

Almost spanning distance trees in subsets of finite vector spaces

Debsoumya Chakraborti*

Ben Lund†

January 5, 2024

Abstract

For $d \geq 2$ and an odd prime power q , consider the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^d over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , where the distance between two points (x_1, \dots, x_d) and (y_1, \dots, y_d) is defined as $\sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2$. A distance graph is a graph associated with a non-zero distance to each of its edges. We show that large subsets of vector spaces over finite fields contain every nearly spanning distance tree with bounded degree in each distance. This quantitatively improves results by Bennett, Chapman, Covert, Hart, Iosevich, and Pakianathan on finding distance paths, and results by Pham, Senger, Tait, and Thu on finding distance trees. A key ingredient in proving our main result is to obtain a colorful generalization of a classical result of Haxell about finding nearly spanning bounded-degree trees in an expander.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52C10, 05C48, 05C05, 05C60.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, q denotes an odd prime power. For $d \geq 2$, \mathbb{F}_q^d is the d -dimensional vector space over the field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, we define $\|x\| = x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$, and for $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, refer to $\|x - y\|$ as the *distance* between x and y .

An influential result of Iosevich and Rudnev [22] is that, if $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|S| > 2q^{(d+1)/2}$, then $\{\|x - y\| : x, y \in S\} = \mathbb{F}_q$. The question of determining the largest set in \mathbb{F}_q^d that avoids some distance is analogous to the Falconer distance problem in fractal geometry [6, 7, 9, 12], and related to the Erdős unit and distinct distance problems in discrete geometry [8, 11, 13, 36].

Following the work of Iosevich and Rudnev, the finite field Falconer distance problem and its variants have been extensively studied. Hart, Iosevich, Koh, and Rudnev showed [17] that the exponent $(d+1)/2$ in the bound $|S| > 2q^{(d+1)/2}$ is best possible for odd dimensions d . It is conjectured that this exponent can be improved to $d/2$ for even d . There has been progress on a variant of this problem in which the distance set is required to be a positive proportion of \mathbb{F}_q , rather than all of \mathbb{F}_q . For example, Chapman, Erdogan, Hart, Iosevich, and Koh [3] showed that, if $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^2$ with $|S| = \Omega(q^{4/3})$, then $\#\{\|x - y\| : x, y \in S\} = \Omega(q)$. This result was strengthened by Hanson, Lund, and Roche-Newton [16], who showed that the same hypothesis implies that there exists a point $y \in S$ such that $\#\{\|x - y\| : x \in S\} = \Omega(q)$. These results were further strengthened for prime fields in [27, 31]. The current record is by

*Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK. Supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R029-C1), and the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 947978). E-mail: debsoumya.chakraborti@warwick.ac.uk.

†Discrete Mathematics Group (DIMAG), Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon, South Korea. Supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R029-C1). E-mail: benlund@ibs.re.kr.

Murphy, Petridis, Pham, Rudnev, and Stevens [31], who showed that if $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^2$ for prime p and $|S| = \Omega(p^{5/4})$, then there exists a point $y \in S$ such that $\#\{\|x - y\| : x \in S\} = \Omega(p)$. In the other direction, Murphy and Petridis [30] found an infinite family of examples of subsets of \mathbb{F}_q^2 of size $q^{4/3}$ whose distance sets are not the whole of \mathbb{F}_q .

In this paper, we are interested in embedding more complex graphs than single edges. To make this precise, a *distance graph* \mathcal{H} is a graph H together with a function $f : E(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^*$ that associates each edge of H with a non-zero distance. A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ *contains* the distance graph \mathcal{H} if there is an injective map $\phi : V(H) \rightarrow S$ such that $\|\phi(u) - \phi(v)\| = f(uv)$ for each edge $uv \in E(H)$. Such a map ϕ is often referred to as an *embedding*, and we say there is an embedding of \mathcal{H} in S . A general question is:

Question. *What is the minimum n such that every set $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ of size n must contain every member of some specified family of distance graphs?*

This question has been studied for complete graphs [32], bounded-degree graphs [21], cycles [19, 33], paths [2], rectangles [28], and trees [33, 35]. All of these previous works consider point configurations that are small relative to the size of the set that we are embedding the configurations into. For example, Bennett, Chapman, Covert, Hart, Iosevich, and Pakianathan [2] showed that $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ contains every distance path of length $O(|S|q^{-(d+1)/2})$.

By contrast, a special case of our main result is that every $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ contains every bounded-degree distance tree on $|S| - \Omega(q^{(d+2)/2})$ vertices. Informally, we show that sufficiently large point sets contain every nearly spanning distance tree with bounded degree. Our main results are stated formally in the following subsection.

1.1 Embedding distance trees

For the convenience of stating our results, we introduce some notations. For a set $R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$, an R -*distance graph* \mathcal{H} is a graph H with an associated function $f : E(H) \rightarrow R$ that assigns a distance to each edge of H . When $|R| = 1$, we refer to \mathcal{H} as a *single-distance graph*, and when $R = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ we refer to \mathcal{H} as a *distance graph*. Consider a vertex $v \in V(H)$. The neighborhood of v is denoted by $\Gamma_H(v)$, and the degree of v is $D_H(v) = |\Gamma_H(v)|$. For $r \in R$, the r -*neighborhood* of v is $\Gamma_{\mathcal{H},r}(v) = \{u \in \Gamma_H(v) : f(uv) = r\}$, and the r -*degree* of v is $D_{\mathcal{H},r}(v) = |\Gamma_{\mathcal{H},r}(v)|$. The maximum degree of H is $\Delta(H) = \max_{v \in V(H)} D_H(v)$, and the minimum degree of H is $\delta(H) = \min_{v \in V(H)} D_H(v)$. The maximum r -degree of \mathcal{H} is $\Delta_r(\mathcal{H}) = \max_{v \in V(H)} D_{\mathcal{H},r}(v)$. We often omit subscripts when they are clear from the context. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$, let $\Delta \geq 2$ be an integer, and denote $t = |R|$. Every set $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ of points contains every R -distance tree \mathcal{T} with at most $|S| - 30(t\Delta)^{1/2}q^{(d+1)/2}$ vertices and maximum r -degree $\Delta_r(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in R$.*

For example, if $|S| = 120q^{(d+2)/2}$, then S contains every distance tree with at most $2^{-1}|S|$ vertices and maximum r -degree at most 4 for each $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

The assumption that $0 \notin R$ can be removed in odd dimensions without changing the conclusion of the theorem. See the discussion following Theorem 9 for a more detailed discussion of this issue.

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on an independently interesting colorful generalization of a theorem of Haxell [18] on embedding trees in expander graphs, which we state in the next subsection.

Theorem 1 has the error term $\Omega(t^{1/2}\Delta^{1/2}q^{(d+1)/2})$. Constructions in [17, 20] show that the dependence on q is necessary and, in odd dimensions, best possible. In the remainder of this subsection, we discuss the dependence of this term on Δ , t , and $|S|$.

The dependence on Δ is best possible. For $t = 1$ and $|S| = \Omega(q^d)$, Theorem 1 implies that S contains all single-distance trees with maximum degree $O(q^{d-1})$ on at most $|S|/2$ vertices. This is clearly within a constant factor of being optimal since the total number of points in \mathbb{F}_q^d at distance r from any point is roughly q^{d-1} . However, the following theorem shows that it is possible to find stars of much higher degree than is guaranteed by Theorem 1.

Proposition 2. *Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and denote $t = |R|$. For any $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|S| \geq 12t^{1/2}q^{(d+1)/2}$, there is a subset $W \subseteq S$ of size $|W| \geq |S| - 80tq^{(d+1)}|S|^{-1}$ such that, for each $x \in W$ and $r \in R$, we have $\#\{y \in W : \|x - y\| = r\} \geq 6^{-1}q^{-1}|S|$.*

In particular, each vertex of W is the central vertex of a star with minimum degree $6^{-1}q^{-1}|S|$ in each distance. For example, if $|S| = 30q^{(d+2)/2}$, then S contains all distance stars \mathcal{T} such that for each $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we have $\Delta_r(\mathcal{T}) \leq 5q^{d/2}$, which is far more than what we get from Theorem 1.

The error term $\Omega(t^{1/2}\Delta^{1/2}q^{(d+1)/2})$ does not depend on $|S|$. The authors believe that this error term should be smaller for larger $|S|$. As evidence for this belief, we have the following theorem for single-distance trees, which is an easy application of a recent result of Han and Yang [15] on embedding spanning trees into expander graphs.

Theorem 3. *For any $\Delta \geq 2$, there is a constant C_Δ such that the following holds. Every $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|S| \geq C_\Delta^{\sqrt{d \log q}}q^{(d+1)/2}$ contains every single-distance tree \mathcal{T} with at most $|S| - 32q^{d+1}|S|^{-1}$ vertices and maximum degree $\Delta(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$.*

Theorem 3 shows that if $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|S| = \Omega(q^{(d+1)/2+o(1)})$, then S contains all single-distance trees \mathcal{T} with bounded maximum degree and $|S| - |V(\mathcal{T})| = \Omega(q^{d+1}|S|^{-1})$, which is smaller than $q^{(d+1)/2}$ when $|S|$ is much larger than $q^{(d+1)/2}$. We provide a family of constructions in Section 5 showing that this error term of $\Omega(q^{d+1}|S|^{-1})$ cannot be improved in odd dimensions.

Because of the dependence of the error term on t , Theorem 1 does not guarantee that all bounded-degree distance trees with at most q vertices can be embedded into a set with fewer than $q^{(d+2)/2}$ points. The authors do not believe that so many points are needed, and propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4. *For any $\Delta \geq 2$, there is a constant C_Δ such that the following holds. Every $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|S| \geq C_\Delta^{o(d \log q)}q^{(d+1)/2}$ contains every distance tree \mathcal{T} with at most $|S| - 100q^{d+1}|S|^{-1}$ vertices and maximum r -degree $\Delta_r(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.*

Up to the factors of $C_\Delta^{o(d \log q)}$ and 100, Conjecture 4 is as strong as possible. It would be interesting to prove the following weaker version.

Conjecture 5 (Weaker version of Conjecture 4). *For any $\Delta \geq 2$, there is a constant C_Δ such that the following holds. Every $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|S| \geq C_\Delta^{o(d \log q)}q^{(d+1)/2}$ contains every distance tree \mathcal{T} with at most $|S| - 100q^{(d+1)/2}$ vertices and maximum degree $\Delta(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$.*

Conjecture 5 differs from Conjecture 4 in that the error term does not depend on $|S|$, and we only control the total degree of \mathcal{T} instead of the individual r -degrees of \mathcal{T} .

There is a natural barrier in our proof of Theorem 1 to removing the dependence of the error term on t . We discuss this issue in more detail and propose paths toward proving Conjecture 4 in the concluding remarks.

1.2 Expanders and the proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1 is a special case of a more general theorem on embedding edge-colored trees into induced subgraphs of spectral expanders. Here we introduce the ideas used in the proof of this more general statement, and show how it implies Theorem 1.

Friedman and Pippenger [10] introduced an idea to embed a tree vertex by vertex inside a large expander by maintaining certain invariants. Haxell [18] later improved this idea to show that each member of a certain family of expander graphs contains every possible nearly-spanning tree with bounded degree. The first step toward proving Theorem 1 is to generalize Haxell's result to embed edge-colored trees in families of expander graphs. We need a few notations to state it.

Consider a family $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ of graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$. We usually view the indices in $[t]$ as colors and think of the family \mathcal{G} as an edge-colored graph with an edge e being colored with $i \in [t]$ if $e \in E(G_i)$ (note that e may have multiple colors). For every $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$, define $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(X) = \bigcup_{(v,r) \in X} \Gamma_{G_r}(v)$. For a graph H and an edge-coloring map $f : E(H) \rightarrow [t]$, denote the corresponding edge-colored graph by \mathcal{H} . We say that \mathcal{G} contains \mathcal{H} if there is an embedding $\phi : \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that for all $e \in E(H)$, we have $\phi(e) \in G_{f(e)}$. We often say that \mathcal{G} contains *every* $[t]$ -colored H if for every coloring $f : E(H) \rightarrow [t]$, the family \mathcal{G} contains \mathcal{H} .

Theorem 6 (Colorful version of Haxell's theorem). *Let $\Delta, m, k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ be a family of graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$. Suppose*

1. $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(X)| \geq \Delta|X| + 1$ for all $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $1 \leq |X| \leq m$, and
2. $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(X)| \geq \Delta|X| + k$ for all $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $m < |X| \leq 2m$.

Then, \mathcal{G} contains every $[t]$ -colored tree \mathcal{T} with at most k vertices and maximum r -degree $\Delta_r(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in [t]$.

Next, we show that Theorem 6 can be applied to a family induced by a large vertex-subset of a family of good spectral expanders. A graph G with adjacency matrix A_G is an (n, D, λ) -graph if G is a D -regular graph on n vertices and all but at most one of the eigenvalues of A_G are bounded in absolute value by λ . Note that the largest eigenvalue of a D -regular graph is always D .

One important property of (n, D, λ) -graphs is given by the classical expander mixing lemma. For a graph G and sets $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, denote

$$e_G(X, Y) = \#\{(x, y) \in X \times Y : xy \in E(G)\}.$$

Notice that $e_G(X, Y)$ counts edges in $X \cap Y$ twice. We often drop the subscript from $e_G(X, Y)$ when the graph G is clear from the context.

Expander Mixing Lemma ([1, 14]). *If G is an (n, D, λ) -graph, and $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, then*

$$|e(X, Y) - Dn^{-1}|X||Y|| \leq \lambda\sqrt{|X||Y|}. \quad (1)$$

Given a graph G and set $S \subseteq V(G)$, denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of G induced by S . Similarly, for a family $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ of graphs and $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$, denote $\mathcal{G}[S] = \{G_1[S], \dots, G_t[S]\}$.

In general, subgraphs induced by vertex-subset of a family of (n, D, λ) -graphs may not satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 6. Indeed, if G is a graph satisfying (1), and $X \subseteq S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S||X| < \left(\frac{n\lambda}{D}\right)^2$, then every vertex in X may be isolated in $G[S]$. However, we show that this is essentially the only thing that can go wrong. If $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$ is sufficiently large, then we can find a large set $W \subseteq S$ such that $\mathcal{G}[W]$ has large minimum degree.

Lemma 7. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C \geq 4t^{1/2}$ be a real number. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ be a family of (n, D, λ) -graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$. Let $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$ with $|S| = C \frac{n\lambda}{D}$. Then, there is a subset $W \subseteq S$ with $|W| \geq (1 - 8tC^{-2})|S|$ such that $\delta(G_r[W]) \geq 4^{-1}C\lambda$ for each $r \in [t]$.*

It turns out that $\mathcal{G}[W]$ for the W found in Lemma 7 satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 6, which leads to the following result.

Theorem 8. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ be a family of (n, D, λ) -graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\Delta \geq 2$ be an integer, and let $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$. Then, $\mathcal{G}[S]$ contains every $[t]$ -colored tree \mathcal{T} with at most $|S| - 10(t\Delta)^{1/2} \frac{n\lambda}{D}$ vertices and maximum r -degree $\Delta_r(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in [t]$.*

In order to derive Theorem 1 using Theorem 8, we need to associate the distances of $R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with a family of (n, D, λ) -graphs. Denote by G_r^d the graph with vertex set $V(G_r^d) = \mathbb{F}_q^d$ such that $xy \in E(G_r^d)$ if and only if $\|x - y\| = r$. The following result was proved by Iosevich and Rudnev [22], and independently by Medrano, Myers, Stark, and Terras [29].

Theorem 9 ([22, 29]). *If $r \neq 0$, then G_r^d is a $(q^d, q^{d-1} + O(q^{\frac{d-1}{2}}), 2q^{\frac{d-1}{2}})$ -graph.*

If $r = 0$ and d is odd, then G_r^d is a $(q^d, q^{d-1}, 2q^{\frac{d-1}{2}})$ -graph - for example, see Proposition 2.2 in [25]. Consequently, for odd d , the assumption that $0 \notin R$ can be removed from Theorem 1 without changing the conclusion. If $r = 0$ and d is even, then G_r^d is a $(q^d, q^{d-1} + O(q^{d/2}), q^{d/2})$ -graph, and so the assumption that $0 \notin R$ is necessary for the proof of Theorem 1 to work.

Theorem 9 implies that G_r^d is a D -regular graph, for some $D = q^{d-1} + O(q^{(d-1)/2})$. The $O(q^{(d-1)/2})$ term depends on d , q , and the quadratic character of r . For odd dimensions d , this term is always either $-q^{(d-1)/2}$ or $q^{(d-1)/2}$, and for even dimensions it is always either $-q^{(d-2)/2}$ or $q^{(d-2)/2}$. We will often use the following bounds to state our results more simply:

$$(2/3)q^{d-1} \leq D \leq (4/3)q^{d-1}.$$

With Theorem 9 in hand, Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 are straightforward corollaries of Theorem 8 and Lemma 7, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 1 is the special case of Theorem 8 applied to $\mathcal{G} = \{G_r^d : r \in R\}$. By Theorem 9, we may use $n = q^d$, $D \geq (2/3)q^{d-1}$, and $\lambda = 2q^{(d-1)/2}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2. Proposition 2 follows immediately from Lemma 7 and Theorem 9. \square

1.3 Comparison with earlier work

Theorem 1 yields nearly-spanning distance trees, where previous work has focused on finding much smaller structures. However, our work yields quantitative improvements to these earlier bounds even for relatively small trees and paths.

Pham, Senger, Tait, and Thu [33] proved that, if \mathcal{T} is a $[t]$ -edge-colored tree with t vertices, $\mathcal{G} = (G_1, \dots, G_t)$ is a collection of (n, D, λ) graphs, and $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$ with $|S| \geq t^2 \frac{n\lambda}{D}$, then $\mathcal{G}[S]$ contains at least $|S|t^{-1} - \frac{n\lambda}{D}$ disjoint copies of \mathcal{T} .

Neglecting constants, Theorem 8 implies a stronger result for smaller sets. Observe that a tree on t vertices uses at most $t - 1$ distinct colors, and has maximum degree at most $t - 1$. Theorem 8 implies that, if $|S| = \Omega(t \frac{n\lambda}{D})$, then S contains every $[t]$ -edge-colored tree with maximum degree t on at most $|S| - \Omega(t \frac{n\lambda}{D})$ vertices. In particular, S contains every tree that can be constructed by taking $|S|t^{-1} - \Omega(\frac{n\lambda}{D})$ disjoint copies of \mathcal{T} , and connecting them without introducing new colors or increasing the maximum degree.

Bennett, Chapman, Covert, Hart, Iosevich, and Pakianathan [2] proved that if $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|S| \geq 4t(\ln 2)^{-1}q^{(d+1)/2}$, then S contains every distance path of length t . Theorem 10

(which is a special case of Theorem 1 with a simpler proof) implies that, for $R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $|R| = t$, S contains every R -distance path of length less than $|S| - 4t^{1/2}q^{(d+1)/2}$. We can use the fact that $|\mathbb{F}_q^*| = q - 1$ to obtain the result that S contains every distance path of length $|S| - 4q^{(d+2)/2}$.

1.4 Organization of the paper

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we still need to prove Theorems 6 and 8 and Lemma 7. However, before doing that, in the next section, we give a simpler proof of Theorem 1 for the case of paths, without using Theorem 6. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 6, and in Section 4, we prove Lemma 7 and Theorem 8, thus finally completing the proof of the ingredients of Theorem 1. Section 4 also contains the proof of Theorem 3. In Section 5, we provide constructions showing that Theorem 3 and Conjecture 4 are essentially tight up to constant factors. We end with a discussion on possible approaches to prove Conjecture 4, and offer a more general conjecture on families of expanders.

2 Finding paths with depth-first search

In this section, we use a modified depth-first search algorithm to embed paths with multiple distances. This yields a simple proof of the special case of Theorem 1 for embedding distance paths. Depth-first search is a standard tool to find long paths in graphs, for instance, see Section 7 in Krivelevich's survey on expander graphs [26].

We include two variations on the proof, which both rely on the same algorithm. The first proof uses Theorem 9. The second proof yields a slightly less general result, but relies on a point-sphere incidence bound instead of Theorem 9. Although this point-sphere incidence bound is tight in general, it may be possible to improve it in the particular case we use. Together with our proof, this would lead to progress on Conjecture 4. We discuss this potential approach in more detail in the concluding remarks.

The input to our algorithm is a collection $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ of graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$ and a $[t]$ -colored path $\mathcal{P} = (v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$ that we intend to embed in \mathcal{G} . We maintain sets of vertices A, B_1, \dots, B_t and an ordered list U , which are updated as the algorithm proceeds. Initially, $A = V(\mathcal{G})$ and U, B_1, \dots, B_t are all empty. The algorithm terminates when A and U are both empty.

Each round of the algorithm either moves a vertex from A to U , or from U to one of the sets B_1, \dots, B_t , as follows. If U is empty, move a vertex from A to U . Otherwise, suppose that $U = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$, and let r_k be the color of the edge $v_k v_{k+1}$ in the path \mathcal{P} . If there is a vertex $w \in A$ such that $u_k w \in E(G_{r_k})$, then move w from A to the end of U (setting $u_{k+1} = w$). Otherwise, move u_k to B_{r_k} . The key properties maintained at each step of this algorithm are as follows:

1. $\mathcal{G}[U]$ always contains a copy of the edge-colored path induced by the first $|U|$ vertices of \mathcal{P} .
2. For each $r \in [t]$, we have $e_{G_r}(A, B_r) = 0$.

The first property follows from the fact that whenever we add a vertex to U , it has an edge of the appropriate color to the previous vertex in U . The second property follows from the fact that a vertex is only added to B_r if it does not have any neighbor in A in G_r .

Both proofs in this section proceed by showing that, for certain choices of numbers a, b , if $|A| = a$, then $\sum_{r \in [t]} |B_r| \leq b$. Consequently, at the step of the algorithm when $|A| = a$, we have $|U| \geq |V(\mathcal{G})| - (a + b)$, and hence it is possible to embed \mathcal{P} into \mathcal{G} as long as the number ℓ of vertices in \mathcal{P} satisfies $\ell \leq |V(\mathcal{G})| - (a + b)$.

Theorem 10. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ be a family of (n, D, λ) -graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$, and let $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$. Then, $\mathcal{G}[S]$ contains every $[t]$ -colored path \mathcal{P} with at most $|S| - 2\lambda n D^{-1} t^{1/2}$ vertices.

Proof. Run the modified depth-first search algorithm until the first iteration at which $|A| < 1 + \lambda n D^{-1} t^{1/2}$. Let $r \in [t]$ be arbitrary. Since $e_{G_r}(A, B_r) = 0$, the expander mixing lemma implies that

$$Dn^{-1}|A| |B_r| \leq \lambda |A|^{1/2} |B_r|^{1/2}.$$

Rearranging, we have $|B_r| \leq \lambda n D^{-1} t^{-1/2}$. Hence, $\sum_{r \in [t]} |B_r| \leq \lambda n D^{-1} t^{1/2}$, which completes the proof. \square

Together with Theorem 9, Theorem 10 immediately yields the following special case of Theorem 1.

Corollary 11. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 < t < q$, and let $R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $|R| = t$. If $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$, then S contains every R -distance path \mathcal{P} on at most $|S| - 4t^{1/2} q^{(d+1)/2}$ vertices.

Our second proof relies on a bound on the number of incidences between a set of points and a set of spheres in \mathbb{F}_q^d . A sphere with radius $r \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and center at $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$ is defined to be the set $\{x : \|x - y\| = r\}$. The number of incidences between a set X of points and a set Y of spheres is

$$I(X, Y) = \#\{(x, y) \in X \times Y : x \in y\}.$$

The following bound on the number of incidences between a set of points and a set of spheres was proved independently by Cilleruelo, Iosevich, Lund, Roche-Newton, and Rudnev [4] and by Phuong, Pham, and Vinh [34].

Theorem 12 ([4, 34]). Let X be a set of points and Y a set of spheres in \mathbb{F}_q^d . Then,

$$|I(X, Y) - q^{-1}|X||Y|| \leq q^{d/2}|X|^{1/2}|Y|^{1/2}.$$

Instead of using Theorem 9, we can apply Theorem 12 to obtain an alternative proof of the following special case of Corollary 11.

Theorem 13. If $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$, then S contains every distance path \mathcal{P} with at most $|S| - 2q^{(d+2)/2}$ vertices.

Proof. Let $R := \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Run the modified depth-first search algorithm until the first time when $|A| < 1 + q^{(d+2)/2}$. For each $r \in R$, let C_r be the set of spheres of radius r and centers in B_r , and let $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{r \in R} C_r$. Note that $I(A, \mathcal{C}) = 0$. Hence, Theorem 12 implies

$$q^{-1}|A| |\mathcal{C}| \leq q^{d/2}|A|^{1/2} |\mathcal{C}|^{1/2},$$

and hence $\sum_{r \in R} |B_r| = |\mathcal{C}| \leq q^{(d+2)/2}$. This completes the proof. \square

3 Colorful version of Haxell's result

Our proof of Theorem 6 modifies and extends the arguments in [5, 10, 18].

Theorem 6 (Colorful version of Haxell's theorem). Let $\Delta, m, k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ be a family of graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$. Suppose

1. $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(X)| \geq \Delta|X| + 1$ for all $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $1 \leq |X| \leq m$, and
2. $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(X)| \geq \Delta|X| + k$ for all $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $m < |X| \leq 2m$.

Then, \mathcal{G} contains every $[t]$ -colored tree \mathcal{T} with at most k vertices and maximum r -degree $\Delta_r(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in [t]$.

Proof. For every $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$, denote $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$. For a set $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$, an edge-colored graph \mathcal{H} with $\Delta_r(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in [t]$, and an embedding $\phi : \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$, define

$$R(X, \phi) = |\Gamma(X) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})| - \sum_{(v,r) \in X} (\Delta - D_{\mathcal{H},r}(\phi^{-1}(v))),$$

where we abuse the notation to mean $D_{\mathcal{H},r}(\phi^{-1}(v)) = 0$ when $v \notin \phi(\mathcal{H})$. An embedding $\phi : \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called s -good if, for each $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $|X| \leq s$, we have $R(X, \phi) \geq 0$. We will use the following.

Fact. *Let \mathcal{H} be an edge-colored graph with at most $k - 1$ vertices and $\Delta_r(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta$ for every $r \in [t]$. Suppose $\phi : \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a $2m$ -good embedding. Then, we have the following.*

A1 *If $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $|X| \leq 2m$ satisfies $R(X, \phi) = 0$, then $|X| \leq m$.*

A2 *Every $X_1, X_2 \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ satisfy $R(X_1 \cup X_2, \phi) + R(X_1 \cap X_2, \phi) \leq R(X_1, \phi) + R(X_2, \phi)$.*

A3 *If $X_1, X_2 \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $|X_1|, |X_2| \leq m$ satisfy $R(X_1, \phi) = R(X_2, \phi) = 0$. Then, $R(X_1 \cup X_2, \phi) = 0$ and $|X_1 \cup X_2| \leq m$.*

Proof. Let $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $|X| \leq 2m$ and $R(X, \phi) = 0$. Since \mathcal{H} has at most $k - 1$ vertices, $|\Gamma(X) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})| \geq |\Gamma(X)| - k + 1$. Clearly, $\sum_{(v,r) \in X} (\Delta - D_{\mathcal{H},r}(\phi^{-1}(v))) \leq \Delta|X|$. Since $R(X, \phi) = 0$, we have $|\Gamma(X)| \leq \Delta|X| + k - 1$. Thus, by (2), $|X| \leq m$. This proves **A1**.

Let $X_1, X_2 \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$. The inequality in **A2** follows from the following observation.

$$|\Gamma(X_1 \cup X_2) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})| + |\Gamma(X_1 \cap X_2) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})| \leq |\Gamma(X_1) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})| + |\Gamma(X_2) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})|.$$

Let $X_1, X_2 \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $|X_1|, |X_2| \leq m$ and $R(X_1, \phi) = R(X_2, \phi) = 0$. Since ϕ is $2m$ -good, $R(X_1 \cup X_2, \phi), R(X_1 \cap X_2, \phi) \geq 0$. Thus, by **A2**, it holds that $R(X_1 \cup X_2, \phi) = 0$. Since $|X_1 \cup X_2| \leq 2m$, by **A1**, we have $|X_1 \cup X_2| \leq m$. This proves **A3**. \square

To prove Theorem 6, we show the stronger statement that for every $[t]$ -colored tree \mathcal{T} with at most k vertices and $\Delta_r(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in [t]$, there is a $2m$ -good embedding of \mathcal{T} in \mathcal{G} . We use induction on the number of vertices of \mathcal{T} . The base case is easy to verify, i.e., to show that we can have a $2m$ -good embedding of a single vertex in \mathcal{G} . Indeed, for any embedding ϕ of a single vertex tree to \mathcal{G} , consider $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $|X| \leq 2m$, then $R(X, \phi) \geq (\Delta|X| + 1 - 1) - \Delta|X| = 0$. The induction step can be done by applying the following lemma.

Lemma 14. *Let H be a graph with $|V(H)| \leq k - 1$. Let $f : E(H) \rightarrow [t]$ be an edge-coloring such that the corresponding edge-colored graph \mathcal{H} satisfies $\Delta_r(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta$ for every $r \in [t]$. Suppose $\phi : \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a $2m$ -good embedding. Let H_* be a graph that can be obtained by adding a vertex of degree 1 to H . Let $f_* : E(H_*) \rightarrow [t]$ be an edge-coloring of H_* such that f_* restricted to the graph H is the same as f , and the corresponding edge-colored graph \mathcal{H}_* satisfies $\Delta_r(\mathcal{H}_*) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in [t]$. Then, there is a $2m$ -good embedding $\phi_* : \mathcal{H}_* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ that extends ϕ .*

Proof. Suppose the graph H_* is obtained by adding a leaf v to a vertex u in H . Without loss of generality, assume that $f_*(uv) = 1$, i.e., the color of the new edge uv is 1, and thus, $D_{\mathcal{H},1}(u) \leq \Delta - 1$. Let $W := \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\phi(u)) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})$. For every $w \in W$, we can extend the embedding ϕ to $\phi_w : \mathcal{H}_* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by letting $\phi_w(v) = w$. Thus, it is enough to show that there

is $w \in W$ such that ϕ_w is $2m$ -good. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that for every $w \in W$, there exist $X_w \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \times [t]$ with $|X_w| \leq 2m$ such that $R(X_w, \phi_w) < 0$. Then,

$$|\Gamma(X_w) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})| - |\Gamma(X_w) \setminus (\phi(\mathcal{H}) \cup \{w\})| = \mathbf{1}[w \in \Gamma(X_w)], \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(x,r) \in X_w} (\Delta - D_{\mathcal{H},r}(\phi^{-1}(x))) - \sum_{(x,r) \in X_w} (\Delta - D_{\mathcal{H}^*,r}(\phi_w^{-1}(x))) \\ = \sum_{(x,r) \in X_w} (D_{\mathcal{H}^*,r}(\phi_w^{-1}(x)) - D_{\mathcal{H},r}(\phi^{-1}(x))) \\ = \mathbf{1}[(w, 1) \in X_w] + \mathbf{1}[(\phi(u), 1) \in X_w]. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ is $2m$ -good, for every $w \in W$, we have $R(X_w, \phi) \geq 0$. From the above two equalities and the assumption that $R(X_w, \phi_w) < 0$, we have

$$0 < R(X_w, \phi) - R(X_w, \phi_w) = \mathbf{1}[w \in \Gamma(X_w)] - \mathbf{1}[(w, 1) \in X_w] - \mathbf{1}[(\phi(u), 1) \in X_w].$$

Thus, for every $w \in W$, we must have $R(X_w, \phi) = 0$, $w \in \Gamma(X_w)$, $(w, 1) \notin X_w$, and $(\phi(u), 1) \notin X_w$.

We next show that the set $X := \bigcup_{w \in W} X_w$ has size at most m and $R(X, \phi) = 0$. Indeed, this is trivial if $W = \emptyset$; otherwise, we can apply induction and **A3** to deduce it. Next, consider the set $X_* := X \cup \{(\phi(u), 1)\}$. Since $w \in \Gamma(X_w)$ for every $w \in W$, we have $W = \Gamma_{G_1}(\phi(u)) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \Gamma(X)$. Hence,

$$|\Gamma(X) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})| = |\Gamma(X_*) \setminus \phi(\mathcal{H})|.$$

Since $(\phi(u), 1) \notin X_w$ for all $w \in W$, we have $(\phi(u), 1) \notin \bigcup_{w \in W} X_w = X$. This fact together with the above equation implies that

$$R(X_*, \phi) = R(X, \phi) - (\Delta - D_{\mathcal{H},1}(u)) < 0,$$

where the last step uses $R(X, \phi) = 0$ and $D_{\mathcal{H},1}(u) \leq \Delta - 1$. Since $|X_*| \leq m + 1 \leq 2m$, the fact $R(X_*, \phi) < 0$ contradicts the assumption that ϕ is $2m$ -good. This proves Lemma 14. \square

This finishes the proof of Theorem 6. \square

4 Induced subgraphs of (n, D, λ) -graphs

In this section, we prove Lemma 7, Theorem 8, and Theorem 3. The proof of Theorem 8 depends on Theorem 6 and Lemma 7. Theorem 3 follows easily from Lemma 7 and a recent theorem of Han and Yang [15]. Lemma 7 is of central importance in this section, and we restate it for convenience.

Lemma 7. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C \geq 4t^{1/2}$ be a real number. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ be a family of (n, D, λ) -graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$. Let $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$ with $|S| = C \frac{n\lambda}{D}$. Then, there is a subset $W \subseteq S$ with $|W| \geq (1 - 8tC^{-2})|S|$ such that $\delta(G_r[W]) \geq 4^{-1}C\lambda$ for each $r \in [t]$.*

Proof. Let $X_0 := \emptyset$. For $i \geq 1$, inductively construct the sets X_i in the following way: If there is a vertex $v_i \in S \setminus X_{i-1}$ such that there exists $r \in [t]$ with $e_{G_r}(\{v_i\}, S \setminus X_{i-1}) < 4^{-1}C\lambda$, then $X_i := X_{i-1} \cup \{v_i\}$, otherwise, $X_i := X_{i-1}$. Let $j := 8tC^{-2}|S|$, and let $Y := X_j$. If $|Y| < j$, then there is nothing to prove since W can be taken as $S \setminus Y$. Thus, we can assume that $|Y| = j$. Note that for every vertex $v \in Y$, there exists $r \in [t]$ such that $e_{G_r}(\{v\}, S \setminus Y) < 4^{-1}C\lambda$ for each $r \in [t]$.

Let $r \in [t]$ be such that there is $Y_r \subseteq Y$ with $|Y_r| \geq |Y|/t = 8C^{-2}|S|$ and for every $v \in Y_r$, we have $e_{G_r}(\{v\}, S \setminus Y) < 4^{-1}C\lambda$. Thus, we have $e_{G_r}(Y_r, S \setminus Y) < 4^{-1}C\lambda|Y_r|$. Note that $|S \setminus Y| = (1 - 8tC^{-2})|S|$. By the expander mixing lemma and the assumption that $C \geq 4t^{1/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 4^{-1}C\lambda|Y_r| &> e_{G_r}(Y_r, S \setminus Y) \geq \frac{D}{n}|Y_r||S \setminus Y| - \lambda\sqrt{|Y_r||S \setminus Y|}, \text{ hence} \\ 4^{-1}C &> (1 - 8tC^{-2})C - (1 - 8tC^{-2})^{1/2}(8C^{-2})^{-1/2}, \text{ and so} \\ 4^{-1} &> (1 - 8tC^{-2})^{1/2} \left((1 - 8tC^{-2})^{1/2} - 8^{-1/2} \right) \geq 4^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. This finishes the proof of Lemma 7. \square

We next use Theorem 6 and Lemma 7 to prove Theorem 8.

Theorem 8. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ be a family of (n, D, λ) -graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\Delta \geq 2$ be an integer, and let $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$. Then, $\mathcal{G}[S]$ contains every $[t]$ -colored tree \mathcal{T} with at most $|S| - 10(t\Delta)^{1/2}\frac{n\lambda}{D}$ vertices and maximum r -degree $\Delta_r(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in [t]$.*

Proof. Denote $C = |S| \left(\frac{n\lambda}{D}\right)^{-1}$. Since the conclusion of the theorem is trivial if $C \leq 10(t\Delta)^{1/2}$, we assume that $C > 10(t\Delta)^{1/2}$. Thus, we can apply Lemma 7 to find a subset $W \subseteq S$ such that $|W| \geq (1 - 8tC^{-2})|S|$ and $\delta(G_r[W]) \geq 4^{-1}C\lambda$ for each $r \in [t]$. We will show that $\mathcal{G}[W]$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 6 with $k = |S| - 10(t\Delta)^{1/2}\frac{n\lambda}{D}$, and $m = t^{1/2}\Delta^{-1/2}\frac{n\lambda}{D}$.

For $X \subseteq W \times [t]$ and $r \in [t]$, denote $X_r = \{v \in W : (v, r) \in X\}$. To apply Theorem 6, it will be sufficient to show the following for every $r \in [t]$:

1. for $Z \subseteq W$ with $1 \leq |Z| \leq t^{-1}m$, we have $|\Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)| > t\Delta|Z|$, and
2. for $Z \subseteq W$ with $t^{-1}m < |Z| \leq 2t^{-1}m$, we have $|\Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)| > t\Delta|Z| + k$.

Indeed, for any $X \subseteq W \times [t]$, there is some $r \in [t]$ such that $|X_r| \geq t^{-1}|X|$. Thus, we can take a subset $Z \subseteq X_r$ such that $|Z| = t^{-1}|X|$. Since $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}[W]}(X)| \geq |\Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)|$, the above conditions immediately imply the first and second numbered hypotheses of Theorem 6, respectively.

We start with showing (1). Assume for contradiction that there is some $Z \subseteq W$ with $1 \leq |Z| \leq t^{-1}m$ such that $|\Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)| \leq t\Delta|Z|$ for some $r \in [t]$. With the previously established bound $\delta(G_r[W]) \geq 4^{-1}C\lambda$, the expander mixing lemma, and the assumption that $|Z| \leq t^{-1}m = t^{-1/2}\Delta^{-1/2}\frac{n\lambda}{D}$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} 4^{-1}C\lambda|Z| &\leq e(Z, \Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)) \leq \frac{D}{n}|Z||\Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)| + \lambda\sqrt{|Z||\Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)|} \\ &\leq \frac{D}{n}\Delta m|Z| + \lambda|Z|t^{1/2}\Delta^{1/2} \\ &= 2t^{1/2}\Delta^{1/2}\lambda|Z|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $4^{-1}C \leq 2t^{1/2}\Delta^{1/2}$, which contradicts the assumption that $C > 10t^{1/2}\Delta^{1/2}$.

We next show (2). Suppose $Z \subseteq W$ with $t^{-1}m < |Z| \leq 2t^{-1}m$ and $r \in [t]$. Let $Y = W \setminus \Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)$. Again using the expander mixing lemma,

$$0 = e_{G_r[W]}(Z, Y) \geq \frac{D}{n}|Z||Y| - \lambda\sqrt{|Z||Y|}.$$

Rearranging and using the assumption that $|Z| > t^{-1}m = (t\Delta)^{-1/2}\frac{n\lambda}{D}$,

$$|Y| \leq \left(\frac{n\lambda}{D}\right)^2 |Z|^{-1} < \frac{n\lambda}{D}(t\Delta)^{1/2}.$$

Using $|Z| \leq 2t^{-1}m = 2(t\Delta)^{-1/2} \frac{n\lambda}{D}$, we have

$$t\Delta|Z| \leq 2(t\Delta)^{1/2} \frac{n\lambda}{D}.$$

Hence, using the assumption that $C > 10(t\Delta)^{1/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)| = |W| - |Y| &> (1 - 8tC^{-2})|S| - (t\Delta)^{1/2} \frac{n\lambda}{D} \geq |S| - 2(t\Delta)^{1/2} \frac{n\lambda}{D} \\ &\geq t\Delta|Z| + |S| - 10(t\Delta)^{1/2} \frac{n\lambda}{D}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

We have now established that the hypotheses of Theorem 6 are satisfied for the claimed values of k and m , and the conclusion of Theorem 8 follows. \square

The remainder of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 3. We use the following recent result of Han and Yang. They showed that every sufficiently good expander contains copies of all possible spanning trees of bounded maximum degree.

Theorem 15 (Theorem 1.5, [15]). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large, let $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$, and let p, β be positive real numbers, with*

$$\beta \leq \frac{pn}{4\Delta^{5\sqrt{\log n}}}.$$

Let G be an n -vertex graph such that

B1 $|e(X, Y) - p|X||Y|| \leq \beta\sqrt{|X||Y|}$ for all $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, and

B2 $\delta(G) \geq 4\sqrt{p\beta n}$.

Then, G contains a copy of every tree with maximum degree Δ on at most n vertices.

Theorem 16. *Let G be an (n, D, λ) -graph with n sufficiently large, let $C \geq 256$ be a real number, and let $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| = C \frac{n\lambda}{D}$. Then, $G[S]$ contains a copy of every tree T with at most $(1 - 8C^{-2})|S|$ vertices and $\Delta(T) \leq (5^{-1}C)^{(5\sqrt{\log(|S|)})^{-1}}$.*

Proof. To ensure **B2**, we remove the low degree vertices of $G[S]$ using Lemma 7 as follows. By Lemma 7, there is a set $W \subseteq S$ with $|W| \geq (1 - 8C^{-2})|S|$ such that $\delta(G[W]) \geq 4^{-1}C\lambda$. It is also immediate from the expander mixing lemma that the induced subgraph $G[W]$ of the (n, D, λ) -graph G satisfies **B1** with $p = Dn^{-1}$ and $\beta = \lambda$. With the same parameters and $n = |W|$, **B2** holds because of the following inequality.

$$\delta(G[W]) \geq 4^{-1}C\lambda \geq 4C^{1/2}\lambda \geq 4\sqrt{Dn^{-1}\lambda|S|} \geq 4\sqrt{p\beta|W|}.$$

The condition on $\Delta(T)$ implies the condition on β in Theorem 15 with $\Delta = \Delta(T)$. Finally, the proof of Theorem 16 follows from applying Theorem 15 to $G[W]$ with parameters $p = Dn^{-1}$, $\beta = \lambda$, $n = |W|$, and $\Delta = \Delta(T)$. \square

Theorem 3. *For any $\Delta \geq 2$, there is a constant C_Δ such that the following holds. Every $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|S| \geq C_\Delta \sqrt{d \log q} q^{(d+1)/2}$ contains every single-distance tree \mathcal{T} with at most $|S| - 32q^{d+1}|S|^{-1}$ vertices and maximum degree $\Delta(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$.*

Proof. Theorem 3 follows immediately from Theorems 9 and 16. \square

5 Constructions

In this section, we describe two families of constructions in odd dimensions. Our constructions build on a construction of Iosevich, Koh, and Rakhmonov [20]. Consider the following immediate consequence of Theorem 9 and the expander mixing lemma:

Theorem 17. *Let $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$, and denote*

$$S_r(X, Y) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : \|x - y\| = r\}.$$

If $r \neq 0$, then

$$\left| |S_r(X, Y)| - (q^{-1} + O(q^{-(d+1)/2}))|X||Y| \right| \leq 2q^{(d-1)/2}|X|^{1/2}|Y|^{1/2}.$$

In particular, if $|S_r(X, X)| = 0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then $|X| < 2q^{(d+1)/2}$. This special case of Theorem 17 is shown by Iosevich and Rudnev [22]. The exponent $(d+1)/2$ is known to be best possible in odd dimensions; for example, see [17, Theorem 2.7].

We describe two families of constructions that show that both the upper and lower bounds of Theorem 17 are tight for many different sizes of X, Y . In particular, the first construction shows that the bound $|S| - |V(\mathcal{T})| = \Omega(q^{d+1}|S|^{-1})$ in Theorem 3 cannot be improved in odd dimensions, even for large S .

It is more convenient to work with a quadratic form that is equivalent to distance, rather than working with distance directly. Two quadratic forms Q_1, Q_2 over \mathbb{F}_q^d are equivalent if there is a nonsingular linear transformation M such that $Q_1(x) = Q_2(Mx)$. None of the results concerning distance sets in this paper depend on the specific quadratic form used, only on the equivalence class. A standard result on quadratic forms over finite fields of odd order (see *e.g.* [20, Section 2]) is that there are precisely two equivalence classes: either the determinant of the matrix associated to a quadratic form is square, or it is non-square. In particular, the determinant of the quadratic form $\|x\|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2$ is 1, a square.

We assume that d is odd, and work with the quadratic form

$$Q(x) = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-2}^2 - x_{d-1}^2 + \mu x_d^2, \quad (2)$$

where μ is chosen so that Q is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$. In more detail, the determinant of Q is μ if $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and is $-\mu$ if $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Since Q is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$, the determinant of Q is square. Denote by $\eta(x)$ the Legendre symbol of x , which takes value 1 at a non-zero square, -1 at a non-square, and $\eta(0) = 0$. Then, $\eta(\mu) = 1$ if $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\eta(-\mu) = 1$ if $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Since -1 is a square if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have that $\eta(\mu) = -1$ if $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and otherwise $\eta(\mu) = 1$.

Note that Theorem 17 implies that, if $|S_r(X, Y)| = 0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then $|X||Y| = O(q^{d+1})$. The next construction gives sets of various sizes that attain this upper bound.

Proposition 18. *Let $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 3$ odd and $1 \leq k < (d-1)/2$. For any $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, there are sets $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ such that $|X| = \Omega(q^{d-k})$ and $|Y| = q^{k+1} - q^k$, and $|S_r(P, Q)| = 0$.*

Proof. Let $R^\times = \{r - s : \eta(s) = \eta(\mu)\}$. Note that $|R^\times| = (q-1)/2$. Let

$$X = \{(a_1, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_k, x_1, \dots, x_{d-2k}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots - x_{d-2k-1}^2 \notin R^\times\}, \text{ and}$$

$$Y = \{(b_1, b_1, \dots, b_k, b_k, 0, \dots, 0, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : y \neq 0\}.$$

Since $|\mathbb{F}_q \setminus R^\times| = \Omega(q)$, $|X| = \Omega(q^k q^{d-2k}) = \Omega(q^{d-k})$. It is easy to see that $|Y| = q^{k+1} - q^k$. For $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, all of the terms in $Q(x - y)$ that depend on the first $2k$ coordinates cancel, and

$$Q(x - y) = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots - x_{d-2k-1}^2 + \mu(x_{d-2k} - y)^2.$$

Let $t_1 = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots - x_{d-2k-1}^2$ and $t_2 = \mu(x_{d-2k} - y)^2$. Note that $\eta(t_2) = \eta(\mu)$. Since $t_1 \notin R^\times$, we have that $\eta(r - t_1) \neq \eta(\mu)$, and so $r - t_1 - t_2 \neq 0$ and $Q(x - y) = t_1 + t_2 \neq r$. \square

Iosevich, Koh, and Rakmonov used a similar analysis in [20, Section 4]. In their construction, $X = \{(a_1, a_1, \dots, a_{(d-1)/2}, a_{(d-1)/2}, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d\}$. For any $x, y \in X$, we have $Q(x - y) = (x_d - y_d)^2$, so $\mu(Q(x - y)) = 1$. In particular, if $\mu(r) = -1$, then $S_r(X, X) = 0$.

Theorem 3 states that S contains every bounded-degree r -distance tree \mathcal{T} on at most $|S| - \Omega(q^{d+1}|S|^{-1})$ vertices. Proposition 18 shows that, for many sizes $|S|$, there are sets S and Y with $|Y| = \Omega(q^{d+1}|S|^{-1})$ such that there is no pair $(x, y) \in S \times Y$ with $\|x - y\| = r$. Consequently, error term in Theorem 3 is within a constant factor of being as small as possible.

Another consequence of Theorem 17 is that, if $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ such that $\|x - y\| = r$ for each pair $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, then $|X||Y| = O(q^{d-1})$. The next construction shows that there are sets X, Y of various sizes that are within a constant factor of attaining this bound.

Proposition 19. *Let $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 3$ odd and $1 \leq k \leq (d - 1)/2$. There are sets $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ and $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $|X| = \Omega(q^{d-k-1})$, $|Y| = q^k$, and $|S_r(X, Y)| = |X||Y|$.*

Proof. Let

$$X = \{(a_1, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_k, x_1, \dots, x_{d-2k}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots - x_{d-2k-1}^2 + \mu x_{d-2k}^2 = r\}, \text{ and}$$

$$Y = \{(b_1, b_1, \dots, b_k, b_k, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d\}.$$

Using the fact that the sphere of radius r in \mathbb{F}_q^{d-2k} contains $\Omega(q^{d-2k-1})$ points, we have $|X| = \Omega(q^k q^{d-2k-1}) = \Omega(q^{d-k-1})$, and it is easy to see that $|Y| = q^k$. For $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, all of the terms in $Q(x - y)$ that depend on the first $2k$ coordinates cancel, and

$$Q(x - y) = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + \mu x_{d-2k}^2 = r.$$

In the special case that $k = (d - 1)/2$, for $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ we have $\|x - y\| = \mu(x_1 - y_1)^2$; hence, in this special case, $\eta(r) = \eta(\mu)$. For $k < (d - 1)/2$, the described construction works for arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. \square

6 Concluding remarks

Conjecture 4 says that every sufficiently large set $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ contains every bounded-degree distance tree on $|S| - \Omega(q^{d+1}|S|^{-1})$ vertices. By contrast, Theorem 1 implies that every sufficiently large set $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ contains every bounded-degree distance tree on $|S| - \Omega(q^{(d+2)/2})$ vertices. In this section, we will discuss where in our proof of Theorem 1 the apparently sub-optimal error term comes from, as well as potential routes to improve it.

Theorem 1 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 8. The proof of Theorem 8 proceeds in two steps. First, we use Lemma 7 to remove from $\mathcal{G}[S]$ all vertices that have low degree in any color. Then, we apply our colorful generalization of Haxell's theorem to find a nearly spanning bounded-degree tree in what remains.

When we apply Lemma 7, we remove $O\left(t\left(\frac{n\lambda}{D}\right)^2 |S|^{-1}\right)$ vertices of S , leaving a set W such that $\mathcal{G}[W]$ has large minimum degree in every color. The factor of t in this expression means that we cannot use Lemma 7 in a proof of Conjecture 4. Unfortunately, Lemma 7 is tight. For example, let G_1 be an (n, D, λ) -graph on vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$, and let $Y_1 \subseteq S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$ so that $|\Gamma_{G_1[S]}(Y_1)| = 0$ and $|Y_1| = \Omega\left(\left(\frac{n\lambda}{D}\right)^2 |S|^{-1}\right)$. Let ϕ_1 be the identity map on $V(\mathcal{G})$, and let $\phi_2, \dots, \phi_t : V(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow V(\mathcal{G})$ be permutations so that $\phi_i(S) = S$ for all $i \in [t]$ and $\phi_i(Y_1) \cap \phi_j(Y_1) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq t$. For $i \in [t] \setminus \{1\}$, let G_i be the graph on $V(\mathcal{G})$ so that $xy \in E(G_i)$ if and only if $\phi_i^{-1}(x)\phi_i^{-1}(y) \in E(G_1)$. Note that for each $i \in [t] \setminus \{1\}$, the graph G_i is isomorphic to G_1 , and thus also an (n, D, λ) -graph. Then $\mathcal{G} = (G_1, \dots, G_t)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 7, and $|Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_t| = t|Y_1| = \Omega\left(t\left(\frac{n\lambda}{D}\right)^2 |S|^{-1}\right)$.

After removing low degree vertices with Lemma 7, we use Theorem 6 to find an edge-colored tree in the remaining edge-colored graph $\mathcal{G}[W]$. This step introduces the dominant $\Omega(t^{1/2} \frac{n\lambda}{D})$ error term in Theorem 8. In order to apply Theorem 6, we need $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}[W]}(X)| > \Delta|X|$ for every not-too-large set $X \subseteq W \times [t]$. The way that we ensure this in the proof of Theorem 8 is by obtaining $|\Gamma_{G_r[W]}(Z)| > t\Delta|X|$ for every small $Z \subseteq W$ and $r \in [t]$. By pigeonholing, there must be some $r \in [t]$ that accounts for at least a t^{-1} fraction of X . It is this pigeonholing argument that introduces the dependence of the error term on t .

We mention two natural directions for future research that might lead to improving Theorem 1. The first is to prove a stronger version of Theorem 8 that avoids the use of Lemma 7 and Theorem 6. The second is to use the fact that the various distance graphs on \mathbb{F}_q^d are closely related to each other to prove Conjecture 4 without relying on Theorem 8.

As mentioned above, neither Lemma 7 nor our application of Theorem 6 are adequate to prove Conjecture 4. However, we conjecture the following improvement of Theorem 8, which would imply Conjecture 4.

Conjecture 20 (Stronger version of Theorem 8). *For any $\Delta \geq 2$, there is a constant C_Δ such that the following holds. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ be a family of (n, D, λ) -graphs on the same vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$. If $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$ with $|S| \geq C_\Delta^{o(\log(n))} \frac{n\lambda}{D}$, then S contains every $[t]$ -colored tree \mathcal{T} with at most $|S| - 100 \left(\frac{n\lambda}{D}\right)^2 |S|^{-1}$ vertices and maximum r -degree $\Delta_r(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta$ for each $r \in [t]$.*

The second idea is to use the structure of distances in \mathbb{F}_q^d to prove Conjecture 4 instead of relying on Theorem 8. The example given above showing that Lemma 7 cannot be improved is very different than distance graphs over \mathbb{F}_q^d , which are related to each other by dilations. We conjecture that it is possible to improve Lemma 7 in the special case when G_1, \dots, G_t are the single-distance graphs over \mathbb{F}_q^d .

Conjecture 21. *Let $C > 50$, and let $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|S| = Cq^{(d+1)/2}$. Then, there is a subset $W \subseteq S$ with $|W| \geq (1 - 100C^{-2})|S|$ such that, for each $x \in W$ and $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, there are $\#\{y \in W : \|x - y\| = r\} \geq 10^{-1}q^{-1}|S|$ points of W at distance r from x .*

Proving Conjecture 21 would not immediately resolve Conjecture 4, but would provide some additional understanding of what separates finite field distance graphs from arbitrary families of expanders.

A related idea for using the specific structure of distance graphs in \mathbb{F}_q^d is to use point-sphere incidence bounds, as in the proof of Theorem 13. Theorem 12 is tight in general, but has been improved in some special cases [23, 24]. For example, Koh, Lee, and Pham proved the following:

Theorem 22 (Theorem 1.6, [23]). *Let $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ is a set of points and Y is a set of spheres with $|Y| \leq q^{d/2}$, then*

$$|I(X, Y) - q^{-1}|X||Y|| = O\left(q^{(d-1)/2}|X|^{1/2}|Y|^{1/2}\right).$$

Unfortunately, the constraint on $|Y|$ in Theorem 22 is too stringent for our application. However, Koh, Lee, and Pham suggested that it may be possible to relax the condition to $|Y| \leq q^{(d+2)/2}$. By the proof of Theorem 13, such an improvement (with suitable constants) would immediately imply that, for $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, every set $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ of at least $|S| = 100q^{(d+1)/2}$ points contains every distance path on at least $2^{-1}|S|$ vertices.

References

- [1] Noga Alon and Fan RK Chung. Explicit construction of linear sized tolerant networks. *Discrete Mathematics*, 72(1-3):15–19, 1988.
- [2] Michael Bennett, Jeremy Chapman, David Covert, Derrick Hart, Alex Iosevich, and Jonathan Pakianathan. Long paths in the distance graph over large subsets of vector spaces over finite fields. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 53(1):115–126, 2016.
- [3] Jeremy Chapman, M Burak Erdoğan, Derrick Hart, Alex Iosevich, and Doowon Koh. Pinned distance sets, k-simplices, wolff’s exponent in finite fields and sum-product estimates. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 271(1-2):63–93, 2012.
- [4] Javier Cilleruelo, Alex Iosevich, Ben Lund, Oliver Roche-Newton, and Misha Rudnev. Elementary methods for incidence problems in finite fields. *Acta Arithmetica*, 177:133–142, 2017.
- [5] Nemanja Draganić, Michael Krivelevich, and Rajko Nenadov. Rolling backwards can move you forward: on embedding problems in sparse expanders. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 375(7):5195–5216, 2022.
- [6] Xiumin Du, Yumeng Ou, Kevin Ren, and Ruixiang Zhang. Weighted refined decoupling estimates and application to falconer distance set problem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.04501*, 2023.
- [7] Xiumin Du and Ruixiang Zhang. Sharp l2 estimates of the schrödinger maximal function in higher dimensions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 189(3):837–861, 2019.
- [8] Paul Erdos. On sets of distances of n points. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 53(5):248–250, 1946.
- [9] Kenneth J Falconer. On the hausdorff dimensions of distance sets. *Mathematika*, 32(2):206–212, 1985.
- [10] Joel Friedman and Nicholas Pippenger. Expanding graphs contain all small trees. *Combinatorica*, 7:71–76, 1987.
- [11] Julia Garibaldi, Alex Iosevich, and Steven Senger. *The Erdos distance problem*, volume 56. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.
- [12] Larry Guth, Alex Iosevich, Yumeng Ou, and Hong Wang. On Falconer’s distance set problem in the plane. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 219(3):779–830, 2020.
- [13] Larry Guth and Nets Hawk Katz. On the Erdős distinct distances problem in the plane. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 155–190, 2015.
- [14] Wilhelmus Hubertus Haemers. Eigenvalue techniques in design and graph theory. 1980.
- [15] Jie Han and Donglei Yang. Spanning trees in sparse expanders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.04758*, 2022.
- [16] Brandon Hanson, Ben Lund, and Oliver Roche-Newton. On distinct perpendicular bisectors and pinned distances in finite fields. *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 37:240–264, 2016.

- [17] Derrick Hart, Alex Iosevich, Doowon Koh, and Misha Rudnev. Averages over hyperplanes, sum-product theory in vector spaces over finite fields and the Erdős-Falconer distance conjecture. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 363(6):3255–3275, 2011.
- [18] Penny E Haxell. Tree embeddings. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 36(3):121–130, 2001.
- [19] Alex Iosevich, Gail Jardine, and Brian McDonald. Cycles of arbitrary length in distance graphs on \mathbb{F}_q^d . *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 314(1):27–43, 2021.
- [20] Alex Iosevich, Doowon Koh, and Firdavs Rakhmonov. The quotient set of the quadratic distance set over finite fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.12021*, 2023.
- [21] Alex Iosevich and Hans Parshall. Embedding distance graphs in finite field vector spaces. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 56(6):1515–1528, 2019.
- [22] Alex Iosevich and Misha Rudnev. Erdős distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 359(12):6127–6142, 2007.
- [23] Doowon Koh, Sujin Lee, and Thang Pham. On the finite field cone restriction conjecture in four dimensions and applications in incidence geometry. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2022(21):17079–17111, 2022.
- [24] Doowon Koh and Thang Pham. A point-sphere incidence bound in odd dimensions and applications. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 360(G6):687–698, 2022.
- [25] Doowon Koh and Hae-Sang Sun. Distance sets of two subsets of vector spaces over finite fields. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 143(4):1679–1692, 2015.
- [26] Michael Krivelevich. *Expanders – how to find them, and what to find in them*, page 115–142. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [27] Ben Lund and Giorgis Petridis. Bisectors and pinned distances. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 64:995–1012, 2020.
- [28] Neil Lyall and Ákos Magyar. Weak hypergraph regularity and applications to geometric Ramsey theory. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Series B*, 9(5):160–207, 2022.
- [29] Archie Medrano, Perla Myers, Harold M Stark, and Audrey Terras. Finite analogues of Euclidean space. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 68(1-2):221–238, 1996.
- [30] Brendan Murphy and Giorgis Petridis. An example related to the erdos-falconer question over arbitrary finite fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.05634*, 2019.
- [31] Brendan Murphy, Giorgis Petridis, Thang Pham, Misha Rudnev, and Sophie Stevens. On the pinned distances problem in positive characteristic. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 105(1):469–499, 2022.
- [32] Hans Parshall. Simplices over finite fields. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 145(6):2323–2334, 2017.
- [33] Thang Pham, Steven Senger, Michael Tait, and Vu Thi Huong Thu. Geometric structures in pseudo-random graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04399*, 2022.

- [34] Nguyen D Phuong, Pham Thang, and Le A Vinh. Incidences between points and generalized spheres over finite fields and related problems. In *Forum Mathematicum*, volume 29, pages 449–456. De Gruyter, 2017.
- [35] David M Soukup. Embeddings of weighted graphs in Erdős-type settings. *Moscow Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory*, 8(2):117–123, 2019.
- [36] Joshua Zahl. Breaking the $3/2$ barrier for unit distances in three dimensions. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2019(20):6235–6284, 2019.