Cyclic relative difference families with block size four and their applications

Chenya Zhao^a, Binwei Zhao^a, Yanxun Chang ^{∗a}, Tao Feng ^{†a}, Xiaomiao Wang ‡^b and Menglong Zhang^a

^aSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, P.R. China

^b School of Mathematics and Statistics, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, P.R. China cyazhao@bjtu.edu.cn; bwzhao@bjtu.edu.cn; yxchang@bjtu.edu.cn, tfeng@bjtu.edu.cn,

wangxiaomiao@nbu.edu.cn, mlzhang@bjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

Given a subgroup H of a group $(G, +)$, a $(G, H, k, 1)$ difference family (DF) is a set F of k-subsets of G such that $\{f - f' : f, f' \in F, f \neq f', F \in \mathcal{F}\} = G \setminus H$. Let $g\mathbb{Z}_{gh}$ is the subgroup of order h in \mathbb{Z}_{gh} generated by g. A $(\mathbb{Z}_{gh}, g\mathbb{Z}_{gh}, k, 1)$ -DF is called cyclic and written as a $(gh, h, k, 1)$ -CDF. This paper shows that for $h \in \{2, 3, 6\}$, there exists a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF if and only if $gh \equiv h \pmod{12}$, $g \geq 4$ and $(g, h) \notin \{(9, 3), (5, 6)\}$. As a corollary, it is shown that a 1-rotational $S(2, 4, v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$ and $v \neq 28$. This solves the long-standing open problem on the existence of a 1-rotational $S(2, 4, v)$. As another corollary, we establish the existence of an optimal $(v, 4, 1)$ -optical orthogonal code with $|(v-1)/12|$ codewords for any positive integer $v \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ (mod 12) and $v \neq 25$. We also give applications of our results to cyclic group divisible designs with block size four and optimal cyclic 3-ary constant-weight codes with weight four and minimum distance six.

Keywords: difference family; cyclic group divisible design; 1-rotational Steiner system; cyclic constant-weight code; optical orthogonal code

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, every union of sets will be understood as multiset union with multiplicities of elements preserved. Denote by \mathbb{Z}_v the cyclic group of order v.

Let H be a subgroup of a finite group $(G, +)$ and let k be an integer satisfying $2 \le k \le |G|$. A $(G, H, k, 1)$ -relative difference family (briefly DF) is a set F of k-subsets of G (called base blocks) such that the list

$$
\Delta \mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \Delta F := \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \{f - f' : f, f' \in F, f \neq f'\}
$$

[∗]Supported by NSFC under Grant 11971053

[†]Supported by NSFC under Grant 12271023

[‡]Supported by NSFC under Grant 11771227

covers each element of $G \setminus H$ exactly once and each element of H zero time. There are $(|G| - |H|)/(k(k-1))$ base blocks in a $(G, H, k, 1)$ -DF and hence, a necessary condition for the existence of such a family is $|G| \equiv |H| \pmod{k(k-1)}$. Another obvious necessary condition is $|G| \geq k|H|$; otherwise, given any base block $F \in \mathcal{F}$, there exist two elements of F that lie in a same right coset of H in G , a contradiction.

Lemma 1.1. If there exists a $(G, H, k, 1)$ -DF, then $|G| \equiv |H| \pmod{k(k-1)}$ and $|G| \ge k|H|$.

When H consists only of the identity 1_G of G, a $(G, 1_G, k, 1)$ -DF is often written as a $(G, k, 1)$ -DF. When G is a cyclic group, a $(G, H, k, 1)$ -DF is said to be *cyclic* and written as a $(|G|, |H|, k, 1)$ -CDF.

Difference families arose from the study of designs with a given group of automorphisms. We here only briefly recall what has been done for cyclic difference families with base block size three or four. The reader is referred to [\[2,](#page-10-0) [3\]](#page-10-1) for more topics on difference families.

As far back as 1939, Peltesohn [\[32\]](#page-12-0) showed that for $h \in \{1,3\}$, a $(gh, h, 3, 1)$ -CDF exists if and only if $gh \equiv h \pmod{6}$ and $(q, h) \neq (3, 3)$. This gives a solution to the Heffter's difference problems [\[25,](#page-11-0) [26\]](#page-12-1) that yield the existence of cyclic Steiner triple systems (see Chapter VII.4 in [\[3\]](#page-10-1)). For general h, Wang and Chang [\[36,](#page-12-2) Theorem 3.11] in 2009 proved that a $(gh, h, 3, 1)$ -CDF exists if and only if (1) $gh \equiv h \pmod{6}$ and $g \ge 4$; (2) $g \not\equiv 2,3 \pmod{4}$ when $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. This implies the existence of cyclic group divisible designs with block size three without short orbits (see [\[36,](#page-12-2) Theorem 5.4]).

When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ is a prime, Bose [\[5\]](#page-10-2) provided a sufficient condition for the existence of a $(p, 4, 1)$ -CDF admitting a multiplier of order 3, and the necessary and sufficient condition for this special kind of CDFs was established by Buratti in [\[7\]](#page-10-3). Improving Buratti's work in [\[8\]](#page-11-1), Chen and Zhu [\[17\]](#page-11-2) showed that a $(p, 4, 1)$ -CDF exists for any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Even though a $(v, 4, 1)$ -CDF of composite order $v = v_1v_2$ can be obtained by means of recursive constructions in the hypothesis that a $(v_i, 4, 1)$ -CDF exists (cf. [\[9\]](#page-11-3)) or by direct constructions with nice algebraic properties (cf. [\[12\]](#page-11-4)), no infinite family of $(v, 4, 1)$ -CDFs such that v can run over a congruent class was known until quite recently. Zhang, Feng and Wang [\[38\]](#page-12-3) recently developed an efficient direct construction method to establish the existence of $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDFs for $h \in \{1, 4\}$, which solves the long-standing open problem on the existence of cyclic Steiner systems with block size four.

Theorem 1.1. [\[38\]](#page-12-3) For $h \in \{1,4\}$, there exists a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF if and only if $gh \equiv h$ $\pmod{12}$ and $(g, h) \notin \{(25, 1), (4, 4), (7, 4)\}.$

This paper is a continuation of the study of [\[38\]](#page-12-3). As the main result of this paper, we are to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. For $h \in \{2, 3, 6\}$, there exists a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF if and only if $gh \equiv h$ $\pmod{12}$, $g \geqslant 4$ and $(g, h) \notin \{(9, 3), (5, 6)\}.$

Section [2.1](#page-2-0) gives a proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) In Section [2.2,](#page-6-0) using a recursive construction, we construct more new infinite families of cyclic difference families (see Theorem [2.1\)](#page-6-1). Section [3](#page-7-0) is devoted to providing applications of cyclic difference families to cyclic group divisible designs (see Theorem [3.1\)](#page-7-1), 1-rotational Steiner systems (see Theorem [3.2\)](#page-8-0), optimal cyclic constant-weight codes (see Theorem [3.3\)](#page-9-0) and optimal optical orthogonal codes (see Theorem [3.4\)](#page-9-1). Concluding remarks are given in Section [4.](#page-10-4)

Table 1: Coefficients in the first $6t - 18$ base blocks in Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1)

2 Existence of $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -cyclic difference families

2.1 The cases $h = 2, 3$ and 6

For positive integers a, b and c such that $a \leq b$ and $a \equiv b \pmod{c}$, we set $[a, b]_c := \{a + ci : b\}$ $0 \leq i \leq (b-a)/c$. When $c = 1$, $[a, b]_1$ is simply written as $[a, b]$.

Lemma 2.1. For $h \in \{2, 3, 6\}$, there exists a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any $gh \equiv h \pmod{12}$ and $gh \geqslant 218$.

Proof. Let $gh = 72t + 12x + h$, where $t \ge 3$ and $0 \le x \le 5$. Then a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF contains $6t + x$ base blocks. We give a direct construction for such a CDF here. All base blocks are divided into two parts. The first part consists of $6t - 18$ base blocks:

$$
F_{1,i} = \{0, 43t + a_1 + i, 31t + a_2 + 2i, 8t + a_3 + 3i\},
$$

\n
$$
F_{2,i} = \{0, 23t + b_1 + i, 5t + b_2 + 2i, 8t + b_3 + 3i\},
$$

\n
$$
F_{3,i} = \{0, 41t + c_1 + i, 25t + c_2 + 2i, 8t + c_3 + 3i\},
$$

\n
$$
F_{4,i} = \{0, 35t + d_1 + i, 5t + d_2 + 2i, d_3 + 3i\},
$$

\n
$$
F_{5,i} = \{0, 47t + e_1 + i, 19t + e_2 + 2i, e_3 + 3i\},
$$

\n
$$
F_{6,i} = \{0, 21t + f_1 + i, 13t + f_2 + 2i, f_3 + 3i\},
$$

where $1 \leq i \leq t-2$ and $i \neq \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$, and $a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j, e_j, f_j$ are shown in Table [1.](#page-2-2) The remaining 18 + x base blocks are listed in [A](#page-12-4)ppendix A according to the parity of t. Write $\mathcal{F}_r = \{F_{r,i} :$ $1 \leq i \leq t-2, i \neq \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ for $1 \leq r \leq 6$, and denote by \mathcal{F}' the set consisting of the remaining 18 + x base blocks. It remains to check that $\mathcal{F} = (\bigcup_{r=1}^{6} \mathcal{F}_r) \cup \mathcal{F}'$ forms a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF.

We take the case $h = 2$, $x = 0$ and $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for example to show that F forms a (2g, 2, 4, 1)-CDF. For convenience, for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, if $x, y \in F$ and $x > y$, we call $x - y$ (mod gh) a positive difference from F, and $y - x$ (mod gh) a negative difference from F. The collection of all positive differences (resp. negative differences) in ΔF is denoted by Δ^+F (resp.

$\Delta^+ F_{1,i}$	$\Delta^+ \mathcal{F}_1$	$\Delta^{-} \mathcal{F}_1$	$\Delta^+ F_{2,i}$	$\Delta^+ \mathcal{F}_2$
$43t + 1 + i$	$[43t+2, 44t-1] \setminus \{\frac{87t+1}{2}\}$	$[28t+3, 29t] \setminus {\frac{57t+3}{2}}$	$23t + 1 + i$	$[23t+2, 24t-1]\$ $\{\frac{47t+1}{2}\}$
$31t + 1 + 2i$	$[31t + 3, 33t - 3]_2 \setminus \{32t\}$		$5t+2i$	$[5t+2, 7t-4]_2\backslash\{6t-1\}$
$8t + 2 + 3i$	$[8t+5, 11t-4]_3\setminus\{\frac{19t+1}{2}\}\$		$8t + 1 + 3i$	$[8t+4, 11t-5]_3\setminus\{\frac{19t-1}{2}\}$
$12t - i$	$[11t+2, 12t-1]\$ $\{\frac{23t+1}{2}\}$		$18t + 1 - i$	$[17t+3, 18t] \setminus \{\frac{35t+3}{2}\}$
$35t - 1 - 2i$	$[33t + 3, 35t - 3]_2 \setminus \{34t\}$		$15t - 2i$	$[13t+4, 15t-2]_2\setminus\{14t+1\}$
$23t - 1 - i$	$[22t+1, 23t-2]\$ $\{\frac{45t-1}{2}\}$		$3t + 1 + i$	$[3t+2, 4t-1] \setminus \{\frac{7t+1}{2}\}\$
$\Delta F_{3,i}^+$	$\Delta\mathcal{F}^+_3$	$\Delta \mathcal{F}^-_3$	$\Delta F_{4,i}^+$	$\Delta \mathcal{F}_4^+$
$41t + i$	$[41t+1, 42t-2]\$ $\{\frac{83t-1}{2}\}$	$[30t+4, 31t+1] \$ $\{\frac{61t+5}{2}\}$	$35t + 1 + i$	$[35t+2, 36t-1]\setminus \{\frac{71t+1}{2}\}$
$25t+1+2i$	$[25t + 3, 27t - 3]_2 \setminus \{26t\}$		$5t + 1 + 2i$	$[5t+3, 7t-3]_2\backslash\{6t\}$
$8t+3i$	$[8t+3, 11t-6]_3\setminus\{\frac{19t-3}{2}\}$		$1+3i$	$[4,3t-5]_3\setminus\{\frac{3t-1}{2}\}\$
$16t - 1 - i$	$[15t+1, 16t-2]\$ $\{\frac{31t-1}{2}\}$		$30t - i$	$[29t+2, 30t-1]\$ $\{\frac{59t+1}{2}\}$
$33t-2i$	$[31t+4, 33t-2]_2\backslash\{32t+1\}$		$35t-2i$	$[33t + 4, 35t - 2]_2 \setminus \{34t + 1\}$
$17t + 1 - i$	$[16t + 3, 17t] \$ $\frac{33t+3}{2}$		$5t - i$	$[4t+2, 5t-1] \$ $\frac{9t+1}{2}$
$\Delta F_{5,i}^+$	$\Delta\mathcal{F}_5^+$	$\Delta \mathcal{F}^-_5$	$\Delta F_{6,i}^+$	$\Delta\mathcal{F}^+_{6}$
$47t + 2 + i$	$[47t+3,48t]\{\frac{95t+3}{2}\}$	$[24t+2, 25t-1] \$	$21t + 1 + i$	$[21t+2, 22t-1]\$ $\{\frac{43t+1}{2}\}$
$19t + 2 + 2i$	$[19t+4, 21t-2]_2\backslash\{20t+1\}$		$13t+1+2i$	$[13t + 3, 15t - 3]_2 \setminus \{14t\}$
$2+3i$	$[5, 3t-4]_3\setminus\{\frac{3t+1}{2}\}\$		3i	$[3,3t-6]_3\setminus\{\frac{3t-3}{2}\}\$
$28t - i$	$[27t+2, 28t-1] \$ $\frac{55t+1}{2}$		$8t - i$	$[7t+2, 8t-1] \setminus {\frac{15t+1}{2}}$
$47t - 2i$		$[45t + 4, 47t - 2]_2 \setminus \{46t + 1\}$ $[25t + 4, 27t - 2]_2 \setminus \{26t + 1\}$		$21t+1-2i$ $[19t+5, 21t-1]_2\backslash\{20t+2\}$
$19t - i$	$[18t+2, 19t-1]\$ $\{\frac{37t+1}{2}\}$			$13t+1-i$ $[12t+3, 13t] \setminus \{\frac{25t+3}{2}\}\$

Table 2: Differences from the first $6t - 18$ base blocks in Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) when $h = 2$, $x = 0$ and t is odd

 $(\Delta^- F)$. Write $\Delta^+ \mathcal{F}_r = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_r} \Delta^+ F$ and $\Delta^- \mathcal{F}_r = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_r} \Delta^- F$ for $1 \leqslant r \leqslant 6$. We list all positive differences from \mathcal{F}_r with $1 \leq r \leq 6$ in Table [2,](#page-3-0) and if a positive difference is greater than $36t+1$, then we list its corresponding negative difference. We also list all differences less than $36t + 1$ from the remaining 18 base blocks, written as B_l with $1 \leq l \leq 18$, in Table [3.](#page-3-1) It is readily checked that $\Delta \mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}_{72t+2} \setminus \{0, 36t+1\}$, and hence \mathcal{F} is a $(2g, 2, 4, 1)$ -CDF.

One can examine all the other cases similarly. To facilitate the reader to check the correctness of our results, we provide a computer code written by GAP [\[35\]](#page-12-5). The reader can get a copy of the computer code from [\[40\]](#page-12-6).

We remark that the structure of the first $6t-18$ base blocks in this proof is exactly the same

\dot{J}	differences less than $36t + 1$ from B_{2i-1}	differences less than $36t + 1$ from B_{2i}
	$\{1, 11t-3, 11t-2, 30t+2, 30t+3, 31t+2\}$	$\{2, 3t-3, 3t-1, 8t+2, 11t-1, 11t+1\}$
$\overline{2}$	$\{\frac{3t-3}{2}, 23t-1, 28t+2, \frac{43t+1}{2}, \frac{59t+1}{2}, 21t+1\}$	$\{3t, 33t + 1, 12t, 30t + 1, 15t, 27t + 1\}$
3	${3t+1, 7t+1, 19t+3, 4t, 16t+2, 12t+2}$	$\{\frac{9t+1}{2}, 8t+1, \frac{3t-1}{2}, \frac{7t+1}{2}, 6t, \frac{19t+1}{2}\}\$
$\overline{4}$	$\{5t, 21t, 28t, 16t, 7t, 23t\}$	$\{5t+1, \frac{55t+1}{2}, \frac{15t+1}{2}, \frac{45t-1}{2}, \frac{25t+3}{2}, 35t+1\}$
$\overline{5}$	$\{6t-1, 19t+1, 35t, 13t+2, 16t-1, 29t+1\}$	${7t-2, 35t-1, 20t+2, 28t+1, 17t+1, 27t}$
6	$\{8t, 33t, 14t, 22t, 25t, 25t + 2\}$	$\{\frac{19t-1}{2}, \frac{61t+5}{2}, 19t+2, \frac{57t+3}{2}, 32t, \frac{23t+1}{2}\}\$
7	$\{11t, 34t + 1, 14t + 1, 23t + 1, 24t, 25t + 1\}$	${12t+1, 15t-1, 33t+2, 3t-2, 27t-1, 24t+1}$
8	$\{\frac{31t-1}{2}, \frac{71t+1}{2}, \frac{37t+1}{2}, 20t+1, 34t, 18t+1\}$	$\{16t+1,\frac{35t+3}{2},30t,\frac{3t+1}{2},\frac{49t+1}{2},26t+1\}$
9	$\{\frac{33t+3}{2}, 26t, 7t-1, \frac{47t+1}{2}, \frac{19t-3}{2}, 33t-1\}$	$\{19t, 32t + 1, 36t, 13t + 1, 4t + 1, 17t + 2\}$

Table 3: Differences less than $36t + 1$ from the remaining 18 base blocks in Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) when $h = 2, x = 0$ and t is odd

as the structure of the first $6t-18$ base blocks in the proof for the existence of $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDFs with $h \in \{1, 4\}$ in [\[38,](#page-12-3) Lemmas 4, 5 and Theorem 5]. We just need to choose appropriate parameters $a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j, e_j, f_j$ by hand and then find the remaining $18+x$ base blocks by computer search such that $\mathcal F$ forms our required difference families. It often took us around a half day to choose an appropriate set of $a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j, e_j, f_j$ for each given h and x, and then the time used to search for the remaining $18 + x$ base blocks by an ordinary personal computer was ranged from one day to three days. \Box

The following lemma will be used to show the existence of $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDFs with $h \in \{2, 3\}$ and small g's later. We outline its proof for completeness.

- **Lemma 2.2.** (1) [\[6,](#page-10-5) Lemma 6.10] There exists a $(2p, 2, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any prime $p \equiv 1$ (mod 6).
	- (2) [\[31\]](#page-12-7) There exists a $(3p, 3, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. Let ω be a primitive root of unity in the finite field \mathbb{F}_p . Let $\varepsilon = \omega^{(p-1)/6}$ if $p \equiv 1$ (mod 6), and $\varepsilon = \omega^{(p-1)/4}$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$
\{(0,0), (1,\omega^i), (1,\varepsilon^2 \cdot \omega^i), (1,\varepsilon^4 \cdot \omega^i)\}, \quad 0 \leq i < (p-1)/6,
$$

forms a $(2p, 2, 4, 1)$ -CDF over $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2p}$ for any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, and

$$
\{(0,\omega^i), (0,\varepsilon^2 \cdot \omega^i), (1,\varepsilon \cdot \omega^i), (1,\varepsilon^3 \cdot \omega^i)\}, \quad 0 \leq i < (p-1)/4,
$$

forms a $(3p, 3, 4, 1)$ -CDF over $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3p}$ for any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Applying a standard recursive construction (see Construction [2.1](#page-6-2) below) together with the use of the above lemma, Yin [\[37\]](#page-12-8) established the following results implicitly.

- **Lemma 2.3.** (1) [\[37,](#page-12-8) Theorem 4.9] There exists a $(2g, 2, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any positive integer g such that each prime factor of g is 1 modulo 6.
	- (2) [\[37,](#page-12-8) Theorem 4.10] There exists a $(3q, 3, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any positive integer q such that each prime factor of g is 1 modulo 4.

Lemma 2.4. There exists a $(2g, 2, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any integer $g \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $7 \le g \le 103$.

Proof. For $g \in \{25, 55, 85\}$, we list all the base blocks below.

$g = 25:$	$\{0, 1, 3, 12\},$	$\{0, 4, 18, 26\},$	$\{0, 6, 23, 43\},$	$\{0, 10, 15, 31\}.$	
$g = 55:$	$\{0, 1, 4, 50\},$	$\{0, 2, 7, 36\},$	$\{0, 6, 15, 100\},$	$\{0, 8, 31, 99\},$	$\{0, 13, 37, 90\},$
$\{0, 14, 54, 72\},$	$\{0, 17, 47, 82\},$	$\{0, 26, 48, 69\},$	$\{0, 27, 59, 71\}.$		
$g = 85:$	$\{0, 1, 4, 62\},$	$\{0, 2, 7, 22\},$	$\{0, 6, 14, 142\},$	$\{0, 9, 21, 72\},$	$\{0, 10, 23, 55\},$
$\{0, 11, 29, 93\},$	$\{0, 16, 52, 91\},$	$\{0, 17, 73, 116\},$	$\{0, 19, 84, 122\},$	$\{0, 24, 59, 92\},$	
$\{0, 27, 74, 144\},$	$\{0, 31, 80, 120\},$	$\{0, 44, 69, 110\},$	$\{0, 4$		

For all the other cases of g, apply Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-0)

Lemma 2.5. There exists a $(3g, 3, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any integer $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $5 \le g \le 69$ and $g \neq 9$.

Proof. For $g \in \{21, 33, 45, 49, 57, 69\}$, we list all the base blocks below.

5

 \Box

 \Box

For all the other cases of g, apply Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-0)

The proof of Lemma 2.6 in [\[16\]](#page-11-5) implies that if there exists a skew starter in \mathbb{Z}_q , then there exists a $(6g, 6, 4, 1)$ -CDF. Since a skew starter in \mathbb{Z}_g exists for any g such that $gcd(g, 150) = 1$ or 25 by [\[16,](#page-11-5) Theorem 1.2], and a skew starter in \mathbb{Z}_{35} exists by [16, Lemma 2.4], we have the following result, (1) of which was first stated explicitly in [\[24,](#page-11-6) Lemma 2.6].

Lemma 2.6. [\[16\]](#page-11-5)

- (1) There exists a $(6g, 6, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any positive integer g such that $gcd(g, 150) = 1$ or 25.
- (2) There exists a $(210, 6, 4, 1)$ -CDF.

We remark that Buratti [\[10,](#page-11-7) Theorem 4.1] provided a nice explicit construction for the existence of a $(6p, 6, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any odd prime $p \neq 5$ according to whether p is or is not a Fermat prime.

Lemma 2.7. There exists a $(6g, 6, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any odd integer $7 \le g \le 35$.

Proof. For $g \in \{9, 15, 27\}$, a $(6g, 6, 4, 1)$ -CDF exists by [\[24,](#page-11-6) Lemma 4.2]. For $g \in \{21, 33\}$, we list all the base blocks below.

 $g = 21: \{0, 19, 62, 79\}, \{0, 2, 8, 78\}, \{0, 3, 12, 35\}, \{0, 13, 31, 65\}, \{0, 15, 22, 115\},\$ $\{0, 16, 55, 106\}, \{0, 1, 5, 46\}, \{0, 24, 38, 96\}, \{0, 25, 53, 82\}, \{0, 27, 37, 86\}.$ $g = 33: \{0, 49, 88, 125\}, \{0, 2, 9, 74\}, \{0, 25, 83, 106\}, \{0, 17, 35, 69\}, \{0, 38, 94, 138\},\$ $\{0, 14, 29, 170\}, \{0, 4, 12, 82\}, \{0, 21, 45, 130\}, \{0, 3, 13, 179\}, \{0, 30, 105, 148\},\$ $\{0, 31, 86, 127\}, \{0, 1, 6, 54\}, \{0, 40, 91, 137\}, \{0, 11, 27, 90\}, \{0, 47, 114, 134\},\$ $\{0, 59, 95, 121\}.$

For all the other cases of g, apply Lemma [2.6.](#page-5-0)

Now we are in a position to give a proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0)

Proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) By Lemma [1.1,](#page-1-1) a $(qh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF exists only if $qh \equiv h \pmod{12}$ and $g \ge 4$. It is known that there is no $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF for $(g, h) \in \{(9, 3), (5, 6)\}$ (cf. [\[10,](#page-11-7) [34\]](#page-12-9)). Combining the results of Lemmas [2.1,](#page-2-1) [2.4,](#page-4-1) [2.5](#page-4-2) and [2.7,](#page-5-1) one can complete the proof. \Box

$$
\Box
$$

 \Box

2.2 General cases

Difference matrices have proved to be a very useful tool for constructing cyclic relative difference families. Let $(G, +)$ be a finite group of order v and $k \geq 2$ be an integer. A $(v, k, 1)$ -difference *matrix* (DM) over G, or briefly $(G, k, 1)$ -DM, is a $k \times v$ matrix $D = (d_{ij})$ with entries from G such that for any two distinct rows x and y, the multiset of differences $\{d_{xj} - d_{yj} : 1 \leq j \leq v\}$ contains each element of G exactly once. A $(\mathbb{Z}_v, k, 1)$ -DM is often called cyclic and denoted by $a(v, k, 1)$ -CDM.

The following construction is a standard recursive construction for cyclic relative difference families.

Construction 2.1. [\[28\]](#page-12-10) If there exist a $(qn, q, k, 1)$ -CDF and an $(h, k, 1)$ -CDM, then there exists a $(gh, gh, k, 1)$ -CDF. Furthermore, if there exists a $(gh, q, k, 1)$ -CDF, then there exists a $(ghn, q, k, 1)$ -CDF.

Evans [\[19\]](#page-11-8) constructed a $(v, 4, 1)$ -CDM for any odd integer $v \geq 5$ and v is not divisible by 9. Zhang, Feng and Wang [\[39\]](#page-12-11) solved the case $v \equiv 9 \pmod{18}$.

Lemma 2.8. [\[19,](#page-11-8) [39\]](#page-12-11) A $(v, 4, 1)$ -CDM exists if and only if $v \geq 5$ is odd and $v \neq 9$.

By Lemma [1.1,](#page-1-1) a $(4h, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF exists only if $h \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Since a $(4h, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF yields a strictly cyclic group divisible design of type h^4 with block size four, which can produce an $(h, 4, 1)$ -CDM (cf. [\[3,](#page-10-1) Theorem VIII.3.6]), it follows from Lemma [2.8](#page-6-3) that we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. There does not exist a $(4h, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any positive integer h.

Theorem 2.1. There exists a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF if and only if $gh \equiv h \pmod{12}$ and $g \geq 5$ except for $(g, h) \in \{(9, 3), (5, 6)\}\$ and except possibly for

- (1) $h \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$ and $q = 25$,
- (2) $h \in \{9, 27\}$ and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,
- (3) $h \equiv 3,9 \pmod{12}$ and $q = 9$,
- (4) $h \equiv 8, 16 \pmod{24}$ and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$,
- (5) $h \equiv 4, 20 \pmod{24}$ and $q = 7$,
- (6) $h \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ and $g = 5$,
- (7) $h = 18$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,
- (8) $h = 54$ and $g \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{6}$,
- (9) $h \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ and $q \geq 5$,
- (10) $h \equiv 12 \pmod{24}$, $g \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$ and $g \ge 5$,
- (11) $h \in \{12, 36\}$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $q \geq 10$.

Proof. By Lemmas [1.1](#page-1-1) and [2.9,](#page-6-4) a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF exists only if $g \ge 5$ and $h(g - 1) \equiv 0$ (mod 12), that is, (i) $h \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, (ii) $h \equiv 2, 10 \pmod{12}$ and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, (iii) $h \equiv 3, 9 \pmod{12}$ and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, (iv) $h \equiv 4, 8 \pmod{12}$ and $g \equiv 1$ (mod 3), (v) $h \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, (vi) $h \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ and $q \geq 5$.

For $h \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ and $q \neq 25$, apply Construction [2.1](#page-6-2) with a $(q, 1, 4, 1)$ -CDF (from Theorem [1.1\)](#page-1-2) and an $(h, 4, 1)$ -CDM (from Lemma [2.8\)](#page-6-3) to obtain a $(qh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF.

For $h \equiv 2, 10 \pmod{12}$ and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, apply Construction [2.1](#page-6-2) with a $(2g, 2, 4, 1)$ -CDF (from Theorem [1.2\)](#page-1-0) and an $(h/2, 4, 1)$ -CDM.

For $h \equiv 3.9 \pmod{12}$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $h \not\in \{9, 27\}$ and $q \neq 9$, apply Construction [2.1](#page-6-2) with a $(3g, 3, 4, 1)$ -CDF (from Theorem [1.2\)](#page-1-0) and an $(h/3, 4, 1)$ -CDM.

For $h \equiv 4, 20 \pmod{24}$, $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $g \ge 10$, apply Construction [2.1](#page-6-2) with a $(4g, 4, 4, 1)$ -CDF (from Theorem [1.1\)](#page-1-2) and an $(h/4, 4, 1)$ -CDM.

For $h \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$, $g \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $h \notin \{18, 54\}$ and $g \ge 7$, apply Construction [2.1](#page-6-2) with a $(6g, 6, 4, 1)$ -CDF (from Theorem [1.2\)](#page-1-0) and an $(h/6, 4, 1)$ -CDM. For $h = 54$ and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, apply Construction [2.1](#page-6-2) with a $(2g, 2, 4, 1)$ -CDF (from Theorem [1.2\)](#page-1-0) and a $(27, 4, 1)$ -CDM.

For $h \equiv 12 \pmod{24}$, $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $h \ge 60$ and $g \ge 10$, apply Construction [2.1](#page-6-2) with a (4g, 4, 4, 1)-CDF (from Theorem [1.1\)](#page-1-2) and an $(h/4, 4, 1)$ -CDM. For $h \equiv 12 \pmod{24}$, $q = 7$, $h \geq 60$ and $h \neq 108$, start from an $(84, 12, 4, 1)$ -CDF whose base blocks are listed below:

 $\{0, 1, 3, 9\}, \{0, 4, 15, 37\}, \{0, 5, 24, 55\}, \{0, 10, 30, 46\}, \{0, 12, 25, 57\}, \{0, 17, 40, 58\},$

and then apply Construction [2.1](#page-6-2) with an $(h/12, 4, 1)$ -CDM. By [\[13,](#page-11-9) Lemma 3.10], there exists a $(36 \times 7, 36, 4, 1)$ -CDF. By [\[13,](#page-11-9) Theorem 3.9], there exists a $(108 \times 7, 108, 4, 1)$ -CDF. \Box

3 Applications

3.1 Cyclic group divisible designs

A group divisible design (GDD) is a triple $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$, where V is a set of points, \mathcal{G} is a partition of V into groups, and β is a family of subsets of V (called blocks) such that every block intersects any given group in at most one point, and every two points belonging to distinct groups are contained in exactly one block. A k -GDD of type h^g is a GDD in which the size of each block is k and there are q groups of size h. For more details on group divisible designs, the reader is referred to [\[4,](#page-10-6) [22\]](#page-11-10).

An *automorphism* of a GDD $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ is a permutation on V leaving \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{B} invariant, respectively. A k-GDD of type h^g is said to be *cyclic* if it admits an automorphism consisting of a cycle of length gh. Without loss of generality, we regard \mathbb{Z}_{gh} as an automorphism group of a cyclic k-GDD of type h^g . If the stabilizer of any block of a cyclic GDD of type h^g in \mathbb{Z}_{gh} is trivial, then the GDD is called strictly cyclic. The blocks of a strictly cyclic k-GDD of type h^g can be partitioned into orbits under \mathbb{Z}_{gh} . Choose any fixed block from each orbit and then call them base blocks. These base blocks form a $(gh, h, k, 1)$ -CDF. Therefore, a strictly cyclic k-GDD of type h^g yields a $(gh, h, k, 1)$ -CDF, and vice versa. Combining Theorem [2.1,](#page-6-1) we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. There exists a strictly cyclic 4-GDD of type h^g if and only if $gh \equiv h \pmod{12}$ and $g \geq 5$ except for $(g, h) \in \{(9, 3), (5, 6)\}\$ and except possibly for

- (1) $h \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$ and $q = 25$,
- (2) $h \in \{9, 27\}$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,
- (3) $h \equiv 3.9 \pmod{12}$ and $q = 9$,
- (4) $h \equiv 8, 16 \pmod{24}$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$,
- (5) $h \equiv 4, 20 \pmod{24}$ and $g = 7$,
- (6) $h \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ and $q = 5$,
- (7) $h = 18$ and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,
- (8) $h = 54$ and $q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{6}$,
- (9) $h \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ and $q \geq 5$,
- (10) $h \equiv 12 \pmod{24}$, $g \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$ and $g \ge 5$,
- (11) $h \in \{12, 36\}$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $q \ge 10$.

3.2 1-rotational Steiner systems

A k-GDD of type 1^v is often called a *Steiner system* and denoted by an $S(2, k, v)$. An $S(2, k, v)$ is said to be 1-rotational if it admits an automorphism consisting of one fixed point and a cycle of length v−1. A 1-rotational S(2, k, v) defined on X may be assumed to have $X = \mathbb{Z}_{v-1} \cup \{\infty\}$ with the 1-rotational automorphism given by $i \to i+1 \pmod{v-1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{v-1}$ and $\infty \to \infty$.

Phelps and Rosa [\[33\]](#page-12-12) showed that a 1-rotational $S(2,3, v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 3, 9$ (mod 24). It was conjectured in [\[34,](#page-12-9) Section 3.2] that a 1-rotational $S(2, 4, v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$ and $v \neq 28$. Applying Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-0) we can confirm this conjecture.

Theorem 3.2. There exists a 1-rotational $S(2, 4, v)$ if and only if $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$ and $v \neq 28$.

Proof. The necessity follows from [\[34,](#page-12-9) Section 3.2]. To show the sufficiency, take a $(v -$ 1, 3, 4, 1)-CDF, F, with $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$ and $v \neq 28$ from Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) Then it is readily checked that $\{\{f_1+t, f_2+t, f_3+t, f_4+t\} : F = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\} \in \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{v-1}\} \cup \{\{t, \frac{v-1}{3}+1\} \}$ $t, \frac{2(v-1)}{3} + t, \infty$: $0 \leq t < \frac{v-1}{3}$ forms a 1-rotational S(2, 4, v). \Box

For more information on 1-rotational Steiner systems, the reader is referred to [\[2,](#page-10-0) [27\]](#page-12-13).

3.3 Optimal cyclic constant-weight codes

A q-ary code C of length n is a set of vectors in $\{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}^n$. An element in C is called a codeword. For two codewords $u = (u_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n-1}$ and $v = (v_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n-1}$, the Hamming distance between u and v is $d_H(u, v) = |\{0 \leq i \leq n-1 : u_i - v_i \neq 0\}|$. The *Hamming weight* of u is the Hamming distance between u and the zero vector 0. A code is said to be of *constant-weight* w if the Hamming weight of each codeword is a constant w. A code $\mathcal C$ is said to have minimum distance d if $d_H(u, v) \ge d$ for all distinct $u, v \in \mathcal{C}$. A q-ary code of length n, minimum distance d and constant-weight w is denoted by an (n, d, w) _q code.

An $(n, d, w)_q$ code C is said to be cyclic if $(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ implies $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-1}, u_0) \in$ C. We use the notation $CA_q(n, d, w)$ to denote the largest possible number of codewords among all cyclic (n, d, w) _q codes with given parameters n, d, w and q. A cyclic (n, d, w) _q code is called *optimal* if it has $CA_q(n, d, w)$ codewords.

Lan, Chang and Wang [\[29\]](#page-12-14) gave an equivalent definition of a cyclic (n, d, w) _q code from a set-theoretic perspective. Let C be a cyclic (n, d, w) _q code. For each $u = (u_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \in \mathcal{C}$, construct a w-subset B_u of $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \{1, 2, ..., q-1\}$ such that $(i, u_i) \in B_u$ if and only if u_i is nonzero. Let $\mathcal{C}' = \{B_u : u \in \mathcal{C}\}$. For any codeword $B = \{(i_1, a_1), (i_2, a_2), \ldots, (i_w, a_w)\} \in \mathcal{C}',$ define the *projection* $p(B)$ of B to be the set $p(B) = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_w\}$. For any $B_u, B_v \in \mathcal{C}'$, define the distance $d_H(B_u, B_v)$ to be $d_H(u, v)$. It is readily checked that $d_H(B_u, B_v) = 2w - |p(B_u) \cap$ $p(B_v)| - |B_u \cap B_v|$. Then a cyclic $(n, d, w)_q$ code C is a set of w-subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \{1, 2, \ldots, q-1\}$ satisfying that (1) for any $B \in \mathcal{C}$, the cardinality of $p(B)$ is w; (2) $d_H(A, B) \ge d$ for any distinct codewords $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$; (3) if $B \in \mathcal{C}$, then $B + 1 \in \mathcal{C}$, where $B + 1 = \{(x + 1 \pmod{n}, a) : (x, a) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ B .

Lemma 3.1. [\[30,](#page-12-15) Lemma 3.4] For any positive integer n,

$$
CA_3(n, 6, 4) \leq C(n) := \begin{cases} n\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd or } n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}; \\ n\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor + \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}
$$

By [\[30,](#page-12-15) Construction 5.3], if there exists a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF with $h \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then there exists an optimal cyclic $(gh, 6, 4)$ ₃ code with $2gh|(gh-1)/12|=gh(gh-h)/6$ codewords. It follows from Theorems [1.1](#page-1-2) and [1.2](#page-1-0) that an optimal cyclic $(n, 6, 4)$ ₃ code with $C(n)$ codewords exists for any $n \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod{12}$ and $n \notin \{25, 27\}$. For $n \in \{25, 27\}$, an optimal cyclic $(n, 6, 4)$ ₃ code with $C(n)$ codewords exists by [\[30,](#page-12-15) Lemma 3.5]. By [30, Theorems 5.7 and 5.8], there exists an optimal cyclic $(n, 6, 4)$ ₃ code with $C(n)$ codewords for any $n \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$. Therefore, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.3. There exists an optimal cyclic $(n, 6, 4)$ ₃ code with $C(n)$ codewords for any positive integer $n \equiv 1, 2, 3, 6 \pmod{12}$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$.

3.4 Optimal optical orthogonal codes

The study of optical orthogonal codes was first motivated by an application in a fiber optic code-division multiple access channel which requires binary sequences with good correlation properties (cf. [\[18\]](#page-11-11)).

Let v and k be positive integers. A $(v, k, 1)$ optical orthogonal code (briefly $(v, k, 1)$ -OOC), C, is a family of $(0, 1)$ -sequences (called *codewords*) of *length* v and *weight* k satisfying the following two properties (all subscripts are reduced modulo v):

- (1) $\sum_{0 \leq t \leq v-1} x_t x_{t+i} \leq 1$ for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{v-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ and any integer $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{v}$ $(the$ auto-correlation property);
- (2) $\sum_{0 \leq t \leq v-1} x_t y_{t+i} \leq 1$ for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{v-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{v-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$, and any integer i (the cross-correlation property).

A convenient way of viewing OOCs is from a set-theoretic perspective. A $(v, k, 1)$ -OOC can be defined as a set of k-subsets (corresponding to codewords) of \mathbb{Z}_v whose list of differences does not contain repeated elements (cf. [\[37,](#page-12-8) Theorem 2.1]). A $(v, k, 1)$ -OOC is said to be *J-optimal* if the size of the set of missing differences (in \mathbb{Z}_v) of this OOC is less than or equal to $k(k-1)$, and hence a J-optimal $(v, k, 1)$ -OOC contains $\lfloor \frac{v-1}{k(k-1)} \rfloor$ codewords. $\lfloor \frac{v-1}{k(k-1)} \rfloor$ is an upper bound of the number of codewords of a $(v, k, 1)$ -OOC, and is often referred to as the Johnson bound.

Theorem 3.4. There exists a J-optimal $(v, 4, 1)$ -OOC for any positive integer $v \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ (mod 12) or $v \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ with the only definite exception of $v = 25$.

Proof. Since a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF with $h \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ contains $\frac{gh-h}{12} = \lfloor \frac{gh-1}{12} \rfloor$ base blocks, every CDF from Theorems [1.1](#page-1-2) and [1.2](#page-1-0) implies the existence of a J-optimal $(qh, 4, 1)$ -OOC. Therefore, there exists a J-optimal $(v, 4, 1)$ -OOC for any $v \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 \pmod{12}$ and $v \notin$ $\{16, 18, 25, 27, 28, 30\}$. By [\[1,](#page-10-7) Theorem 1.6], there exists a J-optimal $(v, 4, 1)$ -OOC for $v \in$ $\{16, 18, 27, 28, 30\}$ and there is no J-optimal $(25, 4, 1)$ -OOC. By [\[13,](#page-11-9) Theorem 6.12], there exists a J-optimal $(v, 4, 1)$ -OOC for any $v \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$. \Box

We remark that Ge and Yin [\[24,](#page-11-6) Theorem 6.5] also established the existence of a J-optimal $(v, 4, 1)$ -OOC for any $v \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ by using different construction methods. For more information on J-optimal $(v, 4, 1)$ -OOC, the reader is referred to [\[10,](#page-11-7) [11,](#page-11-12) [14,](#page-11-13) [15,](#page-11-14) [16,](#page-11-5) [20,](#page-11-15) [21,](#page-11-16) [23,](#page-11-17) [37\]](#page-12-8).

4 Concluding remarks

By utilizing the method presented in [\[38\]](#page-12-3), we established the existence of $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDFs with $h \in \{2, 3, 6\}$ and $gh \equiv h \pmod{12}$ in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) It shows that the method is flexible and powerful for constructing many kinds of cyclic designs with block size four even though it looks mysterious.

Theorem [2.1](#page-6-1) provides more new infinite families of $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDFs by using a standard recursive construction. Completing the existence of $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDFs for all admissible parameters g and h will be a complicated and difficult work.

In order to facilitate the reader for further study, we here summarize main known results on the existence of $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDFs not covered by Theorems [1.1](#page-1-2) and [2.1](#page-6-1) below.

Remark 4.1. (1) [\[13,](#page-11-9) Theorem 3.9] There exists a $(gh, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF for $h \in \{18, 60, 72, 96,$ 108} and all q such that $gcd(q, 150) = 1$ or 25.

- (2) [\[13,](#page-11-9) Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, 3.10 and 3.11] There exists a $(ph, h, 4, 1)$ -CDF for $h \in \{36, 48\}$ and any prime $p > 5$.
- (3) [\[24,](#page-11-6) Lemma 6.3] Let g be a positive integer whose prime factors are all congruent to 1 modulo 4 and greater than 5. Then there exists a $(12q, 12, 4, 1)$ -CDF.
- (4) [\[24,](#page-11-6) Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3] There exists a $(24 \cdot 3^{2t}w, 24, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any integer $t \geq 0$ and all w such that $gcd(w, 6) = 1$.
- (5) [\[14,](#page-11-13) Lemma 4.8] There exists a $(2^{s+4}, 2^s, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any integer $s \geq 3$.
- (6) [\[15,](#page-11-14) Lemma 4.4] Let $s \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$. There exists a $(2^{4n+s}, 2^s, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any positive integer n.
- (7) [\[15,](#page-11-14) Theorem 4.16] Let $s \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$. There exists a $(2^s p, 2^s, 4, 1)$ -CDF for any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ except possibly for $(p, s) = (7, 6)$.

References

- [1] R.J.R. Abel and M. Buratti, Some progress on (v, 4, 1) difference families and optical orthogonal codes, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 106 (2004), 59–75.
- [2] R.J.R. Abel and M. Buratti, Difference families, in: CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs (C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz, eds), CRC Press, Boca Raton, (2007), 392–410.
- [3] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz, Design Theory, Volume I (Second Edition), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999.
- [4] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz, Design Theory, Volume II (Second Edition), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999.
- [5] R.C. Bose, On the construction of balanced incomplete block designs, Ann. Eugenics, 9 (1939), 353–399.
- [6] A.E. Brouwer, A. Schrijver, and H. Hanani, Group divisible designs with block-size four, Discrete Math., 20 (1977), 1–10.
- [7] M. Buratti, Improving two theorems of Bose on difference families, J. Combin. Des., 3 (1995), 15–24.
- [8] M. Buratti, Constructions for $(q, k, 1)$ difference families with q a prime power and $k = 4, 5$, Discrete Math., 138 (1995), 169–175.
- [9] M. Buratti, Recursive constructions for difference matrices and relative difference families, J. Combin. Des., 6 (1998), 165–182.
- [10] M. Buratti, Cyclic designs with block size 4 and related optimal optical orthogonal codes, Des. Codes, Cryptogr., 26 (2002), 111–125.
- [11] M. Buratti, On silver and golden optical orthogonal codes, The Art of Discrete and Applied Mathematics, 1 (2018), #P2.02.
- [12] M. Buratti and A. Pasotti, Further progress on difference families with block size 4 or 5, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 56 (2010), 1–20.
- [13] Y. Chang, R. Fuji-Hara, and Y. Miao, Combinatorial constructions of optimal optical orthogonal codes with weight 4, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 49 (2003), 1283–1292.
- [14] Y. Chang and Y. Miao, Constructions for optimal optical orthogonal codes, Discrete Math., 261 (2003), 127–139.
- [15] Y. Chang and J. Yin, Further results on optimal optical orthogonal codes with weight 4, Discrete Math., 279 (2004), 135–151.
- [16] K. Chen, G. Ge, and L. Zhu, Starters and related codes, J. Statist. Plann. Inference, 86 (2000), 379–395.
- [17] K. Chen and L. Zhu, Existence of $(q, k, 1)$ difference families with q a prime power and $k = 4, 5, J$. Combin. Des., 7 (1999), 21–30.
- [18] F.R.K. Chung, J.A. Salehi, and V.K. Wei, Optical orthogonal codes: design, analysis and applications, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 35 (1989), 595–604.
- [19] A.B. Evans, On orthogonal orthomorphisms of cyclic and non-abelian groups, Discrete Math., 243 (2002), 229–233.
- [20] R. Fuji-Hara and Y. Miao, Optical orthogonal codes: their bounds and new optimal constructions, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 46 (2000), 2396–2406.
- [21] R. Fuji-Hara, Y. Miao, and J. Yin, Optimal (9v, 4, 1) optical orthogonal codes, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 14 (2001), 256–266.
- [22] G. Ge, Group divisible designs, in: CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs (C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz, eds), CRC Press, Boca Raton, (2007), 255–260.
- [23] G. Ge, Y. Miao, and X. Sun, Perfect difference families, perfect difference matrices, and related combinatorial structures, J. Combin. Designs, 18 (2010), 415–449.
- [24] G. Ge and J. Yin, Constructions for optimal $(v, 4, 1)$ optical orthogonal codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 47 (2001), 2998–3004.
- [25] L. Heffter, Über Nachbarconfigurationen, Tripelsysteme und metacyklische Gruppen, Deutsche Mathem. Vereinig. Jahresber., 5 (1896), 67–69.
- [26] L. Heffter, Uber Tripelsysteme, Math. Ann., 49 (1897), 101–112.
- [27] M. Jimbo, A recursive construction of 1-rotational Steiner 2-designs, Aequat. Math., 26 (1983), 184–190.
- [28] D. Jungnickel, Composition theorems for difference families and regular planes, Discrete Math., 23 (1978), 151–158.
- [29] L. Lan, Y. Chang, and L. Wang, Cyclic constant-weight codes: upper bounds and new optimal constructions, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 62 (2016), 6328–6341.
- [30] L. Lan and Y. Chang, Constructions for optimal cyclic ternary constant-weight codes of weight four and distance six, Discrete Math., 341 (2018), 1010–1020.
- [31] E.H. Moore, Tactical memoranda I–III, Amer. J. Math. 18 (1896), 264–303.
- [32] R. Peltesohn, Eine Lösung der beiden Heffterschen Differenzenprobleme, Compositio Math., 6 (1939), 251–257.
- [33] K.T. Phelps and A. Rosa, Steiner triple systems with rotational automorphisms, Discrete Math., 33 (1981), 57–66.
- [34] C. Reid and A. Rosa, Steiner systems $S(2, 4, v)$ – a survey, Electron. J. Combin., (2010), #DS18.
- [35] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1, 2021, <https://www.gap-system.org>.
- [36] X. Wang and Y. Chang, The spectrum of $(gv, g, 3, \lambda)$ -DF in Z_{av} , Science in China (A), 52 (2009), 1004–1016.
- [37] J. Yin, Some combinatorial constructions for optical orthogonal codes, Discrete Math., 185 (1998), 201–219.
- [38] M. Zhang, T. Feng, and X. Wang, The existence of cyclic $(v, 4, 1)$ -designs, Des. Codes, Cryptogr., 90 (2022), 1611–1628.
- [39] M. Zhang, T. Feng, and X. Wang, A note on a pair of orthogonal orthomorphisms of cyclic groups, Discrete Math., 346 (2023), 113122.
- [40] C. Zhao, B. Zhao, Y. Chang, T. Feng, X. Wang, and M. Zhang, Cyclic relative difference families with block size four and their applications, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8052515>.

A The remaining $18 + x$ base blocks in Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1)

 $h = 2, x = 0$ and t is odd

