On the growth of Sobolev norm for the cubic NLS on two dimensional product space

Hideo Takaoka* Department of Mathematics, Kobe University Kobe, 657-8501, Japan takaoka@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We obtain polynomial bounds on the growth in time of Sobolev norm of solutions to the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation on two dimensional product space. We also give the angular improved bilinear Strichartz estimates for frequency localized functions, which estimates are used for enhancement of a smoothing estimates. Such upper bounds for the growth of Sobolev norms measure the transfer of energy from low to high modes as time grows on.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers. 35Q55, 42B37.

Key Words and Phrases. Growth of Sobolev norms, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Bilinear Strichartz estimates.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation on two dimensional product space

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t + \Delta u = |u|^2 u, \\ u(0,z) = u_0(z) \in H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}), \end{cases}$$

where $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. The unknown function $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ is a solution to (1.1), and obeys the integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 - i\int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} \left[|u|^2 u \right](t') dt'.$$

We will focus on the defocussing nonlinearity as a simple model.

^{*}This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18H01129.

As is known, the equation (1.1) possesses at least two conservation laws; the energy conserved quantity

(1.2)
$$E[u](t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u(t,z)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|u(t,z)|^4\right) dz$$

and the mass conserved quantity

(1.3)
$$M[u](t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}} |u(t,z)|^2 dz$$

The conserved energy in the defocusing case is positive definite and controls the H^1 energy norm of solutions. Making use of conservation of energy (1.2) and mass (1.3) proves a priori bounds for solutions of (1.1) as

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\|u(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}<\infty.$$

The aim of this paper is to develop the problem of growth in time of higher order Sobolev norms of solutions to (1.1).

There exist considerable amount of results in well-posedness literature for Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 . On the Euclidean space, one has dispersive estimates that allow us to obtain an L^{∞} -estimate for the linearized solution. One standard consequence of the dispersive estimates is the Strichartz estimates. Such Strichartz estimates are fundamental and key tools for the analysis of the well-posedness problem.

In the periodic setting, such a dispersive estimate does not hold on one hand. This makes the analysis of the well-posedness problem more difficult than the Euclidean setting. In [2], Bourgain investigated the Strichartz estimates for Schrödinger equations on two dimensional tori \mathbb{T}^2 . He proved H^s (s > 0) well-posedness results for the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation on two dimensional torus \mathbb{T}^2 by using the ε -loss Strichartz estimates

(1.4)
$$\left\| e^{it\Delta}\phi_N \right\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}^3)} \le C(\varepsilon)N^{\varepsilon} \|\phi_N\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\phi_N \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with spatial frequencies N. There is no way to compensate the loss of regularity in (1.4), in contrast with the Euclidean setting \mathbb{R}^2 . The upper bound on the growth in time of high Sobolev norms of global solutions on \mathbb{T}^2 was achieved by Bourgain [3]. The growth of high Sobolev norms of solutions gives us quantitative estimate on the energy cascade phenomena, which captures the transfer of energy from low to high frequency modes. He proved polynomial in time upper bounds on the Sobolev norm growth to solutions of the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{T}^2

(1.5)
$$||u(t)||_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{2(s-1)+1}$$

for s > 1. Moreover, he conjectured that if solutions exist global-in-time, the growth should be sub-polynomial in time, namely

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} \le C(\varepsilon) \langle t \rangle^{\varepsilon}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. The lower bounds for the growth of Sobolev norms in the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation was investigated in [6].

Remark 1.1. We give a review of the results on the lower bound of solution obtained in [6]. Let s > 1, $K \gg 1$ and $0 < \delta \ll 1$ be given. Then there exists a global smooth solution u(t) to the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{T}^2 and a time T > 0 with

$$||u(0)||_{H^{s}(\mathbb{T}^{2})} \leq \delta$$
 and $||u(T)||_{H^{s}(\mathbb{T}^{2})} \geq K$.

This result is peculiar of the periodic setting. In the whole space, Dodson [8] proved that every solution in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ scatters and is finite in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

What happens to the problem in the product space setting $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$? In [11], we showed the local-in-time L^4 -Strichartz estimates with fractional loss of derivatives

(1.6)
$$\left\| e^{it\Delta} \phi \right\|_{L^4([0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}$$

for $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$. By (1.6), the global well-posednesss results for small data in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ was established, see again [11]. The global well-posedness and scattering results was considered by Hani, Paisader, Tzvetokov and Visciglia [9]. They studied the resonance system associated to the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations on the general domain $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^d$ for $1 \leq d \leq 4$, in which the results on the asymptotic dynamics and the modified scattering operators for small data in weighed Sobolev spaces with certain norms were explored. We refer to the paper [4] by Chen, Guo and Zhao and the reference therein, where the global well-posedness and scattering results for the quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$.

In light of (1.5), Deng and Yang [7] obtained the bound on the growth in time of high Sobolev norms of global solutions to (1.1)

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{2(s-1)+1}$$

for $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, s > 1. In this paper, we improve the polynomial upper bounds on the growth of solutions. Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let s > 1. There exists a constant $C = C(||u_0||_{H^s})$ such that

$$||u(t)||_{H^s} \le C\langle t \rangle^{\frac{s-1}{2}+}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any global-in-time solution u(t) to (1.1).

Remark 1.2. In contrast with the problem in \mathbb{T}^2 , it is interesting to understand whether a growing-up solution to (1.1) exists for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$. It also remains a challenging open problem to establish the circumstances in which the situation like as Remark 1.1 occurs.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is established by the upside-down I-method, normal form reduction of the Hamiltonian, and bilinear Strichartz estimates. The bilinear Strichartz estimates constructed here is angularly refined one, which is inspired by the previous work developed in [5].

Organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 gives the notation used in the paper. In Section 3, we continue our study of bilinear estimates associated with the linear Schrödinger equation. A segmental angular analysis is used. In Section 4, we will introduce the modified energy assigned with the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in (1.1). Section 5 gives the proof of Theorem 1.1. The idea behind the energy increment argument is that we observe the increment in the execution.

2 Notation

In the paper, $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. We will use c and C to denote various time independent constants. In the case that the implied constant depends on a, we write c(a) or C(a). We use $a \leq b$ to denote an inequality of the form $a \leq cb$. Similarly, we write $a \sim b$ to mean $a \leq b$ and $a \gtrsim b$. The notation a+ denotes $a + \varepsilon$ for an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$. Similarly, a- denotes $a - \varepsilon$.

We will use the shorthand notation $\zeta = (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for variables in physical space. Define $(d\zeta)$ to be measuring-counting product measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$$\int a(\zeta) (d\zeta) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi,$$

where $a(\zeta) = a(\xi, \eta)$ for $\zeta = (\xi, \eta)$.

We consider the functions on $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$. Define the Fourier transform of a function f defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\widehat{f}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} e^{-iz \cdot \zeta} f(z) \, dz$$

for $\zeta \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$. This leads to the Fourier inverse formula

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{iz \cdot \zeta} \widehat{f}(\zeta) \, (d\zeta).$$

By Plancherel,

$$||f||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} = \int |\widehat{f}(\zeta)|^2 (d\zeta).$$

The usual properties of Fourier transform of functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ hold as follows:

$$\int \widehat{f}(\zeta)\overline{\widehat{g}(\zeta)} (d\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}} f(z)\overline{g(z)} dz,$$
$$\widehat{fg}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(\zeta),$$

$$\widehat{f \ast g}(\zeta) = 2\pi \widehat{f}(\zeta)\widehat{g}(\zeta).$$

We denote the symbol \hat{f} the space-time Fourier transform of a function f defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$

$$\widehat{f}(\tau,\zeta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} e^{-it\tau - iz \cdot \zeta} f(t,z) \, dt dz$$

for $(\tau, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$, when this notation can not cause confusion.

We make use of two parameter spaces $X^{s,b}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ with the norm

$$||f||_{X^{s,b}} = \left(\int \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \zeta \rangle^{2s} \langle \tau - |\zeta|^2 \rangle^{2b} |\widehat{f}(\tau,\zeta)|^2 d\tau(d\zeta)\right)^{1/2}.$$

For the time interval I, we define the restricted spaces $X^{s,b}(I)$ by the norm

$$||f||_{X^{s,b}(I)} = \inf\{||F||_{X^{s,b}(I)} | F|_{I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} = f\}.$$

Remark 2.1. It is well known that the Strichartz estimates related to (1.6) is adapted into the spaces $X^{s,b}$. If f is a function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$, then

(2.1)
$$||f||_{L^4(I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})} \le C(|I|) ||f||_{X^{0,1/2+}(I)}$$

The proof follows from a nice application of (1.6). Stacking up dyadic level sets with respect to $\langle \tau - |\zeta|^2 \rangle$ on which (1.6) holds, we obtain (2.1).

3 Angularly refined bilinear Strichartz estimates

In this section, we prove the bilinear estimates associated to the linear Schrödinger equation, induced with product space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $1 < N_1 \leq N_2$ and M > 1. Suppose that $\phi_{N_1}, \phi_{N_2} \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ are the functions with spatial frequencies N_1 , N_2 respectively. Then the space-time function

$$F(t,z) = \int e^{-it(|\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2) - iz \cdot (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)} 1_{|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \gtrsim M} \widehat{\phi}_{N_1}(\zeta_1) \widehat{\phi}_{N_2}(\zeta_2) (d\zeta_1) (d\zeta_2).$$

has the bound

$$||F||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{N_1^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} ||\phi_{N_1}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} ||\phi_{N_2}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}.$$

Proof. By Placherel's identity, we have

$$\|F\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} = \left\| \int \delta(\tau - |\zeta_{1}|^{2} - |\zeta_{2}|^{2}) \delta(\zeta - \zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}) \mathbf{1}_{|\xi_{1} - \xi_{2}| \gtrsim M} \widehat{\phi}_{N_{1}}(\zeta_{1}) \widehat{\phi}_{N_{2}}(\zeta_{2}) (d\zeta_{1}) (d\zeta_{2}) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z})}.$$

Further by using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\|F\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}^{2} \lesssim \|\phi_{N_{1}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}^{2} \|\phi_{N_{2}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}^{2} \sup_{(\tau,\xi,\eta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\eta_{1}\in\mathbb{Z}} 1_{|\eta_{1}|\lesssim N_{1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{|\xi_{1}-\xi/2|\gtrsim M} \delta(\tau-\xi^{2}/2-2(\xi_{1}-\xi/2)^{2}-\eta_{1}^{2}-(\eta-\eta_{1})^{2}) d\xi_{1}.$$

Therefore the proof of our claim reduces to show

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{|\xi_1 - \xi/2| \gtrsim M} \delta(\tau - \xi^2/2 - 2(\xi_1 - \xi/2)^2 - \eta_1^2 - (\eta - \eta_1)^2) \, d\xi_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{M},$$

which follows from the condition $|\xi_1 - \xi/2| \gtrsim M$ in the integration process. Hence we have the desired estimate.

In keeping with the argument in Remark 2.1, we obtain the following proposition from Lemma 3.1.

Proposition 3.1. Let $1 < N_1 \leq N_2$ and M > 1. Suppose that f_{N_1} , $f_{N_2} \in X^{0,1/2+}$ are the functions with spatial frequencies N_1 , N_2 respectively. Then the space-time function

$$F(t,z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int e^{-it(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - iz \cdot (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)} \mathbf{1}_{|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \gtrsim M} \widehat{f}_{N_1}(\tau_1,\zeta_1) \widehat{f}_{N_2}(\tau_2,\zeta_2) \, (d\zeta_1) (d\zeta_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

has the bound

$$\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{N_1^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} \|f_{N_1}\|_{X^{0,1/2+}} \|f_{N_2}\|_{X^{0,1/2+}}.$$

Remark 3.1. While the estimate in Proposition 3.1 is of interesting it own right, it is not difficult to prove

$$\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{N_1^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} \|f_{N_1}\|_{X^{0,1/2+1}} \|f_{N_2}\|_{X^{0,1/2+1}}$$

for the space-time function

$$F(t,z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int e^{-it(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - iz \cdot (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)} 1_{|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \gtrsim M} \widehat{f}_{N_1}(\tau_1,\zeta_1) \widehat{\overline{f}}_{N_2}(\tau_2,\zeta_2) (d\zeta_1) (d\zeta_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

As expected, we give the angularly-restricted version of the estimates associated to the product of linear solution, $e^{it\Delta}\phi_{N_1}e^{it\Delta}\phi_{N_2}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $0 < \theta \ll 1 < M$ and $1 < N_1 \leq N_2$. Suppose that $\phi_{N_1}, \phi_{N_2} \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ are the functions with spatial frequencies N_1 , N_2 respectively. Then the space-time function

$$F(t,z) = \int e^{-it(|\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2) - iz \cdot (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)} 1_{|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \gtrsim M} 1_{|\cos \angle (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)| \le \theta} \widehat{\phi}_{N_1}(\zeta_1) \widehat{\phi}_{N_2}(\zeta_2) (d\zeta_1) (d\zeta_2).$$

has the bound

$$||F||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{\langle\theta N_2\rangle^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} ||\phi_{N_1}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} ||\phi_{N_2}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}.$$

Remark 3.2. In [5], such estimate was obtained for the problem in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 , in which the upper bound on the right-hand side of the estimate is $\theta^{1/2}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. The proof follows in a similar way to [5]. We first consider the restricted case when the Fourier transform of ϕ_{N_j} is supported in the angular sector

$$\{\zeta_j \mid \arg(\zeta_j) = \ell_j \theta + O(\theta)\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

where ℓ_j (j = 1, 2) are arbitrary integers $1 \leq \ell_j \leq 2\pi/\theta$, respectively. With a truncated setup, we begin by considering the following space-time function

$$F(t,z) = \int e^{-it(|\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2) - iz \cdot (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)} 1_{|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \gtrsim M} \widehat{\phi}_{N_1}(\zeta_1) \widehat{\phi}_{N_2}(\zeta_2)$$
$$1_{\arg(\zeta_1) = \ell_1 \theta + O(\theta)} 1_{\arg(\zeta_2) = \ell_2 \theta + O(\theta)} (d\zeta_1) (d\zeta_2).$$

By using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} |\widehat{F}(\tau,\zeta)|^{2} \lesssim \int |\delta(\tau-|\zeta_{1}|^{2}-|\zeta-\zeta_{1}|^{2})| |\widehat{\phi}_{N_{1}}(\zeta_{1})|^{2} |\widehat{\phi}_{N_{2}}(\zeta-\zeta_{1})|^{2} (d\zeta_{1}) \\ \sup_{(\tau,\zeta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}} \int \mathbf{1}_{\arg(\zeta_{1})=\ell_{1}\theta+O(\theta)} \mathbf{1}_{\arg(\zeta-\zeta_{1})=\ell_{2}\theta+O(\theta)} \mathbf{1}_{|\zeta_{1}|\sim N_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{|\zeta-\zeta_{1}|\sim N_{2}} \\ \mathbf{1}_{|\xi_{1}-\xi/2|\gtrsim M} \delta(\tau-|\zeta_{1}|^{2}-|\zeta-\zeta_{1}|^{2}) (d\zeta_{1}). \end{split}$$

We wish to show

$$(3.1) \qquad \begin{aligned} \sup_{\substack{(\tau,\zeta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}}} \int \mathbf{1}_{\arg(\zeta_1)=\ell_1\theta+O(\theta)} \mathbf{1}_{\arg(\zeta-\zeta_1)=\ell_2\theta+O(\theta)} \mathbf{1}_{|\zeta_1|\sim N_1} \mathbf{1}_{|\zeta-\zeta_1|\sim N_2} \mathbf{1}_{|\xi_1-\xi/2|\gtrsim M} \\ \delta(\tau-|\zeta_1|^2-|\zeta-\zeta_1|^2) (d\zeta_1) \\ \lesssim \frac{\langle\theta N_2\rangle}{M}. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of the delta function (density distribution), we may assume $|\tau - |\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta - \zeta_1|^2| \leq 1$ in (3.1). Then the estimate (3.1) is equivalent to the following

(3.2)
$$\sup_{(\tau,\xi,\eta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}}|A(\tau,\zeta)| \lesssim \frac{\langle\theta N_2\rangle}{M}$$

where

$$A(\tau,\zeta) = \{\zeta_1 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \arg(\zeta_1) = \ell_1 \theta + O(\theta), \ \arg(\zeta - \zeta_1) = \ell_2 \theta + O(\theta), \\ |\zeta_1| \sim N_1, \ |\zeta - \zeta_1| \sim N_2 \ |\xi_1 - \xi/2| \gtrsim M, \\ |\zeta_1 - \zeta/2| = r + O(1/r)\}$$

with $M \leq r \leq N_2$. Here we will use a Whitney decomposition to the annulus $|\zeta_1 - \zeta/2| = r + O(1/r)$ with center $\zeta/2$, which is a partition of the annulus into rectangles such that

the size of each rectangle is $1 \times O(1/r)$ with central angle O(1/r). Now split the angular $\arg(\zeta_1 - \zeta) = \ell_2 \theta + O(\theta)$ into $\langle \theta r \rangle$ -pieces, that is

$$\arg(\zeta_1 - \zeta) \in \ell_2 \theta + \left[\frac{k}{2r}, \frac{k+1}{2r}\right], \quad |k| \lesssim \langle \theta r \rangle.$$

Each angular area $\arg(\zeta_1 - \zeta) \in \ell_1 \theta + [k/r, (k+1)/r]$ intersects with at most three of the angular sectors with central angle O(1/r) induced by the Whitney decomposition to the annulus $|\zeta_1 - \zeta/2| = r + O(1/r)$, as we argued above. Observe that the number of the arcs is at most $O(\langle \theta N_2 \rangle)$, which corresponds to the number of η_1 in the support of the integral on the left-hand side of (3.1). For each η_1 , the measure of ξ_1 satisfying $(\xi_1, \eta_1) \in A(\tau, \xi, \eta)$ is at most O(1/M). Then we have

$$|A(\tau,\zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{\eta_1} \frac{1}{M} \lesssim \frac{\langle \theta N_2 \rangle}{M},$$

which shows (3.2).

By raising the above estimate, we now show the estimate stated in the lemma. Following to the instruction of the paper [5], we use the angular decomposition technique for computing the Fourier transformation

$$\phi_{N_j} = \sum_{1 \le \ell < 2\pi/\theta} \phi_{N_j,\ell},$$

for j = 1, 2, where the Fourier transform of $\phi_{N_j,\ell}$ is supported in the disjointed angular sector

$$\{\zeta_j \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid |\zeta_j| \sim N_j, \operatorname{arg}(\zeta_j) = \theta \ell + O(\theta) \}.$$

By an argument mimicking of [5], the angular restriction $|\cos \angle(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)| \le \theta$ gives that either $|\ell_1 - \ell_2| = \pi/(2\theta) + O(1)$ or $|\ell_1 - \ell_2| = 3\pi/(2\theta) + O(1)$ holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{|\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}|=\pi/(2\theta)+O(1)\\ \text{ or } |\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}|=3\pi/(2\theta)+O(1) \\ }} \frac{\langle\theta N_{2}\rangle^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} \|\phi_{N_{1},\ell_{1}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}^{2} \|\phi_{N_{2},\ell_{2}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle\theta N_{2}\rangle^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} \left(\sum_{1\leq\ell_{1}<2\pi/\theta} \|\phi_{N_{1},\ell_{1}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{1\leq\ell_{2}<2\pi/\theta} \|\phi_{N_{2},\ell_{2}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}^{2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle\theta N_{2}\rangle^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} \|\phi_{N_{1}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \|\phi_{N_{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}\|_{L^{2}.} \end{aligned}$$

The next proposition is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2.

Proposition 3.2. Let $0 < \theta \ll 1 < M$ and $1 < N_1 \leq N_2$. Suppose that f_{N_1} , $f_{N_2} \in X^{0,1/2+}$ are the functions with spatial frequencies N_1 , N_2 respectively. Then the spacetime function

$$F(t,z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int e^{-it(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - iz \cdot (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)} 1_{|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \gtrsim M} 1_{|\cos \angle (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)| \le \theta} \\ \widehat{f}_{N_1}(\tau_1, \zeta_1) \widehat{f}_{N_2}(\tau_2, \zeta_2) (d\zeta_1) (d\zeta_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

has the bound

$$\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{\langle\theta N_2\rangle^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} \|f_{N_1}\|_{X^{0,1/2+}} \|f_{N_2}\|_{X^{0,1/2+}}.$$

Our next lemma concerns with the estimates associated with the product of linear solution, $e^{it\Delta}\phi_{N_1}\overline{e^{it\Delta}\phi_{N_2}}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $0 < \theta \ll 1 < M$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and N_1 , $N_2 > 1$. Suppose that ϕ_{N_1} , $\phi_{N_2,\ell} \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ are the functions with spatial frequencies N_1 , N_2 respectively. Also assume that the Fourier transform of $\phi_{N_2,\ell}$ is supported on $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \arg(\zeta) = \ell\theta + O(\theta)\}$. Then the space-time function

$$F(t,z) \int e^{-it(|\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta_2|^2) - iz \cdot (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)} 1_{|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \gtrsim M} 1_{\arg(\zeta_2) = \ell\theta + O(\theta)} \\ \widehat{\phi}_{N_1}(\zeta_1) \widehat{\phi}_{N_2,\ell}(\zeta_2) (d\zeta_1) (d\zeta_2)$$

has the bound

$$\|F\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{\langle \theta(N_{1}+N_{2})\rangle^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} \|\phi_{N_{1}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \|\phi_{N_{2},\ell}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})},$$

where the constant on the right-hand side is independent of θ , M, ℓ , N_1 , N_2 .

Proof. We will use the similar argument to the proof of Lemma 3.2. It suffices to show

(3.4)
$$\sup_{\substack{(\tau,\zeta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}\\ \delta(\tau)=\ell\theta+O(\theta)}} \int 1_{\arg(\zeta_2)=\ell\theta+O(\theta)} 1_{|\xi_1+\xi_2|\gtrsim M} 1_{|\zeta-\zeta_1|\sim N_2} \delta(\tau-|\zeta_1|^2+|\zeta-\zeta_1|^2) (d\zeta_1) \\ \lesssim \frac{\langle\theta N_2\rangle}{M}.$$

We again divide the arc $|\zeta_1 - \zeta| = r \leq N_1 + N_2$, $\arg(\zeta_1 - \zeta) = \ell\theta + O(\theta)$ into the arcs of length O(1) with angler $O(1/\langle r \rangle)$. The number of the arcs is at most $O(\langle \theta(N_1 + N_2) \rangle)$. Since $-|\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta - \zeta_1|^2 = |\zeta|^2 - 2\xi\xi_1 - 2\eta\eta_1$ and $|\xi| \sim M$, we have that the integral on the left-hand side of (3.4) has the bound

$$c \frac{\langle \theta(N_1 + N_2) \rangle}{M}$$

which completes the proof.

By Lemma 3.3, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. Let $0 < \theta \ll 1 < M$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and N_1 , $N_2 > 1$. Suppose that f_{N_1} , $f_{N_2,\ell} \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ are the functions with spatial frequencies N_1 , N_2 respectively, and that the Fourier transform of $f_{N_2,\ell}$ is supported on $\{(\tau,\zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \arg(\zeta) = \ell\theta + O(\theta)\}$. Then the space-time function

$$F(t,z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int e^{-it(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - iz \cdot (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)} 1_{|\xi + \xi_2| \sim N_1} 1_{\arg(\zeta_2) = \ell\theta + O(\theta)}$$
$$\widehat{f_{N_1}(\tau_1, \zeta_1)} \widehat{\overline{f_{N_2,\ell}}}(\tau_2, \zeta_2) (d\zeta_1) (d\zeta_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

has the bound

$$\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{\langle \theta(N_1+N_2)\rangle^{1/2}}{M^{1/2}} \|f_{N_1}\|_{X^{0,1/2+1}} \|f_{N_2,\ell}\|_{X^{0,1/2+1}},$$

where the constant on the right-hand side is independent of θ , M, ℓ , N_1 , N_2 .

Finally we give the observation for the case of $N_1 = N_2 = N_0$. Let us use the formula $|\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta - \zeta_1|^2 = 2|\zeta_1 - \zeta/2|^2 + |\zeta|^2/2$. For each $(\tau, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying that $\tau - |\zeta|^2/2 \leq 100$, we have

$$\int \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta_1 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} | |\zeta_1| \sim N_0, |\zeta - \zeta_1| \sim N_0, |\tau - |\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta - \zeta_1|^2 | \le 1\}} (d\zeta_1) \lesssim 1.$$

If $(\tau, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $\tau - |\zeta|^2/2| \ge 100$, we can write

$$\{\zeta_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid |\zeta_{1}| \sim N_{0}, \ |\zeta - \zeta_{1}| \sim N_{0}, \ |\tau - |\zeta_{1}|^{2} - |\zeta - \zeta_{1}|^{2}| \leq 1\}$$

$$\subset \bigcup_{|\eta_{1}|=0} \{\xi_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \mid \zeta_{1} = (\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}, \ |2|\zeta_{1} - \zeta/2|^{2} - \tau + |\zeta|^{2}/2| \leq 1\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} & \left| \{\zeta_1 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid |\zeta_1| \sim N_0, \ |\zeta - \zeta_1| \sim N_0, \ |\tau - |\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta - \zeta_1|^2| \ll 1 \} \right| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\eta_1 = 0}^{O\left(\sqrt{\tau - |\zeta|^2/2}\right)} \left(\sqrt{(\sqrt{\tau - |\zeta|^2/2 + 1})^2 - \eta_1^2} - \sqrt{(\sqrt{\tau - |\zeta|^2/2 - 1})^2 - \eta_1^2} \right) \\ & \lesssim \left(\tau - |\zeta|^2/2\right) \sum_{\eta_1 = 0}^{O\left(\sqrt{\tau - |\zeta|^2/2}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\tau - |\zeta|^2/2)^2 - \eta_1^2}} \\ & \lesssim \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \lesssim 1. \end{split}$$

Collecting these estimates, we arrive at the following

(3.5)
$$\sup_{(\tau,\zeta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}}\int \mathbf{1}_{\{\zeta_1\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}\mid|\zeta_1|\sim N_0,\ |\zeta-\zeta_1|\sim N_0,\ |\tau-|\zeta_1|^2-|\zeta-\zeta_1|^2|\leq 1\}}\left(d\zeta_1\right)\lesssim 1.$$

With this nice estimate, we revisit the proof of Lemma 3.2. By replacing (3.1) with (3.5), it follows that for any $\phi_{N_0} \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ with spatial frequencies N_0

(3.6)
$$\|e^{-it\Delta}\phi_{N_0}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|\phi_{N_0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}.$$

As dealt in Proposition 3.2, we immediately obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. For a space-time function f, we have

$$(3.7) ||f||_{L^4(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim ||f||_{X^{0+,1/2+1}}$$

and

(3.8)
$$||f||_{L^{4+}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim ||f||_{X^{0+,1/2+}}.$$

Moreover, for any f_{N_0} with spatial frequencies N_0

(3.9)
$$||f_{N_0}||_{L^4(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_0\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim ||f_{N_0}||_{X^{0,1/2+1}}$$

and

(3.10)
$$||f_{N_0}||_{L^{4-}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim ||f_{N_0}||_{X^{0,1/2-}}.$$

Proof. The estimate (3.6) implies (3.7). Interpolating (3.7) and the classical Sobolev embedding

 $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{1+,1/2+}},$

we obtain (3.8). The estimate (3.9) follows from (3.6). The estimate (3.10) follows from interpolation (3.9) and the trivial estimate $||f_{N_0}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} = ||f_{N_0}||_{X^{0,0}}$.

4 Reduction of the energy

In this section, we modify the energy function via normal form reduction argument. To get a better energy function associated to H^s solution, we make use of a spatial Fourier multiplier operator $I: H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \to H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ by a smooth monotone multiplier $m: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \to (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$m(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\zeta| < N, \\ \left(\frac{|\zeta|}{N}\right)^{s-1}, & |\zeta| > 2N, \end{cases}$$

where N > 1 is to be determined later, that is, in Section 5. We point out that the operator I maps from $H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ onto $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ as it can be seen in the following estimate. For s > 1,

(4.1)
$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim N^{s-1} \|If\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim N^{s-1} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}.$$

It is convenient to introduce some notation for the multilinear expressions. If k is an integer, we write

$$\int M(\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_k) \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_1+\cdots+\zeta_k=0}(d\zeta_1)\ldots(d\zeta_k) = \int_* M(\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_k).$$

Given the multiplier I, we provide the definition of the modified energy as

(4.2)
$$E_{I}[u](t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{*} |\zeta_{1}| |\zeta_{2}| m(\zeta_{1}) m(\zeta_{2}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{1}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{2}) + \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{*} \Lambda_{4}(\zeta_{1},\zeta_{2},\zeta_{3},\zeta_{4}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{1}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{2}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{3}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{4}),$$

with

$$\Lambda_4(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,\zeta_4) = \frac{m(\zeta_1)^2 |\zeta_1|^2 - m(\zeta_2)^2 |\zeta_2|^2 + m(\zeta_3)^2 |\zeta_3|^2 - m(\zeta_4)^2 |\zeta_4|^2}{|\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta_2|^2 + |\zeta_3|^2 - |\zeta_4|^2} \times 1_{|\cos \angle (\zeta_{12},\zeta_{14})| > \theta_0 \text{ or } \max\{|\zeta_j|| 1 \le j \le 4\} \ll N}(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,\zeta_4)$$

and

$$\theta_0 = \theta_0(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) = \frac{1}{1 + |\zeta_1| + |\zeta_2| + |\zeta_3| + |\zeta_4|}$$

In the above, we used the notations $\zeta_{ij} = \zeta_i + \zeta_j$.

The following two lemmas state some elementary inequalities for the function of Λ_4 .

Lemma 4.1. Let $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ $(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ with $|\zeta_1| \gtrsim \max\{|\zeta_j| \mid 2 \leq j \leq 4\}$ with $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 + \zeta_4 = 0$. Then

$$|m(\zeta_{1})^{2}|\zeta_{1}|^{2} - m(\zeta_{2})^{2}|\zeta_{2}|^{2} + m(\zeta_{3})^{2}|\zeta_{3}|^{2} - m(\zeta_{4})^{2}|\zeta_{4}|^{2}|$$

$$\lesssim m(\zeta_{1})^{2} \times \begin{cases} |\zeta_{1}|^{2}, & \min\{|\zeta_{12}|, |\zeta_{14}|\} \gtrsim |\zeta_{1}|, \\ |\zeta_{12}||\zeta_{14}|, & \min\{|\zeta_{12}|, |\zeta_{14}|\} \ll |\zeta_{1}|. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We repeat the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [6]. Since the function $m(\zeta)^2 |\zeta|^2$ is monotone increasing, we can have

$$\left|m(\zeta_1)^2|\zeta_1|^2 - m(\zeta_2)^2|\zeta_2|^2 + m(\zeta_3)^2|\zeta_3|^2 - m(\zeta_4)^2|\zeta_4|^2\right| \lesssim m(\zeta_1)^2|\zeta_1|^2.$$

Hence, we reduce the problem to the case $\min\{|\zeta_{12}|, |\zeta_{14}|\} \ll |\zeta_1|$ in the following.

If $\max\{|\zeta_{12}|, |\zeta_{14}|\} \ll |\zeta_1|$, we proceed as

$$\begin{split} & \left| m(\zeta_1)^2 |\zeta_1|^2 - m(\zeta_2)^2 |\zeta_2|^2 + m(\zeta_3)^2 |\zeta_3|^2 - m(\zeta_4)^2 |\zeta_4|^2 \right| \\ & = \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (\zeta_{12} \cdot \nabla) (\zeta_{14} \cdot \nabla) m(\zeta_1 - s\zeta_{12} - t\zeta_{14})^2 |\zeta_1 - s\zeta_{12} - t\zeta_{14}|^2 \, ds dt \right| \\ & \lesssim m(\zeta_1)^2 |\zeta_{12}| |\zeta_{14}| \end{split}$$

Finally we observe the case when $\min\{|\zeta_{12}|, |\zeta_{14}|\} \ll |\zeta_1| \lesssim \max\{|\zeta_{12}|, |\zeta_{14}|\}$. By symmetry, we suppose $|\zeta_{14}| \ll |\zeta_1| \sim |\zeta_{12}|$. Also we may suppose $|\zeta_2| \gg |\zeta_{23}|$, since the proof for the case $|\zeta_2| \lesssim |\zeta_{23}|$ follows similarly. In this case, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{1}|^{2} - m(\zeta_{2})^{2} |\zeta_{2}|^{2} + m(\zeta_{3})^{2} |\zeta_{3}|^{2} - m(\zeta_{4})^{2} |\zeta_{4}|^{2} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{0}^{1} (\zeta_{14} \cdot \nabla) \left(m(\zeta_{1} - t\zeta_{14})^{2} |\zeta_{1} - t\zeta_{14}|^{2} + m(\zeta_{2} - t\zeta_{23})^{2} |\zeta_{2} - t\zeta_{23}|^{2} \right) dt \right| \\ &\lesssim m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{1}| |\zeta_{14}| \\ &\sim m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{12}| |\zeta_{14}|. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma.

Next, we prove the following preliminary lemma, which is due to the estimate for the second term on the right-hand side of (4.2).

Lemma 4.2. We have

$$|E_I[f] - E[If]| \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}} ||If||^4_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\|\nabla If\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}^2 = \int_* |\zeta_1| |\zeta_2| m(\zeta_1) m(\zeta_2) \widehat{f}(\zeta_1) \widehat{\overline{f}}(\zeta_2).$$

Then it suffices to show

(4.3)
$$\left| \int_{*} \left(\Lambda_{4}(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}, \zeta_{4}) - m(\zeta_{1})m(\zeta_{2})m(\zeta_{3})m(\zeta_{4}) \right) \widehat{f}(\zeta_{1})\widehat{f}(\zeta_{2})\widehat{f}(\zeta_{3})\widehat{f}(\zeta_{4}) \right| \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}} \|If\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}^{4}.$$

By a Littlewood-Paley decomposition, we restrict each function to a dyadic frequency band $|\zeta_j| \sim N_j$ and will sum in the N_j at the end of the argument. In this content, we write f_{N_j} to denote the function of a dyadic frequency band $|\zeta| \sim N_j$. Without of loss generality, we may assume $N_1 = \max\{N_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 4\} \sim \max\{N_j \mid 2 \leq j \leq 4\}$.

In the case when $N_1 \ll N$, we can write $\Lambda_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) = 1$. Therefore we assume $N_1 \gtrsim N$. By Lemma 4.1, we note the following piecewise estimate

$$|\Lambda_4(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,\zeta_4)| \lesssim \frac{m(N_1)^2}{\theta_0}.$$

For simplicity, we assume $N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim N + N_3 + N_4 + N_5$. Then we take $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ for f_{N_j} (j = 1, 2) and $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \leftrightarrow H^{1+}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ for f_{N_j} (j = 3, 4) to have that the contribution of this case to the left-hand side of (4.3) is bounded by

$$c \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim N+N_3+N_4} \left(\frac{m(N_1)^2}{\theta_0} + m(N_1)m(N_2)m(N_3)m(N_4) \right) \frac{1}{N_1N_2} \prod_{j=1}^4 \|f_{N_j}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}} \|If\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}^4.$$

Hence we prove the desired estimate in (4.3).

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section devotes to the proof of Theorem 1.1. First we begin by summarizing the linear estimates adapted in the space $X^{s,b}$. The proof of this lemma can be found in [2, 10].

Lemma 5.1. Let $I = [0, \delta] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded time interval. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and 0 < b' < b < 1/2, there exist constants C > 0 and c = c(b, b') > 0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-it\Delta}\phi\|_{X^{s,1/2+}(I)} &\leq C \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}, \\ \left\|\int_0^t e^{-i(t-t')\Delta}F(\cdot,t')\,dt'\right\|_{X^{s,1/2+}} &\leq C \|F\|_{X^{s,-1/2+}(I)}, \\ \|F\|_{X^{s,-b}(I)} &\leq C\delta^c \|F\|_{X^{s,-b'}(I)}. \end{aligned}$$

The following local well-posedness result holds in the space IH^s .

Theorem 5.1 (Modified local well-posedness). Let s > 1, and let $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$. Then the Cauchy problem (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$. Moreover, the solution exists on the time interval $[0, \delta_0]$ with the lifetime

$$\delta_0 \sim \|Iu_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}^{-c},$$

and the solution u(t) satisfies the estimate

$$||Iu||_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0])} \lesssim ||Iu_0||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}.$$

Proof. The problem of existence of solutions is based on the fixed point argument in $IX^{s,1/2+}([0, \delta_0])$ for some $\delta_0 > 0$. Solving the Cauchy problem (1.1), we consider the following Duhamel formulation of the problem as

$$Iu(t) = e^{it\Delta} Iu_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} [I(|u|^2 u)](t') dt'.$$

Moreover, letting v = Iu and denoting

$$T[v](t) = e^{it\Delta} Iu_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} [I(|I^{-1}v|^2 I^{-1}v)](t') dt'.$$

we are looking for a fixed point v(t) in the space $X^{1,1/2+}([0, \delta_0])$ for the mapping T such that Tv = v.

By combining Lemma 5.1 with (4.1), we obtain the following estimates

(5.1)
$$\|e^{it\Delta}Iu_0\|_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0])} \lesssim \|Iu_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}$$

and

(5.2)
$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-t')\Delta} [I(|I^{-1}v|^{2}I^{-1}v)](t') dt' \right\|_{X^{1,1/2+\varepsilon}([0,\delta_{0}])} \lesssim \delta_{0}^{c} \|I(|I^{-1}v|^{2}I^{-1}v)\|_{X^{1,-1/2+3\varepsilon/2}([0,\delta_{0}])}$$

For the second term, we wish to show

$$\|I(|I^{-1}v|^2I^{-1}v)\|_{X^{1,-1/2+3\varepsilon/2}([0,\delta_0])} \lesssim \|v\|_{X^{1,1/2+\varepsilon}([0,\delta_0])}^3.$$

If we replace v(t) by $\eta(t)v(t)$ where η is a smooth bump function with compact support, then above estimate can be reduced to the following

$$\|I(I^{-1}v_1\overline{I^{-1}v_2}I^{-1}v_3)\|_{X^{1,-1/2+3\varepsilon/2}} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^3 \|v_j\|_{X^{1,1/2+\varepsilon}}$$

By duality argument, we recast this estimate as

$$(5.3) \int_{\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau_4=0} \int_* \frac{\langle \zeta_4 \rangle m(\zeta_4) \langle \tau_4 + |\zeta_4|^2 \rangle^{3\varepsilon/2}}{\langle \zeta_1 \rangle m(\zeta_1) \langle \zeta_2 \rangle m(\zeta_2) \langle \zeta_3 \rangle m(\zeta_3)} \widehat{f}_1(\tau_1,\zeta_1) \overline{\widehat{f}_2}(\tau_2,\zeta_2) \widehat{f}_3(\tau_3,\zeta_2) \overline{\widehat{f}_4}(\tau_4,\zeta_4)$$
$$\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^4 \|f_j\|_{X^{0,1/2+\varepsilon}},$$

for positive functions \hat{f}_1 , \hat{f}_2 , \hat{f}_3 , \hat{f}_4 . The identities

$$\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 + \zeta_4 = 0$$

and

$$\tau_4 + |\zeta_4|^2 = -(\tau_1 - |\zeta_1|^2) - (\tau_2 + |\zeta_2|^2) - (\tau_4 - |\zeta_4|^2) - 2\zeta_{12} \cdot \zeta_{14}$$

imply

$$|\tau_4 + |\zeta_4|^2| \lesssim \max\left\{ |\tau_1 - |\zeta_1|^2|, |\tau_2 + |\zeta_2|^2|, |\tau_3 - |\zeta_3|^2|, \max_{1 \le j \le 3} |\zeta_j|^2 \right\}$$

and

$$\langle \zeta_4 \rangle m(\zeta_4) \lesssim \max\{\langle \zeta_j \rangle m(\zeta_j) \mid 1 \le j \le 3\}.$$

By a Littlewood-Paley decomposition, we restrict each f_j to a dyadic frequency band $|\zeta_j| \sim N_j$ by writing f_{j,N_j} and will sum in the N_j at the end of the argument, as used before. We split the case into two sub-cases; $N_4 \sim \max\{N_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $N_4 \ll \max\{N_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, and develop the proof with the case by case analysis.

In the case when $N_4 \sim \max\{N_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, we may assume $N_4 \sim N_1 = \max\{N_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$ by symmetry. Apply the $L^{4-}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ Strichartz estimate (3.10) to f_{N_j} of j = 1, 4 and the $L^{4+}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ Strichartz estimate (3.8) to f_{N_j} of j = 2, 3. Then the contribution of this case to the left-hand side of (5.3) is bounded by

$$c \sum_{N_1 \sim N_4 > N_2 + N_3} \|f_{1,N_1}\|_{X^{0,1/2-}} \|f_{2,N_2}\|_{X^{-1+,1/2+}} \|f_{3,N_3}\|_{X^{-1+,1/2+}} \|f_{4,N_4}\|_{X^{0,1/2+3\varepsilon/2-\varepsilon}} \\ \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^4 \|f_j\|_{X^{0,1/2+\varepsilon}}.$$

Next we deal with the case when $N_4 \ll \max\{N_j \mid 1 \le j \le 3\}$. By symmetry, it is enough to assume $N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim \max\{N_j \mid 1 \le j \le 3\} \gg N_4$. Same as above, we get that the contribution of this case to the left-hand side of (5.3) is bounded by

$$\begin{split} c \sum_{\substack{N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N_4 \\ N_1 \gtrsim N_3}} \|f_{1,N_1}\|_{X^{-1/2+,1/2-}} \|f_{2,N_2}\|_{X^{-1/2+,1/2+}} \|f_{3,N_3}\|_{X^{-1+,1/2+}} \|f_{4,N_4}\|_{X^{0,1/2+3\varepsilon/2-\varepsilon}} \\ \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^4 \|f_j\|_{X^{0,1/2+\varepsilon}}. \end{split}$$

Putting all these estimates together, therefore we obtain (5.3); thus by (5.2)

(5.4)
$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} [I(|I^{-1}v|^2 I^{-1}v)](t') dt' \right\|_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0])} \lesssim \delta_0^c \|v\|_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0])}^3$$

Let now consider the closed ball B centered at the origin

$$B = \left\{ v \in X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0]) \mid \|v\|_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0])} \le C \|Iu_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \right\}.$$

By (5.1) and (5.4), we have

.. .

$$||T[v]||_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0])} \le c ||Iu_0||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} + c\delta_0^c ||v||_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0])}^3 \le C ||u_0||_{H^s}$$

for selecting $\delta_0 > 0$ appropriately smaller such that $\delta_0^c ||u_0||_{H^s}^2 \ll 1$, that is $T[v] \in B$ for $v \in B$. Moreover, a similar argument applies to $T[v_1] - T[v_2]$, thus proving

$$||T[v_1] - T[v_2]||_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0])} \le \frac{1}{2} ||v_1 - v_2||_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta_0])}$$

for $v_1, v_2 \in B$. Then we have shown that the map T is a contraction on B. Therefore by Banach's fixed point theorem, we conclude that there exists a unique solution $u(t) = I^{-1}v(t)$ of (1.1), which is our local well-posedness result in the theorem. Remark 5.1. In Theorem 5.1, the time δ_0 of existence of unique solution to (1.1) depends only on H^1 norm of data. Hence the global well-posedness result holds in $H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ for $s \geq 1$, which can be found in the paper [7].

We provide here the estimate on $m(\zeta)$ with the angularly restriction.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ $(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ such that $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 + \zeta_4 = 0$. Assume $|\zeta_1| \sim |\zeta_2| \gtrsim |\zeta_3| \gtrsim |\zeta_4| + 1$. Then

$$\left| m(\zeta_1)^2 |\zeta_1|^2 - m(\zeta_2)^2 |\zeta_2|^2 + m(\zeta_3)^2 |\zeta_3|^2 - m(\zeta_4)^2 |\zeta_4|^2 \right| \\ \lesssim m(\zeta_1)^2 |\zeta_{12}| \left(|\zeta_1| |\cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{14})| + |\zeta_3| \right).$$

Proof. We have shown the similar estimate if $m(\zeta)$ is decreasing function in the paper [5] dealing the case s < 1. In the following, we revisit that proof.

In particular, when $|\zeta_1| \sim |\zeta_3|$, the proof follows immediately. Clearly, $|m(\zeta_3)^2|\zeta_3|^2 - m(\zeta_4)^2|\zeta_4|^2| \leq m(\zeta_1)^2|\zeta_{34}||\zeta_3|$. Then it suffices to show

$$\left| m(\zeta_1)^2 |\zeta_1|^2 - m(\zeta_2)^2 |\zeta_2|^2 \right| \lesssim m(\zeta_1)^2 |\zeta_1| |\zeta_{12}| |\cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{14})|$$

for $|\zeta_1| \sim |\zeta_2| \gg |\zeta_3| \gtrsim |\zeta_4| > 1$. By the identity

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta_2|^2 + |\zeta_3|^2 - |\zeta_4|^2 &= 2(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2) \cdot (\zeta_1 + \zeta_4) \\ &= 2|\zeta_{12}||\zeta_{14}| \cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{14}), \end{aligned}$$

and the property that the function $m(\zeta)^2 |\zeta|^2$ is increasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{1}|^{2} - m(\zeta_{2})^{2} |\zeta_{2}|^{2} \right| \\ \lesssim & \left| m(\zeta_{1})^{2} - m(\zeta_{2})^{2} \right| |\zeta_{1}|^{2} + m(\zeta_{2})^{2} |\zeta_{12}| |\zeta_{14}| |\cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{14})| + m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{3}| |\zeta_{12}| \\ \lesssim & m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{1}| ||\zeta_{1}| - |\zeta_{2}|| + m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{12}| |\zeta_{1}| |\cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{14})| + m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{3}| |\zeta_{12}| \\ \lesssim & m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{1}| ||\zeta_{12}| |\cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{14})| + m(\zeta_{1})^{2} |\zeta_{3}| |\zeta_{12}|, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired inequality.

We will now prove the following theorem, which guarantees the growth estimate $||Iu(t)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})}$.

Theorem 5.2. Let u(t) be a solution to (1.1). Then we have

$$E_I[u](\delta) \le E_I[u](0) + cN^{-2+}$$

where the constant c > 0 depends only on $||Iu||_{X^{1,1/2+}([0,\delta])}$.

Proof. We will use by (1.1)

(5.5)
$$\widehat{u}_t(t,\zeta) = -i|\zeta|^2 \widehat{u}(t,\zeta) - \frac{i}{(2\pi)^2} [\widehat{u} * \widehat{\overline{u}} * \widehat{u}](t,\zeta).$$

Applying (5.5) to (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E_{I}[u](t) \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \int |\zeta_{1}| |\zeta_{2}| (|\zeta_{1}|^{2} - |\zeta_{2}|^{2}) m(\zeta_{1}) m(\zeta_{2}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{1}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{2}) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2(2\pi)^{2}} \int (|\zeta_{1}|^{2} m(\zeta_{1})^{2} - |\zeta_{2}|^{2} m(\zeta_{2})^{2}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{1}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{2}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{3}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{4}) \\ &- \frac{i}{4(2\pi)^{2}} \int \Lambda_{4}(\zeta_{1},\zeta_{2},\zeta_{3},\zeta_{4}) (|\zeta_{1}|^{2} - |\zeta_{2}|^{2} + |\zeta_{3}|^{2} - |\zeta_{4}|^{2}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{1}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{2}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{3}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{4}) \\ &- \frac{i}{4(2\pi)^{4}} \int \Lambda_{6}(\zeta_{1},\zeta_{2},\zeta_{3},\zeta_{4},\zeta_{5},\zeta_{6}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{1}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{2}) \widehat{u}(t,\zeta_{3}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{5}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t,\zeta_{6}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_6(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4, \zeta_5, \zeta_6) = \Lambda_4(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3, \zeta_4, \zeta_5, \zeta_6) - \Lambda_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 + \zeta_4, \zeta_5, \zeta_6) \\ + \Lambda_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 + \zeta_4 + \zeta_5, \zeta_6) - \Lambda_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4 + \zeta_5 + \zeta_6).$$

Upon defining

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_4(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,\zeta_4) = \left(m(\zeta_1)^2|\zeta_1|^2 - m(\zeta_2)^2|\zeta_2|^2 + m(\zeta_3)^2|\zeta_3|^2 - m(\zeta_4)^2|\zeta_4|^2\right)$$

$$1_{|\cos \angle (\zeta_{12},\zeta_{14})| \le \theta_0 \text{ and } \max\{|\zeta_j||1\le j\le 4\} \gtrsim N(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,\zeta_4),$$

we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} E_I[u](t) \\ &(5.6) \quad = -\frac{i}{4(2\pi)^2} \int \widetilde{\Lambda}_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_1) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_2) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_3) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_4) \\ &\quad -\frac{i}{4(2\pi)^4} \int \Lambda_6(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4, \zeta_5, \zeta_6) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_1) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_2) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_3) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_4) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_5) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_6). \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of the argument, we can assume all functions $\hat{u}(t, \zeta_j)$ (j = 1, 3, 5), $\hat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_k)$ (k = 2, 4, 6) in (5.6) are positive. Therefore, the proof of the claim reduces to show the following estimates

(5.7)
$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{*} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{4}(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}, \zeta_{4}) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_{1}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_{2}) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_{3}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_{4}) dt \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \| Iu \|_{X^{1,1/2+}}^{4}$$

and

(5.8)
$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{*} \Lambda_{6}(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}, \zeta_{4}, \zeta_{5}, \zeta_{6}) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_{1}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_{2}) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_{3}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_{4}) \widehat{u}(t, \zeta_{5}) \widehat{\overline{u}}(t, \zeta_{6}) dt \right|$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|Iu\|_{X^{1,1/2+}}^{6},$$

where we prefer to state general setting, that is, replacing \int_0^{δ} by $\int_{\mathbb{R}}$.

As previously observed, by a Littlewood-Palay decomposition, we again use a decomposition in the space of frequencies arising from dyadic partitions of unity, that is $|\zeta_i| \sim N_i$.

Estimate on (5.7): We first show the estimate (5.7). By symmetry, we may assume $N_1 = \max\{N_j \mid 1 \le j \le 4\} \gtrsim N$ and $N_2 \ge N_4$. We split the case into two sub-cases; $N_1 \sim N_3 \gg N_2 \gtrsim N_4, N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim \max\{N_3, N_4\}.$

In the case when $N_1 \sim N_3 \gg N_2 \gtrsim N_4$, we have $\cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{14}) \sim 1 + O(N_2/N_1) \sim 1$, which contracts with the constrain $|\cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{14})| \leq \theta_0 \ll 1$ in the support of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4)$.

If $N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim \max\{N_3, N_4, N\}$, by symmetry and Lemma 5.2, we may assume $N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim N_3 \geq N_4$ and $N_1 \theta_0 \sim 1$, which shows

$$|\widetilde{\Lambda}_4(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,\zeta_4)| \lesssim m(N_1)^2 N_3^2.$$

Also if $N_4 \gtrsim N$, we use the L^4 -Strichartz estimate in (3.9) to have that the contribution of this case to the left-hand side of (5.7) has the bound

$$c \sum_{N_{1} \sim N_{2} \geq N_{3} \geq N_{4} \gtrsim N} \frac{m(N_{1})^{2} N_{3}^{2}}{N_{1} N_{2} N_{3} N_{4}} \|u_{N_{1}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_{2}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_{3}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_{4}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|Iu\|_{X^{1,1/2+}}^{4},$$

which is acceptable. Meanwhile, in the case when $N_3 \sim N_4$, we have that the contribution of this case to the left-hand side of (5.7) has the bound

$$c \sum_{\substack{N_1 \sim N_2 \geq N_3 \sim N_4 \\ N_1 \geq N}} \frac{m(N_1)^2 N_3^2}{N_1 N_2 N_3 N_4} \|u_{N_1}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_2}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_3}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_4}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|Iu\|_{X^{1,1/2+}}^4.$$

Then we only consider the case when $N_4 \ll \min\{N_3, N\}$ in the following.

In the case when $N_3 \gg N_4$, the conditions $N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim N$ and $N_3 \gg N_4$ allow us to have

$$|\cos \angle (\zeta_1, \zeta_3)| \le |\cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{34})| + O\left(\frac{N_4}{N_3}\right) \le \theta_0 + O\left(\frac{N_4}{N_3}\right) = O\left(\frac{N_4}{N_3}\right).$$

Using the notations $\zeta_j = (\xi_j, \eta_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$, we see

$$o(1) = O\left(\frac{N_4}{N_3}\right) = \cos \angle (\zeta_1, \zeta_3) \sim \frac{\xi_1 \xi_3 + \eta_1 \eta_3}{N_1 N_3}$$

so that, at least, either $|\xi_1| \gtrsim N_1$ or $|\xi_3| \gtrsim N_3$ holds. Also if $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \gtrsim N_3$, then $|\xi_3| \gtrsim N_3$. If that is not the case, $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \ll N_3$ implies $|\xi_3| \ll N_3$, so that $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \sim N_1$. We split this case into two sub-cases; $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \gtrsim N_3$, $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \ll N_3$.

Consider the case when $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \gtrsim N_3$. Let $\theta = N_4/N_1$. We use the angular decomposition $\arg(\zeta_1) = \ell_j \theta + O(\theta)$ to functions u_{N_1} as

$$u_{N_1} = \sum_{\ell_1} u_{\ell_1, N_1}$$

Also, we use the angular decomposition $\arg(\zeta_{34}) = \ell_{34}\theta + O(\theta)$ to functions $u_{N_3}\overline{u_{N_4}}$ as

$$u_{N_3}\overline{u_{N_4}} = \sum_{\ell_{34}} F_{\ell_{34}},$$

where

$$F_{\ell_{34}}(t,z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int e^{-it(\tau_3 + \tau_4) - iz \cdot (\zeta_3 + \zeta_4)} 1_{|\xi_3| \sim N_3} 1_{\arg(\zeta_{34}) = \ell_{34}\theta + O(\theta)} \\ \widehat{u}_{N_3}(\tau_3, \zeta_4) \widehat{\overline{u}}_{N_4}(\tau_4, \zeta_4) (d\zeta_3) (d\zeta_4) d\tau_3 d\tau_4.$$

Since

$$\left|\cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_1) - \cos \angle (\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{14})\right| \lesssim \frac{N_4}{N_1} \sim \theta,$$

we have

$$|\cos \angle (\zeta_{34}, \zeta_1)| \lesssim \theta_0 + \theta \lesssim \theta,$$

Then $|\ell_1 - \ell_{34}| = \pi/2\theta + O(1)$ or $|\ell_1 - \ell_{34}| = 3\pi/2\theta + O(1)$ holds, as we pointed out in the proof of Lemma 3.2 previously. By applying Proposition 3.3 to $u_{\ell_1,N_1}\overline{u_{N_2}}$, we have that the contribution of this case to the left-hand side of (5.7) has the bound

(5.9)
$$c \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim N_3 + N \gg N_4} \sum_{\substack{|\ell_1 - \ell_{34}| = \pi/2\theta + O(1) \\ \text{or } |\ell_1 - \ell_{34}| = 3\pi/2\theta + O(1)}} \frac{m(N_1)^2 N_3^2}{N_1 N_2} \frac{\langle N_1 \theta \rangle^{1/2}}{N_3^{1/2}} \\ \|u_{\ell_1, N_1}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_2}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|F_{\ell_{34}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}.$$

Summing over ℓ_1 , ℓ_{34} and using Remark 3.1 for $F_{\ell_{34}}$, we arrive at the bound of the left-hand side of (5.9) by

$$c \sum_{N_{1}\sim N_{2}\gtrsim N_{3}+N\gg N_{4}} \frac{N_{3}^{2}}{N_{1}N_{2}N_{3}N_{4}} \frac{N_{4}^{1/2}}{N_{3}^{1/2}} \frac{N_{4}^{1/2}}{N_{3}^{1/2}} \\ \|Iu_{N_{1}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|Iu_{N_{2}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_{3}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_{4}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|Iu\|_{X^{1,1/2+}}^{4}.$$

Next consider the case when $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \ll N_3$ with nice properties $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \sim N_1$ and $|\cos \angle (\zeta_1, \zeta_3)| \lesssim N_4/N_3$. Using Propositions 3.2 and 3.1 for $u_{N_1}u_{N_3}$ and $u_{N_2}u_{N_4}$, respectively, we have that the contribution of this case to the left-hand side of (5.7) has the bound

$$c \sum_{N_{1} \sim N_{2} \gtrsim N_{3} + N \gg N_{4}} \frac{m(N_{1})^{2} N_{3}^{2}}{N_{1} N_{2} N_{3} N_{4}} \frac{\langle N_{1} N_{4} / N_{3} \rangle^{1/2}}{N_{1}^{1/2}} \frac{N_{4}^{1/2}}{N_{1}^{1/2}} \frac{N_{4}^{1/2}}{N_{1}^{1/2}} \\ \|u_{N_{1}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_{2}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_{3}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|u_{N_{4}}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|Iu\|_{X^{1,1/2+}}^{4},$$

thus proving the estimate (5.7).

Estimate on (5.8): Second, we show the estimate (5.8). Observe that $\max\{|\zeta_j| \mid 1 \leq j \leq 6\} \ll N$, then $\Lambda_6(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4, \zeta_5, \zeta_6) = 0$. Then we may suppose $\max\{|\zeta_j| \mid 1 \leq j \leq 6\} \gtrsim N$. Since $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 + \zeta_4 + \zeta_5 + \zeta_6 = 0$ and $\max\{|\zeta_j| \mid 1 \leq j \leq 6\} \gtrsim N$, we have that at least two of $|\zeta_j|$ are greater than cN. With Lemma 4.1, we get

(5.10)
$$|\Lambda_6(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4, \zeta_5, \zeta_6)| \lesssim \frac{\max\{m(\zeta_j)^2 \mid 1 \le j \le 6\}}{\theta_0}.$$

If at least three of $|\zeta_j|$ are larger than cN, we assume $|\zeta_1| \gtrsim |\zeta_2| \gtrsim |\zeta_3| \gtrsim N$ for simplicity. We take the L^4 -Strichartz estimate (3.9) to four functions u_{N_j} for $1 \leq j \leq 4$. Then by Sobolev's inequality $X^{1+,1/2+} \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ to two functions u_{N_j} , j = 5, 6, we immediately have that the contribution of this case to the left-hand side of (5.8) has the bound as

$$c \sum_{\substack{N_1 \gtrsim N_2 \gtrsim N_3 \gtrsim N+N_4+N_5+N_6}} \frac{1}{\theta_0 N_1 N_2 N_3 N_4} \|Iu_{N_1}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|Iu_{N_2}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \prod_{j=3}^6 \|u_{N_j}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|Iu\|_{X^{1,1/2+}}^6.$$

Let consider the case when two of $|\zeta_j|$ are larger than cN and the other four $|\zeta_j|$ are much smaller than N. Clearly,

$$(5.11) \qquad |\Lambda_4(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,\zeta_4)| \le 1$$

for $\max\{|\zeta_j| \mid 1 \le j \le 4\} < N$. By raising the estimate in Lemma 4.1,

(5.12)
$$|\Lambda_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4)| \lesssim \max\{m(\zeta_j)^2 \mid 1 \le j \le 4\}$$

if $\min\{|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_3|\} \gtrsim N \gg \max\{|\zeta_2|, |\zeta_4|\}$ or $\max\{|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_3|\} \ll N \lesssim \min\{|\zeta_2|, |\zeta_4|\}$, since from

$$\left| |\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta_2|^2 + |\zeta_3|^2 - |\zeta_4|^2 \right| \gtrsim \max\{ |\zeta_j|^2 \mid 1 \le j \le 4 \}.$$

Also by Lemma 5.2, we see

(5.13)
$$|\Lambda_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4)|$$

$$\lesssim \max\{m(\zeta_j)^2 \mid 1 \le j \le 4\} \left(1 + \frac{\min\{|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_3|\} + \min\{|\zeta_2|, |\zeta_4|\}}{(\max\{|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_3|\} + \max\{|\zeta_2|, |\zeta_4|\}) \theta_0} \right)$$

$$\sim \max\{m(\zeta_j)^2 \mid 1 \le j \le 4\} \left(1 + \min\{|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_3|\} + \min\{|\zeta_2|, |\zeta_4|\} \right)$$

for $\max\{|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_3|\} \sim \max\{|\zeta_2|, |\zeta_4|\} \gtrsim N \gg \min\{|\zeta_1|, |\zeta_3|\} + \min\{|\zeta_2|, |\zeta_4|\}$. Recall the previous consideration. Suppose $|\zeta_1| \gtrsim |\zeta_2| \gtrsim N \gg |\zeta_3| \gtrsim |\zeta_4| \gtrsim |\zeta_5| \gtrsim |\zeta_6|$ for simplicity. Undoing the L^4 -Strichartz estimate (3.9) to four functions u_{N_j} for $1 \leq j \leq 4$, Sobolev's inequality to two functions u_{N_j} , j = 5, 6, we immediately have that the contribution of this case to the left-hand side of (5.8) has the bound as

$$c \sum_{\substack{N_1 \gtrsim N_2 \gtrsim N \gg N_3 \gtrsim N_4 \gtrsim N_5 \gtrsim N_6}} \frac{N_3}{N_1 N_2 N_3 N_4} \|I u_{N_1}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \|I u_{N_2}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \prod_{j=3}^6 \|u_{N_j}\|_{X^{1,1/2+}} \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|I u\|_{X^{1,1/2+}}^6.$$

In all other cases, the conclusion easily follows, thus proving our second estimate (5.8).

Remark 5.2. If $m(\zeta) \equiv 1$ and $\theta_0(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) \equiv 0$, then $\widetilde{\Lambda}_4 = \Lambda_6 = 0$ in formally, which implies $dE_I[u](t)/dt = 0$. Then E_I is amended the energy conservation for high-frequency levels.

Let us now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. We will obtain an a priori estimate using Lemma 4.2, Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let u(t) be global solution to (1.1). Choose T > 0 arbitrary. Split the time interval [0, T] by $[0, \delta_0]$, where $\delta_0 = O(1)$ is obtained in Theorem 5.1. By Lemma 4.2, Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, we have

$$E_I[u](T) \lesssim 1$$

provided if $T/N^{2-} \lesssim 1$. By (4.1)

$$\|u(T)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim N^{s-1} \|Iu(T)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim N^{s-1},$$

hence proving

$$||u(T)||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \langle T \rangle^{\frac{s-1}{2}+}$$

The proof is complete.

References

- J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to non-linear evolution equations, Part I: Schrödinger equations, Geom. Funct. Anal., 3, 107–156 (1993).
- [2] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to non-linear evolution equations, Part II: KdV equation, Geom. Funct. Anal., 3, 209–262 (1993).

- [3] J. Bourgain, On the growth in time of higher Sobolev norms of smooth solutions of Hamiltonian PDE, Int. Math. Res. Not. 1996, 277–304 (1996).
- [4] X. Cheng, Z. Guo and Z. Zhao, On scattering for the defocusing quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the two-dimensional cylinder, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 52, 4185-4237 (2020).
- [5] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao, Resonant decompositions and the *I*-method for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on ℝ², Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **21**, 665–686 (2008).
- [6] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao, Transfer of energy to high frequencies in the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Invent math., 181, 39–113 (2010).
- [7] M. Deng and K. Yang, On the growth of high Sobolev norms of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$, arXiv:2302.00249v1.
- [8] B. Dodson, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2 -critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equation when d = 2, Duke Math. J., **165**, 3435–3516 (2016).
- [9] Z. Hani, B. Pausader, N. Tzvetkov and N. Visciglia, Modified scattering for the cubic Schrödinger equation on product spaces and applications, Forum of Mathematics, Pi, 3, e4 (2015).
- [10] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 9, 573–603 (1996).
- [11] H. Takaoka and N. Tzvetkov, On 2D nonlinear Schrödinger equations with data on ℝ × T, J. Funct. Anal., 182, 427–442 (2001).