

Planar Turán number of the 7-cycle

Ruilin Shi¹, Zach Walsh¹, and Xingxing Yu¹

¹School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

June 26, 2023

Abstract

The *planar Turán number* $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H)$ of a graph H is the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex planar graph without H as a subgraph. Let C_ℓ denote the cycle of length ℓ . The planar Turán number $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_\ell)$ behaves differently for $\ell \leq 10$ and for $\ell \geq 11$, and it is known when $\ell \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$. We prove that $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_7) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$ for all $n > 38$, and show that equality holds for infinitely many integers n .

1 Introduction

The *Turán number* $\text{ex}(n, H)$ of a graph H is the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex graph without H as a subgraph. Turán's theorem [13], a cornerstone of extremal graph theory, states that $\text{ex}(n, K_t) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{t-1}) \binom{n}{2}$ for all integers t and n with $n, t \geq 3$, with equality for balanced complete $(t-1)$ -partite graphs. This has led to a huge amount of related work, including the Erdős-Stone theorem [4], generalized Turán numbers (see [1]), and Turán problems for hypergraphs (see [7]).

Another well-studied variant of Turán's theorem involves placing restrictions on the host graph; for example, forcing the host graph to be a hypercube (see [11]) or an Erdős-Rényi random graph (see [12]). In 2015, Dowden considered the variant in which the host graph is planar, and defined the *planar Turán number* $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H)$ of a graph H to be the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex planar graph without H as a subgraph [3]. For example, it follows from Euler's formula that $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_3) = 2n - 4$ for all $n \geq 3$, where C_ℓ denotes the cycle of length ℓ . Since cycles are arguably the most natural choice for H in the planar setting, Dowden proved that $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_4) \leq \frac{15(n-2)}{7}$ for all $n \geq 4$ and $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_5) \leq \frac{12n-33}{5}$ for all $n \geq 11$, and showed that in both cases equality holds infinitely often [3]. This initiated a flurry of research on planar Turán numbers. We direct the reader to the survey paper of Lan, Shi, and Song [9] for details regarding recent related work, while we continue to focus on the planar Turán number of C_ℓ .

In [5], Ghosh, Györi, Martin, Paulos, and Xiao took the next step and proved that $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_6) \leq \frac{5n}{2} - 7$ with equality holding infinitely often, improving upon a result of Yan, Shi, and Song [8]. They also conjectured that $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_\ell) \leq \frac{3(\ell-1)}{\ell}n - \frac{6(\ell+1)}{\ell}$ for all $\ell \geq 7$ and all sufficiently large n , as this is the correct bound for $\ell \in \{5, 6\}$. If true, this bound would be tight infinitely often for every $\ell \geq 7$. However, this conjecture was disproved for all $\ell \geq 11$ by Cranston, Lidický, Liu, and Shantanam [2], and later also by Lan and Song [10], using the fact that planar triangulations with at least 11 vertices need not be Hamiltonian. While the correct value of $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_\ell)$ is rather mysterious for $\ell \geq 11$ (see [2] and [10]), we prove the conjecture of Ghosh et al. in the case that $\ell = 7$ (writing $e(G)$ for the number of edges of a graph G).

Theorem 1.1. *Let n be an integer with $n > 38$ and let G be an n -vertex C_7 -free planar graph. Then $e(G) \leq \frac{18}{7}n - \frac{48}{7}$, and equality holds infinitely often.*

The condition that $n > 38$ is necessary, due to the 38-vertex graph obtained by gluing 18 copies of K_4 together on a common edge.

We next describe, for each $\ell \geq 5$ and for infinitely many n , a C_ℓ -free n -vertex planar graph with $\frac{3(\ell-1)}{\ell}n - \frac{6(\ell+1)}{\ell}$ edges, following Cranston et al. [2]. Let G be an n -vertex planar graph with girth $\ell + 1$, each vertex having degree 2 or 3, and $\frac{\ell+1}{\ell-1}(n-2)$ edges; such a graph exists for infinitely many integers n [2, Lemma 2]. Let G' be obtained from G by *substituting* an $(\ell - 1)$ -vertex planar triangulation B for each vertex of G , which means that each vertex v of G is replaced by a copy of B and $\deg_G(v)$ vertices of B on a facial triangle are identified with the neighbors of v in G . Then G' is a C_ℓ -free n' -vertex graph with $\frac{3(\ell-1)}{\ell}n' - \frac{6(\ell+1)}{\ell}$ edges [2, Corollary 5]. In particular, if $\ell = 7$ then G' is a C_7 -free n' -vertex graph with $\frac{18}{7}n' - \frac{48}{7}$ edges, so the bound in Theorem 1.1 holds infinitely often.

To prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices to consider graphs without small separations, and without small sets of vertices with only a few incident edges. For a graph G and constant $\alpha > 0$, a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is α -sparse if G has at most $\alpha|S|$ edges with at least one incident vertex in S . For each positive integer n , we write \mathcal{P}_n for the class of n -vertex, 2-connected, C_7 -free plane graphs with no $(18/7)$ -sparse set of order at most 4. We will obtain Theorem 1.1 as a consequence of the following result, by generating all C_7 -free planar graphs from $\cup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{P}_i$ through several basic operations.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$. Then $e(G) \leq \frac{18}{7}n - \frac{48}{7}$.*

Our proof uses the same strategy employed by Ghosh et al. in [5] to find $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_6)$, as we believe it is the natural approach. We also use their terminology whenever possible, for consistency. This terminology and the proof strategy are both described in Section 2. We also enhance their strategy with a result (Lemma 3.1) about long paths in near triangulations which we believe will be useful for other planar Turán problems.

For a graph G , we use $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ to denote its vertex set and edge set, respectively, and often write $|G|$ for $|V(G)|$ and $e(G)$ for $|E(G)|$. For any

$S \subseteq V(G)$, we use $G[S]$ to denote the subgraph of G induced by S and write $G - S$ for $G[V(G) \setminus S]$ (and write $G - s$ instead of $S = \{s\}$). For any subgraph H of G , we write $G - H$ for $G - V(H)$. For a subgraph B of a graph of G , a B -path is a path in G that has both ends in B and is internally disjoint from B . A B -path of length 1 is also known as a *chord* of B . For any positive integer k , we let $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$.

If G is a plane graph, then $F(G)$ denotes its set of faces. It is well known that if G is a 2-connected plane graph then each member of $F(G)$ is bounded by a cycle. The *interior* of a cycle C in a plane graph is defined to be the subgraph of G consisting of all edges and vertices of G contained in the closed disc of the plane bounded by C . Paths and cycles will be represented as a sequence of vertices such that consecutive vertices in the sequence are adjacent. For instance, $x_1x_2x_3 \dots x_k$ is a path of length $k - 1$, and $x_1x_2x_3 \dots x_kx_1$ is a cycle of length k . For any distinct vertices x, y in a graph G , we use $d(x, y)$ to denote the distance between x and y in G , and if x, y are on a path P then xPy denotes the subpath of P between x and y . For any cycle C in a plane graph and any two distinct vertices x, y on C , we use xCy to denote the subpath of C from x to y in clockwise order.

2 Proof strategy and triangular-blocks

We now describe the proof strategy for Theorem 1.1, which is based on that of Ghosh et al [5]. If G is a connected n -vertex plane graph with e edges and f faces, then $e \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$ is equivalent via Euler's formula to

$$24f - 17e + 6n \leq 0,$$

by replacing the 48 with $24(n - e + f)$. We will decompose the graph G into edge-disjoint subgraphs, and show that no subgraph contributes too much towards the left-hand side of the inequality. Due to the extremal construction, it is natural to decompose G into unions of facial triangles. For facial triangles F and F' of G we say that $F \sim F'$ if there is a sequence $F = F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k = F'$ of facial triangles of G so that for each $i \in [k - 1]$, F_i and F_{i+1} share an edge. Clearly \sim is an equivalence relation on the facial triangles of G . This motivates the following key definition [5].

Definition 2.1. Let G be a plane graph. For $e \in E(G)$, if e is not contained in any facial triangle, then let $B(e)$ be the 2-vertex subgraph of G induced by e ; otherwise, let $B(e)$ denote the union of all facial triangles equivalent to some facial triangle containing e . We call $B(e)$ the *triangular-block* containing e , and it is *trivial* if $B(e)$ has just two vertices. We use $\mathcal{B}(G)$ to denote the collection of all triangular-blocks of G .

It is clear from the definition that if B is a triangular-block of G and e_1 and e_2 are distinct edges of B , then $B = B(e_1) = B(e_2)$. In particular, any two distinct triangular-blocks of G are edge-disjoint. Also, note that any redrawing

of G with the same set of faces has the same triangular-blocks. However, note that a face of a triangular-block B is not necessarily a face of G . For example, the interior 4-face of $B_{7,b}$ in Figure 5 need not be a face of G .

Definition 2.2. Let B be a triangular-block of a plane graph G . A *hole* of B is a face of B that is not a face of G .

Not every face of a triangular-block can be a hole. We next make two useful observations about faces of triangular-blocks.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a 2-connected plane graph and let B be a triangular-block of G whose outer face is a hole. Let C be the outer cycle of B and let P be a C -path in B . Then some edge of P is in two facial triangles of G .*

Proof. Let P_1 and P_2 be the two subpaths of C for which $P_1 \cup P$ and $P_2 \cup P$ are cycles. Since the outer face of B is a hole, each facial triangle of B that is a facial triangle of G is in the interior of either $P_1 \cup P$ or $P_2 \cup P$. If no edge of P is in two facial triangles, then no facial triangle of G in the interior of $P_1 \cup P$ is equivalent under \sim to a facial triangle of G in the interior of $P_2 \cup P$, a contradiction. \square

The following is a useful consequence of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. *Let B be a triangular-block of a 2-connected plane graph G , and let F_1 and F_2 be facial cycles of B that each have length at least four. Then F_1 and F_2 share at most one vertex.*

Proof. By redrawing G , we may assume that F_1 is the outer cycle of G . If the statement is false, then there are vertices a and b in $V(F_1) \cap V(F_2)$ and an a - b path P in the interior of F_1 so that $E(P) \subseteq E(F_2)$. But by Lemma 2.3 this is a contradiction. \square

The proof strategy for Theorem 1.1 is to show that no triangular-block of G contributes too much towards the sum $24f - 17e + 6n$. We next make several definitions, following Ghosh et al. [5], to describe how a triangular-block contributes to $24f - 17e + 6n$. This is easy for edges: each triangular-block B contributes $e(B)$ towards e . Then

$$\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(G)} e(B) = e,$$

since triangular-blocks are pairwise edge-disjoint. We next describe the contribution of a triangular-block B to n .

Definition 2.5. Let G be a plane graph and $v \in V(G)$. We write $\mathcal{B}(G)_v = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(G) : v \in V(B)\}$ and say that v is a *junction vertex* if $|\mathcal{B}(G)_v| > 1$. We define $n(v) = 1/|\mathcal{B}(G)_v|$, and $n(B) = \sum_{v \in V(B)} n(v)$.

Thus, for an n -vertex plane graph G ,

$$\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(G)} n(B) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(G)} \sum_{v \in V(B)} n(v) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(G)_v} n(v) = n.$$

We next define the contribution of a triangular-block to the number of faces of a plane graph G . To do this we must develop some notation concerning the faces incident with edges of a triangular-block B .

Definition 2.6. Let B be a triangular-block of a 2-connected plane graph G . A facial cycle F of G that is not a facial cycle of B but shares edges with B is a *petal* of B . The petal F is said to be *leaky* if the graph $F \cap B$ is disconnected. The subgraph of G consisting of B and all petals of B is the *flower* of B .

Our next definition will help deal with a facial cycle that intersects a triangular-block in a path with at least two edges.

Definition 2.7. Let G be a 2-connected plane graph and let F be a facial cycle of G . A triple $x_1x_2x_3$ of consecutive vertices on F is a *bad cherry* if $x_1x_3 \in E(G) \setminus E(F)$ and x_1 and x_3 are junction vertices of a triangular-block of G that also contains the triangle $x_1x_2x_3x_1$. Let F' be the cycle obtained from F in the following manner: for each bad cherry $x_1x_2x_3$ of F , replace the path $x_1x_2x_3$ in F with the path x_1x_3 . We say that F' is the *refinement* of F . For convenience, let $F' = F$ if F has no bad cherry.

Note that the refinement F' of a facial cycle F in a plane graph G is a cycle which has length at most $|F| - 1$ except when $F' = F$ (i.e., F has no bad cherry). Also, it is straightforward to check that if G is C_7 -free and $|F| \geq 8$, then $|F'| \geq 8$ as well; we freely use this fact. We can now define the contribution of a triangular-block of a plane graph G to the number of faces of G .

Definition 2.8. Let G be a 2-connected plane graph. Let B be a triangular-block of G and let $\mathcal{P}(B)$ be the set of petals of B . Let $F \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ and let F' be the refinement of F . Define $f_F(B) = e(F \cap B)/e(F')$ if $F \cap B$ is not a bad cherry, and $f_F(B) = 1/e(F')$ if $F \cap B$ is a bad cherry. Finally, define

$$f(B) = (\text{number of non-hole faces of } B) + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{P}(B)} f_F(B).$$

It is not hard to check that if G is a 2-connected plane graph then $|F(G)| = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(G)} f(B)$.

Now that we have defined the contribution of a triangular-block to edges, vertices, and faces, we can define the contribution of a triangular-block to $24f - 17e + 6n$.

Definition 2.9. Let G be a 2-connected plane graph, and let B be a triangular-block of G . We define

$$g(B) = 24f(B) - 17e(B) + 6n(B).$$

We comment that $g(B)$ will be independent of the planar drawing of G we choose as all planar drawings of G we use will have the same collection of faces and facial cycles. So when we compute an estimate for $g(B)$ we may work with a particular planar drawing of G that is most convenient and therefore of B as well. Also note that if G has n vertices, e edges, and f faces, then $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(G)} g(B) = 24f - 17e + 6n$. This leads to the following proof strategy for Theorem 1.1: show that each triangular-block B of G satisfies $g(B) \leq 0$. However, there are two exceptional cases, shown in Figure 6, for which $g(B) > 0$. To deal with these cases we will instead find a partition of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ so that the sum of $g(B)$ for the triangular-blocks in each part of the partition is at most zero. Then Theorem 1.1 will follow from the following lemma, which was also proved in [5].

Lemma 2.10. *Let G be a 2-connected n -vertex plane graph. If there is a partition $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m\}$ of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ so that $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_i} g(B) \leq 0$ for all $i \in [m]$, then $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$.*

Proof. The statement follows from the facts that $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(G)} g(B) = 24f(G) - 17e(G) + 6n$, and $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$ if and only if $24f(G) - 17e(G) + 6n \leq 0$. \square

In order to apply this lemma we must characterize the possible triangular-blocks of a C_7 -free plane graph and then estimate $g(B)$ for each such triangular-block B . In Section 3 we prove some properties about paths in triangular-blocks, and in Section 4 we characterize the possible triangular-blocks of a C_7 -free plane graph. Then in Sections 5-7 we bound $g(B)$ for each possible C_7 -free triangular-block B . We prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 8 and then prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 9. We finish by discussing some related open problems in Section 10.

3 Near Triangulations

A *near triangulation* is a plane graph in which every face is bounded by a triangle except possibly the outer face. Note that any near-triangulation is an iterative union of facial triangles, and could therefore be a triangular-block of a plane graph. For convenience, we allow any planar embedding of K_2 to be a near triangulation with itself as its outer cycle. In this section we prove two lemmas that will help us characterize all possible C_7 -free triangular-blocks of a plane graph, and also help us bound $g(B)$ for a given triangular-block B .

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a near triangulation with outer cycle C , and let $x, y \in V(C)$ be distinct. Suppose G contains an x - y Hamiltonian path. Then G also contains an x - y path of length ℓ for all ℓ with $d(x, y) \leq \ell \leq |V(G)| - 1$.*

Proof. We apply induction on $n := |V(G)| \geq 2$. The assertion is easily seen to be true for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. So assume $n \geq 4$.

Case 1. $G - x$ is 2-connected.

Then $G-x$ is also a near triangulation. Let D denote the outer cycle of $G-x$. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k be the neighbors of x and assume that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y occur on D clockwise in the order listed. Then $x_1, x_k \in V(C)$, $x_1 D x_k = x_1 x_2 \dots x_k$, and $x_k C x_1 = x_k D x_1$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that some x - y Hamiltonian path in G uses the edge xx_t for some $t \in [k]$. Then $G-x$ has a Hamiltonian x_t - y path. Hence, by induction, $G-x$ contains an x_t - y path of any length between $d_{G-x}(x_t, y)$ and $n-2$. So by adding the edge xx_t , we see that G has an x - y path of any length between $d_{G-x}(x_t, y) + 1$ and $n-1$. We are done if $d_{G-x}(x_t, y) \leq d_G(x, y) - 1$. So assume $d_{G-x}(x_t, y) \geq d_G(x, y)$.

Let P be a shortest x - y path in G , i.e., the length of P is $d_G(x, y)$, and let $xx_s \in E(P)$, where $s \in [k]$. Then for all $i \in [k] \setminus \{s\}$, $x_i \notin V(P)$; otherwise, $xx_i \cup x_i P y$ would be an x - y path in G shorter than P . Let Q denote the subpath of $x_1 D x_k$ between x_s and x_t . Then $Q \cup P$ is an x_t - y path in $G-x$ of length $d_G(x, y) - 1 + |s-t|$. Hence, $d_{G-x}(x_t, y) \leq d_G(x, y) - 1 + |s-t|$. Thus, G has an x - y path of any length between $d_G(x, y) + |s-t|$ and $n-1$.

Therefore, it remains to show that G has x - y paths of any length between $d_G(x, y)$ and $d_G(x, y) - 1 + |s-t|$. For each $x_i \in V(Q)$ we see that $P_i := xx_i \cup x_i Q x_s \cup (P-x)$ is an x - y path in G of length $d_G(x, y) + |i-s|$. Thus, the paths P_i , for all $x_i \in V(Q)$, are x - y paths of all possible lengths between $d_G(x, y)$ and $d_G(x, y) + |s-t|$.

Case 2. $G-x$ is not 2-connected.

Then, since G is a near triangulation, C has chords from x to $C-x$. Since G has a Hamiltonian x - y path, the chords of C from x must all end on $x C y - \{x, y\}$ or all end on $y C x - \{x, y\}$. By symmetry, we may assume that all chords of C from x end on $x C y$, and let xz be the chord of C with $z C y$ minimal.

Let $C_1 := x C z \cup z x$ and $C_2 := z C x \cup x z$, which are two cycles in G . For $i \in [2]$, let G_i denote the interior of C_i . Then each G_i is a near triangulation and C_i is its outer cycle. Observe that any x - y Hamiltonian path in G gives rise to an x - z Hamiltonian path in G_1 , as well as a z - y Hamiltonian path in $G_2 - x$ (and hence a x - y Hamiltonian path in G_2 by adding the edge xz). Moreover, $d_{G_2}(x, y) = d_G(x, y)$. Let $n_i := |V(G_i)|$ for $i \in [2]$.

By induction, G_1 has an x - z path of length ℓ_1 for every ℓ_1 between $d_{G_1}(x, z) = 1$ and $n_1 - 1$, and G_2 has an x - y path of length ℓ_2 for every ℓ_2 between $d_{G_2}(x, y) = d_G(x, y)$ and $n_2 - 1$. It remains to show that G has x - y paths of any length between n_2 and $n-1$.

Let P be a Hamiltonian z - y path in $G_2 - x$. Thus, the length of P is $n_2 - 2$. Now take an x - z path P_m in G_1 of length m for each $m \in [n_1 - 1]$. Then for $m \in [n_1 - 1]$, $P \cup P_m$ is an x - y path of length $m + n_2 - 1$. Noting that $n = n_1 + n_2 - 1$, we see that G has an x - y path of any length between n_2 and $n-1$. \square

Next, we consider near triangulations with at most six vertices. Such a graph is certainly C_7 -free, and is therefore relevant for the proof of Theorem 1.1.




Figure 1: The three exceptional cases for Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a near triangulation with outer cycle C , and let $x, y \in V(C)$ be distinct. Suppose $|V(G)| \leq 6$. Then G contains an x - y Hamiltonian path or one of the following holds:*

- (i) xy is a chord of C .
- (ii) G has a path xzy such that both xz and zy are chords of C , and $G - \{x, y, z\}$ consists of 3 isolated vertices (so $G \cong B_{6,a}$ or $G \cong B_{6,d}$ with x, y as in Figure 1).
- (iii) $G \cong B_{6,c}$ with x, y as in Figure 1.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that the assertion is false, and let G be a counterexample with $|V(G)|$ minimum. Then $|V(G)| \geq 4$, as otherwise G contains an x - y Hamiltonian path. Moreover, xy is not a chord of C ; as otherwise we have (i).

Observe that if G contains a chord from x to $xCy - x$ and a chord from x to $yCx - x$, then since $|V(G)| \leq 6$ (and because of planarity), G has no chord from y to $xCy - y$ or $yCx - y$. Hence, we may assume by symmetry (between x and y and between xCy and yCx) that G has no chord from x to $yCx - x$.

Since xy is not a chord of C and G is a near triangulation, y is not a cut vertex of the connected graph $G - x$. So let Y denote the unique block of $G - x$ containing y . Let $x_1, x_2 \in V(Y) \cap V(C - x)$ such that x, x_1, y, x_2 occur in C in clockwise order, and $x_1Cx_2 = Y \cap C$. Since G has no chord from x to $yCx - x$, we see that $x_2x \in E(C)$. Let $X := G - (Y - x_1)$. Note that if $|V(X)| \geq 3$ then X is a near triangulation with outer cycle $C_X := xCx_1 \cup x_1x$, and that if $|V(Y)| \geq 3$ then Y is a near triangulation and its outer cycle, denoted C_Y , satisfies $x_1Cx_2 = x_1C_Yx_2$.

We may assume $y \neq x_1$. For otherwise, $V(X) = \{x, y\}$ as xy is not a chord of C . But then x_1 and x_2 are symmetric, and we can relabel x_1, x_2 and flip the embedding of G .

Now suppose $|V(G)| \leq 5$. Then $|V(X)| \leq 4$ and $|V(Y)| \leq 4$. Hence, X has an x - x_1 Hamiltonian path (since xx_1 is not a chord of C_X) and, thus, Y has no x_1 - y Hamiltonian path. Hence, Y consists of a 4-cycle x_1aybx_1 and chord x_1y , and we have $V(X) = \{x, x_1\}$. Choose the labeling so that $x_1ay \subseteq x_1Cx_2$. Since $x_2x \in E(C)$ and G is a near triangulation, $xb \in E(G)$. But then xbx_1ay is a x - y Hamiltonian path in G , a contradiction.




Figure 2: Possible triangular-blocks on at most four vertices (up to redrawing)




Figure 3: Possible 5-vertex triangular-blocks (up to redrawing)

Thus, $|V(G)| = 6$. Then $|V(X)| \leq 5$. Hence, by the minimality of G , X has an x - x_1 Hamiltonian path (since xx_1 is not a chord of C_X). Therefore, Y has no x_1 - y Hamiltonian path. Thus $|V(X)| = 3$ and $|V(Y)| = 4$, or $|V(X)| = 2$ and $|V(Y)| = 5$. Moreover, by the minimality of G , x_1y is a chord of C_Y . If $|V(X)| = 3$ and $|V(Y)| = 4$ then xx_1, x_1y are both chords of C , and (ii) holds.

So $|V(Y)| = 5$ and $|V(X)| = 2$. Note that, for any $w \in V(yC_Yx_1) \setminus \{y, x_1\}$ with $w \in N(x)$, Y has no w - y Hamiltonian path, as such a path and xw would give an x - y Hamiltonian path in G . Thus, since x_1y is a chord of C_Y , we have $|V(C_Y)| = 5$ and $yC_Yx_1 = yvx_2x_1$ is a path of length 3. Now $vx_1 \notin E(G)$, as otherwise $xx_2vx_1 \cup x_1C_Yy$ is an x - y Hamiltonian path in G , a contradiction. Hence, $x_2y \in E(G)$, which shows that (iii) holds. \square

4 C_7 -Free Triangular-Blocks

In this section we characterize all possible triangular-blocks in a C_7 -free plane graph, up to redrawings that preserve all facial cycles. Certainly any near triangulation with at most six vertices is a candidate. In fact, the following corollary of Lemma 2.4 shows that these are the only possible triangular-blocks with at most six vertices.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a 2-connected plane graph and let B be a triangular-block of G with at most six vertices. Then B is a near triangulation, up to redrawings that preserve all facial cycles.*

Figures 2, 3, and 4 list all near triangulations with at most five vertices and all six-vertex near triangulations with a chord. We next prove that there are only two possible C_7 -free triangular-blocks with more than six vertices; these are shown in Figure 5.




Figure 4: Possible 6-vertex triangular-blocks with a chord (up to redrawing)




Figure 5: Possible 7-vertex C_7 -free triangular-blocks (up to redrawing)

Lemma 4.2. *Every C_7 -free triangular-block of a 2-connected plane graph G has at most six vertices, with the exceptions of $B_{7,a}$ and $B_{7,b}$ as in Figure 5.*

Proof. Let B be a C_7 -free triangular-block of G with at least seven vertices.

Suppose B contains a 7-vertex triangular-block $B_{7,a}$ or $B_{7,b}$. Note that triangular faces of $B_{7,a}$ and $B_{7,b}$ are not holes, by Lemma 2.3. We may assume that G is drawn so that the $B_{7,a}$ or $B_{7,b}$ in B are drawn as in Figure 5. If B has more than seven vertices, then B has a plane subgraph obtained by adding a vertex adjacent to both ends of an edge on a non-triangular facial cycle of $B_{7,a}$ or $B_{7,b}$. It is straightforward to check that any graph of this form contains C_7 , a contradiction. Therefore $B \in \{B_{7,a}, B_{7,b}\}$. Hence, we may assume that B contains neither $B_{7,a}$ nor $B_{7,b}$.

Note that B has a subgraph H that is obtained from a 6-vertex triangular-block B' by adding a vertex z that is adjacent to both vertices of an edge xy of some facial cycle of B' bounding a hole of B' .

Since B is C_7 -free, B' has no x - y Hamiltonian path. By Lemma 4.1, B' is a near triangulation, and Lemma 3.2 implies that B' is not a triangulation. By redrawing G we may assume that the outer cycle F_1 of B' has length at least four. Lemma 3.2 implies that if xy is an edge on the outer cycle of B' , then $B' \cong B_{6,d}$ and $H = B_{7,a}$, a contradiction. So xy is incident to an interior triangular hole of B' . This implies that H has another facial cycle, F_2 , of length at least four. By Lemma 2.4, $|F_1| = |F_2| = 4$ and $|V(F_1) \cap V(F_2)| = 1$. Let $F_1 = abcda$ and $F_2 = auvwa$.

Suppose that F_1 has no chord in its interior. Note that each interior face of H is triangular except for F_2 . If ab and bc are incident with the same interior triangular face of H , then ac is a chord of H , a contradiction. Since ab and bc are incident with different interior faces, there is an interior edge of H with b as an end and with the other end in the set $\{u, v, w\}$. However, neither bu nor bw is an edge of H ; otherwise, H (and hence G) would contain C_7 . So bv is an edge of H . By symmetry, dv is an edge of H and du and dw are not edges of H . The 4-cycles $abvua$ and $advva$ each bound two triangular faces of H , which forces av to be an edge in the interior of both $abvua$ and $advva$. This is a contradiction.

Thus, we may assume that F_1 has a chord in its interior. Figure 4 shows all possible 6-vertex triangular-blocks whose outer cycle has length four and has a chord in its interior, and only $B_{6,g}$ and $B_{6,h}$ could have an interior hole. This hole is bounded by a facial cycle F of B' containing both interior vertices of B' . It is not hard to check that for any distinct $s, t \in V(F)$, B' has an s - t Hamiltonian path, with one exception (when $B' \cong B_{6,g}$, $s \in V(F_1)$, and t is of degree 4 in B') that results in $H = B_{7,b}$. \square

We will also need the following characterization of bad cherries in facial cycles with length at most six.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a 2-connected plane graph, let F be a petal of some triangular-block B of G with $4 \leq |F| \leq 6$ and $|B| \geq 3$, and let F' be the refinement of F . Then $F = F'$, unless*

- (i) $B \in \{B_{5,d}, B_{6,g}, B_{6,h}, B_{7,b}\}$,
- (ii) $F = wx_1x_2x_3$ where $x_1x_2x_3$ is a bad cherry on the outer cycle of B , and
- (iii) wx_1 and wx_3 are trivial triangular-blocks incident with exactly one face of G with length less than eight.

Proof. Assume that $F \neq F'$. Then F has a bad cherry $x_1x_2x_3$. By the definition of bad cherry, x_1, x_2, x_3 are in a common triangular-block B of G . We may assume that G is drawn so that $x_1x_2x_3$ is contained in the outer cycle C of B and that F is not in the interior of C . Let B' be the interior of the triangle $x_1x_2x_3x_1$ in B , so B' is a subgraph of B . Since G has no $(18/7)$ -sparse sets of order at most two, there are at least two vertices in B' , and therefore B' has at least five vertices. Thus, by Lemma 4.2, $B \in \{B_{5,d}, B_{6,g}, B_{6,h}, B_{7,b}\}$ and (i) holds. Note that $B' \in \{B_{5,d}, B_{6,g}, B_{6,i}\}$, up to redrawing.

We claim that $|F| = 4$. Since $B' \in \{B_{5,d}, B_{6,g}, B_{6,i}\}$, by checking cases, there is a length i x_1 - x_3 path P_i in B' for each $i \in [4]$. Then $|F| \notin \{5, 6\}$, or else $(F - x_2) \cup P_i$ with $i \in \{3, 4\}$ is a C_7 in G . Therefore $|F| = 4$.

Let w be the vertex in $V(F) \setminus \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. We see that (ii) holds. If wx_1 or wx_3 is in a facial cycle of length less than eight other than F , then such a facial cycle contains an x_1 - x_3 path of length $\ell \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ that is internally disjoint from B' . The concatenation of this path with a length $(7 - \ell)$ x_1 - x_3 path of B' forms a C_7 in G , a contradiction. Therefore wx_1 and wx_3 are trivial triangular-blocks of G that are incident with exactly one face of G with length less than eight and (iii) holds. \square

5 Large Triangular-Blocks

Now that we have a complete characterization of possible triangular-blocks in a C_7 -free plane graph, we proceed by estimating

$$g(B) = 24f(B) - 17e(B) + 6n(B)$$

for each possible triangular-block B . In this section we analyze the possible triangular-blocks with at least six vertices for a graph $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$. We first consider chordless near triangulations, and then divide the remaining cases into three groups based on the length of the outer cycle.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$, and let B be a triangular-block of G . If B is a 6-vertex near triangulation whose outer cycle has no chord and whose outer face is its only hole, then $g(B) \leq 0$.*

Proof. Let C be the outer cycle of B ; this is the only possible non-triangle facial cycle of B . Since C has a chord, $|C| \leq 5$. It follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.1 that for any distinct $x, y \in V(C)$, there is an x - y path in B of length l for each l between $d_B(x, y)$ (at most 2) and $|B| - 2 = 4$. Thus, to avoid C_7 , each petal F of B has length at least 8 and, hence, the refinement of each petal has length at least 8.

Note that at least two vertices on C are junction vertices since G is 2-connected, and for each junction vertex v we have $n(v) \leq 1/2$. Moreover, from Euler's formula, we have $|E(B)| = 15 - |C|$ and $|F(B)| = 11 - |C|$.

If $|C| = 4$, then

$$g(B) \leq 24(6 + 4/8) - 17 \cdot 11 + 6(4 + 2/2) = -1;$$

and if $|C| = 5$, then

$$g(B) \leq 24(5 + 5/8) - 17 \cdot 10 + 6(4 + 2/2) = -5.$$

Thus we may assume that B is a triangulation. If all vertices of C are junction vertices of B then

$$g(B) \leq 24(7 + 3/8) - 17 \cdot 12 + 6(3 + 3/2) = 0.$$

So assume that only two vertices of C are junction vertices of B . Then B has a bad cherry $x_1x_2x_3$ on a facial cycle F of B such that $x_1x_2, x_2x_3 \in E(F)$. Thus,

$$g(B) \leq 24(7 + 1/8 + 1/8) - 17 \cdot 12 + 6(4 + 2/2) = 0,$$

as desired. \square

We next consider 6-vertex and 7-vertex triangular-blocks whose outer cycle has length 4 and a chord.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$, and let B be a triangular-block of G with no triangular holes and at most one hole. If $B \in \{B_{6,g}, B_{6,h}, B_{6,i}, B_{7,b}\}$, then $g(B) < 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that G is drawn in the plane such that the outer face of B is its hole. Let C be the outer cycle of B . Let x and y be the ends of the unique chord of C , and let a and b be the other two vertices on the outer cycle of B , where $d_B(a) = 2$ if $B \neq B_{6,i}$. If $B = B_{7,b}$, let c be the interior degree 2 vertex of B . Note that when $|B| = 6$ then $|E(B)| = 11$ and $|F(B)| = 7$, and when $|B| = 7$ then $|E(B)| = 13$ and $|F(B)| = 8$.

Suppose for each petal F of B , $|F| \geq 8$. Since B has at least two junction vertices, if $|B| = 6$ then

$$g(B) \leq 24(6 + 4/8) - 17 \cdot 11 + 6(4 + 2/2) = -1,$$

and if $|B| = 7$ then

$$g(B) \leq 24(7 + 4/8) - 17 \cdot 13 + 6(5 + 2/2) = -5$$

as desired.

Thus, we may assume that there is some petal F of B with $|F| < 8$. We now show that $|F| = 4$ and $F = xbytx$ for some $t \notin V(B)$. To see this, let P be a subpath of F that is also a B -path, and let v and w be the ends of P in

B . By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 (applied to $B - c$ if $B = B_{7,b}$), we see that B and $B - c$ have $v-w$ paths of any length between $d_B(v, w)$ and 5, unless $B = B_{6,i}$ and $\{v, w\} = \{x, y\}$. Thus, since G is C_7 -free, $P = ab$, or $P = xty$ where $t \in V(F) \setminus V(B)$. This in particular implies that F cannot contain two such B -paths. Thus, $F \cap B$ is xy or yax . Then $B \neq B_{6,i}$ or else G has an $(18/7)$ -sparse set. Hence, $|F| = 4$ and $F = xbytx$ as a is a junction vertex (since $\{a\}$ is not an $(18/7)$ -sparse set).

Note that F is the unique petal of B with length less than eight, b is a not a junction vertex, and xy is a bad cherry (thus $f_F(B) = 1/3$). Therefore, if $B \in \{B_{6,g}, B_{6,h}\}$, we have

$$g(B) \leq 24(6 + 2/8 + 1/3) - 17 \cdot 11 + 6(3 + 3/2) = -2,$$

and if $B = B_{7,b}$ we have

$$g(B) \leq 24(7 + 2/8 + 1/3) - 17 \cdot 13 + 6(4 + 3/2) = -6,$$

as desired. \square

To deal with other 6-vertex and 7-vertex triangular-blocks, we need a technical lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$ and let B be a triangular-block of G with no triangular holes and $B \in \{B_{6,a}, B_{6,b}, B_{6,c}, B_{6,d}, B_{6,e}, B_{6,f}, B_{7,a}\}$. Let C be the outer cycle of B , $x_1x_2x_3$ be a subpath of C , and let F_1, F_2 be the petals of B containing x_1x_2, x_2x_3 , respectively. Suppose G has a B -path P between x_1 and x_3 of length at most 2. Then $|F_i| \geq 8$ for $i = 1, 2$, unless*

- $B = B_{6,a}$ with x_1, x_3 corresponding to x, y as in Figure 1 and $F_1 = F_2$ is a 4-cycle, or
- $B = B_{6,d}$ with x_1, x_2 corresponding to x, y as in Figure 1 and $|F_1| \geq 8$.

Proof. First, suppose $F_1 \neq F_2$. Then, because of P we see that each F_i contains an $x_{s_i}-x_{s_i+1}$ B -path for some $s_i \in [2]$. If $B \neq B_{6,d}$, then Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 imply that for all $t \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$, B has an $x_{s_i}-x_{s_i+1}$ path of length t . Thus, since G is C_7 -free, $|F_i| \geq 8$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $B = B_{6,d}$, then by checking cases we see that for all $t \in [4]$, B has an $x_{s_i}-x_{s_i+1}$ path of length t . Since $|F_i| \geq 4$ (as B is a triangular-block), if $|F_i| \leq 6$ then by case analysis $B \cup F_i \cup P$ contains a C_7 unless x_1, x_2 correspond to x, y as in Figure 1, $|F_2| = 4$, and $x_2x_3x_1 \subseteq F_2$. Hence, $|F_1| \geq 8$.

So we may assume $F_1 = F_2$. Again, because of the path P and planarity, $F_1 \cap C = x_1x_2x_3$. So $|F_1| \geq 4$ as B is a triangular-block.

Suppose B has an x_1-x_3 Hamiltonian path. Then by Lemma 3.1, B has an x_1-x_3 path of length ℓ for every ℓ between 2 and 5. Therefore, $|F_1| \geq 8$ to avoid a C_7 in G .

Now assume B has no x_1-x_3 Hamiltonian path. Then by Lemma 3.2, $B = B_{6,a}$ with x_1, x_3 corresponding to x, y in Figure 1. Now B has an x_1-x_3 path of any length between 2 and 4. So if $|F_1| < 8$ then $|F_1| = 4$. \square

We next consider 6-vertex triangular-blocks with five vertices on the outer cycle.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$, and let B be a triangular-block of G with no triangular hole. If $B \in \{B_{6,d}, B_{6,e}, B_{6,f}\}$, then $g(B) < 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, each petal of B with length at most six is equal to its refinement. We may assume that B is drawn as shown in Figure 4. Let C be the outer cycle of B , so $|C| = 5$. Since no triangular face of B is a hole, all junction vertices of B are contained in C and there are at least two (as G is 2-connected) and must include all degree 2 vertices of B (to avoid an $(18/7)$ -sparse set of order 1).

In fact, C has at least three junction vertices of B . For, otherwise, let S be obtained from $V(B)$ by removing the junction vertices of B (so $|S| = 4$). Then one can check that S is an $(18/7)$ -sparse set, a contradiction.

If there are no B -paths of length at most 2, then each petal of B has length at least 8, and so

$$g(B) \leq 24(5 + 5/8) - 7 \cdot 10 + (3 + 3/2) < 0.$$

If there are two B -paths of length at most 2 that have different sets of ends on C , then by Lemma 5.3, at least three petals of B have length at least 8; so

$$g(B) \leq 24(5 + 3/8 + 2/4) - 17 \cdot 10 + 6(3 + 3/2) = -2.$$

So we may assume that all B -paths of length at most 2 in G have the same ends on B , say x and y , and that there is a petal F of B with $|F| < 8$. Then $F \cap B$ is a path of length 2 or 3, or else G contains a C_7 by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.1. So by Lemma 5.3, $|F| = 4$ and F contains a B -path of length 2 between x and y , call it P . If $xy \notin E(B)$ then B has an x - y Hamiltonian path (by Lemma 3.2), together with P , gives a C_7 , a contradiction. So $xy \in E(B)$. But then G has an $(18/7)$ -sparse set consisting of 1 or 2 vertices, a contradiction. \square

Finally, we consider triangular-blocks with six vertices on the outer cycle.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$, and let B be a triangular-block of G with no triangular hole. If $B \in \{B_{6,a}, B_{6,b}, B_{6,c}, B_{7,a}\}$, then $g(B) \leq 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, each petal of B with length at most six is equal to its refinement. We may assume that B is drawn as shown in Figure 4 or Figure 5. Let C be the outer cycle of B , so $|C| = 6$. Note that every degree 2 vertex in B must be a junction vertex, to avoid an $(18/7)$ -sparse set of order 1. Thus, if B has two junction vertices then $B \in \{B_{6,a}, B_{6,b}\}$; but then $\{v \in V(B) : d_B(v) \geq 3\}$ is an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G , a contradiction. So B has at least three junction vertices.

If $B \neq B_{7,a}$ (so $|B| = 6$, $|F(B)| = 5$, and $|E(B)| = 9$) and at least two edges of C are in petals of B of length at least 8, then

$$g(B) \leq 24(4 + 2/8 + 4/4) - 17 \cdot 9 + 6(3 + 3/2) = 0. \quad (1)$$

If $B = B_{7,a}$ (so $|B| = 7$, $|F(B)| = 7$, and $|E(B)| = 12$) and at least three edges of C are in petals of B of length at least 8, then

$$g(B) \leq 24(6 + 3/8 + 3/4) - 17 \cdot 12 + 6(4 + 3/2) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Thus, we may assume that at most one edge (if $|B| = 6$) or two edges (if $|B| = 7$) of C are in petals of B of length at least 8. Let \mathcal{P} be the collection of B -paths of G with at most two edges. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 imply that for each petal F of B with $|F| < 8$, F contains a path in \mathcal{P} . We consider three cases.

First suppose that $B = B_{6,a}$. Let v be a degree 2 vertex of B . Suppose that v is in a petal F with $|F| < 8$. Since G has no degree 2 vertices, F contains a path P in \mathcal{P} with v as an end. Since v is not the end of a chord of C and G contains no C_7 , it follows from Lemma 3.2 that P consists of a single edge. By Lemma 5.3 and (1), the other end of P is at distance three from v on C . But then applying the same reasoning to the other degree 2 vertex u of B shows that at least two edges of C are each contained in a petal of length at least 8, and so (1) implies that $g(B) \leq 0$.

Next suppose that $B \in \{B_{6,b}, B_{6,c}\}$. Then by Lemma 5.3 and (1) we may assume that the ends of each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ are at distance three on C . This implies that each petal of B with $|F| < 8$ contains exactly one path in \mathcal{P} as a subpath. It is straightforward to check that G has an $(18/7)$ -sparse set unless $B = B_{6,b}$ and the ends of each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ are the degree 2 vertices of B . Since the degree 2 vertices of B are not the ends of a chord of C , it follows that each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ consists of a single edge to avoid a C_7 in $B \cup P$, and so $|\mathcal{P}| = 1$. Therefore there is a unique petal F of B with $|F| < 8$. Since only three edges of C are contained in F , there are three edges of C contained in petals of length at least 8. Then (1) implies that $g(B) \leq 0$.

Finally, suppose that $B = B_{7,a}$. If there is a path P in \mathcal{P} with both ends having degree 2 in B then $B \cup P$ contains C_7 , a contradiction. By Lemma 5.3 and (2) there is at most one path in \mathcal{P} with neither end having degree 2 in B , and clearly there is at most one path in \mathcal{P} with exactly one end having degree 2 in B . So \mathcal{P} contains at most two paths and, since G has no degree 2 vertex, it follows that there is a unique petal of B with $|F| < 8$ (and F contains both paths in \mathcal{P}). Since F contains at most three edges of C , at least three edges of C are each contained in a petal of B of length at least 8; so (2) implies that $g(B) \leq 0$. \square

6 Five-vertex triangular-blocks

In this section we analyze the possible 5-vertex triangular-blocks of a graph $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$, and let B be a triangular-block of G , and assume that G is drawn in the plane such that $B = B_{5,a}$ is drawn as in Figure 3. Then $g(B) \leq 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, each petal of B with length at most six is equal to its refinement. Lemma 2.3 shows that no triangular face of B is a hole. Let $C = abcdea$ be the outer cycle of B with $d_B(a) = 4$. Note that B has at least three junction vertices, or else $\{b\}$ or $\{e\}$ or $\{c, d\}$ would be an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G .

If at least two edges of C are contained in petals of B of length at least 8, then

$$g(B) \leq 24(3 + 2/8 + 3/4) - 17 \cdot 7 + 6(2 + 3/2) = -2.$$

So we may assume that at most one edge of C is contained in a petal of B of length at least 8. This implies, up to relabeling vertices, that the edges ab and bc are not in a petal of length at least 8. Let F_1 and F_2 be petals of B that contain ab and bc , respectively.

Suppose F_1 and F_2 are both leaky. It is straightforward to check that there is a pair (P_1, P_2) of length 2 B -paths where $P_i \subseteq F_i$, the ends of P_i are not $\{a, c\}$ or $\{a, d\}$, and P_1 and P_2 have distinct sets of ends. But then $B \cup P_1 \cup P_2$ contains C_7 , a contradiction. So either F_1 or F_2 is not leaky.

We claim that if F_1 is not leaky then $F_1 \cap C = eab$ and if F_2 is not leaky then $F_2 \cap C = bcd$. Suppose F_1 is not leaky. First, $F_1 \cap C$ does not contain $deab$ or abc , as otherwise $\{e\}$ or $\{b\}$ would be an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G . Now suppose $F_1 \cap C = ab$. Then since B has an a - b path of every length between 1 and 4 and $|F_1| < 8$, G has a C_7 , a contradiction. Therefore $F_1 \cap C = eab$, and similar argument shows that if F_2 is not leaky then $F_2 \cap C = bcd$.

Suppose F_1 and F_2 are both non-leaky. Then by the above claim, $|F_1| = |F_2| = 4$ (to avoid C_7 in G). Let $F_1 = veabv$ and $F_2 = wbc dw$. If $v \neq w$, we see that $B \cup F_1 \cup F_2$ contains a C_7 , a contradiction. So $v = w$. But then $\{b, c\}$ is an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G , a contradiction.

We may thus assume that F_1 is not leaky and F_2 is leaky, as the same argument applies to the case when F_1 is leaky and F_2 is not leaky. Hence, $F_1 \cap C = eab$ and $|F_1| = 4$.

We claim that $F_2 \cap C$ consists of bc and e . For, otherwise, $F_2 \cap C$ contains a B -path P between c and d which is also disjoint from F_1 (by planarity). Note that P has length between 2 and 5 and that $B \cup F_1$ has c - d paths of every length between 1 and 5. Hence, $B \cup F_1 \cup P$ contains C_7 , a contradiction.

The same argument in the above paragraph shows that ce is an edge of F_2 . Moreover, the b - e subpath of $F_2 - c$ has length 2, to avoid forming a C_7 with a b - e path in $B \cup F_1$. Let $F_1 = veabv$ and $F_2 = wbc ew$. If $v = w$ then $d_G(v) = 2$ and $\{v\}$ is an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G , a contradiction. So $v \neq w$.

Now the petals of B containing cd and de are distinct. For, otherwise, the petal containing cde has length between 4 and 6, which, together with a c - e path in $B \cup P$ forms a C_7 , a contradiction. Moreover, the petals containing cd or de each contains a $(B \cup F_1)$ -path of length at least 3 with both ends in $\{c, d, e\}$. But then one of these paths has length at most 6, which forms a C_7 with a path in B , a contradiction. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$ and let B be a triangular-block of G .*




Figure 6: The two exceptional flowers.

Suppose that G is drawn in the plane such that $B = B_{5,d}$ is drawn as in Figure 3 and its only hole is its outer face. Then $g(B) \leq 0$.

Proof. First, we observe that every B -path in G has length ℓ with $\ell = 2$ or $\ell \geq 7$. For, otherwise, suppose P is a B -path in G of length ℓ with $3 \leq \ell \leq 6$. Note that B contains a path Q of length $7 - \ell$ between the ends of P . Now $P \cup Q$ is a C_7 in G , a contradiction.

Let $uvwu$ be the outer cycle of B . (Note that we do not specify the vertices u, v, w .) Thus, all junction vertices of B are contained in $\{u, v, w\}$ and, since G is 2-connected, at least two of $\{u, v, w\}$ are junction vertices of B . Hence, if each edge of $uvwu$ is in a petal of B of length at least 8, then

$$g(B) \leq 24(5 + 3/8) - 17 \cdot 9 + 6(3 + 2/2) = 0,$$

so we may assume that there is a petal F of B with $|F| < 8$.

We claim that $|F| = 4$ and F has a bad cherry (so $f_F(B) = 1/3$). To see this, let P be a longest B -path contained in F . By the observation above, P has length 2 (as $|F| < 8$). Since B is a triangular-block, P and two edges of $uvwu$ bounds the face F . So $|F| = 4$, and $F \cap B$ is a bad cherry. Without loss of generality, assume that $F \cap B = vwu$.

We next consider the petal F_1 of B containing uv . Note that $F_1 - uv$ is a B -path, because w is in the interior of F . Hence, since $|F_1| \geq 4$, $F_1 - uv$ has length at least 7 (by the above observation). So $|F_1| \geq 8$ (and $f_{F_1}(B) \leq 1/8$).

We now show that u and v are each contained in at least three triangular-blocks of G . For, suppose, without loss of generality, that v is in exactly two triangular-blocks of G . Let x be the vertex in $F - \{u, v, w\}$. If $N_G(v) \subseteq V(B \cup F)$, then $V(B) \setminus \{u\}$ is an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G , a contradiction. So v has a neighbor outside $B \cup F$, say y . Then vy and vx are in some triangular-block B' of G . One can check that B' or $G[V(B') \cup \{u\}]$ contains a v - u path of length of 3 or 4, which together with a u - v path in B forms a C_7 in G , a contradiction. Therefore,

$$g(B) \leq 24(5 + 1/8 + 1/3) - 17 \cdot 9 + 6(3 + 2/3) = 0,$$

as desired. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$ and let B be a triangular-block of G . Suppose that G is drawn in the plane such that $B \in \{B_{5,b}, B_{5,c}\}$ is drawn as in Figure 3. Then $g(B) \leq 0$ unless the flower of B is one of the two graphs shown in Figure 6, up to redrawings that preserve facial cycles.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, each petal of B with length at most six is equal to its refinement. Lemma 2.3 shows that the only hole of B is its outer face. Let $C = abcd$ be the outer cycle of B , with $d_B(d) = 2$ if $B = B_{5,c}$. Note that no petal of B has a bad cherry, as otherwise it is easy to see that $B = B_{5,c}$ and one or two vertices of B would form an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G . Since G is 2-connected, B has at least 2 junction vertices. Thus, if each edge of C is in a petal of B of length at least 8, then

$$g(B) \leq 24(4 + 4/8) - 17 \cdot 8 + 6(3 + 2/2) = -4.$$

So we may assume that there is a petal F of B with $|F| < 8$.

Thus, F contains a B -path P of length between 2 and 5. To avoid forming C_7 with a path in B , P has length 2 if the ends of P do not induce a chord of C , and P has length 2 or 3 otherwise.

Suppose that we may choose P so that the ends of P are adjacent on C . Then P has length 2 to avoid forming a C_7 with B , and, since B is a triangular-block, F is a leaky petal. Therefore, F contains a second B -path P' which does not have the same two ends as P , and hence B has at least three junction vertices. Note that $B \cup P$ is a near triangulation on 6 vertices, and also note by the above paragraph that P' has length at most 3. It is straightforward to check that P' has length 1, or else $B \cup P'$ or $B \cup P \cup P'$ would contain C_7 . Thus, if $B = B_{5,c}$ then $P' \neq ac$. Hence, without loss of generality we may assume that $P' = bd$, and that the triangle $abda$ separates B from some vertex of G . Then the petal of B containing ab either contains an a - b path of length at least 7 or a b - d path of length at least 6 to avoid forming a C_7 with B , and in either case has length at least 8. Similarly, the petal of B containing ad has length at least 8. Therefore

$$g(B) \leq 24(4 + 2/8 + 2/4) - 17 \cdot 8 + 6(2 + 3/2) = -1,$$

as desired.

So we may assume that F contains no B -path with length between 2 and 5 whose ends are adjacent on C . Then F is not a leaky petal. If $B = B_{5,c}$ and P is an a - c path, then G has a sparse set consisting of 1 or 2 vertices from $V(B) \setminus \{a, c\}$, a contradiction. So we may assume without loss of generality that P is a b - d path (so P has length 2 to avoid forming a C_7 with B) and that F is the cycle $bcdvb$, where v is the internal vertex of P .

If B has three junction vertices, then the petal F_1 of B containing ab also contains a B -path of length at least 2 with ends a and b or a and d . Since such a path has length at least 7 (to avoid forming a C_7 with B), $|F_1| \geq 8$. Similarly, the petal of B containing ad has length at least 8. Then

$$g(B) \leq 24(4 + 2/8 + 2/4) - 17 \cdot 8 + 6(2 + 3/2) = -1.$$

So we may assume that B has exactly two junction vertices, namely b and d . Then the petal F_1 of B containing the path dab is the union of dab and a B -path Q in G between d and b . As with P and F , Q has length 2 or at least 6 to avoid creating C_7 with B . If Q has length 2, then $v \notin V(Q)$ and the flower of B is shown in Figure 6.

So assume Q has length at least 6. Then $|F_1| \geq 8$. If b and d are each in at least three triangular-blocks of G then

$$g(B) \leq 24(4 + 2/8 + 2/4) - 17 \cdot 8 + 6(3 + 2/3) = 0.$$

So assume by symmetry that b is in exactly two triangular-blocks of G . If $N_G(b) \subseteq V(B \cup P)$ then $V(B - d)$ is an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G , a contradiction. So b has a neighbor z outside $B \cup P$. Then bv and bz are in the same triangular-block B' of G . Now B' contains a $b-v$ path of length between 2 and 6, which is also a $(B \cup P)$ -path. However, this path and a $b-v$ path in $B \cup P$ form a C_7 in G , a contradiction. \square

Finally, for the triangular-blocks with flower shown in Figure 6, we note that each edge of its outer cycle is contained in a facial cycle of length at least 5, to avoid a C_7 in G . Thus, we have the following.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$. Let B be a triangular-block of G with flower shown in Figure 6, and let $e \in E(G) \setminus E(B)$ be in a petal of B . Then e is incident with exactly one face of G of length less than five. In particular, e is a trivial triangular-block.*

7 Small Triangular-Blocks

In this section we analyze the triangular-blocks with at most four vertices in a graph $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$. We first consider trivial triangular-blocks.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$ and let B be trivial triangular-block of G . Then $g(B) \leq 0$.*

Proof. Let x_1 and x_2 be the two vertices of B , and let F_1 and F_2 be the two petals of B . Note that $|F_i| \geq 4$ for each $i \in [2]$ since B is a triangular-block. Each of x_1 and x_2 is in at least two triangular-blocks, so $n(B) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$.

We may assume that for each $i \in [2]$, $|F_i| = 4$, F_i equals its refinement, and x_i is contained in exactly two triangular-blocks of G (including B). First, if the refinement of F_1 is not F_1 then Lemma 4.3 implies that $|F_1| \geq 8$ and $|F_2| \geq 4$, or $|F_1| = 4$ and $|F_2| \geq 8$; so

$$g(B) \leq 24(1/8 + 1/4) - 17 + 6(1/2 + 1/2) = -2.$$

So we may assume that F_1 and F_2 are both equal to their refinements. Next, if $|F_1| \geq 5$ or $|F_2| \geq 5$ then

$$g(B) \leq 24(1/4 + 1/5) - 17 + 6(1/2 + 1/2) = -1/5,$$

so we may assume that $|F_1| = |F_2| = 4$. Now assume x_1 or x_2 is in at least three triangular-blocks of G . Then $n(B) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}$ and again

$$g(B) \leq 24(1/4 + 1/4) - 17 + 6(1/2 + 1/3) = 0.$$

So both x_1 and x_2 are each contained in exactly 2 triangular-blocks. Let x_3 and x_4 be the vertices of F_1 other than x_1 and x_2 , such that $F_1 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_1$.

Case 1. $V(F_1 \cap F_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}$.

Let x_5 and x_6 be the other two vertices of F_2 , such that $F_2 = x_1x_2x_5x_6x_1$. Now $d_G(x_i) \geq 4$ for some $i \in [2]$, as $\{x_1, x_2\}$ is not an $(18/7)$ -sparse set. By symmetry, assume $d_G(x_2) \geq 4$. Consider the triangular-block B' of G such that B' contains $x_3x_2x_5$, and let F_3 and F_5 be the facial triangles of B' containing x_2x_3 and x_2x_5 , respectively. If F_3 contains a third vertex in $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\}$, it must be x_6 to avoid an $(18/7)$ -sparse set of order 1. But then F_5 contains a vertex v with $v \notin \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\}$, and $x_2vx_5x_6x_1x_4x_3x_2$ is a C_7 in G , a contradiction.

Case 2. $V(F_1 \cap F_2) \neq \{x_1, x_2\}$

Then $|V(F_1 \cap F_2)| = 3$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x_4 \in V(F_2)$. Let x_5 be the fourth vertex of F_2 ; then $F_2 = x_1x_2x_4x_5x_1$. Note that $x_4x_1x_5x_4$ bounds a triangular-block B_1 of G since x_1 is in exactly 2 triangular-blocks of G . Since F_2 is a facial cycle and $\{x_5\}$ cannot be a sparse set in G , $|B_1| \geq 4$. Since x_1x_4 is an edge on the outer cycle of B_1 , Lemmas 3.2 and 3.1 imply that B_1 contains an x_1 - x_4 path P of length 3. Note that the path $x_3x_2x_4$ is contained in a triangular-block B_2 of G , and the facial triangle of B containing x_2x_3 contains a vertex $v \notin \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ as $\{x_3\}$ cannot be an $(18/7)$ -sparse set of G . But then $P \cup x_1x_2vx_3x_4$ is a C_7 in G , a contradiction. \square

Lemma 7.2. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$ and let B be a triangular-block of G . If $B = B_3$ as in Figure 2, then $g(B) \leq 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 and to avoid a C_7 , each petal of B with length at most six is equal to its refinement. Note that each vertex of B is a junction vertex, since G has no degree 2 vertex. Hence,

$$g(B) \leq 24(1 + 3/4) - 17 \cdot 3 + 6(3/2) = 0,$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 7.3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$ and let B be a triangular-block of G . Suppose G is drawn in the plane such that $B = B_{4,b}$ is drawn as in Figure 2 with its outer face as its only hole. Then $g(B) \leq 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, each petal of B with length at most six is equal to its refinement. Let a, b, c be the vertices of the outer cycle of B , which are all junction vertices (to avoid an $(18/7)$ -sparse set consisting of two adjacent vertices). If there is a petal of B with length at least 8, then

$$g(B) \leq 24(3 + 1/8 + 2/4) - 17 \cdot 6 + 6(1 + 3/2) = 0.$$

So we may assume that each petal of B has length less than 8.

Suppose B has a leaky petal, say F_1 , and assume by symmetry that $bc \in E(F_1)$. Then F_1 is the union of bc , a B -path P_1 between a and b , and a B -path P_2 between a and c . Note that for each $i \in [2]$, P_i has length 2 (or else $B \cup P_1 \cup P_2$ would contain C_7), and let v_i be the internal vertex of P_i . Now, the petal F_2 of B containing ac contains a B -path Q between a and c . Note that the length of Q is between 3 and 5, and hence $Q \cup P_1 \cup B$ contains a C_7 , a contradiction.

So we may assume that B has no leaky petal. Since a, b, c are all junction vertices of G and there is no B -path of length 4 or 5 in G (to avoid forming a C_7 with B), each petal of B has length 4. Let F_1, F_2, F_3 be the petals containing ac, ab, bc , respectively.

Let x and y be the vertices of F_1 that are not in B so that $F_1 = axyca$. Then F_2 contains a vertex z that is not in $B \cup F_1$ (to avoid an $(18/7)$ -sparse set of order at most 2 in G) and such z is unique to avoid a C_7 in $B \cup F_1 \cup F_2$. So z has two neighbors on $B \cup F_1$ that are in F_2 : a and c , or a and y , or b and x . If these neighbors of z are b and x then $B \cup F_1 \cup F_2$ contains C_7 , a contradiction. If the neighbors are a and c then b is not a junction vertex, a contradiction. So the neighbors of z are a and y , and then the fourth edge of F_2 is by or ay .

By applying the same reasoning to the petals F_1 and F_3 , we deduce that $bx \in E(F_3)$ or $cx \in E(F_3)$, and this is a contradiction because b and x are separated by the cycle $azyca$ or $ayca$. \square

Lemma 7.4. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$ and let B be a triangular-block of G . Suppose G is drawn in the plane such that $B = B_{4,a}$ is drawn as in Figure 2 with its outer face as its only hole. Then $g(B) \leq 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, each petal of B with length at most six is equal to its refinement. Lemma 2.3 shows that B has no triangular hole. Let $C = abcda$ be the outer cycle of B , with a, c of degree 3 in B . Note that b, d must be junction vertices as $\{b\}$ and $\{d\}$ cannot be an $(18/7)$ -sparse set. If both a and c are junction vertices, then

$$g(B) \leq 24(2 + 4/4) - 17 \cdot 5 + 6(4/2) = -1.$$

So we may assume by symmetry that c is not a junction vertex. Then a is a junction vertex (as $\{a, c\}$ is not an $(18/7)$ -sparse set), and the path bcd is contained a petal of B , say F_1 .

We may assume $|F_1| = 4$, or else, $|F_1| \geq 5$ and

$$g(B) \leq 24(2 + 2/4 + 2/5) - 17 \cdot 5 + 6(1 + 3/2) = -2/5.$$

Then F_1 is not leaky. Let $F_1 = bcdvb$, where $v \notin V(B)$.

Let F_2, F_3 denote the petals of B containing ab, ad , respectively. Then $F_2 \neq F_3$, or else $\{a, c\}$ would be an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G . We may assume $|F_2| = 4$; for otherwise, $|F_2| \geq 5$ and $|F_3| \geq 5$, and

$$g(B) \leq 24(2 + 2/4 + 2/5) - 17 \cdot 5 + 6(1 + 3/2) = -2/5.$$

Note that F_2 contains a unique vertex, say w , not contained in $B \cup F_1$, to avoid a C_7 in $B \cup F_1 \cup F_2$. Let e_1, e_2 be the edges of $F_2 - ab$ incident with a, b , respectively. Then $e_1, e_2 \notin E(B \cup F_1)$, or else $\{a, c\}$ or $\{b, c\}$ would be an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G . Since e_1 and e_2 cannot both be incident to w , either $e_1 = av$ and $e_2 = bw$, or $e_1 = aw$ and $e_2 = bd$.

If $e_1 = aw$ and $e_2 = bd$ then w is incident to a and d . But then F_3 consists of ad and a $(B \cup F_1)$ -path between a and d , which has length at least 3 (as B is a triangular-block) and forms a C_7 with $B \cup F_1$, a contradiction.

So $e_1 = av$ and $e_2 = bw$, which implies that $F_2 = abwva$. Since $\{c, d\}$ is not an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in G , F_3 contains a $(B \cup F_1 \cup F_2)$ -path between a and d or between d and v . But then $|F_3| \geq 8$ to avoid a C_7 in $B \cup F_1 \cup F_3$ or $B \cup F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$, and so

$$g(B) \leq 24(2 + 3/4 + 1/8) - 17 \cdot 5 + 6(1 + 3/2) = -1,$$

as desired. \square

8 The 2-Connected Case

The lemmas in the previous sections combine to show the following.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$, and let B be a triangular-block of G with only one hole, and no triangular hole unless B is a triangulation. Then $g(B) \leq 0$, with the exception of the two cases shown in Figure 6.*

We next show that this is true even for triangular-blocks with more than one hole.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$. If B is a triangular-block of G then $g(B) \leq 0$, with the exception of the two cases shown in Figure 6.*

Proof. We draw G so that B is drawn as shown in Figures 2 - 5 and the outer face of B is a hole. If B has no other holes, then the statement follows from Lemma 8.1. So let \mathcal{F} be the set of facial cycles of B bounding a hole other than the outer face of B . Note that each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfies $|F| \leq 4$.

Let G' be obtained from G by deleting all vertices and edges in the interior of F for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $e'(B)$, $n'(B)$, $f'(B)$, and $g'(B)$ be the values of $e(B)$, $n(B)$, $f(B)$, and $g(B)$ calculated with respect to G' . By Lemma 8.1, we have $g'(B) \leq 0$. Note that $e(B) = e'(B)$, and $n(B) \leq n'(B)$ because for each vertex v of B , the number of triangular-blocks in G containing v is at least the number of triangular-blocks in G' containing v . Also, each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ contributes 1 towards $f'(B)$ and at most $4/4$ towards $f(B)$, because $|F| \leq 4$, and each petal of B has length at least four since B is a triangular-block. So $f(B) \leq f'(B)$. Therefore, $g(B) \leq g'(B) \leq 0$. \square

We can now combine Lemma 2.10 with Lemma 8.2 to show that Theorem 1.1 holds for graphs in \mathcal{P}_n with $n \geq 7$.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \geq 7$. Then $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be the collection of triangular-blocks of G , and let $\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be the collection of all exceptional triangular-blocks of G with flower shown in Figure 6.

We now define a suitable partition \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{B} . Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. If $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$, then (by Lemma 6.4) let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be the four trivial blocks in G that are contained in the flower of B , and let $\{B, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \in \mathcal{P}$. If $B \notin \mathcal{B}_1$ and is not a trivial block in G contained in the flower of a triangular-block in \mathcal{B}_1 , let $\{B\} \in \mathcal{P}$. To show that \mathcal{P} is a partition of \mathcal{B} , it suffices to show that there is no trivial triangular-block e that is in the flowers of two blocks in \mathcal{B}_1 . This follows from Lemma 6.4, because e would be incident with two faces of length four.

By Lemma 2.10, it suffices to show that each set $\mathcal{B}' \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfies $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}'} g(B) \leq 0$. If $\mathcal{B}' = \{B\}$ for some triangular-block B in G , then B is not exceptional and it follows from Lemma 8.2 that $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}'} g(B) = g(B) \leq 0$. Otherwise $\mathcal{B}' = \{B, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ for some exceptional block B and the four trivial blocks e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 in the flower of B . For each $i \in [4]$, the edge e_i is incident with a face of length at least five by Lemma 6.4, and both its ends are in at least three triangular-blocks, and so

$$g(e_i) \leq 24(1/4 + 1/5) - 17 + 6(2/3) = -11/5.$$

Since

$$g(B) \leq 24(4 + 4/4) - 17 \cdot 8 + 6(3 + 2/2) = 8$$

using Lemma 4.3, we calculate that $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}'} g(B) \leq 8 - 4(11/5) = -4/5 < 0$. Therefore each $\mathcal{B}' \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfies $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}'} g(B) \leq 0$, and Lemma 2.10 implies that $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$. \square

9 The Main Proof

We now obtain Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.2 by considering small separations and sparse sets.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let \mathcal{G}_0 be the class consisting of all planar graphs with at most six vertices or with a planar drawing in \mathcal{P}_n for some $n \geq 7$. For each positive integer i , let \mathcal{G}_i be the union of \mathcal{G}_{i-1} and the class of C_7 -free planar graphs obtained from any of the following operations:

- (1) Add a set S of vertices, and edges with at least one vertex in S to a graph in \mathcal{G}_{i-1} such that $|S| \leq 4$ and S is an $(18/7)$ -sparse set in the new graph.
- (2) Take the disjoint union of two graphs in \mathcal{G}_{i-1} .
- (3) Glue two graphs in \mathcal{G}_{i-1} together at a vertex.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \cup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_i$, and note that \mathcal{G} is precisely the class of C_7 -free planar graphs. We will show that operations (1)-(3) can only decrease density.

9.0.1. Let G_1 be an n_1 -vertex C_7 -free planar graph with $e(G_1) \leq \frac{18n_1}{7} - c_1$ for some constant c_1 . If G is an n -vertex C_7 -free planar graph obtained from G_1 by operation (1) by adding an $(18/7)$ -sparse set S , then $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - c_1 - \left(\frac{18|S|}{7} - \lfloor \frac{18|S|}{7} \rfloor\right)$.

Proof. Note that $n = n_1 + |S|$ and

$$e(G) \leq \frac{18n_1}{7} - c_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{18|S|}{7} \right\rfloor = \frac{18n}{7} - c_1 - \left(\frac{18|S|}{7} - \left\lfloor \frac{18|S|}{7} \right\rfloor\right).$$

□

Note that when $|S| \in [2]$ this gives a saving of $1/7$, and when $|S| \in \{3, 4\}$ this gives a saving of $2/7$. We perform a similar calculation for operations (2) and (3).

9.0.2. For each $i \in [2]$, let G_i be an n_i -vertex C_7 -free planar graph with $e(G_i) \leq \frac{18n_i}{7} - c_i$ for a constant c_i . If G is an n -vertex C_7 -free planar graph obtained by applying operation (2) or (3) to G_1 and G_2 , then $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - c_1 - c_2 + \frac{18}{7}$. Moreover, if (3) applies, then $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - c_1 - c_2$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G_1) + e(G_2) \\ &\leq \frac{18n_1}{7} - c_1 + \frac{18n_2}{7} - c_2 \\ &\leq \frac{18(n+1)}{7} - c_1 - c_2 \\ &= \frac{18n}{7} - c_1 - c_2 + \frac{18}{7}. \end{aligned}$$

If (3) applies, then $n_1 + n_2 = n$ and so $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - c_1 - c_2$. □

Let \mathcal{H}_0 be the class of planar graphs with at least 3 and at most 6 vertices, and for each positive integer i , let \mathcal{H}_i be the union of \mathcal{H}_{i-1} and the class of C_7 -free planar graphs obtained from applying any of operations (1) - (3) to graphs in \mathcal{H}_{i-1} . Let $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_i$. Since Claims 9.0.1 - 9.0.2 show that operations (1) - (3) only decrease density, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that every n -vertex planar graph G with $n \geq 7$ in $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$. We now inductively bound the number of edges of graphs in \mathcal{H} .

9.0.3. Let k be non-negative integer, and let G be a C_7 -free n -vertex planar graph in \mathcal{H} with $n \geq 3 + 2k$. Then either

(a) $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{30}{7} - \frac{k}{7}$, or

(b) G is connected and has at most two blocks (maximal connected subgraph without a cut vertex), each of which is a triangulation on five or six vertices.

Proof. Note that $n \geq 3$. We apply induction on n . First, suppose $n \leq 6$. Then $k = 0$ or $k = 1$, and $G \in \mathcal{H}_0$. If $e(G) \leq 3n - 7$ then $n \leq 6$ implies $e(G) \leq 3n - 7 \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{31}{7}$ and (a) holds. So $e(G) = 3n - 6$ and, hence, G is a triangulation. If $n \leq 4$ then $k = 0$ and $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{30}{7}$. If $n \in \{5, 6\}$ then (b) holds (with one block).

So we may assume that $n \geq 7$. Then $G \notin \mathcal{H}_0$, and thus, either G is obtained from a graph $G_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ by applying a single operation (1), or G is obtained by applying a single operation (2) or (3) to graphs G_1 and G_2 in \mathcal{H} .

First suppose that G is obtained from an n_1 -vertex graph $G_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ by applying a single operation (1), and that G cannot be obtained from applying operation (2) or (3) to graphs in \mathcal{H} . Since $n \geq 7$ it follows that $n_1 \geq 3$, so by induction hypothesis, either (a) or (b) holds for G_1 . Suppose (a) holds for G_1 . Thus, since $n_1 \geq 3 + 2(k - |S|/2)$, $e(G_1) \leq \frac{18n_1}{7} - \frac{30}{7} - \frac{(k - |S|/2)}{7}$. Hence, by Claim 9.0.1, (a) holds for G , as operation (1) gives a saving of at least $1/7$ if $|S| \in [2]$ or $2/7$ if $|S| \in \{3, 4\}$. So assume (b) holds for G_1 . It is a straightforward calculation to check that $e(G_1) \leq \frac{18n_1}{7} - \frac{24}{7}$ (with equality when G_1 is a 6-vertex triangulation). We may assume that the $(18/7)$ -sparse set S induces a connected graph, or else we may replace S with one of its subsets. We consider two cases. If $|S| > 1$, then S has at most one neighbor in G_1 , since G is C_7 -free and $|S| \leq 4$. Since $|S| > 1$ and G cannot be obtained from applying operation (2) or (3) to graphs in \mathcal{H} , it follows that $|S| = 2$ and S has no neighbor in G_1 . Let G_2 be the graph induced by S , which is just a single edge. Then $e(G_2) = \frac{18n(G_2)}{7} - \frac{29}{7}$, and G is obtained by applying operation (3) to G_1 and G_2 . So $n \leq 13$ and $k \leq 5$, and since Claim 9.0.2 gives a savings of $29/7$ it follows that (a) holds for G .

So we may assume that $|S| = 1$, which implies that S has at most two neighbors in G_1 . Suppose S has one neighbor in G_1 . Then $n \leq 12$ so $k \leq 4$, and Claim 9.0.2 with G_2 as a single edge gives a savings of $11/7$, so $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{35}{7}$ and (a) holds for G . So we may assume that S has two neighbors in G_1 . Then since G is C_7 -free, both neighbors of S are in a block of G_1 that is a 5-vertex triangulation. Since $n \geq 7$, this implies that G_1 has two blocks, one of which is a 5-vertex triangulation. Then either $n = 10$ and $e(G) = 20$ (if both blocks of G_1 have 5 vertices) or $n = 11$ and $e(G) = 23$ (if one block of G_1 has 6 vertices). In the former case, $k \leq 3$ and $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{40}{7}$, and in the latter case $k \leq 4$ and $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{37}{7}$, so in either case (a) holds for G .

Next suppose that G is obtained by applying a single operation (2) or (3) to graphs G_1 and G_2 in \mathcal{H} . For each $i \in [2]$, let $n_i = |G_i|$ and let k_i be a non-negative integer such that $n_i \geq 3 + 2k_i$. Note that we may choose k_1, k_2 such that $k_1 + k_2 \in \{k - 1, k\}$. Also note that $n \geq n_1 + n_2 - 1 \geq 3 + 2(k_1 + k_2 + 1)$.

First suppose that outcome (a) holds for both G_1 and G_2 ; so for $i = 1, 2$, $e(G_i) \leq \frac{18n_i}{7} - \frac{30}{7} - \frac{k_i}{7}$. Then Claim 9.0.2 with $c_i = \frac{30 + k_i}{7}$ for $i = 1, 2$ shows that (a) holds for G as $k \in \{k_1 + k_2, k_1 + k_2 + 1\}$.

Now suppose (a) holds for G_1 and (b) holds for G_2 . Then Claim 9.0.2 shows that (a) holds for G with $k = k_1 + 2$ if G_2 has one block and $k = k_1 + 4$ if G_2 has two blocks.

So we may assume that (b) holds for both G_1 and G_2 . Suppose (b) does not hold for G . Then G consists of 3 or 4 blocks, each of which is a triangulation with five or six vertices. If G has 4 blocks, then $n \leq 24$ and so $k \leq 10$. A triangulation B with 5 or 6 vertices satisfies $e(B) \leq \frac{18n(B)}{7} - \frac{24}{7}$, and then applying Claim 9.0.2 three times gives a savings of at least $18/7$. So $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{42}{7}$, and since $k \leq 10$ it follows that (a) holds for G . If G is connected and has 3 blocks, then $n \leq 16$ and so $k \leq 6$. Applying Claim 9.0.2 twice gives a savings of at least $12/7$, so $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{36}{7}$, and (a) holds for G since $k \leq 6$. So G is disconnected and has 3 blocks, so $n \leq 18$ and $k \leq 7$. Since Claim 9.0.2 gives a savings of at least $24/7$ when operation (3) is applied, $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$, and (a) holds for G since $k \leq 7$. \square

Let G be an n -vertex C_7 -free planar graph with $n > 38$. If $G \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{H}$ then $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$. If $G \in \mathcal{H}$, then Claim 9.0.3 applies with $k = 18$ and outcome (a) holds, so $e(G) \leq \frac{18n}{7} - \frac{48}{7}$, as desired. \square

10 Future Work

As discussed in Section 1, the conjecture of Ghosh et al. that $\text{exp}(n, C_\ell) \leq \frac{3(\ell-1)}{\ell}n - \frac{6(\ell+1)}{\ell}$ for all $\ell \geq 7$ and all sufficiently large n is false for all $\ell \geq 11$, but true for $\ell = 7$ by Theorem 1.1. Is this conjecture true for $\ell \in \{8, 9, 10\}$? We believe that the answer is yes for $\ell = 8$, and that this can be proven using existing techniques and extensive case work. However, we believe that for $\ell = 9$, $\text{exp}(n, C_\ell) > \frac{3(\ell-1)}{\ell}n - \frac{6(\ell+1)}{\ell}$ for infinitely many n , and we plan to prove this via a construction in a forthcoming paper. For $\ell = 10$ the correct answer is unclear.

We mention one other direction that could be approached using techniques from this paper. For each $\ell \geq 4$, let Θ_ℓ denote the family of graphs (call *theta graphs*) obtained by adding an edge between two non-adjacent vertices of C_ℓ , and write $\text{exp}(n, \Theta_\ell)$ for the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex planar graph that contains no graph in Θ_ℓ as a subgraph. Sharp upper bounds for $\text{exp}(n, \Theta_\ell)$ are known for $\ell \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ by results of Lan, Shi and Song [8] and Ghosh, Győri, Paulos, Xiao, and Zamora [6], but no sharp upper bound is known for $\ell \geq 7$. Due to the importance of triangular-blocks with a chord in our main proof, we believe that our techniques could make progress towards a sharp upper bound on $\text{exp}(n, \Theta_7)$.

References

- [1] N. Alon and C. Shikhelman. Many T copies in H-free graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 121:146–172, 2016.
- [2] D.W. Cranston, B. Lidický, X. Liu, and A. Shantanam. Planar Turán numbers of cycles: a counterexample. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 29, 2022.

- [3] C. Dowden. Extremal C_4 -free/ C_5 -free planar graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 83, 2015.
- [4] P. Erdős and A. Stone. On the structure of linear graphs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52:1087–1091, 1946.
- [5] D. Ghosh, E. Győri, R. R. Martin, A. Paulos, and C. Xiao. Planar Turán number of the 6-cycle. *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, 36(3):2028–2050, 2022.
- [6] D. Ghosh, E. Győri, A. Paulos, C. Xiao, and O. Zamora. Planar Turán number of the Θ_6 . *Online Preprint: arXiv:2006.00994v1*, 2020.
- [7] P. Keevash. Hypergraph Turán problems. *Surveys in Combinatorics*, pages 83–140, 2011.
- [8] Y. Lan, Y. Shi, and Z.-X. Song. Extremal theta-free planar graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 342(12):111610, 2019.
- [9] Y. Lan, Y. Shi, and Z.-X. Song. Planar Turán number and planar anti-ramsey number of graphs. *Oper. Res. Trans.*, 25(3):201–216, 2021.
- [10] Y. Lan and Z.-X. Song. An improved lower bound for the planar Turán number of cycles. *Online Preprint: arXiv:2209.01312*, 2022.
- [11] David Offner. Some Turán type results on the hypercube. *Discrete Mathematics*, 309(9):2905–2912, 2009.
- [12] V. Rödl and M. Schacht. Extremal results in random graphs. *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, 25:535–583, 2013.
- [13] P. Turán. On an extremal problem in graph theory. *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, 48:436–452, 1941.