

DISTRIBUTION OF PARITY DIFFERENCES IN PARTITIONS INTO DISTINCT PARTS

SIU HANG MAN

ABSTRACT. For a partition $\lambda \vdash n$, we let $\text{pd}(\lambda)$, the parity difference of λ , to be the number of odd parts of λ minus the number of even parts of λ . We show that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the normalised parity difference $n^{-\frac{1}{4}} \text{pd}(\lambda)$ for partitions of n into distinct parts is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi}$. We also establish analogous results for generalised parity differences modulo N .

1. INTRODUCTION

A *partition* of a non-negative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers whose sum is n . Given a partition $\lambda \vdash n$, we denote by $\text{pd}(\lambda)$ the *parity difference* of λ , defined as the number of odd parts of λ minus the number of even parts of λ . For example, for $\lambda = (4, 3, 1) \vdash 8$ we have $\text{pd}(\lambda) = 2 - 1 = 1$.

Recently, Kim, Kim, and Lovejoy [KKL20] proved that for $n \geq 3$, there are more (unrestricted) partitions of n with positive parity difference than partitions of n with negative parity difference. This phenomenon is known as *parity bias* in partitions. It was shown in [BBD⁺22, BMRS23] that parity bias (or reverse parity bias, i.e. having more partitions with negative parity difference) occurs in a wide family of partitions, such as partitions into distinct parts, and other kinds of restricted partitions. One could also compare the number of parts of a partition which lie in residue classes modulo N ; parity bias is the case where $N = 2$. Such results are found in [BMRS23, KK21, Che22].

While there are parity biases for both unrestricted partitions and partitions into distinct parts, they have rather different asymptotic behaviours. Let $\mathcal{P}(n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(n)$) denote the set of partitions (resp. partitions into distinct parts) of n . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} p_o(n) &:= \#\{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n) \mid \text{pd}(\lambda) > 0\}, & p_e(n) &:= \#\{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n) \mid \text{pd}(\lambda) < 0\}, \\ d_o(n) &:= \#\{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(n) \mid \text{pd}(\lambda) > 0\}, & d_e(n) &:= \#\{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(n) \mid \text{pd}(\lambda) < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [BMRS23, KKL20] that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_o(n)}{p_e(n)} = 1 + \sqrt{2}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_o(n)}{d_e(n)} = 1.$$

While it is known from [BBD⁺22] that $d_o(n) > d_e(n)$ for all $n \geq 20$, the asymptotic formula above says that $d_e(n)$ is almost as large as $d_o(n)$. On the other hand, the asymptotic formula by Dartyge, Sárkőzy, and Szalay [DSS06] shows that almost all partitions into distinct parts have approximately the same number of odd and even parts. This means that the parity difference $\text{pd}(\lambda)$ is usually

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A17, 11P82.

Key words and phrases. partition, distribution, asymptotic formula.

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 101001179). The project is also supported by the Czech Science Foundation GAČR grant 21-00420M, and the OP RDE project No. CZ.02.2.69/0.0/0.0/18_053/0016976 International mobility of research, technical and administrative staff at the Charles University.

small. The goal of the paper is to study the distribution of the parity difference $\text{pd}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(n)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq N$, and $\alpha \neq \beta$. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) \vdash n$, we define

$$\text{pd}_{\alpha, \beta; N}(\lambda) := \#\{\lambda_i \equiv \alpha \pmod{N}\} - \#\{\lambda_i \equiv \beta \pmod{N}\}$$

the *parity difference* of λ with respect to the residue classes $\alpha, \beta \pmod{N}$. The standard parity difference $\text{pd}(\lambda)$ introduced above is then identified as $\text{pd}(\lambda) = \text{pd}_{1, 2; 2}(\lambda)$. To count the number of partitions with given parity difference, we introduce the following notations. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a parameter. We write

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c}(n) := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(n) \mid \text{pd}_{\alpha, \beta; N}(\lambda) \geq c\}$$

the set of partitions with parity difference at least c with respect to the residue classes $\alpha, \beta \pmod{N}$, and $d_{\alpha, \beta; N; c}(n) := \#\mathcal{D}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c}(n)$. For our purpose, we shall set $c = c_0 n^{\frac{1}{4}}$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed constant. Our first main theorem gives an asymptotic formula for $d_{\alpha, \beta; N; c_0 n^{1/4}}(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq N$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, and $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ a fixed constant. Then, for every $\delta > 0$, we have as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$d_{\alpha, \beta; N; c_0 n^{1/4}}(n) = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}}}{8\sqrt[4]{3}n^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left(\text{erfc} \left(\frac{c_0 \sqrt{\pi N}}{2\sqrt[4]{3}} \right) + O \left(n^{-\frac{1}{4} + \delta} \right) \right),$$

where $\text{erfc}(x)$ denotes the complementary error function.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following theorem on the distribution of the parity difference $\text{pd}_{\alpha, \beta; N}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(n)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq N$, and $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the normalised parity differences $n^{-\frac{1}{4}} \text{pd}_{\alpha, \beta; N}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(n)$ are normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi N}$. In other words, for any real numbers $a \leq b$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{D}(n) \mid a \leq n^{-\frac{1}{4}} \text{pd}_{\alpha, \beta; N}(\lambda) \leq b \right\}}{\#\mathcal{D}(n)} = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\sqrt[4]{3}} \int_a^b e^{-\frac{\pi N x^2}{4\sqrt{3}}} dx.$$

The main tool for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the circle method. A classical application of the circle method sees that we write the generating function for $d_{\alpha, \beta; N; c}(n)$ as a q -series (see (3.1)), and derive an asymptotic expansion for the generating function as q approaches a root of unity, as holomorphic functions in z (where $q = e^{-z}$). Unfortunately, this asymptotic expansion only holds for a narrow cone around the positive real line and is insufficient for the computation of the major arc contribution. As a remedy, we use an alternative asymptotic expansion from [BMRS23], which is no longer holomorphic in z , but holds in a sufficiently large region so that the circle method can be applied. Theorem 1.1 is then obtained by a careful analysis of the error terms coming from the circle method.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we recollect the asymptotic formulae that we use in later sections, mainly from the toolbox in [BMRS23]. In Section 3, we compute the asymptotic expansions arising from the circle method. Then we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 4. We end the paper with a numerical illustration of the theorems.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Generating functions for partitions. The generating function for $d(n) := \#\mathcal{D}(n)$, the number of partitions of n into distinct parts, is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(n)q^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{(q; q)_n},$$

where $(a; q)_n := \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^j)$ is the usual q -Pochhammer symbol. It is a classical result [Hua42] that we have an asymptotic formula

$$d(n) = \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{n}{3}}}}{4\sqrt[4]{3}n^{\frac{3}{4}}} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi}{3}\sqrt{\frac{n}{3}}}n^{-\frac{3}{4}}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

For our purpose, we introduce some other generating functions related to partitions. Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$. Using standard techniques in combinatorics, the generating function for the number of partitions $d_{\mathbf{m}}(n)$ of n into m_j distinct parts congruent to $j \pmod{N}$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, is given by (cf. [BMRS23])

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{\mathbf{m}}(n)q^n = \frac{q^{NH(\mathbf{m})}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (q^N; q^N)_{m_j}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$H(\mathbf{m}) := \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^T \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{m}, \quad \mathbf{b} := \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{N} - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)^T.$$

The generating function for $d_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}(n)$ is then obtained simply by summing over the generating functions (2.2) with $m_{\alpha} - m_{\beta} \geq c$:

$$D_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} d_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}(n)q^n = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}} \frac{q^{NH(\mathbf{m})}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (q^N; q^N)_{m_j}}, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c} := \{(m_1, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{N}_0^N \mid m_{\alpha} - m_{\beta} \geq c\}.$$

2.2. Special functions. We first recall several special functions. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, the *polylogarithm* is given by

$$\text{Li}_s(w) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{w^n}{n^s}, \quad |w| < 1.$$

For $w \in (0, 1)$, define the *Rogers dilogarithm function* (shifted by a constant so that $L(1) = 0$)

$$L(w) := \text{Li}_2(w) + \frac{1}{2} \log(w) \log(1 - w) - \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

It is well-known (see [Zag07, p.6]) that

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{\pi^2}{12}.$$

Let $B_r(x)$ denote the r -th *Bernoulli polynomial* defined via the generating function

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

The r -th *Bernoulli number* is given by $B_r := B_r(0)$.

2.3. Asymptotic formulae. For the proof we make use of the following version of the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula. The classical version of Euler–Maclaurin summation compares the sum $\sum_{n=0}^m F(n)$ to the integral $\int_0^m F(x)dx$; this version makes use of the same expressions but with a change of variables $F(x) = f(xz + a)$. A related “shifted” version of Euler–Maclaurin summation formula can also be found in [Zag06, Proposition 3].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $a, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let f be a holomorphic function that is defined on the ray $L(a, z) := \{tz + a : t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$. Then we have, for all $m, R \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^m f(nz + a) &= \frac{1}{z} \int_a^{a+mz} f(x) dx + \frac{f(mz + a) + f(a)}{2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{B_{2r} z^{2r-1}}{(2r)!} \left(f^{(2r-1)}(mz + a) - f^{(2r-1)}(a) \right) + O(1) z^{2R} \int_a^{a+mz} \left| f^{(2R+1)}(x) \right| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if f has rapid decay on $L(a, z)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} f(nz + a) &= \frac{1}{z} \int_a^{a+\infty z} f(x) dx + \frac{f(a)}{2} \\ &\quad - \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{B_{2r} z^{2r-1}}{(2r)!} f^{(2r-1)}(a) + O(1) z^{2R} \int_a^{a+z\infty} \left| f^{(2R+1)}(x) \right| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here we recall some results in [BMRS23] about the asymptotic formulae for the function

$$\frac{e^{-H(\mathbf{m})z}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (e^{-z}; e^{-z})_{m_j}} \quad (2.4)$$

as $z \rightarrow 0$ in the right half-plane. The readers are referred to [BMRS23] for the full proofs of the statements in this subsection. We shall use \ll , \gg , and \asymp to compare the size of quantities, without any assumption on their signs or arguments unless stated otherwise. For example, for $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \ll 1$ means $-C \leq y \leq C$ for some $C > 0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and $z = \varepsilon(1 + iy) \in \mathbb{C}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, \lambda} := \left\{ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^N \mid \mathbf{m} = \frac{\log(2)}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\mu}, \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| \leq \varepsilon^\lambda \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|$ denotes the (Euclidean) norm of the vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Throughout, we always assume that $-\frac{2}{3} < \lambda < -\frac{1}{2}$. As in [BMRS23], we give two different asymptotic formulae for (2.4), which work for different ranges of y .

Remark. When $\varepsilon = \operatorname{Re}(z)$ is fixed, the expression (2.4) has the greatest size when \mathbf{m} lies in $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$. As we shall see, the contribution to the sum (2.3) from the terms outside $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$ is essentially negligible. This idea plays a pivotal role in various literature on asymptotic estimates of Nahm sums [BMRS23, GZ21, VZ11].

Proposition 2.2 ([BMRS23, Proposition 3.2]). *Let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$ and $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be such that, for $1 \leq j \leq N$,*

$$m_j = \frac{\log(2)}{z} + \frac{u_j}{\sqrt{z}}. \quad (2.6)$$

If $y \ll \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{3} + \delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, then as $z \rightarrow 0$ we have for $R \in \mathbb{N}$, uniformly in \mathbf{u} ,

$$\frac{e^{-H(\mathbf{m})z}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (e^{-z}; e^{-z})_{m_j}} = \left(\frac{z}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{R-1} C_r(\mathbf{u}) z^{\frac{r}{2}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{3R\delta_1}\right) \right),$$

where $\delta_1 := \min\{\delta, \frac{2}{3} + \lambda\} > 0$. The polynomials $C_r(\mathbf{u})$ are defined as coefficients of the formal exponential

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} C_r(\mathbf{u}) z^{\frac{r}{2}} := \exp(\phi(\mathbf{u}, z)), \quad \phi(\mathbf{u}, z) := -\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{u} \sqrt{z} - \frac{Nz}{24} + \sum_{j=1}^N \xi\left(\frac{u_j}{\sqrt{z}}, z\right), \quad (2.7)$$

and the function $\xi(\nu, z)$ has an asymptotic expansion as $z \rightarrow 0$ given by

$$\xi(\nu, z) = - \sum_{r=2}^{R-1} (B_r(-\nu) - \delta_{r,2\nu^2}) \text{Li}_{2-r} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{z^{r-1}}{r!} + O(z^{R-1}).$$

In particular, we have $\deg C_r(\mathbf{u}) \leq 3r$.

Let $\mathcal{A} := (1 + \frac{2^{-(1+iy)}}{1-2^{-(1+iy)}})I_N$, with I_N the $N \times N$ identity matrix, and define

$$\Lambda(y) := N \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\log(2)^2(1+iy)^2}{2} - \text{Li}_2 \left(2^{-(1+iy)} \right) \right).$$

Proposition 2.3 ([BMRS23, Proposition 3.4]). *Let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be such that, for $1 \leq j \leq N$,*

$$m_j = \frac{\log(2)}{\varepsilon} + \frac{v_j}{\sqrt{z}}.$$

Suppose $y \ll \varepsilon^{-1-\frac{3\lambda}{2}+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then as $z \rightarrow 0$ we have for $R \in \mathbb{N}$, uniformly in \mathbf{v} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{-H(\mathbf{m})z}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (e^{-z}; e^{-z})_{m_j}} &= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+iy)} \left(1 - 2^{-(1+iy)} \right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{z}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} e^{\frac{\Lambda(y)}{z}} \\ &\times e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}(-\log(2)(1+iy) - \log(1-2^{-(1+iy)})) \sum_{j=1}^N v_j} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{R-1} D_r(\mathbf{v}, y) z^{\frac{r}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^{2R\delta_2}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_2 := \min\{1 + \frac{3\lambda}{2}, \delta\} > 0$. The functions $D_r(\mathbf{v}, y)$ are defined as coefficients of the formal exponential

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} D_r(\mathbf{v}, y) z^{\frac{r}{2}} := \exp(\phi(\mathbf{v}, z)), \quad \phi(\mathbf{v}, z) := -\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{v} \sqrt{z} - \frac{Nz}{24} + \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_y \left(\frac{v_j}{\sqrt{z}}, z \right),$$

and $\xi_y(\frac{v_j}{\sqrt{z}}, z)$ has the asymptotic expansion as $z \rightarrow 0$ given by

$$\xi_y(\nu, z) = - \sum_{r=2}^{R-1} (B_r(-\nu) - \delta_{r,2\nu^2}) \text{Li}_{2-r} \left(2^{-(1+iy)} \right) \frac{z^{r-1}}{r!} + O(z^{R-1}).$$

Remark. Under the assumptions in Proposition 2.3, we have $z \ll \varepsilon^{-\frac{3\lambda}{2}+\delta_2}$, and $\frac{v_j}{\sqrt{z}} \leq \varepsilon^\lambda$. These facts will be used below in the proofs.

We also need the error estimates from [BMRS23].

Proposition 2.4 ([BMRS23, Proposition 4.1]). *For all $L \in \mathbb{N}$, as $\text{Re}(z) \rightarrow 0$ in the right half-plane, we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^N \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} \left| \frac{e^{-H(\mathbf{m})z}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (e^{-z}; e^{-z})_{m_j}} \right| \ll \varepsilon^L e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}}.$$

Proposition 2.5 ([BMRS23, Proposition 4.2]). *Let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$, and suppose that $\varepsilon^{-\delta} < |y| \leq \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then we have, for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\frac{e^{-H(\mathbf{m})z}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (e^{-z}; e^{-z})_{m_j}} \ll \varepsilon^L e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}}.$$

3. ASYMPTOTIC ESTIMATES

From (2.3), $d_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}(n)$ is the n -th coefficient of the generating function

$$D_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} d_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}(n)q^n = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}} \frac{q^{NH(\mathbf{m})}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (q^N; q^N)_{m_j}}. \quad (3.1)$$

We split the sum $D_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}(q)$ as

$$D_{\alpha,\beta;N;c}(q) = \sum_{\ell \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^N} D_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}(q), \quad D_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}(q) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}} \frac{q^{NH(\mathbf{m})}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (q^N; q^N)_{m_j}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} := \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c} \mid \mathbf{m} \equiv \ell \pmod{N}\}$. We always pick the representative for $\ell \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^N$ with $\ell_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. To apply the circle method, we need a change of variables $q \mapsto e^{-z}$. Write $\zeta_N := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$, and define

$$f_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}(z) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}} \frac{e^{-NH(\mathbf{m})z}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (e^{-Nz}; e^{-Nz})_{m_j}}.$$

Then for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$D_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}(\zeta_N^k e^{-z}) = \zeta_N^{NH(\ell)k} f_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}(z).$$

It is more convenient to work with the rescaled function

$$g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}(z) := f_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}} \frac{e^{-H(\mathbf{m})z}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (e^{-z}; e^{-z})_{m_j}}.$$

We split

$$g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}(z) = g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[1]}(z) + g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[2]}(z), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[1]}(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \frac{e^{-H(\mathbf{m})z}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (e^{-z}; e^{-z})_{m_j}}, \quad g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[2]}(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \frac{e^{-H(\mathbf{m})z}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (e^{-z}; e^{-z})_{m_j}}.$$

From Proposition 2.4, we see that the contribution from the function $g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[2]}$ is negligible. The rest of the section provides asymptotic estimates of the function $g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[1]}$. For convenience, we set for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$G_j(\mathbf{u}) := e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} C_j(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}},$$

where the polynomial $C_j(\mathbf{u})$ is defined in (2.7); in particular, we have $\deg(C_j) \leq 3j$. For the statements below, the α -th component u_α of \mathbf{u} plays a special role. To emphasise this component, we write $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha)$, where $\mathbf{u}_{[1]}$ denotes the remaining $N-1$ components of \mathbf{u} . Note that this notation does not say that u_α is the N -th component of \mathbf{u} .

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose $y \ll \varepsilon^{1+\lambda+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Let $\kappa = \kappa_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}$ denote the smallest integer such that $\kappa \geq c$ and $\kappa \equiv \ell_\alpha - \ell_\beta \pmod{N}$. Then as $z \rightarrow 0$ we have for $R \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[1]}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{N}{2} N^N} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} z^{\frac{r}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ + e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{S(R)-1} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{N(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})+3R(\lambda+\frac{2}{3})}\right),$$

where $S(R) := 4(R-1) + 2 \lceil \frac{\frac{1}{2} - (\lambda + \frac{1}{2})(3R + N - 2)}{2\lambda + 2} \rceil$, and W_r are polynomials of degree $\leq r$. In particular, for every $L \in \mathbb{N}$, we have as $z \rightarrow 0$, with $R_0 = R_0(L) := \lceil \frac{L - N(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})}{3\lambda + 2} \rceil$

$$g_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell}^{[1]}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{N}{2} N^N} \sum_{r=0}^{R_0-1} z^{\frac{r}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ + e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{S(R_0)-1} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^L\right).$$

We prove Proposition 3.1 in a series of lemmas, most of which are analogous to those found in [BMRS23, Section 5]; the corresponding proofs are thus omitted. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell}$ be the bijective image of $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell}$ under the map $\mathbf{m} \mapsto \mathbf{u}$ given in (2.6), and $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$ the bijective image of $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$ under the same map. Since $\mathbf{m} \mapsto \mathbf{u}$ takes \mathbb{R}^N to $(-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{z})^N$, we have $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \subseteq (-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{z})^N \subseteq \mathbb{C}^N$.

Lemma 3.2 (cf. [BMRS23, Lemma 5.2]). *If $y \ll \varepsilon^{1+\lambda+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, and $P(\mathbf{u})$ is a polynomial in \mathbf{u} , then as $z \rightarrow 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} |P(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}}| = O(\varepsilon^L), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{z})^N \setminus \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} |P(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}}| d\mathbf{u} = O(\varepsilon^L).$$

Lemma 3.3 ([BMRS23, Lemma 5.3]). *If $y \ll \varepsilon^{1+\lambda+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$, then as $z \rightarrow 0$ we have*

$$\left| e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} \right| \leq e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}}.$$

Lemma 3.4 ([BMRS23, Lemma 5.4]). *If $y \ll \varepsilon^{1+\lambda+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, then for $R \in \mathbb{N}$ we have, as $z \rightarrow 0$,*

$$g_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell}^{[1]}(z) = \left(\frac{z}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} C_r(\mathbf{u}) z^{\frac{r}{2}} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{N(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}) + 3R(\lambda + \frac{2}{3})}\right).$$

The next lemma estimates the sum $\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} G_j(\mathbf{u})$.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $y \ll \varepsilon^{1+\lambda+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Let $\kappa = \kappa_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell}$ denote the smallest integer such that $\kappa \geq c$ and $\kappa \equiv \ell_\alpha - \ell_\beta \pmod{N}$. For $L \in \mathbb{N}$, define $R_1 = R_1(j, L) := \lceil \frac{L/2 - (\lambda + \frac{1}{2})(3j + N + 1)}{2\lambda + 2} \rceil$. Then as $z \rightarrow 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} G_j(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{z^{-\frac{N}{2}}}{N^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ + e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{3j+2R_1-1} V_{j,r}(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1-N}{2}} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{\frac{L+1-N}{2}}\right).$$

for some polynomials $V_{j,r}$ of degree $\leq r$.

Proof. Swapping α and β when necessary, we may assume $c \geq 0$. For the first step, we consider the sum over u_α . We decompose

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} G_j(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \in \mathbb{C}^{N-1} \\ \exists u_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, (\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}}} \sum_{u_\alpha \in \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]})} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}) := \{u_\beta + t\sqrt{z} \mid t \in \kappa + N\mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

If $\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \in \mathbb{C}^{N-1}$ is such that there exists $u_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$, then we have

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}) = \{u_\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid (\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell}\},$$

so the decomposition (3.4) makes sense. By Lemma 3.2, we may extend the inner sum in (3.4) by removing the condition $(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$. This introduces an error term of size $O(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L)$ for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$, which is negligible for our purpose. Applying Proposition 2.1 with $a = u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}$, $z \mapsto N\sqrt{z}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u_\alpha \in \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]})} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) &= \frac{1}{N\sqrt{z}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{u_\beta + \infty\sqrt{z}} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) \\ &- \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{B_{2r} N^{2r-1} z^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2r)!} G_j^{(2r-1)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) + O(1) z^R \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{u_\beta + \infty\sqrt{z}} \left| G_j^{(2R+1)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) \right| du_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where the derivative is taken with respect to u_α .

First we estimate the error term integral in (3.5). By a direct calculation, we see that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$G_j^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}) = e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} P_{j,k}(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}},$$

where $P_{j,k}(\mathbf{u})$ is a polynomial of degree at most $3j+k$. By Lemma 3.2, we may restrict the second integral in (3.5) to $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$, introducing an error of size $O(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L)$ for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we consider the part of the integral over $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$, which has measure $\ll \varepsilon^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}$. For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$, we use Lemma 3.3 and that $|\mathbf{u}| \ll \varepsilon^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}$ to conclude that

$$G_j^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}) \ll e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})(3j+k)}.$$

Hence we have

$$\int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{u_\beta + \infty\sqrt{z}} \left| G_j^{(2R+1)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) \right| du_\alpha \ll e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})(3j+2R+2)},$$

and thus we have as $z \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u_\alpha \in \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]})} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) &= \frac{1}{N\sqrt{z}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{u_\beta + \infty\sqrt{z}} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) \\ &- \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{B_{2r} N^{2r-1} z^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2r)!} G_j^{(2r-1)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})(3j+2R+2)+R}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Next we consider the sum over the other variables. For convenience, we write

$$F_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}) := \sum_{u_\alpha \in \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]})} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha).$$

Let $1 \leq \gamma \leq N$, $\gamma \neq \alpha$, and consider the summation over u_γ . To emphasise the γ -th and the α -th entries of \mathbf{u} , we write $\mathbf{u}_{[2]}$ to denote the remaining $N-2$ components of \mathbf{u} , and write $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma, u_\alpha)$. Again, this does not say that u_γ, u_α are the final components of \mathbf{u} . We also write $\mathbf{u}_{[1]} = (\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma)$. Splitting off the sum over u_γ and u_α , we write

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} G_j(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u}_{[2]} \in \mathbb{C}^{N-2} \\ \exists u_\gamma, u_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, (\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma, u_\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}}} \sum_{\substack{u_\gamma \in u_\gamma, u_\alpha \in \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma) \\ (\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma, u_\alpha) \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}}} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma, u_\alpha),$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}_\gamma := \left\{ -\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + t\sqrt{z} \mid t \in \ell_\gamma + N\mathbb{N}_0 \right\}.$$

Analogous to the summation over u_α , we may use Lemma 3.2 to extend the inner sum by removing the condition $(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma, u_\alpha) \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}$, introducing an error of size $O(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L)$ for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$, which is negligible. So we may consider instead the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{u_\gamma \in \mathbf{u}_\gamma \\ u_\alpha \in \mathbf{u}_\alpha(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma)}} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma, u_\alpha) = \sum_{u_\gamma \in \mathbf{u}_\gamma} F_j(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma).$$

Again, we apply Proposition 2.1, and write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u_\gamma \in \mathbf{u}_\gamma} F_j(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma) &= \frac{1}{N\sqrt{z}} \int_{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \ell_\gamma \sqrt{z}}^{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \infty \sqrt{z}} F_j(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma) du_\gamma + \frac{1}{2} F_j \left(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, -\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \ell_\gamma \sqrt{z} \right) \\ &- \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{B_{2r} N^{2r-1} z^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2r)!} F_j^{(2r-1)} \left(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, -\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \ell_\gamma \sqrt{z} \right) + O(1) z^R \int_{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \ell_\gamma \sqrt{z}}^{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \infty \sqrt{z}} \left| F_j^{(2R+1)}(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma) \right| du_\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where the derivative is taken with respect to u_γ . Thanks to the exponential decay of $e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}}$ in the cone $\mathbf{y} \ll \varepsilon^{1+\lambda+\delta}$, we have, as $z \rightarrow 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $L \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_j^{(r)} \left(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, -\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \ell_\gamma \sqrt{z} \right) = O \left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L \right), \quad \int_{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} - \infty \sqrt{z}}^{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} - \ell_\gamma \sqrt{z}} F_j(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma) du_\gamma = O \left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore, the lower boundary of the first integral in (3.7) can be extended to $-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} - \infty \sqrt{z}$, and all the terms except the main term can be ignored, introducing an error term of size $O(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L)$ for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Meanwhile, the error term in (3.7) has size

$$z^R \int_{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \ell_\gamma \sqrt{z}}^{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \infty \sqrt{z}} \left| F_j^{(2R+1)}(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma) \right| du_\gamma = O \left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})(3j+2R+2)+R+\lambda} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

By taking R sufficiently large, it follows from (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9) that

$$\sum_{u_\gamma \in \mathbf{u}_\gamma} F_j(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma) = \frac{1}{N\sqrt{z}} \int_{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{z}}^{-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \infty \sqrt{z}} F_j(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\gamma) du_\gamma + O \left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L \right)$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the same argument, we sum over the other coordinates, and obtain that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} G_j(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{z^{\frac{1-N}{2}}}{N^{N-1}} \int_{(-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{z})^{N-1}} F_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} + O \left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L \right)$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying Lemma 3.2, we may restrict the integral above to the set (see (2.5) for the definition of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$)

$$\mathcal{U}_{[1]} := \left\{ \mathbf{u}_{[1]} \in \left(-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{z} \right)^{N-1} \mid \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{[1]}\| \leq \varepsilon^\lambda \right\},$$

again with an error of size $O(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L)$ for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$, which is negligible. So we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} G_j(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{z^{\frac{1-N}{2}}}{N^{N-1}} \int_{\mathcal{U}_{[1]}} F_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} + O \left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \varepsilon^L \right)$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying the asymptotics (3.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} G_j(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{z^{-\frac{N}{2}}}{N^N} \int_{\mathcal{U}_{[1]}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{u_\beta + \infty\sqrt{z}} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} + \frac{z^{\frac{1-N}{2}}}{2N^{N-1}} \int_{\mathcal{U}_{[1]}} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ &- \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{B_{2r} N^{2r-N} z^{r-\frac{N}{2}}}{(2r)!} \int_{\mathcal{U}_{[1]}} G_j^{(2r-1)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})(3j+2R+2)+R+\frac{1-N}{2}}\right) \int_{\mathcal{U}_{[1]}} d\mathbf{u}_{[1]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since $\mathcal{U}_{[1]}$ has measure $\ll \varepsilon^{(N-1)(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})}$, it follows that the error term in (3.10) has size

$$O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})(3j+2R+N+1)+R+\frac{1-N}{2}}\right).$$

For other terms in (3.10), we use Lemma 3.2 to extend the integrals to $-\frac{\log(2)}{\sqrt{z}} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{z}$, again introducing a negligible error. Moreover, since $G_j^{(r)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha)$ is holomorphic and has rapid decay, we may shift the path of integration and write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta; N; c; \ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \lambda}} G_j(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{z^{-\frac{N}{2}}}{N^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} + \frac{z^{\frac{1-N}{2}}}{2N^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ &- \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{B_{2r} N^{2r-N} z^{r-\frac{N}{2}}}{(2r)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} G_j^{(2r-1)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})(3j+2R+N+1)+R+\frac{1-N}{2}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

To evaluate (3.11), we have to treat the integrals of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} G_j^{(r)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]}.$$

We recall that $G_j^{(r)}(\mathbf{u})$ has the form

$$G_j^{(r)}(\mathbf{u}) = e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} P_{j,r}(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}},$$

where $P_{j,r}(\mathbf{u})$ is a polynomial of degree at most $3j+r$. It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} G_j^{(r)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} P_{j,r}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) e^{-\mathbf{u}_{[1]}^T \mathbf{u}_{[1]} - (u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z})^2} d\mathbf{u}_{[1]}.$$

Define $P_{j,r}^*(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\beta, u_\alpha) := P_{j,r}(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\beta - \frac{u_\alpha}{2}, u_\beta + \frac{u_\alpha}{2})$, where $\mathbf{u}_{[2]}$ denotes the remaining $N-2$ entries of \mathbf{u} . Then we have

$$P_{j,r}^*\left(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\beta + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{z}}{2}, \kappa\sqrt{z}\right) = P_{j,r}(\mathbf{u}_{[2]}, u_\beta, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}).$$

We may then write (after a change of variables $u_\beta + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{z}}{2} \mapsto u_\beta$)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} G_j^{(r)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} = e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} P_{j,r}^*(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, \kappa\sqrt{z}) e^{-\mathbf{u}_{[1]}^T \mathbf{u}_{[1]} - u_\beta^2} d\mathbf{u}_{[1]},$$

with the inner integral being a linear combination of (multivariate) Gaussian integrals, with some power of $\kappa\sqrt{z}$. Noting that $P_{j,r}^*(\mathbf{u})$ also has degree at most $3j+r$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z^{\frac{1-N}{2}}}{2N^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} &- \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{B_{2r} N^{2r-N} z^{r-\frac{N}{2}}}{(2r)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} G_j^{(2r-1)}(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}) d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ &= e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{3j+2R-1} V_{j,r}(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1-N}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $V_{j,r}$ are polynomials of degree $\leq r$. Hence we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} G_j(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{z^{-\frac{N}{2}}}{N^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_j(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ &+ e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{3j+2R-1} V_{j,r}(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1-N}{2}} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})(3j+2R+N+1)+R+\frac{1-N}{2}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

For $L \in \mathbb{N}$, we take $R = R_1(j, L) = \lceil \frac{L/2 - (\lambda + \frac{1}{2})(3j+N+1)}{2\lambda+2} \rceil$, then the error term in (3.12) has size $O(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{\frac{L+1-N}{2}})$, proving the lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We estimate the following expression, occurring in Lemma 3.4:

$$\left(\frac{z}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} C_r(\mathbf{u}) z^{\frac{r}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} z^{\frac{N+r}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} G_r(\mathbf{u}).$$

By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\frac{N+r}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} G_r(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{z^{\frac{r}{2}}}{N^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ &+ e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{3r+2R_1(r, R-r)-1} V_{r,j}(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+j+1}{2}} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2}{6\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{\frac{R+1}{2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over r gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} z^{\frac{N+r}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} G_r(\mathbf{u}) &= \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} \frac{z^{\frac{r}{2}}}{N^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ &+ e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} \sum_{j=0}^{3r+2R_1(r, R-r)-1} V_{r,j}(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+j+1}{2}} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{\frac{R+1}{2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the terms with same power in z , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} z^{\frac{N+r}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} G_r(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}^{\frac{N}{2}} N^N} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} z^{\frac{r}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \\ &+ e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{S(R)-1} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{\frac{R+1}{2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $S(R) := 4(R-1) + 2R_1(R-1, 1) = 4(R-1) + 2\lceil \frac{\frac{1}{2} - (\lambda + \frac{1}{2})(3R+N-2)}{2\lambda+2} \rceil$, and W_r are polynomials of degree $\leq r$. Since $-\frac{2}{3} < \lambda < -\frac{1}{2}$, we see that the error term $O(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{N(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})+3R(\lambda+\frac{2}{3})})$ from Lemma 3.4 dominates, and the proposition is established. \square

Now we show that $g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[1]}(z)$ is actually small for larger values of $|y|$. We require the following technical lemma about $\Lambda(y)$ from [BMRS23].

Lemma 3.6 ([BMRS23, Lemma 5.6]). *Let $s(y) := \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\Lambda(y)}{1+iy} - \frac{\pi^2 N}{12}\right)$. Then we have the following:*

- (1) *We have $s(y) \leq 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and the equality holds if and only if $y = 0$.*
- (2) *For any $y_0 > 0$, there exists $d > 0$ such that $s(y) < -d$ for $|y| \geq y_0$.*

(3) We have, as $y \rightarrow 0$,

$$s(y) = N \left(\log(2)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \right) y^2 + O(y^4).$$

Proposition 3.7. Suppose $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \ll y \ll \varepsilon^{-1-\frac{3\lambda}{2}+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then as $z \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[1]}(z) \ll e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^L$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$e^{-\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[1]}(z) \ll \varepsilon^L \quad (3.13)$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. From Proposition 2.3, if $y \ll \varepsilon^{-1-\frac{3\lambda}{2}+\delta}$ we have for $R \in \mathbb{N}$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ an expansion

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell}^{[1]}(z) &= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+iy)} \left(1 - 2^{-1(1+iy)}\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{z}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\Lambda(y)}{1+iy} - \frac{\pi^2 N}{12}\right)} \\ &\times \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \left(-\log(2)(1+iy) - \log(1-2^{-(1+iy)})\right) \sum_{j=1}^N v_j} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{R-1} D_r(\mathbf{v}, y) z^{\frac{r}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^{2R\delta_2}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

To prove (3.13), it suffices to show that the exponent in (3.14)

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\Lambda(y)}{1+iy} - \frac{\pi^2 N}{12} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \left(-\log(2)(1+iy) - \log(1-2^{-(1+iy)}) \right) \sum_{j=1}^N v_j$$

has negative real part of size $\gg \varepsilon^{-\delta_0}$ for some $\delta_0 > 0$; the other factors in (3.14) are bounded as $z \rightarrow 0$, while the sum over \mathbf{n} contains $\ll \varepsilon^{N\lambda}$ terms. By Lemma 3.6(1) the real part of $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\Lambda(y)}{1+iy} - \frac{\pi^2 N}{12} \right) = \frac{s(y)}{\varepsilon}$ is negative. So it suffices to show that this exponent has sufficiently large size and dominates other exponents with positive real parts.

First suppose $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \ll y \ll 1$. By Lemma 3.6(3), we find that

$$\frac{s(y)}{\varepsilon} \gg \frac{y^2}{\varepsilon} \gg \varepsilon^{-2\delta}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Meanwhile, by computing the Taylor series expansion we have, for $y \ll 1$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(-\log(2)(1+iy) - \log(1-2^{-(1+iy)}) \right) \ll y^2.$$

Since $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta;N;c;\ell} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$, we have $\frac{v_j}{\sqrt{z}} \ll \varepsilon^\lambda$ (see the remark below Proposition 2.3), we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \left(-\log(2)(1+iy) - \log(1-2^{-(1+iy)}) \right) \sum_{j=1}^N v_j \right) \ll \varepsilon^\lambda y^2.$$

Next we consider the exponent $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}$. For this we split into two subcases.

- (1) Suppose $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \ll y \ll \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Since v_j is a real multiple of \sqrt{z} , the condition $y \ll \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}$ implies that $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}$ has negative real part as $z \rightarrow 0$.
- (2) Suppose $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} \ll y \ll 1$. In this case we have $|\mathbf{v}| \ll \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\lambda}$; this follows from remark below Proposition 2.3, using that $z \asymp \varepsilon$ (which holds for $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} \ll y \ll 1$). It then follows that $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} \ll \varepsilon^{1+2\lambda}$.

In either subcase, the exponent $\frac{s(y)}{\varepsilon}$ has size $\gg \varepsilon^{-2\delta}$ and dominates other exponents appearing in (3.14) that have positive real parts, proving (3.13) for the case $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \ll y \ll 1$.

Now we consider the case $1 \ll y \ll \varepsilon^{-1-\frac{3\lambda}{2}+\delta}$. By Lemma 3.6(2), we have $\frac{s(y)}{\varepsilon} \gg \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Meanwhile, using the trivial bound

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(-\log(2)(1+iy) - \log \left(1 - 2^{-(1+iy)} \right) \right) \ll 1,$$

it follows from the bound $\frac{v_j}{\sqrt{z}} \leq \varepsilon^\lambda$ that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \left(-\log(2)(1+iy) - \log \left(1 - 2^{-(1+iy)} \right) \right) \sum_{j=1}^N v_j \right) \ll \varepsilon^\lambda.$$

Finally, we use the bound $|\mathbf{v}| \ll \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda}{4}+\frac{\delta_2}{2}}$ (see the remark below Proposition 2.3) and deduce that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathcal{A} \mathbf{v} \ll \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda}{2}+\delta_2}.$$

So (3.13) also holds for the case $1 \ll y \ll \varepsilon^{-1-\frac{3\lambda}{2}+\delta}$. This finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Here we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Cauchy's theorem we have, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$d_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0n^{1/4}}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{D_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0n^{1/4}}(q)}{q^{n+1}} dq,$$

where \mathcal{C} is a circle centred at the origin inside the unit circle surrounding zero exactly once counterclockwise. Using (3.2) and the change of variables $q = e^{-z}$, we write, for any $\eta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0n^{1/4}}(n) &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^N} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-\pi i}^{\eta+\pi i} f_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0n^{1/4};\boldsymbol{\ell}}(z) e^{nz} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta_N^{(n-NH(\boldsymbol{\ell}))k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-\pi i}^{\eta+\pi i} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0n^{1/4};\boldsymbol{\ell}}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Throughout we shall pick

$$\eta = \eta(n) := \frac{\pi N}{2\sqrt{3n}}.$$

Using (3.3), we split

$$d_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0n^{1/4}}(n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta_N^{(n-NH(\boldsymbol{\ell}))k} \sum_{j=1,2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-\pi i}^{\eta+\pi i} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0n^{1/4};\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{[j]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz.$$

First we consider the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-\pi i}^{\eta+\pi i} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0n^{1/4};\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{[2]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz.$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have, as $z \rightarrow 0$

$$g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0n^{1/4};\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{[2]}(z) \ll e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^L$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. So we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-\pi i}^{\eta+\pi i} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[2]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz \ll \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-\pi i}^{\eta+\pi i} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\eta} + \frac{n\eta}{N}} \eta^L \ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-\frac{L}{2}} \quad (4.2)$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we consider the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-\pi i}^{\eta+\pi i} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz.$$

Let $\theta > 0$ be fixed. We split the integral into the *major arc* $\mathcal{C}_1(\eta) := \{z = \eta(1 + iy) \mid |y| \leq \theta\}$ and the *minor arc* $\mathcal{C}_2(\eta) := \{z = \eta(1 + iy) \mid \theta < |y| \leq \frac{\pi}{\eta}\}$. First we consider the minor arc integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_2(\eta)} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz.$$

By Proposition 2.5, we have, as $\varepsilon = \operatorname{Re}(z) \rightarrow 0$

$$g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) \ll e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^L$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. So we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_2(\eta)} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz \ll \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_2(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\eta} + \frac{n\eta}{N}} \eta^L \ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-\frac{L}{2}} \quad (4.3)$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then we consider the major arc integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1(\eta)} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz.$$

Let $Y = Y(\eta) := \eta^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$ for some fixed, sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. We split the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1(\eta)} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1b}(\eta)} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta) = \{z = \eta(1 + iy) \mid |y| \leq Y\}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{1b}(\eta) = \{z = \eta(1 + iy) \mid Y < |y| \leq \theta\}$. For the integral over $\mathcal{C}_{1b}(\eta)$, we use Proposition 3.7 and deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1b}(\eta)} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz \ll \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1b}(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\eta} + \frac{n\eta}{N}} \eta^L \ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-\frac{L}{2}}. \quad (4.5)$$

For the integral over $\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)$ in (4.4), we apply Proposition 3.1, and write for $L \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} g_{\alpha,\beta;N;c_0 n^{1/4};\ell}^{[1]}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{N}{2} N^N} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{r=0}^{R_0-1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} z^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{nz}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} dz \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{S(R_0)-1} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} O\left(e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^L\right) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Note that we have $\kappa = c_0 n^{\frac{1}{4}} + O(1)$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)$ has bounded length, the error term in (4.6) has size $\ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-\frac{L}{2}}$. Now we evaluate the terms

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz \quad (4.7)$$

appearing in (4.6). To evaluate (4.7), we consider a corresponding integral over $\mathcal{C}_{1b}(\eta)$:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1b}(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz.$$

For $y \gg Y$, we have $e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \ll e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^L$ for every $L \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1b}(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz \ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-\frac{L}{2}}$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. So we may extend (4.7) to an integral over the major arc, introducing a negligible error:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz + O\left(e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-\frac{L}{2}}\right). \quad (4.8)$$

The integral on the right can be evaluated by the following formula (see [NR17, Lemma 3.7]): for $A \geq 0$, $B > 0$, and $R \in \mathbb{N}$, we have as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1\left(\frac{B}{\sqrt{n}}\right)} z^A e^{\frac{B^2}{z} + nz} dz = n^{\frac{1}{4}(-2A-3)} e^{2B\sqrt{n}} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{R-1} \frac{T_{A,B,r}}{n^{\frac{r}{2}}} + O\left(n^{-\frac{R}{2}}\right) \right), \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$T_{A,B,r} := \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{4B}\right)^r B^{A+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(A+r+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{2\sqrt{\pi} r! \Gamma\left(A-r+\frac{3}{2}\right)}.$$

To apply (4.9) we need to shift the path of integration from $\mathcal{C}_1(\eta)$ to $\mathcal{C}_1(\eta')$, where $\eta' = \frac{\pi N}{2\sqrt{3}(n-\kappa^2 N/2)}$.

We split the integral in (4.8) into three pieces, writing

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta(1-Yi)}^{\eta'(1-Yi)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1(\eta')} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta'(1+Yi)}^{\eta(1+Yi)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Recall that for $y \gg \varepsilon^{2-\delta}$, we have $e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z}} \ll e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^L$ for every $L \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies the first and the third integral on the right-hand side in (4.10) have size $\ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-L}$ for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Meanwhile, using (4.9), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1(\eta')} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz \ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n-\kappa^2 N/2}}{\sqrt{3}}} \left(n - \frac{\kappa^2 N}{2}\right)^{-\frac{r}{4}-1} W_r(\kappa) \ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-1}.$$

So we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N} - \frac{\kappa^2 z}{2}} W_r(\kappa) z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz \ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-1}. \quad (4.11)$$

Finally, we evaluate the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} z^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{nz}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} dz.$$

We split the inner integral, and write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} z^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{nz}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} dz \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} z^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{nz}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} dz \\ - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} z^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{nz}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

We may rewrite the first integral in (4.12) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} z^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{nz}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{\infty} G_r(\mathbf{u}_{[1]}, u_\alpha) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{\infty} C_r(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N}} z^{\frac{r}{2}} dz, \end{aligned}$$

where the double integral on the right depends only on c_0 and r . Using the same trick as above, we extend the integral over $\mathcal{C}_1(\eta)$ gaining a negligible error, and use (4.9) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{\infty} C_r(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N}} z^{\frac{r}{2}} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{\infty} C_r(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \cdot e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{-\frac{r+3}{4}} \left(T_{\frac{r}{2}, \frac{\pi\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{12}}, 0} + O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right). \quad (4.13) \end{aligned}$$

Now we look at the second integral in (4.12). Rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} z^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{nz}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}} G_r(\mathbf{u}) du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} z^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}} C_r(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} dz. \end{aligned}$$

For $z \in \mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)$, the segment $(u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z})$ has length $\ll Y(\eta) = \eta^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$. We note that for $z \in \mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)$, the function $C_r(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}}$ is uniformly bounded for $u_\alpha \in (u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}, u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z})$ and $\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. So it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}} C_r(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \ll \eta^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta},$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} z^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12z} + \frac{nz}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{u_\beta + \kappa\sqrt{z}} C_r(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \ll \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} \eta^{\frac{r+1}{2}-\delta} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\eta} + \frac{n\eta}{N}} dz.$$

Since the arc $\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)$ has length $2\eta Y \ll \eta^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1a}(\eta)} \eta^{\frac{r+1}{2}-\delta} e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{12\eta} + \frac{n\eta}{N}} dz \ll e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} n^{-\frac{r}{4}-1+\delta}. \quad (4.14)$$

Combining the estimates (4.2), (4.3), (4.5), (4.11), (4.13), (4.14) above, we obtain an asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-\pi i}^{\eta+\pi i} g_{\alpha, \beta; N; c_0 n^{1/4}; \ell}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{N}{2} N^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{\infty} C_0(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} \cdot e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(T_{0, \frac{\pi\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{12}}, 0} + O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{4}+\delta}\right)\right). \quad (4.15) \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2.2 we compute $C_0(\mathbf{u}) = 1$, so we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{\infty} C_0(\mathbf{u}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{u_\beta + \frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{4\sqrt{12}}}^{\infty} e^{-\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}} du_\alpha d\mathbf{u}_{[1]} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{c_0\sqrt{\pi N}}{2\sqrt{3}}\right).$$

Finally, we compute $T_{0, \frac{\pi\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{12}}, 0} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N}{12}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. So we may simplify (4.15) and obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-\pi i}^{\eta+\pi i} g_{\alpha, \beta; N; c_0 n^{1/4}; \ell}(z) e^{\frac{nz}{N}} dz = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}}}{8\sqrt[4]{3} N^{-1} n^{\frac{3}{4}}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{c_0 \sqrt{\pi N}}{2\sqrt[4]{3}}\right) \left(1 + O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{4}+\delta}\right)\right).$$

Putting this back into (4.1) yields the theorem. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Using Theorem 1.1 and (2.1), we obtain for $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_{\alpha, \beta; N; c_0 n^{1/4}}(n)}{d(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{c_0 \sqrt{\pi N}}{2\sqrt[4]{3}}\right).$$

Comparing with the cumulative distribution function for the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi N}$ yields the theorem. □

Finally, as an illustration of the theorem, we give a numerical example, counting for $n = 10000$ the number of partitions $\lambda \vdash n$ into distinct parts with given parity difference $\operatorname{pd}(\lambda) = \operatorname{pd}_{1,2;2}(\lambda)$, plotted against the predicted value from Theorem 1.2.




FIGURE 1. $\#\{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(10000) \mid \operatorname{pd}(\lambda) = k\}$, for $-100 \leq k \leq 100$

REFERENCES

- [BBD⁺22] K. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharjee, M. G. Dastidar, P. J. Mahanta, and M. P. Saikia. Parity biases in partitions and restricted partitions. *European J. Combin.*, 103:103522, 2022.
- [BMRS23] K. Bringmann, S. H. Man, L. Rolén, and M. Storzer. Asymptotics of parity biases for partitions into distinct parts via nahm sums. In preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.02928>, 2023.
- [Che22] S. Chern. Further results on biases in integer partitions. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 59(1):111–117, 2022.
- [DSS06] C. Dartyge, A. Sárközy, and M. Szalay. On the distribution of the summands of unequal partitions in residue classes. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 110(4):323–335, 2006.
- [GZ21] S. Garoufalidis and D. Zagier. Asymptotics of nahm sums at roots of unity. *Ramanujan J.*, 55:219–238, 2021.
- [Hua42] L.-K. Hua. On the number of partitions of a number into unequal parts. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51(1):194–201, 1942.
- [KK21] B. Kim and E. Kim. Biases in integer partitions. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 104(2):177–186, 2021.

- [KKL20] B. Kim, E. Kim, and J. Lovejoy. Parity bias in partitions. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 89:103159, 2020.
- [NR17] H. Ngo and R. Rhoades. Integer partitions, probabilities and quantum modular forms. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 4(17), 2017.
- [VZ11] M. Vlasenko and S. Zwegers. Nahm’s conjecture: asymptotic computations and counterexamples. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 5(3):617–642, 2011.
- [Zag06] D. Zagier. The Mellin transform and related analytic techniques. In *Appendix to E. Zeidler, Quantum Field Theory I: Basics in Mathematics and Physics*, chapter 6.7, pages 305–323. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [Zag07] D. Zagier. The dilogarithm function. In P. Cartier, P. Moussa, B. Julia, and P. Vanhove, editors, *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry II*, pages 3–65. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.

UNIVERZITA KARLOVA, MATEMATICKO-FYZIKÁLNÍ FAKULTA, KATEDRA ALGEBRY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 49/83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECHIA

Email address: `shman@karlin.mff.cuni.cz`

UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN, DEPARTMENT MATHEMATIK/INFORMATIK, ABTEILUNG MATHEMATIK, WEYERTAL 86-90, 50931 KÖLN, GERMANY

Email address: `sman1@math.uni-koeln.de`