THE GRUENBERG-KEGEL GRAPH OF FINITE SOLVABLE RATIONAL GROUPS

SARA C. DEBÓN, DIEGO GARCÍA-LUCAS, AND ÁNGEL DEL RÍO

ABSTRACT. A finite group G is said to be *rational* if every character of G is rational-valued. The *Gruenberg-Kegel graph* of a finite group G is the undirected graph whose vertices are the primes dividing the order of G and the edges join different primes p and q whenever G contains an element of order pq. In this paper, we complete the classification of the Gruenberg-Kegel graphs of finite solvable rational groups initiated in [BKMdR23].

In this paper G is a finite group. The following conditions are equivalent and when they are satisfied we say that G is *rational*.

- Every character of G takes values in the field of rational numbers.
- For every element g of G, all the generators of $\langle g \rangle$ are conjugate in G.
- For every $g \in G$, $[N_G(\langle g \rangle) : C_G(g)] = \varphi(|g|)$, where φ denotes the Euler totient function.

The *Gruenberg-Kegel graph* of G, abbreviated GK-graph of G, is the undirected graph $\Gamma_{GK}(G)$ whose vertices are the primes dividing the order of G and the edges join different vertices p and q whenever G has an element of order pq. Some authors use the term 'prime graph' to refer to the Gruenberg-Kegel graph, but since prime graphs appear in many different contexts, we will restrict the terminology to just GK-graph.

Several mathematicians contributed to the study of the Gruenberg-Kegel graph of some classes of finite groups. For instance, if G is rational and solvable, then the set of possible vertices of $\Gamma_{GK}(G)$ is contained in $\{2,3,5\}$ (see [Gow76]); while if $\Gamma_{GK}(G)$ is a tree, then its size is bounded by 8 (see [Luc02]). In [GKL⁺15], the graphs that occur as the Gruenberg-Kegel graph of a finite solvable group are characterized in a purely graph-theoretical way. An analogue for finite groups whose order is divisible by at most five different primes is obtained in [GKKM14]. Theorem D of [BKMdR23] contains an attempt to classify the GK-graphs of rational groups and only one graph remained to be decided whether it is the GK-graph of a rational group. The aim of this paper is to complete this attempt. Technically, we answer negatively [BKMdR23, Question F] and hence complete the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem A. The Gruenberg-Kegel graphs of non-trivial finite solvable rational groups are precisely the following:

As a consequence we have the following:

Corollary B. If G is finite solvable rational group of order divisible by 15 then G has elements of order 6, 10 and 15.

We start fixing some basic notation and recalling some definitions. First of all the groups in this paper are finite. We use standard group theoretical notation. For example, $N_G(H)$ denotes the normalizer of a subgroup H in G and $C_G(X)$ denotes the centralizer of a subset X in G. Moreover, C_n, Q_n denote the cyclic and quaternion groups of order n and GL(n,q) and SL(n,q) denote the general and special linear n-dimensional groups over the field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements. If G is a group and p a prime then G_p denotes a Sylow p-subgroup of G.

By default a *G*-module is a right *G*-module. We use exponential notation for the action of *G* on a *G*-module *V* and multiplicative notation for the internal operation in *V*, i.e. we consider *V* as an abelian multiplicative group, and if $g \in G$ and $v \in V$, then $v \cdot g = v^g$. Then $V \rtimes G$ denotes the corresponding semidirect product, so that $v^g = g^{-1}vg$. In this paper we use always this notation for an elementary abelian 5-group *V*. Then we consider *V* as a right \mathbb{F}_5G -module, where $\mathbb{F}_5 = \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$, the field with 5 elements. In other words, if $v \in V$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_5$ and $g \in G$ then $v \cdot \alpha = v^\alpha$, where α is identified with an integer modulo 5, and $v \cdot g = v^g = g^{-1}vg$. Although we consider *V* as a multiplicative group inside the semidirect product $V \rtimes G$, we take advantage of considering *V* as vector space over \mathbb{F}_5 to use standard

linear algebra notation. For example, in some cases V is going to be identified with \mathbb{F}_{5}^{n} for some integer n and if K is the kernel of the action of G on V, then G/K is identified with a subgroup of GL(n,5) (see e.g., Proposition 1 below).

We say that V_G has the *eigenvector property* if for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_5 \setminus \{0\}$, every element v of V is an eigenvector with eigenvalue α for some $g \in G$, i.e. $v^g = v^{\alpha}$. As the group of units of \mathbb{F}_5 is generated by 2, V_G has the eigenvector property if and only if for every $v \in V$, there is some $g \in G$ such that $v^g = v^2$.

If H is a subgroup of G and W is an H-module then W^G denotes the induced G-module, i.e. $W^G =$ $\bigoplus_{t \in T} W^t$, where T is a right transversal of H in G and if $w \in W, t \in T, g \in G$ and tg = hr with $h \in H$ and $r \in T$ then $(w^t)^g = (w^h)^r$. Observe that if $w \in W \setminus \{1\}$ and $s \in S$ then $w^s \in W$ if and only if $s \in H$. In particular, $\operatorname{Stab}_S(W) = H$.

By a theorem by P. Hegedüs, a Sylow 5-subgroup of a finite solvable rational group is normal and elementary abelian [Heg05]. Our proof relies on the following proposition which is one of the main ingredients in the proof of Hegedüs' Theorem.

Proposition 1 ([Heg05], Section 4.9). Let G be a finite solvable rational group such that the Sylow 5subgroup V of G is minimal normal. Let S be a complement of V in G, and regard V as an \mathbb{F}_5S -module. Let H be a minimal subgroup of S such that there exists an \mathbb{F}_5H -submodule W of V with $V = W^S$. Let $K = C_S(W)$. Then one of the following holds:

- (a) $H/K \cong Q_8$ and $W = \mathbb{F}_5^2$. (b) $H/K \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$ and $W = \mathbb{F}_5^2$. (c) $H/K \cong SL(2,3)$ and $W = \mathbb{F}_5^2$.
- (d) H/K is isomorphic to the subgroup of GL(4,5) generated by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad and \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(1)

where the action by conjugation on $W = \mathbb{F}_5^4$ is given by right multiplication.

- (e) H/K is isomorphic to the subgroup of GL(4,5) generated by α,β and γ^2 , where the action by conjugation on $W = \mathbb{F}_5^4$ is given by right multiplication.
- (f) H/K has order 144.

A group G is said to be *cut* if for every $g \in G$, every generator of $\langle g \rangle$ is conjugate to g or g^{-1} in G. Another important tool for our proof is the following lemma.

Lemma 2 ([BKMdR23], Lemma 3.5). Let G be a finite cut group and let G_p and G_q be Sylow subgroups of G for two distinct primes p and q dividing the order of G. Suppose that G_p is normal in G and G does not contain an element of order pq. Then G_q is either the quaternion group of order 8 or a cyclic group of order dividing 4 or q.

We start now the proof of Theorem A. By Theorem D in [BKMdR23], it suffices to prove that (3-2-5)is not the GK-graph of a finite solvable rational group. By means of contradiction we fix a finite solvable rational group G of minimal order with $\Gamma_{GK}(G) = (3-2-5)$. By Hegedüs Theorem, G has a unique Sylow 5-subgroup V, which is elementary abelian. Then $G = V \rtimes S$, where S is a $\{2,3\}$ -Hall subgroup S of G. Thus S is rational, and we consider V as a right \mathbb{F}_5S -module as explained above.

The rationality condition implies that V_S has the eigenvector property. In other words, for every $v \in V$ there exists some $s \in S$ so that $v^s = v^2$.

Moreover, as the class of solvable rational groups is closed under epimorphic images, using the minimality of G, it is easy to see that V is minimal normal in G, or equivalently, $V_{\mathbb{F}_5S}$ is simple.

As every rational group is cut, Lemma 2 applies to G and hence the Sylow 3-subgroup of S is cyclic of order 3. We fix a Sylow 2-subgroup S_2 of S. Observe that S_2 is not a normal in S, since otherwise $S/S_2 \cong C_3$ would be rational. Thus S has exactly three Sylow 2-subgroups, namely S_2 , S_2^a and $S_2^{a^2}$, where a is any element of S order 3. As G has not elements of order 15, if $v \in V \setminus \{1\}$ then $v^a \neq v$.

The action of S on V is faithful. Indeed, otherwise the kernel N of this action is a normal non-trivial subgroup of G such that G/N is a solvable rational, contradicting the minimality hypothesis. By the previous paragraph, N is a 2-group and by the minimality of G, the GK-graph of G/N should be properly contained in (3-2-5) and contains the vertices 3 and 5. This contradicts Theorem D in [BKMdR23].

Lemma 3. Let H be a subgroup of S and W a \mathbb{F}_5H -submodule of V. If $V = W^S$ then the action of H on W has the eigenvalue property and H contains all the elements of order 3 of S.

Proof. Let a be an element of S of order 3 and $w \in W \setminus \{1\}$. Take $v = ww^a w^{a^2}$. As $v^a = v$, necessarily v = 1 and hence $a \in H$. Moreover, from the eigenvector property of V_S , there exists $s \in S$ such that $w^s = w^2 \in W \cap W^s$, and necessarily $s \in H$, as desired.

From now on, H is a minimal subgroup of S such that there exists an \mathbb{F}_5H -submodule W with $V = W^S$. Then the kernel K of the action of H on W is a 2-group. Applying Lemma 3 we conclude that H contains all the elements of order 3 of S and has the eigenvalue property on W. We fix an element a of S of order 3. Let A denote the subgroup of S generated by the elements of order 3; then A is the smallest normal subgroup of S containing a, and |A| = 3 if and only if S has a normal Sylow 3-subgroup. Otherwise A/A' is an abelian group generated by elements of order 3 and hence [A : A'] = 3. Therefore, in any case $A_2 = A'$ is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of A, and hence it is normal in S. Moreover, as $\langle a \rangle$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of A and as A is normal in S, the Frattini argument implies that $S = AN_S(\langle a \rangle)$. As $A \subseteq H$, we also have $S = HN_S(\langle a \rangle)$ and hence $N_S(\langle a \rangle)$ contains a right transversal T of H in S containing 1 which will be fixed throughout. So $V = \bigoplus_{t \in T} W^t$.

Moreover, H/K is one of the groups of Proposition 1. Observe that cases (a) and (f) can be immediately excluded, as the former does not contain elements of order 3, while in the latter 9 divides |H/K| but a Sylow 3-subgroup of S has order 3, by Lemma 2. Thus from now on W, H and K are as in one of the cases (b), (c), (d) and (e) of Proposition 1. In Lemma 9 we prove that (b) cannot hold, and in Lemma 11 we prove that cases (d) and (e) are also not possible. The proof finishes by dismissing case (c), which requires an ad hoc argument.

Lemma 4. $\operatorname{Core}_{S}(K) = \bigcap_{t \in S_2} K^t = 1.$

Proof. First of all, by Lemma 3, $\langle a \rangle \subseteq H \subseteq N_S(K)$. Moreover, $\langle a \rangle$ is a left transversal of S_2 in S. Thus $\operatorname{Core}_S(K) = \bigcap_{s \in S_2} \bigcap_{i=0}^2 K^{a^i s} = \bigcap_{s \in S_2} K^s$.

To prove that $\operatorname{Core}_S(K) = 1$, take $x \in \operatorname{Core}_S(K)$ and for every $t \in T$ let $k_t = txt^{-1}$. Let v be an arbitrary element of V. Then $v = \prod_{t \in T} w_t^t$ for unique $w_t \in W$ for each $t \in T$. As each $k_t \in K$,

$$v^x = \prod_{t \in T} w_t^{tx} = \prod_{t \in T} w_t^{k_t t} = \prod_{t \in T} w_t^{t} = v.$$

Therefore x belongs to the kernel of the action of S on V. As this action is faithful, x = 1.

Lemma 5. |A| = 3 if and only if $H/K \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$.

Proof. Clearly |A| = 3 if and only if $A = \langle a \rangle$ if and only if $\langle a \rangle$ is normal in S. In that case, $\langle a \rangle K/K$ is a normal Sylow 3-subgroup of H/K and considering the four options (b), (c), (d) and (e) of Proposition 1 it follows that $H/K \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$. Conversely, suppose that $H/K \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$. Then $A \subseteq \langle K, a \rangle \triangleleft H$ and hence $[A, \langle a \rangle] \subseteq [K, \langle a \rangle] \subseteq K$. Moreover, as $T \subseteq N_G(\langle a \rangle)$, for every $t \in T$ we have $[A, \langle a \rangle] = [A^t, \langle a^t \rangle] \subseteq K^t$, and therefore $[A, \langle a \rangle] \subseteq \cap_{t \in T} K^t = \operatorname{Core}_S(K) = 1$, by Lemma 4. Therefore, a is central in A and as A is generated by elements of order 3 and its Sylow 3-subgroup has order 3 necessarily $A = \langle a \rangle$.

We define L as the smallest subgroup of H containing K and the elements of order 3 of S, that is L = KA. Note that L and $L_2 = KA_2$ are both normal in H. By inspecting the four options for H/K it follows that

$$L/K \cong \begin{cases} C_3, & \text{if } H/K \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4; \\ SL(2,3), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2)

Lemma 6. $Z(S) \neq 1$ and if $H/K \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$ then $Z(S) \cap A_2 \cong C_2$.

Proof. Suppose that $H/K \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$. Then the Sylow 3-subgroup $\langle a \rangle$ of S is normal in S, by Lemma 5, and therefore so is $C_S(a)_2$ by the Frattini argument. Moreover, $|S| \ge 12$ and by the rationality hypothesis, $[S: C_S(a)] = [N_S(\langle a \rangle) : C_S(a)] = 2$. Thus $C_S(a)_2 \ne 1$. In particular, $1 \ne C_S(a)_2 \cap Z(S_2) \subseteq Z(S)$, as desired.

Suppose that $H/K \not\cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$. By Lemma 5, A_2 is a non-trivial Sylow 2-subgroup of A and hence $L_2 = KA_2$ is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of L. As A_2 is normal in S, there is $z \in A_2 \cap Z(S_2)$ with $z \neq 1$.

We claim that $z \notin K^s$ for every $s \in S_2$. Indeed, if $s \in S_2$ with $z \in K^s$, then for every $x \in S_2$ we have $z = z^{s^{-1}x} \in K^x$. Thus $z \in \bigcap_{x \in S_2} K^x = 1$, by Lemma 4, yielding a contradiction.

Let $s \in S_2$ and recall that $L/K \cong SL(2,3)$ and hence $|Z(L/K)| = |Z(L_2/K)| = 2$. Since $z \in A_2 \cap Z(S_2)$, we have $z \in Z(L_2^s)$. Then $K^s z \in Z(L_2^s/K^s)$, $a \in A = A^s \subseteq L^s$ and $|K^s a| = 3$. Thus $|K^s z| = 2$ and $|K^s za| = 6$ because $z \notin K^s$. Denote by z_2 the 2-part of za and z_3 its 3-part. Thus, $K^s za = K^s z_2 z_3$ and $K^s z = K^s z_2$ because of uniqueness of the 2-part. This shows that $zz_2^{-1} \in K^s$ for every $s \in S_2$. By Lemma 4, $z = z_2$ and hence $z_3 = z_2^{-1}z_a = a$, so that z commutes with a. It follows that $z \in Z(S_2) \cap C_S(a) \subseteq Z(S)$. Thus $1 \neq \langle z \rangle \subseteq Z(S) \cap A_2$. The result follows since $|Z(S) \cap A_2| \leq 2$ because $Z(S) \cap A_2 \subseteq L$ and, as $Z(S) \cap K = 1$, by Lemma 4, we have that $Z(S) \cap A_2 \cong (Z(S) \cap A_2)K/K \subseteq Z(L/K) \cong C_2$. \Box

Lemma 7. $L = K \times A$, $A = \operatorname{Core}_{S}(L)$ and $L \cap K^{s} \subseteq K(Z(S) \cap A_{2})$ for each $s \in S$.

Proof. Suppose first that $H/K \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$. Then $[K, A] \subseteq K \cap A = 1$ because K is a 2-group and |A| = 3, by Lemma 5. Thus $L = KA = K \times A$, so K is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of L, and hence $L \cap K^s \subseteq K$ for each $s \in S$. If $\operatorname{Core}_S(L) \neq A$, then $\operatorname{Core}_S(L)$ has an element x of order 2. Then $K^s x \in L^s/K^s \cong L/K \cong A \cong C_3$, and hence $x \in K^s$ for all $s \in S$, contradicting Lemma 4.

Suppose otherwise that $H/K \not\cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$. Then $L/K \cong SL(2,3)$, by (2) and $Z(S) \cap A_2$ is generated by an element z of order 2, by Lemma 6. Therefore for every $s \in S$, L^s/K^s has a unique element of order 2, namely $K^s z$.

We first prove that

 $\{x \in \operatorname{Core}_S(L) : x^2 = 1\} = \langle z \rangle.$

One inclusion is clear. To prove the other inclusion, let $x \in \operatorname{Core}_S(L)$ such that $x^2 = 1$. Notice that $x \in L^s$ and $z \in L^s$ for each $s \in S$. Since $x^2 = 1$, for each $s \in S$ we have that $|K^s x| = 2$ or $x \in K^s$. The former implies that $|K^s x| = |K^s z| = 2$ and subsequently, $K^s x = K^s z$. Therefore, $x \in K^s \cup K^s z = \langle K^s, z \rangle$ for all $s \in S$. Clearly, $\langle K^s, z \rangle = K^s \times \langle z \rangle$, so by Lemma 4,

$$x \in \bigcap_{s \in S} \left(K^s \times \langle z \rangle \right) = \left(\bigcap_{s \in S} K^s \right) \times \langle z \rangle = \langle z \rangle$$

Notice that if $\operatorname{Core}_S(L) \cap K \neq 1$ then there exists $k \in \operatorname{Core}_S(L) \cap K$ of order 2 and so $z = k \in K$ by the previous argument. This is a contradiction with Lemma 4. Hence, $A \cap K \subseteq \operatorname{Core}_S(L) \cap K = 1$ and $L = A \times K$. Thus $\operatorname{Core}_S(L) = A$, since $A \subseteq \operatorname{Core}_S(L) \subseteq L = A \times K$ and $\operatorname{Core}_S(L) \cap K = 1$.

Finally, if $s \in S$ then $L \cap K^s$ is a 2-subgroup of $C_L(a) = K \times \langle z \rangle \times \langle a \rangle$, as $A \cong L/K \cong SL(2,3)$. Then $L \cap K^s \subseteq K \times \langle z \rangle = K(Z(S) \cap A_2)$, for all $s \in S$.

Lemma 8. $C_S(a)$ is not contained in H.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $C_S(a) \subseteq H$. Recall that $[N_S(\langle a \rangle) : C_S(a)] = 2$ and $S = N_S(\langle a \rangle)H$. In cases (b), (d) or (e) of Proposition 1, $H \cap N_S(\langle a \rangle)$ is not contained in $C_S(a)$ and so $N_S(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq H$. Thus S = H, a contradiction because H/K is not rational.

Suppose that case (c) holds. In this case $H = L = K \times A$ by Lemma 7. Moreover $N_S(\langle a \rangle) \cap H \subseteq C_S(a) \subseteq H$, so that $N_S(\langle a \rangle) \cap H = C_S(a)$ and hence, by the rationality condition, $[S : H] = [N_S(\langle a \rangle) : C_S(a)] = 2$. Hence H is normal in S. Therefore $H = \text{Core}_S(H) = A$, by Lemma 7, and so K = 1. Hence, S is a rational group of order 48 which has a normal subgroup isomorphic to Q_8 but has not a normal Sylow 3-subgroup. A straightforward GAP [GAP16] computation shows that such group does not exists, hence the lemma follows.

By Lemma 8 and since every element of order 3 is in H, we may assume that the transversal T of H in S contains a 2-element d in $C_S(a) \setminus H$, which will be fixed throughout.

It is clear that $C_S(a)$ has a unique Sylow 2-subgroup which we denote $C_S(a)_2$.

Lemma 9. H/K is not isomorphic to $C_3 \rtimes C_4$.

Proof. Suppose that $H/K \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$. Let $u \in W \setminus \{1\}$. By Lemma 3, H contains an element b such that $u^b = u^2$. Then |Kb| = 4, $H = \langle K, a, b \rangle$ and $a^b \in Ka^2$. Define $v = u^a$ and observe that $\{u, v\}$ is a basis of W since conjugation by a has no fixed points in $W \setminus \{1\}$. As S has not elements of order 15, au has order 3 and hence $1 = (au)^3 = u^a u^a u = v^a vu$. Thus $v^a = u^4 v^4$. Moreover, $v^b = u^{ab} = u^{ba^2} = (u^2)^{a^2} = u^3 v^3$. Thus the H/K-orbit of u in W is $\mathcal{O}_u = \{u^i, v^i, (uv)^i : i = 1, \ldots, 4\}$.

Furthermore, observe that H/K has exactly 6 elements of order 4, while W has exactly 6 subspaces of dimension 1. By the eigenvector property of W_H , for every $w \in W \setminus \{1\}$, the set $X_w = \{Kh \in H/K : w^h = w^2\}$ is not empty. In addition, no element of order 4 of H/K is central and hence $X_u \cap X_v = \emptyset$ whenever $W = \langle u, v \rangle$. Therefore, $|X_w| = 1$ for every $w \in W \setminus \{1\}$ and hence there is a bijection from the set of one-dimensional subspaces of W to the set of elements of order 4 of H/K, associating $\langle w \rangle$ with the unique element of X_w .

By the eigenvector property of V_S , there is a 2-element $s \in S$ such that $(u(u^2v)^d)^s = (u(u^2v)^d)^2$. If $u^s = ((u^2v)^d)^2$ then $u^{sd^{-1}} = u^4v^2 \in W$, so $sd^{-1} \in H$ and it follows that $u^4v^2 \in \mathcal{O}_u$, a contradiction. Hence $u^s = u^2$ and $(u^2v)^{ds} = ((u^2v)^d)^2 = (u^4v^2)^d$. Thus $s, dsd^{-1} \in H$. As $d \in C_S(a)_2$, also $[s, d^{-1}] \in C_S(a)_2 \cap H$. Thus $K[s, d^{-1}] \in C_H(a)_2/K \subseteq C_{H/K}(Ka)_2 = \langle Kb^2 \rangle$. Therefore $w^{[s, d^{-1}]} \in \{w, w^{-1}\}$ for

every $w \in W$. Thus s = kb for some $k \in K$, $dsd^{-1} \in H$, and $u^4v^2 = (u^2v)^{s[s,d^{-1}]} = (u^2v)^{kb[s,d^{-1}]} = (u^2v)^{s[s,d^{-1}]} \in \{u^2v^3, u^3v^2\}$, the desired contradiction.

We still need a lemma before ruling out cases (d) and (e) of Proposition 1.

Lemma 10. $C_S(a)_2 = C_S(A)$. In particular, $d \in C_S(A)$.

Proof. By Lemma 9, H/K is as in one of the cases (c), (d) or (e) and hence $A \cong L/K \cong SL(2,3)$. In particular, $C_S(A) \cap A = Z(A)$ and has order 2. So $C_S(A) \subseteq C_S(a)_2$. Moreover, A has a normal Sylow 2-subgroup $A_2 \cong Q_8$. Therefore, if $x \in A_2$ and |ax| = 3 then |x| = 4 and $A = \langle a, x \rangle$.

Let $y \in C_S(a)_2$. Then $\langle y \rangle$ is a 2-group acting by conjugation on the set $S_3(A)$ of Sylow 3-subgroups of A. This action has a fixed point, namely $\langle a \rangle$. Since the cardinality of $S_3(A)$ is a power of 2, there must be another fixed point, say $\langle ax \rangle$ for some $x \in A_2$ such that ax has order 3 and hence $A = \langle a, x \rangle$. Then $ax^y = (ax)^y$ equals either ax or $(ax)^2 = a^2x^ax$. As A_2 is normal in S, this equality modulo A_2 yields $ax^y = ax$, so $x^y = x$. Thus $y \in C_S(\langle a, x \rangle) = C_S(A)$.

Lemma 11. H/K is neither isomorphic to $\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle$ nor $\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma^2 \rangle$.

Proof. Suppose that H/K is isomorphic to one of the groups in the statement, acting on $W = \mathbb{F}_5^4$ as in Proposition 1. To simplify notation we identify H/K with the corresponding group of matrices. We start with a series of basic facts about these groups and their actions that follow from straightforward computations on GAP [GAP16]. The subgroup of H/K generated by all the 3-elements of H/K is

$$L/K = \langle \alpha, \gamma^{-1} \alpha \gamma \rangle \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,3)$$

and has centralizer

$$C_{H/K}(L/K) = \begin{cases} \left\langle \alpha \gamma \beta \alpha, \alpha \beta \gamma (\alpha \beta)^2 \right\rangle, & \text{if } H/K \text{ is } \left\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \right\rangle; \\ \left\langle \alpha \gamma \beta \alpha^2 \beta \gamma (\alpha \beta)^2 \right\rangle, & \text{if } H/K \text{ is } \left\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma^2 \right\rangle. \end{cases}$$

The elements

$$u = (0, 1, 1, 1)$$
 and $v = (0, 1, 1, 2)$

of W, lie in two different H/K-orbits. Moreover, the matrices

$$\mu_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

belong to $\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma^2 \rangle$ and they are the unique matrices in $\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle$ such that $u \cdot \mu_u = 2 \cdot u$ and $v \cdot \mu_v = 2 \cdot v$. Here we are using additive notation. Moreover

$$\mu_u^{-1}\mu_v = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3\\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \notin C_{H/K}(L/K).$$
(3)

Now we put together all this information to find a contradiction. Recall that $d \in T \cap C_S(a) \setminus H$. In particular, $d \in C_S(a)_2 = C_S(A)$, by Lemma 10. By rationality, there is an element $s \in S$ such that $(uv^d)^s = (uv^d)^2$. If $u^s = (v^d)^2$ and $v^{ds} = u^2$ then $sd^{-1} \in H$, so u and v^2 lie in the same H/K-orbit and hence so do u and v, yielding a contradiction. Then $u^s = u^2$ and $v^{ds} = (v^d)^2$. Thus $v^{dsd^{-1}} = v^2$, so that $s, dsd^{-1} \in H$. Therefore by uniqueness,

$$\mu_u = Ks$$
 and $\mu_v = Kdsd^{-1} = Ks[s, d^{-1}] = \mu_u \cdot K[s, d^{-1}]$.

As $C_S(A)$ is normal in S and $d \in C_S(A)$, we have $[s, d^{-1}] \in C_S(A) \cap H = C_H(A)$ and hence $\mu_u^{-1}\mu_v = K[s, d^{-1}] \in C_{H/K}(L/K)$, in contradiction with (3).

Thus necessarily $H/K \cong SL(2,3)$ and $W = \mathbb{F}_5^2$. This situation appears to be different from the previous ones, as in those our strategy was finding elements $u, v \in W \setminus \{1\}$ lying in different H/K-orbits, and then exploiting this fact and that the element uv^d is rational to find a contradiction, while, in this situation, H/K acts transitively on $W \setminus \{1\}$. However, a similar argument can be performed substituting the group H/K acting on W by $O_2(S)$ acting on a suitable subspace V_0 of V.

Observe that now $H = L = K \times A$ and A has exactly 6 elements of order 4 whereas W has exactly 6 subspaces of dimension 1. Hence, as in the proof of Lemma 9, there is a bijection between the onedimensional subspaces of W and the elements of A of order 4, associating $\langle w \rangle$ with the unique $x \in A$ such that $w^x = w^2$. As S is rational, there is $b \in S$ such that $a^b = a^{-1}$. Moreover, $b \notin H$ because $N_{H/K}(Ka) = C_{H/K}(Ka)$. Then $S = N_S(\langle a \rangle)A = \langle b \rangle C_S(a)A$, and therefore $N := C_S(a)A$ has index 2 in S, and hence it is normal in S. Moreover, A and N have unique Sylow 2-subgroups A_2 and N_2 , and hence A_2 and N_2 are normal in S. Moreover, $H_2 = K \times A_2$ is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of H. We can take a transversal T_0 of H in N such that $1, d \in T_0$ and $T_0 \subseteq C_S(a)_2$ and take $T = T_0 \cup T_0 b$, as transversal of H in S.

Let $V_0 = \prod_{t \in T_0} W^t$ and observe that $V = V_0 \oplus V_0^b$ and that N_2 acts with the eigenvector property on V_0 . Fix an element $x \in A_2$ of order 4 and let $\langle u \rangle$ and $\langle v \rangle$ be the one-dimensional subspaces of Wassociated with x and x^{-1} respectively. Then $u^x = u^2$, $v^{x^{-1}} = v^2$ and $W = \langle u \rangle \times \langle v \rangle$. As the action of A on $W \setminus \{1\}$ is regular, the action of H_2 (or, equivalently, A_2) on $W \setminus \{1\}$ has 3 orbits. Let \mathcal{O} be the N_2 -orbit containing u. Then $\mathcal{O} \subseteq V_0$ and, since $\operatorname{Stab}_S(W) = H$, $\mathcal{O} \cap W$ is an H_2 -orbit in W containing $\{u, u^2, u^3, u^4\}$. Then $W \setminus (\{1\} \cup \mathcal{O})$ has 16 elements and its intersection with $\{u, u^2, u^3, u^4, v, v^2, v^3, v^4\}$ has at most 4 elements. Thus $|W \setminus (\langle u \rangle \cup \langle v \rangle \cup \mathcal{O})| \ge 12$. Let $w \in W \setminus (\langle u \rangle \cup \langle v \rangle \cup \mathcal{O})$. Then, $w^x \notin \{w^2, w^3\}$ and, by the eigenvector property, there is a $s \in N_2$ such that

$$(uw^d)^s = (uw^d)^2.$$

If $u^s = (w^d)^2$, then $(u^3)^{sd^{-1}} = w$, and hence $w \in \mathcal{O}$ a contradiction. Thus $u^s = u^2$ and $w^{ds} = (w^d)^2$. This implies that s = kx for some $k \in K$ and $w^2 = w^{s^{d^{-1}}} = w^{k^{d^{-1}}x^{d^{-1}}} = w^{k^{d^{-1}}x}$, by Lemma 10. Then $s^{d^{-1}} \in H$ and therefore $k^{d^{-1}} \in H$. By Lemma 7, $k^{d^{-1}} \in K(Z(S) \cap A_2)$ so $Kk^{d^{-1}}$ has order 1 or 2 in H/K by Lemma 6. Hence, $Kk^{d^{-1}}$ acts as an scalar matrix, say diag (λ, λ) , on W, where $\lambda = \pm 1$. It follows that $w^2 = (w^{\lambda})^x$ and therefore $w^x = w^{2\lambda} \in \{w^2, w^3\}$, the final contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

References

- [BKMdR23] A. Bächle, A. Kiefer, S. Maheshwary, and Á. del Río, Gruenberg-Kegel graphs: cut groups, rational groups and the prime graph question, Forum Math. 35 (2023), no. 2, 409–429.
- [GAP16] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.3, 2016, http://www.gap-system.org.
- [GKKM14] A. L. Gavrilyuk, I. V. Khramtsov, A. S. Kondrat'ev, and N. V. Maslova, On realizability of a graph as the prime graph of a finite group, Sib. Elektron. Mat. Izv. 11 (2014), 246–257.
- [GKL⁺15] A. Gruber, T. M. Keller, M. L. Lewis, K. Naughton, and B. Strasser, A characterization of the prime graphs of solvable groups, J. Algebra 442 (2015), 397–422.
- [Gow76] R. Gow, Groups whose characters are rational-valued, J. Algebra 40 (1976), no. 1, 280–299.
- [Heg05] P. Hegedűs, Structure of solvable rational groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **90** (2005), no. 2, 439–471.
- [Luc02] M. S. Lucido, Groups in which the prime graph is a tree, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8) 5 (2002), no. 1, 131–148, http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2002_8_5B_1_131_0&fmt=pdf.