_d}{db_d} + \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d}{db_d^2} |\ln \omega| - \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^2} - \left(it \frac{a_d}{db_d}\right)^2 + \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d}{db_d^2} |\ln \omega| \cdot it \frac{a_d}{db_d} + it \frac{a_d}{db_d} \cdot \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d}{db_d^2} |\ln \omega| \cdot \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^2} + O\left(\frac{a_d^3}{d^3 b_d^3}\right) + O\left(\frac{a_d}{db_d^3}\right) \right]^{-d} \\ &= \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{a_d}{db_d^2} |\ln \omega| + \frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^2}\right) + \frac{it^3}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^3} |\ln \omega| + \frac{a_d^3}{d^3 b_d^3}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{t^4}{4} \cdot \frac{a_d^3}{d^3 b_d^4} |\ln \omega| + O\left(\frac{a_d^3}{d^3 b_d^3}\right) + O\left(\frac{a_d}{db_d^3}\right) \right]^{-d}, \quad d \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_d}{db_d^2} |\ln \omega| + \frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^2} &= |\ln \omega| \cdot \frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^2} \cdot \frac{d + c_\omega a_d}{a_d} \\ &= |\ln \omega| \cdot \frac{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d \cdot |\ln \omega| \cdot (d + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|)} \cdot \frac{d + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|} = \frac{1}{d}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{a_d}{db_d} = O(d^{-1/2}), \quad d \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have

$$\frac{it^3}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{a_d^2}{d^2 b_d^3} |\ln \omega| + \frac{a_d^3}{d^3 b_d^3}\right) = \frac{it^3}{2} \cdot \left(O\left(\frac{1}{db_d}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{d\sqrt{d}}\right)\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{d}\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$-\frac{t^4}{4} \cdot \frac{a_d^3}{d^3 b_d^4} |\ln \omega| + O\left(\frac{a_d^3}{d^3 b_d^3}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{d\sqrt{db_d}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{d\sqrt{d}}\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{d}\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{a_d}{db_d^3} = \frac{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d |\ln \omega|^{3/2} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right)^{3/2} \cdot |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|^{3/2}} \leq \frac{1}{d \cdot |\ln \omega|^{3/2} \cdot |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|^{1/2}} = o\left(\frac{1}{d}\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus

$$H_d(t) = \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{2d} + o\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\right)^{-d} \rightarrow e^{-t^2/2}, \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Recall that $\exp\{-t^2/2\}$ is the characteristic function corresponding to the standard normal law. By the well-known Lévy's continuity theorem (see [10] p. 48–49), we have the pointwise convergence

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{S_{d,\varepsilon_d} - \mathbf{E}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}}} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \Phi(x), \quad d \rightarrow \infty, \quad (22)$$

for any x from the set $\mathcal{C}(\Phi)$ of all continuity points of Φ . Since Φ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R} , the set $\mathcal{C}(\Phi)$ is exactly \mathbb{R} and, moreover, the convergence (22) is uniform on \mathbb{R} (see [14], p. 11). Thus we come to (19).

□

4 Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1. We fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let us use formula (15) and also (6):

$$\begin{aligned}
n(d, \varepsilon) &= \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^d \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ c_\omega \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right) S_{d, \varepsilon} \right\} \mathbf{1} \left(S_{d, \varepsilon} < |\ln \varepsilon^2| \right) \right] \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^d \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{N}_0: \\ m |\ln \omega| < |\ln \varepsilon^2|}} \exp \left\{ c_\omega \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right) m |\ln \omega| \right\} \cdot \mathbf{P}(S_{d, \varepsilon} = m |\ln \omega|) \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^d \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{N}_0: \\ m < c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}} \exp \left\{ \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right) m \right\} \cdot \mathbf{P}(S_{d, \varepsilon} = m |\ln \omega|) \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^d \sum_{m=0}^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right)^m \mathbf{P}(S_{d, \varepsilon} = m |\ln \omega|),
\end{aligned}$$

where we set $N_\omega(\varepsilon) := \max\{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 : m < c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|\}$ as in the statement of the theorem. Due to (18), we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}{d}\right)^d = e^{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|} \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying Proposition 1 to the sum above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right)^m \mathbf{P}(S_{d, \varepsilon} = m |\ln \omega|) &= \sum_{m=0}^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)} \left[\left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right)^m \left(e^{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|} \cdot \frac{(c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|)^m}{m!} + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \right) \right] \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right)^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)} e^{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|} \cdot \frac{(c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|)^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)}}{N_\omega(\varepsilon)!} + O(d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)-1}) \\
&= \left(\frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|}\right)^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)} e^{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|} \cdot \frac{(c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|)^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)}}{N_\omega(\varepsilon)!} + O(d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)-1}) \\
&= e^{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|} \cdot \frac{d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)}}{N_\omega(\varepsilon)!} + O(d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)-1}), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
n(d, \varepsilon) &= e^{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|} \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\right) \cdot \left(e^{-c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon^2|} \cdot \frac{d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)}}{N_\omega(\varepsilon)!} + O(d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)-1}) \right) \\
&= \frac{d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)}}{N_\omega(\varepsilon)!} + O(d^{N_\omega(\varepsilon)-1}), \quad d \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that the sequence $(\varepsilon_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is given. Due to (15) we have

$$n(d, \varepsilon_d) = \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right)^d \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ c_\omega \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) S_{d, \varepsilon_d} \right\} \mathbf{1} \left(S_{d, \varepsilon_d} < |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \right) \right], \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From this we easily obtain the upper bound for $n(d, \varepsilon_d)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
n(d, \varepsilon_d) &\leq \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right)^d \exp \left\{ c_\omega \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \right\} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{1} \left(S_{d, \varepsilon_d} < |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \right) \\
&= \exp \left\{ d \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) \right\} \mathbf{P}(S_{d, \varepsilon_d} < |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|) \\
&\leq \exp \left\{ d \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) \right\}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the lower bound we introduce $b_d := \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, as in (20). So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
n(d, \varepsilon_d) &\geq \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right)^d \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ c_\omega \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) S_{d,\varepsilon_d} \right\} \mathbf{1} \left(|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| - b_d < S_{d,\varepsilon_d} < |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \right) \right] \\
&\geq \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right)^d \exp \left\{ c_\omega \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) (|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| - b_d) \right\} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{1} \left(|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| - b_d < S_{d,\varepsilon_d} < |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \right) \\
&= \exp \left\{ d \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ -c_\omega b_d \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) \right\} \mathbf{P} \left(|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| - b_d < S_{d,\varepsilon_d} < |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \right), \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to (21), observe that

$$\frac{b_d}{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|} = \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|} \sqrt{|\ln \omega| \cdot \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) \cdot |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|} = \sqrt{|\ln \omega| \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|} + \frac{c_\omega}{d}\right)} \rightarrow 0, \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore

$$-c_\omega b_d \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) = -\frac{b_d}{|\ln \varepsilon_d^2|} \cdot c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) = o \left(|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) \right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, since $|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| = \mathbf{E}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}$ and $b_d = \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}}$, by Proposition 2, we have

$$\mathbf{P} \left(|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| - b_d < S_{d,\varepsilon_d} < |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \right) = \mathbf{P} \left(-1 < \frac{S_{d,\varepsilon_d} - \mathbf{E}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}S_{d,\varepsilon_d}}} < 0 \right) = \Phi(0) - \Phi(-1) + o(1), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here $C := \Phi(0) - \Phi(-1)$ is a positive constant:

$$C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-1}^0 e^{-x^2/2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^1 e^{-x^2/2} dx > 0.$$

In particular, this means that

$$\ln \mathbf{P} \left(|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| - b_d < S_{d,\varepsilon_d} < |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \right) = \ln(C + o(1)) = o \left(|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) \right), \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus the lower bound admits the form

$$n(d, \varepsilon_d) \geq \exp \left\{ d \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}{d}\right) + c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) + o \left(|\ln \varepsilon_d^2| \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{d}{c_\omega |\ln \varepsilon_d^2|}\right) \right) \right\}.$$

The obtained bounds imply the required result. \square

References

- [1] A. A. Borovkov, *Probability Theory*, Springer-Verlag, London, 2013.
- [2] J. Chen, H. Wang, *Average case tractability of multivariate approximation with Gaussian kernels*, J. Approx. Theory, **239** (2019), 51–71.
- [3] G. E. Fasshauer, F. J. Hickernell, H. Woźniakowski, *Average case approximation: convergence and tractability of Gaussian kernels*, in L. Plaskota, H. Woźniakowski (Eds.), Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo 2010, Springer Verlag, 2012, 329–345.
- [4] G. E. Fasshauer, F. J. Hickernell, H. Woźniakowski, *On dimension-independent rates of convergence for function approximation with Gaussian kernels*, SIAM J. Numer. Analysis, **50** (2012), 247–271.

- [5] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications. Vol. 1*, third ed., Wiley, 1968.
- [6] A. I. J. Forrester, A. Sóbester, A. J. Keane, *Engineering Design Via Surrogate Modelling: A Practical Guide*, Wiley, Chichester, 2008.
- [7] T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, J. Friedman, *Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction*, second ed., in: Springer Series in Statistics, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [8] A. A. Khartov, *A simplified criterion for quasi-polynomial tractability of approximation of random elements and its applications*, *J. Complexity*, **34** (2016), 30–41.
- [9] A. A. Khartov, I. A. Limar, *Asymptotic analysis in multivariate average case approximation with Gaussian kernels*, *J. Complexity*, **70** (2022), 101631.
- [10] E. Lukacs, *Characteristic functions*, Griffin, 1970.
- [11] E. Novak, H. Woźniakowski, *Tractability of Multivariate Problems. Volume I: Linear Information*, EMS Tracts Math. 6, EMS, Zürich, 2008.
- [12] E. Novak, H. Woźniakowski, *Tractability of Multivariate Problems. Volume II: Standard Information for Functionals*, EMS Tracts Math. 12, EMS, Zürich, 2010.
- [13] E. Novak, H. Woźniakowski, *Tractability of Multivariate Problems. Volume III: Standard Information for Operators*, EMS Tracts Math. 18, EMS, Zürich, 2012.
- [14] V. V. Petrov, *Limit Theorems of Probability Theory: Sequences of Independent Random Variables*, Oxford Stud. Prob., vol. 4, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [15] C. E. Rasmussen, C. Williams, *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning*, MIT Press, 2006.
- [16] B. Schölkopf, A. J. Smola, *Learning with Kernels: Support Vector Machines, Regularization, Optimization, and Beyond*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2002.
- [17] I. H. Sloan, H. Woźniakowski, *Multivariate approximation for analytic functions with Gaussian kernels*, *J. Complexity*, **45** (2018), 1–21.
- [18] P. K. Suetin, *Classical Orthogonal Polynomials*, FIZMATLIT, Moscow, 2007 (in Russian).
- [19] H. Wendland, *Scattered Data Approximation*, in: Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [20] H. Zhu, C. K. I. Williams, R. J. Rohwer, M. Morciniec, *Gaussian Regression and Optimal Finite Dimensional Linear Models*, in C. M. Bishop (Edt.), *Neural Networks and Machine Learning*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, 1–20.