

ON MINIMUM  $t$ -CLAW DELETION IN SPLIT GRAPHS

SOUNAKA MISHRA

ABSTRACT. For  $t \geq 3$ ,  $K_{1,t}$  is called  $t$ -claw. In minimum  $t$ -claw deletion problem (**Min- $t$ -Claw-De1**), given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , it is required to find a vertex set  $S$  of minimum size such that  $G[V \setminus S]$  is  $t$ -claw free. In a split graph, the vertex set is partitioned into two sets such that one forms a clique and the other forms an independent set. Every  $t$ -claw in a split graph has a center vertex in the clique partition. This observation motivates us to consider the minimum one-sided bipartite  $t$ -claw deletion problem (**Min- $t$ -OSBCD**). Given a bipartite graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$ , in **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** it is asked to find a vertex set  $S$  of minimum size such that  $G[V \setminus S]$  has no  $t$ -claw with the center vertex in  $A$ . A primal-dual algorithm approximates **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** within a factor of  $t$ . We prove that it is **UGC-hard** to approximate with a factor better than  $t$ . We also prove it is approximable within a factor of 2 for dense bipartite graphs. By using these results on **Min- $t$ -OSBCD**, we prove that **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1** is **UGC-hard** to approximate within a factor better than  $t$ , for split graphs. We also consider their complementary maximization problems and prove that they are **APX-complete**.

Claw Vertex Deletion, Graph Algorithms, Approximation Algorithm

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a property  $\pi$ , in minimum node deletion problem it is required to find a vertex set  $S$  of minimum size such that  $G[V \setminus S]$  satisfies  $\pi$ . A detailed study of the computational complexity of these kinds of problems is done when the graph property  $\pi$  satisfies certain conditions. We need some definitions to explain these results. A graph property  $\pi$  is called nontrivial if an infinite family of graphs satisfies  $\pi$  and an infinite class of graphs does not satisfy  $\pi$ . A property  $\pi$  is called hereditary if a graph  $G$  satisfies  $\pi$  then all its vertex-induced subgraphs satisfy  $\pi$ . Lewis and Yannakakis [10] proved that such kind of node deletion problems are **NP-complete**, provided  $\pi$  is verified in polynomial time. Later, Lund and Yannakakis [11] proved that these problems are **APX-hard** for nontrivial hereditary graph properties. They also complemented with constant factor approximation algorithms for these node deletion problems provided the graph property  $\pi$  has a finite forbidden graphs characterization.

For  $t \geq 3$ , the complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,t}$  is called a  $t$ -claw, and the vertex with degree  $t$  is called its center vertex.  $K_{1,3}$  is widely known claw. In this paper, we consider minimum  $t$ -claw node deletion problem (**Min- $t$ -Claw-De1**) restricted to split graphs. In **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1**, given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  it is required to find a vertex set  $S$  of minimum size such that  $G[V \setminus S]$  is  $t$ -claw free. By using the result of Lund and Yannakakis [11], it can be proved that **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1** is **APX-complete** and can be approximated within a factor of  $t + 1$ . It can be formulated as a  $(t + 1)$ -hitting set problem and a primal-dual algorithm also approximates it

within a factor of  $(t + 1)$  [5]. **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1** is NP-complete even for bipartite graphs by a generic result of Yannakakis [13]. For bipartite graphs, **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1** can be approximated within a factor of  $t$  by an iterative rounding algorithm [9]. This approximation factor is improved to  $O(\log(t + 1))$  [4], because minimum  $t$ -claw transversal is approximable within  $O(\log(t + 1))$  and for bipartite graphs this problem coincides with **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1**.

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is called a split graph if the vertex set can be partitioned into  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $G[A]$  is a complete graph and  $G[B]$  is an independent set. Braberman et.al. [1] proved that **Min-Claw-De1** is NP-complete for split graphs and hard to approximate better than 2, assuming UGC. Later Hsieh et.al. [6] proved that, for  $t \geq 3$ , **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1** is NP-complete for split graphs without having  $(t + 1)$ -claws and split graphs with diameter 2. They also proposed a polynomial time algorithm for  $t$ -block graphs.

Fujito [2] studied the node deletion problems for several graph properties, notably node deletion problems for matroidal properties. A graph property  $\pi$  is a matroidal property if, on any graph  $G$ , the edge sets of subgraphs of  $G$  satisfying  $\pi$  form a family of independent sets in some matroid defined on the edge set of  $G$ . These kinds of node deletion problems are formulated as a submodular set cover problem and a primal-dual approximation algorithm is used to compute an approximate deletion set. For some problems, it has been proved that they are approximable within a constant factor. For example, if  $\pi$  is uniformly  $k$ -sparse then the corresponding node deletion problem is approximable within a factor of 2 [2]. However, even if the property  $\pi$  is not matroidal the corresponding node deletion problem can be approximated within a nice bound under certain assumptions. For example, a bounded degree deletion problem is formulated as a submodular set cover problem with help of a 2-polymatroid matching on the edge set of the input graph [3]. It is important to observe that this graph property ( $b$ -matching) is not matroidal.

It is easy to observe that in a split graph every  $t$ -claw has its center vertex in its clique partition. Based on this observation, we consider the complexity of minimum one-sided  $t$ -claw deletion problem (**Min- $t$ -OSBCD**) for bipartite graphs. Given a bipartite graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$ , in **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** it is required to find a vertex set  $S$  such that  $G[(A \cup B) \setminus S]$  has no  $t$ -claw with the center vertex in  $A$ . We prove that **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** is UGC-hard to approximate better than  $t$  and can be approximated within a factor of  $t$ . This lower bound result on the approximability of **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** implies that **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1** for the split graph can not be approximated within a factor smaller than  $t$  unless UGC is false. This lower bound is a stronger lower bound on **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1** for split graphs than that of Braberman et.al. [1]. The graph property “one-sided- $t$ -claw free on bipartite graphs” is matroidal. The vertex deletion problem associated with this graph property can be formulated as a submodular set cover problem via a 2-polymatroid matching function on the edge set of the input graph. We prove that if  $d(v) \geq 2(t - 1)$ , for all  $v \in A$ , in the input graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$ , then **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** is APX-complete and can be approximated within a factor of 2. A similar result holds for **Min- $t$ -Claw-De1** for split graphs.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Given a vertex set  $X \subseteq (A \cup B)$  in a bipartite graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$ , we define  $X_A = X \cap A$  and  $X_B = X \cap B$ . For a vertex  $v$  in  $G$ ,  $\delta(v)$  denotes the set of edges incident on  $v$ . For an edge set  $F \subset E$  and a vertex  $v$  in  $G$ ,  $d_F(v)$  denoted the

degree of the vertex  $v$  in the subgraph  $(A \cup B, F)$ . For a set  $X$  of vertices in  $G$ , we define  $\delta(X)$  as the set of edges in  $G$  which are incident on a vertex in  $X$ . Given an edge set  $F \subseteq E$  of a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , we define  $d_F(v)$  as the degree of vertex  $v$  in  $(V, F)$ .

A vertex set  $S \subseteq V$  is a vertex cover in  $G = (V, E)$  if  $S \cap \{u, v\} \neq \emptyset$ . In minimum vertex cover (**Min-VC**), given a graph  $G$  it is required to find a vertex cover  $S$  in  $G$  of minimum size. A hypergraph  $G = (V, E)$  is consisting of a finite vertex set  $V$  and a finite edge set  $E$ , where each hyperedge  $e \in E$  is a subset of  $V$ . Given a positive integer  $t \geq 2$ , a hypergraph  $G$  is called a  $t$ -uniform hypergraph if each hyperedge  $e$  of  $G$  is a  $t$ -element set. A vertex cover in a hypergraph  $G = (V, E)$  is a vertex set  $S \subseteq V$  such that  $S \cap e \neq \emptyset$ . In minimum  $t$ -hypergraph vertex cover problem (**Min- $t$ -Hyper-VC**), given a  $t$ -uniform hypergraph,  $G$  it is required to find a vertex cover  $S$  in  $G$  of minimum size. **Min-VC** is known to be **APX**-complete and approximable within a factor of 2. This constant approximation factor for **Min-VC** is the best possible assuming **UGC** [7]. Also, **Min- $t$ -Hyper-VC** is hard to approximate within a factor of better than  $t$ , assuming **UGC** [7].

For a finite set  $N$ , a non-decreasing, submodular, and integer-valued function  $f$  defined on  $2^N$  with  $f(\emptyset) = 0$  is called a polymatroid function and  $(N, f)$  a polymatroid. If  $f$  additionally satisfies  $f(\{j\}) \leq k$ , for each  $j \in N$ , then  $(N, f)$  is called a  $k$ -polymatroid. For any polymatroid  $(N, f)$  define another set function  $f^d$  such that  $f^d(S) = \sum_{j \in S} f(\{j\}) - (f(N) - f(N \setminus S))$ .  $f^d$  is a polymatroid function and  $(N, f^d)$  is called the dual polymatroid of  $(N, f)$ . In a 2-polymatroid  $(E, f)$ , a subset  $F \subseteq E$  is a matching in  $(E, f)$  if  $f(F) = 2|F|$ . Also, a subset  $F \subseteq E$  is spanning in  $(E, f)$  if  $f(E) = f(F)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [3]

- (a) The dual  $(E, f^d)$  of a 2-polymatroid  $(E, f)$  is also a 2-polymatroid.
- (b) A subset  $F \subseteq E$  is a matching in the 2-polymatroid  $(E, f)$  if and only if  $E \setminus F$  is spanning in  $(E, f^d)$ .

### 3. HARDNESS RESULTS

In this section, we obtain a lower bound on the approximability of **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** that matches with the approximation upper bound. By using this lower bound, we obtain a similar lower bound on the approximability of **Min- $t$ -Claw-Del** for split graphs.

**Theorem 3.1.** For a fixed integer  $t \geq 3$ , assuming **UGC**, there is no polynomial time approximation algorithm for **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** within a factor better than  $t$ . Such a lower bound exists for bipartite graphs  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  with  $d(v) = t$ , for each vertex  $v \in A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t \geq 3$  be a fixed integer. We prove this theorem by establishing a reduction from **Min- $t$ -Hyper-VC**. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an instance of **Min- $t$ -Hyper-VC**. We assume that  $G$  is a  $t$ -uniform hypergraph and for any hyperedge,  $e \in E$  there exists a hyperedge  $e' \in E$  such that  $e$  and  $e'$  are disjoint. We also assume that  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ . From  $G$  we construct an instance  $G' = (V', E')$  of **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** as follows.

- . For each vertex  $v \in V$ , we construct a vertex gadget consisting of a vertex  $v$  in  $G'$ .

- For each edge  $e \in E$ , we construct an edge gadget  $H_e$  consisting of  $n$  distinct vertices  $e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n$ .
- Finally, we connect vertex gadgets with edge gadgets by introducing the following edges. For each vertex  $v \in e$ , we introduce  $n$  edges  $\{(v, e^i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

It can be observed that  $G'$  is a bipartite graph with vertex bipartition  $V' = A \cup B$ , where  $A = \cup_{e \in E} \{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$  and  $B = V$ .

**Claim 3.2.**  *$S \subseteq V$  is a minimal vertex cover in  $G$  if and only if  $S$  is a minimal vertex deletion set for  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$  for the instance  $G'$ .*

*Proof.* It is important to observe that each vertex in  $A$  has degree  $t$  and they are the center vertices of all the one-sided  $t$ -claws in  $G'$ .

If  $e = \{u_1, \dots, u_t\} \in E$  then each  $e^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is adjacent to all  $u_i \in e$ . This implies that if  $S$  is a minimal vertex cover in  $G$  then  $S$  is a minimal  $t$ -OSBCD set in  $G'$ . This also implies that  $|S_o| \leq |V|$ , where  $S_o$  is a minimum size  $t$ -OSBCD set in  $G'$ .

Conversely, let  $S$  be a minimal  $t$ -OSBCD set in  $G'$ . If  $S \not\subseteq V$ , then we claim that  $|S| > |V|$ . If we assume that  $S \not\subseteq V$  then  $S$  must contain at least one vertex from  $\{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$ , for some  $e \in E$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $e^i \in S$ , for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $S$  is a minimal  $t$ -OSBCD and  $e^i \in S$ , all its  $t$  neighbours  $u_1, \dots, u_t$  are not in  $S$ . As  $u_1, \dots, u_t$  are not in  $S$ ,  $S$  must contain the vertices  $e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n$ .  $|S| > |V|$  as we have assumed that  $E$  has disjoint pairs of hyperedges.

Since, a minimum vertex cover  $S_o$  in  $G$  is of size at most  $n$ , without loss of generality, we assume that any minimal  $t$ -OSBCD set  $S$  in  $G'$  is a subset of  $V$ . Then, from the construction of  $G'$ , it follows that any minimal  $t$ -OSBCD set  $S$  in  $G'$  which is a subset of  $V$  must be a minimal vertex cover in  $G$ .  $\square$

From the above claim, it follows that the size of a minimum vertex cover in  $G$  and the size of a minimum  $t$ -OSBCD in  $G'$  are equal. Therefore  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$  is hard to approximate within a factor smaller than  $t$  as it is UGC-hard to approximate  $\text{Min-}t\text{-Hyper-VC}$  within a factor smaller than  $t$  [8].  $\square$

By using this lower bound result on  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$ , we obtain a similar lower bound result for  $\text{Min-}t\text{-Claw-De1}$  for split graphs. This improves the lower bound result for  $\text{Min-}t\text{-Claw-De1}$  to  $t$ , for split graphs, and it matches with the upper bound on the approximation factor.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assuming UGC, for split graphs  $\text{Min-}t\text{-Claw-De1}$  can not be approximated better than  $t$ , where  $t \geq 3$  is a constant integer.*

*Proof.* Given an instance  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  of  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$ , we construct a split graph  $H = (A \cup B, E')$ , an instance of  $\text{Min-}t\text{-Claw-De1}$ , with  $E' = E \cup \{(u, v) \mid u, v \in A\}$ . It is easy to observe that  $S$  is a one-sided  $t$ -claw deletion set in  $G$  if and only if  $S$  is a split- $t$ -claw deletion set in  $H$ . From this observation and Theorem 3.1, it follows that  $\text{Min-}t\text{-Claw-De1}$  is hard to approximate with a factor better than  $t$  unless UGC is true.  $\square$

Next, we prove that  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$  is APX-complete for bipartite graphs  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  with  $d(v) \geq 2(t-1)$ , for all  $v \in A$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *For  $t \geq 3$ ,  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$  is APX-complete for bipartite graphs  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  with  $d(v) \geq 2(t-1)$ , for all  $v \in A$ .*

*Proof.* It is known that for  $t \geq 3$ ,  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$  is approximable within a factor of  $t$ . Hence it remains to prove that it is APX-hard. We prove this by an  $L$ -reduction [12] from Minimum Vertex Cover for  $t$  regular graphs. Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , an instance of minimum vertex cover, in polynomial time we construct bipartite graph  $H = (A \cup B, E')$ , an instance of  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$  as follows. Here we assume that  $|V| = n$  is sufficiently larger than  $t$ .

- . We introduce two vertex sets  $V$  and  $E$  in  $H$ . For each edge  $e = (u, v)$  introduce the edges  $(e, u)$  and  $(e, v)$ .
- . We make  $x = \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor - 1$  copies  $V^1, V^2, \dots, V^x$  of  $V$ . For each edge  $e$  in  $G$ , we introduce the edges  $(e, u^i), (e, v^i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq x$ . Here  $u^i \in V^i$  is the  $i$ th copy of  $u \in V$ .
- . We make  $2n-1$  number of copies  $E^1, E^2, \dots, E^{2n-1}$  of  $E$  and introduce the edges between these added sets of vertices to the vertices in  $V, V^1, V^2, \dots, V^x$  as follows. If  $e^i \in E^i$  then join this vertex to all the neighbors of  $e \in E$  in  $V \cup V^1 \dots \cup V^x$ .
- . Finally, we make a new set  $P$  having  $t-2$  or  $t-1$  vertices depending on whether  $t$  is even or odd, respectively. We make each vertex in  $P$  adjacent to every vertex in  $E \cup E^1 \cup \dots \cup E^{2n-1}$ .




FIGURE 1. An illustration of  $H$ .

It is easy to observe that  $H$  is a bipartite graph with vertex bipartition as  $A = E \cup E^1 \cup \dots \cup E^{2n-1}$  and  $B = V \cup V^1 \cup \dots \cup V^x \cup P$ . In the graph  $H$ , it is important to observe that degree of each vertex in  $A$  is  $2(t-1)$ . We also assume that the size of a minimum vertex cover  $S_o$  in  $G$  is larger than  $|P|$ .

Now, we claim that size of a minimum vertex cover in  $G$  is  $k$  if and only if the size of a minimum  $t$ -OSBCD in  $H$  is  $|P| + k$ .

Given a minimal vertex cover  $S$  in  $G$ , we define  $S' = S \cup P$ .  $S'$  is a  $t$ -OSBCD deletion set in  $H$ , because after deletion of vertex set  $S'$  from  $H$ , degree of each vertex in  $A$  are of at most  $t-1$ . Here,  $|S'| = |P| + |S|$ .

Let  $S'$  be a minimal  $t$ -OSBCD set in  $H$ . If  $S'$  contains a vertex  $y$  from  $A$  then all its  $2n-1$  copies must be in  $S'$ , because  $y$  has at least  $t$  neighbors, not in  $S'$ . This would imply that  $|S'| \geq 2n$  and hence  $S'$  can not be a minimum  $t$ -OSBCD deletion set in  $H$ . Therefore, we shall assume that a minimal  $t$ -OSBCD set  $S'$  in  $H$  is a subset of  $B$ . With a similar argument, it can be observed that  $S'$  contains  $T$  and a subset

of  $V$  (because  $n$  is sufficiently large than  $t$ ). This would imply that  $S = S' \cap V$  is a minimal vertex cover in  $G$  and  $|S'| = |P| + |S|$ .

From these observations, it follows that if  $S'_o$  is a minimum  $t$ -OSBCD deletion set in  $H$  then  $|S'_o| = |P| + |S_o| \leq 2|S_o|$ . Also, from any minimal  $t$ -OSBCD deletion set  $S'$  in  $H$  we construct a minimal vertex cover  $S$  in  $G$  such that  $|S'| = |P| + |S|$ . This implies that it is a  $L$ -reduction with  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ .  $\square$

By using the reduction in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.5.** *For split graphs,  $\text{Min-}t\text{-Claw-De1}$  is APX-complete even when each vertex  $v \in A$  has at least  $2(t-1)$  neighbours in  $B$ .*

#### 4. APPROXIMATION ALGORITHMS

In this section, we define a matroid  $M_t = (E, \mathcal{F})$  on a bipartite graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  associated with one-sided  $t$ -claws in  $G$ . Given a positive integer  $t \geq 3$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}$  as the collection of edge sets  $F \subseteq E$  such that the subgraph  $(A \cup B, F)$  has no  $K_{1,t}$  with the center vertex in  $A$ . In other words, if  $F$  is an independent set in the matroid  $M_t$  then the subgraph  $(A \cup B, F)$  of  $G$  can have a vertex in  $B$  with degree larger than  $(t-1)$ , but no vertex in  $A$  can have degree larger than  $(t-1)$ . Clearly,  $M_t$  is a hereditary system. Next, we show that for any pair of independent sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  in  $M_t$ , if  $|F_1| < |F_2|$  then there exists an element  $e \in F_2 \setminus F_1$  such that  $F_1 \cup \{e\}$  is an independent set in  $M_t$ . Since  $(A \cup B, F_1)$  and  $(A \cup B, F_2)$  are bipartite graphs and  $|F_1| < |F_2|$ , there must exist a vertex  $v \in A$  such that  $d_{F_1}(v) < d_{F_2}(v)$ . Now, it is easy to observe that  $F_1 \cup \{e\}$  is an independent set, for each edge  $e \in F_2 \setminus F_1$  incident on  $v$ . Hence,  $M_t$  is a matroid.

Given a bipartite graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  and a positive integer  $t \geq 3$ , we define a function  $f_t : 2^E \rightarrow Z_+$  such that

$$f_t(F) = 2 \left[ \sum_{v \in A} \min\{(t-1), d_F(v)\} \right].$$

We will show that the function  $f_t$  corresponds to the 2-polymatroid function corresponding to  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any bipartite graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$ ,  $(E, f_t)$  is a 2-polymatroid. Also,  $F \subseteq E$  is a matching in  $(E, f_t)$  if and only if  $(A \cup B, f)$  is one-sided  $t$ -claw free.*

*Proof.* It is easy to observe that  $f_t$  is a non-decreasing, integer-valued function with  $f_t(\emptyset) = 0$ . Now, it remains to show that  $f_t$  is a submodular function and we will prove that

$$f_t(X \cup \{e_1\}) + f_t(X \cup \{e_2\}) \geq f_t(X \cup \{e_1, e_2\}) + f_t(X),$$

for every  $X \subseteq E$  and  $e_1, e_2 \in (E \setminus X)$ .

Let  $X$  be any subset of  $E$  and  $e_1, e_2$  be two edges in  $E \setminus X$ . If both  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are incident on a vertex  $v \in A$  with  $d_X(v) \leq t-3$  then  $f_t$  satisfies the above inequality as equality. If  $d_X(v) = t-2$ , then it is satisfied with strict inequality. If  $d_X(v) \geq t-1$  then it is satisfied as equality.

Let us assume that  $e_1$  is incident on  $u \in A$  and  $e_2$  is incident on  $v \in A$ . If  $d_X(u)$  and  $d_X(v)$  are less than  $t-1$ , then the above inequality is satisfied as an equality, otherwise, it is satisfied as a strict inequality.

The second part of the proposition follows directly from the definition of matching and  $f_t$ .  $\square$

We assume that  $G$  has no vertex  $v \in A$  with  $d(v) \leq (t - 1)$ . This is because such a vertex does not generate a  $t$ -claw with a center vertex in  $A$ , and the edges incident on  $v$  has no impact on its neighbors in  $B$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $t \geq 3$  be a fixed positive integer and  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  be a bipartite graph with  $d(v) \geq t - 1$  for all  $v \in A$ . Then*

- (a)  $f_t(E) = 2[\sum_{v \in A} \min\{t - 1, d_E(v)\}] = 2|A|(t - 1)$ .
- (b)  $f_t(e) = 2$ , for each  $e \in E$ .
- (c)  $f_t^d(E) = \sum_{e \in E} f_t(e) - (f_t(E) - f_t(\emptyset)) = 2|E| - f_t(E) = 2|E| - 2|A|(t - 1) = 2 \sum_{v \in A} [d(v) - t + 1]$ .
- (d) For any  $F \subseteq E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_t^d(F) &= \sum_{e \in F} f_t(e) - (f_t(E) - f_t(E \setminus F)) \\ &= 2|F| - 2\left(\sum_{v \in A} \min\{t - 1, d(v)\} - \sum_{v \in A} \min\{t - 1, d_{E \setminus F}(v)\}\right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{v \in A} d_F(v) - 2 \sum_{v \in A} \max\{0, \min\{t - 1, d(v)\} - d_{E \setminus F}(v)\} \\ &= 2 \sum_{v \in A} \min\{d_F(v), d(v) - t + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

- (e) If  $v \in B$  then  $N(v) \subseteq A$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f_t^d(\delta(v)) &= \sum_{u \in \delta(v)} f_t(\{u\}) - [f_t(E) - f_t(E \setminus \delta(v))] \\ &= 2 \sum_{u \in A} \min\{d_{\delta(v)}(u), d(u) - t + 1\} \\ &= 2d(v). \end{aligned}$$

*Last step follows from the fact that  $d_{\delta(v)}(u) = 1$  if  $u$  is a neighbour of  $v$  and  $d_{\delta(v)}(u) = 0$  if  $u \in A$  is not a neighbour of  $v$ .*

- (f) If  $v \in A$  then  $N(v) \subseteq B$  and

$$f_t^d(\delta(v)) = 2[d(v) - t + 1].$$

Based on these properties, **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** can be formulated as a submodular set cover problem. By following the submodular set cover formulation of a node deletion problem for a graph property satisfying matroidal property [2], **Min- $t$ -OSBCD** can be formulated as given below. Here, we consider the vertex weighted version of **Min- $t$ -OSBCD**.

$$\begin{aligned} (P) : \text{Minimize} & \quad \sum_{v \in V} w(v)x_v \\ \text{Subject to} & \quad \sum_{v \in S} f_t^d(\delta_S(v))x_v \geq f_t^d(E[S]), \quad \forall S \subseteq V \\ & \quad x_v \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall v \in V. \end{aligned}$$

The dual ( $D$ ) of a linear program relaxation of ( $P$ ) can be written as follows.

$$(D) : \begin{aligned} & \text{Maximize} && \sum_{S \subseteq V} f_t^d(E[S])y_S \\ & \text{Subject to} && \sum_{S: v \in S} f_t^d(\delta_S(v))y_S \leq w_v, \quad \forall v \in V \\ & && y_S \geq 0, \quad \forall S \subseteq V. \end{aligned}$$

Based on these primal-dual formulations of  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$  a primal-dual approximation algorithm can be designed and it is described in Algorithm-1.

**Algorithm 1:** Approximation Algorithm for  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$

**Input:** A bipartite graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  and  $w : A \cup B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^+$ ;  
**Output:** A  $t\text{-OSBCD}$  deletion set  $F$ ;  
 $F' = \emptyset$ ;  $S = V$ ;  $y = 0$ ;  
**while**  $F'$  is not a  $t\text{-OSBCD}$  set in  $G$  **do**  
    Increase  $y_S$  until, for some  $v \in S$ , the dual constraint in ( $D$ ) for  $v$   
    becomes tight;  
     $F' = F' \cup \{v\}$ ;  
     $S = S \setminus \{v\}$ ;  
**end**  
Compute a minimal  $t\text{-OSBCD}$  set  $F \subseteq F'$  by using reverse deletion method  
on  $F'$ ;  
return ( $F$ );

The performance of this primal-dual algorithm is described in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The performance ratio of Algorithm-1 is bounded above by*

$$\theta = \max\left\{\frac{\sum_{v \in S} f_t^d(\delta(v))}{f_t^d(E)} \mid S \text{ is a minimal } t\text{-OSBCD set in } G\right\}.$$

Next, we prove that  $\theta = 2$  under some assumption on the number of edges in  $G$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $t \geq 3$  be a positive integer and  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  be a bipartite graph with  $d(v) \geq 2(t-1)$ , for all  $v \in A$ . For any minimal  $t\text{-OSBCD}$  set  $X$  in  $G$ ,*

$$2f_t^d(E) \geq \sum_{v \in X} f_t^d(\delta(v)).$$

*Proof.* We will prove that  $2f_t^d(E) - \sum_{v \in X} f_t^d(\delta(v)) \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & 2f_t^d(E) - \sum_{v \in X} f_t^d(\delta(v)) \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & 4|E| - 4(t-1)|A| - 2 \sum_{v \in X_A} d(v) + 2(t-1)|X_A| - 2 \sum_{v \in X_B} d(v) \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \sum_{v \in X_A} [d(v) - t + 1] + \sum_{v \in X_B} d(v) \leq 2[|E| - (t-1)|A|] \end{aligned}$$



We can write  $\sum_{v \in X_B} d(v) = |(X_A, X_B)| + \sum_{v \in Y_A} [d(v) - t + 1] + |(Y_A, X_B)| - \sum_{v \in Y_A} [d(v) - t + 1]$ . By using this relation in the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(X_A, X_B)| + |(Y_A, X_B)| - \sum_{v \in Y_A} [d(v) - t + 1] \\ & \leq \sum_{v \in X_A} [d(v) - t + 1] + \sum_{v \in Y_A} [d(v) - t + 1] \\ \Leftrightarrow & |(X_A, X_B)| - \sum_{v \in X_A} [d(v) - t + 1] + |(Y_A, X_B)| \leq 2 \sum_{v \in Y_A} [d(v) - t + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  is a minimal deletion set  $|(X_A, X_B)| < \sum_{v \in X_A} [d(v) - t + 1]$ . Therefore, it remains to prove

$$|(Y_A, X_B)| \leq 2 \sum_{v \in Y_A} [d(v) - t + 1].$$

Now,  $d(v) \leq 2(d(v) - t + 1)$  as  $d(v) \geq 2(t - 1)$ . This implies that  $|(Y_A, X_B)| \leq \sum_{v \in Y_A} d(v) \leq 2 \sum_{v \in Y_A} (d(v) - t + 1)$ .  $\square$

From this Lemma, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $t \geq 3$  be a positive integer and  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  be a bipartite graph with  $d(v) \geq 2(t - 1)$ , for all  $v \in A$ . Then Algorithm-1 approximates *Min- $t$ -OSBCD* within a factor of 2.*

It is easy to observe that *Min- $t$ -Claw-Del* for split graphs is  $L$ -reducible to *Min- $t$ -OSBCD* with  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 1$ . Therefore, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.6.** **Min- $t$ -Claw-Del* can be approximated within a factor of 2, where the input instance is a split graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  with each vertex  $v \in A$  having at least  $2(t - 1)$  neighbors in  $B$ .*

Next, we are considering two maximization problems *Max- $t$ -Claw-Subgraph* and *Max- $t$ -OSBC-Subgraph* which are complementary problems of *Min- $t$ -Claw-Del* and *Min- $t$ -OSBCD*, respectively. Given a split graph  $G$ , in *Max- $t$ -Claw-Subgraph* it is required to find a vertex set  $F$  in  $G$  of maximum size such that  $G[F]$  is a subgraph of  $G$  without having a  $t$ -claw. Similarly, for bipartite graphs *Max- $t$ -OSBC-Subgraph* for is defined. The  $L$ -reduction in the proof of Theorem 3.4 can be seen as a  $L$ -reduction from maximum independent set to *Max- $t$ -OSBC-Subgraph*. The reduction in the proof of Theorem 3.3 can be modified to a  $L$ -reduction from *Max- $t$ -OSBC-Subgraph* to *Max- $t$ -Claw-Subgraph*. Therefore, it follows that these two maximization problems are APX-hard. Now, we will prove that they can be approximated within a factor of  $2 - \frac{1}{t}$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** **Max- $t$ -OSBC-Subgraph* can be approximated within a factor of  $2 - \frac{1}{t}$ . Also, for split graphs, *Max- $t$ -Claw-Subgraph* has a  $2 - \frac{1}{t}$  factor approximation algorithm.*

*Proof.* Here, we assume that the input instance for *Max- $t$ -OSBC-Subgraph* is a vertex weighted bipartite graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$ . By using Algorithm 1, we compute a  $t$ -OSBCD set  $S$  in  $G$ . Then, we compute the maximum weight set among  $V \setminus S, A$  and  $B$ . Let  $F$  be this set. It is easy to observe that  $G[F]$  has no  $t$ -claw with center vertex in  $A \cap F$ . Also  $w(V) \leq 2w(F)$ . Without loss of generality, assume

that  $w(A) \geq w(B)$ . Then  $w(V) \leq 2w(A)$ . This implies that  $w(V) \leq 2w(F)$  as  $w(A) \leq w(F)$ .

Let  $S_0$  be a minimum  $t$ -OSBCD set in  $G$ . Then  $F_0 = V \setminus S_0$  induces a maximum  $t$ -claw free subgraph in  $G$ . Since  $S$  is a  $t$ -approximate solution of  $\text{Min-}t\text{-OSBCD}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(S) &\leq tw(S_0) \\ \Rightarrow w(V) - w(V \setminus S) &\leq t[w(V) - w(F_0)] \\ \Rightarrow w(V) - w(F) &\leq t[w(V) - w(F_0)] \quad (\text{as } w(F) \geq w(V \setminus S)) \\ \Rightarrow tw(F_0) &\leq (t-1)w(V) + w(F) \leq (2t-1)w(F) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{w(F_0)}{w(F)} &\leq 2 - \frac{1}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\text{Max-}t\text{-OSBC-Subgraph}$  has a  $2 - \frac{1}{t}$  factor approximation algorithm.

By using a similar argument, it can be proved that  $\text{Max-}t\text{-Claw-SUBgraph}$ , for split graphs, has a  $2 - \frac{1}{t}$  factor approximation algorithm.  $\square$

Again by using the same arguments and Theorems 4.5, 4.6, we can prove the following result.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $t \geq 3$  be a positive integer and  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  be a bipartite graph with  $d(v) \geq 2(t-1)$ , for all  $v \in A$ .  $\text{Max-}t\text{-OSBC-Subgraph}$  can be approximated within a factor of  $\frac{3}{2}$  for such kind of bipartite graphs. Also,  $\text{Max-}t\text{-Claw-Subgraph}$  can be approximated within a factor of  $\frac{3}{2}$ , for split graphs  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  with each vertex  $v \in A$  has at least  $2(t-1)$  neighbours in  $B$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bonomo-Braberman, J. R. Nascimento, F. S. Oliveira, U. S. Souza, and J. L. Szwarcfiter. Linear-time algorithms for eliminating claws in graphs. In *International Computing and Combinatorics Conference*, pages 14–26. Springer, 2020.
- [2] T. Fujito. Approximating node-deletion problems for matroidal properties. *Journal of Algorithms*, 31(1):211–227, 1999.
- [3] T. Fujito. Approximating bounded degree deletion via matroid matching. In *International Conference on Algorithms and Complexity*, pages 234–246. Springer, 2017.
- [4] V. Guruswami and E. Lee. Inapproximability of  $h$ -transversal/packing. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 31(3):1552–1571, 2017.
- [5] D. S. Hochbaum. Approximation algorithms for the set covering and vertex cover problems. *SIAM Journal on computing*, 11(3):555–556, 1982.
- [6] S.-Y. Hsieh, V. B. Le, and S.-L. Peng. On the  $d$ -claw vertex deletion problem. In *International Computing and Combinatorics Conference*, pages 591–603. Springer, 2021.
- [7] S. Khot and O. Regev. Vertex cover might be hard to approximate to within  $2 - \epsilon$ . *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 74(3):335–349, 2008.
- [8] S. Khot and O. Regev. Vertex cover might be hard to approximate to within  $2 - \epsilon$ . *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 74(3):335–349, 2008.
- [9] M. Kumar, S. Mishra, N. S. Devi, and S. Saurabh. Approximation algorithms for node deletion problems on bipartite graphs with finite forbidden subgraph characterization. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 526:90–96, 2014.
- [10] J. M. Lewis and M. Yannakakis. The node-deletion problem for hereditary properties is  $\text{np}$ -complete. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 20(2):219–230, 1980.
- [11] C. Lund and M. Yannakakis. The approximation of maximum subgraph problems. In *Automata, Languages and Programming*, pages 40–51. Springer, 1993.

- [12] C. H. Papadimitriou and M. Yannakakis. Optimization, approximation, and complexity classes. *Journal of computer and system sciences*, 43(3):425–440, 1991.
- [13] M. Yannakakis. Node-deletion problems on bipartite graphs. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 10(2):310–327, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT MADRAS, CHENNAI-600036, INDIA  
*Email address:* `sounak@iitm.ac.in`