

## INVARIANT SYSTEMS OF WEIGHTED REPRESENTATIVES

Anton A. Klyachko Mikhail S. Terekhov

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics of Moscow State University

Moscow 119991, Leninskie gory, MSU.

Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics

klyachko@mech.math.msu.su

kombox.ver.2.0@yandex.ru

It is known that, if removing some  $n$  edges from a graph  $\Gamma$  destroys all subgraphs isomorphic to a given finite graph  $K$ , then all subgraphs isomorphic to  $K$  can be destroyed by removing at most  $|E(K)| \cdot n$  edges, which form a set invariant with respect to all automorphisms of  $\Gamma$ . We construct the first examples of (connected) graphs  $K$  for which this estimate is not sharp. Our arguments are based on a “weighted analogue” of an earlier known estimate for the cost of symmetry.

## 1. Introduction

Suppose that we can choose  $n$  vertices of a graph in such a way that each 100-cycle contains a chosen vertex. How many vertices should be chosen if we want to make the choice *fair*, i.e., we want the set of chosen vertices be invariant with respect to all automorphisms of the graph?

It is known that the answer is *at most*  $100n$  suffice (and this estimate is sharp). Moreover, the following general fact was obtained in [KL21].

**Theorem KL** [KL21]. *Suppose that a group  $G$  acts on a set  $U$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $G$ -invariant family of finite subsets of  $U$  of uniformly bounded cardinality, and  $X \subseteq U$  is a finite system of representatives for this family (i.e.,  $X \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ). Then there exists a  $G$ -invariant system of representatives  $Y$  such that  $|Y| \leq |X| \cdot \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} |F|$ .*

This fact can be called a combinatorial analogue of the (algebraic) Khukhro–Makarenko theorem [KhM07a] (see also [KhM07b], [KIMe09], and [KIMi15]). A survey of algebraic Khukhro–Makarenko type theorems can be found in [KL21].

First, we prove the following generalisation of Theorem KL (in Section 3).

**Theorem on symmetrisation of systems of multiple representatives.** *Suppose that a group  $G$  acts on a set  $U$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $G$ -invariant family of finite subsets of  $U$  of uniformly bounded cardinality, and  $X \subseteq U$  is a finite system of  $k$ -multiple representatives for this family (i.e.  $|X \cap F| \geq k$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ). Then there exists a  $G$ -invariant system of  $k$ -multiple representatives  $Y$  such that  $k \cdot |Y| \leq |X| \cdot \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} |F|$ .*

Moreover, as  $Y$ , we can take the union of all  $G$ -orbits  $G \circ u$  such that  $|(G \circ u) \cap X| \cdot \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} |F| \geq |G \circ u| \cdot k$ . In particular,  $k \cdot |Y| \leq |X \cap Y| \cdot \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} |F|$ .

For example, if a graph  $\Gamma$  possesses a finite set of vertices  $X$  containing at least two vertex from each 100-cycle, then we can choose an  $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)$ -invariant set of vertices  $Y$  containing at least two vertex from each 100-cycle and such that  $|Y| \leq 50|X|$ .

In Section 4, we prove an even more general version of Theorem KL, which makes it possible, e.g., to solve the following “applied” problem:

*to form a student council, we have, from every ten students, the first of which is respected by the nine others, to choose*

- *either this respected student (the first one),*
- *or at least three respecting student (from the nine remaining ones).*

*We want to minimise the cardinality of the student council. If we wish also to choose fairly, then what is the cost of fairness?*

There arises a directed graph with weights. In this case, this is the ten-vertex star; the central vertex has weight one, and the nine remaining vertices are of weight  $\frac{1}{3}$ . There is also a (large) directed graph  $\Gamma$  (with no weights) describing who respects whom. We have to choose some vertices of  $\Gamma$  in such a way that each ten-vertex star is presented with weight at least one. If we do not care about fairness, then we can choose a student council consisting of, say, forty persons. If we also want to be fair (i.e., we want the student council to be invariant with respect to  $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ ), how many students must be chosen in the worst case? The answer is  $\leq 40 \cdot (1 + 9 \cdot \frac{1}{3}) = 160$ , because of the following natural generalisation of Theorem KL (which is proven in Section 4).

**Theorem on symmetrisation of systems of weighted representatives.** Suppose that a group  $G$  acts on a set  $U$ ,  $W \subset \mathbb{Q}$  is a finite set (of weights), and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $G$ -invariant family of nonnegative (weight) functions  $U \rightarrow W$  with finite supports (where  $G$ -invariance means that, for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $g \in G$ , the function  $u \mapsto F(g \circ u)$  also lies in  $\mathcal{F}$ ). Let  $X \subseteq U$  be a finite system of weighted representatives for this family, i.e.  $\sum_{x \in X} F(x) \geq 1$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists a  $G$ -invariant system of weighted representatives  $Y \subseteq U$  such that  $|Y| \leq |X| \cdot \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{u \in U} F(u)$ . Moreover, as  $Y$ , we can take the union of all  $G$ -orbits  $G \circ u$  such, that  $|(G \circ u) \cap X| \cdot \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{u \in U} F(u) \geq |G \circ u|$ . In particular,  $|Y| \leq |X \cap Y| \cdot \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{u \in U} F(u)$ .


We apply this result to solve an open problem on graphs ([KL21], Question 2). Theorem KL implies immediately the following fact.

**Corollary** (of Theorem KL) [KL21]. Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph, and let  $K$  be a finite graph. Then

- (v) if  $\Gamma$  contains a finite set of vertices  $X$  such that each subgraph of  $\Gamma$  isomorphic to  $K$  has at least one vertex from  $X$ , then  $\Gamma$  contains an  $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)$ -invariant set of vertices  $Y$  such that again each subgraph isomorphic to  $K$  have at least one vertex from  $Y$  and  $|Y| \leq |X| \cdot (\text{the number of vertices of } K)$ ;
- (e) if  $\Gamma$  contains a finite set of edges  $X$  such that each subgraph isomorphic to  $K$  have at least one edge from  $X$ , then  $\Gamma$  contains an  $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)$ -invariant set of edges  $Y$  such that again each subgraph isomorphic to  $K$  has at least one edge from  $Y$  and  $|Y| \leq |X| \cdot (\text{the number of edges of } K)$ .

Are these estimates sharp for each given connected graph  $K$ ?

- (v) For estimate (v), the answer is positive in the general case [KL21], but negative if we require additionally the connectedness of  $\Gamma$  [T22] (and the minimum counterexample is a five-vertex tree  $K = D_5$ ).
- (e) We show that, for estimate (e), the answer is also negative (even if the connectedness of  $\Gamma$  is not required), answering thereby an open question from [KL21]. We apply the theorem on symmetrisation of systems of weighted representatives (and the Dulmage–Mendelsohn decomposition [DM58]) to construct a large class of examples of such *non-edge-costly* graphs  $K$  (Fig. 1), see the following section (which contains also a formal definition of “sharpness”).



Examples of “tadpoles-graphs”

Fig. 1

The word *graph* in this paper means an undirected (possibly infinite) graph without loops and multiple edges. The symbols  $V(\Gamma)$  and  $E(\Gamma)$  denote the sets of vertices and edges of a graph  $\Gamma$ .

The first author thanks the Theoretical Physics and Mathematics Advancement Foundation “BASIS”.

## 2. Edge non-costly “tadpoles-graphs”

The *edge representativeness*  $\Upsilon_e(K, \Gamma)$  of a graph  $K$  in a graph  $\Gamma$  [KL21] is the minimal integer  $n$  such that  $\Gamma$  contains a set of edges  $X$  of cardinality  $n$  such that

$$\text{each subgraph of } \Gamma \text{ isomorphic to } K \text{ contains an edge from } X. \quad (1)$$

The *symmetric edge representativeness*  $\Upsilon_e^{\text{sym}}(K, \Gamma)$  of a graph  $K$  in a graph  $\Gamma$  [KL21] is the minimal integer  $n$  such that  $\Gamma$  an  $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)$ -invariant set of edges  $X$  of cardinality  $n$  satisfying (1).

Clearly,  $\Upsilon_e(K, \Gamma) \leq \Upsilon_e^{\text{sym}}(K, \Gamma)$ . The corollary of Theorem KL says that  $\Upsilon_e^{\text{sym}}(K, \Gamma) \leq \Upsilon_e(K, \Gamma) \cdot |E(K)|$ . A graph  $K$  is called [KL21] *costly in the sense symmetric edge representativeness* or simply *edge-costly* if

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ there exists a graph } \Gamma_m \text{ such that } \Upsilon_e^{\text{sym}}(K, \Gamma_m) = \Upsilon_e(K, \Gamma_m) \cdot (\text{the number of edges of } K) \geq m. \quad (2)$$

Thus, a graph  $K$  is edge-costly if the estimate from the corollary (about edges) of Theorem KL cannot be improved for  $K$ .

**Theorem on the edge representativeness of “tadpoles-graphs”.** *If a finite connected graph  $K$  contains precisely one vertex of degree one, and the graph obtained from  $K$  by removing this vertex and the incident edge is vertex-transitive (Fig. 1), then  $\Upsilon_e^{\text{sym}}(K, \Gamma) \leq (|E(K)| - 1) \cdot \Upsilon_e(K, \Gamma)$  for any graph  $\Gamma$ ; in particular,  $K$  is not edge-costly.*

**Proof.** Let  $K_0$  be the vertex-transitive graph obtained from  $K$  by removing the “tail”. The first observation is that

a set of representatives  $X$  for the family  $\{E(\tilde{K}) \mid \Gamma \supseteq \tilde{K} \simeq K\}$  must be simultaneously a system of weighted representatives for the family  $\mathcal{F}'$  of weight functions of the following form

$$F_{K'K''}: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad F_{K'K''}(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \in K' \cap K'' \\ 1/2, & \text{if } e \in (K' \cup K'') \setminus (K' \cap K'') \\ 0, & \text{if } e \notin (K' \cup K'') \end{cases},$$

where  $K'$  and  $K''$  are subgraphs of  $\Gamma$  isomorphic to  $K_0$  such that  $K' \cup K''$  is a connected graph and  $V(K') \neq V(K' \cup K'') \neq V(K'')$  (see Fig. 2, where  $K$  is the tailed triangle).




Fig. 2

Indeed, to destroy all subgraphs of  $K' \cup K''$  isomorphic to  $K$ , we have either to remove an edge from  $K' \cap K''$  or to remove at least two edges, because removing one edge  $e$ , say, from  $K' \setminus (K' \cap K'')$  does not destroy  $K''$ , and a tail for  $K''$  also remains intact, since

- the graph  $K' \setminus \{e\}$  is connected (as the edge connectedness of a finite vertex-transitive graph equals the degree of a vertex [Ma71], i.e., larger than one, by the condition of the theorem);
- therefore, the graph  $K'' \cup K' \setminus \{e\}$  is connected;
- there exists a vertex  $v$  lying in  $K''$ , but not in  $K'$ .

For each function  $F \in \mathcal{F}'$ , we have  $\sum_{u \in U} F(u) = |E(K_0)| = |E(K)| - 1$ , hence, the theorem on symmetrisation of systems of weighted representatives implies that some symmetric system of weighted representatives  $Y'$  for  $\mathcal{F}'$  contains at most  $(|E(K)| - 1) \cdot |X \cap Y'|$  edges.

Now, consider the graph  $\Gamma' = \Gamma \setminus Y'$ , on which the group  $G = \text{Aut } \Gamma$  acts (because the set  $Y'$  is invariant). This graph has the following properties:

- 1) the set  $X' = X \setminus (Y' \cap X)$  is a system of representatives for the edges of subgraphs isomorphic to  $K$ ;
- 2) the vertex-sets of any two subgraphs (of  $\Gamma'$ ) isomorphic to  $K_0$  either coincide or does not intersect.

By virtue of 1),

$$\textit{it remains to find a symmetric system of representatives } Y'' \textit{ for edges of subgraphs isomorphic to } K \textit{ in } \Gamma' \textit{ such that } |Y''| \leq (|E(K)| - 1) \cdot |X'|; \tag{*}$$

because then  $Y = Y' \cup Y''$  would be a required symmetric system representatives in  $\Gamma$  (as  $\Gamma \setminus Y$  does not contain subgraphs isomorphic to  $K$ ) and

$$|Y| = |Y'| + |Y''| \leq (|E(K)| - 1) \cdot |X \cap Y'| + (|E(K)| - 1) \cdot |X'| = (|E(K)| - 1) \cdot |X|.$$

Consider the following auxiliary bipartite graph  $\Delta$ :

- the vertices of a fraction  $A$  are the vertex-sets of subgraphs of  $\Gamma'$  isomorphic to  $K_0$  (in other words,  $A = \{V(S) \mid \Gamma' \supseteq S \simeq K_0\}$ );
- the vertices of the other fraction  $B$  are edges of  $\Gamma'$ ;
- $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  are joined by an edge if precisely one end vertex of the edge  $b$  lies in  $a$ .

The set  $Q = \{a \in A \mid \text{some edge from } X' \text{ joins two vertex from } a\} \sqcup \{b \in B \mid b \in X'\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_A \sqcup Q_B$  is a vertex cover of  $\Delta$  (i.e., it represents all edge), because the graph  $\Gamma' \setminus X'$  contains no subgraphs isomorphic to  $K$ . In addition,  $|Q| \leq |X'|$ , because different subgraphs of  $\Gamma'$  isomorphic to  $K_0$  have no common vertices by Property 2). The Dulmage–Mendelsohn decomposition [DM58] (see also [LP86], Theorem 3.2.4) implies that

*each bipartite graph admits a minimum vertex cover (a vertex cover of the minimal cardinality), which is invariant with respect to all automorphisms of this graph preserving the fractions.*

(Namely, such a cover is the set of vertices from  $A$  belonging to all minimal covers, united with the set of vertices from  $B$  belonging to at least one minimal cover.) Recall that a (*vertex*) *cover* of a graph is a set of vertices such that each edge is incident to one of them.

Let us choose such an invariant minimum vertex cover  $Q'$  in graph  $\Delta$  and put

$$Y'' = \{\text{edges of all subgraphs isomorphic to } K_0 \text{ in } Q' \cap A\} \sqcup (Q' \cap B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y''_A \sqcup Y''_B.$$

Clearly,  $Y''$  is a system of representatives of edges of subgraphs isomorphic to  $K$  in  $\Gamma'$ , and

$$|Y''| = |Y''_A| + |Y''_B| \leq (|E(K)| - 1) \cdot |Q' \cap A| + |Q' \cap B| \leq (|E(K)| - 1) \cdot (|Q'|) \leq (|E(K)| - 1) \cdot |Q| \leq (|E(K)| - 1) \cdot |X'|.$$

By virtue of (\*), this completes the proof.

### 3. Proof of the theorem on symmetrisation of systems of multiple representatives

The reasoning below copies the argument from [KL21] almost literally; the only difference is that, instead of B. Neumann's theorem [Neu54], we use its generalisation due to Sun.

For  $m = \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} |F|$ , consider the following set  $Y = \{y \in U \mid |(G \circ y) \cap X| \geq \frac{k}{m} |G \circ y|\}$  (in particular,  $Y$  contains no points with infinite orbit). Clearly, this set is  $G$ -invariant and  $k|Y| \leq m|X|$  (because, for each orbit  $G \circ u$ , we have the inequality  $k|(G \circ u) \cap Y| \leq m|(G \circ u) \cap X|$ ).

It remains to show that  $Y$  is a system of representatives for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Take a set  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Each set  $g \circ F$  (where  $g \in G$ ) belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ , since  $\mathcal{F}$  is invariant, and, hence,  $|X \cap g \circ F| \geq k$ . Therefore, the group  $G$  is  $k$ -times covered by the family of sets

$$G_f = \{g \in G \mid g \circ f \in X\}, \quad \text{where } f \in F.$$

Each set  $G_f$  is either empty, or the union of finitely many left cosets of the stabiliser  $\text{St}(f)$  of the point  $f$ :

$$G_f = \{g \in G \mid g \circ f \in X\} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{g \in G \mid g \circ f = x\} = \bigcup_{x \in X \cap G \circ f} g_x \cdot \text{St}(f), \quad \text{where } g_x \in G \text{ are chosen such that } g_x \circ f = x.$$

Thus, we obtain a  $k$ -times cover of  $G$  by left cosets of some subgroups. Let us use a result of Sun ([Sun01], Lemma 2.2 + [Sun90], Corollary 1\*):

*if a group  $G$  is  $k$ -times covered by finitely many cosets of its (not necessary different) subgroups, then  $\sum \frac{1}{|G : G_i|} \geq k$  (where the inverse to an infinite cardinal is assumed to be zero).*

Therefore, (taking into account that the index of the stabiliser equals the length of the orbit) we obtain

$$k \leq \sum_{f \in F} \frac{1}{|G : \text{St}(f)|} \cdot |G \circ f \cap X| = \sum_{f \in F} \frac{|G \circ f \cap X|}{|G \circ f|}.$$

Since the number of terms in this sum is  $|F| \leq m$ , some term must be at least  $k/m$ , i.e.,  $|G \circ f \cap X|/|G \circ f| \geq k/m$ , which means  $f \in Y$  (by the definition of  $Y$ ) and completes proof.

### 4. Proof of the theorem on symmetrisation of systems of weighted representatives

We assume that no weight  $w \in W$  exceeds one, because replacing each weight function  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  with the function  $x \mapsto \max(F(x), 1)$  does not affect the notion of a system of weighted representatives.

Let us take an auxiliary finite set  $E$  (for modelling weights) such that  $|E| \cdot w$  is integer for all  $w \in W$ . The direct product  $\tilde{G} = G \times S(E)$  of the group  $G$  and the symmetric group  $S(E)$  of permutations of  $E$  acts naturally on the Cartesian product  $\tilde{U} = U \times E$  (with projections  $\pi_U: \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$  and  $\pi_E: \tilde{U} \rightarrow E$ ).

Since the set  $X \subseteq U$  is a system of weighted representatives for the family of functions  $\mathcal{F}$ , the set  $\tilde{X} = X \times E$  is a system of representatives of multiplicity  $|E|$  for the following  $\tilde{G}$ -invariant family of subsets of  $\tilde{U}$ :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{\tilde{F} \subseteq \tilde{U} \mid \exists F \in \mathcal{F} \forall u \in U |\pi_U^{-1}(u) \cap \tilde{F}| = F(u) \cdot |E|\}.$$

\*) In [Sun01], this was stated for finite-index subgroups; while in [Sun90], it was shown that cosets of infinite-index subgroups may be removed, and a  $k$ -times cover remains a  $k$ -times cover.

In other words, for each weight function  $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , we put into the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  all subsets of the Cartesian product  $\tilde{U} = U \times E$  containing  $F(u)|E|$  elements of  $E$  “over” each point  $u \in U$ . In the student-council example (see Introduction), we can take a three-element set  $E = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ; then the family  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  consists of all subsets of the set  $\{\textit{students}\} \times E$  of the form

$$\{(\mathbf{A}, 1), (\mathbf{A}, 2), (\mathbf{A}, 3), (\mathbf{B}, i_1), (\mathbf{C}, i_2), \dots, (\mathbf{J}, i_9)\},$$

where  $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$  are nine different students respecting another student  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $i_j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

By the theorem on the symmetrisation of systems of multiple representatives, there exists a  $\tilde{G}$ -invariant system of  $|E|$ -multiple representatives  $\tilde{Y}$  for this family such that  $|\tilde{Y}| \leq |\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Y}| \cdot \max_{\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}} |\tilde{F}|/|E| = |\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Y}| \cdot \max_{\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \sum_{u \in U} F(u)$ .

It remains to note that the projection  $Y = \pi_U(\tilde{Y}) \subseteq U$  is a required  $G$ -invariant system of weighted representatives for the family of functions  $\mathcal{F}$ . Indeed,

– we have  $|Y| = |\tilde{Y}|/|E| \leq |\tilde{Y} \cap \tilde{X}| \cdot \max_{\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \sum_{u \in U} F(u)/|E| = |Y \cap X| \cdot \max_{\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \sum_{u \in U} F(u)$  (where the first equality follows

from the  $S(E)$ -invariance of  $\tilde{Y}$ ; the inequality is the estimate from the preceding paragraph; and the last equality  $|\tilde{Y} \cap \tilde{X}|/|E| = |Y \cap X|$  is obvious (because  $\tilde{X} = X \times E$  by the definition of  $\tilde{X}$ , and  $\tilde{Y} = Y \times E$  by the definition of  $Y$  and by the  $S(E)$ -invariance of  $\tilde{Y}$ );

–  $Y$  is a system of weighted representatives for the family  $\mathcal{F}$ , because  $\tilde{Y} = Y \times E$  is a system of  $|E|$ -multiple representatives for the family  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [DM58] A. L. Dulmage, N. S. Mendelsohn, Coverings of bipartite graphs, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 10 (1958), 517-534.
- [KhM07a] E. I. Khukhro, N. Yu. Makarenko, Large characteristic subgroups satisfying multilinear commutator identities, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 75:3 (2007), 635-646.
- [KhM07b] E. I. Khukhro, N. Yu. Makarenko, Characteristic nilpotent subgroups of bounded co-rank and automorphically-invariant ideals of bounded codimension in Lie algebras, *Quart. J. Math.*, 58 (2007), 229-247.
- [KL21] A. A. Klyachko, N. M. Luneva, Invariant systems of representatives, or the cost of symmetry, *Discrete Mathematics*, 344:6 (2021), 112361. See also arXiv:1908.03315.
- [KlMe09] A. A. Klyachko, Yu. B. Mel'nikova, A short proof of the Khukhro-Makarenko theorem on large characteristic subgroups with laws, *Sbornik: Mathematics*, 200:5 (2009), 661-664. See also arXiv:0805.2747.
- [KlMi15] A. A. Klyachko, M. V. Milentyeva, Large and symmetric: The Khukhro-Makarenko theorem on laws — without laws, *J. Algebra*, 424 (2015), 222-241. See also arXiv:1309.0571.
- [LP86] L. Lovász, M. D. Plummer, *Matching theory*. Elsevier, 1986.
- [Ma71] W. Mader, Minimale  $n$ -fach kantenzusammenhängende Graphen, *Math. Ann.* 191:1 (1971), 21-28.
- [Neu54] B. H. Neumann, Groups covered by permutable subsets, *J. London Math. Soc.*, s1-29:2 (1954), 236-248.
- [Sun90] Zhi-Wei Sun, Finite coverings of groups, *Fund. Math.*, 134:1 (1990), 37-53.
- [Sun01] Zhi-Wei Sun, Exact  $m$ -covers of groups by cosets, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 22:3 (2001), 415-429.
- [T22] M. S. Terekhov, The cost of symmetry in connected graphs, *Mathematical Notes*, 112:6 (2022), 978-983. See also arXiv:2202.09590.