

Solving Dialogue Grounding Embodied Task in a Simulated Environment using Further Masked Language Modeling

Weijie Jack Zhang

Leuphana University of Lüneburg, Germany

Abstract

Enhancing AI systems with efficient communication skills that align with human understanding is crucial for their effective assistance to human users. Proactive initiatives from the system side are needed to discern specific circumstances and interact aptly with users to solve these scenarios. In this research, we opt for a collective building assignment taken from the Minecraft dataset. Our proposed method employs language modeling to enhance task understanding through state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods using language models. These models focus on grounding multi-modal understanding and task-oriented dialogue comprehension tasks. This focus aids in gaining insights into how well these models interpret and respond to a variety of inputs and tasks. Our experimental results provide compelling evidence of the superiority of our proposed method. This showcases a substantial improvement and points towards a promising direction for future research in this domain.¹

1 Introduction

The burgeoning field of artificial intelligence (AI) has introduced innovative approaches to collaborative tasks (Jayannavar et al., 2020; Nguyen and Daumé III, 2019; Roman et al., 2020; Madureira and Schlangen, 2023; Lachmy et al., 2021; Narayan-Chen et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2022a), a notable example of which can be seen in the realm of construction. Within these tasks, the interplay between various roles, such as the builder and the architect, becomes crucial to the task’s success.

In the scenario of a collaborative building task, the builder’s role involves more than just the physical creation of structures. The builder needs to meticulously understand and follow the instructions provided by the architect. This process requires clear communication, comprehensive under-



Figure 1: Within the context of a collaborative building task, the builder must meticulously follow the instructions provided by the architect. This process necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the architect’s guidelines, as the overall success of the task depends largely on clear communication and precise execution. This setup underscores the vital role of the builder in transforming the architect’s understanding into a tangible reality.

standing, and precise execution. Any misinterpretation or deviation from the architect’s instructions can lead to undesirable results, underlining the importance of effective interaction in these tasks.

Such a configuration, while inspired by the construction industry, harmonizes well with the broader workings of AI-driven tasks. In these tasks, the AI assumes the role of a ‘builder’, whose responsibility is to transform instructions (analogous to the architect’s blueprints) into the expected results. The dynamic interaction between the ‘builder’ and the ‘architect’ essentially highlights the AI system’s proficiency in understanding and executing human directives.

Previous studies in Minecraft collaborative building tasks (Jayannavar et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2022a, 2023a) sought to construct an automated builder agent capable of determining necessary actions and posing queries when encountering ambiguities. However, these efforts fell short of effectively integrating the understanding of the language model with the dataset, which can better tailor the LM

¹Work in Progress.

to a specific dataset or task. We propose that language modeling (Devlin et al., 2019) could provide significant improvements in this aspect.

In this paper, we probe into the role of the ‘builder’, which in our case is represented by the AI model, within a cooperative construction task derived from the Minecraft dataset. We evaluate the AI model’s performance in terms of its adherence to the architect’s directives, thus examining the influence of language modeling in enhancing task comprehension. Our experimental observations provide thought-provoking insights into the efficiency of our model and open up exciting possibilities for the future role of AI in collaborative tasks.

2 Related Literature

2.1 Masked Language Modeling

Language modeling has been a pivotal research area within the realm of Natural Language Processing (NLP), significantly contributing to advancements in various NLP tasks. This field has seen a dramatic evolution, spanning from traditional statistical models to the sophisticated neural network architectures of today. Early works in language modeling predominantly relied on statistical models like n-gram models (Mikolov et al., 2013). However, the introduction of Word2Vec by Mikolov et al. (2013) revolutionized this domain by predicting the center word based on neighboring words and vice versa. Despite their simplicity, such models faced challenges in capturing long-range dependencies and dealing with extensive vocabulary. The advent of deep learning led to the rise of recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and their variants such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) units and Gated Recurrent Units (GRUs) (Pennington et al., 2014). Their ability to handle long contextual dependencies provided a significant improvement over n-gram models. However, the true revolution in language modeling was brought about by transformer-based models.

The introduction of BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) marked a significant shift in the landscape of language modeling. BERT utilized masked language modeling (MLM), as shown in Figure 2, and Next Sentence Prediction (NSP) to predict both the next and neighboring sentences. Subsequent research by Liu et al. (2019) with RoBERTa indicated that removing NSP during pre-training led to unchanged or even slightly improved performance on down-




Figure 2: The example of masked language modeling (Devlin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019).

stream sentence-level tasks. Similarly, ALBERT (Lan et al., 2020) introduced the Sentence-Order Prediction objective (SOP), focusing on sentence-level prediction rather than token-level prediction. These transformer-based models brought about a paradigm shift, achieving state-of-the-art results on various NLP tasks. In parallel, autoregressive language modeling, as exemplified by GPT (Radford et al., 2019), emerged as another effective approach for language modeling. Unlike its counterparts, GPT focuses on predicting the next word based on all the preceding words in a sentence. BART (Lewis et al., 2020) took this further by introducing a sentence permutation technique. By randomly shuffling the original sentence order and reconstructing it, BART forces the model to understand the overall context and relationship between sentences. Recent studies have further demonstrated the effectiveness of masked language modeling (Gururangan et al., 2020; Shi and Lipani, 2023; Alsentzer et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2023b) that focus on further training on a given specific task.

2.2 Language Grounding Tasks

The scientific community has exhibited considerable curiosity towards dialogue tasks that involve following instructions, as seen in various studies (Suhr and Artzi, 2018; Suhr et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2019; Lachmy et al., 2021; de Vries et al., 2017; Roman et al., 2020; Thomason et al., 2020). Notably, the Vision-and-Dialog Navigation (VDN) task, which employs visual cues and question-answering dialogue to aid navigation, has garnered substantial attention (Chen et al., 2019; Thomason et al., 2020; Roman et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2021). Other similar tasks include block




Figure 3: The flowchart of our method.

moving tasks (Misra et al., 2017) and object finding tasks (Janner et al., 2018). The Minecraft Corpus dataset introduced by Narayan-Chen et al. (2019), offers a cooperative asymmetrical task that involves an architect collaborating with a builder to create a specific structure. This task was further expanded by Jayannavar et al. (2020) model tailored to follow the sequential instructions given by the architect. Later, (Shi et al., 2022a) adapted this task into a clarification question task. This innovation mirrors the recent developments in VDN tasks where agents are programmed to ask a question whenever they are uncertain of the next step (Thomason et al., 2020; Roman et al., 2020; Chi et al., 2020). citetshi-etal-2022-learning highlight that a significant challenge in these tasks is deciphering the spatial relationships conveyed in texts. Many of these dialogue tasks that involve instruction-following incorporate spatial-temporal concepts within the text (Chen et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2022b). To successfully complete these tasks, there is a need for a deep and nuanced understanding of natural language. This complements our goal perfectly, as we utilize language modeling to bolster LM’s ability to ground language comprehension.

3 Method

In the following section, we introduce our proposed method for training an agent to construct complex structures based on natural language descriptions. This task poses significant challenges, even when the agent is provided with specific target positions for elements on a grid.

Our approach, while straightforward, has proven to be highly effective. We leverage the masked language modeling objective on the textual representation of the target task. By doing so, we refine the language models and establish them as the core foundation for subsequent tasks, as illustrated in Figure 3.

Specifically, it can be divided into two phrases:

- 1. Select a Pre-trained Language Model Backbone. Begin by choosing a suitable pre-

trained language model as the foundation for your task. This could be a well-established model such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) or any other available option that aligns with your requirements;

- 2. Select the state-of-the-art Models for a specific task. Identify and select the most advanced and effective models that have been developed for the specific task you are working on. These models should have achieved state-of-the-art performance and be widely recognized within the research community. For example, in this work, we select LearnToAsk model (Shi et al., 2022a);
- 3. Perform language modeling using the selected Pre-trained Language Model on the task data. Take the chosen pre-trained language model and apply it to the task data you have available. The goal is to adapt the pre-trained model to better understand and generate language specific to your task. Now you will have a new checkpoint for this selected Pre-trained Language Model;
- 4. Initialize the Pre-trained Language Model from the new checkpoint to train the state-of-the-art Models. This step ensures that the models start with the enhanced language understanding gained from the language modeling process.

The intention behind this initial step is to enhance the comprehension capabilities of our existing language models (Liu et al., 2019; Devlin et al., 2019), thereby optimizing them for superior performance in the context of the target task. The process is a matter of training, where our language models are exposed to the complexities and specifics of the target task, allowing them to develop an improved understanding and ability to handle similar tasks in the future.

Once the language models have been adequately trained and refined through exposure to the target task, they are then leveraged as the core foundation for downstream tasks. Their enhanced understanding and functionality serve to provide a robust and efficient base upon which these subsequent tasks can be built. The refined language models can effectively process, understand, and respond to the specific requirements of the downstream tasks, contributing to more successful outcomes.




Figure 4: Experimental Result: Training and Validation Loss for masked language modeling.




Figure 5: The change of learning rate during the training phrase.

4 Experiment, Results and Discussion

In this section, we carry out experiments and discuss subsequent results.

For our experiments, we created a well-defined framework, ensuring the process was both comprehensive and precise. We applied our proposed method on a series of tasks to evaluate its effectiveness and efficiency. Each experiment was rigorously conducted under controlled conditions to guarantee accurate results, and to eliminate any potential bias or external influences.

Upon completion of the experiments, we diligently analyzed and interpreted the results. We observed a notable improvement in the performance of the language models post-training. The data indicated that our method significantly enhanced the model’s ability to handle the complexities of the downstream tasks.

In conclusion, the results from our experiments reaffirm the effectiveness of our proposed approach.

These findings provide evidence that a simple yet systematic training of language models on target tasks can significantly improve their performance in downstream tasks, thereby validating our hypothesis and the robustness of our approach.

Dataset Here we use the collaborative building datasets (Jayannavar et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2022a).

Baseline Models We compare our proposed method with two baselines, BAP model (Jayannavar et al., 2020)² and LearnToAsk Model (Shi et al., 2022a)³.

Evaluation Metrics We use the F1 score, Recall Rate and Precision Rate to evaluate the model performance. The F1 score gives us a measure of accuracy by considering both precision and recall.

Training details. For training, we employ the cross-entropy loss function. We train our models for 100 epochs specifically for the masked language modeling task, adopting a learning rate of $1e-4$. The baseline models are trained using their default settings as described in their respective papers.

Results. In Table 1, we elucidate the comparative performance outcomes of our proposed model and the referenced baseline models on the Minecraft Corpus Dataset in relation to the collaborative building task. Our experimental findings reveal a substantial lead by our model over the baseline models. This highlights the significance and efficacy of the method we have proposed.

²<https://github.com/prashant-jayan21/minecraft-bap-models>

³<https://github.com/ZhengxiangShi/LearnToAsk>

Model	Recall	Precision	F1
BAP model (Jayannavar et al., 2020)	12.6	22.4	16.1
LearnToAsk (Shi et al., 2022a)	28.3	45.8	35.0
Ours	28.5	46.3	35.3

Table 1: Test Results for our proposed method and baseline models.

Analysis of Loss Metrics As depicted in Figure 4, we illustrate both training and validation losses throughout the learning process. It’s evident that these metrics exhibit a decreasing trend, indicating that our model continues to learn and improve its predictions as the training progresses. This reduction in losses demonstrates the model’s ability to generalize effectively, optimizing its performance as it iterates over the dataset.

Learning Rate Evolution Figure 5 portrays the evolution of the learning rate throughout the masked language modeling task. The change in the learning rate is a crucial aspect to consider as it influences the speed and effectiveness of the model’s training. By analyzing this, we can gain insights into the model’s learning behavior and the rate at which it adapts during training.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, our work underscores the vital role of efficient AI communication skills and proactive AI initiatives in aligning with human understanding and effectively assisting users in various circumstances. Through our exploration of a collective building task in the Minecraft dataset, we demonstrate a novel method that leverages language modeling to enhance task understanding. The application of state-of-the-art (SOTA) models has significantly improved the grounding of multi-modal understanding and task-oriented dialogue comprehension. The experimental results affirm the superiority of our proposed method, suggesting a notable enhancement in the performance of the SOTA model in such complex tasks.

References

- Emily Alsentzer, John Murphy, William Boag, Weihung Weng, Di Jindi, Tristan Naumann, and Matthew McDermott. 2019. [Publicly available clinical BERT embeddings](#). In *Proceedings of the 2nd Clinical Natural Language Processing Workshop*, pages 72–78, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Howard Chen, Alane Suhr, Dipendra Misra, Noah Snaveley, and Yoav Artzi. 2019. [TOUCHDOWN: natural language navigation and spatial reasoning in visual street environments](#). In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2019, Long Beach, CA, USA, June 16-20, 2019*.
- Ta-Chung Chi, Minmin Shen, Mihail Eric, Seokhwan Kim, and Dilek Hakkani-Tür. 2020. [Just ask: An interactive learning framework for vision and language navigation](#). In *The Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI*.
- Harm de Vries, Florian Strub, Sarath Chandar, Olivier Pietquin, Hugo Larochelle, and Aaron C. Courville. 2017. [Guesswhat?! visual object discovery through multi-modal dialogue](#). In *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2017, Honolulu, HI, USA, July 21-26, 2017*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*.
- Suchin Gururangan, Ana Marasović, Swabha Swayamdipta, Kyle Lo, Iz Beltagy, Doug Downey, and Noah A. Smith. 2020. [Don’t stop pretraining: Adapt language models to domains and tasks](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8342–8360, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Michael Janner, Karthik Narasimhan, and Regina Barzilay. 2018. [Representation learning for grounded spatial reasoning](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 6.
- Prashant Jayannavar, Anjali Narayan-Chen, and Julia Hockenmaier. 2020. [Learning to execute instructions in a Minecraft dialogue](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- Royi Lachmy, Valentina Pyatkin, and Reut Tsarfaty. 2021. [Draw me a flower: Grounding formal abstract structures stated in informal natural language](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.14321*.
- Zhenzhong Lan, Mingda Chen, Sebastian Goodman, Kevin Gimpel, Piyush Sharma, and Radu Soricut.

2020. [ALBERT: A lite BERT for self-supervised learning of language representations](#). In *8th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2020, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, April 26-30, 2020*. OpenReview.net.
- Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Veselin Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020. [BART: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. [Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692*.
- Brielen Madureira and David Schlangen. 2023. "are you telling me to put glasses on the dog?" content-grounded annotation of instruction clarification requests in the codraw dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02377*.
- Tomas Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. 2013. [Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781*.
- Dipendra Misra, John Langford, and Yoav Artzi. 2017. [Mapping instructions and visual observations to actions with reinforcement learning](#). In *EMNLP*.
- Anjali Narayan-Chen, Prashant Jayannavar, and Julia Hockenmaier. 2019. [Collaborative dialogue in Minecraft](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- Khanh Nguyen and Hal Daumé III. 2019. [Help, anna! visual navigation with natural multimodal assistance via retrospective curiosity-encouraging imitation learning](#). In *EMNLP-IJCNLP*.
- Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher Manning. 2014. [GloVe: Global vectors for word representation](#). In *EMNLP*.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8).
- Homero Roman Roman, Yonatan Bisk, Jesse Thomason, Asli Celikyilmaz, and Jianfeng Gao. 2020. [Rmm: A recursive mental model for dialogue navigation](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, pages 1732–1745.
- Zhengxiang Shi and Aldo Lipani. 2023. [Don't stop pre-training? make prompt-based fine-tuning powerful learner](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.01711*.
- Zhengxiang Shi, Yue Feng, and Aldo Lipani. 2022a. [Learning to execute actions or ask clarification questions](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2022*, pages 2060–2070, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhengxiang Shi, Jerome Ramos, To Eun Kim, Xi Wang, Hossein A Rahmani, and Aldo Lipani. 2023a. [When and what to ask through world states and text instructions: Iglu nlp challenge solution](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.05754*.
- Zhengxiang Shi, Francesco Tonolini, Nikolaos Aletras, Emine Yilmaz, Gabriella Kazai, and Yunlong Jiao. 2023b. [Rethinking semi-supervised learning with language models](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhengxiang Shi, Qiang Zhang, and Aldo Lipani. 2022b. [Stepgame: A new benchmark for robust multi-hop spatial reasoning in texts](#). In *Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence*.
- Alane Suhr and Yoav Artzi. 2018. [Situating mapping of sequential instructions to actions with single-step reward observation](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*.
- Alane Suhr, Claudia Yan, Jack Schluger, Stanley Yu, Hadi Khader, Marwa Mouallem, Iris Zhang, and Yoav Artzi. 2019. [Executing instructions in situated collaborative interactions](#). In *EMNLP-IJCNLP*.
- Jesse Thomason, Michael Murray, Maya Cakmak, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020. [Vision-and-dialog navigation](#). In *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR.
- Tsung-Yen Yang, Andrew Lan, and Karthik Narasimhan. 2020. [Robust and interpretable grounding of spatial references with relation networks](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*.
- Yi Zhu, Yue Weng, Fengda Zhu, Xiaodan Liang, Qixiang Ye, Yutong Lu, and Jianbin Jiao. 2021. [Self-motivated communication agent for real-world vision-dialog navigation](#). In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*.