

THE WEAK LEFSCHETZ PROPERTY AND MIXED MULTIPLICITIES OF MONOMIAL IDEALS

THIAGO HOLLEBEN

ABSTRACT. Recently, H. Dao and R. Nair gave a combinatorial description of simplicial complexes Δ such that the squarefree reduction of the Stanley-Reisner ideal of Δ has the WLP in degree 1 and characteristic zero. In this paper, we apply the connections between analytic spread of equigenerated monomial ideals, mixed multiplicities and birational monomial maps to give a sufficient and necessary condition for the squarefree reduction $A(\Delta)$ to satisfy the WLP in degree i and characteristic zero in terms of mixed multiplicities of monomial ideals that contain combinatorial information of Δ , we call them incidence ideals. As a consequence, we give an upper bound to the possible failures of the WLP of $A(\Delta)$ in degree i in positive characteristics in terms of mixed multiplicities. Moreover, we extend Dao and Nair's criterion to arbitrary monomial ideals in positive odd characteristics.

1. INTRODUCTION

An Artinian graded k -algebra A , where k is a field, is said to satisfy the Weak Lefschetz Property (WLP) if the multiplication maps by a general linear form $L, \times L : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ have full rank for all i . Moreover, A is said to satisfy the Strong Lefschetz Property (SLP) if the multiplication maps by powers of a general linear form $L, \times L^d : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+d}$ have full rank for all i and all d . Over the last few decades, Lefschetz properties and its connections to other areas of mathematics have been extensively studied. Areas where the connections to the WLP and SLP have been studied include Algebraic and Differential Geometry, Topology and Combinatorics (see for example: [20], [22], [7], [28]).

One of the consequences of an algebra A having the WLP is that its h -vector, which keeps track of the coefficients of the Hilbert series, is unimodal (see for example [17]). In view of this, studying the WLP of algebras where the h -vector is known to be equal to a sequence associated to a combinatorial object, is of interest. A particular class of algebras where the WLP has been recently studied is

$$A(\Delta) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / ((x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2) + I_\Delta)$$

where I_Δ is a squarefree monomial ideal, the Stanley-Reisner ideal of Δ . The h -vector of such algebras was shown to be equal to the f -vector of Δ in [22, 11].

In [11] the authors completely described the simplicial complexes Δ such that $A(\Delta)$ that have the WLP in degree 1, when the base field has characteristic 0.

In this paper, we introduce incidence ideals of a simplicial complex Δ , which can be seen as generalizations of facet ideals. We show that the analytic spread of such ideals determines the WLP of $A(\Delta)$ in characteristic zero.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 24). *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and assume the base field is of characteristic 0. Then*

(1) *$A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i if and only if*

$$\ell(I_\Delta(i)) = \min(f_{i-1}, f_i),$$

where $\ell(I_\Delta(i))$ is the analytic spread of the i -th incidence ideal of Δ .

(2) *If $A(\Delta)$ is a level algebra, then it has the SLP in degree 1 if and only if*

$$\ell(\mathcal{F}(\Delta(d))) = \min(f_0, f_d) \text{ for every } d$$

where $\ell(\mathcal{F}(\Delta(d)))$ is the analytic spread of the facet ideal of the d -skeleton of Δ .

An open question in this area is to describe the positive characteristics where a given class of algebras defined by monomial ideals fails the WLP (see [21, Question 7.3]). We answer this question for the algebras $A(\Delta)$ in terms of the mixed multiplicities of ideals contained in the incidence ideals of Δ . In particular, we prove the following bound:

Corollary 2 (Corollary 49). *Assume $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i and characteristic zero. Assume also that $f_{i-1} \leq f_i$. Then $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i and characteristic p for every p such that*

$$p > e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_\Delta(i)) \text{ and } p \text{ does not divide } i + 1.$$

As a consequence of the two results above, we also generalize the criterion given in [11, Theorem 3.3] for algebras $A(\Delta)$ to have the WLP in degree 1 and characteristic zero, to odd characteristics and arbitrary algebras A defined by monomial ideals.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 58). *Let $I \subset R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a monomial ideal such that $A = R/I$ is Artinian. Assume $\dim A_1 \leq \dim A_2$. Set*

$$E = \{x_i x_j | x_i x_j \neq 0 \text{ in } A\}, \text{ where } i \text{ may be equal to } j.$$

then A has the WLP in characteristic 0 and degree 1 if and only if it has the WLP in degree 1 in every odd characteristic.

Moreover, A has the WLP in degree 1 and characteristic 0 if and only if the monomials in E are the edges of a graph (possibly with loops) such that every connected component contains a (not necessarily induced) subgraph with either one loop or an odd cycle.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let k be an infinite field and $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the polynomial ring over k . Let I be a homogeneous ideal such that $A = R/I$ is an Artinian graded algebra.

Definition 4. Let L be a general linear form. If the multiplication map $\times L : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ has full rank for every i , we say A has the **Weak Lefschetz Property (WLP)**.

If moreover the multiplication maps by powers of L : $\times L^d : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+d}$ also have full rank for every i and d , we say A has the **Strong Lefschetz Property (SLP)**.

Proposition 5 ([23], Proposition 2.2). *Let I be a monomial ideal of R such that $A = R/I$ is Artinian and $L = x_1 + \dots + x_n$. Then A has the WLP (resp. SLP) if and only if the multiplication map by L (resp. powers of L) has full rank.*

We are particularly interested in the case where I is the sum of a square-free monomial ideal and the squares of the variables of R .

Definition 6. A **simplicial complex** Δ with vertex set $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a collection of subsets Δ of V such that $\sigma \in \Delta$ and $\tau \subset \sigma$ implies $\tau \in \Delta$. Elements in Δ are called **faces** of Δ , maximal faces are called **facets**. The **dimension** of Δ is $\dim \Delta = \max(|F| : F \text{ a facet of } \Delta) - 1$. If every facet of Δ has the same dimension, we say Δ is **pure**. A 0-dimensional face is called a **vertex** of Δ , similarly, a 1-dimensional face is called an **edge** of Δ . The number of i -dimensional faces of Δ is denoted by $f_i(\Delta)$, or simply f_i if the simplicial complex is clear from the context. By deleting every face of Δ with dimension higher than i , we get a new simplicial complex $\Delta(i)$ which is called the **i -skeleton** of Δ .


Given a simplicial complex Δ , the ideal

$$I_\Delta = (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m} \mid \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \notin \Delta)$$

is called the **Stanley-Reisner ideal** of Δ . When we take the quotient of R by I_Δ and the squares of the variables, we get an Artinian algebra:

$$A(\Delta) := R/(I_\Delta + (x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)).$$

Example 7. Let Δ be the complex with facets $\{\{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$.



Then $A(\Delta) = k[a, b, c, d]/(abd, a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2)$.

The algebra $A(\Delta)$ contains all the combinatorial information of Δ :

Proposition 8 ([11], Proposition 2.2). *Let Δ be a simplicial complex. Then*

- (1) *The monomials in $A := A(\Delta)$ are in one-one correspondence with the faces of Δ . For $i > 0$, A_i is a k -vector space with a basis given by*

$$\{x_F \mid F \text{ is an } (i-1)\text{-face of } \Delta\}.$$

- (2) *The Hilbert Series of $A(\Delta)$, $\text{Hilb}_{A(\Delta)}(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_{i-1} t^i$. That is, the h -vector of $A(\Delta)$ is equal to the f -vector of Δ .*
- (3) $A(\Delta(i)) = \bigoplus_{j \leq i+1} (A(\Delta))_j$.

Definition 9. The ideal $(0 : A_{j \geq 1})$ is called the **socle** of A . The highest number d such that $A_d \neq 0$ is called the **socle degree** and is denoted by $\text{socdeg}(A)$. The algebra $A(\Delta)$ is said to be **level** of type t if $(0 : A_{j \geq 1}) = A_{\text{socdeg}(A)}$ and is generated by t elements.

Proposition 10 ([4]). *The algebra $A(\Delta)$ is level if and only if Δ is a pure simplicial complex.*

In [11], the authors completely described when $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree 1 and characteristic 0 in terms of the 1-skeleton of Δ .

Theorem 11 ([11], Theorem 3.3). *Let Δ be a simplicial complex, $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where k is a field of characteristic zero and*

$$A(\Delta) = R/((x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2) + I_\Delta).$$

Then

- (1) *If $f_1 \geq f_0$, then $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree 1 if and only if $\Delta(1)$ has no bipartite connected components.*
- (2) *If $f_1 < f_0$, then $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree 1 if and only if each bipartite component of $\Delta(1)$ (if it exists) is a tree and each non-bipartite component satisfies the property that the number of edges in the component is equal to the number of vertices in the component.*

The proof of the theorem above uses the following well known result from graph theory:

Proposition 12. *Given a graph G with n vertices and b_G bipartite connected components, the rank of the incidence matrix of G over a field of characteristic zero is given by $n - b_G$.*

Remark 13. One particular case of Theorem 11 is when Δ has no isolated vertices and the same number of vertices and edges. Then $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree 1 if and only if every connected component of $\Delta(1)$ is a tree with a single odd cycle. As we will see, this version of the theorem has been proven from different perspectives to answer different questions.

3. INCIDENCE MATRICES AND ANALYTIC SPREAD

Let I be an ideal of R . The algebra $R[It] := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I^i t^i \subset R[t]$ is called the **Rees algebra** of I . Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal homogeneous ideal of R . The quotient $\mathcal{F}(I) = R[It]/\mathfrak{m}R[It]$ is called the **(special) fiber ring** of I . The dimension of the fiber ring of I is called the **analytic spread** of I and is denoted by $\ell(I)$.

When I is a monomial ideal, the fiber ring $\mathcal{F}(I)$ is the monomial algebra generated by the generators of I . We now list some of the connections between the fiber ring of a monomial ideal I and convex geometry that will be useful.

Definition 14. Let M be a set of lattice points in \mathbb{Z}^n . The **rank** of M is the rank of the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n generated by M and is denoted by $\text{rank } \mathbb{Z}M$.

Theorem 15 ([19]). *Let I be an equigenerated monomial ideal and M the set of exponents of the generators of I . Then*

$$\ell(I) = \text{rank } \mathbb{Z}M.$$

In order to use Theorem 15 as a tool to detect the WLP, we first define the following polynomial ring:

Definition 16. Let I be an Artinian monomial ideal in a polynomial ring $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. We call the polynomial ring

$$R_I = \mathbb{C}[t_m | m \text{ is a monomial in } R, m \notin I]$$

the **incidence ring** of I . When $I = I_\Delta + (x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)$ we write R_Δ for the incidence ring of I . By Proposition 8, there is a bijection between variables of R_Δ and the faces of Δ , so we write t_τ for the variable that corresponds to the face τ under this bijection.

We will denote by $R_{I,i}$ (or $R_{\Delta,i}$, in the squarefree case) the quotient

$$R_{I,i} := R_I / (t_m \mid \deg m \neq i).$$

Moreover, we write $\mathfrak{m}_{I,i}$ ($\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta,i}$, in the squarefree case) for the maximal graded ideal of $R_{I,i}$ (resp. $R_{\Delta,i}$). Note that $\dim R_{\Delta,i} = f_{i-1}$.

Next we define the incidence matrices of a simplicial complex. As we will see, these are exactly the matrices that need to have full rank for the algebra $A(\Delta)$ to have the WLP.

Definition 17. Let Δ be a simplicial complex and F_i the set of all i -dimensional faces of Δ . The matrix $M(\Delta, i)$ is the f_i by f_{i-1} matrix such that the rows are labeled by i -faces, the columns are labeled by $i-1$ -faces and:

$$M(\Delta, i)_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } j\text{-th element of } F_i \text{ contains the } k\text{-th element of } F_{i-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

we call this matrix the **i -th incidence matrix** of Δ .

Taking the rows of a matrix to be the exponents of monomials, we can define the ideals associated to incidence matrices:

Definition 18. Let Δ be a simplicial complex and $M(\Delta, i)$ its i -th incidence matrix. The ideal

$$I_{\Delta}(i) = \left(\prod_{\tau \in \sigma_1, |\tau|=i} t_{\tau}, \dots, \prod_{\tau \in \sigma_{f_i}, |\tau|=i} t_{\tau} \right) \subset R_{\Delta,i},$$

where σ_i are the i -dimensional faces of Δ is called the **i -th incidence ideal** of Δ .

Example 19. Let Δ be the simplicial complex from Example 7. Then we have:

$$M(\Delta, 1) = \begin{matrix} & a & b & c & d \\ ab & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ ac & \\ ad & \\ bc & \\ bd & \\ cd & \end{matrix} \quad M(\Delta, 2) = \begin{matrix} & ab & ac & ad & bc & bd & cd \\ abc & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ acd & \\ bcd & \end{matrix}$$

From the matrices we see that the first incidence ideal of Δ is

$$I_{\Delta}(1) = \left(\underbrace{t_a t_b}_{ab}, \underbrace{t_a t_c}_{ac}, \underbrace{t_a t_d}_{ad}, \underbrace{t_b t_c}_{bc}, \underbrace{t_b t_d}_{bd}, \underbrace{t_c t_d}_{cd} \right) \subset R_{\Delta,1},$$

that is, the edge ideal of the 1-skeleton of Δ . Moreover, we know the second incidence ideal of Δ is:

$$I_{\Delta}(2) = \left(\underbrace{t_{ab}t_{ac}t_{bc}}_{abc}, \underbrace{t_{ac}t_{ad}t_{cd}}_{acd}, \underbrace{t_{bc}t_{bd}t_{cd}}_{bcd} \right) \subset R_{\Delta,2}.$$

Proposition 20. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and $I_{\Delta}(i)$ the i -th incidence ideal of Δ . Then*

- (1) $I_{\Delta}(i)$ is an equigenerated squarefree monomial ideal generated in degree $i + 1$.
- (2) For any two distinct monomials m_1, m_2 in a minimal generating set of $I_{\Delta}(i)$ we have $\deg \gcd(m_1, m_2) \leq 1$.

Proof. (1) The number of $i-1$ -dimensional faces inside an i -dimensional face is always equal to $i + 1$, therefore the generators of $I_{\Delta}(i)$ are monomial, squarefree and all have degree $i + 1$.

(2) Given two distinct $i-1$ -faces, their union has at least $i+1$ elements, in particular, if the intersection of two i -faces σ, τ contains two $i-1$ -faces, then $\sigma = \tau$. In terms of the generators of the ideal, this means if two generators of $I_{\Delta}(i)$ share two variables, then they must be equal. □

Example 21. Let $J = (x_1x_2x_3, x_3x_4x_5, x_5x_6x_7, x_1x_7x_8) \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $n \geq 8$. Is there a simplicial complex Δ such that $J = I_{\Delta}(2)$? We can try to answer this question as follows:

If such a Δ exists, then x_1 corresponds to the variable $t_{\{a,b\}}$, where $\{a, b\}$ is an edge of Δ . This implies the generator $x_1x_2x_3$ corresponds to the monomial $t_{\{a,b\}}t_{\{a,c\}}t_{\{b,c\}}$, which in turn corresponds to the triangle $\{a, b, c\}$, and more specifically we can say x_2 corresponds to the variable $t_{\{a,c\}}$ and x_3 to the variable $t_{\{b,c\}}$. Similarly we conclude the generator $x_3x_4x_5$ corresponds to the monomial $t_{\{b,c\}}t_{\{b,d\}}t_{\{c,d\}}$ and thus x_5 corresponds to the variable $t_{\{\square,d\}}$ where either $\square = b$ or $\square = c$. Notice that if a is a vertex of one of the edges of the triangle associated to the monomial $x_5x_6x_7$, then it would imply either $\{a, b\}$ or $\{a, c\}$ is an edge of this triangle, which then would imply either x_2 or x_3 divides $x_5x_6x_7$ (a contradiction). In particular, this triangle must contain a vertex e that is not in the previous triangles and so the vertices of the triangle that corresponds to $x_5x_6x_7$ are $\{\square, d, e\}$. Applying the same argument to x_7 we conclude $x_1x_7x_8$ corresponds to the triangle $\{a, b, e\}$ and thus x_7 corresponds to the edge $\{b, e\}$ and in particular $\square = b$. Therefore a simplicial complex Δ such that J is its second incidence ideal has facets: $\{\{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, e\}\}$.

Proposition 20 gives us necessary conditions for an ideal to be the incidence ideal of some simplicial complex Δ . One natural question that arises is whether these conditions are also sufficient. Example 22 tells us that the conditions are not sufficient.

Example 22. Let

$$I_F = (x_1x_2x_3, x_3x_4x_5, x_1x_5x_6, x_1x_4x_7, x_2x_5x_7, x_3x_6x_7, x_2x_4x_6) \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

with $n \geq 7$. Then:

- (1) Without loss of generality we may assume $x_1x_2x_3$ corresponds to the triangle $\{a, b, c\}$ where x_1 is the edge $\{a, b\}$, x_2 is the edge $\{a, c\}$ and x_3 is the edge $\{b, c\}$
 - (2) $x_3x_4x_5$ corresponds to the triangle $\{b, c, d\}$ where x_4 is the edge $\{\square, d\}$ and x_5 is the edge $\{\Delta, d\}$, where $b = \square$ and $c = \Delta$, or the opposite
 - (3) $x_1x_5x_6$ corresponds to the triangle $\{a, b, \Delta, d\}$, in particular $\Delta = b$
 - (4) $x_1x_4x_7$ corresponds to the triangle $\{a, b, \square, d\}$, in particular $\square = b$.
- This is a contradiction since it implies $x_4 = x_5$.

In particular, I_F satisfies the properties in Proposition 20 but it is not the incidence ideal of any simplicial complex.

Lemma 23. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex, $A = A(\Delta)$ and $L = x_1 + \dots + x_n$. The matrix that represents the multiplication map $\times L : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ is $M(\Delta, i)$, where the entries of $M(\Delta, i)$ are taken to be in k , the base field of A .*

Proof. We know the monomials of A_i are in bijection with the $i-1$ -dimensional faces of Δ . For a $i-1$ -dimensional face τ ,

$$\times L(x_\tau) = Lx_\tau = \sum_{\tau \cup \{j\} \in \Delta} x_\tau x_j$$

thus the column corresponding to τ will have 1 for each i -dimensional face σ such that $\tau \subset \sigma$, which is the definition of the incidence matrix $M(\Delta, i)$. \square

Theorem 24 (WLP from incidence matrices). *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and assume the base field is of characteristic 0. Then $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i if and only if*

$$\ell(I_\Delta(i)) = \min(f_{i-1}, f_i).$$

Proof. Let $M = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{f_i}\}$ be the set of rows of $M(\Delta, i)$. The rank of the matrix $M(\Delta, i)$ is by definition the dimension of the space spanned by its rows. Since the field is of characteristic zero, this dimension is exactly $\text{rank } \mathbb{Z}M$, therefore by Theorem 15

$$\ell(I_\Delta(i)) = \text{rank } \mathbb{Z}M = \text{rank } M(\Delta, i).$$

In particular, $M(\Delta, i)$ has full rank if and only if

$$\ell(I_\Delta(i)) = \min(f_{i-1}, f_i).$$

□

Remark 25. Connections between the bipartite property for graphs and algebraic invariants of edge ideals that are related to analytic spread can be found throughout the literature, see for example [2] and [25]. The first incidence ideal of a simplicial complex Δ is the edge ideal of $\Delta(1)$, therefore, one can think of Theorem 11 as a generalization of these results in the language of the WLP.

Remark 26. All of the results and definitions above can be generalized to arbitrary monomial ideals I such that R/I is Artinian. The only difference is that when there is a nonzero nonsquarefree monomial in R/I , then one defines the incidence ideal to be generated by powers of the monomials in Definition 18, forcing the incidence ideal to be equigenerated.

Example 27. Let Δ be the simplicial complex in Example 7 and assume the base field has characteristic zero. Using Macaulay2 [16] we can easily check that for $I_\Delta(1) = (t_a t_b, t_a t_c, t_a t_d, t_b t_c, t_b t_d, t_c t_d) \subset R_{\Delta,1}$ and $I_\Delta(2) = (t_a b t_a c t_b c, t_a c t_a d t_c d, t_b c t_b d t_c d) \subset R_{\Delta,2}$ we have:

$$(1) \ell(I_\Delta(1)) = 4 = f_0$$

$$(2) \ell(I_\Delta(2)) = 3 = f_2$$

By Theorem 24, Δ has the WLP in all degrees.

Next we give a criterion for the Artinian algebra $A(\Delta)$ to satisfy the SLP in degree 1 in terms of facet ideals.

Definition 28. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with facets $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_s\}$ and k a field. The ideal

$$\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \left(\prod_{j \in Q_1} t_j, \dots, \prod_{j \in Q_s} t_j \right) \subset R_{\Delta,1}$$

is called the **facet ideal** of Δ . More generally, we write $\mathcal{F}(\Delta, i)$ for the ideal generated by the i -dimensional facets of Δ . Note that when Δ is pure, $\mathcal{F}(\Delta, i)$ is the facet ideal of the i -skeleton $\Delta(i)$.

Theorem 29 (SLP in degree one in terms of skeletons). *Let Δ be a simplicial complex. Then Δ has the SLP in degree 1 and characteristic zero if and only if the following holds for all d :*

$$\ell(\mathcal{F}(\Delta(d), d)) = \min(f_0, f_d).$$

In particular, if Δ is pure, then $A(\Delta)$ has the SLP in degree 1 and characteristic zero if and only if the following holds for all d :

$$\ell(\mathcal{F}(\Delta(d))) = \min(f_0, f_d).$$

Proof. To prove that $A(\Delta)$ has the SLP in degree 1, we need to check that the maps $\times L^d : A_1 \rightarrow A_{d+1}$ have full rank for all d . Note that for every x_j we have

$$L^d x_j = d! x_j \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_d\} \in \Delta} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_d} = d! \sum_{\{j\} \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_d\} \in \Delta} x_j x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_d}.$$

That is, the matrix that represents the linear transformation $\times L^d$ is:

$$[\times L^d]_{jk} = \begin{cases} d! & \text{if the } j\text{-th } d\text{-face of } \Delta \text{ contains the } k\text{-th vertex of } \Delta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the rows of $[\times L^d]$ are in bijection with the facets of $\Delta(d)$ that have dimension d . Moreover, since the rows of $[\times L^d]$ are exactly the exponent vectors (after rescaling, which does not affect the rank in characteristic zero) of the monomials $\prod_{j \in \tau} x_j$, where τ is a facet of $\Delta(d)$ of dimension d , we conclude by Theorem 15 that $[\times L^d]$ has full rank if and only if

$$\ell(\mathcal{F}(\Delta(d), d)) = \min(f_0, f_d).$$

If Δ is pure, then $\Delta(d)$ is pure for every d , which means $\mathcal{F}(\Delta(d), d) = \mathcal{F}(\Delta(d))$, so the particular case follows. \square

Example 30. The simplicial complex Δ from Example 7 is clearly a pure complex. Using Macaulay2 [16] we can easily compute

$$\ell(\mathcal{F}(\Delta)) = \ell(\mathcal{F}(\Delta(2))) = 3$$

and

$$\ell(\mathcal{F}(\Delta(1))) = \ell(I_\Delta(1)) = 4,$$

so by Theorem 29 Δ has the SLP in degree 1 and characteristic zero.

4. BIRATIONAL COMBINATORICS

Next we focus on rational maps from projective spaces defined by monomials. In [25], Simis and Villarreal used the expression *Birational Combinatorics* to describe the study of such maps. Most of their work was focused on maps $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. Here we state some of their results and mention their connections to the WLP. In later sections we will apply these results to the study of the WLP in positive characteristics.

Definition 31. The incidence matrix of a graph $G = (V, E)$ with loops is the following:

$$M(G)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the edge } i \text{ is incident to the vertex } j \text{ and is not a loop} \\ 2 & \text{if the edge } i \text{ is a loop incident to } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 32. Given a set of monomials M in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the **log-matrix** of M is the matrix $\log(M)$ such that its rows are the exponent vectors of the monomials in M . If the sum of every row of a matrix N is equal to some fixed number d , we say N is a d -**stochastic** matrix. In particular, if the monomials in M have the same degree d , the log-matrix of M is d -stochastic.

If M is a set of monomials of degree 2, then $\log(M)$ is the incidence matrix of a graph G (possibly with loops), the graph G is called the **underlying graph** of M .

Definition 33. Given an ideal $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, there exists a surjective map $\psi : S(I) \rightarrow R[It]$, where $S(I)$ is the symmetric algebra of I . If ψ is an isomorphism, the ideal I is said to be of **linear type**.

Definition 34. A finite set of monomials $M = \{m_1, \dots, m_s\} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of the same degree d defines the following rational map:

$$\varphi_M : \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{s-1}, \quad \varphi_M(x_1 : \dots : x_n) = (m_1 : \dots : m_s)$$

If $n = s$ and φ_M has an inverse rational map φ' we say φ_M is a **Cremona transformation** of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

One of the main applications given in [25] is the following description of birational monomial maps of degree 2.

Theorem 35 ([25], Proposition 5.1). *Let $M \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a finite set of n monomials of degree two with no non-trivial common factors such that the underlying graph G is connected. Let N denote the $n \times n$ incidence matrix of G . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\det N \neq 0$
- (2) φ_M is a Cremona transformation of \mathbb{P}^{n-1}
- (3) *Either*
 - (a) G has no loops and has a unique cycle of odd length
 - (b) G is a tree with exactly one loop
- (4) *The ideal $(M) \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is of linear type.*

Remark 36. Theorem 35 and Theorem 11 use very similar techniques to answer different questions from different fields, each with their natural restrictions. On one hand, Theorem 11 gives a criterion for the incidence

matrix of any graph (without loops) to have full rank, regardless of whether the graph has more vertices or edges.

On the other hand, the underlying graphs associated to rational maps $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ defined by degree 2 monomials may have loops, but have the restriction that they must have the same number of vertices and edges.

It is clear that in the case where the restrictions of the two areas intersect (connected graphs without loops and the same number of vertices and edges), then conditions 1. and 3. of Theorem 35 and Theorem 11 coincide.


Example 37. Let G be the graph with vertex set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ and edges

$$\{\{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}\}.$$

Assume the base field has characteristic 0. Then G is a connected graph that contains only one odd cycle. By Theorem 35 the map $\mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ defined by $[a : b : c : d] \mapsto [ac : ad : bc : cd]$ is birational.

Let Δ be the simplicial complex from Example 7. Note that $\Delta(1)$ contains G as a subcomplex. This implies the incidence matrix of G is a maximal square submatrix of $M(\Delta, 1)$. In particular, the determinant of the incidence matrix of G is a maximal minor of $M(\Delta, 1)$. Again by Theorem 35 we know this determinant is not zero, and thus $M(\Delta, 1)$ has full rank. We have proven that Δ has the WLP in degree 1 by finding a subcomplex $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ such that $f_0(\Delta) = f_0(\Delta') = f_1(\Delta')$ that has the WLP in degree 1.

Example 38. Consider the simplicial complex Γ below:



The results in [1] imply the facet ideal of Γ is of linear type. Taking the vertices of Γ to be the edges of another simplicial complex Δ (i.e, we want the facet ideal of Γ to be the second incidence ideal of Δ), we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leftrightarrow \{a, b\}, & 2 &\leftrightarrow \{a, c\}, & 3 &\leftrightarrow \{b, c\}, & 4 &\leftrightarrow \{b, d\}, & 5 &\leftrightarrow \{c, d\}, \\ 6 &\leftrightarrow \{b, e\}, & 7 &\leftrightarrow \{c, e\} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the facet ideal of Γ is the second incidence ideal of

$$\Delta = \{\{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{b, c, e\}\}.$$

Moreover, we can verify that the algebra $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree 2 in every characteristic (at least one of the maximal minors of $M(\Delta, 2)$ is ± 1).

The following result from [25] sheds some light into the failure of the WLP in positive characteristics. This will be our focus in future sections.

Theorem 39 ([24], Proposition 2.1). *Let M be a finite set of monomials of the same degree $d \geq 1$. Then φ_M is a Cremona transformation if and only if*

$$\det(\log(M)) = \pm d.$$

The next example shows how the failure of the WLP in positive characteristics is connected to a rational map not being birational.

Example 40. Let $I = (x_3x_4, x_4x_6, x_1x_7, x_4x_7, x_5x_7) \subset R = k[x_1, \dots, x_7]$ and $A = R/((x_1^2, \dots, x_7^2) + I)$. The multiplication map $\times L : A_2 \rightarrow A_3$, where $L = x_1 + \dots + x_7$ is a 16×16 square matrix with constant row sum 3 and nonzero determinant, but the rational map defined by this matrix is not birational since the determinant is -192 , instead of ± 3 . In particular, the first equivalence in Theorem 35 only works for monomial rational maps of degree 2. Moreover, since $192 = 2^6 \cdot 3$, we see that A fails the WLP in characteristics 2 and 3.

Theorem 39 is a criterion for a map to be birational in terms of a determinant. It is useful to note that the determinant of a d -stochastic matrix is always divisible by d .

Theorem 35 together with Theorem 39 describe every possible value of the determinant of a 2-stochastic square matrix with only 0, 1 and 2 as entries. Lemma 23 describes the matrices that represent the multiplication maps that determine the WLP for Artinian algebras that are quotients of monomial ideals. The last result of this section is an application of Theorem 35 to the maximal minors of 2-stochastic matrices that have more rows than columns. This result will then be used to describe the failure of the WLP in positive characteristics in degree 1 in later sections.

Corollary 41. *Let M be a matrix with more rows than columns such that all of its entries are either 0, 1 or 2 and assume the sum of the entries in every row is 2. Then every maximal minor of M is either 0 or its absolute value is a power of 2.*

Proof. Since M has more rows than columns, maximal minors of M are determinants of submatrices of M after deleting rows. Deleting rows does not change the row sum of other rows, so every maximal submatrix of M also has constant row sum. In particular, every maximal submatrix can be

seen as the log-matrix of a rational monomial map $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, where $n + 1$ is the number of columns of M . Note that if the gcd of all the monomials defining this rational map is not 1, then either there are repeated monomials, in which case the value of the minor is 0, or the underlying graph is a star with a loop, in which case the determinant of the log-matrix is 2, so we may assume the gcd of all the monomials is 1. We have two cases:

- (1) Assume first that the underlying graph of this rational map is connected. By Theorem 39 and Theorem 35, either this maximal minor is 0 (in case the map is not birational), or the map is birational and thus the maximal minor is ± 2 .
- (2) If the underlying graph is not connected, it is possible to rearrange the rows and columns so that the only nonzero entries of the matrix are square diagonal blocks (not necessarily of the same size) each block corresponds to a connected component of the underlying graph. We can then apply the argument above to each square diagonal block, and since the determinant of this submatrix is the product of the determinants of the diagonal blocks, it is either 0 or a product of numbers which have absolute value 2, so the result holds.

□

5. MIXED MULTIPLICITIES AND THE FAILURE OF THE WLP IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTICS

We now briefly introduce mixed multiplicities of ideals. For more details see [30] and [29].

Let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field k , $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the maximal homogeneous ideal and J_1, \dots, J_s an arbitrary sequence of proper ideals of S . The following is an \mathbb{N}^{s+1} -graded standard k -algebra:

$$R(I|J_1, \dots, J_s) := \bigoplus_{(u_0, \dots, u_s) \in \mathbb{N}^{s+1}} I^{u_0} J_1^{u_1} \dots J_s^{u_s} / I^{u_0+1} J_1^{u_1} \dots J_s^{u_s}$$

denoting the length of the graded components of $R(I|J_1, \dots, J_s)$ as

$$\ell(I^{u_0} J_1^{u_1} \dots J_s^{u_s} / I^{u_0+1} J_1^{u_1} \dots J_s^{u_s})$$

we get a numerical function that for large values of u_0, \dots, u_s is a polynomial of the form:

$$P(u) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s+1}, |\alpha|=n-1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} e_\alpha u^\alpha + \{ \text{terms of total degree} < r \},$$

where $u^\alpha = u_0^{\alpha_0} \dots u_s^{\alpha_s}$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_s$ and $\alpha! = \alpha_0! \dots \alpha_s!$. The numbers e_α are called the **mixed multiplicities of \mathfrak{m} , J_1, \dots, J_s** and will be denoted as $e_\alpha(\mathfrak{m}|J_1, \dots, J_s) := e_\alpha$.

Given a sequence of rational polytopes Q_1, \dots, Q_r in \mathbb{R}^n with $\dim(Q_1 + \dots + Q_r) \leq r$ we define the **mixed volume** of Q_1, \dots, Q_r as

$$MV_r(Q_1, \dots, Q_r) := \sum_{h=1}^r \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_h \leq r} (-1)^{r-h} V_r(Q_{i_1} + \dots + Q_{i_h}),$$

where $V_r(Q)$ denotes the r -dimensional Euclidean volume.

In [30], Trung and Verma showed that mixed multiplicities of equigenerated monomial ideals can be understood as mixed volumes of sequences of rational polytopes.

Theorem 42 ([30], Theorem 2.4). *Let J_1, \dots, J_s be equigenerated monomial ideals of $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ of degree d_i and Q_i denote the convex hull of exponents of the dehomogenized generators of J_i in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and Q_0 the standard simplex in \mathbb{R}^n . Denote by $(i_0 Q_0, \dots, i_s Q_s)$ the sequence*

$$(Q_0, \dots, Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_1, \dots, Q_s, \dots, Q_s)$$

where each polytope Q_j appears i_j times and $i_0 + \dots + i_s = n$, then

$$MV_n(i_0 Q_0, \dots, i_s Q_s) = e_{(i_0, \dots, i_s)}(\mathfrak{m}|J_1, \dots, J_s).$$

In the particular case of Theorem 42 where the index of the mixed multiplicity $e_\alpha(\mathfrak{m}|I_1, \dots, I_s)$ is a vector with only one nonzero entry. Then the mixed volume computation reduces to a volume computation.

Lemma 43. *Let Q be a polytope in \mathbb{R}^n . Then*

$$MV_n(\underbrace{Q, \dots, Q}_{n \text{ times}}) = n! V_n(Q)$$

If we further assume that Q is a polytope in \mathbb{R}^n with exactly n vertices, the volume computation reduces to the computation of a determinant:

Lemma 44. *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the convex hull of the points*

$$x_0 = (x_{0,0}, \dots, x_{0,n}), \dots, x_n = (x_{n,0}, \dots, x_{n,n}).$$

and assume $|x_0| = \dots = |x_n| = d$. Then

$$n! V_n(Q) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & x_{0,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{d} \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{0,0} & \dots & x_{0,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,0} & \dots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where Q is the projection of P to the hyperplane $z_0 = 0$.

Remark 45. Note that given a set of monomials $m_1, \dots, m_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_s]$ that define a rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^{s-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$, the map φ is birational if and only if the last mixed multiplicity of $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_s)$ and $I = (m_1, \dots, m_s)$ is 1.

From these two observations we can determine for which characteristics the WLP fails for a simplicial complex that has the WLP in characteristic 0.

Theorem 46 (WLP in positive characteristics and mixed multiplicities).

Let Δ be a simplicial complex such that $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in characteristic zero and degree i . Assume moreover that $f_{i-1} \leq f_i$. Let m_1, \dots, m_{f_i} be the generators of the i -th incidence ideal of Δ , $I_\Delta(i)$. Denote by I_S the ideal generated by a subset S of the generators of $I_\Delta(i)$. Then Δ has the WLP in degree i and characteristic p if and only if p does not divide

$$(i+1) \gcd \left(e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_S) : S \subset \{m_1, \dots, m_{f_i}\}, |S| = f_{i-1} \right)$$

Proof. We know $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i when the base field has characteristic zero, so we know at least one $f_{i-1} \times f_{i-1}$ minor of $M(\Delta, i)$ is nonzero.

By Theorem 42, we know $e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_S) = MV_{f_{i-1}-1}(Q, \dots, Q)$ where Q is the simplex such that the vertices of Q are the projections of the exponents of the monomials in S . Lemma 43 implies

$$e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_S) = (f_{i-1} - 1)! V_{f_{i-1}-1}(Q).$$

By Lemma 44 and since the generators of I_S all have the same degree $i+1$, we conclude

$$(i+1)e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_S) = \det \log(S).$$

Since $\det \log(S)$ is a maximal minor of $M(\Delta, i)$, we see that $M(\Delta, i)$ has a maximal nonzero minor modulo p if and only if there exists an S such that p does not divide $(i+1)e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_S)$, which is equivalent to saying p does not divide the gcd of $(i+1)e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_S)$ where S ranges over every subset of $\{m_1, \dots, m_{f_i}\}$ of size f_{i-1} . \square

Example 47. In Example 27 we verified that the $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in characteristic zero and all degrees where Δ is the simplicial complex from Example 7. The maximal minors of $M(\Delta, 1)$ are in bijection with subgraphs of the 1-skeleton of Δ that have 4 edges. For each minor there are only two possible cases:

- (1) Either the minor is the determinant of the incidence matrix of a 4-cycle, in which case it is zero
- (2) or it is the determinant of the incidence matrix of a triangle with an extra edge. In this case the underlying graph satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 35 and defines a birational map. Then by Theorem 39 we conclude that it is ± 2 .

In particular, the gcd of all the minors is 2, so $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree 1 in every characteristic $\neq 2$.

From Theorem 46 we can give a lower bound so that if $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i and characteristic zero, then $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i and characteristic p for every p above the lower bound.

Lemma 48 ([18], Lemma 32). *Let $I, J \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be monomial ideals generated in the same degree such that $J \subset I$. Then*

$$e_\alpha(\mathfrak{m}|J) \leq e_\alpha(\mathfrak{m}|I)$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $|\alpha| = n - 1$, where $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Corollary 49. *Assume $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i and characteristic zero. Assume also that $f_{i-1} \leq f_i$. Then $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i and characteristic p for every p such that*

$$p > e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_\Delta(i)) \text{ and } p \text{ does not divide } i + 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 48, since any ideal generated by a subset of the generators of $I_\Delta(i)$ is contained in $I_\Delta(i)$, we have:

$$e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_S) \leq e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_\Delta(i)) < p$$

for every subset S of generators of $I_\Delta(i)$. The result holds by Corollary 49 since p does not divide $(i + 1)e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i} | I_S)$ for any subset S . \square

So far we assumed $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in characteristic zero and used mixed multiplicities to study the failure of the WLP in positive characteristics. Our next goal is to understand the failure of the WLP in characteristic zero in terms of mixed multiplicities.

One of the main questions in the theory of mixed multiplicities of ideals was on their positivity, that is, when can we guarantee $e_\alpha(\mathfrak{m}|J) > 0$ for some ideal J in a standard \mathbb{N} -graded k -algebra? In [29], Trung answered the question by relating the positivity of mixed multiplicities of an ideal J and the analytic spread of J . The result was recently generalized to sequences of ideals by F. Castillo, Y. Cid-Ruiz, B. Li, J. Montaña and N. Zhang in [6].

Theorem 50 ([6], Theorem 4.4). *Let J_1, \dots, J_s be an arbitrary sequence of ideals of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, \mathfrak{m} the maximal homogeneous ideal and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s+1}$*

such that $|\alpha| = n - 1$. Then $e_\alpha(\mathfrak{m}|J_1, \dots, J_s) > 0$ if and only if for every subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \subset \{1, \dots, s\}$ the following inequality holds:

$$\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_m} \leq \ell(J_{i_1} \dots J_{i_m}) - 1.$$

When $s = 1$, there is the result by Trung in [29]:

Theorem 51 ([6], Corollary 3.7). *Let J be an arbitrary ideal of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then $e_{(n-1-i,i)}(\mathfrak{m}|J) > 0$ if and only if $0 \leq i \leq \ell(J) - 1$.*

As a consequence, we have the following characterization of the WLP in characteristic zero in terms of mixed multiplicities.

Theorem 52 (WLP in characteristic zero and mixed multiplicities). *The squarefree reduction $A(\Delta)$ of the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Δ has the WLP in characteristic zero and degree i if and only if one of the following two conditions hold:*

- (1) $f_{i-1} \leq f_i$ and $e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i}|I_\Delta(i)) > 0$
- (2) $f_i \leq f_{i-1}$ and $e_{(f_{i-1}-f_i, f_i-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i}|I_\Delta(i)) > 0$

Proof. By Theorem 50, we know $e_{(a,b)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i}|I_\Delta(i)) > 0$ if and only if $b \leq \ell(I_\Delta(i)) - 1$, where $a + b = f_{i-1} - 1$. By Theorem 24, $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i and characteristic zero if and only if $\ell(I_\Delta(i)) = \min(f_{i-1}, f_i)$. We have two cases:

- (1) If $f_{i-1} \leq f_i$, then $e_{(0, f_{i-1}-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i}|I_\Delta(i)) > 0$ if and only if

$$f_{i-1} - 1 \leq \ell(I_\Delta(i)) - 1 \leq f_{i-1} - 1$$

- (2) If $f_i \leq f_{i-1}$, then $e_{(f_{i-1}-f_i, f_i-1)}(\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta, i}|I_\Delta(i)) > 0$ if and only if

$$f_i - 1 \leq \ell(I_\Delta(i)) - 1 \leq f_i - 1.$$

□

By applying the results from [25] we extend the characterization of the WLP in characteristic zero and degree 1 of [11] to positive characteristics. In order to extend their result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 53. *Let G be a graph such that $|V(G)| \leq |E(G)|$. If G has a connected component with less edges than vertices, the incidence matrix M of G does not have full rank.*

Proof. The incidence matrix of G is a block diagonal matrix where the blocks are the incidence matrices of the connected components G_1, \dots, G_s of G . The rank of M is equal to the sum of the ranks of each block. We have the following inequalities:

$$\text{rank } M \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \min(|V(G_i)|, |E(G_i)|) < \sum_{i=0}^s |V(G_i)| = |V(G)|.$$

□

Theorem 54 (WLP in degree 1 for squarefree monomial ideals). *Let Δ be a simplicial complex such that $f_0 \leq f_1$. Then one of the two following statements holds:*

- (1) $A(\Delta)$ fails the WLP in degree 1 in every characteristic.
- (2) $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree 1 in every characteristic except characteristic 2.

Proof. The matrix $M(\Delta, 1)$ that represents the multiplication map

$$\times L : A(\Delta)_1 \rightarrow A(\Delta)_2$$


has constant row sum 2. By Corollary 41, the gcd of its maximal minors is either 0, or a power of 2. If it is 0, then $M(\Delta, 1)$ does not have full rank and so $A(\Delta)$ fails the WLP in degree 1 in every characteristic. If it is a power of 2, then the multiplication map $\times L : A(\Delta)_1 \rightarrow A(\Delta)_2$ has full rank whenever the characteristic of the base field is not 2. Moreover, the multiplication map does not have full rank when the characteristic of the base field is 2, so the result holds. □

6. THE WLP IN DEGREE 1 OF ARBITRARY MONOMIAL IDEALS

Theorem 54 tells us in which characteristics the WLP in degree 1 of a squarefree Artinian reduction A satisfying $\dim A_1 \leq \dim A_2$ can fail. In this section we prove that the same result holds for arbitrary Artinian algebras R/I where I is a monomial ideal.

Definition 55. Let $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R$ be a monomial ideal such that $A = R/I$ is Artinian. The **underlying graph** G_A of A has vertex set $\{x_i \mid x_i \notin I\}$ and $\{x_i, x_j\}$ (possibly with $i = j$) is an edge of G_A if and only if $x_i x_j \notin I$.

Example 56. Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ and $I = (x_1 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_4, x_1^3, x_2^2, x_3^5, x_4^2)$. Then the underlying graph of $A = R/I$ is the following:



The incidence matrix of G_A is:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 x_1^2 \\
 x_3^2 \\
 x_1x_2 \\
 x_1x_4 \\
 x_2x_3 \\
 x_2x_4 \\
 x_3x_4
 \end{array}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
 \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, the multiplication map $\times L : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$, where L is the sum of the variables, is represented by the following matrix:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 x_1^2 \\
 x_3^2 \\
 x_1x_2 \\
 x_1x_4 \\
 x_2x_3 \\
 x_2x_4 \\
 x_3x_4
 \end{array}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
 \end{pmatrix}$$

The example above leads us to the following result:

Proposition 57. *Let I be a monomial ideal of $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $A = R/I$ an Artinian algebra. Assume that the characteristic of k is not 2. Then the multiplication map $\times L : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ has the same rank as the incidence matrix of G_A .*

Proof. The multiplication map $\times L : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is represented by the matrix:

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } i\text{-th monomial of } A_2 \text{ is divisible by the } j\text{-th monomial of } A_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if we multiply the rows associated to monomials that are pure powers of variables by 2, we get the incidence matrix of G_A . Since the characteristic of k is not 2, multiplying a row of a matrix by a nonzero element does not change the rank, so the result follows. \square

We can then generalize the main result of [11] to arbitrary monomial ideals in any characteristic different from 2:

Theorem 58 (Main Theorem). *Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and I a monomial ideal. Assume $A = R/I$ is Artinian and $\dim A_1 \leq \dim A_2$. Then either A has the WLP in degree 1 in every characteristic $\neq 2$, or A fails the WLP in degree 1 in every characteristic $\neq 2$.*

Moreover, A has the WLP in characteristic zero if and only if every connected component of G_A contains a subgraph that is either:

- (1) *A tree with one loop*
- (2) *A graph that has only one cycle of odd length*

Proof. Assume G_A is not a star with a loop at the center vertex. By Proposition 57, the rank of the matrix that represents the multiplication map $\times L : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is equal to the rank of the incidence matrix of G_A in every characteristic $\neq 2$. By Lemma 53, we may assume every connected component of G_A has more vertices than edges. Since the combinatorial conditions of the graph are the same as the ones in Theorem 35, the result follows by Corollary 41 and noticing that a maximal minor is nonzero if and only if the associated maximal submatrix is the incidence matrix of a graph where every connected component defines a birational map.

If G_A is a star with a loop, then we claim the matrix that represents the multiplication map $\times L : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ has determinant 1. To compute this determinant, subtract every column from the column associated to the vertex that is the center of the star. The resulting matrix is the identity matrix, so the result follows. \square

Example 59. Let $A = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]/(x_1x_3, x_1x_2x_4, x_1^3, x_2^2, x_3^5, x_4^2)$. Then A has the WLP in degree 1 in characteristic zero and every odd characteristic by Theorem 58. In characteristic 2 we can check A also has the WLP in degree 1 since at least one of the maximal minors of the matrix that represents the multiplication map $\times L : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is 1.

Remark 60 (Another perspective: Hyperplane arrangements and matroids). Throughout this paper, we took the rows of the incidence matrices of a simplicial complex Δ , to be exponents of monomials in the incidence ring of Δ . For an arbitrary monomial ideal $I \subset R$ over a field of characteristic zero, we could also take the entries in each column of an incidence matrix of I (i.e, a matrix that represent the multiplication map $\times L : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$, where $A = R/I$ for some i) to be coefficients of a linear form in the incidence ring of I . For example taking Δ to be the simplicial complex from Example 7 we have:

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ ab \\ ac \\ ad \\ bc \\ bd \\ cd \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines the product of linear forms:

$$h = \underbrace{(t_{ab} + t_{ac} + t_{ad})}_a \underbrace{(t_{ab} + t_{bc} + t_{bd})}_b \underbrace{(t_{ac} + t_{bc} + t_{cd})}_c \underbrace{(t_{ad} + t_{bd} + t_{cd})}_d \in R_I.$$

Combining the results on positivity of mixed multiplicities in [29] and the results on mixed multiplicities of jacobian ideals of products of linear forms in [18], one concludes that the rank of these incidence matrices is equal to the analytic spread of jacobian ideals, for example:

$$\text{rank}(M(\Delta, 1)) = 4 = \ell(J_h)$$

where $J_h \subset R_I$ is the jacobian ideal of h .

From this perspective, one natural question to ask is which matroids can be represented by incidence matrices. As an example, let Δ be the simplex on 5-vertices, so that $A(\Delta) = k[a, b, c, d, e]/(a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, e^2)$. Then the matrix

$$M(\Delta, 3) = \begin{array}{c} \\ abc \\ abcd \\ abce \\ abde \\ acde \\ bcde \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} abc & abd & abe & acd & ace & ade & bcd & bce & bde & cde \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which corresponds to the triangle-tetrahedra incidence in Δ , is a representation of the matroid R_{10} .

7. CLOSING REMARKS

In this paper, we introduced incidence ideals of a simplicial complex Δ in order to study Lefschetz properties of the algebra $A(\Delta)$. In Proposition 20, we showed some necessary conditions for a squarefree monomial ideal to be the incidence ideal of some simplicial complex. Example 22 gives us an example that these conditions are not sufficient, a natural question is:

Question 61. *What are necessary and sufficient conditions for a squarefree monomial ideal to be the incidence ideal of some simplicial complex?*

For a birational map φ satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 35, the ideal generated by each entry of φ is of linear type. In [31], Villarreal characterized edge ideals of linear type as edge ideals of graphs that are trees or have one unique cycle of odd length. In [1], the authors generalized this result to all squarefree monomial ideals by introducing the concept of an even walk in a simplicial complex. In view of Theorem 35, Theorem 46 and the fact that the last mixed multiplicity of an ideal that defines a birational map is 1, we ask the following question:

Question 62. *Can we find classes of simplicial complexes where the algebra $A(\Delta)$ has the WLP in degree i in every characteristic that does not divide $i + 1$ by finding incidence ideals of linear type?*

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank my supervisor Sara Faridi for very useful discussions which improved the presentation of the paper. I also thank Jonathan Montaña for answering questions on mixed multiplicities.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali Alilooee and Sara Faridi. When is a squarefree monomial ideal of linear type? In *Commutative algebra and noncommutative algebraic geometry.*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., pages 1–18. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2015.
- [2] Ali Alilooee, Ivan Soprunov, and Javid Validashti. Generalized multiplicities of edge ideals. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 47(3):441–472, 2018.
- [3] Katie Ansal di, David Eisenbud, Robert Krone, and Jay Yang. RandomIdeals: creating random ideals of various sorts. A *Macaulay2* package available at <https://github.com/Macaulay2/M2/tree/master/M2/Macaulay2/packages>.
- [4] Mats Boij. Artin level algebras. *Doctoral Dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH)*, 1996.
- [5] Winfried Bruns and Joseph Gubeladze. *Polytopes, rings, and K-theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [6] Federico Castillo, Yairon Cid-Ruiz, Binglin Li, Jonathan Montaña, and Naizhen Zhang. When are multidegrees positive? *Adv. Math.*, 374:107382, 34, 2020.

- [7] David Cook, II, Martina Juhnke-Kubitzke, Satoshi Murai, and Eran Nevo. Lefschetz properties of balanced 3-polytopes. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 48(3):769–790, 2018.
- [8] David Cook II. NautyGraphs: interface to nauty(Graphs fork). A *Macaulay2* package available at <https://github.com/Macaulay2/M2/tree/master/M2/Macaulay2/packages>.
- [9] Susan M. Cooper, Sara Faridi, Thiago Holleben, Lisa Nicklasson, and Adam Van Tuyl. The weak Lefschetz property of whiskered graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.04393*, 2023.
- [10] David A. Cox, John Little, and Donal O’Shea. *Using algebraic geometry*, volume 185 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2005.
- [11] Hailong Dao and Ritika Nair. On the Lefschetz property for quotients by monomial ideals containing squares of variables. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.09434*, 2021.
- [12] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. *3264 and all that—a second course in algebraic geometry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [13] Sara Faridi. The facet ideal of a simplicial complex. *Manuscripta Math.*, 109(2):159–174, 2002.
- [14] Gregory G. Smith, Ben Hersey, and Alexandre Zotine. SimplicialComplexes: exploring abstract simplicial complexes within commutative algebra. A *Macaulay2* package available at <https://github.com/Macaulay2/M2/tree/master/M2/Macaulay2/packages>.
- [15] Gérard Gonzalez-Sprinberg and Ivan Pan. On the monomial birational maps of the projective space. *An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.*, 75(2):129–134, 2003.
- [16] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman. *Macaulay2*, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- [17] Tadahito Harima, Juan C. Migliore, Uwe Nagel, and Junzo Watanabe. The weak and strong Lefschetz properties for Artinian K -algebras. *J. Algebra*, 262(1):99–126, 2003.
- [18] June Huh. Milnor numbers of projective hypersurfaces and the chromatic polynomial of graphs. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(3):907–927, 2012.
- [19] José Martínez-Bernal, Susan Morey, and Rafael H. Villarreal. Associated primes of powers of edge ideals. *Collect. Math.*, 63(3):361–374, 2012.
- [20] Emilia Mezzetti, Rosa M. Miró-Roig, and Giorgio Ottaviani. Laplace equations and the weak Lefschetz property. *Canad. J. Math.*, 65(3):634–654, 2013.
- [21] Juan Migliore and Uwe Nagel. Survey article: a tour of the weak and strong Lefschetz properties. *J. Commut. Algebra*, 5(3):329–358, 2013.
- [22] Juan Migliore, Uwe Nagel, and Hal Schenck. The weak Lefschetz property for quotients by quadratic monomials. *Math. Scand.*, 126(1):41–60, 2020.
- [23] Juan C. Migliore, Rosa M. Miró-Roig, and Uwe Nagel. Monomial ideals, almost complete intersections and the weak Lefschetz property. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(1):229–257, 2011.
- [24] Aron Simis and Rafael H. Villarreal. Constraints for the normality of monomial subrings and birationality. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(7):2043–2048, 2003.
- [25] Aron Simis and Rafael H. Villarreal. Linear syzygies and birational combinatorics. *Results Math.*, 48(3-4):326–343, 2005.
- [26] Giovanni Staglianò. Cremona: rational maps between projective varieties. A *Macaulay2* package available at <https://github.com/Macaulay2/M2/tree/master/M2/Macaulay2/packages>.

- [27] Giovanni Staglianò. A macaulay2 package for computations with rational maps. *The Journal of Software for Algebra and Geometry*, 8, 2018.
- [28] Richard P. Stanley. Weyl groups, the hard Lefschetz theorem, and the Sperner property. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 1(2):168–184, 1980.
- [29] Ngô Việt Trung. Positivity of mixed multiplicities. *Math. Ann.*, 319(1):33–63, 2001.
- [30] Ngô Việt Trung and Jugal Verma. Mixed multiplicities of ideals versus mixed volumes of polytopes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(10):4711–4727, 2007.
- [31] Rafael H. Villarreal. Rees algebras of edge ideals. *Comm. Algebra*, 23(9):3513–3524, 1995.

(T. Holleben) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY, 6316 COBURG RD., PO BOX 15000, HALIFAX, NS, CANADA B3H 4R2
Email address: hollebenthiago@dal.ca