

RIGIDITY OF CONTRACTING MAP USING HARMONIC MAP HEAT FLOW

MAN-CHUN LEE AND JINGBO WAN

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the recent work of Tsai-Tsui-Wang, we consider the rigidity of map between compact manifolds with positive curvature. We show that distance non-increasing map between complex projective spaces is either an isometry or homotopically trivial, which partially solves a question of Tsai-Tsui-Wang about weakly contracting maps. The rigidity result also holds on a wider class of manifolds with positive curvature and weaker contracting property on the map in between distance non-increasing and area non-increasing. This is based on the harmonic map heat flow.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M and N be two compact Riemannian manifolds. There has been great interest in finding a local geometric condition which determine the homotopy class of map from M to N . When M and N are both sphere (of possibly different dimensions), 1-dilatation map or equivalently distance decreasing map, has been considered and studied extensively, [3, 5, 7, 8]. It is not difficult to see that any distance decreasing map between spheres are homotopically trivial. In [6], Gromov proposed to consider maps which are area-decreasing. It was conjectured that any area-decreasing map from \mathbb{S}^n to \mathbb{S}^m must be homotopically trivial.

In [16], Tsui-Wang confirmed the conjecture affirmatively. Their method is based on the graphical mean curvature flow. By embedding M into $M \times N$ using $\text{id} \times f$, they view the initial map as a graph. Their novel idea is to deform the graph using the mean curvature flow which is the negative gradient flow of the volume functional. By showing that the mean curvature flow remains graphical and converges to a constant graph smoothly, the homotopically triviality of initial map follows. The main difficulties lies on establishing the long-time existence and convergence. This boils down to show that area-decreasing property is preserved along the flow. Efforts have been made in generalizing the result of Tsui-Wang to more general curvature conditions in both the ambient spaces and target manifolds. For instances, see [1, 10, 13]. The mentioned works are all based on the studying the symmetric 2-tensor introduced by Tsui-Wang [16] whose positivity is equivalent to the area decreasing conditions. Very recently, this stream of technique has been improved

Date: August 14, 2023.

significantly by Tsai-Tsui-Wang [15] in which now includes maps between complex projective spaces, and maps from spheres to complex projective spaces, among others. We summarize their result as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Tsui-Wang [16], Tsai-Tsui-Wang [15]). *Let (Σ_1, g_1) , (Σ_2, g_1) be two compact, Riemannian manifolds. Let $n = \dim \Sigma_1$, $m = \dim \Sigma_2$. Let $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ be any area-decreasing map, then f must be homotopically trivial if one of the following holds:*

- (a) $n \geq 2$, sectional curvatures of (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_1) are constant and satisfy

$$\sec(\Sigma_1) \geq |\sec(\Sigma_2)| \quad , \quad \sec(\Sigma_1) + \sec(\Sigma_2) > 0$$

- (b) $n \geq m \geq 2$, sectional curvatures of (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_1) satisfy

$$\sec(\Sigma_1) \geq 1 \quad , \quad \sec(\Sigma_2) < \frac{2n - m - 1}{m - 1}$$

- (c) $n \geq m \geq 2$, Ricci and sectional curvatures of (Σ_1, g_1) , (Σ_2, g_1) satisfy

$$\frac{\text{Ric}_1}{g_1} \geq \frac{\text{Ric}_2}{g_2} \quad , \quad \sec(\Sigma_1) + \sec(\Sigma_2) > 0.$$

It is interesting to compare this series of works with a Theorem of Llarull [12] where he shows that area non-increasing map from a compact spin manifold M^n with $\text{scal}(M) \geq n(n-1)$, to S^n can either have zero degree or is an isometry. Motivated by Llarull's spherical rigidity Theorem and the recent work [15] on complex projective spaces, we are interested to ask to what extent an area non-increasing map between complex projective spaces is an isometry. In this direction, we have the following result partially answering the question.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^n, g) and (N^m, h) be two compact manifolds. Suppose their curvatures satisfy*

- (a) $\sec(h) > 0$;
(b) there exists $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ such that for all unit vector $u \in TM$ and $v \in TN$,

$$\text{Ric}_g^\phi(u, u) \geq \text{Ric}_h(v, v)$$

where $\text{Ric}^\phi = \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 \phi$.

*If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map so that $g - f^*h$ is 2-nonnegative, then f is either an isometry with constant weight ϕ or is homotopically trivial. In particular, if f is homotopically non-trivial, then ϕ is constant and M, N are Einstein manifolds with positive sectional curvature.*

Remark 1.1. We remark here that since condition (b) holds for arbitrary points and unit vector, it is equivalent to say that the minimum of weighted Ricci curvature lower bound of g is larger than or equal to the maximum of the Ricci curvature of h .

In particular, the Theorem applies when M and N are either $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$, \mathbb{S}^n or more generally Einstein manifolds with positive Einstein constant. This has also been considered in the work of Tsai-Tsui-Wang [15]. Our method is different from the approach taken in the earlier works which is inspired by the recent work of the first named author and Tam [9]. Instead of using mean curvature flow, we consider deformation using the harmonic map heat flow which is routine enough to treat the ∞ -Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature (and hence the N -Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature). Notice that there exist many interesting examples of manifolds with N -Bakry-Emery Ricci lower bound, for instances, see [17]. The harmonic map heat flow is introduced by Eells-Sampson [4] which aims to deform a given map to a harmonic one. If the target manifold N is not non-positively curved, the flow will in general form finite time singularity. In case the Ricci curvature of M dominate that of N , we are able to rule out the finite time singularity under 2-nonnegativity condition of $g - f^*h$. This condition is stronger than the area non-increasing but weaker than the distance non-increasing. More precisely, if we take λ_i to be the singular values of df with respect to g, h , then 2-nonnegativity condition of $g - f^*h$ is equivalent to say that $\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2 \leq 2$ for all distinct i, j . More concretely, we show that the 2-nonnegativity condition will be preserved under the harmonic map heat flow in a similar spirit of [16] which avoid finite time singularity. In [14], a similar condition is considered in term of vanishing theorem for harmonic maps.

In light of the works of Tsai-Tsui-Wang [15], it is believed that the rigidity will also hold under only area non-decreasing. We reformulate the conjecture that has been already speculated by Tsai-Tsui-Wang in [15]:

Conjecture 1.1 (Tsai-Tsui-Wang [15]). *Any area-nonincreasing map between (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{std}) and (\mathbb{S}^m, g_{std}) or between $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{FS})$ and $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, g_{FS})$ must be either homotopically trivial or an isometry.*

Remark 1.2. We gather some remarks about this conjecture:

- (1) We expect to get this result from either graphical mean curvature flow approach as [15] or harmonic map heat flow as this paper. To be precise, for the GMCF approach [15], one needs to handle area non-increasing condition rather than area decreasing condition. And for the harmonic map heat flow approach in the present work, one should find a monotone quantity along the flow that shows the preservation of area non-increasing condition instead of the weakly distance non-increasing condition here.
- (2) We also expect one can prove a more general statement than Conjecture 1.1 concerning the curvature conditions. See the curvature conditions in both Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

In contrast with the mean curvature flow approach, the harmonic map heat flow seems to be more flexible in requiring the sectional curvature of M even

in the content of detecting homotopically triviality. Along this direction, we have the following which might be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M^n, g) and (N^m, h) be two compact manifolds. Suppose their curvatures satisfy*

- (i) $\sec(h) \geq 0$;
- (ii) *there exists $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ such that for all unit vector $u \in TM$ and $v \in TN$,*

$$\text{Ric}_g^\phi(u, u) \geq \text{Ric}_h(v, v).$$

*Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map so that $g - f^*h$ is 2-positive, then f is homotopically trivial if one of the following holds:*

- (a) Ric_g^ϕ is positive somewhere;
- (b) ϕ is a constant and $\text{scal}(g)$ is positive somewhere.

Remark 1.3. As a simple consequence, in the case when ϕ is constant, then we have the following dichotomy: either f is homotopically trivial; or M and N are both Ricci flat.

Acknowledgement: The first named author was partially supported by Hong Kong RGC grant (Early Career Scheme) of Hong Kong No. 24304222, a NSFC grant and a direct grant of CUHK. The second named author would like to thank Professor Mu-Tao Wang for his encouragement and valuable discussions.

2. MONOTONICITY ALONG HARMONIC HEAT FLOW

In this section, we will prove some a-priori estimates of the (weighted) harmonic map heat flow. We start with recalling the definition of harmonic map heat flow. Suppose $f : M^n \rightarrow N^m$ is a smooth map, then df is a section of $T^*M \otimes f^{-1}TN$ where $f^{-1}TN$ is the pull-back bundle induced by f on M . We let ∇ be the connection induced by metric g on M and h on N . In this way, ∇df is called the second fundamental form which is a section in $T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes f^{-1}TN$. The trace of $\text{tr}_g \nabla df$ with respect to g is called the tension field which is a vector field along $f(M)$. We will use Δf to denote it¹. Given (M, g) and (N, h) , we consider the ϕ -weighted harmonic map heat flow with initial data f which is the evolution equation satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t F &= \Delta F - \langle dF, d\phi \rangle \\ F(0) &= f \end{cases}$$

where $F : M \times [0, T] \rightarrow N$ is a one parameter family of smooth map from M to N and $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$. We will use F_t and F_i to denote $F_*(\partial_t)$ and $F_*(\partial_i)$ respectively. We will call this a solution to the ϕ -harmonic map heat flow.

We start with deriving an evolution equation of the eigenvalue of the pull-back metric F^*h . In what follows, we will use \tilde{R} to denote the curvature of h at $F(x)$. All norms and connections are with respect to the fixed metrics g on M and h on N .

¹it is also sometimes denoted by $\tau(f)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Along the ϕ -harmonic map heat flow between (M^n, g) and (N^m, h) , the tensor $\alpha = g - F^*h$ satisfies*

$$(2.2) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \alpha_{ij} = (R^\phi)_i^l H_{lj} + (R^\phi)_j^l H_{il} - 2g^{kl} (F^* \tilde{R})_{kijl} + 2g^{kl} F_{ik}^\alpha F_{jl}^\beta h_{\alpha\beta} - g^{kl} \phi_k \nabla_l \alpha_{ij}.$$

where $H = F^*h$. In particular,

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) |dF|^2 = -2|\nabla dF|^2 - 2(R^\phi)^{ij} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta h_{\alpha\beta} + 2g^{kl} g^{ij} (F^* \text{Rm}_h)_{iklj} + g^{kl} \phi_k \nabla_l |dF|^2.$$

Here Δ denotes the Laplacian with respect to g and $(R^\phi)_{ij}$ are local components of $\text{Ric}^\phi = \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 \phi$, i.e. $(R^\phi)_{ij} = R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi$, where we raise or lower its indices via metric components of g .

Proof. Let $H = F^*(h)$ so that $H_{ij}(x) = F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta h_{\alpha\beta}(F(x))$ for $x \in M$. We denote $dF : TM \rightarrow TN$'s components by F_i^α . By Ricci identity, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_i^\alpha = \Delta F_i^\alpha - R_i^l F_l^\alpha + \tilde{R}_{\varepsilon\gamma\delta}^\alpha F_i^\varepsilon F_k^\gamma F_l^\delta g^{kl} - g^{kl} F_l^\alpha \nabla_i \nabla_k \phi - g^{kl} (F_l^\alpha)_{|i} \partial_k \phi$$

Therefore, $H_{ij} = h_{\alpha\beta} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta$ satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_{ij} &= h_{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_i^\alpha \right) F_j^\beta + h_{\alpha\beta} F_i^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_j^\beta \right) \\ &= h_{\alpha\beta} \left(\Delta F_i^\alpha - R_i^l F_l^\alpha + \tilde{R}_{\varepsilon\gamma\delta}^\alpha F_i^\varepsilon F_k^\gamma F_l^\delta g^{kl} - g^{kl} F_l^\alpha \nabla_i \nabla_k \phi - g^{kl} (F_l^\alpha)_{|i} \partial_k \phi \right) F_j^\beta \\ &\quad + h_{\alpha\beta} F_i^\alpha \left(\Delta F_j^\beta - R_j^l F_l^\beta + \tilde{R}_{\varepsilon\gamma\delta}^\beta F_j^\varepsilon F_k^\gamma F_l^\delta g^{kl} - g^{kl} F_l^\beta \nabla_j \nabla_k \phi - g^{kl} (F_l^\beta)_{|j} \partial_k \phi \right) \\ &= h_{\alpha\beta} \left(\Delta F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta + F_i^\alpha \Delta F_j^\beta \right) - (R_i^l + \nabla^l \nabla_i \phi) H_{lj} - (R_j^l + \nabla^l \nabla_j \phi) H_{li} \\ &\quad - 2g^{kl} \tilde{R}(F_i, F_k, F_l, F_j) - h_{\alpha\beta} g^{kl} \partial_k \phi \left((F_l^\alpha)_{|i} F_j^\beta + F_i^\alpha (F_l^\beta)_{|j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta H_{ij} &= g^{kl} \nabla_k \nabla_l (h_{\alpha\beta} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta) \\ &= g^{kl} h_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_k (\nabla_l F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta + F_i^\alpha \nabla_l F_j^\beta) \\ &= h_{\alpha\beta} \left(\Delta F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta + F_i^\alpha \Delta F_j^\beta \right) + 2g^{kl} h_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_k F_i^\alpha \nabla_l F_j^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence a subtraction gives us

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) H_{ij} &= - \left[(R^\phi)_i^l H_{lj} + (R^\phi)_j^l H_{il} + 2g^{kl} \tilde{R}(F_l, F_i, F_k, F_j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2g^{pq} (F_i^\alpha)_{|p} (F_j^\beta)_{|q} h_{\alpha\beta} + g^{kl} \partial_k \phi \nabla_l H_{ij} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian on (M, g) and $(R^\phi)_j^i$ is a short hand of $R_j^i + \nabla^i \nabla_j \phi$. Since g is a fixed metric on M , we immediately get (2.2) for α .

The second equation follows from taking trace of the first since $|dF|^2 = \text{tr}_g H = g^{ij} H_{ij}$. \square

At $x \in M$, we let λ_i be the singular value of dF so that the eigenvalues of F^*h with respect to g are $\{\lambda_i^2\}_{i=1}^m$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose (M^n, g) and (N^m, h) are two compact manifolds such that*

- (a) $\text{sec}(h) \geq 0$;
- (b) *there exists $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ such that for all unit vector $u \in TM$ and $v \in TN$,*

$$(2.8) \quad \text{Ric}_g^\phi(u, u) \geq \text{Ric}_h(v, v).$$

*If $F : M \times [0, T] \rightarrow N$ is a solution to the ϕ -harmonic map heat flow such that the initially $(1 - \varepsilon)^2 g - F^*h$ is 2-nonnegative for some $1 > \varepsilon \geq 0$, then $(1 - \varepsilon)^2 g - F^*h$ remains 2-nonnegative for all $t \in [0, T]$.*

Proof. Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $\tilde{h} = (1 - \varepsilon)^{-2} h$ so that F is still a ϕ -harmonic map heat flow with respect to g and \tilde{h} . If $u \in TM$ and $v \in TN$ are such that $g(u, u) = 1 = \tilde{h}(v, v)$. Then $\tilde{v} = (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} v$ satisfies $h(\tilde{v}, \tilde{v}) = 1$ and hence

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Ric}_g^\phi(u, u) \geq \text{Ric}_h(\tilde{v}, \tilde{v}) \geq \text{Ric}_{\tilde{h}}(v, v) \geq 0.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to consider the case of $\varepsilon = 0$.

By tensor maximum principle (for instances see [2, Theorem A.21]), it suffices to verify the null vector condition. That is to show that if α is 2-nonnegative and $\alpha(e_1, e_1) + \alpha(e_2, e_2) = 0$ at (x_0, t_0) , then $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}) \geq 0$ at (x_0, t_0) . At (x_0, t_0) , we let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the singular values of dF so that H_{ij} is diagonalized with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^2\}_{i=1}^n$ with order $\lambda_1^2 \geq \lambda_2^2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n^2$. Then the eigenvalues of α with respect to the 2-nonnegative cone is realized by e_1 and e_2 , i.e. $\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22} = 2 - \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2$.

By (2.2), we can compute the evolution of $\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}$, evaluating at (x_0, t_0)

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}) \Big|_{(x_0, t_0)} &= 2 \left[(R^\phi)_1^l H_{11} - g^{kl} (F^* \tilde{R})_{k11l} + g^{kl} F_{1k}^\alpha F_{1l}^\beta h_{\alpha\beta} \right] \\
&\quad + 2 \left[(R^\phi)_2^l H_{12} - g^{kl} (F^* \tilde{R})_{k22l} + g^{kl} F_{2k}^\alpha F_{2l}^\beta h_{\alpha\beta} \right] \\
&\geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 (R^\phi)_{ii} \lambda_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{R}_{ikki} \lambda_i^2 \lambda_k^2 \\
&\geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \tilde{R}_{ii} \lambda_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{R}_{ikki} \lambda_i^2 \lambda_k^2 \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{R}_{ikki} - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikki} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $R_{ii}^\phi \geq \tilde{R}_{ii}$ and $\nabla_X(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}) = 0$ at (x_0, t_0) for all $X \in T_{x_0}M$.

If $\dim(M) = n > \dim(N) = m$, then we might arrange the singular values so that $\lambda_{m+k} = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - m$ (if $n > m$) and hence

$$(2.11) \quad 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{R}_{ikki} - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikki} \right) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (1 - \lambda_k^2) \tilde{R}_{ikki} \right).$$

Otherwise, $\dim(M) = n \leq \dim(N) = m$. Then since $\sec(h) \geq 0$ and $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ for all $i > 1$,

$$(2.12) \quad 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{R}_{ikki} - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikki} \right) \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (1 - \lambda_k^2) \tilde{R}_{ikki} \right).$$

Here λ_i is understood to be 0 for all $i > n$. So in either case, the lower bound is same. We now show that the lower bound is indeed non-negative. Since $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ for each $i > 1$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (1 - \lambda_k^2) \tilde{R}_{ikki} \right) &\geq \lambda_1^2 (1 - \lambda_2^2) \tilde{R}_{1221} + \lambda_2^2 (1 - \lambda_1^2) \tilde{R}_{1221} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)^2 \tilde{R}_{1221} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = 2$. Combining this with (2.10), this verifies the null-vector condition. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose (M^n, g) and (N^m, h) are compact manifolds satisfying the assumptions in Proposition 2.1. If $F : M \times [0, T] \rightarrow N$ is a solution*

to the ϕ -harmonic map heat flow such that $g - F^*h$ is 2-nonnegative for all $t \in [0, T]$, then on $M \times [0, T]$,

$$(2.14) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) |dF|^2 \leq -2|\nabla dF|^2 + \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla |dF|^2 \rangle.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, α stays 2-nonnegative, meaning that $2 \geq \lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2$ on $M \times [0, T]$ for any $i \neq j$ where λ_i are the singular values of dF . This shows that $|\lambda_i \lambda_j| \leq 1$ for all $i \neq j$. Using (2.3), $\sec(h) \geq 0$ and the area non-increasing property, we compute

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) |dF|^2 &= -2|\nabla dF|^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (R^\phi)_{ii} \lambda_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \tilde{R}_{ikki} \lambda_i^2 \lambda_k^2 + \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla |dF|^2 \rangle \\ &\leq -2|\nabla dF|^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{R}_{ii} \lambda_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{R}_{ikki} |\lambda_i \lambda_k| + \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla |dF|^2 \rangle \\ &\leq -2|\nabla dF|^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{R}_{ii} \lambda_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_k^2) \tilde{R}_{ikki} + \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla |dF|^2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $n = \dim(M) \leq \dim(N) = m$, then

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \tilde{R}_{ikki} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_i^2 \tilde{R}_{ikki} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \tilde{R}_{ii}.$$

Since the left hand side is symmetric in i, k , we see that the conclusion holds.

If $n = \dim(M) > \dim(N) = m$, then we might assume $\lambda_{m+\ell} = 0$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq n - m$ as before. Then

$$(2.17) \quad 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n |\lambda_i \lambda_k| \tilde{R}_{ikki} \leq 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^m |\lambda_i \lambda_k| \tilde{R}_{ikki} \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^2 \tilde{R}_{ii} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \tilde{R}_{ii}.$$

The conclusion also holds in this case. This complete the proof. \square

Remark 2.1. From the proof the result is still true if F remains area non-increasing, i.e. $\lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2 \leq 1$ along the flow.

We end this section by recalling some well-known results of the harmonic map heat flow.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose (M, g) and (N, h) are two smooth compact manifolds and $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$. If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map between M and N , then there exists a short-time solution to the ϕ -harmonic map heat flow $F : M \times [0, T] \rightarrow N$.*

Proof. When ϕ is a constant, it follows from the celebrated work of Eells-Sampson [4]. Since ϕ is smoothly controlled and M, N are both compact, the contraction mapping argument can be carried over directly. We refer readers

to [11, Chapter 5]. Alternatively, we can obtain a solution F by composing a standard harmonic map heat flow \bar{F} with $\Phi_t : M \rightarrow M$ where

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \Phi_t = -\nabla \phi; \\ \Phi_0 = \text{Id}. \end{cases}$$

□

The following regularity theory of harmonic map heat flow is standard.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose the ϕ -harmonic map heat flow $F : M^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow N^m$ satisfies*

$$|dF| \leq \Lambda$$

on $M \times [0, T]$, then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C(n, m, k, g, h, \phi), S(n, m, k, g, h, \phi) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_M |\nabla^k dF|^2 \leq \frac{C(n, m, k, g, h, \phi)}{t^k}$$

on $M \times (0, T \wedge S]$.

Proof. Since (M, g) and (N, h) are compact manifolds, it follows from standard parabolic theory. For a maximum principle proof, we refer readers to the proof of [9, Theorem 3.1] in case when ϕ is constant. Since ϕ is smoothly bounded, the proof can be modified accordingly thanks to the assumed gradient estimate. □

3. LONGTIME CONVERGENCE AND RIGIDITY

In this section, we will finish the proof of Theorem 1.2 by studying the long-time behaviour of the harmonic map heat flow (2.1).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We assume that f is not homotopically trivial. By Theorem 2.1, it admits a short-time solution to (2.1) with initial data $f : M^n \rightarrow N^m$. We let T_{max} be the maximal existence time.

We first claim that $T_{max} = +\infty$. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that $g - F^*h$ remains 2-nonnegative for all $t \in [0, T_{max})$. Hence, Proposition 2.2 implies that the energy density $|dF|^2$ satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad |dF|^2 \leq \sup_M |df|^2 = C_0(n, m, f, g, h, \phi)$$

on $M \times [0, T_{max})$ by maximum principle. In particular, Proposition 2.3 implies that F is bounded uniformly in C_{loc}^k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as $t \rightarrow T_{max}$. It follows that T_{max} must be $+\infty$. Moreover, integrating the inequality (2.14) in

Proposition 2.2 implies that for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad & \int_M |dF(t)|^2 e^\phi \, d\text{vol}_g \\
&= \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left(\int_M |dF|^2 e^\phi \, d\text{vol}_g \right) ds + \int_M |df|^2 e^\phi \, d\text{vol}_g \\
&\leq \int_0^t \int_M (\Delta |dF|^2 - 2|\nabla dF|^2 + \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla |dF|^2 \rangle) e^\phi \, d\text{vol}_g ds + \int_M |df|^2 e^\phi \, d\text{vol}_g \\
&= \int_0^t \int_M -2|\nabla dF|^2 e^\phi \, d\text{vol}_g ds + \int_M |df|^2 e^\phi \, d\text{vol}_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with the higher order regularity, it follows that there exists $t_k \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $F(t_k)$ sub-converges to $F_\infty : M \rightarrow N$ smoothly such that $\nabla dF_\infty \equiv 0$ on M . Moreover, $g - F_\infty^* h$ remains 2-nonnegative. Thanks to the smooth convergence, F_∞ is homotopic to the initial data f and hence not homotopically trivial.

We now claim that F_∞ is indeed isometry. We will omit the sub-script. Now applying the Bochner formula in Lemma 2.1 for the time independent map F_∞ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & 0 = \int_M \Delta |dF|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \\
&= \int_M 2|\nabla dF|^2 + 2R^{ij} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta h_{\alpha\beta} - 2g^{kl} g^{ij} (F^* \text{Rm}_h)_{iklj} \, d\text{vol}_g \\
&= \int_M 2(R^\phi)^{ij} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta h_{\alpha\beta} - 2g^{kl} g^{ij} (F^* \text{Rm}_h)_{iklj} \, d\text{vol}_g - 2 \int_M \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi \cdot H_{ij} \, d\text{vol}_g \\
&= \int_M 2(R^\phi)^{ij} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta h_{\alpha\beta} - 2g^{kl} g^{ij} (F^* \text{Rm}_h)_{iklj} \, d\text{vol}_g.
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\nabla dF = 0$ and Stoke's Theorem on the last equality.

We now proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. We need to trace carefully the inequality used. At $x \in M$, we let λ_i be the singular value of dF_∞ so that the eigenvalues of $F^* h$ with respect to g are $\{\lambda_i^2\}_{i=1}^n$. We assume $\lambda_1^2 \geq \lambda_2^2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n^2$. We now extract geometric information from the equality in Bochner formula.

Recall from the proof of Proposition 2.2, the curvature terms is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(R^\phi)^{ij} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta h_{\alpha\beta} - 2g^{kl} g^{ij} (F^* \text{Rm}_h)_{iklj} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \tilde{R}_{ii} + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{kk} - 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikki} \\
(3.4) \quad & \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \tilde{R}_{ii} + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{kk} - 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n |\lambda_i \lambda_k| \tilde{R}_{ikki} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \tilde{R}_{ii} + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{kk} - \sum_{i,k=1}^n (\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_k^2) \tilde{R}_{ikki} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $|\lambda_i \lambda_j| \leq 1$ for all $i \neq j$. We remark here that the inequalities hold regardless of the relation between the dimension of M and N .

Since the curvature terms sum up to be non-negative and the total integral vanishes, all inequalities becomes equality. Since the curvature of h is positive, the second equality holds if and only if $|\lambda_i \lambda_j|$ is either 0 or 1 for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Similarly, since the curvature of N is positive, the third equality holds if and only if $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

We now rule out the possibility of $n = \dim(M) \neq \dim(N) = m$. If $n < m$, then equality case in (2.16) forces $\lambda_{n+\ell} = 0$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq m - n$ since $\sec(h) > 0$. Together with the last equality in (3.4), we have $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. But this contradicts with the fact that F is not homotopically trivial. If $n > m$, equality in (2.17) shows that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i > m$ and hence $\lambda_i \equiv 0$ for all i . This is also impossible. Hence, the dimension must coincide with each other unless F is homotopically trivial. Therefore, at each point of M we either have $F^*h = g$ or $F^*h = 0$. Since $\nabla dF = 0$, H is parallel. Hence, $F^*h = g$ globally on M and all singular values are identically 1 on M . This shows that $F(M)$ is open. Since F is smooth, $F(M)$ is closed by continuity and hence $F(M) = N$. Thus F is an isometry.

We now show that indeed f is isometry. By passing (3.2) to F_∞ , we see that

$$(3.5) \quad n \text{Vol}_\phi(M, g) = \int_M |dF_\infty|^2 e^\phi d\text{vol}_g \leq \int_M |df|^2 e^\phi d\text{vol}_g.$$

In term of singular value of f , the energy density on the right hand side can be re-written as

$$(3.6) \quad |df|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2 \right) \leq n$$

where we have used the 2-nonnegative of $g - f^*h$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$(3.7) \quad \int_M |df|^2 e^\phi d\text{vol}_g \leq n \text{Vol}_\phi(M, g).$$

and hence the singular value of df satisfies $\lambda_i^2 = 1$ for all i . The same argument used in F_∞ also shows that f is an isometry.

Since M and N are isometric to each other, assumption (b) implies that

$$(3.8) \quad \inf\{\text{Ric}_g^\phi(u, u) : u \in TM\} \geq \sup\{\text{Ric}_g(v, v) : v \in TM\}$$

and hence ϕ is sub-harmonic. Strong maximum principle implies that ϕ is constant. Moreover, M and N must be Einstein manifolds since the Ricci curvature is constant. This completes the proof. \square

As a consequence of the method employed, we can relax the curvature conditions if $g - f^*h$ is strictly 2-positive.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.2. We only point out the necessary modifications. As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we obtain a limiting smooth map $F = F_\infty : M \rightarrow N$ which is homotopic to f and has $\nabla dF = 0$. Now the stronger initial assumption implies that $g - F^*h$ is 2-positive using Proposition 2.1 with $\varepsilon > 0$.

We now claim that F_∞ is homotopically trivial. By considering (3.4), it suffices to estimate the curvature terms. Denote λ_i to be the singular value of dF_∞ with respect to g and h . Since $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $|\lambda_i \lambda_j| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $i \neq j$ and hence,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i,k=1}^n 2\lambda_i^2 \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikki} &\leq \sum_{i,k=1}^n 2(1 - \varepsilon) |\lambda_i \lambda_k| \tilde{R}_{ikki} \\ &\leq (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \tilde{R}_{ii} + (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{kk} \end{aligned}$$

using the same discussion as in (3.4) on each case: $n > m$, $n = m$ or $n < m$.

In particular, integrating the energy density yields

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \geq 2\varepsilon \int_M (R^\phi)^{ij} H_{ij} d\text{vol}_g \geq 0$$

If Ric^ϕ is positive at $x_0 \in M$, then $H(x_0) = 0$ and hence $H \equiv 0$ on M using $\nabla dF_\infty = 0$. This shows that f is homotopically trivial.

If ϕ is a constant, then we can compute using contracted Bianchi to deduce

$$(3.11) \quad 0 = \int_M R^{ij} H_{ij} d\text{vol}_g = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{scal}(g) \cdot \text{tr}_g H d\text{vol}_g \geq 0$$

so that $\text{scal}(g) \cdot \text{tr}_g H \equiv 0$ on M . Suppose the scalar curvature of g is positive somewhere, we have $H(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in M$. Using $\nabla dF_\infty = 0$, H is parallel and hence $H \equiv 0$ on M . This shows that F_∞ is homotopically trivial and so does f . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Assimos, R.; Savas-Halilaj, A.; Smoczyk, K., *Graphical mean curvature flow with bounded bi-Ricci curvature*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 62 (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 12, 26.
- [2] Chow, B. et al, *The Ricci flow: techniques and applications, Part I. Geometric aspects*. Math. Survey and Monographs, 135, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2007).
- [3] Deturck, D.; Gluck, H.; Storm, P., *Lipschitz minimality of Hopf fibrations and Hopf vector fields*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (2013), no. 3, 1369–1412.
- [4] Eells, James, Jr.; Sampson, J. H., *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*. Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 109–160.
- [5] Gromov, M., *Homotopical effects of dilatation*, J. Differential Geometry 13 (1978), no. 3, 303–310.
- [6] Gromov, M., *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within, Sub-Riemannian geometry*, Progr. Math., vol. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996, pp. 79–323.
- [7] Hsu, A. C. L., *A characterization of the Hopf map by stretch*, Math. Z. 129 (1972), 195–206.
- [8] Lawson, H. B. Jr., *Stretching phenomena in mappings of spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 433–435
- [9] Lee, M.-C.; Tam, L.-F., *Rigidity of Lipschitz map using harmonic map heat flow*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.11017, 2022
- [10] Lee, K.-W.; Lee, Y.-I., *Mean curvature flow of the graphs of maps between compact manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 11, 5745–5759.
- [11] Lin, F.; Wang, C. , *The analysis of harmonic maps and their heat flows*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2008. xii+267 pp. ISBN: 978-981-277-952-6; 981-277-952-3
- [12] Llarull, M. *Sharp estimates and the Dirac operator*, Math. Ann. 310 (1998), no. 1, 55–71.
- [13] Savas-Halilaj, A.; Smoczyk, K., *Homotopy of area decreasing maps by mean curvature flow*, Adv. Math. 255 (2014), 455–473.
- [14] Stepanov, S.; Tsyganok, I., *Vanishing theorems for harmonic mappings into non-negatively curved manifolds and their applications*. manuscripta mathematica, 154(1-2) (2017), 79–90.
- [15] Tsai, C.-J.; Tsui, M.-P.; Wang, M.-T., *A New Monotone Quantity in Mean Curvature Flow Implying Sharp Homotopic Criteria*, arXiv:2301.09222
- [16] Tsui, M.-P.; Wang, M.-T., *Mean curvature flows and isotopy of maps between spheres*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 57 (2004), no. 8, 1110–1126.
- [17] Wei, G.; Wylie, W., *Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor*, Journal of differential geometry, 83(2009), no.2, 337-405.

(Man-Chun Lee) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, HONG KONG, CHINA
Email address: mclee@math.cuhk.edu.hk

(Jingbo Wan) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY, 10027
Email address: jingbowan@math.columbia.edu